UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA DIVISION

Case Ng.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

KEITH GREENBERG, and COYOTE CONSULTING AND FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLP,

v.

Defendants.

CIV - HURLEY

MAGISTRATE JUDGE LYNCH

Injunctive Relief Sought

COMPLAINT

The plaintiff, Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

1. During the period 1995 through mid-1996, Keith Greenberg, a *de facto* officer of US Diagnostic, Inc. ("USDL" or the "Company"), prepared or directed the preparation of numerous Commission filings and public statements by USDL which contained material omissions. USDL's public statements and Commission filings failed to disclose that Greenberg served as a *de facto* officer of the Company, is a convicted felon, and had been enjoined from violating the antifraud provisions of the federal

securities laws in a prior Commission enforcement action. Although Greenberg operated as an officer, was represented as an officer in certain documents disseminated to the press and investors, and held himself out as an officer, Greenberg either was not identified at all in filings with the Commission, or was identified merely as an employee of a consulting firm retained by the company to assist with acquisitions. Accordingly, USDL's public statements and filings with the Commission were materially false and misleading.

- 2. Coyote Consulting and Financial Services, LLP ("Coyote"), through the activities of Greenberg, (i) identified possible acquisitions for USDL, (ii) proposed financial arrangements involving the purchase, sale, or exchange of securities in connection with these acquisitions, and (iii) participated in negotiations on behalf of USDL regarding the structure of the transactions and securities to be issued in connection therewith. As payment for these services, Coyote received transaction-based compensation.
- 3. As described more fully below, Greenberg has violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)], and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. Greenberg also aided and abetted violations of, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet violations of, Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.12b-20, 240.13a-1, and 240.13a-13].
- 4. As a result of the foregoing, Coyote has violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §

78o(a)(1)]. Greenberg, as a control person of Coyote, is liable for Coyote's violations of Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)(1)].

JURISDICTION

5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b) and 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b) and 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and (e) and 78aa].

DEFENDANTS

- 6. Defendant Keith Greenberg, age 42, who resided in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida at all relevant times, co-founded USDL. He provided services to USDL through Coyote, until January 1997, when the Company terminated its relationship with him and Coyote as a result of the facts described below.
- 7. Coyote is a Florida limited liability company owned by Greenberg's wife and a family trust and was the vehicle through which Greenberg structured his employment arrangement with USDL.

RELEVANT ENTITIES

8. USDL is a Delaware corporation headquartered in West Palm Beach, Florida. It was the largest operator of outpatient diagnostic imaging centers in the country, with over 120 facilities in eighteen states. During all relevant times, its common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781(g)] and traded on the NASDAQ National Market System. USDL filed a Form SB-2 Registration Statement with the Commission for an initial public offering, which went effective in October 1994.

FACTS

9. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8 above.

Prior Proceedings Against Greenberg

- 10. On June 1, 1993, the Commission filed a Complaint against Greenberg alleging, as president and founder of a public company, Advanced Marketing Technology Corporation, he aided and abetted violations of the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. Greenberg, without admitting or denying the allegations in the Complaint, consented to the entry, on June 8, 1993, of a permanent injunction enjoining him from future violations of the antifraud provisions. On August 4, 1994, in a related matter, Greenberg was charged by the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York with conspiracy to commit mail fraud and defrauding the Internal Revenue Service.
- On September 13, 1994, Greenberg pleaded guilty to one felony count of conspiracy to commit mail fraud and to defraud the Internal Revenue Service and one felony count of conspiracy to commit mail fraud. He was sentenced on August 29, 1995, to thirty days imprisonment, two years supervised release, and 300 hours of community service.

Greenberg Was a De Facto Officer of USDL

12. Greenberg and USDL's former CEO founded USDL in 1993 and shortly thereafter merged it with an inactive private company owned by USDL's former CEO and

See SEC v. Leonard J. Messina, Keith G. Greenberg et al., 93 Civ. 3650 (PNL) (S.D.N.Y. filed June 1, 1993); Litig. Release No. 13920 (Dec. 30, 1993).

Greenberg's wife. Greenberg played an instrumental role in helping USDL raise initial capital.

- 13. From December 1994 through October 1996, Greenberg performed policy-making functions for USDL, had responsibility for matters with USDL usually associated with high-ranking corporate officers, served on USDL's executive committee, and received stock options in amounts similar to those of other officers of the Company.
- 14. From at least September 1995 through October 1996, in negotiations with diagnostic centers USDL was seeking to acquire, Greenberg repeatedly represented himself as an Executive Vice President of USDL and signed correspondence, letters of intent, and confidentiality agreements in that capacity. From at least early 1995 through late 1996, Greenberg distributed business cards that described him as Executive Vice President of USDL.
- 15. Greenberg conducted wide-ranging aspects of the Company's business, including: (i) interviewing, hiring, and terminating officers and other employees of the Company, (ii) negotiating leases for USDL's headquarters and at least one of its diagnostic centers, and (iii) reviewing and authorizing expense reports of Company employees.
- During 1995 through May 1996, Greenberg assumed a major role in coordinating USDL's public relations strategy and was responsible for selection of, and liaison with, USDL's public relations firm. In this role, Greenberg (i) oversaw the preparation of press releases and other public statements about USDL, (ii) reviewed and edited scripts for USDL's television commercials, (iii) supervised the design of items to be printed with USDL's logo, (iv) edited USDL's press releases announcing its

acquisitions, and (v) represented USDL in interviews with the media set up by the public relations firms.

- 17. During 1995 and early 1996, USDL disseminated an "executive summary," as part of a promotional kit for investors and the press, which described Greenberg as a co-founder and a consultant since the Company's inception who "became full time Executive Vice President in December, 1994."
- 18. In its 1995 Annual Report to Shareholders, USDL identified Greenberg as a co-founder of USDL and "Director of Marketing, Mergers and Acquisitions" and listed him as a member of USDL's "Management Team." Greenberg oversaw the drafting of this report.
- 19. USDL represented Greenberg to the press as an officer and/or co-founder of the Company and Greenberg was identified as such on numerous occasions in newspaper and magazine articles.
- 20. The materials, documents, and reports discussed above in paragraphs
 17-19 purported to describe Greenberg's employment history and professional experience
 yet failed to mention his criminal conviction and previous civil injunction.

USDL's Consulting Agreement with Coyote

- 21. Greenberg organized Coyote in his wife's name and used it as a vehicle for a purported consulting arrangement with USDL in an attempt to avoid disclosing his criminal conviction and civil injunction in USDL's public filings.
- 22. Greenberg informed USDL that the Company could avoid disclosing his conviction if he provided the services as a consultant through Coyote. USDL entered into a consulting agreement with Coyote in December 1994, which provided that Coyote

would serve as an advisor and consultant on all financial-related matters and render advice on acquisition expansion and new business projects. In return, Coyote was to be paid \$125,000 per year and, through later amendments, a fee equal to two percent of the aggregate price of any entity acquired by USDL as a result of Coyote's introduction.

- 23. Through acquisition negotiations and transactions on behalf of USDL, Coyote acted as a broker without registering with the Commission. In connection with these acquisitions, Coyote, through Greenberg, (i) introduced the parties to each other, (ii) negotiated terms of the transactions involving, among other things, the purchase and sale of securities, and (iii) received transaction-based compensation for introducing entities to USDL. Coyote, through Greenberg, was responsible for at least thirty-two acquisitions by USDL.
- 24. As compensation for these brokerage services, Greenberg, through Coyote, received, among other things, over \$3.8 million in transaction-based compensation (including the base consulting fee) with respect to fifteen acquisitions.

The False SEC Filings

25. During the relevant time period, USDL filed its reports with the Commission as a "small business issuer" and was therefore subject to certain requirements of Regulation S-B. Specifically, Item 401 of Regulation S-B requires companies to disclose (i) the names, ages, positions, and business experience during the past five years of its officers and directors; and (ii) certain legal proceedings during the

past five years that are "material to an evaluation of the ability and integrity" of such persons including a conviction in a criminal proceeding.²

- 26. From December 1994 through the end of 1996, USDL failed to disclose in its filings with the Commission that Greenberg was an officer of USDL and that he had previously had legal problems. Specifically, USDL failed to identify Greenberg as an officer and failed to disclose Greenberg's criminal and employment history in both its 1995 Form 10-KSB (filed March 15, 1996) and June 1996 Registration Statement on Form S-3 (filed June 6, 1996).
- 27. Greenberg, as a *de facto* officer, knew the filings were false and failed to act to correct them.

FIRST CLAIM

Violations of 17(a) of the Securities Act, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 thereunder

- 28. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 27 above.
- 29. The Securities Act and the Exchange Act prohibit use of a manipulative or deceptive device in connection with the purchase or sale of stock.
- 30. Greenberg prepared or directed the preparation of releases and statements disseminated to the public that failed to disclose that Greenberg was a convicted felon and an enjoined securities law violator. Many of these releases and statements identified Greenberg as an officer and co-founder of USDL and as a member of the Company's

Item 9 of Form 10-KSB and Item 10 on Form SB-2 require the information required by Item 401 of Regulation S-B.

- "Management Team", and purported to discuss his background, yet failed to provide material information regarding his criminal conviction and civil injunction.
- 31. USDL filed periodic reports and a registration statement with the SEC that failed to disclose Greenberg's status as an officer of the Company and his criminal conviction and civil injunction. Greenberg knew these filings were false and failed to act to correct them when he had a duty to do so.
- 32. By reason of the foregoing, Greenberg directly violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

SECOND CLAIM

Violations of the Corporate Reporting Provisions

- 33. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 32 above.
- 34. The Exchange Act and the rules promulgated thereunder require every issuer of a security registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] to file periodic reports with the Commission. The Exchange Act and rules promulgated thereunder require that such reports be accurate.
- 35. USDL filed false and misleading annual reports, and a registration statement with the Commission that failed to disclose Greenberg's role as an officer of USDL and failed to disclose that Greenberg is a convicted felon and had been previously enjoined from violating the securities laws. Greenberg, as a *de facto* officer, knew the filings were false and failed to act to correct them when he had a duty to do so.

36. By engaging in the activity discussed above, Greenberg willfully aided and abetted USDL's violations, and unless restrained will continue to willfully aid and abet violations, of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§240.12b-20, 240.13a-1, and 240.13a-13].

THIRD CLAIM

Coyote's Violations of Section 15(b)(6)(B)

- 37. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 36 above.
- 38. Defendant Coyote engaged in the business of effecting transactions in securities for the accounts of others and thus acted as a broker within the meaning of Section 3(a)(4) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78(c)(a)(4)] without registering with the Commission.
- 39. By reason of the foregoing, defendant Coyote violated Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)].

FOURTH CLAIM

Greenberg Is Liable as a Controlling Person for the Violations of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act by Coyote

- 40. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 39 above.
- 41. Greenberg directly and indirectly controlled Coyote and thus was, and is, a controlling person of that entity within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)].

42. By reason of the foregoing, Greenberg is liable for the violations of Section 15(a) of Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)] by Coyote.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

43. Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

I.

Permanently enjoin Greenberg from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)], Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and from aiding and abetting violations of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.12b-20, 240.13a-1, and 240.13a-13];

II.

Enter an Order, pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)] and Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], permanently barring Greenberg from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(d)];

III.

Permanently enjoin defendants Greenberg and Coyote from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)];

IV.

Order Greenberg and Coyote to pay disgorgement of all monies received in compensation for activities engaged in which violate Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(a)], plus prejudgment interest thereon;

V.

Order Greenberg and Coyote to pay a civil penalty under Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)]; and

VI.

Grant such other relief as this Court may deem just or appropriate.

Dated: December 20, 1999

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas C. Newkirk

A. Lynne Wiggins (Lead Trial Attorney)

Jum Calwhil

James T. Coffman
David Frohlich

Britt K. Collins

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange Commission

450 Fifth Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20549-0801

(202) 942-4523 (Wiggins)

0	0		9		0	9
---	---	--	---	--	---	---

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

RECEIPT # _____

_ AMOUNT _

_ AFFLYING IFF

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of testing or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of Initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE PORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS MAGISTRATE JUDGE Keith Greenberg, and U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission LYNCH Coyote Consulting & Financial Services, LLP COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF PRETUETION DOWN PAIN Beach County, Florida (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PL IN LAND CONDEMNATION CATRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. C) ATTOMNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER ATTORNEYS OF IOLOWAY Lynne Wiggins (See Attachment) SEC 450 5th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0808 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION PLACE AN'T IN ONE BUXONEY III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL X FOR PLAINTEF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State 1 U.S. Government D a Federal Question Cittzen of This State 04 04 01 01 **Plaintiff** (U.S. Government Not a Perty) (Indicate C in item iii) D # U.S. Government Citizen of Another State (12 (12 Incorporated and Principal Place # 5 # 65 of Business In Another State te Citizenship of Parties Defendant Citizen or Subject of a (3 s) Foreign Nation Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) CONTRACT PORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES PERSONAL INJUST ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act PERSONAL MANAGE | 400 State Responsioning | 410 Antimust | 400 Sente and Benight (*) 616 Agriculture (*) 630 Other Food & Drug ☐ 422 Appeal 25 USC 155 ☐ 210 Airplane ☐ 218 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 302 Personal Injury — 628 Drug Related Satzure of Property 21 USC 851 O 443 Windrams
28 USC 167 140 Negotiable instrument
180 Recovery of Overpriyme
& Enforcement of Judge 460 Commission
460 Deportedor
470 Paccetter Influence
Compt Greentland G 630 Liquor Laws 13 380 Assault, Libet & Standar PROPERTY RIGHTS G 660 Altine Rege 151 Medicara Act it Medicare re.. 12 Recovery of Deli Student (Loans resul Vestrans) 330 Federal Employers
 Liability 130 Copyrights and Peters 10 Marine Mi Marine Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 2 370 Other Fraud 2 371 Truth in Lending 2 380 Other Personal Property Demage [7] 686 Other N () 183 Recovery of Overp of Veteran's Benefit | 350 Motor Vehicle | 355 Motor Vehicle | Product Light **SOCIAL SECURITY** LABOR ☐ 180 Stockholders' Suita ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts | 801 HZA (18088) | 802 Black Lung (1823) | 803 DRWC/DRWP (401 | 804 SSID Tide XVI | 806 PRII (405(g)) 196 Other Contract
196 Contract Product Liabilit [] 716 Fair Labor Qui Act Property Demage Product Liebilly 300 Other Personal Injury REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS ☐ 986 Freedom of ((O | 441 Voting | 442 Employme | 443 Housing/ Accommo 218 Land Condemnation CI 810 Motions to Vac 900 Appent of Pay Date
Under Equility Access
(1) 980 Correlationality of
State State Acc HAREAS CORPUS;
See Senaral
See Senaral
See Mandamus & Other
See Oid Rights
See See Prison Condison 740 Railway Labor Act FEDERAL TAX SUITS 20 Personale
20 Pent Leans & Eactmer
300 Time to Land
346 Timt Product Liability
200 All Other Real Property [] 780 Other Labor Litiga () 670 Tisses (U.S. Ple or Defendant | 444 Waltero | 446 Other Chill Rights IT 860 Other Statutory Acc 191 Empl. Rec. Inc. [] 871 IRS — Third Perty 26 USC 7609 (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to District V. ORIGIN Judge from

7 Megistrate
Judgment Transferred from n Original [] 2 Removed from State Court @ e Multidistrict □ s Remanded from [] 4 Reinstated or Reopened IT a another district Proceeding Appellate Court (specify) Litigation VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE LIS. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE PLING AND WHITE BARF STATEMENT OF CAUSE DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS OWERSTRY VIOLATIONS OF Sections 10(b), 15(a), and 13a of Securities & Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. SS 78j(b), 78o(a), and 78m(a)]; Section 17(a) of the SEcurities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]. CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND Spermanent CHECK YES Only If Disgorgement, Penalutiny DEMAND: CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN X NO COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUGGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD December 18, 2000 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

JUDGE

MAGL JUDGE

ATTACHMENT Civil Cover Sheet

(c) Attorneys

United States Securities & Exchange Commission
A. Lynne Wiggins, Asst. Chief Litigation Counsel
Thomas C. Newkirk, Associate Director, Division of Enforcement
James T. Coffman, Asst. Director, Division of Enforcement
David Frohlich, Branch Chief, Division of Enforcement
Britt K. Collins, Senior Counsel, Division of Enforcement

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 3/99

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- 1. (a) Plaintiffs Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an of ficial within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) (County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P, which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- 111. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section IV above is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an 'X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 281 1.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filling date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S C Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, ER.Cv.P

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.