

October 8th, 2022

Vanessa Countryman, Secretary

Securities and Exchange Commission

100 F Street, NE

Washington, DC 20549-0609

Re: Reporting of Securities Loans (File No. S7-18-21)

Dear Secretary Countryman:

I am writing in strong support of rule 10c-1, "Reporting of Securities Loans".

Talk about the new and very desirable phenomenon of the public serving as first-line watchdogs in monitoring short selling data for securities fraud, strengthening the SEC and better enabling it to fulfill its mandate, at no cost. More timely, higher-resolution reporting would create a waterfall effect whereby some individual investors analyze the data and make that analysis publicly available for free, which is then disseminated widely and re-analyzed, spurring more activity. This allows individual investors to help each other, and allows busy working families to be the recipient of aid for free. Working families do not have the resources to buy data and analysis, nor do they have the time to analyze data themselves. Greater transparency has positive effects on investor protection that go far beyond the obvious. The Commission must not remain ignorant of how social media facilitates a protective web of information sharing that protects investors. The Commission must not behave as though they are ignorant of how greater data provision empowers whistleblowers, who extend the Commission's reach and greater empower it to meet its strategic goals.

- Talk about the dangers inherent in long, untracked lending chains, that can lead to economic fragility. Securities lending activity can hide massively destructive chains of obligation that can even be a threat to national security, and so transparency in this area is more important than it has ever been. The risks associated with reckless securities lending and short selling - highlighted with terrifying clarity following the events of Jan 28 2021, go far beyond any theoretical benefits of secret short selling for "superior returns". Investor protection comes first.

A short seller is not an investor, but the opposite. The SEC seems to be prioritizing hedge fund comfort and profiteering over investor protection and market transparency. While short sellers might be afraid of 'short squeezes' that can follow the identification of their short selling strategy, that is not a reason for the Commission to decide against greater transparency. If short selling is chilled, then short squeezes and dangerous volatility become less common. 'Sophisticated investors' will quickly learn to avoid positions that could result in such dangerous volatility, which will clearly benefit the market overall.

Be human, be kind

Sincerely,

Tré, A Concerned Investor