

Another Rockefeller funded way to destroy human health and now our ecosystem under the guise of “sustainability” and conservation. Since the Rockefeller takeover of public health via repurposing of excess petroleum products, the Flexner report exposing that only the allopathic medical model should be taught in our medical schools and various academic institutions, let’s check in on how that is going nearly 100 years later in the United States. According to the RAND corporation, by 2025 half of the US population will be suffering from chronic disease including incurable cancers.

<http://www.fightchronicdisease.org/sites/default/files/docs/ChronicDiseaseFactSheet.pdf>

Profits over people, profits over health. Profit from the earth and ecosystem that humans rely on for their very survival. What gives the SEC the authority to create these Natural Asset Companies and will the SEC or the NYSE oversee these companies who will end up effectively owning what is now private land-much of it farming land- and what is our public land that is presently fairly well managed by the BLM and our National Park and State Parks? This NAC management ie: management authority that is being proposed under the guise of conservation will be managed by for profit companies and corporations because the language is clear by IEG (Intrinsic Exchange Group) the company requesting that the SEC authorize NACs to be traded on the NYSE. IEG refers to nature thus: (MONETARY VALUE)

By taking a NAC public through an IPO, the market transaction will succeed in converting the long-understood – but to-date unpriced – value of nature into financial capital. This monetization event will generate the funding needed to manage, restore, and grow healthy ecosystems around the world and bring us closer to achieving a truly sustainable, circular economy. <https://www.intrinsicexchange.com/>

I would like to point out the track record of just a few Corporations or companies neither growing nor managing anything healthy in our ecosystems including human ecosystems or biomes. Monsanto has settled over 100,000 Roundup lawsuits, paying out about \$11 billion as of May 2022. There are still 30,000 lawsuits pending. Change the name to Bayer and the problem persists: <https://apnews.com/article/business-oregon-lawsuits-fish-pollution-07c0dee2fb82de6aa29a2e2875bf1d48>

The Environmental Working group has researched a massive problem with the PFAS contamination of the US water supply and refers to it as a “crisis”. [https://www.ewg.org/interactive-maps/pfas\\_contamination/](https://www.ewg.org/interactive-maps/pfas_contamination/)

Companies, Corporations care very little or perhaps not at all about sustainability and the environment. The alarming increase in PFAS, forever chemicals, cancer rates skyrocketing is admittedly not sustainable. They care about profits. In addition to the Rockefeller’s investing in IEG- (Intrinsic Working group), another entity soliciting to the NYSE to put NACs to trade on the exchange is the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), a sponsor of IEG. This seems to follow a playbook of the World Bank in conjunction with the World Economic Forum and the UN’s 2030 Sustainability goals that would eventually remove all human working activity from all lands and strongly “recommend” that all human activity be relegated to cities including so-called SMART cities. All in the name of conservation. This is about controlling human behavior entirely down to what we eat and where we will be allowed to live. The WEF has stated on its website that we should expect to “own nothing and be happy”.

Trading our natural assets of land, air and water on the New York Stock Exchange is immoral, unethical and illogical because it is absolutely not sustainable. It is the securitization, the commodification and the

monetization of what all creatures and humans need to survive and to recreate for their enjoyment and decompression from living in the cities and working so hard to pay these same banks and hedge funds that have monetized the land already in the form of housing mortgages. "Anything that represents an income stream" can be securitized". <https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/07/securitization.asp> So with this model of essentially owning the working environmental product of Nature, is proposed to become securitized like an auto loan, student debt or mortgage and traded as such for its value. Loans will be generated from this asset of nature and if we think 35 trillion in debt is unsustainable, then we have seen nothing yet with how much our national debt may possibly increase with NACs. What could go wrong in monetizing air, water and earth and everything beneath the Earth? It is not unfathomable to expect more taxes to be placed at the doorstep of every American family in order to offset the costs of NACs managing our own land. Carbon taxes anyone?

The film "The Big Short" comes to mind with all that is and was the perfect storm of the 2007-2008 housing bubble mortgage and predatory lending practices that led to a total financial destabilization in which many Americans lost their homes due to foreclosures or the in arrears mortgage payments while many banks were so-called "bailed out". It is more than obvious at this point that the huge hedge fund and "Asset managers" like Blackrock, Blackstone, Vanguard, State Street and now Nuveen Natural Capital will be owning much more of the land including our National Parks if these NACs are approved on the exchange. If the management of private and public land is "licensed" away from the people and entities that have been managing and taking care of these lands for generations in America, then in essence that is a type of de facto ownership.

Which brings me to another salient point and question. My understanding is that our public lands will be included in this giveaway to banks, hedge funds and corporations disguised as "companies" including to foreign investment. If this is accurate, then this is not only a major national security issue but it is completely unintelligent. The people who live on the land or adjacent to it in the case of our National and State parks have the most incentive and motivation in preserving the land and maintaining its health and integrity for the enjoyment of the American people. The US Department of Interior refers to our National Parks as "America's Crown Jewels" and many Americans would agree. Our land should not be put into the hands of foreign investors or even billionaire investors here in America, centralized bankers and hedge fund/asset managers under the ESG rubric. Is this theft of our land from the people? Is this a massive land grab? People living in other countries far away have no interest in preserving, maintaining and caring for land that they are thousands of miles away from. This is common sense.

Lastly, what kind of "companies" and investment opportunities for Corporations, Banks etc. are we looking at with this proposal and who monitors that? Will Americans be looking at more farmland bought up by Bill Gates in order to make lab grown meat and 3-D printed food and more GMO crops? Is this more Bio-Synthetic product companies to come and pharmaceutical corporations getting unfettered ownership of our Earths plants for their products? Will the Lithium miners be allowed to come in and destroy the land with Lithium mining practices? What is the exact plan, to have more GMO Ethanol industry?

This is more smoke and mirrors banking trickery as we now see more and more the complete failure of ESG's and the perhaps as high as 94% rate of phantom credits scam. Is this proposal seriously going to pass and do humans even in our own government not see all of the fallacies involved for themselves and future generations? Does the SEC subscribe to the mantra that Corporations and Global Government

such as the UN and all the Banks that follow will manage the land better than the humans living on it? Does the SEC subscribe to a view that Companies, Corporations and the Banks that drive them have thus far done a good job managing our land, oceans, air and water? That position would be in complete opposition to the facts as briefly highlighted by the aforementioned lawsuit and pollution track record of Monsanto now Bayer. Please do not allow the trading of Nature and Earth on to the New York Stock Exchange. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

**"Humans merely share the earth. We can only protect the land, not own it"**

**Chief Seattle**