Case 2:09-cv-00665-JAM-DAD Document 228 Filed 03/09/10 Page 1 of 5

	MARC J. FAGEL (Cal. Bar No. 154425)		
1	ROBERT L. MITCHELL (Cal. Bar No. 161354)		
2	mitchellr@sec.gov MONIQUE C. WINKLER (Cal. Bar. No 213031)		
3	winklerm@sec.gov		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
5	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 Montgomery Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Telephoner (415) 705-2500		
6			
7	Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
12			
13			
14	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.2:09-CV-00665-LKK-DAD	
15	Plaintiff, v.	JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF	
16		AGAINST DEFENDANT ANTHONY	
17	ANTHONY VASSALLO, KENNETH KENITZER and EQUITY INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT	VASSALLO	
18	AND TRADING, INC.,		
19	Defendants.		
20	The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint		
21	in this matter and defendant Anthony Vassallo ("Defendant" or "Vassallo") having entered a		
22	general appearance and executed a Consent of Defendant Anthony Vassallo to Judgment of		
23	Permanent Injunction and Other Relief ("Consent"), in which among other things, Defendant		
24	consented to the Court's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of this action; consented		
25	to entry of this Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations in the Complaint		
26			
27			
28	SEC v. Vassallo, et al. 1 Case No. 2:09-CV-00665-LKK-DAD	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANT ANTHONY VASSALLO	

(except as to jurisdiction, which Defendant admits); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Judgment:

I.

IT IS ORDERED THAT Vassallo and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from, in the offer or sale of any securities, by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- A. employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; or
- B. obtaining money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser;

in violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)].

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Vassallo and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from, directly or indirectly, by the use of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange:

A. employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;

SEC v. Vassallo, et al. Case No. 2:09-CV-00665-LKK-DAD [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANT ANTHONY VASSALLO

- B. making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- engaging in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person;

in connection with the purchase or sale of any security, in violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Vassallo and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from, directly or indirectly, by use of any means or instrumentality of intestate commerce:

- A. employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud any client or prospective client; or
- B. engaging in any act, practice or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any client or prospective client; while engaged in the business of advising others for compensation as to the advisability of investing in, purchasing, or selling securities, in violation of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2)].

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Vassallo and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Judgment, by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from, directly or indirectly, by use of any means or

SEC v. Vassallo, et al. Case No. 2:09-CV-00665-LKK-DAD [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANT ANTHONY VASSALLO instrumentality of intestate commerce, engaging in any act, practice, or course of business which is fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative, while acting as an investment adviser to any pooled investment vehicle, in violation of Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(4)] and Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-8 [17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8].

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, upon motion by the Commission, the Court shall determine whether it is appropriate to order disgorgement of ill-gotten gains and/or civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)] and, if so, the amount(s) of the disgorgement and/or civil penalties. If disgorgement is ordered, Defendant shall pay prejudgment interest thereon, calculated based on the rate of interest used by the Internal Revenue Service for the underpayment of federal income tax as set forth in 26 U.S.C. § 6621(a)(2). In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement, prejudgment interest and/or civil penalties, and at any hearing held on such a motion, Defendant may defend against the motion except that: (a) Defendant will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the Complaint; (b) Defendant may not challenge the validity of the Consent or this Judgment; (c) solely for the purposes of such motion, the allegations of the Complaint shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (d) the Court may determine the issues raised in the motion on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn deposition or investigative testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement, prejudgment interest and/or civil penalties, the parties may take discovery, including discovery from appropriate non-parties.

4

25

24

2627

28

SEC v. Vassallo, et al. Case No. 2:09-CV-00665-LKK-DAD [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANT ANTHONY VASSALLO

1	VI.	
2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is	
3	incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein. VII.	
4		
5		
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall	
7	retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Judgment.	
8	VIII.	
9	There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of	
10	Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith and without further	
11	notice.	
12		
13	Date: March 8, 2010	
14		
15	Approved as to form: LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE	
16	/s/David R. Chase UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	David R. Chase, Esq. Law Firm of David R. Chase	
18	1700 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 305 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	
19	(954) 920-7779	
20	Attorney for Defendant	
ANTHONY VASSALLO	ANTHONY VASSALLO	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	SEC v. Vassallo, et al. 5 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT CASE No. 2:09-CV-00665-LKK-DAD INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AGAINST	

DEFENDANT ANTHONY VASSALLO