Thomas M. Melton (4999)

meltont@sec.gov

Lindsay S. McCarthy (05216)

mccarthyl@sec.gov

Daniel J. Wadley (10358)

wadleyd@sec.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Securities & Exchange Commission

351 South, West Temple, Ste 6.100

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Tel: 801-524-5796 Fax: 801-524-3558

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

TYSON D. WILLIAMS, an individual, and STANLEY D. PARRISH, an individual,

DEFENDANTS.

**COMPLAINT** 

Civil No.: 2:14-cv-00510-DAK

Judge: Dale A. Kimball.

Plaintiff, Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), for its Complaint against Defendants Tyson D. Williams and Stanley D. Parrish (collectively, "Defendants") alleges as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

 This matter involves material misrepresentations and omissions in the unregistered offer and sale of securities by Tyson D. Williams ("Williams") and Stanley D.
 Parrish ("Parrish"), through their company ST Ventures, LLC ("STV").

- 2. Beginning in approximately January 2008 through July 2012, Defendants engaged in a scheme whereby they offered and sold investment contracts and acted as unregistered brokers.
- 3. Defendants told investors that STV would purchase collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs") or interests in CMOs and then leverage the CMOs to produce a return for the investor.
- 4. A CMO is a type of mortgage-backed security or bond that represents claims to specific cash flows from large pools of home mortgages. The streams of principal and interest payments on the mortgages are distributed to the different classes of CMO interests, known as tranches, according to a complicated deal structure. Each tranche may have different principal balances, coupon rates, prepayment risks, and maturity dates.
- 5. Defendants told investors that Defendants were highly experienced in buying and selling CMOs and they had a history of locating and investing in non-traditional investments.
- 6. Defendants promised investors a guaranteed rate of return of between 10%-20% within 30 to 90 days of making their investment.
- 7. Defendants represented to investors that their investment principal would never be at risk of loss because investing in CMOs is a very safe and liquid investment.
- 8. Defendants raised over \$7 million from approximately 50 investors in an offering fraud.
- 9. Defendants misappropriated over \$3.5 million of investors' proceeds for their personal and STV's use.
- 10. Defendants paid earlier investors approximately \$1.5 million with new investor money.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction by authority of Sections 20 and 22 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t and 77v] and Sections 21 and Section 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u and 78aa].
- 12. Defendants, directly and indirectly, singly and in concert, have made use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and the mails in connection with the transactions, acts and courses of business alleged herein, certain of which have occurred within the District of Utah.
- 13. Venue for this action is proper in the District of Utah under Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and under Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa] because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this Complaint took place in this district and because certain of the defendants reside in and transact business in this district.
- 14. Defendants, unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to engage in the transactions, acts, practices, and course of business alleged herein and in transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business of similar purport and object.
- 15. Defendants' conduct took place in connection with the offer, purchase and/or sale of investment contracts issued by STV, which are securities.

#### **DEFENDANTS**

16. **Tyson D. Williams ("Williams")**, age 42, is a resident of Washington, Utah. Williams is a director and managing member of STV. Williams solicited investors to invest with STV.

17. **Stanley D. Parrish** ("**Parrish**"), age 42, is a resident of Highland, Utah. Parrish is also a director and managing member of STV. Parrish solicited investors to invest with STV.

#### RELATED PARTY

18. **ST Ventures, LLC** ("**STV**") is an active Utah limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in Washington, Utah. STV has not registered any offering of its securities under the Securities Act or a class of securities under the Exchange Act in connection with the offer and sale of interests in CMOs. STV issued investment contracts and raised investor capital from approximately January 2008 through January 2011. STV was controlled by Williams and Parrish.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 19. Between January 2008 and January 2011, Defendants, through STV, offered and sold investment contracts raising over \$7 million from approximately 50 investors.
  - 20. STV did not file a registration statement with the Commission.
- 21. Defendants offered and sold these investment contracts to residents of several states.
- 22. Defendants promoted, offered and sold the investment contracts using the Internet, emails and telephone conference calls.
- 23. Many of the investors who purchased investment contracts from the Defendants had minimal investment experience.
- 24. Defendants took no steps to inquire as to the experience, background or financial status of investors to whom they sold investment contracts.

- 25. Defendants actively promoted investments in STV by holding marketing meetings in investors' homes and in STV's office, by email and over the telephone.
- 26. Defendants asked friends and family members to provide referrals of "high net worth" individuals who would be interested in making a large return on their investment within 30 to 90 days.
- 27. Defendants told investors that the funds would be used to purchase high yield CMOs or CMO tranches that held valuable commercial and residential mortgages rated AA or AAA.
- 28. Defendants told investors that their funds would be pooled with other investors' funds, including Defendant's friends and family members.
- 29. Defendants assured investors that 100% of their investment funds would be used to purchase CMOs or CMO tranches.
- 30. Defendants assured investors that no investor money would be used to pay for their personal living expenses.
- 31. Defendants told investors that STV would receive income from the interest payments earned by the CMOs or CMO tranches, which would be passed on to the investors.
- 32. Defendants told investors that they could guarantee a return of 100% of investors' investment principal, plus an additional 10% to 20% in interest income within 30 to 90 days of making their investment.
- 33. Defendants claimed that they could guarantee such a high rate of return because they already had deals in place with companies who wanted to use the CMOs or CMO tranches purchased by STV as collateral to establish lines of credit. In return, STV would receive large fees from the companies once their lines of credit had been established.

- 34. Defendants assured investors that, even though the companies would use the CMOs or CMO tranches as collateral, there was no risk of investors losing their money because the CMOs would remain in STV's name and in its brokerage accounts.
- 35. Defendants did not provide investors with any financial statements, private placement memoranda or other offering documents that provided any material disclosures explaining the investments.
- 36. Despite this lack of information, Defendants raised over \$7 million from investors who testified they invested with Defendants because they drove expensive cars, lived in large homes, and shared the same religious affiliation.

#### MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMMISSIONS

#### A. Safety of the Investment

- 37. Between November 2008 and December 2009, Williams and Parrish purchased four interest only ("IO") CMO tranches for \$2,020,374.44.
- 38. The prospectuses for each IO CMO purchased by Defendants expressly states that investors will not receive their investment principal back when investing in an IO CMO.
- 39. This information was confirmed by Raymond James Financial Services, Inc. ("Raymond James"). Raymond James "Fixed Income Trading Department" manager provided Williams a letter that stated when investors invest in an IO CMO tranche, no investment principal will be returned to the investor.
- 40. Each CMO prospectus also discloses investing in an IO CMO tranche is highly risky.
- 41. The prospectuses advise that the mortgages held in an IO tranche will be sensitive to the interest rates being charged on mortgages.

- 42. In addition, the prospectuses also disclose that the timing of prepayments of principal and the risk of foreclosures make it very difficult for an investor to liquidate their position in IO tranche of a CMO investment.
- 43. Despite this information, Defendants represented to investors that investing in STV was a safe and liquid investment.
- 44. Defendants never told investors that their money was being invested in IO CMO tranches.
- 45. Prior to the investment, defendants did not provide all STV's investors with a copy of a CMO prospectus.
- 46. Two investors received a copy of the CMO prospectuses, but those investors received this information at least a year after investing with STV.

#### **B.** Defendants Prior Investment Experience

- 47. Defendants falsely claimed that they had prior investment experience in buying and selling CMOs or CMO tranches.
- 48. While Williams or Parrish had some investment experience, some of that experience involved the loss of approximately \$2 million in a Ponzi scheme in 2006 -2007.
- 49. In addition, Williams, who had been a registered representative associated with a broker-dealer, terminated his employment with the broker dealer and let his license lapse after an investor complainant involving unauthorized trades in a customer account. This information was not disclosed to investors.

#### **C.** Use of Investor Funds

50. When investors did not receive 10% to 20% returns on their money within 30 to 90 days of investing as promised by Defendants, they told some investors they had not received

interest income or fees because the companies STV had contracted with to use the CMOs or CMO tranches as collateral to establish lines of credit were slow in paying for the use of the CMOs.

- 51. This information was false as no business had used STV's CMOs or CMO tranches as collateral. This information was not disclosed to investors.
- 52. In at least one instance, Defendants transferred control of one CMO to a foreign company. This transfer was contrary to Defendant's representations to investors that the CMOs would remain in STV's name and in its brokerage accounts. The CMO was never returned or paid for by the foreign company.
- 53. After the loss of the CMO, Defendants failed to disclose to investors that they had lost a CMO.
- 54. Defendants also failed to disclose to investors that they sold a CMO tranche and used the proceeds from the sale to pay for their personal living expenses.
- 55. Beginning in June 2008 and continuing through July 2012, Defendants used funds from new investors to make purported interest payments to previous investors.
- 56. Defendants used approximately \$1,508,408.00 of the \$7 million raised from investors to pay earlier investors.
- 57. Defendants told investors who did receive money that investors were receiving principal and interest income from returns generated by the CMOs or CMO tranches purchased by STV.
- 58. Defendants did not tell investors that money from new investors was being used to pay earlier investors.

- 59. In addition, beginning in January 2008 and continuing through July 2012, Defendants misappropriated approximately \$3,558,912.85 in investor proceeds and used it for their personal or business expenses.
- 60. Despite promises to the contrary, Defendants used investor money to pay for their daily expenses, home mortgage payments, real estate investments, civil judgments, and family medical bills, including a Botox treatment for Williams' wife.
- 61. Defendants also used investor money to pay STV business expenses without telling investors.
- 62. The Defendants were not registered with the Commission in any capacity at the time they offered and sold investment contracts to investors in several states.
- 63. The Defendants actively solicited investors through meetings and conference calls over a period of years.
- 64. As explained above, Defendants used over \$3 million in investor funds to maintain a lavish lifestyle, repay family members for prior investment losses in an unrelated scheme and for STV business expenses.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION EMPLOYMENT OF A DEVICE, SCHEME OR ARTIFICE TO DEFRAUD Violation of Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1)]

- 65. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 64 above.
- 66. Defendants Williams and Parrish, by engaging in conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud.

67. By reason of the foregoing, Williams and Parrish, directly or indirectly, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to violate Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1)].

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FRAUD IN THE OFFER AND SALE OF SECURITIES Violations of Section 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) and (3)]

- 68. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 64 above.
- 69. Defendants Williams and Parrish, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly and indirectly, in the offer and sale of securities, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, obtained money or property by means of making an untrue statements of material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
- 70. By reason of the foregoing, Williams and Parrish, directly or indirectly, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77q(a)(2) and 77q(a)(3)].

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE AND SALE OF SECURITIES Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]

- 71. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 64 above.
- 72. Defendants Williams and Parrish, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or use of

the mails, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, with scienter: (1) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (2) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made not misleading; or (3) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business that operated or would operate as a fraud and deceit upon other persons.

73. By reason of the foregoing, Williams and Parrish violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION OFFER AND SALE OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES Violation of Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77e(a) and (c)]

- 74. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 64 above.
- 75. Defendants Williams and Parrish, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described in the paragraphs above, directly or indirectly, through use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the mails, offered to sell or sold STV securities or, directly or indirectly, or carried such securities through the mails or in interstate commerce, for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale.
- 76. No registration statement has been filed with the Commission or has been in effect with respect to these securities.
- 77. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants, directly or indirectly, violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)].

## FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION OFFER AND SALE OF SECURITIES BY AN UNREGISTERED BROKER OR DEALER Violation of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(a)]

- 78. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 64 above.
- 79. Defendants, and each of them, directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase and sale of securities in STV without being registered as a broker or dealer with the Commission or associated with a broker-dealer registered with the Commission.
- 80. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. 78o(a)].

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that Defendants committed the violations charged herein.

II.

Issue in a form consistent with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure orders that permanently enjoins Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and accountants, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from engaging in transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business described herein, and from

Case 2:14-cv-00510-DAK Document 2 Filed 07/10/14 Page 13 of 13

engaging in conduct of similar purport and object in violation of Sections 5(a), 5(c) and 17(a) of

the Securities Act and Sections 10(b), and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder.

III.

Enter an order directing Defendants, and each of them, to pay civil money penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act.

IV.

Enter an order directing Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains received during the period of violative conduct and pay prejudgment interest on such ill-gotten gains.

V.

Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Dated July 10, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Thomas M. Melton (4999) meltont@sec.gov Attorney for Plaintiff

### Case 2:14-cv-00510-PAKI Document 2:14-cv-00510-Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose or minuting me errir u	oener sneet. (SEE nastre e	110110 011 11211 11102 0		14/11/						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	AL PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)								DEF  □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		<b>□</b> 5	□ 5	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Con avera no			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	B Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
CONTRACT		PRTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BA	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
<ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>□ 160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>□ 190 Other Contract</li> <li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>□ 196 Franchise</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -	description of the content of the c		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 15 Family and Medical Leave Act	881		□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act			
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDER	PAL TAY SHITS	☐ 896 Arbitra		rocedure	
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General	Income Security Act		■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		□ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	1					
	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo		er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION			re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless d	diversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	EMAND \$	ND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: □ Yes □ No					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE			

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is IV. sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes. V.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.