# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CACENO

	CASE NO.
SECURITIES AND EXCHAN	GE COMMISSION,
v.	laintiff,
LANCE T. BERGER,	
De	fendant.

# **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission alleges as follows:

# I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. From no later than December 2012 through February 2013, Defendant Lance T. Berger, a stock promoter, engaged in a fraudulent scheme and market manipulation involving the stock of Face Up Entertainment Group, Inc. ("FUEG"). The scheme involved an illegal payment to Berger and a purportedly corrupt promoter as an inducement to arrange email blasts and press releases and to engage in the fraudulent purchase of FUEG stock.
- 2. Berger participated in this scheme in an effort to falsely generate the appearance of market interest in FUEG, induce public purchases of the stock, and artificially increase its trading volume and price.
- 3. Unbeknownst to Berger, however, the corrupt promoter was a witness cooperating with the FBI.
- 4. As a result of the conduct described in this Complaint, Berger violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and

Exchange Act Rule 10b-5(a) and (c), 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5(a) and (c). Unless restrained and enjoined, Berger is reasonably likely to continue to violate the federal securities laws.

5. The Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter: (a) a permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Berger from violating the federal securities laws; (b) an order directing Berger to pay disgorgement with prejudgment interest; (c) an order directing Berger to pay civil money penalties; and (d) an order barring Berger from participating in any offering of a penny stock.

#### II. DEFENDANT AND RELATED ENTITY

- 6. At all times relevant to this action, Berger was a stock promoter for FUEG. Berger resides in Pembroke Pines, Florida.
- 7. At all times relevant to this action, FUEG was a Florida corporation with principal offices located in Valley Stream, New York. The company purported to be in the business of operating an internet gaming website that charged a monthly membership fee. At all times relevant to this action, FUEG's stock was quoted on the OTC Link operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc. and the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "FUEG." In April 2013, however, the Commission entered an order suspending trading in the securities of FUEG for a ten-day period, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Exchange Act. In May 2013, FUEG filed a Form 15 with the Commission to deregister its stock.
- 8. FUEG's stock is a "penny stock" as defined by the Exchange Act. At all times relevant to this action, the stock's shares traded at less than 43 cents per share. During the same time period, FUEG's stock did not meet any of the exceptions to penny stock classification pursuant to Section 3(a)(51) and Rule 3a51-1 of the Exchange Act. For example, the company's stock: (a) did not trade on a national securities exchange; (b) was not an "NMS stock," as defined

-2-

in 17 C.F.R. § 242.600(b)(47); (c) did not have net tangible assets (*i.e.*, total assets less intangible assets and liabilities) in excess of \$5,000,000; and (d) did not have average revenue of approximately \$6,000,000 for the last three years. *See* Exchange Act, Rule 3a51-1(g).

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(d) and 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78aa.
- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Berger, and venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida, because Berger resides in the District and because a substantial part of Berger's acts and transactions constituting violations of the Exchange Act occurred in the District. For example, Berger met with the cooperating witness in Palm Beach County on December 7, 2012, to discuss the scheme. In addition, Berger participated on telephone calls with the cooperating witness while in Broward County and also deposited the inducement payment into the cooperating witness's bank account in the District.
- 11. Berger, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or of a means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, in connection with the conduct alleged in this Complaint.

#### IV. THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

- 12. In December 2012, Berger, a stock promoter for several penny stock companies, including FUEG, along with another stock promoter who was a business associate of Berger's, began discussions with the cooperating witness regarding possible fraudulent stock transactions involving several issuers, including FUEG.
- 13. Following a face-to-face meeting on December 7, 2012, Berger, the business associate and the cooperating witness continued to communicate through a series of telephone

-3-

conversations and emails over the next two months. During these conversations, they agreed the business associate would make a \$10,000 inducement payment to Berger and the cooperating witness to participate in the manipulation of FUEG stock. The business associate would pay the money directly to Berger who would then forward \$5,000 to the cooperating witness.

- 14. As part of the scheme, Berger agreed to arrange email blasts and provide "bid support" by lining up the cooperating witness, and possibly others, to purchase FUEG stock. Berger also agreed to provide the cooperating witness with advance notice of press releases that Berger would arrange for FUEG to issue to coordinate with the fraudulent trading. The press releases would be timed so it would appear the buying activity was spurred by positive news about the company.
- 15. On February 4, 2013, Berger and the cooperating witness discussed the upcoming manipulation of FUEG stock and when the company would be issuing press releases. Berger told the cooperating witness that the goal was to ". . . get [the stock price] back in the 20's." In addition, during that conversation, when addressing how the manipulation could attract potential investors, Berger remarked to the cooperating witness that "people, when they see it, they got [sic] to think that what they're getting is legit. When they see volume, they think, oh wow . . ."
- 16. The next day, on February 5, 2013, Berger told the cooperating witness the business associate had sent him the \$10,000 payment. When the cooperating witness asked Berger to wire his share of the payment, Berger said he preferred instead to deposit the money directly into the cooperating witness's bank account because "they can never hit you for wire fraud, if you never sent one, right?" Berger also mentioned to the witness he had received the advance press release and FUEG was going to be announcing "beta testing" with Facebook. Berger said the press release would be issued at 9:50 a.m. on February 7, 2013.

-4-

- 17. On February 6, 2013, Berger deposited \$5,000 into the cooperating witness's bank account at a bank in the District. That same day, during a telephone call with the cooperating witness, Berger confirmed he had an advance copy of the press release FUEG would be issuing the following day and that he was in the process of revising the header for the release. Berger also told the cooperating witness to start buying FUEG stock as soon as the release came out.
- 18. Later that same day, Berger called the cooperating witness to tell him that the scheme would be pushed back one day from February 7, 2013 to February 8, 2013, and the press release would be issued on February 8 at 9:55 a.m.
- 19. On February 8, 2013, at 9:55 a.m. the exact time Berger had confirmed to the cooperating witness two days earlier FUEG issued a press release announcing that it had begun "[c]losed Beta testing of its Facebook integrated gaming software."
- 20. That same day, the FBI, posing as the cooperating witness, purchased a total of 35,000 shares of FUEG in the open market, in three separate transactions, at prices ranging from \$.20 to \$.215 per share, for a total principal cost of approximately \$7,350. The cooperating witness told Berger that he had purchased the shares as agreed.
- 21. Although Berger and the cooperating witness previously had discussed the possibility of a second round of manipulative buying, ultimately there were no further transactions.

#### COUNT I

#### Fraud in Violation of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c) of the Exchange Act

22. The Commission realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 21 of its Complaint.

-5-

- 23. From no later than December 2012 through February 2013, Berger, directly and indirectly, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, knowingly, willfully or recklessly:
  - (a) employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud; or
  - (b) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would have operated as a fraud or deceit upon any person.
- 24. By reason of the foregoing, Berger directly and indirectly violated and, unless enjoined, is reasonably likely to continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5(a) and (c), 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5(a) and (c).

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

### **Declaratory Relief**

Declare, determine, and find that Berger has committed the violations of the federal securities laws alleged in this Complaint.

II.

# **Permanent Injunctive Relief**

Issue a Permanent Injunction restraining and enjoining Berger, his officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, and each of them, from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5(a) and (c), as indicated above.

III.

#### Disgorgement

Issue an Order directing Berger to disgorge all ill-gotten gains, including prejudgment interest, resulting from the acts or courses of conduct alleged in this Complaint.

IV.

#### **Penalties**

Issue an Order directing Berger to pay a civil money penalty pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3).

V.

#### **Penny Stock Bar**

Issue an Order barring Berger from participating in any offering of a penny stock, pursuant to Section 21(d)(6) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(6).

VI.

# **Further Relief**

Grant such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

VII.

# **Retention of Jurisdiction**

Further, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court retain jurisdiction over this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that it may enter, or to entertain any suitable application or motion by the Commission for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Dated: September 27, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Patrick R. Costello
Senior Trial Counsel
Florida Bar No. 75034
Direct Dial: (305) 982-6380

E-mail: CostelloP@sec.gov

Lead Attorney

Michelle I. Bougdanos Senior Counsel Florida Bar No. 20731 Telephone: (305) 982-6307 E-mail: BougdanosM@sec.gov

Trisha D. Sindler Senior Counsel Florida Bar 0773492 Telephone: (305) 982-6352 E-mail: FuchsT@sec.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 982-6300
Facsimile: (305) 536-4154

# Case 0:13-cv-62112-WJZ Document 1-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/27/2013 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

the tree doctror blicett (GLE it	TOTAL CENTER ON THE REVER	(SE OF THE FORM.)	11011CD. Heldineys mo.	or mulcate Am ite-ineu c	Justs Delott.		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	_		DEFENDANTS				
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION		LANCE T. BERG	LANCE T. BERGER				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant BROWARD  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Ac		123)	NOTE: IN LAND	·	,		
			I	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT LAND INVOLVED.			
Patrick R. Costello, Esq., (305) 982-6380 Securities and Exchange Commission 801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800, Miami, FL 33131			Attorneys (If Known)	Attorneys (If Known)			
(d) Check County Where Action	on Arose:	¬ MONROE   BROWA	_	RTIN O ST. LUCIE O INDI	AN RIVER O OKEECHOBEE J IGHLANDS		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF F	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
√□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	ot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)  Citizen of This State	PTF DEF  1	,		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul><li>4 Diversity</li><li>(Indicate Citizenship)</li></ul>	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 3	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Onle		Foreign Country				
CONTRACT	TOR		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights	□ 690 Other  LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations □ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158     □ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice		
⊿1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court (se	e-filed-	Reopened another (speci	**			
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions second page):	a) Re-filed Case ₫ YE JUDGE	,	ed Cases			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statu diversity):	te under which you are fi	iling and Write a Brief Stateme	nt of Cause (Do not cite juris	sdictional statutes unless		
VII. CAUSE OF ACTI	**	; 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.1	0b-5(a) and (c). Violatic	ons of the federal securit	ies laws.		
	LENGTH OF TRIAL via	a 5 days estimated	(for both sides to try entire cas	e)			
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		3 Permanent	DEMAND'S Injunction, a: and Civil Per	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOWI		Disgorgement SIGNATURE OF AVEC	RNEY OF RECURD	DALTY, Penny S DATE 09/27/20	oli sar		
		/ 1	FOR OF	FICE USE ONLY	IFP		

Date:

AO 440 (Rev. 00/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
	S DISTRICT COURT for the
D	istrict of
Plaintiff(s) V.	) ) ) (Civil Action No. ) )
Defendant(s)	)
SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you icer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. nswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will b You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	ıl at (place)			
			on (date)			
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	r usual place of abode with (name)			
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,					
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	o the individual's last known address; or			
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is	
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	chalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: