	Case 5:14-cv-00995 Document 1 F	iled 05/19/14	Page 1 of 7	Page ID #:1
1	SCOTT W. FRIESTAD Email: friestads@sec.gov			
2	BRIAN O. OUINN			
3	Email: quinnb@sec.gov DANIEL A. WEINSTEIN Email: weinsteind@sec.gov			
4	II 100 F Street, N.E.			
5	Washington, DC 20549-5010 Telephone: (202) 551-4501 Facsimile: (202) 772-9231			
6	LOCAL COUNSEL:			
7	DAVID J. VAN HAVERMAAT, Cal. Bar. No. 175761 Email: vanhavermaatd@sec.gov			
8	Email: vanhavermaatd@sec.gov 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036			
9	Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
11	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14		1		
15	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No.		
16	COMMISSION,	COMPLA	AINT	
17	Plaintiff,			
18	VS.			
19	FRANKLIN M. CHU,			
20	Defendant.			
21		]		
22		//		
23	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") alleges:			
24	<u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>			
25	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(d),			
26	21(e), 21A, and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), 78u-1 & 78aa]			
27	and Sections 20(b), 20(d), and 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d), and 77v(a)]. Defendant, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or			
28	[//(d), and //v(a)]. Detendant, directly (	1	naue use of I	
		-		

instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange, in connection with the conduct alleged in this Complaint.

2. Venue is proper pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa] and Section 22(a) of the Securities [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] because certain of the transactions or acts constituting the violations occurred within the Central District of California.

## **SUMMARY OF THE ACTION**

3. This case involves unlawful insider trading by Franklin M. Chu, MD (the "Defendant" or "Chu") of San Bernardino Urological Associates Medical Group Inc., in the securities GTx Inc. ("GTx"), a biopharmaceutical company. Chu was a lead medical investigator in the clinical trials of Capesaris, a drug GTx developed for the treatment of prostate cancer. Pursuant to clinical trial agreements with GTx, Chu had a duty not to use confidential information about the Capesaris clinical trials for any purpose other than rendering services under the clinical trials agreements. On Friday February 17, 2012, Chu learned from GTx material, nonpublic information that the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") was placing a hold on the Capesaris clinical trials because of concerns of an increased risk of blood clots in patients participating in the clinical trials. Immediately after learning this confidential information, and in breach of his duty to GTx, Chu sold 16,000 shares of GTx stock that he held in three personal accounts, at an average sale price of \$5.82 per share. On the following Tuesday, February 21, 2012, after GTx publicly announced the FDA hold on the Capesaris clinical trials, the market price of GTx stock dropped over 36% and closed at \$3.69 per share. As a result of trading on material, nonpublic information about the FDA hold prior to the public announcement, Chu avoided trading losses of approximately \$34,081.

4. By engaging in the conduct alleged in this Complaint, Chu violated the
antifraud provisions of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §

240.10b-5], and Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]. The Commission seeks in this action a permanent injunction, disgorgement, and a civil penalty.

#### DEFENDANT

5. Franklin M. Chu, age 62, resides in Riverside, California. Chu is a medical doctor. Chu is a principal and president of Franklin M. Chu MD, Inc., a medical practice based in San Bernardino, California, which does business under the name San Bernardino Urological Associates Medical Group Inc. (hereinafter "SBUA").

## **RELATED ENTITY**

GTx Inc. ("GTx") is a biopharmaceutical company incorporated in 6 Delaware and based in Memphis, Tennessee. GTx's stock is registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l(b)], and 13 its shares trade on the NASDAQ. 14

## FACTS

Beginning in early 2011, GTx entered into a series of Clinical Trial 7. Agreements ("CTAs") with SBUA for the Phase II clinical trials of Capesaris, a drug GTx developed to treat advanced prostate cancer. The purpose of the clinical trials was to test the safety and efficacy of Capesaris in anticipation of GTx applying for FDA approval of the drug.

Chu was the lead investigator for the Capesaris clinical trials at SBUA. 21 8. Pursuant to the CTAs between GTx and SBUA, GTx paid compensation to SBUA for 22 each patient the medical practice enrolled in the study. The CTAs contained strict 23 confidentiality provisions. In particular, as a medical investigator for the Capesaris 24 clinical trials, Chu was prohibited from using confidential information about the 25 clinical trials for any purpose other than rendering services under the CTAs. 26

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

/// ///

9 At 10:15 a.m.<sup>1</sup> on Friday February 17, 2012, GTx executives had a teleconference with the FDA. This telephone conference followed reports by GTx to the FDA of an increased risk of blood clots in patients participating in the Capesaris clinical trials. During that call the FDA informed GTx that it was placing an immediate hold on the Capesaris clinical trials. After this call, GTx executives made plans to notify the doctors and medical practices participating in the clinical trials of the FDA hold so patients could be promptly taken off Capesaris. Working from a list, GTx split this responsibility among three GTx executives, the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Medical Officer, and the Clinical Trial Coordinator.

At approximately 2:54 p.m. that day, GTx's CEO left a voice mail on 10. 10 Chu's cell phone and requested that Chu call him back immediately. At 11 approximately 3:13 p.m., after finishing a surgery and while at the hospital in 12 13 Riverside, California, Chu listened to the CEO's voice mail and called him back. During that call, the GTx CEO informed Chu that the FDA had placed a hold on the 14 Capesaris clinical trials and that the company would issue a press release about the 15 hold on either February 20 or 21. Chu knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that this 16 was material and nonpublic information, and that he had a duty not to trade on it. 17

11. Immediately after learning this material, nonpublic information about the FDA hold on Capesaris clinical trials, Chu logged on to his brokerage accounts using an application on his smart phone and, in breach of his duty to GTx, sold 16,000 shares of GTx stock that he held across three separate accounts. Chu's sell orders were executed between 3:21 and 3:42 p.m. on February 17, 2012, at an average sale price of \$5.82 per share, for total proceeds of \$93,120.

12. The following Tuesday, February 21, 2012, GTx issued a press release prior to the opening of the market, announcing that the FDA had placed a hold on the

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As certain telephone calls, trading, and other events relevant to this Complaint occurred in different time zones, for simplicity all times referenced herein are to Eastern Standard Time.

Capesaris clinical trials. After this public announcement, which was the first trading day following Chu's February 17 sale of GTx stock, the market price of GTx stock 2 3 dropped over 36% and closed at \$3.69 per share. As a result of trading on material, nonpublic information ahead of the public announcement, Chu avoided trading losses 4 5 of approximately \$34,081.

1

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

26

27

28

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder

The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 8 13. 9 through 12 above.

Defendant, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or 10 14. indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by use of the means 11 or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national 12 securities exchange: 13

employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; a. made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state b.

- material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or
  - engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operate or c. would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any persons, including purchasers or sellers of the securities.

By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendant violated, and unless 22 15. enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 23 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. 24

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

16. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference in paragraphs 1 through 12 above.

Defendant, by engaging in the conduct described above, in the offer or 17. 1 2 sale of securities by use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly: 3 employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; 4 a. obtained money or property by means of an untrue statement of 5 b. material fact or an omission to state a material fact necessary in 6 order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances 7 under which they were made, not misleading; and/or 8 engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which 9 c. operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser. 10 11 18. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendant violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 12 77q(a)]. 13 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 14 WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter 15 16 **Final Judgment:** I. 17 Permanently restraining and enjoining Defendant from, directly or indirectly, 18 engaging in conduct in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 19 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and from engaging in 20 conduct in violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]; 21 II. 22 Ordering Defendant to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, ill-gotten gains 23 received as a result of the conduct alleged in this Complaint; 24 III. 25 Ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21A of the 26 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1]; and 27 28 ///

6

IV.

Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and necessary.

DATED: MAK (9, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

#### Securities and Exchange Commission

By its attorneys,

aniel A. Wanstein

SCOTT W. FRIESTAD Email: friestads@sec.gov BRIAN O. QUINN Email: quinnb@sec.gov DANIEL A. WEINSTEIN Email: weinstend@sec.gov 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549-5010 Phone: (202) 551-4962 Facsimile: (202) 772-9231

David J. Van Havermaat, Cal Bar No. 175761 Email: vanhavermaatd@sec.gov 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## **Complaints and Other Initiating Documents**

5:14-cv-00995 Securities and Exchange Commission v. Chu

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered by Van Havermaat, David on 5/19/2014 at 7:29 AM PDT andfiled on 5/19/2014Securities and Exchange Commission v. ChuCase Name:Securities and Exchange Commission v. ChuCase Number:5:14-cv-00995Filer:Securities and Exchange CommissionDocument Number:1

#### Docket Text: COMPLAINT No Fee Required - US Government, filed by Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission. (Attorney David J Van Havermaat added to party Securities and Exchange Commission(pty:pla))(Van Havermaat, David)

#### 5:14-cv-00995 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

David J Van Havermaat vanhavermaatd@sec.gov, berryj@sec.gov, cavallones@sec.gov, irwinma@sec.gov, LAROFiling@sec.gov

# 5:14-cv-00995 Notice has been delivered by First Class U. S. Mail or by other means <u>BY THE</u> <u>FILER</u> to :

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document Original filename:F:\marcelom\Chu\For Filing\Chu Complaint.pdf Electronic document Stamp: [STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=5/19/2014] [FileNumber=17504190-0 ] [6f0bb15f76be440ee8ff1d92f5179516da4e3a9b77ebe2f4c2523bcc162e298b8e1 438d31b2f82d816bfd079b9deca60cefb16e1a0acc5b5e0a420aa1c693e10]]