

2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3309 Phone: (202) 367-1197

Fax: (202) 367-2197 www.nalhfa.org

Officers

President
Jim Shaw
Austin, Texas
Capital Area Housing Finance
Corporation

Vice President
Ernestine Garey
Atlanta, Georgia
Development Authority

Treasurer
Paula Sampson
Fairfax County, Virginia
Department of Housing &
Community Development

Secretary
Marc Jahr
New York, New York
Housing Development
Corporation

Past President
Patricia Braynon
Miami-Dade County, Florida
Housing Finance Authority

Directors

Vivian Benjamin Montgomery County, Maryland Housing Opportunities Commission

Tom Cummings Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Urban Redevelopment Authority

Doug Guthrie Los Angeles County, California Department of Housing

Olson Lee San Francisco, California Redevelopment Authority

W.D. Morris Orange County, Florida Housing Finance Authority

Ellen Sahli Chicago, Illinois Department of Housing

Mtumishi St. Julien New Orleans, Louisiana Finance Authority

Mark Ulfers
Dakota County, Minnesota
Community Development Agency

Ron Williams Houston, Texas Southeast Texas Housing Finance Corporation

Staff

John C. Murphy
Executive Director

Heather Williams Senior Associate June 1, 2010

Elizabeth M. Murphy Secretary Securities and Exchange Commission U.S. Government 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549-1090

> Re: Commission File No. S7-14-11 Credit Risk Retention

Dear Ms. Murphy:

The National Association of Local Housing Finance Agencies (NALHFA) is a non-profit, national association of city and county government agencies that finance affordable housing in the broader community development context. Among the tools that NALHFA members utilize are tax-exempt single-family and multifamily housing bonds. In the single-family context these bonds provide mortgage assistance for first-time homebuyers, while in the multifamily context they provide below-market financing for the acquisition, construction and preservation of rental housing for lower-income households. These bonds derive their public purpose as a result of the requirements and restrictions of the Internal Revenue Code and serve to expand affordable housing opportunities for the Nation's low-and-moderate income renters and homebuyer. As such, they stand in sharp contrast to corporate bonds.

NALHFA appreciates the opportunity to provide further comments on Commission File No. S7-14-11, credit risk retention requirements of Section 15G of the Securities Act of 1934 as added by Section 941 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. NALHFA initially provided comments to the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2010 urging it to provide a full exemption for tax-exempt municipal securities Asset Backed Securities risk retention and disclosure requirements. NALHFA members are very pleased to see that the March 30, 2011 proposed rule does just that. The March 30th proposed rule asks for comments on several questions:

• Is the proposed exemption for ABS issued or guaranteed by a State or municipal entity appropriate?

NALHFA believes strongly that it is. As was indicated in NALHFA's November 25th letter, "...NALHFA strongly believes that the effect of classifying tax-exempt single-family and multifamily housing bonds as asset-backed securities in the same manner as corporate asset-backed bonds, and subject to the same ... risk retention requirements in the proposed rules, violates the 1975 Tower Amendment to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

• Is it under or over-inclusive? Should it apply to a special purpose entity that is created at the direction of a municipal entity but whose bonds are not issued or guaranteed by the municipal entity?

NALHFA believes that the proposed exemption is neither under nor over-inclusive. It is merely an exercising by the Securities and Exchange Commission of the authority that Congress gave to completely exempt municipal securities from the risk retention requirements.

Issuers of tax-exempt single-family and multifamily private activity bonds are conduit entities created pursuant to state or local law. The mortgage or rent revenues that are derived from payment by those assisted from the proceeds of these bonds are pledged to retire the bonds over time. They are correctly covered by the proposed exemption.

NALHFA strongly urges the SEC to retain the proposed exemption from the risk retention requirements for municipal securities pursuant to the authority granted by Dodd – Frank.

NALHFA appreciates the Commission's favorable action on this recommendation.

Sincerely,

John C. Murphy

Executive Director