

FINAL ORDER-THIS PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON AUGUST 26, 2024, AS TO CLAIMANT 2 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-10(f) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of Covered Action [REDACTED]
Reference No: 08262024

[REDACTED]

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATIONS OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW STAFF

In response to the above-referenced Notice of Covered Action, the Securities and Exchange Commission received whistleblower award claims from [REDACTED] (“Claimant 1”) and [REDACTED] (“Claimant 2”) for the above-referenced Covered Action. Pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 21F-10 promulgated thereunder, the Claims Review Staff has evaluated the claims in accordance with the criteria set forth in Rules 21F-1 through 21F-18. The Claims Review Staff sets forth its Preliminary Determinations as follows:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**FINAL ORDER-THIS PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER
OF THE COMMISSION ON AUGUST 26, 2024, AS TO CLAIMANT 2
PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-10(f) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Notice of Covered Action [REDACTED]
Reference No: 08262024

[REDACTED]

Page 2

Claimant 2

The Claims Review Staff has preliminarily determined to recommend that the Commission deny an award to Claimant 2.

Claimant 2 did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of the Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(3) and 21F-4(c) thereunder because any information Claimant 2 provided did not: (1) cause the Commission to (i) commence an examination, (ii) open or reopen an investigation, or (iii) inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation under Rule 21F-4(c)(1) of the Exchange Act; or (2) significantly contribute to the success of a Commission judicial or administrative enforcement action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act.

In reaching this preliminary determination, we note that the record reflects that the investigation that resulted in the Covered Action was opened based on information provided by Claimant 1. Claimant 2's information also did not cause staff to inquire into different conduct or significantly contribute to the successful enforcement of the Covered Action, as Enforcement staff responsible for the Covered Action did not receive or review information from Claimant 2 and did not have any communications with Claimant 2. Further, the tip upon which Claimant 2 bases his/her award claim is unrelated to the conduct alleged in the Covered Action.

By: Claims Review Staff

Date: August 22, 2024