	Case 2:18-cv-09456
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONALD W. SEARLES (Cal. Bar. No. 135705) searlesd@sec.gov U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (323) 965-4573 Facsimile: (213) 443-1904  DAVID T. FRISOF (pro hac vice pending) frisofd@sec.gov U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 100 F Street NE Washington, DC 20549-5010 Telephone: (202) 551-7702 Facsimile: (202) 772-9286
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
11 12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION
15 16 17 18 19	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,  Plaintiff,  vs.  SLOBODAN DRAGOJLOVIC, Defendant.  Case No. 2:18-cv-09456  COMPLAINT
21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") for its complaint alleges as follows:  JURISDICTION AND VENUE
27 28	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under Sections 21(d), 21(e), 21A, and 27 of the Exchange Act of 1934 [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), 78u-1 and 78aa].
	1

COMPLAINT

- 2. The Commission brings this action under Section 21(d) and 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u-1].
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa] because Defendant resides in and because one or more acts or transactions constituting the violation occurred within the Central District of California.
- 4. Assignment to the Western Division is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(c) because the events or omissions giving rise to the Commission's claims occurred, among other places, in Los Angeles County, California.
- 5. Defendant, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.

## **SUMMARY OF THE ACTION**

- 6. This case involves unlawful insider trading by Slobodan Dragojlovic in the securities of Surgical Care Affiliates, Inc., an Illinois-based company that manages a network of ambulatory surgery centers and surgical hospitals.
- 7. In December 2016, Dragojlovic misappropriated from his brother, an executive at Surgical Care, material, nonpublic information that Surgical Care was in negotiations to be acquired by UnitedHealth Group, Inc., a managed healthcare company based in Minnesota.
- 8. In breach of his duty of trust and confidence to his brother, Dragojlovic misused this information to purchase shares of Surgical Care stock. On January 5, 2017, Dragojlovic purchased in his personal brokerage account 2,000 shares of Surgical Care stock at an average price of \$46.64 per share.
- 9. On the morning of January 9, 2017, UnitedHealth and Surgical Care publicly announced that they had entered into a definite agreement for UnitedHealth to acquire Surgical

Care through a tender offer at a price of \$57 per share. That day, after the public announcement of the acquisition, the price of Surgical Care stock increased over 16% on heavy trading and closed at a price of \$56.65 per share. Two days later Dragojlovic sold all of the Surgical Care stock.

Dragojlovic's illicit trading profits totaled \$20,101.

10. By engaging in the conduct alleged in this Complaint, Dragojlovic violated the antifraud provisions of Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78n(e)], and Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.14e-3]. The Commission seeks in this action a permanent injunction, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and a civil penalty.

#### **DEFENDANT**

11. Slobodan Dragojlovic ("Dragojlovic"), age 53, resides in Santa Clarita, California. During the relevant time period, Dragojlovic worked as an independent software consultant, primarily out of his home.

### **OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES**

- 12. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. ("UnitedHealth") is a Delaware corporation based in Minnetonka, Minnesota. UnitedHealth's common stock is registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l(b)] and trades on the New York Stock Exchange.
- 13. Surgical Care Affiliates, Inc. ("Surgical Care") was a Delaware corporation based in Deerfield, Illinois. Surgical Care was a company that managed a network of ambulatory surgery centers and surgical hospitals. Prior to its acquisition by UnitedHealth, which was completed in March 2017, Surgical Care's common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l(b)] and traded on the NASDAQ.

- 3 - COMPLAINT

### **FACTS**

- 14. At all relevant times in this Complaint, Dragojlovic's brother was a Senior Vice President for Strategic Services at Surgical Care. As part of his duties at Surgical Care, Dragojlovic's brother participated in weekly executive team conference calls.
- 15. In late July 2016, UnitedHealth entered into negotiations to acquire Surgical Care. Dragojlovic's brother first learned of the negotiations on July 29, 2016, on the executive team weekly conference call.
- 16. In September 2016, acquisition negotiations between UnitedHealth and Surgical Care were put on hold. Negotiations resumed in December 2016 and by the end of the month, UnitedHealth had taken substantial steps towards commencement of a tender offer for Surgical Care securities. These included a meeting on December 16 between the CEOs of UnitedHealth and Surgical Care at which they reached agreement on major terms of the proposed acquisition (including the per share acquisition price), the execution of a confidentiality agreement on December 19, and due diligence work.
- 17. On December 17, 2016, the CEO of Surgical Care informed the company's executive team, including Dragojlovic's brother, that an agreement had been reached on the material terms of the acquisition. The CEO requested that the executive travel to Minnesota for a due diligence meeting in connection with the acquisition.
- 18. Dragojlovic's brother traveled extensively over the next week in connection with the pending acquisition. He travelled from his home in Rancho Santa Fe, California, to Minnesota on December 18, 2016, and returned home on December 20. On December 21, he travelled to Chicago, and returned home again on December 23.
- 19. On December 24, 2016, Dragojlovic's brother hosted a family holiday party, which was an annual family custom. Dragojlovic and other family members attended. At the

family holiday party on December 24, Dragojlovic's brother told Dragojlovic that his company, Surgical Care, was in negotiations to be acquired by UnitedHealth. He told Dragojlovic this in confidence to explain why he had been traveling that week and why he had not been able to prepare a lavish meal for the family holiday party as he had in past years.

- 20. Dragojlovic knew the information his brother told him in confidence about the potential acquisition was both material and nonpublic. On January 5, 2017, in breach of his duty of trust and confidence to his brother, Dragojlovic traded on this material nonpublic information. He purchased in his personal brokerage account 2,000 shares of Surgical Care stock, at an average price of \$46.64 per share.
- 21. On the morning of January 9, 2017, UnitedHealth and Surgical Care announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement for UnitedHealth to acquire Surgical Care, pursuant to a tender offer, at a price of \$57 per share. The agreed purchase price represented a premium of more than 16% over the prior market closing price of Surgical Care stock at \$48.75 per share. That day, after the public announcement of the acquisition, the market price of Surgical Care stock increased more than 16% on heavy trading and closed at a price of \$56.65 per share. Dragojlovic sold his Surgical Care stock two days later. His illicit trading profits from trading in Surgical Care stock totaled \$20,101.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder

- 22. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. Defendant, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange:

- a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
- made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts
   necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances
   under which they were made, not misleading; and/or
- engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operate or would
  operate as a fraud or deceit upon any persons, including purchasers or sellers
  of the securities.

By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendant violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

### **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

# Violations of Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14e-3 Thereunder

- 24. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 25. By engaging in the conduct described above, in connection with a tender offer, Defendant knowingly or recklessly, engaged in one or more fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative acts.
- 26. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78n(e)] and Rule 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.14e-3].

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter Final Judgment:

1	I.
2	Permanently restraining and enjoining Defendant from, directly or indirectly, engaging in
3	conduct in violation of Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and
4	78n(e)] and Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.14e-3];
5	II.
6	Ordering Defendant to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, illicit trading profits resulting
7	from the conduct alleged in this Complaint;
8	. ,
9	III.
10	Ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act,
11	[15 U.S.C. § 78u-1]; and
12	IV.
13	Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and necessary.
14	
15	DATED: November 7, 2018 Respectfully submitted,
16	
17 18	/s/ Donald W. Searles
19	Donald W. Searles U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
20	COMMISSION 444 South Flower Street, Suite 900
21	Los Angeles, CA 90071
22	David T. Frisof (pro hac vice application to be filed)
23	
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
25	COMMISSION
26	
27	
28	