27 l

FILED LODGED	FILEDLODGEDCOPY
RECEIVED COPY	JUN 1 4 2000
JUN 0 7 2000 CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAIZONA DEPUTY
DISTRICT OF ARIZONALITY	BY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTHWEST INCOME TRUST, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CIV97-0953 PHX RCB

FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISGORGEMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT STEVEN ROBERT SHOOP

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), having filed and served upon Defendant Steven Robert Shoop ("Shoop"), a Summons and Complaint in this matter, and the Court having entered on or about July 23, 1997, a Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief Against Defendant Steven Robert Shoop ("Judgment of Permanent Injunction"), which remains in full force and effect, enjoining Shoop from further violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. Sections 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)], Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C.

Section 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. Section 240.10b-5], to which Shoop consented, without admitting or denying the allegations of the Commission's Complaint, and that Judgment of Permanent Injunction having reserved the issue of the amount of disgorgement and penalties owed by Shoop;

Further, Shoop having admitted service of the Summons and Complaint in this action and the jurisdiction of this Court over him and over the subject matter of this action; having been fully advised and informed of his right to a judicial determination of this matter; having waived the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law as provided by Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment of Disgorgement Against Defendant Steven Robert Shoop

("Final Judgment of Disgorgement"), without admitting or denying the allegations in the Complaint, except as specifically set forth in the Consent of Defendant Steven Robert Shoop to Entry of Final Judgment of Disgorgement ("Consent"); and it appearing that no notice of hearing upon the entry of this Final Judgment of Disgorgement being necessary; and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and there being no just reason for delay:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Shoop shall pay the amount of \$733,181.17, representing his ill-gotten gains from the conduct alleged in the Complaint, plus prejudgment interest in the amount of \$65,030.53, for a total of \$798,211.70. Based upon Shoop's sworn representations in his financial statement that was completed in or about October 1999, payment of all but \$115,203.57 (plus accrued interest) of the disgorgement and prejudgment interest

2 -

1/4

thereon is waived. This waiver is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of his financial statement and declaration under penalty of perjury. If at any time following the entry of the Final Judgment of Disgorgement the Commission obtains information indicating Shoop's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Shoop, petition the Court for an order modifying the Final Judgment of Disgorgement to require other payment of disgorgement, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest thereon. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Shoop was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move the Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Shoop to pay funds or assets, directing the surrender of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of the Final Judgment of Disgorgement, and the Commission may also request additional discovery. Shoop may not, by way of defense to such petition, challenge the validity of this Consent or the Final Judgment of Disgorgement, contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission, the amount of disgorgement and interest, or assert that disgorgement should not be ordered.

3

26 | *

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 | * *

28 *

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court is not imposing a civil penalty under the Securities and Enforcement Remedies and Penny Stock Reform Act of 1990, pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. Section 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. Section 78u(d)(3)]. The determination not to impose a civil penalty is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of Shoop's sworn representations in the financial statement concerning his assets, income, liabilities, and net worth. Shoop further consents that if at any time following the entry of the Final Judgment of Disgorgement the Commission obtains information indicating that Shoop's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect at the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Shoop, petition the Court for an order requiring Shoop to pay a In connection with any such petition, the only issue civil penalty. shall be whether the financial information provided by Shoop was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, and the amount of civil penalty to be imposed. In any such petition, the Commission may move the Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Shoop to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of the Court's Final Judgment of Disgorgement, and the Commission may also request additional discovery. Shoop may not, by way of defense to such petition, challenge the validity of the

Consent or the Final Judgment of Disgorgement, contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission, the amount of disgorgement and interest, or assert that disgorgement or payment of a civil penalty should not be ordered.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the disgorgement amount to be paid by Shoop shall be satisfied within 30 days from the date the Final Judgment of Disgorgement is entered by the transfer of the funds previously deposited in the Court Registry Account in the sum of \$115,203.57, plus accrued interest, to Jack G. Larsen ("Receiver"), in his capacity as Receiver for defendants Southwest Income Trust, Advantage Income Trust, and Investors Trading Trust ("Trust Defendants") in the litigation pending in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, County of Maricopa, entitled State of Arizona, et al. v. Southwest Income Trust, et al., Case No. CV97-08220. Mr. Larsen was appointed the Receiver for the Trust Defendants in that litigation by an Order issued by the Honorable B. Michael Dann on or about December 5, 1997. Mr. Larsen was appointed the Receiver for the purposes of monitoring the Trust Defendants involvement and interests in that Arizona state court action, the related action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, entitled Palo Verde 136, Inc., et al. v. Anthony Ghirardello Marriott, et al., Case No. BC165841, and this action brought by the Securities and Exchange Commission; directing and monitoring the pursuit of other claims on behalf of the Trust Defendants against certain individuals and entities for investors' monies lost due to negligence and/or other misconduct; 28 and providing an accounting of any monies obtained for the investors

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

through settlement, arbitration, judgment or otherwise.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all monies that Shoop consented to transfer shall be transmitted to the Receiver, Jack G. Larsen, CPA, CFE, Cleveland & Company, P.C., 3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 1490, Phoenix, Arizona 85012-9984, under cover of a letter that identifies Shoop, the caption and case number of this action, and the name of this Court. Copies of all such transfer documents and accompanying cover letter shall be simultaneously transmitted to Aimee Dominguez Silvers of the Commission, at 5670 Wilshire Blvd., 11th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90036. Shoop agrees to cooperate in the completion of all additional actions that may be necessary to give full force and effect to the terms of this Consent. At such time as said funds and property are transmitted to the Receiver, Shoop relinquishes all legal and equitable right, title and interest in the funds, and no part of said funds shall be returned to Shoop or his successors or assigns.

IV.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that at any time following the entry of the Final Judgment of Disgorgement the Commission obtains information indicating that Shoop's representations to the Commission regarding disgorgement for the amount he gained was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Shoop, petition this Court to vacate any portion of the Final Judgment of Disgorgement. In

connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the disgorgement amount agreed to by Shoop was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Shoop to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of the Final Judgment of Disgorgement, and the Commission may also request additional discovery. Shoop may not, by way of defense to such petition, challenge the validity of the Consent or this Final Judgment of Disgorgement, contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission, the amount of disgorgement and interest, or assert that disgorgement or the payment of a civil penalty should not be ordered.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Sections I and II of the Temporary Restraining Order: (1) Freezing Assets and (2) For an Accounting; And for Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Be Granted Thereon Against Defendants Anthony Ghirardello Marriott and Steven Robert Shoop, entered by the Court on or about May 5, 1997, and subsequent orders dated May 16 and June 4, 1997 and judgment dated July 16, 1997, extending these sections of the temporary restraining order against Shoop, shall be vacated.

24 | **

25 **

26 *

27 | *

- ' |

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the provisions of the Consent filed concurrently with the Final Judgment of Disgorgement are incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein and that Shoop shall comply with this Consent.

VIII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action for all purposes including determining the liability of any remaining defendants in this action, implementing and enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment of Disgorgement and all other orders and decrees which have been and may be entered herein, to resolve the Commission's pending claims for disgorgement, prejudgment interest and civil penalties as appropriate, to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court, and to grant such other relief as the Court may deem necessary and just.

* .* * * * *

There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to enter this Judgment forthwith.

United States District Judge