

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	§	
COMMISSION,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 3:10-CV-1068-O
	§	
BLAKE G. WILLIAMS, TBECK CAPITAL, INC	§	
WARREN STREET INVESTMENTS, INC.,	§	
VICTORIA FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS, LLC,	§	
BGW ENTERPRISES, INC., EMERGING	§	
RESOURCES, INC., VALEK INVESTMENTS,	§	
INC., DEREK LOPEZ, and DA BIG	§	
KAHUNA, LLC,	§	
Defendants.	§	

**AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS BLAKE G. WILLIAMS,
TBECK CAPITAL, INC., WARREN STREET INVESTMENTS, INC., VICTORIA
FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS, LLC., BGW ENTERPRISES, INC., EMERGING
RESOURCES, INC. AND VALEK INVESTMENTS, INC.**

1. This matter originally came before the Court on the Motion of Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”), seeking entry of a judgment by default, and providing the relief requested in its Complaint against Defendants Blake G. Williams, TBeck Capital, Inc., Warren Street Investments, Inc., Victoria Financial Consultants, LLC, BGW Enterprises, Inc., Emerging Resources, Inc., and Valek Investments, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”), for their failure to answer the Complaint or otherwise defend in this cause;

2. The Court indicated in a hearing in open court on February 3, 2011 that such relief was warranted and would therefore be granted;

3. The Court entered a Final Judgment against the Defendants on February 3, 2011;

4. The Commission now moves to modify or amend that Final Judgment pursuant to Rule 60(a) and Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to provide all injunctive relief sought in the Commission’s Complaint;


5. Having considered the Commission’s present application, the Commission’s original Motion for Default Judgment, and the entire record in this case, the Court finds that Commission’s

present application is well taken and is therefore granted;

3. The Court incorporates by reference its Final Judgment entered on February 3, 20011, including its findings of fact and conclusions of law, and, pursuant to Rule 60(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, modifies that Final Judgment to include the following provision consistent with the Court's original intention to provide this aspect of injunctive relief:

IT IS ORDERED THAT Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this final judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)], by directly or indirectly, in the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails in the offer or sale of any security: (i) employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) obtaining money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (iii) engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

SO ORDERED this 27th day of May, 2011.


Reed O'Connor
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE