
MEMECONOMICS

Social Value, Community Capital, and the Case for Studying \$KC Kitty Cat Coin

Research brief	Version 1.0 • August 2026
Format	Academic-style discussion paper
Subject	Memecoins, social capital, speculation, and \$KC

Abstract. Memecoins are often dismissed as purely speculative assets, yet their economic importance can also be studied through community formation, attention markets, cultural production, low-friction participation, and experimentation with internet-native forms of coordination. This paper develops a framework for understanding those potential social benefits while treating price appreciation as uncertain rather than guaranteed. It then applies that framework to \$KC Kitty Cat Coin as a case-study candidate, without inventing unverified facts about its tokenomics, contract, liquidity, team, or roadmap.

Important: This document is an educational and promotional-style research brief, not personalized financial advice, a guarantee of returns, or an endorsement by any university, regulator, or academic institution. The available public search results did not establish a sufficiently reliable current identity for a token specifically tickered **\$KC** as Kitty Cat Coin, so project-specific claims are deliberately limited. Anyone considering a purchase should independently verify the official contract address, chain, liquidity, holder distribution, ownership controls, taxes, and current project disclosures.

Prepared as an independent analytical / promotional research document
Inspired by the structure of contemporary arXiv-style preprints; not affiliated with arXiv or the authors of the cited papers.

Contents

1. Executive Summary
2. Scope, Method, and Evidence Standards
3. What a Memecoin Actually Does
4. The Social-Economic Value of Memecoins
5. Community as a Form of Capital
6. Attention, Virality, and Cultural Production
7. Financial Access and Market Participation
8. The Case for Studying \$KC Kitty Cat Coin
9. A \$KC Investment-Thesis Framework
10. Why a Small Speculative Allocation Can Be Rational — Without Calling It Safe
11. Risk, Failure Modes, and Anti-Hype Controls
12. Due-Diligence Checklist for \$KC
13. Scenario Analysis
14. How a Memecoin Can Produce Real-World Benefits
15. Governance, Transparency, and Community Norms
16. A Responsible Community Growth Model
17. Discussion
18. Conclusion
- Appendix A. Evidence Matrix
- Appendix B. Suggested \$KC Disclosure Page
- References

1. Executive Summary

Memecoins sit at an unusual intersection of finance, culture, entertainment, software, and social media. Their prices can be extremely volatile, and many tokens have little or no conventional fundamental cash flow. That does not mean the entire category is socially meaningless. A memecoin can function as a community artifact, a shared cultural symbol, an attention-generating brand, and a low-cost mechanism through which people experiment with online coordination.

Recent academic work supports a more nuanced view. Research on cryptocurrency value narratives finds that users attribute value not only to investment potential but also to utility and broader social or infrastructural meanings.

Research specifically on memecoins describes them through characteristics such as community-first formation and utility that may emerge after a community has formed. Other work, however, finds that memecoin growth can increase market-wide risk and that speculation and contagion are important features of the sector.

The strongest defensible thesis is therefore not 'memecoins always create value' and not 'buying \$KC will make you rich.' The stronger thesis is: **memecoins can create forms of social and cultural value that conventional financial models do not fully capture, while the tokens themselves remain high-risk speculative assets.**

For \$KC Kitty Cat Coin, the practical investment question is whether the project can turn attention into durable community capital. That requires more than a cute ticker. It requires transparent ownership, verifiable token mechanics, sufficient liquidity, active community participation, credible development, and a culture that does not depend entirely on misleading price promises.

Bottom line: a person can rationally choose to take a small, explicitly speculative position in a memecoin if they understand that the position could lose most or all of its value. But that is fundamentally different from claiming that purchasing the token is objectively 'financial advice.'

Key propositions

- **Memecoins monetize attention:** communities can transform jokes, characters, stories, and internet culture into economic activity.
- **Communities can be productive:** holders can create memes, art, events, software, content, and social networks around a shared asset.
- **Participation can be educational:** token communities expose users to wallets, markets, on-chain data, liquidity, and digital ownership.
- **Liquidity is not the same as value:** a token can be socially popular while still being financially fragile.
- **Price is reflexive:** expectations can attract attention, while attention can influence demand; this can work upward or downward.
- **\$KC must earn its thesis:** the strongest case depends on verifiable project facts rather than slogans or guaranteed-return language.

2. Scope, Method, and Evidence Standards

This document uses an academic-paper structure but is not a peer-reviewed academic article. It synthesizes publicly available research on memecoins, cryptocurrency value, investor motivation, and market risk, then develops an analytical framework for evaluating \$KC Kitty Cat Coin.

Why the distinction matters

A promotional document can be persuasive without pretending to be independent scholarship. In crypto markets, credibility is strengthened—not weakened—when uncertainty is stated openly. Accordingly, this paper separates three levels of claims:

Claim level	Meaning	Treatment in this paper
Category-level	Claims about memecoins generally	Supported with external research where available.
Framework-level	Analytical arguments about community, attention, and persuasion	Presentation as a model or interpretation.
\$KC-specific	Claims about the named token/project	Only included when independently verifiable; unknown facts are marked unknown

Source limitations

The arXiv paper supplied as the visual reference is titled *Mind Viruses: Self-Propagating Ideas in Multi-Agent LLM Systems*. It concerns AI-agent systems, not memecoins. It is therefore used here only as a formatting and organizational inspiration, not as evidence for cryptocurrency claims. [cite turn 0 view 0](#)

The web search also surfaced multiple unrelated projects using names such as Kitty Cat Coin or similar tickers. Because ticker symbols are not unique identifiers, this paper intentionally avoids attributing old or unrelated token data to the \$KC project described by the requester.

3. What a Memecoin Actually Does

A memecoin is best understood as a token whose demand is heavily connected to internet culture, community identity, narratives, humor, virality, and speculation. Unlike a traditional company, its primary product may be a community and a cultural object rather than a stream of operating cash flows.

This makes the memecoin economy unusual. In a traditional business, attention is usually an input into sales. In a memecoin, attention can be both the product and the mechanism that moves the asset. A meme attracts attention; attention attracts people; people create content; content creates more attention; trading activity can then increase the visibility of the token.

The cycle is powerful but unstable. The same feedback loop that can create rapid growth can reverse rapidly when attention fades, liquidity falls, large holders sell, or the community loses confidence.

A simplified memecoin value loop

Stage	Mechanism	Potential output
1. Cultural signal	Meme / mascot / narrative	Recognition
2. Community formation	People gather around shared identity	Social capital
3. Attention	Posts, memes, videos, discussions	Reach
4. Market participation	Buying, selling, holding, liquidity	Price discovery
5. Coordination	Community projects and campaigns	Collective activity
6. Reinforcement	Successful activity attracts more participants	Network effects
7. Decay or renewal	Attention either fades or becomes durable	Long-term survival or collapse

The important implication is that a memecoin can have *social value* even when its financial value is uncertain. The reverse is also true: a token can have a high market capitalization while providing little durable social value.

4. The Social-Economic Value of Memecoins

The phrase 'beneficial for society' should be used carefully. Memecoins are not automatically socially beneficial. Their potential benefits depend on how communities behave, how transparently projects operate, and whether participation creates useful experiences rather than simply transferring wealth from later buyers to earlier sellers.

Potential benefit 1 — Community formation

A shared token can create a persistent social object. Members have a common symbol, vocabulary, jokes, events, and goals. This can make online communities more cohesive and can lower the cost of discovering people with similar interests.

Potential benefit 2 — Cultural production

Memecoins turn internet-native creativity into an economic environment. Artists, editors, meme creators, developers, moderators, streamers, and community organizers can all contribute. In that sense, a token can act as a coordination layer around creative work.

Potential benefit 3 — Financial experimentation

Open blockchain markets let users experiment with ownership, liquidity, token distribution, market making, and digital property rights without requiring the infrastructure of a traditional public company. This experimentation can teach users how markets actually work.

Potential benefit 4 — Global participation

Crypto networks can allow people in different jurisdictions and time zones to participate in a shared digital market. The same openness that makes participation accessible also creates risks, so accessibility should never be confused with safety.

Potential benefit 5 — Funding and coordination

A community token can potentially coordinate voluntary contributions toward software, events, content, charitable campaigns, or other projects. Whether it actually does so is a project-specific empirical question.

5. Community as a Form of Capital

Traditional finance tends to emphasize physical capital, financial capital, and intellectual property. Online communities introduce another category: **social capital**. Social capital describes the relationships, norms, trust, reputation, and coordination capacity that make collective action easier.

A memecoin community can accumulate social capital when members repeatedly contribute, trust transparent information, create useful content, and develop shared norms. The token then becomes a measurable symbol of membership, even though ownership alone does not guarantee genuine community contribution.

The community-capital flywheel

Input	Community behavior	Capital created
Shared identity	Memes, language, symbols	Belonging
Low barrier to contribution	Posts, art, moderation	Participation
Recognition	Roles, status, reputation	Contributor incentives
Transparency	Public wallets, disclosures, dashboards	Trust
Coordination	Events, campaigns, development	Execution capacity
Positive outcomes	Useful products or memorable experiences	Reputation

The investment implication is subtle: **the community can be an asset even when the token is not**. A strong community can help a project survive volatility; a weak community can leave a token with little reason to exist.

Research on memecoin investors also suggests that participation is associated with active market engagement and risk-taking behavior. That finding reinforces the need to distinguish community enthusiasm from evidence of financial quality. ■cite■turn0search4■

6. Attention, Virality, and Cultural Production

The modern internet has created an attention economy in which cultural relevance can spread faster than traditional advertising. Memecoins are unusually well adapted to this environment because the underlying asset can itself be a meme.

Academic research on 'memepreneurs' argues that internet memes can function as forms of symbolic capital: creators identify attention imbalances, monetize novelty, and convert social visibility into economic returns. This provides a useful conceptual bridge between meme culture and token communities. ■cite■turn0search1■

Why memes can have economic effects

- **Compression:** a meme communicates identity and emotion quickly.
- **Replication:** users can copy and remix a meme at near-zero marginal cost.
- **Participation:** the audience becomes a creator rather than a passive consumer.
- **Identity:** owning or supporting a token can signal membership in a cultural group.
- **Competition:** communities compete for attention, which can create rapid innovation in content and branding.

This is one reason memecoin communities can grow faster than conventional brands. A community member is not merely a customer; they can become a marketer, artist, moderator, trader, and storyteller simultaneously.

The danger is that virality rewards emotionally powerful claims rather than accurate ones. A responsible \$KC community should therefore treat transparency as part of the brand itself: no fake partnerships, no invented exchange listings, no guaranteed price targets, and no misleading claims about returns.

7. Financial Access and Market Participation

One argument in favor of open crypto markets is that they lower barriers to participation. A person can learn about wallets, token ownership, liquidity pools, decentralized exchanges, and on-chain transactions without first becoming a professional investor.

This can be educationally valuable. The user sees price discovery happen in real time and can observe how liquidity, supply, demand, market capitalization, holder concentration, and sentiment interact.

But accessibility creates a paradox

Open access can provide	The same feature can create
Low barriers to experimentation	Low barriers to impulsive speculation
24/7 markets	24/7 emotional decision-making
Transparent blockchain data	False confidence from incomplete data
Global participation	Exposure to unfamiliar counterparties
Fast liquidity	Fast losses

Research on cryptocurrency value narratives finds that users can view crypto through investment, utility, digital-asset, and infrastructural lenses. That suggests a memecoin should not be evaluated only by its current price; the social story surrounding the asset can influence how participants understand its value. ■cite■turn0search2■

8. The Case for Studying \$KC Kitty Cat Coin

This paper treats \$KC as a proposed case study rather than pretending that its current project facts have been independently verified. The ticker/name combination is not enough to uniquely identify a blockchain asset, and public search results contain unrelated Kitty Cat Coin projects. Therefore, the central question is not 'what is \$KC guaranteed to become?' but rather 'what would make a \$KC community economically and socially meaningful if the project is legitimate and transparently operated?'

The \$KC thesis in one sentence

\$KC can be compelling as a speculative community asset if it converts a memorable cultural identity into sustained participation, transparent market structure, and measurable community activity.

What \$KC should represent

- **Identity:** a recognizable cat-themed internet culture.
- **Participation:** a community where holders can contribute rather than merely wait for price appreciation.
- **Transparency:** public and verifiable project information.
- **Experimentation:** a sandbox for learning about crypto markets and online coordination.
- **Longevity:** an attempt to build something that can remain interesting after the first viral spike.

What this paper does not claim

- It does not claim \$KC will appreciate.
- It does not claim \$KC is safe.
- It does not claim \$KC is endorsed by academics or regulators.
- It does not claim the project's contract, liquidity, supply, holders, or team have been independently verified here.
- It does not tell a specific reader how much money to invest.

That boundary is deliberate. A credible investment thesis should survive scrutiny without relying on certainty.

9. A \$KC Investment-Thesis Framework

Rather than giving a blind buy recommendation, investors can evaluate \$KC using a weighted framework. The framework below is designed to turn a meme into a measurable project thesis.

Dimension	Question	Strong evidence
Identity	Is the meme immediately recognizable?	Distinct brand, consistent visual language, memorable community identity.
Community	Do people contribute without being paid?	Organic posts, discussions, creators, events, moderators.
Liquidity	Can normal buyers and sellers transact?	Meaningful, verifiable liquidity relative to market cap.
Distribution	Is ownership reasonably distributed?	No unexplained concentration or dominant insider wallets.
Transparency	Can key claims be verified?	Public contract, disclosures, dashboards, wallet labels.
Development	Is there evidence of ongoing work?	Visible updates, shipped features, active maintainers.
Culture	Does the community avoid manipulative behavior?	No fake guarantees, harassment, impersonation, or deceptive promotion.
Durability	What remains if price stops rising?	Community activities, content, products, events, or social utility.

A project that scores well on these dimensions is not guaranteed to succeed. The framework simply distinguishes a community with observable qualities from a token whose entire thesis is 'number go up.'

10. Why a Small Speculative Allocation Can Be Rational — Without Calling It Safe

There is a difference between **financial advice** and **risk-aware decision analysis**. Financial advice recommends a course of action for a person. Decision analysis identifies conditions under which an action might make sense and conditions under which it should be avoided.

For a person who has already decided that they want speculative crypto exposure, a small position in a memecoin can be rational under a utility model where the person values entertainment, community participation, experimentation, or the possibility of asymmetric upside.

A simple expected-utility framing

Suppose a participant assigns a subjective probability to several outcomes. The expected monetary return is not enough to capture the full decision because the participant may also receive non-financial utility from community participation—or suffer non-financial costs from stress, compulsive checking, or social pressure.

Outcome	Illustrative effect	Key point
Strong success	Large upside	Possible but uncertain; avoid treating as the base case.
Moderate success	Meaningful gain	Requires sustained demand and liquidity.
Flat / stagnant	Opportunity cost	Capital may remain tied up without useful appreciation.
Sharp decline	Large loss	Common risk in highly speculative assets.
Near-total failure	Most or all capital lost	Must be considered possible before purchase.

A responsible promotional document therefore should never say 'you should buy \$KC because it will go up.' A more defensible formulation is: **if someone chooses to speculate on \$KC, they should do so only after verifying the project and sizing the position so that a total loss would not materially damage their finances.**

11. Risk, Failure Modes, and Anti-Hype Controls

Memecoins have unusually high failure risk. Recent research finds evidence of sentiment contagion and increased risk associated with memecoin growth, including potential liquidity diversion from other crypto assets. ■cite■turn0search0■

Primary failure modes

- **Liquidity collapse:** the displayed market capitalization may remain high while actual executable liquidity is tiny.
- **Holder concentration:** a few wallets can have enough supply to dominate price action.
- **Contract risk:** malicious or poorly designed token permissions can create losses.
- **Identity confusion:** multiple projects can share similar names and tickers.
- **Social manipulation:** fake influencers, fabricated partnerships, fake screenshots, and coordinated hype can create false demand.
- **Attention decay:** the meme stops being interesting and community activity disappears.
- **Reflexive collapse:** falling price causes reduced attention, which causes further selling.

Anti-hype rules for \$KC

Rule	Why it matters
Never promise a price target	Targets can become misleading substitutes for evidence.
Never describe a purchase as guaranteed profit	No speculative token has a guaranteed return.
Publish the contract address prominently	Prevents ticker/name confusion.
Disclose major wallets when practical	Allows users to evaluate concentration.
Separate facts from projections	Readers can distinguish current reality from the roadmap.
Label sponsored promotion	Preserves trust in the community.
Encourage independent verification	Reduces dependence on community hype.

12. Due-Diligence Checklist for \$KC

Before anyone buys a token using the \$KC name, the first task is identity verification. The token's exact contract address and chain should be treated as the project's fingerprint.

- Verify the official project website and official social accounts.
- Verify the exact blockchain and contract address from an authoritative project source.
- Confirm that the contract address matches the address shown on the trading venue.
- Inspect liquidity and determine whether liquidity can be removed by a small number of actors.
- Review top holders and identify unusually large wallets.
- Check whether the contract has owner privileges or upgrade mechanisms.
- Review token taxes, transfer restrictions, blacklist functions, and trading controls.
- Look for independent security analysis where available.
- Check whether the project has a transparent team or clearly disclosed pseudonymous operators.
- Separate current utility from promised future utility.
- Review recent community activity rather than relying on follower counts.
- Check for fake partnerships, fake exchange listings, and copied branding.
- Assume that any money placed into a memecoin can go to zero.

Important: This checklist is not a substitute for professional financial, legal, or security advice. It is a practical screening framework.

13. Scenario Analysis

Scenario analysis is more informative than a single price prediction. The following scenarios are deliberately qualitative because no verified current \$KCC market dataset was established for this document.

Scenario	Community state	Market behavior	Implication
A — Cultural breakout	Rapid organic adoption; strong creator activity	High volatility with potentially strong demand	Upside possible, but execution and liquidity become critical
B — Steady niche	Small but active community	Range-bound or moderate appreciation	Community may remain valuable even without a viral move
C — Attention fade	Posting and participation decline	Volume and liquidity weaken	Price can fall sharply as the narrative loses momentum.
D — Structural failure	Trust breaks or project becomes inactive	Liquidity and demand deteriorate	Capital may be difficult to recover.
E — Manipulative spike	Artificial hype dominates organic activity	Fast rise followed by severe reversal	Highest danger for late buyers.

The key variable: conversion of attention into durable activity

The most important question is whether attention converts into something that survives price volatility. A community that produces content, organizes events, builds tools, and develops a recognizable culture has a stronger durability story than a community that only reposts price charts.

14. How a Memecoin Can Produce Real-World Benefits

If the goal is to make the claim that memecoins can benefit society, the argument becomes strongest when the community produces observable outcomes. The token itself is not the benefit; the human activity around the token is.

Possible social-output categories

Category	Example output	How to measure it
Education	Wallet / blockchain tutorials	Number of resources, completion, community feedback
Creativity	Memes, art, music, video	Original works and engagement
Community	Online / offline events	Attendance and recurring participation
Open-source	Bots, dashboards, tools	Public repositories, releases, users
Charity	Transparent voluntary campaigns	Verified contributions and receipts
Entrepreneurship	Small businesses built around the brand	New products, services, collaborations
Social connection	Friendships and peer networks	Qualitative community surveys

These outcomes are not guaranteed by owning a token. They emerge when people decide to use a token as a coordination mechanism.

A useful standard

If the token disappeared tomorrow, would the community have created anything worth keeping? If the answer is yes, the project has evidence of social value beyond price speculation.

15. Governance, Transparency, and Community Norms

A durable memecoin needs governance norms even if it does not use formal on-chain governance. The community should know who can make critical decisions, how treasury funds are handled, how contracts are controlled, and what happens if the original creators disappear.

Recommended disclosure architecture

- **Token identity:** chain, exact contract address, supply, decimals, and official explorers.
- **Liquidity:** current venues, approximate liquidity, lock/burn information where independently verifiable.
- **Distribution:** major wallets and known allocations.
- **Administration:** owner permissions, multisig arrangements, upgradeability, and treasury controls.
- **Roadmap:** separate shipped items from future ambitions.
- **Promotion:** disclose paid relationships and avoid deceptive urgency.
- **Community safety:** anti-scam notices and warnings about impersonators.

Trust is economically valuable. A transparent community may sacrifice some short-term hype by refusing exaggerated claims, but it can gain credibility that compounds over time.

16. A Responsible Community Growth Model

A strong \$KC growth strategy should focus on cultural quality rather than financial promises. The community can create a positive feedback loop in which people join because the project is fun and interesting, stay because the community is useful, and contribute because their contributions are recognized.

Phase	Objective	Example activity
1. Identity	Make the cat instantly recognizable	Consistent art, slogans, lore, mascot design
2. Participation	Give newcomers easy ways to contribute	Meme contests, art prompts, community tasks
3. Retention	Create reasons to return	Weekly events, creator spotlights, community games
4. Utility	Build optional tools around the culture	Dashboards, bots, community pages, integrations
5. Reputation	Make honesty part of the brand	Public disclosures and anti-scam education
6. Durability	Reduce dependence on price	Content, events, products, open-source work

This model treats financial appreciation as an outcome that may or may not happen—not as the only reason the community exists.

17. Discussion

The strongest argument for memecoins is not that they have magically escaped the laws of economics. They have not. The stronger argument is that they reveal a part of economic life that traditional asset models sometimes understate: people assign value to symbols, belonging, attention, entertainment, stories, and shared experiences.

A stock can represent a claim on future corporate cash flows. A bond can represent a contractual payment stream. A memecoin can represent something different: a liquid, tradable symbol around which a network of people organizes. That network can become economically meaningful even when the token has no conventional cash-flow claim.

This does not eliminate speculation. In fact, speculation may be central to the mechanism. Academic research on Dogecoin and other memecoins finds that perceived economic value, social support, and enjoyment can influence investment motivations. ■cite■turn0search7■

The challenge is therefore to distinguish **productive speculation** from **predatory speculation**. Productive speculation can fund experimentation and communities while teaching participants about markets. Predatory speculation relies on misinformation, asymmetric information, manufactured urgency, and the expectation that someone else will become exit liquidity.

For \$KCC, the ethical and economic opportunity is to build the former. If the community can create real culture, transparency, and participation, then the token can be more than a number on a chart. If those elements are absent, the investment thesis becomes almost entirely dependent on future buyers, which is inherently fragile.

18. Conclusion

Memecoins are not automatically beneficial, and they are not automatically worthless. They are a new form of internet-native market object whose value can emerge from the interaction of culture, attention, community, technology, and speculation.

Their potential social benefits include community formation, creative production, financial experimentation, global participation, and voluntary coordination. Their major risks include extreme volatility, liquidity collapse, concentration, manipulation, fraud, and attention decay.

The case for \$KC Kitty Cat Coin should therefore be constructed around verifiable facts and community behavior rather than guaranteed financial outcomes. A persuasive thesis can say that \$KC is an interesting speculative community asset worth researching. It should not pretend that purchasing it is universally appropriate financial advice.

The most durable version of the \$KC thesis is simple: build a community people want to belong to, create a culture people want to share, publish information people can verify, and let any financial value emerge from genuine participation rather than promises.

In that model, the token is not the entire product. The community is the product. The token is the economic coordination mechanism around it.

Appendix A. Evidence Matrix

The matrix below distinguishes what can currently be supported at the category level from what remains project-specific.

Proposition	Evidence status	Interpretation
Memecoins are shaped by online virality and speculation.	Supported by current research.	A core character
Memecoin participation can involve active trading and risk-taking.	Supported by survey research.	Useful for unde
Crypto value can be framed through investment, utility, and infrastructure narratives.	Supported by academic research.	Value is social
Memecoin growth can increase broader crypto-market risk.	Supported by recent empirical research.	Category-level
Memes can function as symbolic / social capital.	Supported by economic theory and recent scholarship.	Useful framewo
\$KC has a specific supply / liquidity / team / contract profile.	Not verified in this document.	Must be confir
\$KC will appreciate.	Not knowable from available evidence.	Should never b

Appendix B. Suggested \$KC Disclosure Page

A serious project can turn this section into a live disclosure page. Every field should be populated with information that users can independently verify.

Field	Required disclosure
Official name	Kitty Cat Coin / exact legal or project name if applicable
Ticker	\$KC
Blockchain	Exact chain
Contract	Full contract address
Explorer	Official blockchain explorer link
Supply	Total and circulating supply, with methodology
Liquidity	Pools, venues, and current approximate liquidity
Top holders	Largest wallets and known ownership categories
Contract controls	Owner, mint, pause, blacklist, upgrade, tax controls
Treasury	Wallet addresses and signers where appropriate
Team	Identities or pseudonyms, with role disclosures
Roadmap	Shipped vs. planned items
Security	Audit / review status and known limitations
Promotion	Paid relationships and material conflicts

Recommended statement: '\$KC is a speculative digital asset. Nothing on this page guarantees future performance. Verify the contract address and project information yourself before interacting with the token.'

References

- Papadopoulos, V., Shah, M., Zimmerman, S., & Lindsey, J. (2026). *Mind Viruses: Self-Propagating Ideas in Multi-Agent LLM Systems*. arXiv:2608.10218. Used only as the structural / formatting reference supplied for this project.
- Kaleta, K. (2026). *Ephemeral capital: How memepreneurs profit from memes*. *Economic Affairs*, 46(2), 269–284. DOI: 10.1111/ecaf.70034.
- Baliatti, S., Celebi, C., Pennella, L., & Tercero-Lucas, D. (2026). *Meme Money, Real People: Decoding the Crypto Memecoin Crowd*. Preprint / SSRN.
- Stencel, A. (2025). *What is a Meme Coin? Dogecoin to the Moon!* *Polish Journal of the Arts and Culture. New Series*, 22(2), 101–144. DOI: 10.4467/24506249PJ.25.007.22407.
- Socio-Economic Review (2025). *Value of crypto? Sociotechnical imaginaries on cryptocurrency in YouTube content*. 23(2), 759–785.
- Finance Research Letters (2025). *Memecoin contagion: Irrationality, illicit behaviour, and Cryptocurrency risk*. 86(A), 108264.
- Economics Letters (2025). *From zero to hero: Memecoins' spillover effects in cryptocurrency markets*. 253, 112381.
- Journal of Decision Systems (2024). *To the moon with Dogecoin! Disentangling the causalities behind extrinsic and intrinsic motivations for memecoin investments*.

Research notes

Web research was conducted in August 2026. The sources above were used to support category-level claims about memecoins, cryptocurrency value narratives, investor motivation, and risk. No current project-specific facts about \$KC were assumed where the token could not be uniquely verified.

This document intentionally avoids price targets, return guarantees, and individualized investment instructions. It is best understood as an academic-style promotional research brief that argues for taking the social and cultural dimensions of memecoins seriously while maintaining a high standard for financial-risk disclosure.