1	LYNN M. DEAN (Cal. Bar No. 205562) Email: deanl@sec.gov ROBERTO A. TERCERO (Cal. Bar No. 143760)				
2					
3	Email: terceror@sec.gov				
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission				
5	Michele Wein Layne, Regional Director Alka N. Patel, Associate Regional Director				
6	Amy Jane Longo, Regional Trial Counsel 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (213) 443-1904				
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	CENTRAL DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11		1			
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No.			
13	COMMISSION,	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE			
14	Applicant,	COMMISSION'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE			
15	VS.	AND APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER COMPELLING			
16	ANDREW T.E. COLDICUTT and LAW OFFICE OF ANDREW	COMPLIANCE WITH INVESTIGATIVE SUBPOENAS			
17	COLDICUTT,	INVESTIGATIVE SUBTOENAS			
18	Respondents.				
19		J			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$					
20 27					
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$					
-	I and the second				

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") hereby applies to this Court for an Order Show Cause why an order should not issue requiring Respondents Andrew T.E. Coldicutt ("Coldicutt") and the Law Office of Andrew Coldicutt ("Law Office" and collectively, "Respondents") to comply with two investigative subpoenas issued and properly served on them by the SEC on March 24, 2017 ("SEC March 2017 Subpoenas").
- 2. This Application is based on the Declaration of Roberto A. Tercero and the exhibits attached thereto regarding relevant factual matters in this proceeding, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed in support of this Application, the Proposed Order to Show Cause, and the Proposed Order Compelling Compliance, each of which is filed concurrently herewith, such matters of which judicial notice may be taken, and any other written or oral evidence as may be offered at a hearing on the Application.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by Section 22(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §77v(b), and Section 21(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78u(c).
- 4. Venue is proper in the Central District of California because the SEC's investigation is being carried out by the SEC's Los Angeles Regional Office, which is located within this Court's judicial district. *See*, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(c).

III. RESPONDENTS

- 5. **Andrew T.E. Coldicutt** resides in San Diego, California. Coldicutt is a securities attorney and has been a member of the State Bar of California since 2008.
- 6. **Law Office of Andrew Coldicutt** is a sole proprietorship. Its principal place of business during the relevant time period was 1220 Rosecrans Street, PMB 258, San Diego, California 92106.

IV. THE SEC'S INVESTIGATION

- 7. On May 25, 2016, the SEC issued a formal order of private investigation (the "Formal Order"), entitled In the Matter of Andrew T.E. Coldicutt, SEC File No. LA-4660, pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(a), and Section 21(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(a).
- 8. Pursuant to the Formal Order, the SEC directed that an investigation be conducted to determine, among other things, whether any persons or entities affiliated with Coldicutt, or others, have been or are engaging in violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c) & 77q(a), and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act, 15. U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, by carrying out various acts and practices. The Formal Order identifies, among the potentially violative acts and practices, "making false statements of material fact or failing to disclose material facts concerning, among other things, the identity of control persons and promoters."
- 9. Pursuant to Section 19(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77s(c), and Section 21(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(b), the Formal Order designates certain members of the SEC staff as officers of the SEC. As officers, they are empowered to administer oaths and affirmations, subpoena witnesses, compel their attendance, take evidence, and require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, or other records which are relevant or material to the inquiry and to perform all other duties in connection therewith as prescribed by law.
- 10. During the course of the investigation, the SEC has learned that Respondents and others affiliated with them may have engaged in conduct in violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a), and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15. U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, concerning the common stock of Green Cures & Botanical Distribution, Inc., a company whose stock is quoted publicly on over-the-counter market, specifically OTC Link (formerly "Pink Sheets"), under the ticker symbol "GRCU."

OTC Link is operated by OTC Markets, Inc. ("OTC Markets"). It appears that 1 2 among other things – while GRCU stock was quoted on OTC Link and traded – 3 Coldicutt, GRCU, and others related to GRCU may have made materially false and misleading public statements regarding GRCU, its management, and the presence of 4 undisclosed control persons.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

V. INVESTIGATIVE SUBPOENAS ISSUED

- 11. On March 24, 2017, the SEC issued the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas to Respondents calling for the production by April 5, 2017, of relevant documents regarding Respondents, GRCU, and others regarding GRCU, including documents and records concerning: (a) engagements of the Respondents as legal counsel by GRCU or others concerning GRCU; (b) legal services performed by Respondents for GRCU or others concerning GRCU; (c) offerings of GRCU securities; (d) issuances, cancellations or transfers of GRCU securities; (e) communications regarding GRCU; (f) transfers to or from Respondents of money or other assets concerning GRCU; (g) transfers to or from Respondents of money or other assets to a specified list of individuals and entities; and (h) filings on behalf of GRCU with OTC Markets.
- 12. The SEC Subpoenas were signed by a member of the SEC staff designated in the Formal Order and were served on the Respondents, pursuant to agreement of their counsel ("Respondents' Counsel") to accept service by email on March 24, 2017. Respondents' counsel acknowledged that he received the emailed version of the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas on March 24, 2017.
- To date, the Respondents have not produced any documents in response 13. to the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas, even though SEC counsel on April 11, 2017, sent a letter to Respondents' counsel requesting that Respondents fully comply with the them by April 18, 2017.
- As set forth more specifically in the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities and the Declaration of Roberto A. Tercero, the documents the SEC seeks from the Respondents (including those described in the preceding

paragraphs 8 and 10) are relevant to the SEC's pending investigation to determine whether violations of the federal securities laws have occurred or may be occurring.

15. The Respondents' continued refusal to comply with the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas is hindering the SEC staff's efforts to determine what, if any, violations of the securities laws have occurred or continue to occur.

VI. NOTICE AND SUBSEQUENT RESPONSE REFUSING TO COMPLY

- 16. On April 11, 2017, SEC counsel sent Respondents' counsel a letter by United Parcel Service overnight delivery and email, stating that the Respondents had not produced any documents in response to the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas, even though the April 5, 2017 deadline for the document production had passed. SEC counsel also stated in the letter that the Respondents consequently had failed to comply at all with the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas. SEC counsel requested that the Respondents comply fully with the March 2017 Subpoenas no later than April 18, 2017. In the letter, the SEC also gave notice of its Application to Respondents, in which the SEC counsel stated that, if the Respondents did not produce the documents requested by the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas by April 18, 2017, the SEC counsel would have no choice but to evaluate its remedies, including seeking authority to file an application in federal court to enforce the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas. Respondents' counsel did not reply to the letter.
- 17. On May 4, 2017, the SEC filed a subpoena enforcement action ("May Subpoena Enforcement Action") with this Court against the Respondents, seeking an amended privilege log related to two earlier SEC investigative subpoenas ("Earlier SEC Subpoenas") issued to them in the same investigation, dated May 25, 2016. SEC v. Coldicutt, et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-03888-CAS (AFM). On May 5, 2017, SEC counsel informed Respondents' counsel by email of the May Subpoena Enforcement Action and asked if he would accept service of the court filing. Later that day, Respondents' counsel replied, stating that he had responsive documents ready and would produce them that day. Respondents' Counsel did not identify to

which subpoenas the documents were responsive. SEC counsel explained that the May Subpoena Enforcement Action concerned the Earlier SEC Subpoenas and asked that Respondents produce the documents that Respondents' counsel said were ready to be produced.

- 18. On May 5, 2017, Respondents' counsel emailed SEC counsel, stating that no documents would be produced until the SEC rescinded the press release announcing the May Subpoena Enforcement Action on the Commission's website.
- 19. On May 8, 2017, SEC counsel informed Respondents' counsel by email that the SEC routinely posts litigation releases to its website when it initiates enforcement actions and that Respondents' obligations to comply with the SEC Subpoenas could not be conditioned upon whether a litigation release was issued. SEC counsel further stated that the documents that Respondents' counsel said that he had ready for production should be produced.
- 20. To date, the SEC has received no documents responsive to the SEC Subpoenas.

WHEREAS, the SEC respectfully requests that:

- a) this Court issue an Order to Show Cause forthwith directing the Respondents to show cause, if there be any, why this Court should not order the Respondents to produce all documents responsive to the SEC March 2017 Subpoenas to a duly designated officer or officers of the SEC in the Formal Order, SEC File No. LA-4660;
- b) upon return of the Order to Show Cause, this Court issue an Order directing the Respondents to produce all documents responsive to the SEC's March 2017 Subpoenas; and

1	c) the SEC be granted such other and further relief as may be appropriate.		
2		· ·	• • •
3			
4	Dated: July	20, 2017	Respectfully submitted,
5			/s/ Lynn M. Dean
6			Lynn M. Dean Roberto A. Tercero
7			/s/ Lynn M. Dean Lynn M. Dean Roberto A. Tercero Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			