



The New York Times
Company

Diane Brayton
Executive Vice President, General
Counsel and Secretary

T 212 556 5995
braytd@nytimes.com

620 8th Avenue
New York, NY 10018

December 22, 2020

VIA E-MAIL at shareholderproposals@sec.gov

Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of the Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

Re: The New York Times Company, File No. 1-5837
Shareholder Proposal of John Overington
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 – Rule 14a-8

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The New York Times Company (the “Company”) received on November 13, 2020, a letter dated November 10, 2020, from John Overington (the “Proponent”) requesting that a proposal (the “Proposal”) be included in the Company’s proxy soliciting material for its 2021 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

A copy of the Proponent’s letter and the Proposal is attached as Exhibit A. The Proponent stated in its letter that it is the beneficial owner of Company stock with a value exceeding \$2,000, has held such stock for over a year and intends to continue to do so through the date of the next annual meeting of stockholders. By letter dated November 20, 2020, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B, the Company requested documentary support for the Proponent’s stock ownership. In response, the Proponent subsequently provided a letter from its broker stating, and confirmed via a subsequent e-mail, that the Proponent had owned since April 4, 2012, 500 shares of the Company’s Class A Common Stock (NYSE: NYT), copies of which are attached as Exhibit C.

The Company believes that the Proposal may be omitted from the proxy soliciting material for its next annual meeting of stockholders because, among other reasons, the Proponent, as a holder of shares of Class A Common Stock of the Company, is not the owner of

“securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the meeting” as is required by Rule 14a-8(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The Company has two classes of voting stock outstanding: Class A and Class B Common Stock. Under Article Fourth, Paragraphs (II) to (V) of the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D, the Company’s Class A Common Stock has limited voting rights. Holders of Class A Common Stock (“Class A Stockholders”) are entitled to vote on only the following matters: the election of 30% of the Company’s board of directors, ratification of the selection of the Company’s independent certified public accountants, certain acquisitions, and the reservation of stock for options to be granted to officers, directors or employees.

The Company’s Certificate of Incorporation provides that, except as outlined above, and except as otherwise provided by the laws of the State of New York:

“[T]he entire voting power shall be vested solely and exclusively in the holders of the shares of Class B Common Stock ... and the holders of the Class A Common Stock shall have no voting power, and shall not have the right to participate in any meeting of stockholders or to have notice thereof.”

(See Paragraph (IV) of Article Fourth of the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation.)

The Company’s dual-class capitalization was already in place before the Company’s stock was first listed in 1969 for public trading on a national stock exchange. This capitalization structure was established as a means to manage for the long term and to protect the long-term editorial quality and independence of *The New York Times*, while at the same time allowing the public to invest in the Company’s equity.

As a result of these limited voting rights, the Class A Stockholders, including the Proponent, would not be entitled to vote upon the Proposal in the event it were submitted to the vote of the stockholders of the Company. Thus, the Company may properly omit the proposal from its proxy material pursuant to Rule 14a-8(b)(1). See Securities and Exchange Commission’s (“SEC”) Division of Corporation Finance, Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14, Question and Answer C.1.b (2001).

Class A Stockholders of the Company have on numerous prior occasions sought to introduce proposals for consideration at an annual meeting of the Company respecting matters on which they were not entitled to vote. In each instance, most recently in January 2013, the staff of the SEC’s Division of Corporation Finance has agreed with the Company that such proposals could properly be omitted from the proxy statement since the proponents of such proposals, as Class A Stockholders, were unable to satisfy the requirement of Rule 14a-8 that they be entitled to vote at the Company’s meeting on the proposals they intended to present for action. (See the SEC’s nineteen letters to The New York Times Company, available January 14, 2013, December 31, 2008, January 15, 2008, December 18, 2006, January 3, 2003, December 21, 1998, December 19, 1997, December 19, 1997, February 24, 1997, December 28, 1994, January 17, 1992, January 22, 1991, January 4, 1991, January 16, 1981, December 22, 1980, January 4, 1979, November 9, 1978, March 25, 1975 and April 1, 1974).


In addition to the foregoing letters to the Company, in many no-action letters over the years, the staff has acknowledged to issuers other than the Company that, under Rule 14a-8, in order to require the inclusion of a proposal in a proxy statement, a proponent must hold shares of the class of stock entitled to vote on such proposal. For several recent examples of this, see the letters to Scripps Network Interactive, Inc. (available January 14, 2016, and February 17, 2015), Comcast Corporation (available March 19, 2012), Senior Housing Properties Trust (available March 13, 2019, and February 20, 2018), and Government Properties Income Trust (available February 20, 2018).

Accordingly, the Company believes that it may properly omit the Proposal from its 2021 proxy materials, and the Company intends to do so. The Company reserves the right, should it be necessary, to present additional reasons for omitting the Proposal. If the staff does not concur with the Company's position, we would appreciate an opportunity to confer with the staff concerning this matter prior to the issuance of a Rule 14a-8 response.

If you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please call me at (212) 556-5995 or Eunice Yang, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, Corporate & Securities, at (212) 556-1738.

A copy of this letter, together with the enclosures, is being sent to the Proponent.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Diane Brayton".

Diane Brayton

cc: John Overington

Exhibit A

Via FedEx

November 10, 2021


Diane Brayton, General Counsel
The New York Times Company
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018

Dear Ms. Brayton,

I hereby submit the enclosed shareholder proposal ("Proposal") for inclusion in The New York Times Company (the "Company") proxy statement to be circulated to Company shareholders in conjunction with the next annual meeting of shareholders. The Proposal is submitted under Rule 14(a)-8 (Proposals of Security Holders) of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission's proxy regulations.

I submit the Proposal affirming that I have continuously owned The New York Times Company stock with a value exceeding \$2,000 for a year prior to and including the date of this Proposal and intend to hold these shares through the date of the Company's 2021 annual meeting of shareholders. A Proof of Ownership letter is forthcoming and will be delivered to the Company.

Copies of correspondence or a request for a "no-action" letter should be forwarded to John Overington, ^{***} or ^{***}

Sincerely,

John Overington

Enclosure: Shareholder Proposal

True Diversity Board Policy

Resolved, that the shareholders of the New York Times (the “Company”) request the Board adopt a policy to disclose to shareholders the following:

1. A description of the specific minimum qualifications that the Board’s nominating committee believes must be met by a nominee to be on the board of directors; and
2. Each nominee’s skills, ideological perspectives, and experience presented in a chart or matrix form.

The disclosure shall be presented to the shareholders through the annual proxy statement and the Company’s website within six (6) months of the date of the annual meeting and updated on an annual basis.

Supporting Statement

We believe that boards that incorporate diverse perspectives can think more critically and oversee corporate managers more effectively. By providing a meaningful disclosure about potential Board members, shareholders will be better able to judge how well-suited individual board nominees are for the Company and whether their listed skills, experience and attributes are appropriate in light of the Company’s overall business strategy.

The Company’s compliance with Item 407(c)(2)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K requires it to identify the minimum skills, experience, and attributes that all board candidates are expected to possess.

Ideological diversity contemplates differences in political/policy beliefs.

True diversity comes from diversity of thought. There is ample evidence that the many companies operate in ideological hegemony that eschews conservative people, thoughts, and values. This ideological echo chamber can result in groupthink that is the antithesis of diversity. This can be a major risk factor for shareholders.

The Company’s history provides evidence of such potential risk. One company employee is an avowed racist that seeks to end white people.¹ In September 2018, the Company published an article claiming to be from a high-level, yet anonymous, Trump Administration official discussing efforts to thwart the President’s agenda.² It turns out, the anonymous staffer was a low-level bureaucrat. That episode harmed national security.³ In June 2020, an editor resigned over internal backlash for simply publishing an article written by a Republican Senator.⁴ And in

¹ <https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2018/08/sarah-jeong-new-york-times-anti-white-racism.html>

² <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/09/05/opinion/trump-white-house-anonymous-resistance.html>

³ <https://www.rubio.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/2020/10/rubio-statement-on-new-york-times-anonymous-op-ed/>

⁴ <https://thefederalist.com/2020/06/08/new-york-times-editor-resigns-following-publication-of-tom-cotton-op-ed/>

July 2020 another editor resigned in angst stating the *New York Times* no longer seeks to print truth and that it “didn’t have a firm grasp of the country it covers.”⁵

We believe a diverse board is a good indicator of sound corporate governance and a well-functioning board. Diversity in board composition is best achieved through highly qualified candidates with a wide range of skills, experience, beliefs, and board independence from management.

We are requesting comprehensive disclosures about board composition and what qualifications the Company seeks for its Board, therefore we urge shareholders to vote FOR this proposal.

⁵ <https://thefederalist.com/2020/07/14/bari-weiss-resigns-from-the-new-york-times-standing-up-for-principle-puts-a-target-on-your-back/>

Align top of FedEx Express® shipping label here.

en
13

ORIGIN ID: WDBA (904) 274-1791
JOHN OVERINGTON

SHIP DATE: 12NOV20
ACTWT: 0.25 LB
CAD: 250980171/NSK1340

UNITED STATES

BILL SENDER

TO **DIANE BRAYTON
DIANE BRAYTON
620 8TH AVE**

NEW YORK NY 10018

(000) 000-0000

REF:

IN:

PO:

DEPT:



FedEx
Express



**FRI - 13 NOV 10:30A
PRIORITY OVERNIGHT**

TRK#
0201

E3 MTPA

**10018
NY-US EWR**

48-434 RIT EXP 10:18

Exhibit B



The New York Times Company

1 message

Eunice Yang <eunice.yang@nytimes.com>

Fri, Nov 20, 2020 at 3:28 PM

To: ***

Cc: Diane Brayton <braytd@nytimes.com>

Bcc: Eunice Yang <eunice.yang@nytimes.com>

Dear Mr. Overington,

Please see the attached letter regarding the shareholder proposal you submitted. A copy of this letter was also sent to you via FedEx overnight delivery.

Best regards,
Eunice

Eunice Yang
Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, Corporate & Securities
The New York Times Company
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10018
(212) 556-1738
eunice.yang@nytimes.com

 **NYTCo.pdf**
539K



The New York Times
Company

620 8th Avenue
New York, NY 10018

November 20, 2020

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL AND EMAIL

John Overington

Dear Mr. Overington:

I am writing on behalf of The New York Times Company (the “Company”), which received on November 13, 2020, the shareholder proposal you submitted entitled “True Diversity Board Policy” pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Rule 14a-8 for inclusion in the proxy statement for the Company’s 2021 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Proposal”).

Pursuant to SEC Rule 14a-8(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, you were required to provide us with sufficient proof of your continuous ownership of at least \$2,000 in market value, or 1%, of Company shares entitled to vote on the proposal for at least one year as of the date the shareholder proposal was submitted. To date we have not received proof that you have satisfied Rule 14a-8’s ownership requirements as of the date that the Proposal was submitted to the Company.

To remedy this defect, you must submit sufficient proof of your continuous ownership of the required class and number or amount of Company shares for the one-year period preceding and including November 10, 2020, the date the Proposal was submitted to the Company. As explained in Rule 14a-8(b) and in SEC staff guidance, sufficient proof must be in the form of

- (1) a written statement from the “record” holder of the Proponent’s shares (usually a broker or a bank) verifying that the Proponent continuously held the required number or amount of Company shares for the one-year period preceding and including November 10, 2020; or
- (2) if the Proponent has filed with the SEC a Schedule 13D, Schedule 13G, Form 3, Form 4 or Form 5, or amendments to those documents or updated forms, reflecting the Proponent’s ownership of the required number or amount of Company shares as of or before the date on which the one-year eligibility period begins, a copy of the schedule and/or form, and any subsequent amendments reporting a change in the ownership level and a written statement that the Proponent continuously held the required number or amount of Company shares for the one-year period.

Mr. John Overington
November 20, 2020
Page 2

If you intend to demonstrate ownership by submitting a written statement from the “record” holder of your shares as set forth in (1) above, please note that most large U.S. brokers and banks deposit their customers’ securities with, and hold those securities through, the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), a registered clearing agency that acts as a securities depository (DTC is also known through the account name of Cede & Co.). Under SEC Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14F, only DTC participants are viewed as record holders of securities that are deposited at DTC. You can confirm whether your broker or bank is a DTC participant by asking the Proponent’s broker or bank or by checking DTC’s participant list, which is available at <http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/client-center/DTC/alpha.ashx>. In these situations, shareholders need to obtain proof of ownership from the DTC participant through which the securities are held, as follows:


- (1) If your broker or bank is a DTC participant, then you need to submit a written statement from your broker or bank verifying that you continuously held the required class and number or amount of Company shares for the one-year period preceding and including November 10, 2020.
- (2) If your broker or bank is not a DTC participant, then you need to submit proof of ownership from the DTC participant through which the shares are held verifying that you continuously held the required class and number or amount of Company shares for the one-year period preceding and including November 10, 2020. You should be able to find out the identity of the DTC participant by asking your broker or bank. If your broker is an introducing broker, you may also be able to learn the identity and telephone number of the DTC participant through your account statements, because the clearing broker identified on the account statements will generally be a DTC participant. If the DTC participant that holds your shares is not able to confirm your individual holdings but is able to confirm the holdings of your broker or bank, then you must satisfy the proof of ownership requirements by obtaining and submitting two proof of ownership statements verifying that, for the one-year period preceding and including November 10, 2020, the required class and number or amount of Company shares were continuously held: (i) one from your broker or bank confirming your ownership, and (ii) the other from the DTC participant confirming the broker or bank’s ownership.

The SEC’s rules require that any response to this letter be postmarked or transmitted electronically no later than 14 calendar days from the date you receive this letter. Please transmit any response to me at eunice.yang@nytimes.com. Alternatively, you may transmit any response by mail to me at The New York Times Company, 620 Eighth Avenue, New York, NY 10018. In light of circumstances relating to the COVID-19 pandemic, if you send a response by mail, we would be grateful if you could also transmit such response by email.

Mr. John Overington
November 20, 2020
Page 3

If you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please contact me at (212) 556-1738. For your reference, I enclose a copy of Rule 14a-8 and Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14F.

Sincerely,



Eunice Yang
Vice President and Assistant General Counsel,
Corporate & Securities

cc: Diane Brayton, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Enclosures

Rule 14a-8 – Shareholder Proposals

This section addresses when a company must include a shareholder's proposal in its proxy statement and identify the proposal in its form of proxy when the company holds an annual or special meeting of shareholders. In summary, in order to have your shareholder proposal included on a company's proxy card, and included along with any supporting statement in its proxy statement, you must be eligible and follow certain procedures. Under a few specific circumstances, the company is permitted to exclude your proposal, but only after submitting its reasons to the Commission. We structured this section in a question-and-answer format so that it is easier to understand. The references to "you" are to a shareholder seeking to submit the proposal.

(a) *Question 1: What is a proposal?* A shareholder proposal is your recommendation or requirement that the company and/or its board of directors take action, which you intend to present at a meeting of the company's shareholders. Your proposal should state as clearly as possible the course of action that you believe the company should follow. If your proposal is placed on the company's proxy card, the company must also provide in the form of proxy means for shareholders to specify by boxes a choice between approval or disapproval, or abstention. Unless otherwise indicated, the word "proposal" as used in this section refers both to your proposal, and to your corresponding statement in support of your proposal (if any).

(b) *Question 2: Who is eligible to submit a proposal, and how do I demonstrate to the company that I am eligible?*

(1) In order to be eligible to submit a proposal, you must have continuously held at least \$2,000 in market value, or 1%, of the company's securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the meeting for at least one year by the date you submit the proposal. You must continue to hold those securities through the date of the meeting.

(2) If you are the registered holder of your securities, which means that your name appears in the company's records as a shareholder, the company can verify your eligibility on its own, although you will still have to provide the company with a written statement that you intend to continue to hold the securities through the date of the meeting of shareholders. However, if like many shareholders you are not a registered holder, the company likely does not know that you are a shareholder, or how many shares you own. In this case, at the time you submit your proposal, you must prove your eligibility to the company in one of two ways:

(i) The first way is to submit to the company a written statement from the "record" holder of your securities (usually a broker or bank) verifying that, at the time you submitted your proposal, you continuously held the securities for at least one year. You must also include your own written statement that you intend to continue to hold the securities through the date of the meeting of shareholders; or

(ii) The second way to prove ownership applies only if you have filed a Schedule 13D (§240.13d-101), Schedule 13G (§240.13d-102), Form 3 (§249.103 of this chapter), Form 4 (§249.104 of this chapter) and/or Form 5 (§249.105 of this chapter), or amendments to those documents or updated forms, reflecting your ownership of the shares as of or before the date on which the one-year eligibility period begins. If you have filed one of these documents with the SEC, you may demonstrate your eligibility by submitting to the company:

(A) A copy of the schedule and/or form, and any subsequent amendments reporting a change in your ownership level;

(B) Your written statement that you continuously held the required number of shares for the one-year period as of the date of the statement; and

(C) Your written statement that you intend to continue ownership of the shares through the date of the company's annual or special meeting.

(c) *Question 3:* How many proposals may I submit? Each shareholder may submit no more than one proposal to a company for a particular shareholders' meeting.

(d) *Question 4:* How long can my proposal be? The proposal, including any accompanying supporting statement, may not exceed 500 words.

(e) *Question 5:* What is the deadline for submitting a proposal?

(1) If you are submitting your proposal for the company's annual meeting, you can in most cases find the deadline in last year's proxy statement. However, if the company did not hold an annual meeting last year, or has changed the date of its meeting for this year more than 30 days from last year's meeting, you can usually find the deadline in one of the company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q (§249.308a of this chapter), or in shareholder reports of investment companies under §270.30d-1 of this chapter of the Investment Company Act of 1940. In order to avoid controversy, shareholders should submit their proposals by means, including electronic means, that permit them to prove the date of delivery.

(2) The deadline is calculated in the following manner if the proposal is submitted for a regularly scheduled annual meeting. The proposal must be received at the company's principal executive offices not less than 120 calendar days before the date of the company's proxy statement released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. However, if the company did not hold an annual meeting the previous year, or if the date of this year's annual meeting has been changed by more than 30 days from the date of the previous year's meeting, then the deadline is a reasonable time before the company begins to print and send its proxy materials.

(3) If you are submitting your proposal for a meeting of shareholders other than a regularly scheduled annual meeting, the deadline is a reasonable time before the company begins to print and send its proxy materials.

(f) *Question 6:* What if I fail to follow one of the eligibility or procedural requirements explained in answers to Questions 1 through 4 of this section?

(1) The company may exclude your proposal, but only after it has notified you of the problem, and you have failed adequately to correct it. Within 14 calendar days of receiving your proposal, the company must notify you in writing of any procedural or eligibility deficiencies, as well as of the time frame for your response. Your response must be postmarked, or transmitted electronically, no later than 14 days from the date you received the company's notification. A company need not provide you such notice of a deficiency if the deficiency cannot be remedied, such as if you fail to submit a proposal by the company's properly determined deadline. If the company intends to exclude the proposal, it will later have to make a submission under §240.14a-8 and provide you with a copy under Question 10 below, §240.14a-8(j).

(2) If you fail in your promise to hold the required number of securities through the date of the meeting of shareholders, then the company will be permitted to exclude all of your proposals from its proxy materials for any meeting held in the following two calendar years.

(g) *Question 7:* Who has the burden of persuading the Commission or its staff that my proposal can be excluded? Except as otherwise noted, the burden is on the company to demonstrate that it is entitled to exclude a proposal.

(h) *Question 8:* Must I appear personally at the shareholders' meeting to present the proposal?

(1) Either you, or your representative who is qualified under state law to present the proposal on your behalf, must attend the meeting to present the proposal. Whether you attend the meeting yourself or send a qualified representative to the meeting in your place, you should make sure that you, or your representative, follow the proper state law procedures for attending the meeting and/or presenting your proposal.

(2) If the company holds its shareholder meeting in whole or in part via electronic media, and the company permits you or your representative to present your proposal via such media, then you may appear through electronic media rather than traveling to the meeting to appear in person.

(3) If you or your qualified representative fail to appear and present the proposal, without good cause, the company will be permitted to exclude all of your proposals from its proxy materials for any meetings held in the following two calendar years.

(i) *Question 9:* If I have complied with the procedural requirements, on what other bases may a company rely to exclude my proposal?

(1) *Improper under state law:* If the proposal is not a proper subject for action by shareholders under the laws of the jurisdiction of the company's organization;

Note to paragraph (i)(1): Depending on the subject matter, some proposals are not considered proper under state law if they would be binding on the company if approved by shareholders. In our experience, most proposals that are cast as recommendations or requests that the board of directors take specified action are proper under state law. Accordingly, we will assume that a proposal drafted as a recommendation or suggestion is proper unless the company demonstrates otherwise.

(2) *Violation of law:* If the proposal would, if implemented, cause the company to violate any state, federal, or foreign law to which it is subject;

Note to paragraph (i)(2): We will not apply this basis for exclusion to permit exclusion of a proposal on grounds that it would violate foreign law if compliance with the foreign law would result in a violation of any state or federal law.

(3) *Violation of proxy rules:* If the proposal or supporting statement is contrary to any of the Commission's proxy rules, including §240.14a-9, which prohibits materially false or misleading statements in proxy soliciting materials;

(4) *Personal grievance; special interest:* If the proposal relates to the redress of a personal claim or grievance against the company or any other person, or if it is designed to result in a benefit to you, or to further a personal interest, which is not shared by the other shareholders at large;

(5) *Relevance:* If the proposal relates to operations which account for less than 5 percent of the company's total assets at the end of its most recent fiscal year, and for less than 5 percent of its net earnings and gross sales for its most recent fiscal year, and is not otherwise significantly related to the company's business;

(6) *Absence of power/authority:* If the company would lack the power or authority to implement the proposal;

(7) *Management functions*: If the proposal deals with a matter relating to the company's ordinary business operations;

(8) *Director elections*: If the proposal:

- (i) Would disqualify a nominee who is standing for election;
- (ii) Would remove a director from office before his or her term expired;
- (iii) Questions the competence, business judgment, or character of one or more nominees or directors;
- (iv) Seeks to include a specific individual in the company's proxy materials for election to the board of directors; or
- (v) Otherwise could affect the outcome of the upcoming election of directors.

(9) *Conflicts with company's proposal*: If the proposal directly conflicts with one of the company's own proposals to be submitted to shareholders at the same meeting;

Note to paragraph (i)(9): A company's submission to the Commission under this section should specify the points of conflict with the company's proposal.

(10) *Substantially implemented*: If the company has already substantially implemented the proposal;

Note to paragraph (i)(10): A company may exclude a shareholder proposal that would provide an advisory vote or seek future advisory votes to approve the compensation of executives as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K (§229.402 of this chapter) or any successor to Item 402 (a "say-on-pay vote") or that relates to the frequency of say-on-pay votes, provided that in the most recent shareholder vote required by §240.14a-21(b) of this chapter a single year (i.e., one, two, or three years) received approval of a majority of votes cast on the matter and the company has adopted a policy on the frequency of say-on-pay votes that is consistent with the choice of the majority of votes cast in the most recent shareholder vote required by §240.14a-21(b) of this chapter.

(11) *Duplication*: If the proposal substantially duplicates another proposal previously submitted to the company by another proponent that will be included in the company's proxy materials for the same meeting;

(12) *Resubmissions*: If the proposal deals with substantially the same subject matter as another proposal or proposals that has or have been previously included in the company's proxy materials within the preceding 5 calendar years, a company may exclude it from its proxy materials for any meeting held within 3 calendar years of the last time it was included if the proposal received:

- (i) Less than 3% of the vote if proposed once within the preceding 5 calendar years;
- (ii) Less than 6% of the vote on its last submission to shareholders if proposed twice previously within the preceding 5 calendar years; or
- (iii) Less than 10% of the vote on its last submission to shareholders if proposed three times or more previously within the preceding 5 calendar years; and

(13) *Specific amount of dividends*: If the proposal relates to specific amounts of cash or stock dividends.

(j) *Question 10*: What procedures must the company follow if it intends to exclude my proposal?

(1) If the company intends to exclude a proposal from its proxy materials, it must file its reasons with the Commission no later than 80 calendar days before it files its definitive proxy statement and form of proxy with the Commission. The company must simultaneously provide you with a copy of its submission. The Commission staff may permit the company to make its submission later than 80 days before the company files its definitive proxy statement and form of proxy, if the company demonstrates good cause for missing the deadline.

(2) The company must file six paper copies of the following:

(i) The proposal;

(ii) An explanation of why the company believes that it may exclude the proposal, which should, if possible, refer to the most recent applicable authority, such as prior Division letters issued under the rule; and

(iii) A supporting opinion of counsel when such reasons are based on matters of state or foreign law.

(k) *Question 11*: May I submit my own statement to the Commission responding to the company's arguments? Yes, you may submit a response, but it is not required. You should try to submit any response to us, with a copy to the company, as soon as possible after the company makes its submission. This way, the Commission staff will have time to consider fully your submission before it issues its response. You should submit six paper copies of your response.

(l) *Question 12*: If the company includes my shareholder proposal in its proxy materials, what information about me must it include along with the proposal itself?

(1) The company's proxy statement must include your name and address, as well as the number of the company's voting securities that you hold. However, instead of providing that information, the company may instead include a statement that it will provide the information to shareholders promptly upon receiving an oral or written request.

(2) The company is not responsible for the contents of your proposal or supporting statement.

(m) *Question 13*: What can I do if the company includes in its proxy statement reasons why it believes shareholders should not vote in favor of my proposal, and I disagree with some of its statements?

(1) The company may elect to include in its proxy statement reasons why it believes shareholders should vote against your proposal. The company is allowed to make arguments reflecting its own point of view, just as you may express your own point of view in your proposal's supporting statement.

(2) However, if you believe that the company's opposition to your proposal contains materially false or misleading statements that may violate our anti-fraud rule, §240.14a-9, you should promptly send to the Commission staff and the company a letter explaining the reasons for your view, along with a copy of the company's statements opposing your proposal. To the extent possible, your letter should include specific factual information demonstrating the inaccuracy of the company's claims. Time permitting, you may wish to try to work out your differences with the company by yourself before contacting the Commission staff.

(3) We require the company to send you a copy of its statements opposing your proposal before it sends its proxy materials, so that you may bring to our attention any materially false or misleading statements, under the following timeframes:

(i) If our no-action response requires that you make revisions to your proposal or supporting statement as a condition to requiring the company to include it in its proxy materials, then the company must provide you with a copy of its opposition statements no later than 5 calendar days after the company receives a copy of your revised proposal; or

(ii) In all other cases, the company must provide you with a copy of its opposition statements no later than 30 calendar days before its files definitive copies of its proxy statement and form of proxy under §240.14a-6.



**Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission**

Shareholder Proposals

Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14F (CF)

Action: Publication of CF Staff Legal Bulletin

Date: October 18, 2011

Summary: This staff legal bulletin provides information for companies and shareholders regarding Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Supplementary Information: The statements in this bulletin represent the views of the Division of Corporation Finance (the "Division"). This bulletin is not a rule, regulation or statement of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"). Further, the Commission has neither approved nor disapproved its content.

Contacts: For further information, please contact the Division's Office of Chief Counsel by calling (202) 551-3500 or by submitting a web-based request form at https://tts.sec.gov/cgi-bin/corp_fin_interpretive.

A. The purpose of this bulletin

This bulletin is part of a continuing effort by the Division to provide guidance on important issues arising under Exchange Act Rule 14a-8. Specifically, this bulletin contains information regarding:

- Brokers and banks that constitute "record" holders under Rule 14a-8 (b)(2)(i) for purposes of verifying whether a beneficial owner is eligible to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8;
- Common errors shareholders can avoid when submitting proof of ownership to companies;
- The submission of revised proposals;
- Procedures for withdrawing no-action requests regarding proposals submitted by multiple proponents; and
- The Division's new process for transmitting Rule 14a-8 no-action responses by email.

You can find additional guidance regarding Rule 14a-8 in the following bulletins that are available on the Commission's website: [SLB No. 14](#), [SLB No. 14A](#), [SLB No. 14B](#), [SLB No. 14C](#), [SLB No. 14D](#) and [SLB No. 14E](#).

B. The types of brokers and banks that constitute “record” holders under Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) for purposes of verifying whether a beneficial owner is eligible to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8

1. Eligibility to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8

To be eligible to submit a shareholder proposal, a shareholder must have continuously held at least \$2,000 in market value, or 1%, of the company's securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the shareholder meeting for at least one year as of the date the shareholder submits the proposal. The shareholder must also continue to hold the required amount of securities through the date of the meeting and must provide the company with a written statement of intent to do so.¹

The steps that a shareholder must take to verify his or her eligibility to submit a proposal depend on how the shareholder owns the securities. There are two types of security holders in the U.S.: registered owners and beneficial owners.² Registered owners have a direct relationship with the issuer because their ownership of shares is listed on the records maintained by the issuer or its transfer agent. If a shareholder is a registered owner, the company can independently confirm that the shareholder's holdings satisfy Rule 14a-8(b)'s eligibility requirement.

The vast majority of investors in shares issued by U.S. companies, however, are beneficial owners, which means that they hold their securities in book-entry form through a securities intermediary, such as a broker or a bank. Beneficial owners are sometimes referred to as “street name” holders. Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) provides that a beneficial owner can provide proof of ownership to support his or her eligibility to submit a proposal by submitting a written statement “from the ‘record’ holder of [the] securities (usually a broker or bank),” verifying that, at the time the proposal was submitted, the shareholder held the required amount of securities continuously for at least one year.³

2. The role of the Depository Trust Company

Most large U.S. brokers and banks deposit their customers' securities with, and hold those securities through, the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), a registered clearing agency acting as a securities depository. Such brokers and banks are often referred to as “participants” in DTC.⁴ The names of these DTC participants, however, do not appear as the registered owners of the securities deposited with DTC on the list of shareholders maintained by the company or, more typically, by its transfer agent. Rather, DTC's nominee, Cede & Co., appears on the shareholder list as the sole registered owner of securities deposited with DTC by the DTC participants. A company can request from DTC a “securities position listing” as of a specified date, which identifies the DTC participants having a position in the company's securities and the number of securities held by each DTC participant on that date.⁵

3. Brokers and banks that constitute “record” holders under Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) for purposes of verifying whether a beneficial owner is eligible to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8

In *The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.* (Oct. 1, 2008), we took the position that an introducing broker could be considered a “record” holder for purposes of

Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i). An introducing broker is a broker that engages in sales and other activities involving customer contact, such as opening customer accounts and accepting customer orders, but is not permitted to maintain custody of customer funds and securities.⁶ Instead, an introducing broker engages another broker, known as a "clearing broker," to hold custody of client funds and securities, to clear and execute customer trades, and to handle other functions such as issuing confirmations of customer trades and customer account statements. Clearing brokers generally are DTC participants; introducing brokers generally are not. As introducing brokers generally are not DTC participants, and therefore typically do not appear on DTC's securities position listing, *Hain Celestial* has required companies to accept proof of ownership letters from brokers in cases where, unlike the positions of registered owners and brokers and banks that are DTC participants, the company is unable to verify the positions against its own or its transfer agent's records or against DTC's securities position listing.

In light of questions we have received following two recent court cases relating to proof of ownership under Rule 14a-8⁷ and in light of the Commission's discussion of registered and beneficial owners in the Proxy Mechanics Concept Release, we have reconsidered our views as to what types of brokers and banks should be considered "record" holders under Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i). Because of the transparency of DTC participants' positions in a company's securities, we will take the view going forward that, for Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) purposes, only DTC participants should be viewed as "record" holders of securities that are deposited at DTC. As a result, we will no longer follow *Hain Celestial*.

We believe that taking this approach as to who constitutes a "record" holder for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) will provide greater certainty to beneficial owners and companies. We also note that this approach is consistent with Exchange Act Rule 12g5-1 and a 1988 staff no-action letter addressing that rule,⁸ under which brokers and banks that are DTC participants are considered to be the record holders of securities on deposit with DTC when calculating the number of record holders for purposes of Sections 12(g) and 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Companies have occasionally expressed the view that, because DTC's nominee, Cede & Co., appears on the shareholder list as the sole registered owner of securities deposited with DTC by the DTC participants, only DTC or Cede & Co. should be viewed as the "record" holder of the securities held on deposit at DTC for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i). We have never interpreted the rule to require a shareholder to obtain a proof of ownership letter from DTC or Cede & Co., and nothing in this guidance should be construed as changing that view.

How can a shareholder determine whether his or her broker or bank is a DTC participant?

Shareholders and companies can confirm whether a particular broker or bank is a DTC participant by checking DTC's participant list, which is currently available on the Internet at <http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/client-center/DTC/alpha.ashx>.

What if a shareholder's broker or bank is not on DTC's participant list?

The shareholder will need to obtain proof of ownership from the DTC participant through which the securities are held. The shareholder should be able to find out who this DTC participant is by asking the shareholder's broker or bank.⁹

If the DTC participant knows the shareholder's broker or bank's holdings, but does not know the shareholder's holdings, a shareholder could satisfy Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) by obtaining and submitting two proof of ownership statements verifying that, at the time the proposal was submitted, the required amount of securities were continuously held for at least one year – one from the shareholder's broker or bank confirming the shareholder's ownership, and the other from the DTC participant confirming the broker or bank's ownership.

How will the staff process no-action requests that argue for exclusion on the basis that the shareholder's proof of ownership is not from a DTC participant?

The staff will grant no-action relief to a company on the basis that the shareholder's proof of ownership is not from a DTC participant only if the company's notice of defect describes the required proof of ownership in a manner that is consistent with the guidance contained in this bulletin. Under Rule 14a-8(f)(1), the shareholder will have an opportunity to obtain the requisite proof of ownership after receiving the notice of defect.

C. Common errors shareholders can avoid when submitting proof of ownership to companies

In this section, we describe two common errors shareholders make when submitting proof of ownership for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b)(2), and we provide guidance on how to avoid these errors.

First, Rule 14a-8(b) requires a shareholder to provide proof of ownership that he or she has "continuously held at least \$2,000 in market value, or 1%, of the company's securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the meeting for at least one year by the date you submit the proposal" (emphasis added).¹⁰ We note that many proof of ownership letters do not satisfy this requirement because they do not verify the shareholder's beneficial ownership for the entire one-year period preceding and including the date the proposal is submitted. In some cases, the letter speaks as of a date *before* the date the proposal is submitted, thereby leaving a gap between the date of the verification and the date the proposal is submitted. In other cases, the letter speaks as of a date *after* the date the proposal was submitted but covers a period of only one year, thus failing to verify the shareholder's beneficial ownership over the required full one-year period preceding the date of the proposal's submission.

Second, many letters fail to confirm continuous ownership of the securities. This can occur when a broker or bank submits a letter that confirms the shareholder's beneficial ownership only as of a specified date but omits any reference to continuous ownership for a one-year period.

We recognize that the requirements of Rule 14a-8(b) are highly prescriptive and can cause inconvenience for shareholders when submitting proposals.

Although our administration of Rule 14a-8(b) is constrained by the terms of the rule, we believe that shareholders can avoid the two errors highlighted above by arranging to have their broker or bank provide the required verification of ownership as of the date they plan to submit the proposal using the following format:

“As of [date the proposal is submitted], [name of shareholder] held, and has held continuously for at least one year, [number of securities] shares of [company name] [class of securities].”¹¹

As discussed above, a shareholder may also need to provide a separate written statement from the DTC participant through which the shareholder's securities are held if the shareholder's broker or bank is not a DTC participant.

D. The submission of revised proposals

On occasion, a shareholder will revise a proposal after submitting it to a company. This section addresses questions we have received regarding revisions to a proposal or supporting statement.

1. A shareholder submits a timely proposal. The shareholder then submits a revised proposal before the company's deadline for receiving proposals. Must the company accept the revisions?

Yes. In this situation, we believe the revised proposal serves as a replacement of the initial proposal. By submitting a revised proposal, the shareholder has effectively withdrawn the initial proposal. Therefore, the shareholder is not in violation of the one-proposal limitation in Rule 14a-8(c).¹² If the company intends to submit a no-action request, it must do so with respect to the revised proposal.

We recognize that in Question and Answer E.2 of SLB No. 14, we indicated that if a shareholder makes revisions to a proposal before the company submits its no-action request, the company can choose whether to accept the revisions. However, this guidance has led some companies to believe that, in cases where shareholders attempt to make changes to an initial proposal, the company is free to ignore such revisions even if the revised proposal is submitted before the company's deadline for receiving shareholder proposals. We are revising our guidance on this issue to make clear that a company may not ignore a revised proposal in this situation.¹³

2. A shareholder submits a timely proposal. After the deadline for receiving proposals, the shareholder submits a revised proposal. Must the company accept the revisions?

No. If a shareholder submits revisions to a proposal after the deadline for receiving proposals under Rule 14a-8(e), the company is not required to accept the revisions. However, if the company does not accept the revisions, it must treat the revised proposal as a second proposal and submit a notice stating its intention to exclude the revised proposal, as required by Rule 14a-8(j). The company's notice may cite Rule 14a-8(e) as the reason for excluding the revised proposal. If the company does not accept the revisions and intends to exclude the initial proposal, it would also need to submit its reasons for excluding the initial proposal.

3. If a shareholder submits a revised proposal, as of which date must the shareholder prove his or her share ownership?

A shareholder must prove ownership as of the date the original proposal is submitted. When the Commission has discussed revisions to proposals,¹⁴ it has not suggested that a revision triggers a requirement to provide proof of ownership a second time. As outlined in Rule 14a-8(b), proving ownership includes providing a written statement that the shareholder intends to continue to hold the securities through the date of the shareholder meeting. Rule 14a-8(f)(2) provides that if the shareholder “fails in [his or her] promise to hold the required number of securities through the date of the meeting of shareholders, then the company will be permitted to exclude all of [the same shareholder’s] proposals from its proxy materials for any meeting held in the following two calendar years.” With these provisions in mind, we do not interpret Rule 14a-8 as requiring additional proof of ownership when a shareholder submits a revised proposal.¹⁵

E. Procedures for withdrawing no-action requests for proposals submitted by multiple proponents

We have previously addressed the requirements for withdrawing a Rule 14a-8 no-action request in SLB Nos. 14 and 14C. SLB No. 14 notes that a company should include with a withdrawal letter documentation demonstrating that a shareholder has withdrawn the proposal. In cases where a proposal submitted by multiple shareholders is withdrawn, SLB No. 14C states that, if each shareholder has designated a lead individual to act on its behalf and the company is able to demonstrate that the individual is authorized to act on behalf of all of the proponents, the company need only provide a letter from that lead individual indicating that the lead individual is withdrawing the proposal on behalf of all of the proponents.

Because there is no relief granted by the staff in cases where a no-action request is withdrawn following the withdrawal of the related proposal, we recognize that the threshold for withdrawing a no-action request need not be overly burdensome. Going forward, we will process a withdrawal request if the company provides a letter from the lead filer that includes a representation that the lead filer is authorized to withdraw the proposal on behalf of each proponent identified in the company’s no-action request.¹⁶

F. Use of email to transmit our Rule 14a-8 no-action responses to companies and proponents

To date, the Division has transmitted copies of our Rule 14a-8 no-action responses, including copies of the correspondence we have received in connection with such requests, by U.S. mail to companies and proponents. We also post our response and the related correspondence to the Commission’s website shortly after issuance of our response.

In order to accelerate delivery of staff responses to companies and proponents, and to reduce our copying and postage costs, going forward, we intend to transmit our Rule 14a-8 no-action responses by email to companies and proponents. We therefore encourage both companies and proponents to include email contact information in any correspondence to each other and to us. We will use U.S. mail to transmit our no-action response to any company or proponent for which we do not have email contact information.

Given the availability of our responses and the related correspondence on the Commission's website and the requirement under Rule 14a-8 for companies and proponents to copy each other on correspondence submitted to the Commission, we believe it is unnecessary to transmit copies of the related correspondence along with our no-action response. Therefore, we intend to transmit only our staff response and not the correspondence we receive from the parties. We will continue to post to the Commission's website copies of this correspondence at the same time that we post our staff no-action response.

¹ See Rule 14a-8(b).

² For an explanation of the types of share ownership in the U.S., see Concept Release on U.S. Proxy System, Release No. 34-62495 (July 14, 2010) [75 FR 42982] ("Proxy Mechanics Concept Release"), at Section II.A. The term "beneficial owner" does not have a uniform meaning under the federal securities laws. It has a different meaning in this bulletin as compared to "beneficial owner" and "beneficial ownership" in Sections 13 and 16 of the Exchange Act. Our use of the term in this bulletin is not intended to suggest that registered owners are not beneficial owners for purposes of those Exchange Act provisions. See Proposed Amendments to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Relating to Proposals by Security Holders, Release No. 34-12598 (July 7, 1976) [41 FR 29982], at n.2 ("The term 'beneficial owner' when used in the context of the proxy rules, and in light of the purposes of those rules, may be interpreted to have a broader meaning than it would for certain other purpose[s] under the federal securities laws, such as reporting pursuant to the Williams Act.").

³ If a shareholder has filed a Schedule 13D, Schedule 13G, Form 3, Form 4 or Form 5 reflecting ownership of the required amount of shares, the shareholder may instead prove ownership by submitting a copy of such filings and providing the additional information that is described in Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(ii).

⁴ DTC holds the deposited securities in "fungible bulk," meaning that there are no specifically identifiable shares directly owned by the DTC participants. Rather, each DTC participant holds a pro rata interest or position in the aggregate number of shares of a particular issuer held at DTC. Correspondingly, each customer of a DTC participant – such as an individual investor – owns a pro rata interest in the shares in which the DTC participant has a pro rata interest. See Proxy Mechanics Concept Release, at Section II.B.2.a.

⁵ See Exchange Act Rule 17Ad-8.

⁶ See Net Capital Rule, Release No. 34-31511 (Nov. 24, 1992) [57 FR 56973] ("Net Capital Rule Release"), at Section II.C.

⁷ See *KBR Inc. v. Chevedden*, Civil Action No. H-11-0196, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36431, 2011 WL 1463611 (S.D. Tex. Apr. 4, 2011); *Apache Corp. v. Chevedden*, 696 F. Supp. 2d 723 (S.D. Tex. 2010). In both cases, the court concluded that a securities intermediary was not a record holder for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b) because it did not appear on a list of the

company's non-objecting beneficial owners or on any DTC securities position listing, nor was the intermediary a DTC participant.

⁸ *Techne Corp.* (Sept. 20, 1988).

⁹ In addition, if the shareholder's broker is an introducing broker, the shareholder's account statements should include the clearing broker's identity and telephone number. See Net Capital Rule Release, at Section II.C.(iii). The clearing broker will generally be a DTC participant.

¹⁰ For purposes of Rule 14a-8(b), the submission date of a proposal will generally precede the company's receipt date of the proposal, absent the use of electronic or other means of same-day delivery.

¹¹ This format is acceptable for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b), but it is not mandatory or exclusive.

¹² As such, it is not appropriate for a company to send a notice of defect for multiple proposals under Rule 14a-8(c) upon receiving a revised proposal.

¹³ This position will apply to all proposals submitted after an initial proposal but before the company's deadline for receiving proposals, regardless of whether they are explicitly labeled as "revisions" to an initial proposal, unless the shareholder affirmatively indicates an intent to submit a second, *additional* proposal for inclusion in the company's proxy materials. In that case, the company must send the shareholder a notice of defect pursuant to Rule 14a-8(f)(1) if it intends to exclude either proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on Rule 14a-8(c). In light of this guidance, with respect to proposals or revisions received before a company's deadline for submission, we will no longer follow *Layne Christensen Co.* (Mar. 21, 2011) and other prior staff no-action letters in which we took the view that a proposal would violate the Rule 14a-8(c) one-proposal limitation if such proposal is submitted to a company after the company has either submitted a Rule 14a-8 no-action request to exclude an earlier proposal submitted by the same proponent or notified the proponent that the earlier proposal was excludable under the rule.

¹⁴ See, e.g., Adoption of Amendments Relating to Proposals by Security Holders, Release No. 34-12999 (Nov. 22, 1976) [41 FR 52994].

¹⁵ Because the relevant date for proving ownership under Rule 14a-8(b) is the date the proposal is submitted, a proponent who does not adequately prove ownership in connection with a proposal is not permitted to submit another proposal for the same meeting on a later date.

¹⁶ Nothing in this staff position has any effect on the status of any shareholder proposal that is not withdrawn by the proponent or its authorized representative.

<http://www.sec.gov/interps/legal/cfs1b14f.htm>

Exhibit C



RE: The New York Times Company

1 message

John Overington, Retired Legislator ***
To: Eunice Yang <eunice.yang@nytimes.com>

Fri, Dec 4, 2020 at 5:43 PM

Dear Eunice,

The 500 shares of New York Times shares I own are Class A shares.

Sincerely,

John Overington

From: Eunice Yang <eunice.yang@nytimes.com>
Sent: Friday, December 4, 2020 4:48 PM
To: John Overington, Retired Legislator ***
Subject: Re: The New York Times Company

Dear Mr. Overington,

Thank you for your response to my letter, but as requested, would you please confirm whether you hold Class A or Class B shares?

Best regards,

Eunice

Eunice Yang

Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, Corporate & Securities

The New York Times Company

[620 Eighth Avenue](#)

[New York, New York 10018](#)

[\(212\) 556-1738](tel:(212)556-1738)

eunice.yang@nytimes.com

On Wed, Nov 25, 2020 at 12:11 AM John Overington, Retired Legislator

wrote:

Dear E. Yang,

The attached letter is to provide sufficient proof of my continuous ownership of the necessary market value and timeframe, as required for my shareholder proposal for inclusion in the proxy statement of the Company's 2021 Annual meeting.

Let me know if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

John Overington

From: Eunice Yang <eunice.yang@nytimes.com>

Sent: Friday, November 20, 2020 3:28 PM

To: John Overington, Retired Legislator

Cc: Diane Brayton <braytd@nytimes.com>

Subject: The New York Times Company

Dear Mr. Overington,

Please see the attached letter regarding the shareholder proposal you submitted. A copy of this letter was also sent to you via FedEx overnight delivery.

Best regards,

Eunice

Eunice Yang

Vice President and Assistant General Counsel, Corporate & Securities

The New York Times Company

[620 Eighth Avenue](#)

[New York, New York 10018](#)

[\(212\) 556-1738](#)

eunice.yang@nytimes.com



November 24, 2020

John Overington

Account #: *****_*

Questions: +1 877-201-2985

Requested Information

Dear John Overington,

I am writing in response to the requested information on the above referenced account. John Overington has had 500 shares of New York Times Corp ticker NYT since 04/04/2012.

This letter is for informational purposes only and is not an official record of the account. Please refer to statements and trade confirmations as they are the official record of account transactions.

Thank you for choosing Schwab. We appreciate your business and look forward to serving you in the future. If you have any questions, please call me or any Client Service Specialist at +1 877-201-2985.

Sincerely,

Josh Novorr

Josh Novorr
PARTNER SUPPORT TEAM
9800 Schwab Way
Lone Tree, CO 80124

Exhibit D

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY

Certificate of Incorporation

As Amended and Restated on
September 29, 1993;
and As Amended on
June 19, 1998, and
June 22, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION
of
THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY*

FIRST

The name of the proposed corporation is The New York Times Company.

SECOND

The objects for which it is to be formed are as follows:

1. The business of printing, publishing and selling newspapers, books, pamphlets and other publications, gathering, transmitting and supplying news reports, general job printing, and any and all other business incidental to the foregoing or any of them or thereunto pertaining or proper in connection therewith.

2. To purchase, take on lease or in exchange, hire or otherwise acquire any real or personal property, rights or privileges suitable or convenient for any purpose of its business, and to erect and construct, make, improve or aid or subscribe towards the construction, erection, making and improvement of any building institution, machinery or other appliance insofar as the same may be appurtenant to or useful for the conduct of the business above specified, but only to the extent to which the Corporation may be authorized under the laws of the State of New York or of the United States.

3. To acquire and carry on all or any part of the business or property of any corporation engaged in a business similar to that authorized to be conducted by this Corporation, and to undertake in conjunction therewith any liabilities of any person, firm, association or corporation possessed of property suitable for any of the purposes of this Corporation, or for carrying on any business which this Corporation is authorized to conduct, and as the consideration for the same to pay cash or to issue shares, stock or obligations of this Corporation.

4. To purchase, subscribe for or otherwise acquire, hold and dispose of the shares, stock or obligations of any corporation organized under the laws of this state or any other state, or of any territory of the United States or of any foreign country, except moneyed corporations, insofar as the same may be useful for the conduct of the business of this Corporation and incidental to or proper in connection therewith, and to issue in exchange therefor its stock, bonds or other obligations.

5. To borrow or raise money for any of the aforementioned purposes of this Corporation, and to secure the same and the interest thereon accruing, or for any purpose, to mortgage or charge the undertaking, or all or any part of the property, present or after acquired, subject to the limitations herein expressed, and to create, issue, make, draw, accept and negotiate debentures or debenture stock, mortgage bonds, promissory notes or other obligations or negotiable instruments.

6. To guarantee the payment of dividends or interest on any shares, stocks or debentures or other securities issued by, or any other contract or obligation of any corporation whenever proper or necessary for the business of this Corporation, provided the required authority be first obtained for that purpose.

7. To do any and all such other things as are incidental or conducive to the attainment of the above-mentioned objects.

THIRD

The Capital Stock is to consist of 301,049,602 shares, of which 200,000 shares of the par value of One Dollar (\$1) each shall be Serial Preferred Stock, 300,000,000 shares of the par value of Ten Cents (10¢) each shall be Class A Common Stock and 849,602 shares of the par value of Ten Cents (10¢) each shall be Class B Common Stock.

* Restated to reflect amendments effective June 22, 2007.

FOURTH

The designations, preferences, privileges and voting powers of the shares of each class and the restrictions or qualifications thereof are as follows:

(I) (a) Subject to applicable provisions of law and to the provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation, authority is hereby expressly granted to and vested in the Board of Directors, to the extent permitted by and upon compliance with the provisions set forth in the law of the State of New York, to issue the Serial Preferred Stock from time to time in one or more series, each series to have such relative rights, preferences, limitations or restrictions, and bear such designations, as shall be determined and stated prior to the issuance of any shares of any such series in and by a resolution or resolutions of the Board of Directors authorizing the issuance of such series, including without limitation:

(1) The number of shares to constitute such series and the distinctive designation thereof;

(2) The dividend rate or rates to which the shares of such series shall be entitled and whether dividends shall be cumulative and, if so, the date from which dividends shall accumulate, and the quarterly dates on which dividends, if declared, shall be payable;

(3) Whether the shares of such series shall be redeemable, the limitations and restrictions in respect of such redemptions, the manner of selecting shares of such series for redemption if less than all shares are to be redeemed, and the amount per share, including the premium, if any, which the holders of shares of such series shall be entitled to receive upon the redemption thereof, which amount may vary at different redemption dates and may be different in respect of shares redeemed through the operation of any retirement or sinking fund and in respect of shares otherwise redeemed;

(4) Whether the holders of shares of such series shall be entitled to receive, in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Corporation, whether voluntary or involuntary, an amount equal to the dividends accumulated and unpaid thereon, whether or not earned or declared, but without interest;

(5) Whether the shares of such series shall be subject to the operation of a purchase, retirement or sinking fund and, if so, whether such fund shall be cumulative or noncumulative, the extent to and the manner in which such fund shall be applied to the purchase or redemption of the shares of such series for retirement or to other corporate purposes, and the terms and provisions in respect of the operation thereof;

(6) Whether the shares of such series shall be convertible into, or exchangeable for, shares of stock of any other class or series thereof or of any other series of the same class, and if so convertible or exchangeable, the price or prices or the rate or rates of conversion or exchange and the method, if any, of adjusting the same;

(7) The voting powers, if any, of the shares of such series in addition to the voting powers provided by law;

(8) Any other rights, preferences, limitations or restrictions not inconsistent with law or the provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation.

(b) All shares of any one series of Serial Preferred Stock shall be identical with each other in all respects, except that in respect of any series entitled to cumulative dividends, shares of such series issued at different times may differ as to the dates from which such dividends shall be cumulative.

(c) The shares of Serial Preferred Stock shall be issued for a consideration of at least One Hundred Dollars (\$100) per share, and the stated capital allocable to each such issued share shall be at least One Hundred Dollars (\$100).

(II) The holders of the Class A Common Stock shall be entitled to one vote for each share thereof held by them in the election of 30% of the Board of Directors proposed to be elected at any meeting of stockholders held for that purpose (or the nearest larger whole number if such percentage is not a whole number) voting separately and as a class; and the holders of the Class B Common Stock shall be entitled to one vote for each share held by them in the election of the balance of the Board of Directors proposed to be elected at any such meeting, voting separately and as a class. Nothing herein shall be deemed to limit the authority of the Board of Directors with respect to the voting powers of any series of Serial Preferred Stock which may be issued pursuant to paragraph (I) of this Article FOURTH.

(III) The holders of the Class A Common Stock, the holders of the Class B Common Stock, and (to the extent determined by the Board of Directors in determining the rights of any series of Serial Preferred Stock issued pursuant to paragraph I hereof) the holders of shares of any series of Serial Preferred Stock shall be entitled to one vote per share, voting together and not as separate classes, upon:

(1) The matters specifically set forth in paragraph V of this Article FOURTH;

(2) Any proposal submitted to a vote of shareholders in connection with the ratification of the selection of independent certified public accountants to serve as auditors of the Company.

(IV) Except as provided in paragraphs I, II and III of this Article FOURTH and as otherwise required by the laws of the State of New York, the entire voting power shall be vested solely and exclusively in the holders of the shares of Class B Common Stock, the holders of Class B Common Stock to be entitled to 1 vote for each 1 share thereof held upon all matters requiring a vote of stockholders of the Corporation and the holders of the Class A Common Stock shall have no voting power, and shall not have the right to participate in any meeting of stockholders or to have notice thereof.

(V) Authorization by a majority of the votes cast at a meeting of shareholders by the holders of shares entitled to vote thereon shall be required for any one or more of the following actions, unless the Corporation shall, prior to any such action, receive in writing the consent of any stock exchange upon which any stock of the Corporation may be listed to such action without authorization of stockholders, or unless at the time of such action no shares of stock of the Corporation are listed upon any stock exchange:

(1) Reservation of any shares of capital stock of the Corporation for options granted or to be granted to officers, directors or employees of the Corporation:

(2) The acquisition of the stock or assets of any other company in the following circumstances:

(a) If any officer, director or holder of 10% or more of any class of shares of voting securities of the Corporation has an interest, directly or indirectly, in the company or assets to be acquired or in the consideration to be paid in the transaction;

(b) If the transaction involves the issuance of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock or securities convertible into either, or any combination of the three, and if the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock so to be issued together with the Common Stock which could be issued upon conversion of such securities approximates (in the reasonable judgment of the Board of Directors) 20% of the aggregate number of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to such transaction; or

(c) If the transaction involves issuance of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock and any additional consideration, and if the value of the aggregate consideration so to be issued (including the value of any Common Stock which may be issuable in the future in accordance with the terms of the transaction) has in the reasonable judgment of the Board of Directors a combined fair value of approximately 20% or more of the aggregate market value of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to such transaction.

(VI) Except for the holders of Class B Common Stock, no holder of any share of any class of stock of the Corporation shall have any preemptive or other rights to subscribe for or purchase any shares of any class or any notes, debentures, bonds or any other securities of the Corporation, whether now or hereafter authorized and whether or not convertible into, or evidencing or carrying options, warrants or rights to purchase shares of any class or any notes, debentures, bonds or any other securities now or hereafter authorized, and whether the same shall be issued for cash, services or property, or by way of dividend or otherwise.

(VII) Whenever any shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock of the Corporation shall have been redeemed, purchased or otherwise reacquired, the Board of Directors shall be authorized either to eliminate such shares from the authorized number of shares of the Corporation or to restore such shares to the status of authorized but unissued shares.

(VIII) (1) Each share of Class B Common Stock may at any time be converted, at the option of the holder thereof, into one fully paid and non-assessable (except to the extent provided in Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law) share of Class A Common Stock. Such right shall be exercised by the surrender of the certificate representing such share of Class B Common Stock to be converted at the office of the transfer agent of the Corporation (the "Transfer Agent") during normal business hours accompanied by a written notice of the election by the holder thereof to convert and (if so required by the Corporation or the Transfer Agent) an instrument of transfer, in form satisfactory to the Corporation and to the Transfer Agent, duly executed by such holder or his duly authorized attorney, and funds in the amount of any applicable transfer tax (unless provision satisfactory to the Corporation is otherwise made therefor), if required pursuant to subparagraph (3) below.

(2) As promptly as practicable after the surrender for conversion of a certificate representing shares of Class B Common Stock in the manner provided in subparagraph (1) above and the payment in cash of any amount required by the provisions of subparagraphs (1) and (3), the Corporation will deliver or cause to be delivered at the office of the Transfer Agent to or upon the written order of the holder of such certificate, a certificate or certificates representing the number of fully paid and non-assessable (except to the extent provided in Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law) shares of Class A Common Stock issuable upon such conversion, issued in such name or names as such holder may direct. Such conversion shall be deemed to have been made immediately prior to the close of business on the date of the surrender of the certificate representing shares of Class B Common Stock, and all rights of the holder of such shares of Class B Common Stock as such holder shall cease at such time and the person or persons in whose name or names the certificate or certificates representing the shares of Class A Common Stock are to be issued shall be treated for all purposes as having become the record holder or holders of such shares of Class A Common Stock at such time; provided, however, that any such surrender and payment on any date when the stock transfer books of the Corporation shall be closed shall constitute the person or persons in whose name or names the certificate or certificates representing shares of Class A Common Stock are to be issued as the record holder or holders thereof for all purposes immediately prior to the close of business on the next succeeding day on which such stock transfer books are open.

(3) The issuance of certificates for shares of Class A Common Stock upon conversion of shares of Class B Common Stock shall be made without charge for any stamp or other similar tax in respect of such issuance. However, if any such certificate is to be issued in a name other than that of the holder of the share or shares of Class B Common Stock converted, the person or persons requesting the issuance thereof shall pay to the Corporation the amount of any tax which may be payable in respect of any transfer involved in such issuance, or shall establish to the satisfaction of the Corporation that such tax has been paid.

(4) When shares of Class B Common Stock have been converted, they shall be cancelled and not reissued.

FIFTH

The amount with which said Corporation shall commence business is the sum of Seven Hundred Dollars (\$700).

SIXTH

The Secretary of State is designated as agent for the service of process.

The principal office of the Corporation shall be located in the City of New York, County of New York and State of New York, and the address to which the Secretary of State shall mail a copy of process in any action or proceeding against the Corporation which may be served on him is 620 Eighth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10018.

SEVENTH

The duration of the Corporation shall be perpetual.

EIGHTH

The number of directors of the Corporation shall be not less than three nor more than eighteen, each of whom shall hold at least one share of Capital Stock.

NINTH

No director of the Corporation shall be personally liable to the Corporation or its stockholders for damages for any breach of duty as a director; provided that this Article NINTH shall neither eliminate nor limit liability: (a) if a judgment or other final adjudication adverse to such director establishes that his or her acts or omissions were in bad faith or involved intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law or that he or she personally gained in fact a financial profit or other advantage to which he or she was not legally entitled or that his or her acts violated Section 719 of the Business Corporation Law; or (b) for any act or omission prior to the effectiveness of this Article NINTH. Any repeal of or modification to the provisions of this Article NINTH shall not adversely affect any right or protection of a director of the Corporation existing pursuant to this Article NINTH immediately prior to such repeal or modification.