

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
(Release No. 34-97462; File No. SR-MEMX-2023-08)

May 9, 2023

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MEMX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Amend the Exchange's Fee Schedule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 28, 2023, MEMX LLC ("MEMX" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change to amend the Exchange's fee schedule applicable to Members<sup>3</sup> (the "Fee Schedule") pursuant to Exchange Rules 15.1(a) and (c). The Exchange proposes to implement the changes to the Fee Schedule pursuant to this proposal on May 1, 2023. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Exchange Rule 1.5(p).

Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Fee Schedule to: (i) modify the Liquidity Provision Tiers; and (ii) modify the required criteria under the Displayed Liquidity Incentive (“DLI”) Tiers.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 registered equities exchanges, as well as a number of alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues, to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single registered equities exchange currently has more than approximately 15% of the total market share of executed volume of equities trading.<sup>4</sup> Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow, and the Exchange currently represents approximately 3% of the overall market share.<sup>5</sup> The Exchange in particular operates a “Maker-Taker” model whereby it provides rebates to Members that add liquidity to the Exchange and charges fees to Members that remove liquidity from the Exchange. The Fee Schedule sets forth the standard rebates and fees applied per share for orders that add and remove

---

<sup>4</sup> Market share percentage calculated as of April 28, 2023. The Exchange receives and processes data made available through consolidated data feeds (i.e., CTS and UTDF).

<sup>5</sup> Id.

liquidity, respectively. Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing, which provides Members with opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or lower fees where certain volume criteria and thresholds are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria.

### **Liquidity Provision Tiers**

The Exchange currently provides a base rebate of \$0.0018 per share for executions of Added Displayed Volume.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange also currently offers Liquidity Provision Tiers 1-5 under which a Member may receive an enhanced rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume by achieving the corresponding required volume criteria for each such tier. The Exchange now proposes to modify the Liquidity Provision Tiers by modifying the required criteria under such tiers, as further described below.

With respect to Liquidity Provision Tier 2, the Exchange currently provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.00325 per share for executions of Added Displayed Volume for Members that qualify for such tier by achieving: (1) an ADAV<sup>7</sup> that is equal to or greater than 0.25% of the

---

<sup>6</sup> The base rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume is referred to by the Exchange on the Fee Schedule under the existing description “Added displayed volume” with a Fee Code of “B”, “D” or “J”, as applicable, on execution reports.

<sup>7</sup> As set forth on the Fee Schedule, “ADAV” means the average daily added volume calculated as the number of shares added per day, which is calculated on a monthly basis, and “Displayed ADAV” means ADAV with respect to displayed orders.

TCV<sup>8</sup>; and (2) a Non-Displayed ADAV<sup>9</sup> that is equal to or greater than 4,000,000 shares.<sup>10</sup> The Exchange now proposes to modify the required criteria such that a Member would now qualify for such tier by achieving: (1) an ADAV (excluding Retail Orders<sup>11</sup>) that is equal to or greater than 0.25% of the TCV; and (2) a Non-Displayed ADAV that is equal to or greater than 4,000,000 shares. The Exchange is not proposing to change the rebate for executions under such tier but rather is proposing to exclude Retail Orders from the ADAV component of the first criteria.

With respect to Liquidity Provision Tier 4, the Exchange currently provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0029 per share for executions of Added Displayed Volume for Members that qualify for such tier by achieving: (1) an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.15% of the TCV. The Exchange now proposes to modify the required criteria such that a Member would now qualify for such tier by achieving an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.15% of the TCV, or (2) a Displayed ADAV that is greater than or equal to 2,000,000 shares and a Step-Up Displayed

---

<sup>8</sup> As set forth on the Fee Schedule, “TCV” means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply.

<sup>9</sup> As set forth on the Fee Schedule, “Non-Displayed ADAV” means ADAV with respect to non-displayed orders (including orders subject to Display-Price Sliding that receive price improvement when executed and Midpoint Peg orders).

<sup>10</sup> The pricing for Liquidity Provision Tier 2 is referred to by the Exchange on the Fee Schedule under the existing description “Added displayed volume, Liquidity Provision Tier 2” with a Fee Code of “B2”, “D2” or “J2”, as applicable, to be provided by the Exchange on the monthly invoices provided to Members.

<sup>11</sup> As set forth in Exchange Rule 11.21(a), a “Retail Order” means an agency or riskless principal order that meets the criteria of FINRA Rule 5320.03 that originates from a natural person and is submitted to the Exchange by a Retail Member Organization, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order with respect to price or side of market and the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology.

ADAV<sup>12</sup> from April 2023 that is greater than or equal to 50% of the Member's April 2023 Displayed ADAV.<sup>13</sup> Thus, such proposed change would keep the existing criteria intact and add an alternative criteria that includes a Displayed ADAV and a Step-Up Displayed ADAV threshold, which are designed to encourage the submission of additional liquidity-adding order flow to the Exchange. Additionally, the Exchange is proposing that criteria (2) of Liquidity Provision Tier 4 will expire no later than October 31, 2023, and the Exchange will indicate this in a note under the Liquidity Provision Tiers pricing table on the Fee Schedule. The Exchange is not proposing to change the rebate provided under such tier.

With respect to Liquidity Provision Tier 5, the Exchange currently provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0027 per share for executions of Added Displayed Volume for Members that qualify for such tier by achieving: (1) an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.075% of the TCV; or (2) a Displayed ADAV (excluding Retail Orders) that is equal to or greater than 750,000 shares and a Step-Up Displayed ADAV (excluding Retail Orders) from October 2022 that is equal to or greater than 30% of the Member's October 2022 Displayed ADAV (excluding Retail Orders).<sup>14</sup> The Exchange now proposes to modify the required criteria under Liquidity Provision Tier 5 such that a Member would qualify for such tier only by achieving an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.075% of the TCV. Thus, such proposed change would keep the first of the two

---

<sup>12</sup> As set forth on the Fee Schedule, "Step-Up Displayed ADAV" means Displayed ADAV in the relevant baseline month subtracted from current Displayed ADAV.

<sup>13</sup> The pricing for Liquidity Provision Tier 4 is referred to by the Exchange on the Fee Schedule under the existing description "Added displayed volume, Liquidity Provision Tier 4" with a Fee Code of "B4", "D4" or "J4", as applicable, to be provided by the Exchange on the monthly invoices provided to Members.

<sup>14</sup> The pricing for Liquidity Provision Tier 5 is referred to by the Exchange on the Fee Schedule under the existing description "Added displayed volume, Liquidity Provision Tier 5" with a Fee Code of "B5", "D5" or "J5", as applicable, to be provided by the Exchange on the monthly invoices provided to Members.

existing alternative criteria intact and eliminate the second of the two existing alternative criteria (based on a Displayed ADAV threshold and a Step-Up ADAV from October 2022 threshold).

The Exchange is not proposing to change the rebate provided under such tier.

The tiered pricing structure for executions of Added Displayed Volume under the Liquidity Provision Tiers provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher volume thresholds to receive higher enhanced rebates for such executions and, as such, is intended to encourage Members to maintain or increase their order flow, primarily in the form of liquidity-adding volume, to the Exchange, thereby contributing to a deeper and more liquid market to the benefit of all Members and market participants. The Exchange believes that the Liquidity Provision Tiers, as modified by the proposed changes described above, reflect a reasonable and competitive pricing structure that is right-sized and consistent with the Exchange's overall pricing philosophy of encouraging added and/or displayed liquidity. Specifically, the Exchange believes that, after giving effect to the proposed changes described above, the rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume provided under each of the Liquidity Provision Tiers 1-5 remains commensurate with the corresponding required criteria under each such tier and is reasonably related to the market quality benefits that each such tier is designed to achieve.

### **DLI Tiers**

The Exchange currently offers DLI Tiers 1 and 2 under which a Member may receive an enhanced rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume by achieving the corresponding required criteria for each such tier. The DLI Tiers are designed to encourage Members, through the provision of an enhanced rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume, to promote price discovery and market quality by quoting at the NBBO for a significant portion of each day

(i.e., through the applicable quoting requirement<sup>15</sup>) in a broad base of securities (i.e., through the applicable securities requirements<sup>16</sup>), thereby benefitting the Exchange and investors by providing improved trading conditions for all market participants through narrower bid-ask spreads and increased depth of liquidity available at the NBBO in a broad base of securities and committing capital to support the execution of orders.<sup>17</sup> Now, the Exchange proposes to modify the required criteria under DLI Tier 2.

Currently, a Member qualifies for DLI Tier 2 by achieving an NBBO Time of at least 25% in an average of at least 400 securities per trading day during the month. Now, the Exchange proposes to increase the securities requirement under DLI Tier 2 such that a Member would now qualify for DLI Tier 2 by achieving an NBBO Time of at least 25% in an average of 500 (i.e., increased from 400) securities per trading day during the month. This proposed increase in the securities requirement under DLI Tier 2 is designed to achieve the DLI's market quality benefits described above in a broader base of securities under such tier. The Exchange is not proposing to change the rebate for executions under such tier.

---

<sup>15</sup> As set forth on the Fee Schedule, the term “quoting requirement” means the requirement that a Member’s NBBO Time be at least 25%, and the term “NBBO Time” means the aggregate of the percentage of time during regular trading hours during which one of a Member’s market participant identifiers (“MPIDs”) has a displayed order of at least one round lot at the national best bid or the national best offer.

<sup>16</sup> As set forth on the Fee Schedule, the term “securities requirement” means the requirement that a Member meets the quoting requirement in the applicable number of securities per trading day. Currently, each of DLI Tiers 1 and 2 has a securities requirement that may be achieved by a Member meeting the quoting requirement in the specified number of securities traded on the Exchange.

<sup>17</sup> See the Exchange’s Fee Schedule (available at <https://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>) for additional details regarding the Exchange’s DLI Tiers. See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 92150 (June 10, 2021), 86 FR 32090 (June 16, 2021) (SR-MEMX-2021-07) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of fee changes adopted by the Exchange, including the adoption of DLI).

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,<sup>18</sup> in general, and with Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>19</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

As discussed above, the Exchange operates in a highly fragmented and competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient, and the Exchange represents only a small percentage of the overall market. The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and also recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>20</sup>

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow or discontinue to reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to new or different pricing structures being introduced into the market. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange’s

---

<sup>18</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>19</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

<sup>20</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).



transaction fees and rebates, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable. The Exchange believes the proposal reflects a reasonable and competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would promote price discovery and enhance liquidity and market quality on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members and market participants.

The Exchange notes that volume-based incentives and discounts (such as tiers) have been widely adopted by exchanges (including the Exchange), and are reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they are open to all members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value to an exchange's market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns, and the introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery process. The Exchange believes that the Liquidity Provision Tiers 2, 4, and 5, and the DLI Tier 2, each as modified by the changes proposed herein, are reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for these same reasons, as such tiers would provide Members with an incremental incentive to achieve certain volume thresholds on the Exchange, are available to all Members on an equal basis, and, as described above, are reasonably designed to encourage Members to maintain or increase their order flow, including in the various forms of liquidity-adding and liquidity-removing volume under the required criteria, as applicable, to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would promote price discovery, enhance liquidity and market quality, and contribute to a more robust and well-balanced market ecosystem on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members and market participants.

The Exchange also believes that such tiers reflect a reasonable and equitable allocation of fees and rebates, as the Exchange believes that, after giving effect to the changes proposed herein, the enhanced rebates for executions of Added Displayed Volume under each such tier is commensurate with the corresponding required criteria under each such tier and is reasonably related to the market quality benefits that each such tier is designed to achieve, as described above.

With respect to the proposed change to increase the securities requirement under DLI Tier 2 from 400 securities to 500 securities, the Exchange believes the proposed change is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it will apply to all Members equally, in that all Members will continue to have the opportunity to achieve the required criteria under such tier, and this proposed increase is intended to enhance market quality in a broader range of securities on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members.

For the reasons discussed above, the Exchange submits that the proposal satisfies the requirements of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>21</sup> in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities and is not designed to unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. As described more fully below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition, the Exchange believes that its transaction pricing is subject to significant competitive forces, and that the proposed fees and rebates described herein are appropriate to address such forces.

---

<sup>21</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposal will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the proposal is intended to incentivize market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would promote price discovery and enhance liquidity and market quality on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members and market participants. As a result, the Exchange believes the proposal would enhance its competitiveness as a market that attracts actionable orders, thereby making it a more desirable destination venue for its customers. For these reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal furthers the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small."<sup>22</sup>

*Intramarket Competition*

As discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposal would maintain a tiered pricing structure that is still consistent with the Exchange's overall pricing philosophy of encouraging added and/or displayed liquidity and would incentivize market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange through volume-based tiers, thereby enhancing liquidity and market quality on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members, as well as enhancing the attractiveness of the Exchange as a trading venue, which the Exchange believes, in turn, would continue to encourage market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange. Greater liquidity benefits all Members by providing more trading opportunities and encourages Members to send additional orders to the Exchange, thereby contributing to robust levels of liquidity, which benefits all market participants.

---

<sup>22</sup> See supra note 20.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes would impose any burden on intramarket competition because such changes will incentivize members to submit additional order flow, thereby contributing to a more robust and well-balanced market ecosystem on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members as well as enhancing the attractiveness of the Exchange as a trading venue, which the Exchange believes, in turn, would continue to encourage market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange. Greater liquidity benefits all Members by providing more trading opportunities and encourages Members to send additional orders to the Exchange, thereby contributing to robust levels of liquidity, which benefits all market participants. The opportunity to qualify for the modified Liquidity Provision Tiers and the DLI Tiers, and thus receive the corresponding enhanced rebates or discounted fees, as applicable, would be available to all Members that meet the associated volume requirements in any month. As described above, the Exchange believes that the required criteria under each such tier are commensurate with the corresponding rebate under such tier and are reasonably related to the enhanced liquidity and market quality that such tier is designed to promote. For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes the proposed changes would not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### *Intermarket Competition*

As noted above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. Members have numerous alternative venues that they may participate on and direct their order flow to, including 15 other equities exchanges and numerous alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues. As

noted above, no single registered equities exchange currently has more than approximately 15% of the total market share of executed volume of equities trading. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. Moreover, the Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow or discontinue to reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to new or different pricing structures being introduced into the market. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange's transaction fees and rebates and market participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. As described above, the proposed changes represent a competitive proposal through which the Exchange is seeking to incentivize market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange through volume-based tiers, which have been widely adopted by exchanges, including the Exchange. Accordingly, the Exchange believes the proposal would not burden, but rather promote, intermarket competition by enabling it to better compete with other exchanges that offer similar pricing structures and incentives to market participants.

Additionally, the Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>23</sup> See supra note 20.

The fact that this market is competitive has also long been recognized by the courts. In NetCoalition v. SEC, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ ... As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’ ....”<sup>24</sup> Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed pricing changes impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>25</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)<sup>26</sup> thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be

---

<sup>24</sup> NetCoalition v. SEC, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSE-2006-21)).

<sup>25</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>26</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form

(<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or

- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-MEMX-2023-08 on the subject line.

##### Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange

Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MEMX-2023-08. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m.

and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MEMX-2023-08 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>27</sup>

Sherry R. Haywood

Assistant Secretary

---

<sup>27</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).