

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

2:07-CV-00506-LDG/RJJ

GERALD HAROLD LEVINE,  
MARIE A. LEVINE,  
MARY ANN METZ,  
DARIN SCOTT METZ,  
ALAN BRUCE COPELAND,  
ISAAC BARRETT MORLEY,  
BRUCE CHET ROTHENBERG and  
NUSTAR HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants,

-and-

WIRE TO WIRE, INC.,  
PUBLIC HIGHWAY, INC.,  
THE 1975 TRUST and  
GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS, INC.,

Relief Defendants.

**~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL JUDGMENT**  
**AS TO DEFENDANT MARY ANN METZ**

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendant Mary Ann Metz ("Mary Ann Metz" or "Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77e] by, directly or indirectly, in the absence of any applicable exemption:

- (a) Unless a registration statement is in effect as to a security, making use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell such security through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise;
- (b) Unless a registration statement is in effect as to a security, carrying or causing to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by any means or instruments of transportation, any such security for the purpose of sale or for delivery after sale; or
- (c) Making use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise any security, unless a registration statement has been filed with the Commission as to such security, or while the registration statement is the subject of a refusal order or stop order or (prior to the effective date of the registration statement) any public proceeding or examination under Securities Act Section 8 [15 U.S.C. § 77h].

**II.**

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Securities Act Section 17(a) [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

**III.**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or

instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

**IV.**

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to Securities Act Section 20(e) [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)] and Exchange Act Section 21(d)(2) [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], Defendant is prohibited from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 [15 U.S.C. § 78I] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Exchange Act Section 15(d) [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)].

**V.**

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to Securities Act Section 20(g) [15 U.S.C. § 77t(g)] and Exchange Act Section 21(d)(6) [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(6)], Defendant is permanently barred from participating in an offering of penny stock, including engaging in activities with a broker, dealer, or issuer for purposes of issuing, trading, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock. A penny stock is any equity security that has a price of less than five dollars, except as provided in Exchange Act Rule 3a51-1 [17 C.F.R. 240.3a51-1].

IV.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$131,000, plus prejudgment interest in the amount of \$41,088, for a total of \$172,088. Based on the sworn representations contained in the Statement of Financial Condition of Darin and Mary Ann Metz dated March 14, 2011 (“Statement of Financial Condition”), and other documents and information submitted to the Commission, however, the Court is not ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty and payment of all of the disgorgement and prejudgment interest is waived. The determination not to impose a civil penalty and to waive payment of all of the disgorgement and prejudgment interest is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the Statement of Financial Condition. If at any time following the entry of this Final Judgment the Commission obtains information indicating that Defendant’s representations to the Commission concerning the assets, income, liabilities, or net worth of Darin and Mary Ann Metz were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, in its sole discretion and without prior notice to Defendant, petition the Court for an order requiring Defendant to pay the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Defendant was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendant to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Final Judgment. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Defendant may not, by way of defense to such petition:

(1) challenge the validity of this Consent or the Final Judgment; (2) contest the allegations in the Complaint; (3) assert that payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment or post-judgment interest, or a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the amount of disgorgement or pre-judgment or post-judgment interest; (5) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (6) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including but not limited to any statute of limitations defense.


V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

Dated: 8 July, 2011

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE