	Case 2:12-cv-02214-MEA Document 1 Filed 10/16/12 Page 1 of 15
1	Thomas J. Krysa (Colo. Attorney Reg. No. 28440) Danielle R. Voorhees (Colo. Attorney Reg. No. 35929)
2	1801 California Street, Suite 1500 Denver, CO 80202
4	(303) 844-1000 krysat@sec.gov
5	voorheesd@sec.gov
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
10	Securities and Exchange Commission, ) No.
11	) Plaintiff, )
12	vs. )
13	)COMPLAINTThe Investors Registry, LLC and)
14	) Michael J. Southworth,
15 16	)
10	Defendants. )
18	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for its Complaint against
19	
20	defendants Michael J. Southworth ("Southworth") and The Investors Registry, LLC
21	("TIR") (collectively, "Defendants") alleges as follows:
22	I. <u>SUMMARY OF THE ACTION</u>
23	1. Southworth oversaw the sale of at least 650 memberships in TIR, which he
24	marketed as an "exclusive investment community" where members would be provided
25	
26	with opportunities to invest in "pre-IPO" companies. Southworth represented that TIR
27	researched thousands of early stage companies, and culled them down to only a handful
28	

of promising issuers that TIR profiled as investment opportunities.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

1

2

2.

five issuers by sending emails to TIR members touting the companies, and by posting
their respective offering and subscription materials on TIR's website. Southworth and
TIR also helped certain issuers structure certain terms of their offerings to TIR members.
Throughout the time period of this conduct, Southworth and TIR were not registered with
the SEC as required by the broker-dealer provisions of the federal securities laws.

From at least December 2007 through March 2011, Southworth profiled

Further, while Southworth contracted for and received cash and stock from the issuers in
exchange for touting and acting as a broker in recommending and selling their securities,
he failed to fully and adequately disclose this consideration to TIR members. Southworth
received approximately \$217,755 in cash and proceeds from the sale of stock in exchange
for his illicit activities.

16 3. By engaging in the conduct alleged herein, Southworth has violated, and 17 unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate, the anti-touting provision of the 18 19 federal securities laws, Section 17(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 20 U.S.C. § 77q(b)]. Southworth and TIR also have violated, and unless restrained and 21 enjoined, will continue to violate, the broker-dealer registration provision of the federal 22 23 securities laws, Section 15(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") 24 [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)(1)].

25 26

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The SEC brings this action pursuant to authority conferred on it by Section
 20(b) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)] and Sections 21(d) and 21(e) of the

2

Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u(e)] to restrain and enjoin the Defendants 1 2 from engaging in the acts, practices, and courses of business described in this Complaint 3 and acts, practices, and courses of business of similar purport and object. The SEC seeks 4 permanent injunctions and penny stock bars against Southworth and TIR, as well as 5 6 disgorgement of ill-gotten gains derived from the conduct alleged in the Complaint plus 7 prejudgment interest thereon from Southworth. 8 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the 9 10 Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)], and Sections 21(e), and 27 of the Exchange Act 11 [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(e) and 78aa]. 12 6. Defendants, directly and indirectly, singly or in concert, made use of the 13 means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the means and instruments of 14 15 transportation and communication in interstate commerce, or of the mails in connection 16 with the acts, practices and courses of conduct alleged in this Complaint, certain of which 17 occurred within the District of Arizona. 18 19 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act 20 [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa], because 21 Southworth resides in Mesa, Arizona and, during the time period alleged in the 22 23 Complaint, TIR was an Arizona limited liability company with its principal place of 24 business in Mesa, Arizona, and many of the transactions, acts, practices and course of 25 business constituting the violations of law alleged herein occurred within this judicial 26 district. 27 28

1	III. <u>DEFENDANTS</u>
2	8. <b>Southworth</b> , age 44, is a resident of Mesa, Arizona. Southworth is the
3	founder, majority owner and managing member of TIR. Southworth is also the founder,
5	sole owner and managing member of Rising Market Group, LLC and Extreme Motorcar
6	Marketing, LLC. Southworth has never held any securities licenses or been registered
7	with the SEC in any capacity.
8 9	9. <b>TIR</b> was, throughout the time period alleged in the Complaint, an Arizona
10	limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona. TIR has
11	never been registered with the SEC in any capacity.
12	IV. <u>RELATED PARTIES</u>
13	
14	A. <u>Additional Entities Owned and Controlled by Southworth</u>
15	10. <b>Rising Market Group, LLC ("Rising Market")</b> is an Arizona limited
16	liability company with its principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona. Southworth is
1/	
17 18	Rising Market's sole and managing member. Rising Market has never been registered
	Rising Market's sole and managing member. Rising Market has never been registered with the SEC in any capacity.
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	with the SEC in any capacity.
18 19 20	with the SEC in any capacity. 11. Extreme Motorcar Marketing, LLC ("Extreme Motorcar") is an
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>with the SEC in any capacity.</li> <li>11. Extreme Motorcar Marketing, LLC ("Extreme Motorcar") is an</li> <li>Arizona limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>with the SEC in any capacity.</li> <li>11. Extreme Motorcar Marketing, LLC ("Extreme Motorcar") is an</li> <li>Arizona limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona.</li> <li>Southworth is Extreme Motorcar's sole and managing member. Extreme Motorcar has</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>with the SEC in any capacity.</li> <li>11. Extreme Motorcar Marketing, LLC ("Extreme Motorcar") is an</li> <li>Arizona limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona.</li> <li>Southworth is Extreme Motorcar's sole and managing member. Extreme Motorcar has</li> <li>never been registered with the SEC in any capacity.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>with the SEC in any capacity.</li> <li>11. Extreme Motorcar Marketing, LLC ("Extreme Motorcar") is an</li> <li>Arizona limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mesa, Arizona.</li> <li>Southworth is Extreme Motorcar's sole and managing member. Extreme Motorcar has</li> <li>never been registered with the SEC in any capacity.</li> <li>12. Rising Market and Extreme Motorcar are collectively referred to as the</li> </ul>

#### Case 2:12-cv-02214-MEA Document 1 Filed 10/16/12 Page 5 of 15

1

В.

### **Issuers Profiled By TIR**

2 13. Friendly Auto Dealers, Inc. ("Friendly Auto") (now known as 3 Therapeutic Solutions International, Inc.) is a Nevada corporation headquartered in 4 Oceanside, California. Friendly Auto's securities are registered with the SEC pursuant to 5 Section 12(g) of the Securities Act, and are quoted on OTC Link (formally, "Pink 6 7 Sheets"), operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc. ("OTC Link"). Throughout the time 8 period alleged in the Complaint, Friendly Auto was a "penny stock" as defined by the 9 Exchange Act and rules thereunder. 10 11 Solar Energy Initiatives, Inc. ("Solar Energy") is a Delaware 14. 12 corporation, headquartered in Kingstree, South Carolina. Solar Energy's securities are 13 not registered with the SEC, and are quoted on OTC Link. Throughout the time period 14 15 alleged in the Complaint, Solar Energy was a "penny stock" as defined by the Exchange 16 Act and rules thereunder. 17 15. China Yongxin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("China Yongxin") is a Delaware 18 corporation, headquartered in City of Industry, California. China Yongxin's securities 19 20 are registered with the SEC pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Securities Act and are quoted 21 on OTC Link. 22 16. Freeze Tag, Inc. ("Freeze Tag") is a Delaware corporation, headquartered 23 24 in Tustin, California. Freeze Tag's securities are registered with the SEC pursuant to

Section 12(g) of the Securities Act and are quoted on OTC Link. Throughout the time

27 period alleged in the Complaint, Freeze Tag was a "penny stock" as defined by the

28 Exchange Act and rules thereunder.

17. **AllDigital Holdings, Inc. ("AllDigital")** f/k/a Aftermarket Enterprises, 1 2 Inc. is a Nevada corporation, headquartered in Irvine, California. AllDigital's securities 3 are not registered with the SEC and are quoted on OTC Link. Throughout the time 4 period alleged in the Complaint, AllDigital was a "penny stock" as defined by the 5 6 Exchange Act and rules thereunder. 7 V. **FACTS** 8 **TIR Overview** A. 9 18. Since 2007, Southworth has overseen the sale of hundreds of memberships 10 11 in TIR, which he marketed as an "exclusive investment community" where members 12 would be provided with opportunities to invest in "pre-IPO" companies that were 13 reviewed, screened and "approved for submittal to TIR members," in a process that 14 15 Southworth and TIR referred to as "profiling." 16 19. According to the website TIR utilized during the time period alleged in the 17 Complaint, www.theinvestorsregistry.com, "TIR identifies private and public companies 18 that are poised for exponential growth, conducts due diligence on these prospects, then 19 20 assists the companies in structuring their investment offerings for formal submission to 21 its membership." TIR's marketing materials asserted that it reviewed thousands of 22 investment opportunities before selecting and "screening" companies to profile. 23 24 20. Between December 2007 and March 2011, TIR profiled Friendly Auto, 25 Solar Energy, China Yongxin, Freeze Tag, and AllDigital (collectively, "Issuers"). 26 21. Southworth, through TIR, established and controlled the website 27 28 www.theinvestorsregistry.com, which included a password-protected, members-only

### Case 2:12-cv-02214-MEA Document 1 Filed 10/16/12 Page 7 of 15

section (the "Members Website"). The Members Website became the primary vehicle 1 2 for profiling the Issuers. The Members Website contained webpages for profiled Issuers, 3 which generally included: (a) offering materials (including private placement 4 memoranda and subscription agreements); (b) contact information for the issuer or its 5 6 investors relations group; and (c) updates for each issuer, which consist of either copies 7 of publically released press releases, or updates provided directly to TIR by the issuer. 8 22. Southworth also profiled certain Issuers by sending mass emails to TIR 9 members. These emails touted the relevant issuer, and directed TIR members to the 10 11 Members' Website to review the issuer's offering materials and to complete and submit 12 subscription materials. 13 23. Southworth, either directly or through one or more Southworth Entities, 14 15 made arrangements to obtain compensation from the Issuers in exchange for touting their 16 securities and soliciting and raising funds from TIR members. 17 24. Beginning in 2008, Southworth began having the Issuers enter into a 18 19 standardized agreement with him, or his entity, Rising Market Group. Pursuant to the 20 written agreements, the compensation paid to Southworth or Rising Market Group was 21 expressly conditioned upon the Issuers' success in raising a certain amount of funds. 22 25. Southworth was also actively engaged in the process of structuring certain 23 24 Issuers' securities offerings to TIR members. Southworth negotiated with Issuers 25 regarding, among other things: the price of their stock being offered to TIR members; the 26 size of the issuers' offering to TIR members; the minimum and maximum investment 27 28 amounts required and permitted from TIR investors; and in some cases, the termination

7

### Case 2:12-cv-02214-MEA Document 1 Filed 10/16/12 Page 8 of 15

dates of the securities offerings.

2

1

### B. <u>The Profiling of Friendly Auto Dealers, Inc.</u>

3 26. Beginning in December 2007, Southworth touted Friendly Auto and, 4 through TIR, solicited investors and recommended its securities by causing its December 5 6 10, 2007 prospectus and subscription agreement to be posted on the Members' Website. 7 27. Southworth was also involved in setting the price of Friendly Auto's stock 8 offered to TIR members, and setting the maximum investment for TIR members. 9 28. In exchange for touting, soliciting TIR members to invest, advising TIR 10 11 members of the merits of the investment, and helping to structure certain terms of 12 Friendly Auto's securities offering, Southworth, personally or through one or more 13 Southworth Entities, was issued shares in Friendly Auto. 14 15 29. Southworth did not fully and adequately disclose the fact that he, or any of 16 his affiliated entities, might receive consideration for profiling Friendly Auto to TIR 17 members, including the amount of such consideration. 18 19 **C**. The Profiling of Solar Energy Initiatives, Inc. 20 30. Beginning in approximately April 2009, Southworth touted Solar Energy 21 and, through TIR, solicited investors and recommended its securities by causing its April 22 23 3, 2009 private placement memorandum and other investment materials to be posted on 24 the Members' Website. 25 31. Southworth also drafted and caused an email to be sent to the to the TIR 26 members, which touted Solar Energy. 27 28 In exchange for the touting, soliciting TIR members to invest and advising 32.

TIR members of the merits of the investment, Southworth, personally or through one or more Southworth Entities, received cash and cashless options in Solar Energy.

33. Southworth did not fully and adequately disclose the fact that he, or any of his affiliated entities, would receive consideration for profiling Solar Energy to TIR members, including the amount of such consideration.

7 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

# D. <u>The Profiling of China Yongxin Pharmaceutical, Inc.</u>

9 34. Beginning in approximately August 2009, Southworth touted China
 10 Yongxin and, through TIR, solicited investors and recommended its securities by causing
 11 its August 3, 2009 private placement memorandum and other investment materials to be
 12 posted on the Members' Website.

- 14 35. Southworth also caused an email to be sent to TIR members, which touted
  15 China Yongxin.
- 36. Southworth and TIR also entered into a term sheet with China Yongxin,
  which dictated the terms of China Yongxin's private placement, including the offering
  minimum and maximum, the price and the use of proceeds.

37. In exchange for the touting, soliciting TIR members to invest, advising TIR
members of the merits of the investment, and helping to structure certain terms of China
Yongxin's securities offering, Southworth, personally or through one or more Southworth
Entities, received cash and shares in China Yongxin.

38. Southworth did not fully and adequately disclose the fact that he, or any of
his affiliated entities, might receive consideration for profiling China Yongxin to TIR
members, including the amount of such consideration.

### Case 2:12-cv-02214-MEA Document 1 Filed 10/16/12 Page 10 of 15

1

## E. <u>The Profiling of Freeze Tag, Inc.</u>

2 39. Beginning in approximately November 2009, Southworth touted Freeze 3 Tag and, through TIR, solicited investors and recommended its securities by causing its 4 November 2, 2009 private placement memorandum and other investment materials to be 5 6 posted on the Members' Website. 7 40. Southworth also caused an email to be sent to the to the TIR members, 8 which touted Freeze Tag. 9 41. TIR also entered into a Term Sheet with Freeze Tag, which dictated the 10 11 terms of Freeze Tag's private placement, including the offering minimum and maximum, 12 the price and the use of proceeds. 13 42. In exchange for the touting, soliciting TIR members to invest, advising TIR 14 15 members of the merits of the investment, and helping to structure certain terms of Freeze 16 Tag's securities offering, Southworth, personally or through one or more Southworth 17 Entities, received cash and shares in Freeze Tag. 18 19 43. Southworth did not fully and adequately disclose the fact that he, or any of 20 his affiliated entities, would receive consideration for profiling Freeze Tag to TIR 21 members, including the amount of such consideration. 22 23 F. The Profiling of AllDigital Holdings, Inc. 24 44. Beginning in approximately January 2011, Southworth touted AllDigital 25 and, through TIR, solicited investors and recommended its securities by causing its 26 predecessors' December 29, 2010 confidential disclosure statement and information 27 28 memorandum, and other investment materials, to be posted on the Members' Website.

10

1	45. Southworth also caused an email to be sent to the to the TIR members,
2	which touted AllDigital.
3	46. In exchange for the touting, soliciting TIR members to invest and advising
5	TIR members of the merits of the investment, Southworth, personally or through one or
6	more Southworth Entities, received shares and warrants of AllDigital.
7	47. Southworth did not fully and adequately disclose the fact that he, or any of
8 9	his affiliated entities, might receive consideration for profiling AllDigital to the TIR
10	members, including the amount of such consideration.
11	G. Southworth and TIR Acted As Unregistered Brokers
12 13	48. Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act prohibits a broker or dealer from
14	using jurisdictional means such as the telephone or mails to effect transactions in
15	securities unless the broker or dealer is registered with the SEC. Section 3(a) (4) of the
16 17	Exchange Act defines a "broker" as any person who is engaged in the business of
17	effecting transactions in securities for the account of others.
19	49. Southworth and TIR used the telephone or mails to actively solicit investors
20	to purchase interests in the Issuers, and they thereby effected purchases and sales of
21 22	securities for the accounts of the others.
23	50. Southworth and TIR have not been registered as brokers with the SEC or
24	affiliated with a broker-dealer registered with the SEC during the time in which they sold
25 26	interests in the securities of the Issuers.
27	51. Southworth and TIR solicited investors by sending introductory emails
28	regarding the Issuers to TIR members and by posting the Issuers' offering materials on

the TIR Members' Website. Southworth and TIR advised investors regarding the merits of investing in the profiled Issuers by representing to TIR members that the Issuers were good investment opportunities that had been culled for profiling from thousands of prescreened early stage companies.

6 52. Southworth and TIR regularly participated in securities transactions at key 7 points in the chain of distribution by providing TIR members with the Issuers' offering 8 materials, as well as negotiating with certain Issuers: the size of the issuers' offering to 9 10 TIR members; the minimum and maximum investment amount for TIR investors; and in 11 some cases, the price of their stock being offered to TIR members and the termination 12 dates of the offerings. With respect to China Yongxin and Freeze Tag, Southworth and 13 TIR also entered into term sheets which set the terms of the offerings, including the share 14 15 price, conditions precedent and use of proceeds.

16
17
18
16
16
17
18
18
18
18
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
<

19 54. Through these activities, Southworth and TIR acted as unregistered
20 brokers.

21 22

1

2

3

4

5

# H. <u>Southworth Unlawfully Touted the Securities of the Issuers</u>

55. Section 17(b) of the Securities Act prohibits the practice of using interstate
commerce or the mails to publish, give publicity to, or circulate any notice, circular,
advertisement, newspaper, article, letter, investment service or communication which,
though not purporting to offer a security for sale, describes such security for a
consideration received or to be received, directly or indirectly, from an issuer,

1	underwriter or dealer, without fully disclosing the receipt, whether past or prospective, of
2	such consideration and the amount thereof.
3	56. Southworth used interstate commerce or the mails to publish and/or give
5	publicity to various communications regarding the securities of the Issuers on the TIR
6	Members' Website, and by circulating emails to TIR members regarding the Issuers.
7 8	57. Southworth received consideration for these activities in the form of cash
9	and/or stock from the Issuers, but he failed to provide full and adequate disclosure of the
10	consideration he received from the touted Issuers.
11	58. Through these activities, Southworth unlawfully touted the securities of the
12	Issuers.
13	
14	VI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
14	VI. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>
14 15 16	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT
15 16 17	COUNT I
15 16	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth)
15 16 17 18	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth) 59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth) 59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth) 59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 60. Southworth, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth) 59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 60. Southworth, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails, published, gave
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth) 59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 60. Southworth, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails, published, gave publicity to, or circulated communications that, though not purporting to offer securities
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth) 59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 60. Southworth, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails, published, gave publicity to, or circulated communications that, though not purporting to offer securities for sale, described certain securities.
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	COUNT I         FOUNT IS COUNT IS         DESCRIPTION OF SECTION 17(b) OF THE SECURITES ACT (Defendant Southworth)         59. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.         60. Southworth, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails, published, gave publicity to, or circulated communications that, though not purporting to offer securities for sale, described certain securities.         61. Southworth received and was to receive consideration for such activities

1	62. By reason of the foregoing, Southworth, directly or indirectly, has violated
2	and, unless enjoyed, will continue to violate, Section 17(b) of the Securities Act [15
3	U.S.C. § 77q(b)].
4	$0.5.c. \ g \ 77q(0)$ ].
5	COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 15(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT
6	(Defendants Southworth and TIR)
7	63. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 are incorporated as if fully set
8	forth herein.
9	
10	64. Defendants Southworth and TIR made use of the mails or means or
11	instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in or to induce or attempt
12	to induce the purchase or sale of a security without being registered in accordance with
13	Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.
14	
15	65. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Southworth and
16	TIR violated Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act by acting as unregistered broker-
17	dealers in connection with their offer and sale of securities as described in this
18 19	Complaint.
20	
20	66. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Southworth and TIR violated, and
21	unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C.
23	§ 78o(a)(1)].
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	Case 2:12-cv-02214-MEA Document 1 Filed 10/16/12 Page 15 of 15
1	VII. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>
2	WHEREFORE, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court:
3	I.
4	Find that the Defendants committed the violations alleged
5	Find that the Defendants committed the violations alleged.
6	II.
7	Enter an Order of Permanent Injunction as to the Defendants, in a form consistent
8 9	with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, enjoining them from further
10	violations of the provisions of law and rules alleged against them in this Complaint.
11	III.
12 13	Order Southworth to disgorge and pay over, as the Court may direct, all ill-gotten
13	gains received, or benefits in any form derived, from the conduct alleged in this
15	Complaint, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law.
16	IV.
17	Order that the Defendants be barred, for a period of three (3) years, from
18	
19	participating in any offering of penny stock.
20	V.
21 22	Grant other relief as this Court may deem just or appropriate.
22	DATED this 16th day of October, 2012
24	Respectfully submitted,
25	
26	<u>s/Thomas J. Krysa</u> Thomas J. Krysa
27	Danielle R. Voorhees Attorneys for Plaintiff
28	Securities and Exchange Commission