

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 917/September 26, 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15452

In the Matter of

ANHUI TAIYANG POULTRY CO., INC.,
a/k/a THE PARKVIEW GROUP, INC.,
BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT
SOLUTIONS, INC., and
TSINGYUAN BREWERY LTD.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO ALL
RESPONDENTS

On September 5, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) against Respondents Anhui Taiyang Poultry Co., Inc. (DUKS-Anhui), a/k/a The Parkview Group, Inc. (DUKS-Parkview), Business Development Solutions, Inc. (BDEV), and Tsingyuan Brewery Ltd. (BEER) (collectively, Respondents), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The OIP alleges that Respondents each have a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act and are delinquent in their periodic filings, in violation of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Exchange Act Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13. A hearing is scheduled to commence on September 30, 2013.

On September 12, 2013, the Division of Enforcement (Division) filed Declarations of Service with respect to BDEV and BEER (BDEV Decl.; BEER Decl.). On September 13, 2013, the Division filed the Declaration of David S. Frye Concerning Service of Process (Declaration) and supporting exhibits with respect to DUKS-Anhui, DUKS-Parkview, BDEV, and BEER (Div. Exs.).¹

The Declaration represents that the OIP was sent to DUKS-Anhui's registered agent by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and was delivered on September 9, 2013. Declaration at 2; Div. Exs. 1, 2. Service of process on DUKS-Anhui's registered agent, however, is ineffective

¹ The Declaration represents that the last EDGAR filings made by Respondents provide separate addresses for each Respondent in the People's Republic of China. It further states that given the expense and delays in perfecting service via the Chinese Central Authority pursuant to the Convention on Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents, it has elected to seek other means of perfecting service on Respondents, namely by serving Respondents' domestic agents.

under Delaware law because DUKS-Anhui is a non-surviving constituent of a merger. See Del. Code Ann. tit. 8, § 259; Beals v. Washington Int'l, Inc., 386 A.2d 1156, 1161 (Del. Ch. 1978); Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, Pa. v. Stauffer Chem. Co., C.A. No. 87C-SE-11, 1991 WL 138431, at *3 (Del. Super. Ct. July 15, 1991) (collecting case-law); Div. Ex. 1. However, DUKS-Parkview is the surviving corporation of such merger; and the Division sent a copy of the OIP via U.S. Postal Service Certified Mail to the registered agent of DUKS-Parkview at National Corporate Services, Inc., 203 Front Street, Suite 101, Milford, Delaware 19963, and obtained confirmation that the package was delivered on September 9, 2013.² Declaration at 2-3; Div. Exs. 1, 3-4.

BDEV and BEER are void Delaware corporations. Div. Exs. 5-6. On September 9, 2013, a process server retained by the Division served BDEV and BEER by personally delivering the OIP to the Delaware Division of Corporations at the Office of the Delaware Secretary of State, 401 Federal Street, Suite 4, Dover, Delaware, in accordance with the requirements of that office. See BDEV Decl. ¶¶ 2-3; BEER Decl. ¶¶ 2-3; Declaration at 5. Under Delaware law and the circumstances of this case, service was properly made on the Delaware Secretary of State as the authorized agent for service of process for BDEV and BEER. See Del. Code Ann. tit. 8, § 321(b); SEC v. Am. Land Co., No. 87-cv-1453, 1987 WL 19930, at *4 (D.D.C. Nov. 6, 1987); Declaration at 3-5.

Therefore, all Respondents were served with the OIP on September 9, 2013, in accordance with Rule 141(a)(2)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice. See 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii).

Respondents' Answers were due within ten days of service of the OIP. OIP at 3; see 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(b), .220(b). As of today, no Respondent has filed an Answer.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that, on or before Monday, October 7, 2013, Respondents shall show cause why the registrations of their securities should not be revoked by default. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f). Any Respondent that fails to respond shall be deemed in default and the proceeding will be determined against it. OIP at 3; see 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a).

It is further ORDERED that the hearing scheduled for September 30, 2013, is postponed sine die and a telephonic prehearing conference shall be held on Monday, October 21, 2013, at 11:00 a.m. EDT, if the proceeding has not been resolved by then.

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge

² The website of the Delaware Secretary of State and the corporate records search conducted by the Division indicate that the address for DUKS-Parkview's registered agent is 203 NE Front Street, Suite 101, Milford, Delaware 19963. Given the confirmation of delivery and no objection from DUKS-Anhui or DUKS-Parkview, I find the omission of "NE" in the address provided by the Declaration immaterial for purposes of service of process.