ORIGINAL FILED

Mitchell E. Herr District of Columbia Bar No. 395078	MAY 3 1 2000	
Ivan Harris		
Florida Bar No. 0085405	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission	Labory CLERK	
1401 Brickell Avenue, Suite 200	VACOUT CLERK	
Miami, Florida 33131		
Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO	OURT	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA	
(SACRAMENTO DIVISION)	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	CASE NO.	
)	
Plaintiff, CIV. S-	-0,0 - 119 8 WBS GGH	
v.) COMPLAINT FOR	
•) INJUNCTIVE AND	
CLAUDE COSSU,) <u>OTHER RELIEF</u>	
Defendant,)	
Plaintiff, Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC")	alleges as follows:	
INTRODUCTION		
1. The SEC brings this action to enjoin Defendant Claud	de Cossu, an insurance salesman	
and former securities broker residing in Vacaville, California, fr	om violating the federal	
securities laws in connection with his fraudulent sale of unregistered securities issued by		
Sebastian International Enterprises, Inc. ("SIE"). The unregistered securities issued by SIE		
purported to be high-interest bearing promissory notes (the "notes"). From 1998 to August,		
1999, Defendant Cossu used material misrepresentations and omissions to sell the unregistered		
SIE notes to dozens of unsophisticated investors. Among other things, Cossu knew, or was		

reckless in not knowing, that SIE was engaged in a massive Ponzi-like scheme. Cossu sold at least \$2.5 million of the unregistered SIE securities.

DEFENDANT

2. <u>Claude Cossu</u>, age 63, resides in Vacaville, Solano County, California. From October 1, 1997 to November 23, 1999, Cossu was a registered representative of a broker-dealer registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act. Cossu holds Series 6 and Series 7 licenses from the National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD"). Between May 1998 and August 19, 1999, Cossu sold 30 SIE notes totaling \$2,515,774, and earned approximately \$397,000 in commissions.

RELATED PARTY

3. <u>Sebastian International Enterprises, Inc.</u> was incorporated in the State of Nevada in 1978. From at least July, 1997 to August 19, 1999, SIE sold approximately \$17.7 million worth of SIE notes to over 400 investors nationwide. SIE told the investors that it would use the proceeds from the note sales to create, produce and distribute a children's television program called Real Life 101.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

4. On August 19, 1999, the SEC filed a Complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida against, among others, SIE and its two owners, Ferdinand Ben Sebastian, III and Jan Sebastian. Securities and Exchange Commission v. Sebastian International Enterprises, Inc., et al., No. 99-1053-CIV-ORL-18A (hereinafter, "SEC v. Sebastian"). In SEC v. Sebastian, the SEC requested, and the Court granted, temporary and preliminary injunctive

relief against all of the defendants in that case, froze their assets, and appointed a Receiver over SIE and several of its related companies. The litigation in <u>SEC v. Sebastian</u> is pending.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d) and 77v(a), and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e) and 78aa.
- 6. Defendants, directly and indirectly, have made use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce, and the mails, in connection with the acts, practices, and courses of business complained of herein.

THE SIE NOTE OFFERING

- 7. SIE purportedly sought to capitalize on a 1997 ruling by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") that all television networks carry three hours of educational children's television programming every week. According to a brochure sent by SIE to its investors, the company claimed that it had developed a television program, "Real Life 101," that would enable television networks to satisfy the FCC rule. Each Real Life 101 episode purportedly featured profiles of individuals performing their jobs. SIE intended to distribute Real Life 101 to stations around the country, and to sell advertising during the program.
- 8. The offering materials created by SIE in connection with the note offering stated, among other things, that the company was selling "high interest promissory notes" in order to

"fund the company's business." The notes purported to pay interest on the principal amount of the note of 11.25% over a nine-month term, and could be renewed an unlimited number of times.

- 9. The offering materials promised that "100% of [the investor's] money goes to work for [the investor]" on the day it is received by SIE, and that SIE will use the revenue generated by Real Life 101 to pay the interest and principal on the notes. The materials further stated that investors were not charged any "front or back end loads or management fees" when they purchased or sold a note. SIE also claimed that the notes were fully bonded and insured by an off-shore insurance company, New England International Surety Co. ("New England").
- 10. Between at least July, 1997 and August 19, 1999, SIE raised at least \$17.7 million from the sale of SIE notes to approximately 416 investors in 18 states.
- 11. SIE sold the notes through a network of marketing firms and insurance sales agents.

 The marketing firms, located throughout the country, recruited local sales agents to solicit investors in their area to purchase SIE's notes. The sales agents were typically insurance brokers, financial advisors, and registered representatives of broker-dealers.
- 12. For each note they sold, the sales agents received commissions from SIE that were not disclosed to investors.
- 13. No registration statements were ever filed with the SEC or were otherwise in effect with respect to the notes sold by SIE and Cossu.

COSSU'S SELLING ACTIVITIES

14. Between May 1998 and August 19, 1999, Cossu sold 30 SIE notes totaling \$2,515,774, and earned approximately \$397,000 in commissions.

- 15. Cossu sold the SIE notes to individuals that also purchased insurance products from him. Many of these individuals were retirees who invested retirement funds in the SIE notes.
- 16. In the course of selling the SIE notes to investors, Cossu made several misrepresentations or omissions, which are described below.

. 9

Misrepresentations Concerning SIE's Ability to Pay Noteholders

- 17. Cossu failed to tell investors that SIE lacked the ability to pay interest and principal on the notes, and that SIE was actually conducting a Ponzi-like scheme in which it used funds from new investors to pay returns to existing investors. Cossu failed to pass this information to investors even though he claimed that the SIE notes were a safe investment, and even though he learned of information that exposed the SIE offering as a Ponzi scheme
- 18. At the time that he was offering and selling the notes to investors, Cossu received information showing that SIE could not pay interest on the notes. In addition, Cossu sold notes issued by other companies that defaulted or failed to pay investors. Cossu never informed SIE's investors that these other, nearly identical note programs had defaulted.

Misrepresentations Regarding the Surety Bond Underlying the Notes

19. Cossu knew, or recklessly disregarded, that SIE did not obtain surety bonds for each note it sold. At the time he began selling the notes, Cossu knew, or disregarded evidence, that New England had not issued insurance for all of the notes sold by SIE. Despite this knowledge, Cossu continued to advise investors that the SIE notes were safe and fully insured against loss.

Undisclosed Commissions Received by Cossu

20. Cossu provided their investors with SIE offering materials that stated, in part, that
"100% of [the investor's] money goes to work for [the investor]" on the day it is received by
SIE, and that the investment imposed no "front or back end loads or management fees." Despite
these representations in the offering materials, the sales agents that sold the notes, including
Cossu, received commissions from SIE that amounted to 7% to 15% for each note he sold.
Cossu and the other sales agents also received an additional commission when investors renewed
their notes at the end of each nine month term. However, Cossu failed to tell his clients that SIE
paid these commissions, and that, in fact, far less than "100%" of the investors' funds were
devoted to SIE's business

11 <u>COUNT I</u>

SALE OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES IN

VIOLATION OF SECTIONS 5(a) AND 5(c) OF THE SECURITIES ACT

- 21. The SEC repeats and realleges all of the foregoing allegations of this Complaint.
- 22. No registration statement was filed or in effect with the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act with respect to the securities and transactions described herein.
- 23. Since a date unknown but since at least 1998 through August 19, 1999, Defendant Cossu, directly or indirectly:
 - (a) made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell securities as described herein, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise;

(b) carried securities or caused such securities, as described herein, to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by any means or instruments of transportation, for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale; and/or (c) made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise, as described herein, without a registration statement having been filed or being in effect with the Commission as to such securities. 24. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Cossu violated, and unless enjoined, will continue to violate Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c). **COUNT II** FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 17(a)(1) OF THE SECURITIES ACT 25. The SEC repeats and realleges all of the foregoing allegations of this Complaint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

defraud.

26. Since a date unknown but since at least 1998 through August 19, 1999, Defendant Cossu, directly and indirectly, by use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce and by use of the mails, in the offer or sale of securities, as described herein, knowingly, willfully or recklessly employed devices, schemes or artifices to

27. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Cossu, directly and indirectly, violated, and unless enjoined, will continue to violate Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1). **COUNT III** FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF SECTIONS 17(a)(2) AND 17(a)(3) OF THE SECURITIES ACT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28. The SEC repeats and realleges all of the foregoing allegations of this Complaint.

- 29. Since a date unknown but since at least 1998 through August 19, 1999, Defendant Cossu, directly and indirectly, by use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce and by the use of the mails, in the offer or sale of securities, as described herein: (i) obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of material facts and omissions to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (ii) engaged in transactions, practices and courses of business which operated and will operate as a fraud or
- 30. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Cossu, directly and indirectly, violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77(q)(a)(2) and 77(q)(a)(3).

deceit upon purchasers and prospective purchasers of such securities.

COUNT IV 1 FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF 2 SECTION 10(b) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT AND RULE 10b-5 3 31. The SEC repeats and realleges all of the foregoing allegations of this Complaint. 4 32. Since a date unknown but since at least 1998 through August 19, 1999, Defendant 5 Cossu, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentality of interstate commerce, 6 and of the mails, and of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the 7 purchase or sale of the securities, as described herein, knowingly, willfully or recklessly: (i) 8 employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material facts 9 and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of 10 the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, 11 practices and courses of business which operated and will operate as a fraud upon the purchasers 12 of such securities. 13 33. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Cossu, directly or indirectly, violated and, 14 unless enjoined, will continue to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), 15 and Rule 10b-5, 17 C.F.R. § 240. 10b-5, thereunder. 16 **COUNT V** 17 OPERATING AS AN UNREGISTERED BROKER-DEALER 18

34. The SEC repeats and realleges all of the foregoing allegations of this Complaint.

IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 15(a)(1) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

19

20

35. Since a date unknown but since at least 1998 through August 19, 1999, Defendant
Cossu, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentality of interstate commerce,
and of the mails, engaged in the business of effecting transactions in securities for the accounts
of others and induced and effected the purchase and sale of securities while not associated with a
broker-dealer that was registered with the SEC in accordance with the provisions of Section
15(b) of the Exchange Act.

36. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Cossu, directly or indirectly, violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)(1).

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Declaratory Relief

Declare, determine and find that Defendant Cossu committed the violations of the federal securities laws alleged against him herein.

II.

Permanent Injunctive Relief

Issue a Permanent Injunction, restraining and enjoining Defendant Cossu, his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with him, from violating: (1) Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c); (2) Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a); (3) Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of

1	the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77(q)(a)(2) and 77(q)(a)(3); (4) Section 10(b) of the Exchange
2	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, thereunder; and (5) Section
3	15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78o(a)(1).
4	III.
5	Disgorgement
6	Issue an Order requiring Cossu to disgorge all profits or proceeds that he has received as
7	a result of the acts and/or courses of conduct complained of herein, with prejudgment interest.
8	IV.
9	<u>Penalties</u>
10	Issue an Order directing Defendant Cossu to pay civil fines and/or penalties pursuant to
11	Section 20(d) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d) and Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act, 15
12	U.S.C. § 78(d)(3).
13	v.
14	Further Relief
15	Grant such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.
16	VI.
L7	Retention of Jurisdiction
L8	Further, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court retain jurisdiction over this action in
L9	order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may hereby be entered,
20	

1	or to entertain any suitable application or motion by the SEC for additional relief with	
2	jurisdiction of this Court.	
3		Respectfully submitted,
4		
5		<i></i>
6	May 31, 2000	m
7		Mitchell E. Herr
8		Regional Trial Counsel
9		District of Columbia Bar No. 395078
LO		r _w .
L1		Ivan P. Harris
L2		Branch Chief
L3		Florida Bar No. 0085405
. 4		
.5		Attorneys for Plaintiff
.6		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
.7	•	COMMISSION
.8		1401 Brickell Avenue, Suite 200
.9		Miami, Florida 33131
20		Telephone: (305) 982-6336
21		Facsimile: (305) 536-7465
		` '