Case3:14-cv-02157 Document1 Filed05/12/14 Page1 of 16

C. Joshua Felker	
FelkerC@sec.gov Michael S. Fuchs (D.C. Bar No. 431440)	
FuchsM@sec.gov	
Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549-5553	
Telephone: 202.551.4758	
Facsimile: 202.772.9236	
UNITED STATES DIST NORTHERN DISTRICT	
SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION
	٦
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No.
,	
v.	COMPLAINT
HERBERT RICHARD LAWSON, WILLIAM B. LAWSON, and	
JOHN J. CERULLO,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange	ge Commission (the "Commission"), for its
Complaint against Defendants Herbert Richard Laws	on ("Richard Lawson"), William B. Lawson
and John J. Cerullo (collectively "Defendants") for in	sider trading, alleges as follows:
SUMMAR	RY
1. In March and April 2011, Richard Law	vson, a co-Chairman and co-founder of
Lawson Software Inc., a St. Paul-Minnesota-based co	omputer software company, provided non-
public information to his brother and a family friend	in advance of the Company's announcement
COMPLAINT - 1 -	

of its planned sale to an affiliate of Infor, a privately-held software provider, for \$11.25 per share. The brother, William Lawson, and the friend, Cerullo (the Company's other co-founders), then traded in Lawson Software securities in advance of the April 2011 merger announcement. While in possession of the nonpublic information acquired from Richard Lawson, William Lawson also recommended the stock to a third party who traded prior to the merger announcement. The three traders reaped a combined profit of more than \$2 million.

- 2. William Lawson and Cerullo used the non-public information received from Richard Lawson in selling shares of Company stock prior to the merger announcement at prices that were approximately \$1-per-share higher than Infor's offer of \$11.25 per share. Lawson Software's stock price began to climb in March 2011 after the media reported that the Company had retained a financial adviser to explore a possible sale; by that time, Infor had already offered \$11.25 per share to acquire the Company. Lawson Software then publicly confirmed its receipt of Infor's offer of \$11.25. The news led to widespread media reports suggesting that other potential purchasers would enter the bidding and submit competing, higher offers for Lawson Software. Analyst reports identified specific technology companies supposedly interested in acquiring Lawson Software, with some reports suggesting a merger price of up to \$15-16 per share. Amid these reports, Lawson Software's stock price gradually increased, from \$9+ per share in early March 2011 to \$12.50 per share in April 2011. The stock closed at more than \$12.25 nearly every day from March 31 through April 25, 2011, the day before the merger announcement.
- 3. In fact, Infor was the only bidder for Lawson Software and Infor informed Lawson Software by February 15, 2011 that it would not raise its offer above \$11.25. Thus, during much of April 2011, Lawson Software's publicly-traded stock price exceeded the only merger offer received by the Company by approximately \$1 per share.

COMPLAINT - 2 -

- 4. As Lawson Software's co-Chairman, Richard Lawson knew that the media reports of possible multiple bidders for the Company were incorrect. Specifically, Richard Lawson knew that: (a) the very entities mentioned in these media reports as possible acquirers of Lawson Software had, in fact, already told the Company that they were not interested in such a transaction; (b) Infor was the lone bidder for Lawson Software, at \$11.25 per share; (c) Infor told Lawson Software that it would not increase its offer; and (d) Lawson Software's financial adviser and Board of Directors viewed Infor's bid as reasonable.
- 5. As the merger talks with Infor progressed, Richard Lawson conveyed nonpublic information concerning the negotiations and lack of competing offers to his brother, William Lawson, who sold more than one million shares of his family's Lawson Software holdings for as much as \$12.25 per share. William Lawson, in turn, recommended a sale of Lawson Software stock to a third party, who sold more than 400,000 shares at \$12.25 per share. Richard Lawson also conveyed nonpublic information about the merger talks to Cerullo, who sold approximately 175,000 of his shares at \$12.15 per share. Some of these sales occurred on April 25, 2011 the same day that Lawson Software's Board of Directors voted to accept Infor's offer to acquire the Company for \$11.25 per share, and one day before the merger announcement. In tipping his brother and Cerullo, Richard Lawson violated fiduciary and other duties owed to Lawson Software and its shareholders to not make unauthorized disclosures of material, nonpublic information.
- 6. On April 26, 2011, Lawson Software announced the \$11.25 merger agreement, which caused the Company's stock price to drop 8.7 percent to close that day at \$11.06 per share. By selling shares at elevated prices of up to \$12.25 per share, William Lawson, Cerullo and a third party received combined profits of more than \$2 million.

7. By knowingly or recklessly engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, Defendants violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] thereunder.

NATURE OF PROCEEDING AND RELIEF SOUGHT

8. The Commission seeks permanent injunctions against each of the Defendants, enjoining them from engaging in the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this Complaint, disgorgement of all profits realized from the unlawful insider trading activity set forth herein, prejudgment interest, civil monetary penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-l], an officer-and-director-bar against Defendant Richard Lawson, and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 20(b) and 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21A and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u-1 and 78aa]. The Defendants, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in connection with the conduct alleged herein.
- 10. Venue in this judicial district is proper pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa] because certain of the acts, transactions, practices, and courses of business constituting the violations alleged herein occurred within this judicial district in that certain of the discussions and negotiations that led to the acquisition of Lawson Software took place in or from San Francisco. Lawson Software's acquirer in the underlying transaction was based in San Francisco. Both Lawson Software and its acquirer conducted business in San Francisco, and numerous Lawson Software

COMPLAINT - 4 -

shareholders bought and sold Lawson Software stock from San Francisco during the relevant time period, including several large institutional investment managers.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

11. This matter should be assigned to the San Francisco division because the offices of Lawson Software's acquirer, Golden Gate Capital, are located in the City of San Francisco.

DEFENDANTS

- 12. Richard Lawson, age 70, is a resident of Dallas, Texas. Richard Lawson was a co-founder of Lawson Software, which was formed in 1975. Richard Lawson served in various officer and director capacities at Lawson Software through 2011, when the Company was acquired. During the January through April 2011 period ("Relevant Period"), Richard Lawson was a co-Chairman of the Board. Richard Lawson is the brother of William Lawson and a close friend of John Cerullo.
- 13. William Lawson, age 72, is a resident of Lino Lakes, Minnesota. William Lawson was another co-founder of Lawson Software and served as its CEO until shortly before the Company's initial public offering in 2001, when he retired.
- 14. John Cerullo, age 71, resides in Hampton, New Hampshire. Cerullo was the third co-founder of Lawson Software. Cerullo held various positions at Lawson Software before he, too, retired before the Company went public in 2001. Cerullo is a close and longtime friend of Richard Lawson and the Lawson family.

RELATED ENTITY

15. Lawson Software, Inc., ("Lawson Software" or the "Company"), a Delaware company headquartered in St. Paul, Minnesota, was a leading provider of business software, with offices and sales nationwide. At all relevant times, Lawson Software's common stock traded on the Nasdaq National Market and was registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act.

COMPLAINT - 5 -

On April 26, 2011, Lawson Software announced an agreement to be acquired by an affiliate of Golden Gate Capital, a private equity investment firm based in San Francisco, and Infor Global Solutions, Inc. ("Infor"), for \$11.25 per share. That transaction became effective in July 2011.

FACTS

Infor Emerges as a Likely Acquirer of Lawson Software

- 16. In early January 2011, Infor senior management contacted Lawson Software to request a meeting about a possible acquisition of the Company. On January 11, the parties entered into a non-disclosure agreement and had their initial meeting about a possible merger. Two days later, Lawson Software's CEO informed the Board of Directors, including Richard Lawson, of Infor's interest. From that time forward, through the April 26, 2011 merger announcement, Richard Lawson had access to and learned material, nonpublic information concerning the Company's ongoing merger discussions with Infor and other potential acquirers.
- 17. In January and February 2011, Infor and Golden Gate Capital made formal and informal offers to acquire Lawson Software at prices ranging from \$10 to \$11.10 per share. Each time, Lawson Software declined. On February 15, 2011, Infor and Golden Gate Capital increased their offer to \$11.25 per share and informed Lawson Software's CEO that this was their final offer. On February 16-17, Lawson Software's CEO informed Board members, including Richard Lawson, of Infor's and Golden Gate Capital's offers and specifically noted that Infor's CEO had described the \$11.25 bid as their final offer. At that same time, Lawson Software's financial adviser opined that \$11.25 was a "reasonable valuation" for the Company, and the Board (including Richard Lawson) concurred.
- 18. In late February 2011, while Infor was conducting its due diligence, Lawson Software began a "market check" in which the Company's financial adviser reached out to five Lawson Software competitors to gauge their interest in acquiring the Company. The market

COMPLAINT - 6 -

check elicited little interest. Within just a few days of being contacted, three of the companies – IBM, Hewlett-Packard, and SAP – expressed their lack of interest in a transaction. By March 11, 2011, a fourth potential acquirer, Oracle Corporation, informed Lawson Software that it, too, was not interested.

19. Lawson's Board, including Richard Lawson, was informed of the status of the market check throughout the process, including what entities would be approached and how, and the eventual response received from each. By March 12, 2011, Richard Lawson knew that Lawson Software had received little-to-no interest from other possible acquirers as part of the market check process, and that Infor had submitted the lone bid to acquire the Company.

Infor's Offer is Confirmed, Resulting in Media Speculation of Additional Bidders – and an Increase in Lawson Software's Stock Price

- 20. On March 8, 2011, while the Infor discussions were continuing, Reuters reported that Lawson Software had retained a financial adviser to explore a possible sale of the Company. The article identified Hewlett-Packard, IBM and SAP, among other companies, as potential acquirers of Lawson Software.
- 21. The Reuters article led to an immediate increase in Lawson Software's stock price, which jumped 13 percent on March 8 to close at \$11.19 per share. The Reuters article also fueled widespread and incorrect media speculation as to potential acquirers of Lawson Software and a possible merger price. For example, on March 9, 2011, one analyst wrote that, "according to sources," SAP, Hewlett-Packard and IBM, among other companies, "might be interested in buying Lawson." In fact, by March 9, these companies had already informed Lawson Software that they were not interested in an acquisition. On that same date, another research analyst opined that investors should continue buying Lawson Software stock and suggested a merger price "in the \$13-\$15 (per share) range." On March 10, another analyst opined that a "deal could get done

in the \$14-\$16 range." Amid these reports, Lawson Software's stock inched upward; on Friday, March 11, shares closed at \$11.55 per share – now higher than Infor's offer of \$11.25.

- 22. On Friday, March 11, 2011, after the market close, Lawson Software issued a press release confirming that Infor had submitted an offer to acquire the Company for \$11.25 per share. The press release noted that the parties were "engaged in discussions regarding this proposal," but that there was "no assurance that any agreement will be reached."
- 23. The press release did not dampen the market's enthusiasm for Lawson Software stock. On Monday, March 14, 2011, the first trading day following issuance of the press release, the stock price increased another six percent, closing at \$12.24 per share now nearly \$1 higher than Infor's offer of \$11.25; the stock price had now risen 23 percent in less than one week, since Reuters reported that the Company was considering a sale. The latest price increase was fueled, at least in part, by new reports predicting higher, competing offers for Lawson Software. One analyst wrote that the Company "could attract a higher bid from either Oracle or SAP..."

 Another analyst wrote that Oracle would likely make a higher offer for Lawson Software in a "multi-bid process" and that the Company would get at least \$15 per share, perhaps as much as \$18. By this time, both Oracle and SAP had informed Lawson Software that they were, in fact, not interested in acquiring the Company.
- 24. Richard Lawson was kept fully informed of the status of Lawson Software's merger discussions with Infor and the results of the Company's market check for possible other suitors. As co-Board Chairman, Richard Lawson attended all Lawson Software Board meetings from January through late April 2011 at which the Infor negotiations and the market check results were fully discussed. Additionally, Lawson Software's CEO kept Richard Lawson and other Board members apprised of developments in the Infor discussions and market check by calling them individually and e-mailing them prior to Board meetings.

COMPLAINT - 8 -

- 25. By at least March 14, 2011, Richard Lawson knew material, nonpublic information concerning the status of Lawson Software's merger discussions: Infor had informed the Company that Infor's bid price of \$11.25 per share would be its highest offer; Lawson Software's Board and financial adviser viewed that price as reasonable; the Company's market check had resulted in little interest and no competing offers; and the entities most frequently mentioned in media and analyst reports as possible acquirers of Lawson Software Oracle, SAP, IBM, Hewlett Packard had already informed the Company that they were, in fact, not interested in an acquisition. While the financial media portrayed Lawson Software as the possible subject of a bidding war at escalating prices, the true picture regarding interest in Lawson Software remained nonpublic.
- 26. Typically, information concerning an upcoming acquisition of a public company is material information because a public announcement of a merger agreement will cause an increase or decrease in the market price of the companies involved. In this instance, the relevant information that a company would likely be acquired for less than its publicly-traded price, and that the resulting merger announcement would likely cause the stock price to decline would be viewed by a reasonable investor as important to his or her investment decision.

In March 2011, William Lawson Sells Lawson Software Stock after Speaking With Richard Lawson, Then Recommends Similar Sales to a Third Party

- 27. On the morning of Saturday, March 12, 2011 the first morning after Lawson Software publicly confirmed its receipt of Infor's offer at \$11.25 per share Richard Lawson placed calls to both William Lawson and Cerullo. The calls marked the first time that Richard Lawson had phoned either man in 2011. Richard Lawson reached Cerullo by phone and the two men spoke for approximately thirteen minutes.
- 28. On Monday, March 14, 2011, the first trading day following the Lawson Software press release, at about 9 a.m. CST, William Lawson sold 20,000 shares of Lawson Software stock

at \$12.50 per share. About twenty minutes later, at 9:27 a.m. CST, Richard Lawson again called William Lawson, and this time the brothers spoke for more than 27 minutes over two consecutive telephone calls. The last call ended at about 9:55 a.m.

- 29. During these telephone conversations, Richard Lawson provided material, nonpublic information to William Lawson concerning the status of Lawson Software's merger talks with Infor and the results of the Company's market check with other potential acquirers.
- 30. At 9:56 a.m. just one minute after hanging up with his brother William Lawson again called his broker and this time directed sales of another 980,000 shares of Lawson Software stock at \$12.25 per share. The sale was the largest stock transaction that William Lawson had ever conducted and constituted nearly 50 percent of his family's Lawson Software holdings, which was by far its largest asset.
- 31. William Lawson sold the 980,000 shares of Lawson Software stock on March 14 the basis of material, nonpublic information obtained from Richard Lawson earlier that day.
- 32. Amid his conversations with Richard Lawson and his broker on March 14, 2011, William Lawson called and spoke with a third party ("Party A") for about twenty minutes. William Lawson knew that Party A owned Lawson Software stock. During the call with Party A, William Lawson recommended that Party A sell shares of Lawson Software. William Lawson did not tell Party A that the recommendation was based on nonpublic information that he received from Richard Lawson.
- 33. Less than thirty minutes after speaking with William Lawson, Party A directed the sale of a combined 420,000 shares of Lawson Software stock from three different brokerage accounts under Party A's control at \$12.25 per share. The 420,000 shares constituted approximately 40 percent of Party A's family's total Lawson Software holdings.

COMPLAINT - 10 -

In April 2011, One Day Prior to the Merger Announcement, Richard Lawson Tips William Lawson and Cerullo, Who Both Sell Lawson Software Shares

- 34. By late April 2011, Lawson Software and Infor had resolved the final details of the merger agreement, and it became time for the Lawson Board to vote on the proposed acquisition. A vote by Lawson's Board was set for April 25, 2011.
- 35. During the morning of April 25, Richard Lawson spoke with Lawson Software's CEO and obtained additional material, nonpublic information concerning the status of the merger discussions and the scheduled Board vote. About eleven minutes after his conversation with the CEO concluded, Richard Lawson called William Lawson, and the two brothers spoke for more than thirty-two minutes over three separate telephone calls. During those conversations, Richard Lawson conveyed material, nonpublic information to his brother about the status of the Infor merger discussions and the scheduled Board vote.
- 36. Just two minutes after these conversations ended, William Lawson called his broker and directed the sale of another combined 241,045 shares from two accounts he controlled at prices ranging from \$12.13-\$12.23 per share. William Lawson sold these shares on the basis of material, nonpublic information received from Richard Lawson.
- 37. On April 25, Richard Lawson also conveyed nonpublic information to Cerullo concerning the status of the Infor merger discussions and the scheduled Board vote. Richard Lawson's tip came after Cerullo had learned of an Internet news report that morning concerning a draft press release, apparently inadvertently placed on Infor's web site, that prematurely announced a merger agreement with Lawson Software. The draft press release did not identify a merger price. Accordingly to the news report, the draft press release was no longer on Infor's web site and could not be authenticated. Without identifying a merger price, the draft press release would not have informed investors whether to buy or sell Lawson Software stock at its then-market price of more than \$12 per share. In contrast, investors who knew that Infor had

COMPLAINT - 11 -

offered only \$11.25 per share would have known to sell their shares at \$12 prior to any merger announcement.

- 38. Cerullo spoke with his broker about the draft press release during the morning of April 25. Instead of immediately placing a trade based on the news report, Cerullo told his broker that he would call him back with instructions. Later that morning, Cerullo spoke with Richard Lawson by telephone, a call that lasted nearly twenty minutes. During that conversation, Richard Lawson conveyed material, nonpublic information to Cerullo concerning the Board's vote on the merger, scheduled for later that same day.
- 39. After speaking with Richard Lawson, Cerullo called and instructed his broker to begin selling Lawson Software shares in amounts that would not cause the market price to decline. Cerullo sold 176,243 shares of Lawson Software stock at \$12.15 per share. Cerullo sold those shares on the basis of material, nonpublic information obtained from Richard Lawson about the upcoming Lawson Board merger vote.
- 40. On April 25, 2011, the Company's stock closed at \$12.125 per share. Later that day, Lawson's Board of Directors, including Richard Lawson, voted in favor of an acquisition by Infor and Golden Gate Capital at \$11.25 per share.
- 41. The following day, April 26, prior to the market opening, Lawson Software announced its merger agreement with Infor at \$11.25 per share. Following the announcement, the Company's stock price declined and closed at \$11.06 per share.

The Defendants' Knowing or Reckless Conduct

42. Lawson Software's policies and procedures prohibited its employees and Board members from unauthorized disclosures of material, nonpublic information and from trading in Lawson Software securities while in possession of such information. As a co-founder, former officer and then-current co-Chairman of Lawson Software, Richard Lawson knew or recklessly

COMPLAINT - 12 -

failed to know that the Company's policies and procedures prohibited insider trading and the disclosure of nonpublic information.

- 43. Richard Lawson owed a fiduciary or similar duty of trust and confidentiality to the Company and its shareholders, including the duty to keep nonpublic information concerning the Infor merger discussions confidential and not disclose or personally use this information. Richard Lawson knew or recklessly failed to know that information concerning Infor's offer and the resulting merger discussions and market check was confidential and that he was not permitted to disclose that information.
- 44. In each instance described above in which Richard Lawson conveyed material, nonpublic information to William Lawson or Cerullo (see ¶¶ 28-29, 35, 37-38, above), Richard Lawson knew, or recklessly failed to know, that providing such information constituted a violation of his duties to the Company and its shareholders. Richard Lawson also knew, or recklessly failed to know, that William Lawson and Cerullo would trade on the basis of the nonpublic information.
- 45. As co-founders and former employees of the Company, William Lawson and Cerullo knew, or recklessly failed to know, that Lawson Software policies and procedures prohibited employees and Board members from the unauthorized disclosure of material, nonpublic information and from trading in Lawson Software securities while in possession of such information. William Lawson and Cerullo also knew, or recklessly failed to know, that Richard Lawson was subject to these Company policies and procedures regarding the use of material, nonpublic information, and that any disclosure of such information by Richard Lawson would constitute a breach of his duties to the Company and its shareholders.
- 46. In each instance described above in which they received nonpublic information from Richard Lawson and then traded Lawson Software securities on the basis of such nonpublic

COMPLAINT - 13 -

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	
2	0
2	1
	2
	3
	4
2	5

27

28

information (see ¶¶ 28-31, 35-39, above), William Lawson and Cerullo knew, or recklessly failed to know, that such information was provided to them in breach of Richard Lawson's duties to the Company and its shareholders.

47. Richard Lawson intended to, and did, provide the nonpublic information as a gift to his brother and close friend; and the information conveyed a personal benefit on them.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder

- 48. Paragraphs 1 through 47 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.
- 49. By reason of the conduct described above, Defendants Richard Lawson, William Lawson, and John Cerullo, with scienter, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or of the mails, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, directly or indirectly:
 - (a) employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud;
 - (b) made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or
 - (c) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any persons, including purchases or sellers of the Company's securities.
- 50. By reason of the conduct described above, the Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] thereunder and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to do so.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

51. Paragraphs 1 through 50 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.

COMPLAINT

- 14 -

- 52. By reason of the conduct described above, Defendants Richard Lawson, William Lawson, and Cerullo, with scienter, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or of the mails, in the offer or sale of securities:
 - a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
 - b) obtained money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or;
 - (c) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would have operated as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
- 53. By reason of their actions alleged herein, Defendants Richard Lawson, William Lawson, and Cerullo violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to do so.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- A. Finding that Defendants Richard Lawson, William Lawson, and Cerullo violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws as alleged herein;
- B. Permanently enjoining each defendant from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] and Securities Act Section 17(a) [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)];
- C. Ordering each defendant to jointly and severally disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits or other ill-gotten gains received by any person or entity, including but not limited to all direct and indirect tippees, as a result of the actions alleged herein;
- D. Ordering each defendant to pay civil monetary penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1];

1	E. Prohibiting Defendant Richard Lawson from acting as an officer or director	of any
2	issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act of	r that is
3	required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, pursuant to Section 1	20(e)
4	of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § $77t(e)$] and Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C.	S.C. §
5	78u(d)(2)]; and	
6	F. Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropria	te.
7 8	T. Granting such other and rurther rener as the court deems just and appropria	
9	Dated: May 12, 2014 Respectfully submitted,	
10		
11	/S/ Michael S. Fuchs	
12	Michael S. Fuchs (D.C. Bar No. 43144 C. Joshua Felker	10)
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	
14	COMMISSION	
15	Of Counsel:	
16		
17	Securities and Exchange Commission	
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	COMPLAINT - 16 -	

COMPLAINT