1 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION In the Matter of: OIG-509 ----X WITNESS: #12 PAGES: 1 - 24 PLACE: Securities and Exchange Commission 3 World Financial Center New York, New York DATE: March 26, 2009 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing at 1:32 p.m.

COPY

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	On behalf of the Securities and Exchange	
4	Commission	
5		
6	H. DAVID KOTZ, ESQ.	
7	HEIDI L. STEIBER, ESQ.	
8	CHRISTOPHER H. WILSON, ESQ.	
9	Securities and Exchange Commission	
10	3 World Financial Center	
11	New York, New York 10281	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3 1 MR. KOTZ: We are on the record 2 at 1:32 on March 26, 2009 at the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. 3 4 Along side of me is Heidi Steiber, Chris Wilson as well. 5 6 Can you please swear the witness 7 in. THOM AS THANASULES, 8 9 having first been duly sworn by a 10 Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as 11 12 follows: 13 EXAMINATION BY MR. KOTZ: 14 15 Could you state and spell your 16 full name for the record? 17 Α. Thomas Thanasules, 18 T-H-A-N-A-S-U-L-E-S. 19 My name is David Kotz. I'm the 20 Inspector General of the United States 21 Securities and Exchange Commission. This is an investigation by the Office of 22 23 Inspector General, Case Number OIG-509. I'm going to ask you certain 24 25 questions, you'll provide answers under

E-mails that I came across with some questionable activities.

Q. I'm going to show you a document we're going to mark as Exhibit 1. It's a memo from Dorothy Eschwie to Robert Salaso and Richard Lee dated April 22, 2004.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned memo was marked as Exhibit 1 for identification.)

- Q. If you could look at the document attached to this cover memo in Exhibit 1 and tell me if these are the E-mails that you were referring to?
- A. I haven't seen these for a while, but yes.
 - Q. Did you review the E-mails at that time for substance?
 - A. What do you mean by that.
 - Q. In other words did you look at the E-mails or did you simply pass them along? Did you study them and analyze them or look at them?
 - A. Oh, yes. I remember that these E-mails raised questions on our end and that's why I told my manager about them.

9 1 Ο. How would you characterize employees, would you say 2 3 they're generally sophisticated and knowledgable in areas of investment 4 management? 5 Basically what I recall, yes. Α. 6 Would you say that information 7 employees, as in these from 8 E-mails, would you say that, therefore, 9 they come from a credible source? 10 Credible in what respect? Like 11 when I was reviewing the E-mails I was, 12 you know, reading over what they were 13 14 saying in the E-mails. Well, different people can say 15 all kinds of things. You can have 16 17 somebody on the street say something and you can have somebody who has a lot of 18 19 experience in investment management say something, and that would determine 20 whether the thing is credible or not. 21 Based on your examination of Investment Adviser #1 22 and knowledge, therefore, of the 23 vestment Adviser #1 employees, would you view the 24 25 information in this credible, as coming

from a credible source?

- A. I haven't seen these E-mails for a very long time, but based on their business practices and their business, I viewed it as they have an idea. They were questioning something, so there was a reason for them to question something.
- Q. And based on their business practices and the individuals, would you find them to be somewhat credible in what they were saying and more so then just somebody who's brought forward an allegation?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's talk about a little bit of the language in here. If you turn to the third page of this document, the one right in front of you. If you see three paragraphs down it says, "another point to make here is that not only are we unsure as to how proved makes money even for us, we're even more unsure as to how proved makes money from us, i.e., why does he let us make so much money, why doesn't he capture that for himself." And then it says

25

16 that the E-mails talk about the fact that 1 there were numbers of 5 billion and 15 2 billion, but in fact when they analyzed it 3 and when they talked to the market makers, 4 they couldn't see any volume? 5 Like I said, I mean it raises a Α. 6 lot of questions. 7 Was that one of the questions as 8 to whether Madoff was trading or not, was 9 that one of the questions that was raised 10 by this E-mail? 11 To me? Α. 12 Q. Yes. 13 I quess it was a possibility, 14 Α. but. 15 You see on the next page where 16 Ο. it says, "but the risk must be covered 17 somewhere if he is doing these trades at 18 all." 19 Do you see that? 20 Yes. 21 Α. Does that particular language 22 raise suspicion that maybe Madoff is not 23 doing trades at all? 24

DIVERSIFIED REPORTING SERVICES (202) 467-9200

Like I previously said, all the

17 E-mails raised red flags at that time. 1 Q. But I'm asking about this 2 particular type of red flag? 3 Α. Absolutely. 4 Q. So, that language that says, "if 5 he's doing these trades at all, " that 6 raises a red flag as to whether Madoff is 7 doing these trades at all; is that 8 9 correct? A. Correct. 10 Q. You brought this to the 11 attention of your supervisor, and then 12 what happened? 13 Than what happened what I was 14 informed or what had happened --15 16 Ο. Whatever you know. As far as I know I think she 17 relayed the information to her --18 Q. Did anybody ever come back to 19 you and say, you were there, I got some 20 questions about Madoff? 21 2.2 Α. No. 23 Did anybody ever come back to you and say, do you have anymore documents 24 that we could look at? 25

18 1 Α. Not that I recall. I'm going to show you another 2 Q. 3 exhibit, Exhibit 2. 4 (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Exhibit 2 for 5 identification.) .6 This is a series of documents. 7 8 It says at the top, Subject t h e Investment Adviser #1 9 bottom says nvestment Adviser #1 10 If you take a look at these documents. 11 We found these documents 12 in the work papers, although not in the Madoff work papers for cause 13 exam. Can you tell me do you know if 14 these documents in Exhibit 2 were ever 15 provided to the folks who did the cause 16 17 exam? 18 I have no idea. I don't know. 19 So you're aware about the memo Ο. in Exhibit 1 and the documents attached, 20 but you're not aware of any other 21 22 documents being provided from the 23 work papers to the folks who were doing the cause exam; is that right? 24 I don't know, specifically know 25 Α.