

August 7, 2023

To Whom It May Concern:

LOOK AT WHAT THE SEC'S FORMER CHIEF OF INTERNET ENFORCEMENT IS DOING.

This one liar, out of all the liars who have ever worked at the SEC, is revealing publicly the FACT that the SEC employs illegal deceptive fraud tactics to deceive people into believing that ordinary legal principles apply the way the Supreme Court has said many times, over and over again, that they do.

We see the appellate courts and the Supreme Court clarify hundreds of times over the last century that it is NOT the labels that are assigned to things that make them subject to certain statutory authorities granted by Congress pursuant to constitutionally-valid legislative power apportioned to Congress by We The People as a subset of the government powers in our nation: specifically the federal powers that DO NOT usurp nor preempt the powers reserved to the various states.

Here, however, we see that one of the MOST IMPORTANT MEMBERS OF YOUR POLITICAL COMMISSION IN RECENT HISTORY is systematically engaged in a campaign of disinformation and lies, pretending he is a former law enforcement agent who investigated and prosecuted crime for 20 years, this [REDACTED] is showing the public that he neither understands the law nor has enough intelligence to understand that he failed to comply with the U.S. Constitution while employed as Chief of Internet Enforcement at the SEC.

This former director of the Commission tells us clearly that the SEC uses its delusional thinking and its dishonest imagination to prop up its invalid belief that it is a law enforcement agency empowered to prosecute crimes including ANY TIME ANYONE USES THE WORD "EXCHANGE" or "BROKER" or "MARKET-MAKER" in the view of the corrupt SEC directors and staff liars the use of those words, alone, constitutes a CRIMINAL OFFENSE for which the staff liars and make-believe law enforcement agents at the SEC are triggered into action to execute search warrants and put handcuffs on suspects.


*** oops, I misspelled "lawyer" several times there.**

**** oops, I forgot the SEC has no power to even request a search warrant against anyone; if any of your staff do possess handcuffs they are the fuzzy kind used in ██████████ ██████████ not for arresting suspects.**


***** if it's not clear enough to you by now how much I hate what your corrupt political commission has caused the United States to become, a nation of delusional thinkers who don't even attempt to achieve clarity about anything because clarity is the opposite of political power and all wealth in this nation appears to come from being unclear about things, let me be clear that you are all worthy of being hated, you are despicable people who seem to work in government because you lack the intelligence and the skill to do anything of value. Prove me wrong, if you can, by repairing your corrupt federal agency. Investigate yourself and tell Congress what the problem is. Thanks.**

<https://twitter.com/JohnReedStark/status/1688375866646990848>


← Tweet

 **steven sprague** @skswave · 19h
Actually, it is software that can do many useful functions


1 35

 **Nora is based** @jen_k_usa · 19h
Name one.

2 2 36

 **steven sprague** @skswave · 17h
A token can bind proof of a reputable identity.
If I send you one token as an example and 6 months later send another.
You can prove both came from the same identity. No third parties are required the data is on chain.
Proof of time stamp.
Simple buy valuable capabilities.

2 36

 **John Reed Stark** @JohnReedStark

It's not about whether you set up a legal entity as a nonprofit and funded it with tokens. It's not whether you rely on open-source software or can use a token within some smart contract. It's not about Pokemon cards, baseball cards or beanie babies. These are not laundromat tokens: Promoters are marketing and the investing public is buying most of these tokens, touting or anticipating profits based on the efforts of others.

You can buy a baseball card as an investment but it is not a security because it has nothing to do with the efforts of others (except the player perhaps and the baseball card marketplace) or unless you buy it as part of some sort of investment pool, limited partnership or other kind of offering. You are betting on not just the greater fool theory with crypto but the efforts of that crypto's promoters and originators, who are often manipulating the marketplace. That triggers the '33 Act.

Moreover, by calling themselves "exchanges," "brokers," and "market-makers." Crypto trading platforms co-opt historically powerful nomenclature that implies trust, oversight and consumer protection, etc., which can quickly evolve into dangerous and unlawful marketing theater. That triggers the '34 Act.

It's like if a drug dealer suddenly offered to perform brain surgery for their customers, yet had never gone to college or medical school, never done a hospital internship or residency and their only health training consisted of watching a few TikTok videos on how to sell heroin to elementary school kids.

I get your beef brother. But the stark reality is that by hijacking bona-fide regulatory labels, together with a sleek website, flashy Super Bowl commercials and attractive customer-interphase, entities like Coinbase and Binance create a counterfeit veneer of assurances, integrity, expertise and regulatory supervision. And that violates US Laws -- despite what some self-proclaimed "fintech" lawyers claim.

4:25 PM · Aug 6, 2023 · 25 Views

<https://twitter.com/XRPJulian/status/1688277643714523136>

<https://twitter.com/JohnReedStark/status/1687872119588175872>

3. The SEC Has Failed to Provide any Crypto-Guidance. Citing the lack of crypto-related SEC regulatory clarity is a bogus Big Crypto catchphrase and losing legal defense.

Despite its pervasiveness and bluster, the laughable Big Crypto tagline calling for regulatory clarity is nothing more than a desperate deflection and noxious red herring. Intended to sidetrack and dissemble the plain truth — that the crypto-emperor has no clothes — the regulatory clarity and SEC hit-pieces are pure subterfuge, and an impulsive and frantic attempt to appeal and co-opt fundamental notions of fairness, liberty and freedom (which most people cherish and hold dear). Here's why:

First off, securities regulation is not meant to be precise but is instead intentionally drafted to be broad and all-encompassing; clarity is not just uncommon, it is deliberately avoided.

Second, though securities regulation is primarily a principles-based legal framework, there already exists extraordinary regulatory transparency and lucidity regarding crypto.

Finally, although the crypto industry constantly grouses for regulatory clarity, whenever any specific regulatory crypto-related rules are promulgated or proposed, the crypto industry cries foul and almost instantly files a flashy legal challenge to its enactment.

([sites.duke.edu/thefinregblog/...](https://sites.duke.edu/thefinregblog/); [linkedin.com/pulse/why-coin...](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/why-coin-...))



JasonCoombs.CEO ❤️ 🤖 💰
@JasonCoombsCEO

Replying to @JasonCoombsCEO and @JohnReedStark

The former Chief of Internet Enforcement @SECGov says the SEC creates a lack of clarity on purpose.

Deliberately unclear is deliberately unconstitutional. ⚖️
[twitter.com/JohnReedStark/...](https://twitter.com/JohnReedStark/)

3. The SEC Has Failed to Provide any Crypto-Guidance. Citing the lack of crypto-related SEC regulatory clarity is a bogus Big Crypto catchphrase and losing legal defense.

Despite its pervasiveness and bluster, the laughable Big Crypto tagline calling for regulatory clarity is nothing more than a desperate deflection and noxious red herring. Intended to sidetrack and dissemble the plain truth — that the crypto-emperor has no clothes — the regulatory clarity and SEC hit-pieces are pure subterfuge, and an impulsive and frantic attempt to appeal and co-opt fundamental notions of fairness, liberty and freedom (which most people cherish and hold dear). Here's why:

First off, securities regulation is not meant to be precise but is instead intentionally drafted to be broad and all-encompassing; clarity is not just uncommon, it is deliberately avoided.

Second, though securities regulation is primarily a principles-based legal framework, there already exists extraordinary regulatory transparency and lucidity regarding crypto.

Finally, although the crypto industry constantly grouses for regulatory clarity, whenever any specific regulatory crypto-related rules are promulgated or proposed, the crypto industry cries foul and almost instantly files a flashy legal challenge to its enactment.

([sites.duke.edu/thefinregblog/...](https://sites.duke.edu/thefinregblog/); linkedin.com/pulse/why-coin...)

Seriously.

Regards,

Jason Coombs
ceo@forensics.org
831-241-4900