Case 6:12-cv-01415-DAB Document 121 Filed 11/05/14 Page 1 of 6 PageID 1601 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE)	
COMMISSION,)	
PLAINTIFF,)	Civil No. 6:12-CV-1415- DAB
v.)	Magistrate Judge David A. Baker
FREEDOM ENVIRONMENTAL)	
SERVICES, INC., a Delaware Corporation,)	
MICHAEL BORISH, and MICHAEL)	
CIARLONE,)	
)	
DEFENDANTS.)	
)	

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT MICHAEL CIARLONE

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendant Michael Ciarlone ("Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rules 10b-5(a) and 10b-5(c) promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the

- Case 6:12-cv-01415-DAB Document 121 Filed 11/05/14 Page 2 of 6 PageID 1602 mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:
 - (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
 - (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
 - (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person

by, directly or indirectly, (i) creating a false appearance or otherwise deceiving any person, or (ii) disseminating false or misleading documents, materials, or information or making, either orally or in writing, any false or misleading statement, about:

- (A) the misappropriation of investor funds, investment proceeds, or corporate assets; or
- (B) any other matter relating to a decision by an investor or prospective investor to buy or sell securities of any company.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(5)] and Rule 13b2-1 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.13b2-1], by knowingly circumventing or knowingly failing to implement a system of internal accounting controls or knowingly falsifying, or causing to be falsified, any book, record, or account described in Section 13(b)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(2)].

Case 6:12-cv-01415-DAB Document 121 Filed 11/05/14 Page 3 of 6 PageID 1603 III.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from aiding and abetting, directly or indirectly, any violation of Sections 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(b)(2)(A), 78m(b)(2)(B)] by knowingly providing substantial assistance to any issuer which has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] or which is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(d)] in:

- Failing to make and keep books, records, and accounts, which, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer; and
- 2. Failing to devise and maintain a system of internal accounting controls sufficient to provide reasonable assurances that:
 - (i) Transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization;
 - (ii) Transactions are recorded as necessary (1) to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other criteria applicable to such statements, and (2) to maintain accountability for assets;
 - (iii) Access to assets is permitted only in accordance with management's general or specific authorization; and

Case 6:12-cv-01415-DAB Document 121 Filed 11/05/14 Page 4 of 6 PageID 1604

(iv) The recorded accountability for assets is compared with the existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with respect to any differences.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], Defendant is prohibited from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)].

V.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$351,023, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$49,783.71, for a total of \$400,806.71. Based on Defendant's sworn representations in his Statement of Financial Condition dated November 8, 2013, and other documents and information submitted to the Commission, however, the Court is not ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty or disgorgement and prejudgment interest. The determination not to impose a civil penalty and to waive disgorgement and prejudgment interest is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of Defendant's Statement of Financial Condition. Within three years following the entry of this Final Judgment the Commission obtains information indicating that Defendant's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such

Case 6:12-cv-01415-DAB Document 121 Filed 11/05/14 Page 5 of 6 PageID 1605 representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Defendant, petition the Court for an order requiring Defendant to pay the unpaid portion of the disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon, and the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Defendant was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendant to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Final Judgment. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Defendant may not, by way of defense to such petition: (1) challenge the validity of the Consent or this Final Judgment; (2) contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission; (3) assert that payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest or a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the amount of disgorgement and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; (5) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (6) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense. Defendant shall also pay post-judgment interest on any delinquent amounts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

VII.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and

Case 6:12-cv-01415-DAB Document 121 Filed 11/05/14 Page 6 of 6 PageID 1606 without further notice.

Dated: November 4, 2014

David A. Baker

DAVID A. BAKER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE