وفار وفاد بعاني الاتحاد ويردد فالمراجع والمحاد المانات : integrated director elections. We fully appear to tayes, Fule 452 has a significant map of the other other



 $() \rightarrow 0$

230'00° PSTSTATE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION (P.M. manages (OL LIOUIDYcurenear assign (b)) Secure an important step toward customer det of the corporate 1801 HERMITAGE BOULEVARD 1802 UNASE SUTALIZHASSEE, FLORIDA 32368- SUS D. 1980 1990 CONTRACT SUBJECT OF THE T OF SCHELL OF SEA SINCE BOSE OFFICE BOX 13300 DI OF (SEA) OF ST

ALEA DUN CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AS TREASURER BILL McCOLLUM ATTORNEY GENERAL AS SECRETARY COLEMAN STIPANOVICH

CHARLIE CRIST GOVERNOR AS CHAIRMAN

ALEX SINK

32317-3300

A CALL & MARKER June 13, 2007 10070030071 mi of the 14YSE Proxy Working Group on Kur-Christopher Cox. Chairman Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE

Washington, DC 20549-1090

2143.2511

NA20 N.S.

Re: Recommendations of the NYSE Proxy Working Group on Rule 452 • • . ; 13'590

Dear Chairman Cox:

32317-3396

I am writing on behalf of the State Board of Administration (SBA) of Florida to express our support for the recently amended proposal by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) to reform portions of NYSE Rule 452. The SBA believes the proposed amendment to Rule 452 represents an important step toward ensuring better corporate governance for listed companies. The SBA manages the Florida Retirement System (FRS) on behalf of approximately 970,000 beneficiaries and retirees, with assets totaling approximately \$140 billion.

The SBA believes Rule 452 has a significant impact on voting outcomes and presently undermines the integrity of director elections.¹ We fully support the NYSE's proposal to reclassify the election of directors as a "non-routine" matter, which would no longer permit brokers to cast votes for uninstructed shares. We believe the ability to vote for directors is an essential right, and it is important that the votes of shareholders not be diluted or skewed by brokers who have authority to vote uninstructed shares, but lack the necessary economic and ownership incentive. Brokers may even have conflicts with the interests of shareholders due to financial service relationships with company management. Broker voting in elections brings about significant problems with little if any benefit. The concern over meeting quorum requirements is not a valid reason to allow brokers to vote uninstructed shares. There is simply no need for brokers to cast votes for shares they do not own.

An example of the harmful impact of broker voting occurred at the recent annual meeting of CVS/Caremark Corp., at which one director received 57 percent of the votes cast. It

¹ A June 7, 2006, article in the Financial Times estimated 70 to 80 percent of all shares in public companies are held by shareowners through brokers. Automatic Data Processing provided data to the NYSE Proxy Working Group indicating that during 2004, 32 companies would have received greater than 50 percent withhold voting levels for individual directors if the broker discretionary votes had not been permitted under Rule 452.

Christopher Cox June 13, 2007 Page 2

has been reported that without broker votes, this director would have garnered only 43 percent of the votes cast, implying that broker votes of uninstructed shares swung 14 percent and secured his reelection under CVS/Caremark's majority-vote standard for director elections. Although currently permitted, we believe the inclusion of broker votes is inappropriate and, in cases such as this, thwarts the will of the actual owners who vote at the meeting.

The NYSE has recommended that certain exemptions be made in this proposal. We do acknowledge the cost considerations for exempting registered investment companies from the proposed amendments. However, we strongly endorse a future review of whether or not cost barriers have continued. Although such a release may be warranted, mutual fund governance remains a key issue for the SBA, as we have advocated for independent board chairpersons as well as supermajority levels of independence for members of boards of trustees. We believe the present exemption of such investment companies from the proposed amendments to Rule 452 poses no problem, but this should be re-evaluated at some point.

We appreciate the NYSE's efforts in crafting recommendations on these complex matters. We hope the SEC will act quickly to allow the NYSE to implement these recommendations and further the NYSE's leadership on governance and shareholders' rights. We look forward to the opportunity to provide positive feedback when the SEC seeks public comment on this issue.

Thank you for your consideration of this significant issue impacting our pension investments. If you have any questions, please contact Tracy Stewart, Senior Corporate Governance Analyst, at (850) 413-1257 or me.

Sincerely.

cc:

Michael McCauley Director, Office of Corporate Governance

Commissioner Paul S. Atkins Commissioner Roel C. Campos Commissioner Kathleen L. Casey Commissioner Annette L. Nazareth John A. Thain, CEO, NYSE Euronext Catherine R. Kinney, President and Co-COO, NYSE Euronext