DOCKETED AUG - 9 2001

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff.

Civ. No. 98 C 6772

v.

Judge Shadur

THE FUTURE SUPERSTOCK, INC. and JEFFREY C. BRUSS,

Defendants.

FINAL JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AS TO DEFENDANT JEFFREY C. BRUSS AND THE FUTURE SUPERSTOCK

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), having commenced this action on October 27, 1998, by filing a Complaint alleging that defendant Jeffrey C. Bruss ("Bruss") and The Future Superstock violated various provisions of the securities laws; the Complaint and summons having been duly served upon Bruss and The Future Superstock; a Consent and Undertaking subsequently having been filed in which Bruss and The Future Superstock, having waived all rights to the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law under Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and, without admitting or denying the allegations made in the Complaint, except as to jurisdiction which is admitted, consented to entry without further notice of this Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction ("Final Judgment") enjoining them from engaging in transactions, acts, practices and courses of business which

constitute or would constitute violations of: Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5]; Section 17(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(b)]; and Sections 17(a) and 17(b) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)]; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of this action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises and there being no just cause for delay:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendants Jeffrey C. Bruss and The Future Superstock, their agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, be and they hereby are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a)(2), or (3) of the Securities Act of 1933 [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a) (2), or (3)], Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, [15 U.S.C. § 78i(b) and Rule 17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5], in connection with the purchase or sale of any security, directly or indirectly, by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of a national securities exchange, to: (1) employ any device, scheme or artifice to defraud;(2) make any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary and the second of the second o in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were and the control of the control of the selection and the control of the control of the control of the control of made, not misleading; or (3) engage in any act, practice or course of business which operates or The second production between Landon and April 1991 (1991), the control of the co would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for all purposes, including implementation and enforcement of this Final Judgment.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED there being no just cause for delay, the Clerk of this Court is hereby directed, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to enter this Final Judgment forthwith.

United States District Judge

Dated: August 8, 2001