RECEIVED
JAN 0 6 2006
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

S7-10-05-43

10532 W. Drummond Place
Melrose Park, IL 60164-1518
December 29, 2005

Securities & Exchange Commission
Office of Investor Education and Assistance
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549-2001

S7-10-05

JAN 0 5 2006
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INVESTOR
EDUCATION & ASSISTANCE

RE: Online Requirement for Corporate Annual Reports and Proxy Statements

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I wish to object to your proposal that corporations provide annual reports and proxy statements to shareholders online instead of via the U.S. Mail for the following reasons:

---Such a system would benefit shareholders **ONLY** if they have a computer and printer, and that the computer and printer are functioning properly (I had a brand new computer that I returned for repair and tested every time it came back from "being repaired" and returned it the next day; I eventually gave up after six months because it never worked properly), that the shareholder's browser is compatible with the company's online reports, that the shareholder's Internet Service Provider is functioning properly, and that the shareholder's anti-virus provider is absolutely up-to-date. A break-down in any one of these will render the shareholder unable to access the company reports.

---The FBI states that the fastest growing crime in America is identity theft, and rarely a day goes by when a news article does not come out relative to identity compromise. Forcing the shareholder to go online and identify him or herself as a valid shareholder puts the shareholder at risk due to the many and frequent security breaches.

---Placing the annual reports and proxy statements online shifts the burden to the shareholder to spend time and money downloading and printing multi-page documents. Why should the shareholder be forced to spend time and money to learn about his or her investment?

---While eliminating the mailing of shareholder reports will save companies money, there is absolutely no reason to believe that the savings will be passed on to the shareholder. Less expenses equates to increased profits which can so easily equate to increased compensation to executives who did nothing to earn those profits. Some top executives' compensations are absolutely obscene, and there is no reason shareholders should be required to pay for these because of the actions of the taxpayers' government. The massive accounting irregularities and corporate scandals suggest that the savings of not being required to mail reports to shareholders will not benefit the shareholders at all.

---Americans save an incredibly low amount of their incomes. Here is another example of government meddling that will further discourage Americans from saving via investing for the future.

I strongly oppose this SEC recommendation. Once again, the shareholder, and therefore the American citizen and taxpayer, will be the loser.

Sincerely yours,

James Davis