## Subject: File No. S7-04-23 From: Anonymous

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed legislation by the SEC on safeguarding advisory client assets, specifically in relation to cryptocurrency and digital assets. While I understand the need for regulatory oversight in the financial industry, it is important to ensure that any regulations put forth do not stifle innovation or impose unnecessary burdens on market participants. In this comment, I will outline several points highlighting the potential overreach of the SEC in this matter, citing existing laws and regulations to support my arguments. Argument 1: The proposed legislation by the SEC appears to extend its regulatory authority beyond what is necessary to protect investors and maintain fair markets. The SEC's jurisdiction primarily lies in securities regulation, and while some cryptocurrencies may be classified as securities, not all digital assets fall under this category. It is crucial to recognize that cryptocurrencies and digital assets have unique characteristics that may not fit neatly within existing regulatory frameworks. Imposing stringent regulations on these assets without proper consideration for their distinct nature could hinder innovation and growth in the industry. Argument 2: The SEC's proposed legislation may create an undue burden on market participants, particularly smaller businesses and startups operating in the cryptocurrency and digital asset space. Compliance with extensive regulatory requirements can be costly and time-consuming, which may deter new entrants from entering the market. This could result in a concentration of power among larger, more established players, limiting competition and potentially harming market dynamics. It is essential to strike a balance between investor protection and fostering a conducive environment for innovation and competition. Argument 3: Existing laws and regulations already provide a framework for addressing investor protection and fraudulent activities in the cryptocurrency and digital asset space. The SEC has the authority to take enforcement actions against individuals or entities engaged in fraudulent or deceptive practices, as demonstrated by numerous cases in the past. Instead of introducing new regulations, the SEC should focus on effectively enforcing existing laws to ensure a level playing field for all market participants. This approach would be more efficient and less burdensome for businesses while still achieving the desired investor protection objectives. Argument 4: The proposed legislation may have unintended consequences, such as driving innovation and capital formation offshore. If the regulatory environment becomes overly restrictive in the United States, businesses and investors may seek more favorable jurisdictions with less stringent regulations. This could result in a loss of economic opportunities and potential tax revenue for the country. It is crucial to strike a balance between regulation and fostering an environment that encourages innovation and investment within the United States. In conclusion, while investor protection and market integrity are important goals, the proposed legislation by the SEC regarding the safeguarding of advisory client assets in the context of cryptocurrency and digital assets may be an overreach of regulatory authority. It is essential to consider the unique characteristics of these assets and ensure that regulations do not stifle innovation or impose unnecessary burdens on market participants. Existing laws and regulations already provide a framework for addressing investor protection, and the SEC should focus on effectively enforcing these laws rather than introducing new regulations. By striking a balance between regulation and fostering a conducive environment for innovation and competition, we can achieve both investor protection and the growth of the cryptocurrency and digital asset industry.