BEGGS & LANE

RUSSELL A. BADDERS MARY JANE BASS ELIZABETH C. CALLAHAN JAMES S. CAMPBELL J, NIXON DANIEL, III JOHN P. DANIEL TERRIE L. DIDIER THOMAS F. GONZALEZ STEVEN R. GRIFFIN DAVID E. HIGHTOWER GARY B. LEUCHTMAN JACK W. LURTON, III DAVID I MCGEE WILLIAM H. MITCHEM PETER J. MOUGEY RALPH A. PETERSON JOE SCARBOROUGH JEFFREY A. STONE DAVID B. TAYLOR, III RUSSELL F. VAN SICKLE MATTHEW D. VINSON JAMES M, WEBER CHARLES T. WIGGINS

JOHN F. WINDHAM

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW
POST OFFICE BOX 12950
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32591-2950

501 COMMENDENCIA STREET PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502 TELEPHONE (850) 432-2451 TELECOPIER (850) 469-3331

> W. SPENCER MITCHEM OF COUNSEL

> > E. DIXIE BEGGS

BERT H. LANE

August 3, 2007

VIA EMAIL (rule-comments@sec.gov)

Nancy M. Morris, Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street NE Washington, D.C. 20549-9303

RE: SR-NASD-2007-021: Proposed Amendment to Rule 12100(u) of the NASD Code of Arbitration Procedure

Dear Ms. Morris:

I am a partner with the law firm of Beggs & Lane in Pensacola, Florida. My securities practice exclusively focuses on the representation of individual investors. My firm has represented several hundred public investors in NASD and NYSE arbitrations as well as state and federal court.

I write to comment in support of the proposed rule change. Although I would prefer to see only public arbitrators with no bias towards the industry, I believe the new rule is a step in the right direction. The proposed amendment would purge the pool of those with significantly more industry allegiance than the \$50,000 threshold. While I would welcome stronger measures, I believe that the proposal is a necessary modification to eliminate some of the conflict or impartiality from the existing system.

Please do not hesitate to contact me regarding these comments. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed rule change.

Peter J. Mougey

For the Firm

PJM/ilc