	Case 8:09-cv-01331-CJC-MLG ⁻ Docum	ent 1 File	ed 11/12/2009 Page 1 of 21	
			FILED	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOHN M. McCOY III, Cal. Bar No. 166244 E-mail: mccoyj@sec.gov MARC J. BLAU, Cal. Bar No. 198162 E-mail: blaum@sec.gov RONNIE B. LASKY, Cal. Bar No. 204364 E-mail: laskyr@sec.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission Rosalind R. Tyson, Regional Director Michele Wein Layne, Associate Regional I 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036-3648 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908		2009 NOV 12 PM 2: 48 CLERK U.S. CISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES	•
9			• •	
10	UNITED STATES D	STRICT	COURT	:
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT			:
12		SACV	09-01331 CIC	MLGX
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14 15	Plaintiff,	THE FEI	AINT FOR VIOLATIONS DERAL SECURITIES LA	WS
16	VS.			
17	HOMESTEAD PROPERTIES, L.P.; HOMESTEAD LIMITED, L.L.C.;			
18	MANAGEMENT GROUP, d.b.a. IFC ADVISORY; HEATH M.		_	
19	BIDDLECOME; and WILLIAM C. TAK			
20	Defendants,			
21	HOMESTEAD NORTHLAND MHC, LLC and JACKSON MHC, L.L.C.			
22	Relief Defendants			
23		}		
24 25				
23 26				
20				
28			-	
l	l :			:

•

.

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1) & 77v(a), Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e) and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) & 78aa, and Sections 209(d), 209(e)(1) and 214 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-9(d), 80b-9(e)(1) and 80b-14. Defendants have, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, and Section 214 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.SC. § 80b-14, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district, and all of the defendants reside and/or are located in this district.

SUMMARY

3. This matter involves an investment adviser fraud perpetrated by defendant Heath M. Biddlecome and the defendant entities he controls. Between June 2007 and at least November 2009, Biddlecome operated defendant Homestead Properties, L.P., (the "Homestead Fund" or the "Fund"), an investment fund that holds itself out as specializing in investing in mobile home park communities. The Fund is managed by Biddlecome and its general partner, Homestead Limited, L.L.C., and advised by defendant California Wealth Management Group, dba IFC Advisory ("IFC"), an investment adviser registered with the Commission and owned and operated by Biddlecome.

4. Biddlecome and the Homestead Fund raised \$9.8 million from 36 investors, at least 33 of whom were between 60 and 97 years old. At least 29 of these investors were referred by defendant William C. Tak, a senior vice president of IFC.

5. In October 2008, without ever informing investors, Biddlecome transferred \$4.5 million of investor funds into a brokerage account held in the Homestead Fund's name. Biddlecome immediately began day trading in this account, including making numerous short sales, trading options, and trading on margin. Not surprisingly, the account has performed erratically, alternating between six figure trading losses and profits in various months. In September and October 2009, the account's value declined \$1.9 million, and its current value is now \$5 million. The Homestead Fund's investors have never received any information about this brokerage account, or Biddlecome's trading strategy.

6. The Homestead Fund offering materials contain several misrepresentations concerning (1) its intention to conduct yearly audits (as of late August 2009, no Homestead Fund audit had ever been performed); (2) payment of commissions to a registered broker-dealer (the Homestead Fund paid nearly \$400,000 in commissions directly to Tak, who was operating as an unregistered broker-dealer for purposes of the Homestead Fund offering); and (3) quarterly distributions of the Homestead Fund's net profits (although the Homestead Fund's unaudited balance sheets show a \$380,000 loss since inception, the fund distributed a total of \$562,177 of investor capital back to some investors). Additionally, Biddlecome diverted some of the investor funds to pay at least \$11,441 in personal credit card expenses.

7. The Defendants, by engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. By this complaint, the

Commission seeks emergency relief against the Defendants, including a temporary restraining order, an asset freeze, an order expediting discovery, and an order prohibiting the destruction of documents, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctions, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and civil penalties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEFENDANTS

8. Homestead Properties, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership formed by Biddlecome in 2007 as an investment fund. It is based in Culver City, California, and its general partner is Homestead Limited, L.L.C. The Homestead Fund is not registered with the Commission in any capacity.

10 Homestead Limited, L.L.C. is a Delaware limited liability company 9. formed by Biddlecome in 2007. Biddlecome is the sole managing member of 11 12 Homestead Limited, and, as such, he makes all of the investing decisions for the Homestead Fund. Homestead Limited is not registered with the Commission in 13 any capacity. 14

15 California Wealth Management Group, d.b.a. IFC Advisory is a 10. California corporation formed in June 2004 and based in Culver City, California. 16 IFC is wholly owned by Biddlecome, who serves as its president, lead portfolio manager, and chief compliance officer. In January 2005, IFC registered with the Commission as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act. As of September 2008, IFC managed over 400 discretionary accounts with approximately \$78 million under management. According to a Form ADV, Part II that IFC filed with the Commission in January 2008, the firm provides investment advice to the Homestead Fund.

Heath M. Biddlecome, age 41, is a resident of Carpentaria, 11. California. Biddlecome has been the principal of IFC since July 2004, and was formerly a registered representative of Purshe Kaplan Sterling Investments ("PKS"), a broker dealer registered with the Commission. In March 2009, Biddlecome voluntarily resigned from PKS following questions the firm raised

concerning the Homestead Fund.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

William C. Tak, age 43, is a resident of Newport Beach, California. 12. Tak is currently a senior vice president of IFC, and works in IFC's Culver City office. Tak was formerly a registered representative of PKS until July 2009, when the firm terminated his association.

RELIEF DEFENDANTS

Homestead Northland MHC, LLC is a California limited liability 13. company formed in October 2007. It is solely owned by the Homestead Fund, and Biddlecome is its sole member. Homestead Northland MHC is listed as the borrower of a property located in Ada, Ohio that the Homestead Fund purchased in March 2008.

12 Jackson MHC, L.L.C. is a Delaware limited liability company 14. formed in March 2009 and based in Culver City, California. The sole member of 13 Jackson MHC is the Homestead Fund, and it was formed for the purpose of 14 holding title to certain property purchased by the Homestead Fund in January 15 2009. Biddlecome is the manager of Jackson MHC. 16

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

18 A.

The Homestead Fund Offering

Biddlecome formed the Homestead Fund in June 2007, and began 15. selling security interests to investors soon thereafter. As represented to investors, the primary purpose of the Homestead Fund is to invest in mobile home park communities.

The Homestead Fund is not registered with the Commission in any 16. capacity and has not registered any offering of its securities under the Securities Act or a class of securities under the Exchange Act.

26 Between June 2007 and November 2009, the Homestead Fund raised 17. over \$9.8 million from 36 investors nationwide. Many of the Fund's investors were also IFC advisory clients. Most of these investors were 60 years old or older,

with at least two investors in their 90s at the time they invested. Tak solicited at least 29 of these investors, and Biddlecome solicited at least one investor in February 2008.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

18. The Homestead Fund's private placement memorandum ("PPM") dated June 1, 2007 provides that the Homestead Fund would "engage in the business of acquiring or managing a diverse portfolio of real estate assets composed primarily of income producing properties, with an emphasis on the manufactured home community [sic] located throughout the United States."

9 19. The PPM states that Biddlecome, the sole managing member of
10 Homestead Limited, the Homestead Fund's general partner, would "make all the
11 investing decisions for the [Homestead] Fund."

20. Per the PPM, Homestead Limited was entitled to a 1% management fee (presumably of the amount invested in the Homestead Fund), as well as .5% fee for administrative expenses, 50% of each investor's profits in excess of a 7% hurdle rate, and 40% of each investor's profits in excess of a 5% selling price hurdle rate for liquidating events and the sale of property owned by the Homestead Fund.

21. Since inception, the Homestead Fund has made only three real estate investments. First, in February 2008, the Homestead Fund purchased an assignment of an interest in a second mortgage in Auburn Hills, Michigan for \$2.75 million.

22. The second investment, in March 2008, was \$1.2 million for the purchase of a property in Ada, Ohio. Relief defendant Homestead Northland MHC, LLC is listed as the borrower for this property.

23. The third investment was made on January 20, 2009, when the
Homestead Fund paid \$850,000 to purchase a mobile home park in Jackson,
Michigan. This property was initially titled in Biddlecome's name, but on March
31, 2009, it was assigned to relief defendant Jackson MHC, LLC, backdated to

January 20, 2009.

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

B.

1

Biddlecome Transfers \$4.5 Million To A Brokerage Account

24. In October 2008, Biddlecome transferred \$4.5 million of investor funds into a newly opened brokerage account. He did so without disclosing to investors a change in the Homestead Fund's investment strategy. The account is titled in the name of the Homestead Fund, and carries the IFC logo, which is identified as the account's "independent investment adviser."

8 25. Shortly after transferring the investor funds, Biddlecome began
9 engaging in highly speculative and risky trading activity, buying and selling
10 options, and exchange traded funds, short selling, and trading on margin. Not
11 surprisingly, investment returns in the account have been erratic. In two months,
12 Biddlecome earned over \$900,000, but in two other months, he lost in excess of \$1
13 million.

26. In September and October 2009, the Homestead Fund cumulatively lost over \$1.9 million as a result of Biddlecome's trading activity.

16 27. As of October 31, 2009, the brokerage account's balance was
17 \$5,066,829.

28. IFC failed to provide any documentation concerning the Homestead Fund's brokerage account to the Commission's examination staff during the staff's December 2008 routine examination of IFC, despite their written request to produce all custodial account statements up to, and including, November 30, 2008. Biddlecome was IFC's point of contact with the Commission's staff during their examination of the firm.

24 **C**.

Misrepresentations Contained Within The Homestead Fund's PPM

29. The PPM states that a Beverly Hills accounting firm would "be responsible for auditing the Homestead Fund's books on a yearly basis." The PPM further states that the audit would be provided to the Homestead Fund's investors "within a reasonable time after the close of the year."

30. As of August 29, 2009, no such audit of the Homestead Fund had ever been completed.

31. The PPM states that Homestead Limited would pay sales commissions to third parties, who were registered representatives of a brokerdealer.

32. Despite this representation, the Homestead Fund paid commissions of nearly \$400,000 directly from its bank account to Tak, rather than Homestead Limited paying Tak through a registered broker-dealer.

33. Neither Biddlecome nor Tak were associated with a registered brokerdealer that approved their solicitations for the Homestead Fund offering at the time they solicited investors and sold the interests.

34. The PPM stated that the Homestead Fund would make quarterly distributions of its accrued net profits to investors, defined as the quarterly gross income less payments of the Homestead Fund's operating expenses and the general partner's compensation.

35. According to unaudited financial information prepared by Biddlecome, the Homestead Fund lost \$53,272 in 2007, lost \$328,269 in 2008, and made \$2,100 between January and March 2009.

36. Despite these losses, the Homestead Fund distributed a total of
\$560,000 to certain investors in 2007 and 2008. Biddlecome made these
distributions from investors' original capital contribution to the Homestead Fund.

37. Unknown to investors, and in contravention of the Fund's PPM, Biddlecome misappropriated at least \$11,441 to pay his personal credit card bills in January and March of 2009.

1

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNREGISTERED OFFER AND SALE OF SECURITIES

Violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act (Against Biddlecome, Tak, Homestead Limited, and Homestead Fund)

38. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 above.

39. Defendants Biddlecome, Tak, Homestead Limited, and the Homestead Fund, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, made use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails, to offer to sell or to sell securities, or to carry or cause such securities to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce for the purpose of sale or for delivery after sale.

40. No registration statement has been filed with the Commission or has
been in effect with respect to the offering alleged herein.

41. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Biddlecome, Tak, and the Homestead Fund, and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

(Against Biddlecome and Homestead Limited)

42. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 above.

43. Defendants Biddlecome and Homestead Limited, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails directly or indirectly:

	Case 8:09-cv-01331-CJC-MLG Document 1 Filed 11/12/2009 Page 10 of 21							
1	a. with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to							
2	defraud;							
3	b. obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a							
4	material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in							
5	order to make the statements made, in light of the							
6	circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or							
7	c. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which							
8	operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the							
9	purchaser.							
10	44. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Biddlecome							
11	and Homestead Limited violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue							
12	to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).							
13	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF							
14	FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF							
15 16	SECURITIES							
10	Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder							
17	(Against Biddlecome and Homestead Limited)							
. 19	45. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1							
20	through 37 above. 46. Defendants Biddlecome and Homestead Limited and each of them							
20	and each of them,							
22	by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection							
22								
40	with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of							
	with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities							
24	with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter:							
24 25	 with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter: a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; 							
24 25 26	 with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter: a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a 							
24 25 26 27	 with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter: a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in 							
24 25 26	 with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter: a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a 							

misleading; or

c. engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.

47. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Biddlecome and Homestead Limited violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD BY AN INVESTMENT ADVISER

Violations Of Sections 206 (1) and (2) of the Advisers Act (Against Biddlecome and IFC)

48. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1
through 37 above.

49. Defendants Biddlecome and IFC, by engaging in the conduct
described above, directly or indirectly, by the use of the mails or means and
instrumentalities of interstate commerce:

a. with scienter, employed and are employing devices, schemes and artifices to defraud clients or prospective clients; or

b. engaged in and are engaged in transactions, practices, and courses of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon clients or prospective clients.

50. By reason of the activities described herein, Defendants Biddlecome and IFC violated and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate Sections 206(1) and (2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and (2).

27 ||///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

FRAUD BY AN INVESTMENT ADVISER

Violations of 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-8 Thereunder (Against Biddlecome and IFC)

51. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 above.

52. At all times alleged in the Complaint, Biddlecome and IFC each were investment advisers as defined under the Advisers Act. Specifically, IFC was registered with the Commission as an investment-adviser, and Biddlecome exercised exclusive control over IFC. Biddlecome and IFC managed the investments of the Fund in exchange for compensation in the form of a management fee.

13 53. Biddlecome and IFC, by engaging in the conduct described above,
14 directly or indirectly, by use of the mails or means and instrumentalities of
15 interstate commerce:

- engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operate as a fraud or deceit upon investors in the Premium Return Funds;
- b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, to investors or prospective investors in a pooled investment vehicle; or

c. otherwise engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business that were fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative with respect to investors or prospective investors in a pooled investment vehicle.

54. By reason of the activities described herein, Biddlecome and IFC have violated and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate Sections 206(4) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(4), and Rule 206(4)-8, 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8, thereunder.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO REGISTER AS A BROKER-DEALER

Violation of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act

(Against Biddlecome and Tak)

55. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 above.

11 56. Defendants Biddlecome and Tak, by engaging in the conduct
12 described above, directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or means or
13 instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or
14 attempt to induce, the purchase or sale of securities, without being registered as a
15 broker or dealer in accordance with Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.
16 § 78o(a).

57. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Biddlecome and Tak each violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(a).

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO PRODUCE RECORDS TO THE COMMISSION'S EXAMINATION STAFF

Violations of Section 204 of the Advisers Act

(Against IFC)

58. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 above.

59. Defendant IFC, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, failed to make available copies of certain records, including custodial

tic ug

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

account statements of one of its advisory clients, to representatives of the Commission's examination staff upon their request.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

60. By engaging in the conduct described above, IFC violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 204 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

AIDING AND ABETTING FAILURE TO MAINTAIN RECORDS BY A REGISTERED INVESTMENT ADVISER

Aiding and Abetting Violations of Section 204 of the Advisers Act (Against Biddlecome)

61. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 above.

62. Defendant Biddlecome knowingly provided substantial assistance to IFC's violation of Section 204 of the Advisers Act.

63. By engaging in the conduct described above, defendant Biddlecome aided and abetted IFC's violations, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet violations of Section 204 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the defendants committed the alleged violations.

II.

Issue judgments, in forms consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining (1) defendant Biddlecome, and his officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the

judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating 1 Sections 5 (a), 5(c) and 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c) and 2 77q(a), Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 3 780(a), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and Sections 206(1), 4 206(2), and 206(4) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2), and 5 Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8, and aiding and abetting 6 violations of Section 204 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4; (2) defendant 7 Tak, and his officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons 8 9 in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the 10 judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c), and 11 Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(a); (3) defendant Homestead 12 Properties, L.P., and its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and 13 those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive 14 actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, 15 from violating Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) 16 and 77e(c); (4) defendant Homestead Limited, L.L.C., and its officers, agents, 17 18 servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by 19 personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Sections 5(a), 5(c), 20 21 and 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c) and 77g(a), and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 22 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; and (5) defendant IFC, and its officers, agents, 23 24 servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by 25 26 personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Sections 204, 206(1), 206(2), and 206(4) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-4, 80b-6(1) and 27 80b-6(2), and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8. 28

III.

Issue, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction freezing the assets of each of the defendants, prohibiting each of the defendants from destroying documents, and granting expedited discovery.

IV.

Order each defendant and relief defendant to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from the defendants' illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

V.

Order each defendant to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d), Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3), and Section 209(e) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §80B-9(e).

VI.

Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

VII.

Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary.

DATED: November 11, 2009

Marc J. Blau Attorney for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission

24 25 26 27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

John M. McCoy III, Cal. Bar No. 166244	
Marc J. Blau, Cal. Bar No. 198162	
Ronnie B. Lasky, Cal. Bar No. 204364	
Securities and Exchange Commission	
5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor	
Los Angeles, California 90036	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACVO9-01331 CJC MLGx
HOMESTEAD PROPERTIES, L.P.; HOMESTEAD	
LIMITED, L.L.C.; CALIFORNIA WEALTH	
MANAGEMENT GROUP, d.b.a. IFC ADVISORY;	SUMMONS
HEATH M. BIDDLECOME; and WILLIAM C. TAK DEFENDANT(S).	
HOMESTEAD NORTHLAND MHC, LLC and JACK	SON MHC, L.L.C., Relief Defendants
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \mathbf{V} c \Box counterclaim \Box cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12	as on you (not counting the day you received it), you omplaint □ amended complaint 2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, <u>Joh</u> SEC/LARO, 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor, Los	Angeles, CA 90036 If you fail to do so
judgment by default will be entered against you for the r your answer or motion with the court.	
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: NOV 1 2 2009	CHRISTOPHER POWERS
	Deputy Clerk SEAL
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

;

2

.

Ż

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									. •	
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself []) SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION			ם	WEALTH MANA RIDDLECOME;	AGUMENT and WILLIA	GROUP, MC. 17	IOMESTEAD LIMIT d.b.a. IFC ADVISOF AK, defendants. LLC and JACKSON	RY; HEATH M.		
				Los Augeres Ca	OUNCY			·····		
	ddress and Telephone Number. If	'you are t	epresenting A	tiomeys (If Knov	wn)					
yourself, provide same.) John M. McCóy III and/o Securities and Exchange 5670 Wilshire Boulevard	6	Sylvia M. Scott Freeman Process 3415 S. Sepulve Los Angeles, Ca	an & Smile eda Boulev:	y LLP 1rd, Suit	255-6100 1e 1200 PH					
		•								
n. Basis of Jurisdictio	N (Place an X in one box only.)		III. CITIZENSH	IP OF PRINCL	PAL PAR	TIES -	For Diversity Case	s Only		
7			(FIECC BUA)	n one box for pla	unter and o	ne ior d	elendant.)			
Ø 1 U.S. Government Plaintifi □ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citizen of This St	izen of This State PTF DEF				ртғ 04	DEF 4	
🗆 🗆 2 U.S. Government Defendan	nt 🛛 🗇 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz	zenship	Citizen of Anothe	r State			Incorporated and	i Principal Place	05	05
	of Parties in Item III)	_					of Business in A		;	
			Litizen or Subject	of a Foreiru Cor	i Intriv CI3	1771 2	Foreign Nation			D 6
		iŁ	!				Potesga ration		06	
IV. ORICIN (Place an X in or	ae box only.)				ł					
I Original □ 2 Remov Proceeding State C	ed from 3 Remanded from ourt Appellate Court		instated or	Transferred from	another dis	trict (sp	Dist	nict Judg	cul to D e from istrate J	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AJNT: JURY DEMAND: 🗆	Yes III	No (Check 'Yes' o	only if demanded	in complain	ու)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	-		1	ONEY DEMAN	-					
See attachment.	te the U.S. Civil Statute under wh	ich you a	re filing and write	a brief statement	of cause. I	Do not c	rite jurisdictional st	atutes unless dive	rsily.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	ce an X in one box only.)									
	CHARLEN BURGEN VIEW VIEW VIEW WINDOW	t and and a second second	สาราสสาราสาราสาราสาราสาราสาราสาราสาราสา							
□ 400 State Reapportionment							PDOSIDE CONTRACTOR			
□ 410 Antitrust	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine		Airplane				ELITER INSI AND	710 Fair Lab	or Star	ndards
430 Banks and Banking	130 Miller Act		Airplane Product	□ 370 Other 1	Frand	0.210	Vacate Sentence	Act	a	
12 450 Commerce/ICC	140 Negotiable Instrument		Liability	□ 371 Truth i	in Lending		Habeas Corpus	Relation		
Rates/etc.	150 Recovery of		Assault, Libel &	□ 380 Other 1	Personal	D 530	General	□ 730 Labor/N		
□ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &		Slander Fed. Employers'	Propert	ty Damage	CI 535	Death Penalty	Reporti		
470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt	Enforcement of		Liability	LI 385 Proper	ty Damage	12 540		Disclos		
Organizations	Judgment	CI 340	Marine	BANKRU	t Liability	- 550	Other Circl Bishas	1 740 Railway		Acı
480 Consumer Credit	□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	1	Marine Product		1781ISC		Civil Rights Prison Condition	Differ Litigatio		
□ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.		Liability Motor Vchicle	158	Į vie.		SIREE LINE FRANK	D 791 Empl. R		
310 Selective Service	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	423 Withdr	rawal 28		0.39.376 (1997)	Security	Act	
1850 Securities/Commodities/			Product Liability	USC 1	571		Agriculture	EXERCISE	RIGH	
Exchange	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits		Other Personal	COSTANIE RI	CHUS 22	□ 620	Other Food &	🗆 820 Copyrig	hts .	
USC 3410	□ 160 Stockholders' Suits		İnjury	441 Voting			Drug Drug Related	B30 Patent		
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions	190 Other Contract		Personal Injury- Med Malpractice	443 Housin	•	2025	Seizure of	B40 Tradema		
B891 Agricultural Act	195 Contract Product		Personal Injury-	mmoda			Property 21 USC	□ 861 JIIA (13		RACENCE T
892 Economic Stabilization	Liability		Product Liability	□ 444 Welfar			881	🗆 862 Black L		:3)
Acr 893 Environmental Matters	196 Franchise		Asbestos Personal	445 Americ			Liquor Laws	1 863 DIWC/I		
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation	'ł	Injury Product I iability	Disabil Employ			R.R. & Truck ∧irline Regs	(405(g)) □ 864 SSID Ti		,
🗆 895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure		MCUNICK	1 446 Americ	caniwith		Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40;		6
D 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462 1	Naturalization	Disabil			Safety /Health	STATISTICS DE LA CALENCIA DE LA CALE	68.SU	TTS /
nation Under Equal	240 Torts to Land		Application Illabeas Corpus-	Other		□ 690	Other	🗆 870 Taxes (U	J.S. Pla	intiff
Access to Justice	245 Tort Product Liability		Alien Detainee	□ 440 Other 0				or Defer	,	
State Statutes	290 All Other Real Property	🗆 465 ·	Other Immigration	Rights				□ 871 IRS-Thi USC 760		y 26
	I	<u> </u>								
			<u> </u>		יחוי) =	<u> 1 7 7 7</u>	1		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number.		•	SAU	, Y U`	7	ロエンン	r		
		0000								
AFIERC	OMPLETING THE FRONT SI	DE OF I	ноки СV-71, СС I	JWIPLETE THE	. INFORM	ATION	N REQUESTED B	SELOW,		
CV-71 (05/08)			CIVIL COVER	SHEFT					Dess	l of 2
N			JUST SAL COTTIN	N 12 12 12 12 12 1					1-300	: 1 (17 /

į

l of 2 ayc

:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? VNO 🗆 Yes If yes, list case number(s):

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? VNO 🗆 Yes If yes, list case number(s):

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

(Check all boxes that apply) 🛛 A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or

B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or

C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or

D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.

IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)

(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.
 Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country

(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.
 Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Homestead Properties, L.P Los Angeles County; Homestead Limited, L.L.C Los Angeles	
County; California Wealth Management Group, d.b.a. IFC Advisory - Los Angeles County;	
Heath M. Biddlecome - Santa Barbara County; William C. Tak - Orange County; Homestead	· ·
Northland MHC, LLC - Los Angeles County; Jackson MHC, LLC - Los Angeles County	

(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County					

* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved

MIS

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace for supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

11/11/05

Date

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861 .	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

<u>SEC v. Homestead Properties, L.P., et al.</u> United States District Court – Central District of California

Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet

VI CAUSE OF ACTION

The Complaint alleges violations of the federal securities laws. 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c) & 77q(a); 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) & 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) & 80b-6(2); 15 U.S.C. § 60b-6(4) & 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8; 15 U.S.C. § 78o(a); and 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Cormac J. Carney and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV09- 1331 CJC (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 [X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.