


ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC. annual report 2012




Financial Highlights

(Dollars in millions except per-share amounts)

% Change 2011-2012

| | | | | | | | 0 | 2011-2012 |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| 4 | es by Geographic Segment 2008 | | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Reported | Constant Currency ⁽¹⁾ |
| 26% | Americas | \$2,354 | \$2,372 | \$2,432 | \$2,441 | \$2,476 | 1% | 2% |
| | 🚺 Europe | 1,179 | 1,119 | 1,099 | 1,214 | 1,178 | -3% | 3% |
| | Asia Pacific | 588 | 604 | 689 | 797 | 818 | 3% | 3% |
| 56% | Consolidated | \$4,121 | \$4,095 | \$4,220 | \$4,452 | \$4,472 | 0% | 2% |
| 18% | | | | | | | | |


Sales by Product Category



| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Reported | Constant Currency ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| \$3,162 | \$3,120 | \$3,202 | \$3,344 | \$3,331 | 0% | 1% |
| 1,761 | 1,756 | 1,790 | 1,825 | 1,815 | -1% | 1% |
| 1,280 | 1,228 | 1,262 | 1,356 | 1,342 | -1% | 1% |
| 121 | 136 | 150 | 163 | 174 | 6% | 8% |
| 227 | 205 | 219 | 248 | 238 | -4% | -2% |
| 222 | 235 | 246 | 286 | 308 | 8% | 9% |
| 230 | 253 | 234 | 225 | 209 | -7% | -6% |
| 280 | 282 | 319 | 349 | 386 | 11% | 12% |
| \$4,121 | \$4,095 | \$4,220 | \$4,452 | \$4,472 | 0% | 2% |
| | \$3,162 1,761 1,280 121 227 222 230 280 | \$3,162 \$3,120 1,761 1,756 1,280 1,228 121 136 227 205 222 235 230 253 280 282 | \$3,162 \$3,120 \$3,202 1,761 1,756 1,790 1,280 1,228 1,262 121 136 150 227 205 219 222 235 246 230 253 234 280 282 319 | \$3,162 \$3,120 \$3,202 \$3,344 1,761 1,756 1,790 1,825 1,280 1,228 1,262 1,356 121 136 150 163 227 205 219 248 222 235 246 286 230 253 234 225 280 282 319 349 | \$3,162 \$3,120 \$3,202 \$3,344 \$3,331 1,761 1,756 1,790 1,825 1,815 1,280 1,228 1,262 1,356 1,342 121 136 150 163 174 227 205 219 248 238 222 235 246 286 308 230 253 234 225 209 280 282 319 349 386 | \$3,162 \$3,120 \$3,202 \$3,344 \$3,331 0% 1,761 1,756 1,790 1,825 1,815 -1% 1,280 1,228 1,262 1,356 1,342 -1% 121 136 150 163 174 6% 227 205 219 248 238 -4% 222 235 246 286 308 8% 230 253 234 225 209 -7% 280 282 319 349 386 11% |


Net Sales

Strong performances from a number of international markets and new product introductions across Zimmer's portfolio contributed to net sales of \$4.47 billion in 2012.



Operating Profit

Zimmer continued to deliver exceptional operating profit margins in 2012, driven by progress in the Company's business transformation programs.




Operating Cash Flow

-2% Reported

Through disciplined management of capital, Zimmer supported new product innovation and delivered increased value to stockholders while maintaining high levels of operating cash flow.

Diluted Earnings per Share

Progress in Zimmer's value creation agenda supported double-digit growth in adjusted earnings per share for 2012.



(1) "Constant Currency" refers to sales growth resulting from translating current and prior-period sales at the same predetermined foreign currency exchange rate. The translated results are then used to determine year-over-year percentage increases or decreases that exclude the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. See the reconciliation of this non-GAAP financial measure to the most directly comparable GAAP measure on page 76.

08

09

10

11

12

(2) "Adjusted" refers to performance measures that exclude inventory step-up and other inventory charges, special items, the provision for certain Durom® Acetabular Component product claims, goodwill impairment, and net curtailment and settlement and related tax benefits and other certain tax adjustments. See the reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures on page 76.

To Our Stockholders:

For over 85 years, Zimmer has pioneered breakthrough technologies that improve the quality of life for patients suffering from various musculoskeletal conditions. Our success is founded on a culture of innovation, quality and exceptional customer service. Beyond our leading products, Zimmer has become the most trusted name in the industry for one simple reason — we are uniquely committed to the musculoskeletal healthcare market and to advancing the standard of musculoskeletal care.

As we work to build an even stronger Zimmer for the future, we are keenly focused on three key strategic priorities: our innovation and growth platform; our transformation initiatives; and a disciplined approach to capital allocation.

Success in these areas enabled Zimmer to deliver 2012 sales totaling \$4.47 billion, with fully diluted adjusted earnings per share of \$5.30, an increase of 10.4% over the prior year. These 2012 results were driven by strong performances in a number of geographies and product categories, as well as significant progress in executing our global transformation programs and broader operational excellence agenda.

In 2012, Zimmer once again achieved our financial commitments. But perhaps our most significant accomplishments related to product and technology innovation. Throughout the past year, we achieved significant milestones for a number of innovative products and technologies, in both our core franchises and in adjacent musculoskeletal markets. This array of new technologies positions the Company to accelerate growth in 2013 and beyond.

Innovation and Growth Across the Musculoskeletal Continuum of Care

Throughout Zimmer's history, the Company has worked at the forefront of innovation in materials science and the design of implants and instrumentation, bringing to market a series of revolutionary technologies that have greatly expanded treatment options across the continuum of musculoskeletal healthcare. In 2012, Zimmer advanced a number of clinically differentiated new products through regulatory clearance and the initial stages of commercialization.

Of great significance, Zimmer gained regulatory approval of our next generation family of knee solutions, *Persona*[™], The Personalized Knee System. Building on the clinical legacy of our *NexGen*[®] and *Natural Knee*[®] Systems, the *Persona* Knee enables surgeons to personalize each patient's treatment. The fundamental design principle behind this differentiated system is to achieve the "forgotten knee" by improving fit for a more natural feeling knee. Zimmer employed a broad scientific approach in the design of the *Persona* Knee, drawing upon morphological science, kinesiology, psychology and materials science to develop a system that more closely replicates the natural biomechanics of the knee. *Persona*, The Personalized Knee System, also incorporates major advances in instrumentation technology and surgical kitting, supporting a more accurate and reproducible procedure, as well as providing a number of efficiency benefits to hospitals. Launching a system of this magnitude will involve multiple phases over the next several years, but we were pleased to begin its introduction in late 2012.

Complementing the *Persona* System, and strengthening the value proposition of more accurate and streamlined procedures, in 2012 Zimmer expanded our Intelligent Instrumentation offerings. In addition to *Zimmer®* Patient Specific Instruments and the *eLIBRA®* Dynamic Knee Balancing System, the Company received clearance for a new flagship technology in our Intelligent Instrument portfolio, *iASSIST™* Knee, *The Personalized Guidance System™*. This new platform technology delivers on the promise of accurate implant positioning and alignment validation without the cost and complexity associated with competitive navigation and robotic systems.



In 2012, Zimmer celebrated the 15-year anniversary of our *Trabecular Metal* Technology, featured on the front cover of this report. A core platform technology for Zimmer, *Trabecular Metal* Technology represents one of the greatest innovations in orthopaedics. No other porous metal material is designed to so closely match the unique structure, function and physiology of trabecular bone. *Trabecular Metal* Material features unrivaled porosity, which helps living tissue and bone integrate with joint replacements and implants.

Since its introduction in 1997, *Trabecular Metal* Technology has been used in more than 800,000 surgeries worldwide. The technology has been featured in more than 250 clinical publications, and countless surgeons have adopted it for use with their patients.

Over the past 15 years, Zimmer has expanded the applications of *Trabecular Metal* Technology across our portfolio. From its first applications in hip replacement surgery, *Trabecular Metal* Technology has expanded to knee, shoulder and ankle replacements, trauma applications, spinal implants, dental implants and bone void fillers, and augments used for repair of oncology-related defects.

As a key differentiator for Zimmer, this platform technology positions the Company as the clear leader in biologic fixation, an emerging preference among the orthopaedic surgeon community. We continue to discover even more attributes of this unique technology, which can potentially support exciting and novel applications – the future is bright for *Trabecular Metal* Technology. Also in 2012, Zimmer continued our expansion into new, adjacent categories of the musculoskeletal market. Laying the foundation for our entry into the lower extremities market, Zimmer received clearance for our *Trabecular Metal*[™] Total Ankle, an exciting new system supporting an innovative lateral surgical approach. The Company also further established technologies acquired through external development efforts, including new generations of Zimmer Universal Power Equipment and the *XtraFix*[®] external fixation system for traumatic injuries.

Furthering our commitment to provide comprehensive solutions addressing the needs of patients across the continuum of musculoskeletal healthcare, Zimmer continued to build out our portfolio of early intervention products. These offerings extend our reach into joint preservation and the early treatment of arthritis. In addition to *DeNovo®* NT Natural Tissue Graft and *Chondrofix®* Osteochondral Allograft, Zimmer began the broader introduction of *Gel-One®* Cross-linked Hyaluronate in 2012. This product is used to relieve pain earlier in the treatment cycle for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. Comprising a 3 mL single injection, *Gel-One* Hyaluronate offers the lowest total volume complete treatment available on the market.

Advancing Zimmer's leadership in orthopaedic materials science, the Company introduced *Vivacit-E*® advanced bearing technology in 2012. A scientifically advanced bearing surface material incorporating Vitamin E, *Vivacit-E* offers extraordinary strength, ultra-low wear and exceptional oxidative stability for long-term performance. Applications of this exciting new proprietary technology include the *Continuum*® Acetabular System, the *Zimmer*® Unicompartmental Knee System and the *Persona* Knee System, with more applications on the horizon.

The remarkable range of new offerings Zimmer began introducing in 2012 represents the culmination of significant research and development investments, and is indicative of our continuing cycle of innovation. A number of these products address new anatomical sites for the Company, or are in adjacent market segments in which we had not previously competed, generating incremental revenues.

To generate the resources necessary to support investments in growth drivers, including research and development and product innovation, Zimmer continued to advance our global transformation and operational excellence program in 2012.

This Company-wide transformation agenda includes efficiency and quality initiatives across all corporate and commercial operations. Completed and ongoing programs include a management delayering,

¹ Bobyn JD, Hacking SA, Chan SP, et al. Characterization of new porous tantalum biomaterial for reconstructive orthopaedics. Scientific Exhibition: 66th Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 1999; Anaheim, CA.

the establishment of shared service centers for many of our support functions and strategic sourcing and manufacturing excellence initiatives. We also are making progress against longer-term commercial excellence programs that will ultimately lead to more efficient sales, distribution and logistics performance.

As well as making Zimmer a more effective organization, our transformation programs support growth-driving investments and provide Zimmer with the opportunity to expand operating margins and enhance returns on capital investments. These savings also enable the Company to respond effectively to external challenges.

A significant example is the passage of the Affordable Care Act that imposed an onerous new tax on the medical device industry in the United States, effective as of January 1, 2013. Our intent is to fully offset the medical device excise tax with the savings from our transformation programs. Paying this tax draws upon resources that would otherwise be deployed in innovation programs and other jobcreating, strategic priorities, and we will continue to work with our industry peers to petition for its full repeal. We believe there is growing bipartisan support in Congress to accomplish repeal.

Disciplined Capital Allocation: Creating Value for Stockholders

Zimmer's capital deployment philosophy is well established – our goal is to enhance stockholder returns by delivering excess cash to stockholders through a combination of share repurchases and dividend payments. We also continue to seek investment opportunities within the musculoskeletal market that strengthen our leadership position in developed and emerging businesses and geographic markets, subject to rigorous return requirements.

In line with our commitment to return excess cash to stockholders, Zimmer continued our broad share repurchase program and initiated a dividend program in 2012, returning \$94 million in cash dividends, an annual dividend of 72 cents per share.

In 2012, the Company completed several prudent bolt-on acquisitions that strengthen and extend our reach into adjacent markets. Early in 2012, we announced the acquisition of Synvasive Technology, the developer of the leading *STABLECUT*® surgical cutting technology, and the eLIBRA Dynamic Knee Balancing System, the industry's premiere offering for flexion-gap and soft tissue balancing. In the second quarter, the Company completed the acquisition of Exopro, a manufacturer of differentiated dental implant offerings, developed in the PI Brånemark philosophy, for the Brazilian market. This acquisition strengthens our position in this important emerging market. Finally, at the close of the third quarter, Zimmer further expanded our surgical products portfolio through the acquisition of Dornoch Medical Systems. Dornoch offers a range of medical waste fluid disposal equipment and accessories, including its proprietary *Transposal*® integrated infectious fluid collection and disposal system.


Positioned for Success: Accelerated Growth in Established and Emerging Markets

The musculoskeletal market remains an exciting space with extraordinary growth potential in both established and emerging markets. The drivers of this growth are well documented, including aging populations around the globe. There also exists an increasing base of data indicating that the solutions Zimmer provides are cost-effective, generating savings relative to the management of chronic advanced-stage osteoarthritis.

With the industry's most comprehensive portfolio of products addressing the entire continuum of musculoskeletal health, combined with an expanding global footprint supported by opportunistic acquisitions in key emerging markets, Zimmer is uniquely positioned to take advantage of present and future opportunities.

The coming years will be decisive for Zimmer, as we maximize the benefits of an unprecedented pipeline of new product and technology systems in our core franchises, and in a number of adjacent musculoskeletal markets, delivering new opportunities for expanded top-line growth.

With an unwavering focus on our strategic priorities, we will continue to create value for our stockholders, while serving our customers with pride. We are truly fortunate to work in an industry that delivers the promise of restored mobility and revitalized lives to millions of patients around the world.



Jall Jun

David C. Dvorak President and Chief Executive Officer

Mit Ding

John L. Mc Goldrick *Chairman*

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For year ended December 31, 2012 Commission file number 001-16407



SEC Mail Processing Section

MAR 2 6 2013

ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC.

Washington DC 405

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation) 345 East Main Street Warsaw, Indiana 13-4151777 (IRS Employer Identification No.) 46580

(Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (574) 267-6131

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, \$.01 par value Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes \square No \square

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes \square No \checkmark

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \bigtriangledown No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ∇ No \Box

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer 🖉 Accelerated filer 🗌 Non-accelerated filer 🗌 Smaller reporting company 🗌 (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes 🗌 🛛 No 🗹

The aggregate market value of shares held by non-affiliates was \$11,217,437,561 (based on the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange on June 29, 2012 and assuming solely for the purpose of this calculation that all directors and executive officers of the registrant are "affiliates"). As of February 15, 2013, 169,354,131 shares of the registrant's \$.01 par value common stock were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Document

Portions of the Proxy Statement with respect to the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders Part III



Form 10-K

68

Cautionary Note About Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "anticipate," "expect," "plan," "seek," "believe," "predict," "estimate," "potential," "project," "target," "forecast," "intend," "strategy," "future," "opportunity," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. A detailed discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in the section titled "Risk Factors" (refer to Part I, Item 1A of this report). Readers of this report are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. While we believe the assumptions on which the forward-looking statements are based are reasonable, there can be no assurance that these forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate. We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 84K.

Table of Contents

-2

| Table of (| Contents | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| PART I | | 3 |
| Item 1. | Business | 3 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 9 |
| Item 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments | 14 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 15 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 15 |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | 15 |
| PART II | | 16 |
| Item 5. | Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 16 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 17 |
| Item 7. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 18 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 28 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 32 |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 66 |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures | 66 |
| Item 9B. | Other Information | 66 |
| PART III | | 67 |
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 67 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 67 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 67 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence | 67 |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 67 |
| PART IV | | 68 |

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

PART I

ITEM 1. Business

OVERVIEW

We are a global leader in the design, development, manufacture and marketing of orthopaedic reconstructive, spinal and trauma devices, biologics, dental implants and related surgical products. We also provide other healthcare related services. In this report, "Zimmer," "we," "us," "our" and similar words refer collectively to Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Zimmer Holdings refers to the parent company only.

Zimmer Holdings was incorporated in Delaware in 2001. Our history dates to 1927, when Zimmer Manufacturing Company, a predecessor, was founded in Warsaw, Indiana. On August 6, 2001, Zimmer Holdings was spun off from its former parent and became an independent public company.

CUSTOMERS, SALES AND MARKETING

Our primary customers include orthopaedic surgeons, neurosurgeons, oral surgeons, dentists, hospitals, stocking distributors, healthcare dealers and, in their capacity as agents, healthcare purchasing organizations or buying groups. These customers range from large multinational enterprises to independent clinicians and dentists.

We have operations in more than 25 countries and market products in more than 100 countries. We manage our operations through three major geographic segments – the Americas, which is comprised principally of the U.S. and includes other North, Central and South American markets; Europe, which is comprised principally of Europe and includes the Middle East and Africa markets; and Asia Pacific, which is comprised primarily of Japan and Australia and includes other Asian and Pacific markets.

We market and sell products through three principal channels: 1) direct to healthcare institutions, such as hospitals or direct channel accounts; 2) through stocking distributors and healthcare dealers; and 3) directly to dental practices and dental laboratories. With direct channel accounts, inventory is generally consigned to sales agents or customers. With sales to stocking distributors, healthcare dealers, dental practices and dental laboratories, title to product passes upon shipment or upon implantation of the product. Direct channel accounts represented approximately 75 percent of our net sales in 2012. No individual direct channel account, stocking distributor, healthcare dealer, dental practice or dental laboratory accounted for more than 1 percent of our net sales for 2012.

We stock inventory in our warehouse facilities and retain title to consigned inventory in sufficient quantities so that products are available when needed for surgical procedures. Safety stock levels are determined based on a number of factors, including demand, manufacturing lead times and quantities required to maintain service levels. We also carry trade accounts receivable balances based on credit terms that are generally consistent with local market practices. We utilize a network of sales associates, sales managers and support personnel, most of whom are employed or contracted by independent distributors and sales agencies. We invest a significant amount of time and expense in training sales associates in how to use specific products and how to best inform surgeons of product features and uses. Sales force representatives must have strong technical selling skills and medical education to provide technical support for surgeons.

In response to the different healthcare systems throughout the world, our sales and marketing strategies and organizational structures differ by region. We utilize a global approach to sales force training, marketing and medical education to provide consistent, high quality service. Additionally, we keep current with key surgical developments and other issues related to orthopaedic surgeons, neurosurgeons, dentists and oral surgeons and the medical procedures they perform.

The following is a summary of our three reportable segments. See Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements for more information regarding our segments.

Americas. The Americas is our largest geographic segment, accounting for \$2,476.3 million, or 56 percent, of 2012 net sales, with the U.S. accounting for 92 percent of net sales in this region. The U.S. sales force consists of a combination of employees and independent sales agents, most of whom sell products exclusively for Zimmer. The sales force in the U.S. receives a commission on product sales and is responsible for many operating decisions and costs.

In this region, we contract with group purchasing organizations and managed care accounts and have promoted unit growth by offering volume discounts to customer healthcare institutions within a specified group. Generally, we are designated as one of several preferred purchasing sources for specified products, although members are not obligated to purchase our products. Contracts with group purchasing organizations generally have a term of three years, with extensions as warranted.

In the Americas, we monitor and rank independent sales agents and our direct sales force across a range of performance metrics, including the achievement of sales targets and maintenance of efficient levels of working capital.

Europe. The European geographic segment accounted for \$1,177.4 million, or 26 percent, of 2012 net sales, with France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom collectively accounting for 70 percent of net sales in the region. This segment also includes other key markets, including Benelux, Nordic, Central and Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Africa. Our sales force in this segment is comprised of direct sales associates, commissioned agents, independent distributors and sales support personnel. We emphasize the advantages of our clinically proven, established designs and innovative solutions and new and enhanced materials and surfaces. In most European countries, healthcare is sponsored by the government and therefore government budgets impact healthcare spending, which can affect our sales in this segment.

Asia Pacific. The Asia Pacific geographic segment accounted for \$818.0 million, or 18 percent, of 2012 net sales, with Japan being the largest market within this segment, accounting for 51 percent of the region's sales. This segment also includes key markets such as Australia, New Zealand, Korea, China, Taiwan, India, Thailand, Singapore, Hong Kong and Malaysia. In Japan and most countries in the Asia Pacific region, we maintain a network of dealers, who act as order agents on behalf of hospitals in the region, and sales associates, who build and maintain relationships with orthopaedic surgeons, neurosurgeons and dental surgeons in their markets. These sales associates cover over 7,000 hospitals in the region. The knowledge and skills of these sales associates play a critical role in providing service, product information and support to surgeons. In 2012, we opened a research and development center in Beijing, China, which focuses on products and technologies designed to meet the unique needs of Asian patients and their healthcare providers.

SEASONALITY

Our business is somewhat seasonal in nature, as many of our products are used in elective procedures, which typically decline during the summer months and can increase at the end of the year once annual deductibles have been met on health insurance plans.

DISTRIBUTION

We operate distribution facilities domestically in Warsaw, Indiana; Southaven, Mississippi; and Carlsbad, California and internationally in Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, the Czech Republic, China, Finland, France, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Italy, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Russia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, Thailand and the United Kingdom.

We generally ship our orders via expedited courier. We do not consider our backlog of firm orders to be material to an understanding of our business.

PRODUCTS

Our products include orthopaedic reconstructive implants, spinal and trauma devices, biologics, dental implants and related surgical products.

Orthopaedic reconstructive implants

Knee Implants

Total knee replacement surgeries typically include a femoral component, a patella (knee cap), a tibial tray and an articular surface (placed on the tibial tray). Knee replacement surgeries include first-time, or primary, joint replacement procedures and revision procedures for the replacement, repair or enhancement of an implant or component from a previous procedure. There are also procedures for partial reconstruction of the knee, which treat limited knee degeneration and involve the replacement of only one side, or compartment, of the knee with a unicompartmental knee prosthesis.

Our significant knee brands include the following:

- NexGen[®] Complete Knee Solution
- Natural-Knee® II System
- Innex[®] Total Knee System
 Persona[™] The Personalized Knee System
- Zimmer[®] Unicompartmental Knee System
- Zimmer[®] Patient Specific Instruments
- Zimmer[®] Segmental System

Hip Implants

Total hip replacement surgeries replace both the head of the femur and the socket portion of the pelvis (acetabulum) of the natural hip. Hip procedures include first time, or primary, joint replacement as well as revision procedures. Hip implant procedures involve the use of bone cement to attach or affix the prosthetic components to the surrounding bone, or are press-fit into bone, which means that they have a surface that bone affixes to through either ongrowth or ingrowth technologies.

Our significant hip brands include the following:

- Zimmer[®] M/L Taper Hip Prosthesis and Zimmer M/L Taper Hip Prosthesis with *Kinectiv*[®] Technology
- Alloclassic[®] (Zweymüller[®]) Hip System
- *CLS*[®] *Spotorno*[®] Hip System and *CLS Brevius*[®] Hip Stem with *Kinectiv* Technology
- Fitmore® Hip Stem
- Continuum® Acetabular System
- Trilogy[®] IT Acetabular System
- Allofit[®] IT Alloclassic[®] Acetabular System
- Trabecular MetalTM Modular Acetabular System

Extremity Implants

Our extremity portfolio, primarily shoulder and elbow products, is designed to treat arthritic conditions, soft tissue injuries and fractures.

Our significant extremity brands include the following:

- Trabecular Metal Reverse Shoulder System
- Bigliani/Flatow[®] Complete Shoulder Solution Family
- Zimmer[®] Anatomical Shoulder[™] System
- Zimmer® Trabecular Metal Total Ankle
- Coonrad/Morrey Total Elbow

Dental Implants

Our dental products division manufactures and/or distributes: (1) dental reconstructive implants — for individuals who are totally without teeth or are missing one or more teeth; (2) dental restorative products — aimed at providing a more natural restoration to resemble the original teeth; and (3) dental regenerative products — for soft tissue and bone rehabilitation.

Our significant dental brands include the following: • *Tapered Screw-Vent*[®] Implant System

- Zimmer[®] Hex-Lock[®] Contour Abutment and Restorative Products
- Puros[®] Allograft Products¹

Trauma

Trauma products include devices used to stabilize damaged or broken bones and their surrounding tissues to support the body's natural healing processes. Fractures are most often stabilized using internal fixation devices such as plates, screws, nails, wires and pins, but may also be stabilized using external fixation devices. Biologics treatments are used in conjunction with traditional trauma devices to encourage healing and replace bone lost during an injury.

Our significant trauma brands include the following:

- Zimmer[®] Natural Nail[®] System
- NCB[®] Polyaxial Locking Plate System
- XtraFix[®] External Fixation System
- Zimmer® Periarticular Locking Plate System
- Zimmer[®] Universal Locking System
- Zimmer[®] Cable-Ready[®] System

Spine Implants

Our Spine products division designs, manufactures and distributes medical devices and surgical instruments to deliver comprehensive solutions for those with back or neck pain caused by degenerative conditions, deformities or traumatic injury of the spine.

Our significant spine brands include the following:

- PathFinder NXT® Minimally Invasive Pedicle Screw System
- Trabecular Metal Implants
- Sequoia[®] Pedicle Screw System
- Trinica[®] Select Anterior Cervical Plating System
- Dynesys® Dynamic Stabilization System

Surgical

We develop, manufacture and market products that support reconstructive, trauma, spine and dental implant procedures, with a focus on bone cements, surgical wound site management and blood management.

Our significant surgical brands include the following:

- PALACOS^{®2} Bone Cement
- A.T.S.® Automatic Tourniquet Systems
- Pulsavac[®] Plus, Pulsavac Plus AC and Pulsavac Plus LP Wound Debridement Systems
- Zimmer[®] Blood Reinfusion System
- Hemovac[®] Blood Management System
- Zimmer[®] Universal Power System

Biologics

Our research and development efforts include a Biologics group based in Austin, Texas, with its own full-time staff and dedicated projects focusing on the development of a variety of

² Registered trademark of Heraeus Kulzer GmbH

biologic technologies for joint preservation and other musculoskeletal applications. This group works on biological solutions to repair and regenerate damaged or degenerated musculoskeletal tissues using biomaterials/cell therapies which offer the possibility of treating damaged joints by biological repair rather than replacing them.

Significant biologics products we sell include the following:

- DeNovo® NT Natural Tissue Graft
- Chondrofix® Osteochondral Allograft
- *Gel-One*^{®3} Cross-linked Hyaluronate

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

We have extensive research and development activities to develop new surgical techniques, materials, biologics and product designs. The research and development teams work closely with our strategic brand marketing function. The rapid commercialization of innovative new materials, biologics products, implant and instrument designs and surgical techniques remains one of our core strategies and continues to be an important driver of sales growth.

We are broadening our offerings in each of our product categories and exploring new technologies with possible applications in multiple areas. Our primary research and development facility is located in Warsaw, Indiana. We have other research and development personnel based in, among other places, Beijing, China; Winterthur, Switzerland; Austin, Texas; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Carlsbad, California; Dover, Ohio; and Parsippany, New Jersey. As of December 31, 2012, we employed more than 1,000 research and development employees worldwide.

We expect to continue to identify innovative technologies, which may include acquiring complementary products or businesses, establishing technology licensing arrangements or strategic alliances.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION AND COMPLIANCE

We are subject to government regulation in the countries in which we conduct business. In the U.S., numerous laws and regulations govern all the processes by which medical devices are brought to market. These include, among others, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and regulations issued or promulgated thereunder. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has enacted regulations that control all aspects of the development, manufacture, advertising, promotion and postmarket surveillance of medical products, including medical devices. In addition, the FDA controls the access of products to market through processes designed to ensure that only products that are safe and effective are made available to the public.

Most of our new products fall into an FDA classification that requires the submission of a Premarket Notification (510(k)) to the FDA. This process requires us to demonstrate that the device to be marketed is at least as safe and effective as, that is, substantially equivalent to, a legally marketed

¹ Manufactured for Zimmer Dental, Inc. by Tutogen Medical GmbH, an RTI Biologics, Inc. company

³ Registered trademark of Seikagaku Corporation

device. We must submit information that supports our substantial equivalency claims. Before we can market the new device, we must receive an order from the FDA finding substantial equivalence and clearing the new device for commercial distribution in the U.S.

Other devices we develop and market are in a category (class) for which the FDA has implemented stringent clinical investigation and Premarket Approval (PMA) requirements. The PMA process requires us to provide clinical and laboratory data that establishes that the new medical device is safe and effective. The FDA will approve the new device for commercial distribution if it determines that the data and information in the PMA application constitute valid scientific evidence and that there is reasonable assurance that the device is safe and effective for its intended use(s).

All of our devices marketed in the U.S. have been cleared or approved by the FDA, with the exception of some devices which were in commercial distribution prior to May 28, 1976. The FDA has grandfathered these devices, so new FDA submissions are not required.

Both before and after a product is commercially released, we have ongoing responsibilities under FDA regulations. The FDA reviews design and manufacturing practices, labeling and record keeping, and manufacturers' required reports of adverse experiences and other information to identify potential problems with marketed medical devices. We are also subject to periodic inspection by the FDA for compliance with the FDA's Quality System regulations among other FDA requirements, such as restrictions on advertising and promotion. The Quality System regulations govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the design, manufacture, packaging and servicing of all finished medical devices intended for human use. If the FDA were to conclude that we are not in compliance with applicable laws or regulations, or that any of our medical devices are ineffective or pose an unreasonable health risk, the FDA could require us to notify healthcare professionals and others that the devices present unreasonable risks of substantial harm to the public health, order a recall, repair, replacement, or refund payment of such devices, detain or seize adulterated or misbranded medical devices, or ban such medical devices.

The FDA may also impose operating restrictions, enjoin and/or restrain certain conduct resulting in violations of applicable law pertaining to medical devices, and assess civil or criminal penalties against our officers, employees or us. The FDA may also recommend prosecution to the U.S. Department of Justice.

The FDA, in cooperation with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), administers controls over the import of medical devices into the U.S. The CBP imposes its own regulatory requirements on the import of our products, including inspection and possible sanctions for noncompliance. We are also subject to foreign trade controls administered by certain U.S. government agencies, including the Bureau of Industry and Security within the Commerce Department and the Office of Foreign Assets Control within the Treasury Department. There are also requirements of state, local and foreign governments that we must comply with in the manufacture and marketing of our products.

In many of the foreign countries in which we market our products, we are subject to local regulations affecting, among other things, design and product standards, packaging requirements and labeling requirements. Many of the regulations applicable to our devices and products in these countries are similar to those of the FDA. The member countries of the European Union have adopted the European Medical Device Directive, which creates a single set of medical device regulations for products marketed in all member countries. Compliance with the Medical Device Directive and certification to a quality system enable the manufacturer to place a CE mark on its products. To obtain authorization to affix the CE mark to a product, a recognized European Notified Body must assess a manufacturer's quality systems and the product's conformity to the requirements of the Medical Device Directive. We are subject to inspection by the Notified Bodies for compliance with these requirements.

Further, we are subject to various federal and state laws concerning healthcare fraud and abuse, including false claims and anti-kickback laws. These laws are administered by, among others, the U.S. Department of Justice, the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and state attorneys general. Many of these agencies have increased their enforcement activities with respect to medical device manufacturers in recent years. Violations of these laws are punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions, including, in some instances, fines, imprisonment and, within the U.S., exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs, including Medicare, Medicaid and Veterans Administration (VA) health programs.

Our operations in foreign countries are subject to the extraterritorial application of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA). Our global operations are also subject to foreign anti-corruption laws, such as the UK Bribery Act, among others. As part of our global compliance program, we seek to address anti-corruption risks proactively.

Our facilities and operations are also subject to complex federal, state, local and foreign environmental and occupational safety laws and regulations, including those relating to discharges of substances in the air, water and land, the handling, storage and disposal of wastes and the clean-up of properties by pollutants. We do not expect that the ongoing costs of compliance with these environmental requirements will have a material impact on our consolidated earnings, capital expenditures or competitive position.

COMPETITION

The orthopaedics and broader musculoskeletal care industry is highly competitive. In the global markets for reconstructive implants, trauma and related surgical products, our major competitors include: the DePuy Synthes Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Stryker Corporation, Biomet, Inc., Smith & Nephew plc, Wright Medical Group, Inc. and Tornier, Inc. In the Americas geographic segment, we and the DePuy Synthes Companies, Stryker Corporation, Biomet, Inc., Smith & Nephew, Inc. (a subsidiary of Smith & Nephew plc) and Wright Medical Group, Inc. account for a large majority of the total reconstructive and trauma implant sales.

The European reconstructive implant and trauma product markets are more fragmented than the Americas or the Asia Pacific segments. The variety of philosophies held by European surgeons regarding hip reconstruction, for example, has fostered the existence of many regional European companies, including Aesculap AG (a subsidiary of B. Braun), Waldemar LINK GmbH & Co., KG and Mathys AG, which, in addition to the global competitors, compete with us. Many hip implants sold in Europe are products developed specifically for the European market. We intend to continue to develop and produce specially tailored products to meet specific European needs.

In the Asia Pacific market for reconstructive implant and trauma products, we compete primarily with the DePuy Synthes Companies, Stryker Corporation, Smith & Nephew plc and Biomet, Inc., as well as regional companies, including Japan Medical Materials Corporation and Japan Medical Dynamic Marketing, Inc. Factors, such as the dealer system and complex regulatory environments, make it difficult for smaller companies, particularly those that are non-regional, to compete effectively with the market leaders in the Asia Pacific region.

In the spinal implant category, we compete globally primarily with the spinal and biologic business of Medtronic, Inc., the DePuy Synthes Companies, Stryker Corporation, Biomet Spine (a subsidiary of Biomet, Inc.), NuVasive, Inc. and Globus Medical, Inc.

In the dental implant category, we compete primarily with Nobel Biocare Holding AG, Straumann Holding AG, Dentsply International and Biomet 3i (a subsidiary of Biomet, Inc.).

Competition within the industry is primarily based on technology, innovation, quality, reputation and customer service. A key factor in our continuing success in the future will be our ability to develop new products and improve existing products and technologies.

MANUFACTURING AND RAW MATERIALS

We manufacture our products at various sites. Our significant manufacturing locations include Warsaw, Indiana; Winterthur, Switzerland; Ponce, Puerto Rico; Dover, Ohio; Carlsbad, California; Parsippany, New Jersey; Shannon, Ireland; and Beijing, China. We also strategically outsource some manufacturing to qualified suppliers who are highly capable of producing components.

We believe that our manufacturing facilities are among the best in our industry in terms of automation and productivity and have the flexibility to accommodate future growth. The manufacturing operations at these facilities are designed to incorporate the cellular concept for production and to implement tenets of a manufacturing philosophy focused on continuous improvement efforts in product quality, lead time reduction and capacity optimization. Our continuous improvement efforts are driven by Lean and Six Sigma methodologies. In addition, at certain of our manufacturing facilities, many of the employees are cross-trained to perform a broad array of operations.

We generally target operating our manufacturing facilities at optimal levels of total capacity. We continually evaluate the potential to in-source and out-source production as part of our manufacturing strategy to provide value to our stakeholders.

We have improved our manufacturing processes to protect our profitability and offset the impact of inflationary costs. We have, for example, employed computer-assisted robots and multi-axis grinders to precision polish medical devices; automated certain manufacturing and inspection processes, including on-machine inspection and process controls; purchased state-of-the-art equipment; in-sourced core products and processes; and negotiated cost reductions from third-party suppliers.

We use a diverse and broad range of raw materials in the manufacturing of our products. We purchase all of our raw materials and select components used in manufacturing our products from external suppliers. In addition, we purchase some supplies from single sources for reasons of quality assurance, sole source availability, cost effectiveness or constraints resulting from regulatory requirements. We work closely with our suppliers to assure continuity of supply while maintaining high quality and reliability. To date, we have not experienced any significant difficulty in locating and obtaining the materials necessary to fulfill our production schedules.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Patents and other proprietary rights are important to the continued success of our business. We also rely upon trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovation and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our competitive position. We protect our proprietary rights through a variety of methods, including confidentiality agreements and proprietary information agreements with vendors, employees, consultants and others who may have access to proprietary information. We own or control through licensing arrangements more than 4,500 issued patents and patent applications throughout the world that relate to aspects of the technology incorporated in many of our products.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2012, we employed more than 9,300 employees worldwide, including more than 1,000 employees dedicated to research and development. Approximately 5,000 employees are located within the U.S. and approximately 4,300 employees are located outside of the U.S., primarily throughout Europe and in Japan. We have approximately 3,800 employees dedicated to manufacturing our products worldwide. The Warsaw, Indiana production facility employs approximately 1,500 employees. Approximately 150 U.S. employees are members of a trade union covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We have a collective bargaining agreement with the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied

Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO, CLC for and on behalf of Local 2737-15 covering employees at the Dover, Ohio facility, which continues in effect until May 15, 2015.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our executive officers as of February 20, 2013.

| Name | Age | Position |
|----------------------|-----|---|
| David C. Dvorak | 49 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| James T. Crines | 53 | Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer |
| Joseph A. Cucolo | 53 | President, Americas Sales |
| Derek M. Davis | 44 | Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer |
| Jeffery A. McCaulley | 47 | President, Zimmer Reconstructive |
| Bruno A. Melzi | 65 | Chairman, Europe, Middle East and Africa |
| Stephen H.L. Ooi | 59 | President, Asia Pacific |
| Jeffrey B. Paulsen | 52 | Group President, Global Businesses |
| Chad F. Phipps | 41 | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary |

Mr. Dvorak was appointed President, Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors in May 2007. From December 2005 to April 2007, he served as Group President, Global Businesses and Chief Legal Officer. Prior to that, he had served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Services, Chief Counsel and Secretary, as well as Chief Compliance Officer, since October 2003. Mr. Dvorak joined Zimmer in 2001.

Mr. Crines was appointed Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer in May 2007. From December 2005 to April 2007, he served as Senior Vice President, Finance, Operations and Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer. Prior to that, he had served as Senior Vice President, Finance/Controller and Information Technology since October 2003. Mr. Crines joined Zimmer in 1995.

Mr. Cucolo was appointed President, Americas Sales in September 2012. He is responsible for sales and management of the direct and indirect sales channels in the Americas region, including the United States, Canada and Latin America. From 1997 until he joined Zimmer as President, Americas Sales, Mr. Cucolo was sole owner and President of Zimmer New England, Inc., an independent third-party distributor of Zimmer products in the northeast region of the United States. Prior to that, Mr. Cucolo was employed by Zimmer as a sales representative and territory manager in the New York area from 1987 to 1997.

Mr. Davis was appointed Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer in May 2007. He has responsibility for internal and external reporting, planning and analysis, and corporate and business unit accounting. From March 2006 to May 2007, he served as Director, Financial Planning and Accounting. Prior to that, he had served as Director, Finance, Operations and Logistics since December 2003. Mr. Davis joined Zimmer in 2003.

Mr. McCaulley was appointed President, Zimmer Reconstructive in November 2008. He has overall responsibility for the Global Reconstructive Division, including direct responsibility for Global Brand Management, Product Research and Development, Quality and Regulatory Affairs, and Medical Training and Education, as well as Americas Marketing Management. Prior to joining Zimmer, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Health Division of Wolters Kluwer from 2005, Vice President and General Manager of the Diabetes Division of Medtronic, Inc. from 2002, and spent 14 years with GE Healthcare in numerous positions of increasing responsibility, including President and Chief Executive Officer of GE Clinical Services from 2000.

Mr. Melzi was appointed Chairman, Europe, Middle East and Africa in October 2003. He is responsible for the sales, marketing and distribution of products in the European, Middle Eastern and African regions. Mr. Melzi joined Zimmer in 1990. Mr. Melzi has announced his intention to retire from his current positions as of March 31, 2013. We have entered into a post-retirement directorship agreement with Mr. Melzi, whereby he will perform consulting services for us for a threeyear period beginning May 1, 2013.

Mr. Ooi was appointed President, Asia Pacific in December 2005. He is responsible for the sales, marketing and distribution of products in the Asia Pacific region. Prior to that, he had served as President, Australasia since September 2003. Mr. Ooi joined Zimmer in 1986.

Mr. Paulsen was appointed Group President, Global Businesses in December 2009. He has responsibility for Zimmer Spine, Zimmer Dental, Zimmer Trauma and Zimmer Surgical. Prior to joining Zimmer, Mr. Paulsen served as Chief Operating Officer of MPS Group, Inc., a privately held environmental services and facility management firm, from September 2008 to December 2009. Prior to that, he served as Group President of TriMas Corporation, a specialty manufacturing company, from January 2007 to June 2008. Previously, Mr. Paulsen had held a number of increasingly responsible executive roles at Stryker Corporation from 1996 to December 2006, including President, Orthopaedic Reconstructive Division.

Mr. Phipps was appointed Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in May 2007. He has global responsibility for our legal affairs and he serves as Secretary to the Board of Directors. Mr. Phipps also oversees our Government Affairs, Corporate Marketing and Communications and Public Relations activities. From December 2005 to May 2007, he served as Associate General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Prior to that, he had served as Associate Counsel and Assistant Secretary since September 2003. Mr. Phipps joined Zimmer in 2003.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our Internet address is www.zimmer.com. We routinely post important information for investors on our website in the "Investor Relations" section, which may be accessed from our homepage at www.zimmer.com or directly at http:// investor.zimmer.com. We use this website as a means of disclosing material, non-public information and for complying with our disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Accordingly, investors should monitor the Investor Relations section of our website, in addition to following our press releases, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings, public conference calls, presentations and webcasts. Our goal is to maintain the Investor Relations website as a portal through which investors can easily find or navigate to pertinent information about us, free of charge, including:

- our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file that material with or furnish it to the SEC;
- announcements of investor conferences and events at which our executives talk about our products and competitive strategies. Podcasts and archives of these events are also available;
- press releases on quarterly earnings, product announcements, legal developments and other material news that we may post from time to time;
- corporate governance information including our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct, Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers, information concerning our Board of Directors and its committees, including the charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation and Management Development Committee, Corporate Governance Committee and Research, Innovation and Technology Committee, and other governance-related policies;
- stockholder services information, including ways to contact our transfer agent and information on how to sign up for direct deposit of dividends or enroll in our dividend reinvestment plan; and
- opportunities to sign up for email alerts and RSS feeds to have information provided in real time.

The information available on our website is not incorporated by reference in, or a part of, this or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Risk factors which could cause actual results to differ from our expectations and which could negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations are discussed below and elsewhere in this report. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that are currently not believed to be significant to our business may also affect our actual results and could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. If any of the risks or uncertainties described below or any additional risks and uncertainties actually occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Our success depends on our ability to effectively develop and market our products against those of our competitors.

We operate in a highly competitive environment. Our present or future products could be rendered obsolete or uneconomical by technological advances by one or more of our present or future competitors or by other therapies, including biological therapies. To remain competitive, we must continue to develop and acquire new products and technologies. Competition is primarily on the basis of:

- technology;
- innovation;
- quality;
- reputation; and
- customer service.

In markets outside of the U.S., other factors influence competition as well, including:

local distribution systems;

- · complex regulatory environments; and
- differing medical philosophies and product preferences. Our competitors may:
- have greater financial, marketing and other resources than us;
- respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies;
- undertake more extensive marketing campaigns;
- adopt more aggressive pricing policies; or
- be more successful in attracting potential customers, employees and strategic partners.

Any of these factors, alone or in combination, could cause us to have difficulty maintaining or increasing sales of our products.

If we do not introduce new products in a timely manner, our products may become obsolete over time, customers may not buy our products and our revenue and profitability may decline.

Demand for our products may change, in certain cases, in ways we may not anticipate because of:

- evolving customer needs;
- changing demographics;
- slowing industry growth rates;
- declines in the reconstructive implant market;
- the introduction of new products and technologies;
- · evolving surgical philosophies; and
- evolving industry standards.

Without the timely introduction of new products and enhancements, our products may become obsolete over time. If that happens, our revenue and operating results would suffer. The success of our new product offerings will depend on several factors, including our ability to:

- properly identify and anticipate customer needs;
- commercialize new products in a timely manner;
- manufacture and deliver instruments and products in sufficient volumes on time;

- differentiate our offerings from competitors' offerings;
- achieve positive clinical outcomes for new products;
- satisfy the increased demands by healthcare payors, providers and patients for shorter hospital stays, faster postoperative recovery and lower-cost procedures;
- innovate and develop new materials, product designs and surgical techniques; and
- provide adequate medical education relating to new products.

In addition, new materials, product designs and surgical techniques that we develop may not be accepted quickly, in some or all markets, because of, among other factors:

- entrenched patterns of clinical practice;
- the need for regulatory clearance; and
- uncertainty with respect to third-party reimbursement.

Moreover, innovations generally require a substantial investment in research and development before we can determine their commercial viability and we may not have the financial resources necessary to fund the production. In addition, even if we are able to successfully develop enhancements or new generations of our products, these enhancements or new generations of products may not produce revenue in excess of the costs of development and they may be quickly rendered obsolete by changing customer preferences or the introduction by our competitors of products embodying new technologies or features.

If third-party payors decline to reimburse our customers for our products or reduce reimbursement levels, the demand for our products may decline and our ability to sell our products profitably may be harmed.

We sell our products and services to hospitals, doctors, dentists and other healthcare providers, all of which receive reimbursement for the healthcare services provided to their patients from third-party payors, such as domestic and international government programs, private insurance plans and managed care programs. These third-party payors may deny reimbursement if they determine that a device used in a procedure was not in accordance with cost-effective treatment methods, as determined by the third-party payors may also decline to reimburse for experimental procedures and devices. If our products are not considered cost-effective by third-party payors, our customers may not be reimbursed for our products.

In addition, third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for medical products and services. If third-party payors reduce reimbursement levels to hospitals and other healthcare providers for our products, demand for our products may decline, or we may experience increased pressure to reduce the prices of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our sales and results of operations.

We have also experienced downward pressure on product pricing and other effects of healthcare reform in our international markets. If key participants in government healthcare systems reduce the reimbursement levels for our products, our sales and results of operations may be adversely affected.

U.S. healthcare reform legislation includes provisions that may materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

As the 2010 U.S. healthcare law continues to be phased in, we believe the law will have an impact on various aspects of our business operations. Imposition of the 2.3 percent medical device excise tax effective 2013 has forced, and will continue to force us to identify ways to reduce spending in other areas to offset the expected earnings impact due to the tax. We do not expect to be able to pass along the cost of the tax to hospitals, which continue to face cuts to their Medicare reimbursement per the healthcare law and the recently enacted fiscal cliff legislation. Nor do we expect to be able to offset the cost of the tax through higher sales volumes resulting from the expansion of health insurance coverage because of the demographics of the current uninsured population. The medical device excise tax regulations and interim guidance issued late 2012 by the U.S. Department of Treasury did little to lessen the burden of complying with the excise tax statute. In addition, the law's Medicare payment reforms, such as accountable care organizations and bundled payments, could provide additional incentives for healthcare providers to reduce spending on our medical device products and reduce utilization of hospital procedures that use our products. Accordingly, while it is still too early to fully understand and predict the ultimate impact of the law on our business, ongoing implementation of this legislation could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

The ongoing cost-containment efforts of healthcare purchasing organizations may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Many customers for our products have formed group purchasing organizations in an effort to contain costs. Group purchasing organizations negotiate pricing arrangements with medical supply manufacturers and distributors, and these negotiated prices are made available to a group purchasing organization's affiliated hospitals and other members. If we are not one of the providers selected by a group purchasing organization, affiliated hospitals and other members may be less likely to purchase our products, and, if the group purchasing organization has negotiated a strict compliance contract for another manufacturer's products, we may be precluded from making sales to members of the group purchasing organization for the duration of the contractual arrangement. Our failure to respond to the cost-containment efforts of group purchasing organizations may cause us to lose market share to our competitors and could have a material adverse effect on our sales and results of operations.

We are subject to various governmental regulations relating to the manufacturing, labeling and marketing of our products, non-compliance with which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The medical devices we design, develop, manufacture and market are subject to rigorous regulation by the FDA and numerous other federal, state and foreign governmental authorities. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals to market a medical device can be costly and time consuming and approvals might not be granted for future products on a timely basis, if at all. Delays in receipt of, or failure to obtain, approvals for future products could result in delayed realization of product revenues or in substantial additional costs.

Both before and after a product is commercially released, we have ongoing responsibilities under FDA regulations. Compliance with the FDA's requirements, including the Quality System regulation, recordkeeping regulations, labeling and promotional requirements and adverse event reporting regulations, is subject to continual review and is monitored rigorously through periodic inspections by the FDA, which may result in observations on Form 483, and in some cases warning letters, that require corrective action, or other forms of enforcement. If the FDA were to conclude that we are not in compliance with applicable laws or regulations, or that any of our medical devices are ineffective or pose an unreasonable health risk, the FDA could ban such medical devices, detain or seize adulterated or misbranded medical devices, order a recall, repair, replacement, or refund of payment of such devices, refuse to grant pending premarket approval applications, refuse to provide certificates to foreign governments for exports, and/or require us to notify healthcare professionals and others that the devices present unreasonable risks of substantial harm to the public health. The FDA may also impose operating restrictions on a company-wide basis, enjoin and restrain certain violations of applicable law pertaining to medical devices and assess civil or criminal penalties against our officers, employees or us. The FDA may also recommend prosecution to the U.S. Department of Justice. Any adverse regulatory action, depending on its magnitude, may restrict us from effectively marketing and selling our products.

Our products and operations are also often subject to the rules of industrial standards bodies, such as the International Standards Organization. If we fail to adequately address any of these regulations, our business could be harmed.

We are subject to healthcare fraud and abuse regulations on an ongoing basis that could require us to change our business practices and restrict our operations in the future.

Our industry is subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, including false claims laws, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, similar state laws and physician self-referral laws. Violations of these laws are punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions, including, in some instances, fines, imprisonment and, within the U.S., exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs, including Medicare, Medicaid and Veterans Administration (VA) health programs. The interpretation and enforcement of these laws and regulations are uncertain and subject to rapid change. New regulations related to conflict minerals may adversely affect the sourcing, availability and pricing of materials used in the manufacture of our products, may increase our costs, cause our profitability to decline and harm our reputation.

In August 2012, as mandated by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, the SEC adopted new disclosure regulations for public companies that manufacture products that contain certain minerals and their derivatives, namely tin, tantalum, tungsten or gold, known as conflict minerals, if these minerals are necessary to the functionality or production of the company's products. These regulations require such companies to report annually whether or not the minerals originate from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and adjoining countries and in some cases to perform extensive due diligence on their supply chains for the minerals. The implementation of these new requirements could adversely affect the sourcing, availability and pricing of conflict minerals used in the manufacture of medical devices, including our products. In addition, we may incur additional costs to comply with the disclosure requirements, including costs related to determining the source of any of the relevant minerals used in our products. Since our supply chain is complex, the procedures that we implement may not enable us to ascertain the origins for these minerals or determine that these minerals are DRC conflict free, which may harm our reputation. These new requirements also could have the effect of limiting the pool of suppliers from which we source these minerals, and we may be unable to obtain conflict-free minerals at competitive prices, which could increase our costs and adversely affect our manufacturing operations and our profitability.

We conduct a significant amount of our sales activity outside of the U.S., which subjects us to additional business risks and may cause our profitability to decline due to increased costs.

We sell our products in more than 100 countries and derived almost 50 percent of our net sales in 2012 from outside the U.S. We intend to continue to pursue growth opportunities in sales internationally, including in emerging markets, which could expose us to additional risks associated with international sales and operations. Our international operations are, and will continue to be, subject to a number of risks and potential costs, including:

- changes in foreign medical reimbursement policies and programs;
- unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements;
- differing local product preferences and product requirements;
- fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;
- diminished protection of intellectual property in some countries outside of the U.S.;
- trade protection measures and import or export requirements that may prevent us from shipping products to a particular market and may increase our operating costs;
- foreign exchange controls that might prevent us from repatriating cash earned in countries outside the U.S.;
- complex data privacy requirements and labor relations laws;

- extraterritorial effects of U.S. laws such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;
- effects of foreign anti-corruption laws, such as the UK Bribery Act;
- difficulty in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- labor force instability;
- potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws; and
- political and economic instability.

Violations of foreign laws or regulations could result in fines, criminal sanctions against us, our officers or our employees, prohibitions on the conduct of our business and damage to our reputation.

We may have additional tax liabilities.

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and many foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. We regularly are under audit by tax authorities. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits and any related litigation could be materially different from our historical income tax provisions and accruals. The results of an audit or litigation could have a material effect on our financial statements in the period or periods for which that determination is made.

We earn a significant amount of our operating income from outside the U.S., and any repatriation of funds representing earnings of foreign subsidiaries may significantly impact our effective tax rates. In addition, there have been proposals to change U.S. tax laws that would significantly impact how U.S. multinational corporations are taxed on foreign earnings. Although we cannot predict whether or in what form this proposed legislation will pass, if enacted it could have a material adverse impact on our tax expense and cash flow.

Challenging global economic conditions could adversely affect our results of operations.

During 2012, growth in the healthcare industry and our revenue growth were adversely affected by continuing challenges in the global economy. Although the U.S. economy is recovering from the worst recession in decades, unemployment remains high and consumer confidence remains low, resulting in reduced numbers of insured patients and the deferral of elective reconstructive procedures. Global economic conditions, particularly in Europe, our secondlargest operating segment, remain uncertain. We believe that European austerity measures implemented to address the ongoing financial crisis contributed to decreased healthcare utilization and increased pricing pressure for some of our products. We cannot assure you that challenges in the global economy will not continue to negatively impact procedure volumes, average selling prices and reimbursement rates from third-party payors, any of which could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, we have experienced delays in the collection of receivables from hospitals in certain countries that have national healthcare systems, including certain regions in Spain, Italy, Greece and Portugal, which are

the countries most directly affected by the Euro zone crisis. Repayment of these receivables is dependent upon the financial stability of the economies of those countries. Continuing high unemployment in the U.S., a worsening of the European financial crisis or a failure to receive payment of all or a significant portion of our European receivables could adversely affect our results of operations.

We are subject to risks arising from currency exchange rate fluctuations, which can increase our costs, cause our profitability to decline and expose us to counterparty risks.

A substantial portion of our foreign revenues is generated in Europe and Japan. The U.S. dollar value of our foreigngenerated revenues varies with currency exchange rate fluctuations. Significant increases in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Euro or the Japanese Yen, as well as other currencies, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Although we address currency risk management through regular operating and financing activities, and, on a limited basis, through the use of derivative financial instruments, those actions may not prove to be fully effective.

Pending and future product liability claims and litigation could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations and impair our reputation.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the design, manufacture and marketing of medical devices. In the ordinary course of business, we are the subject of product liability lawsuits alleging that component failures, manufacturing flaws, design defects or inadequate disclosure of product-related risks or product-related information resulted in an unsafe condition or injury to patients. As previously reported, we temporarily suspended the marketing and distribution of our Durom® Acetabular Component (Durom Cup) in the U.S. in July 2008. Subsequently, a number of product liability lawsuits and other claims have been asserted against us. We have settled some of these claims and the others are still pending. Additional claims may be asserted in the future. We are also currently defending a number of other product liability lawsuits and claims related to various other products. Any product liability claim brought against us, with or without merit, can be costly to defend. Product liability lawsuits and claims, safety alerts or product recalls, regardless of their ultimate outcome, could have a material adverse effect on our business and reputation and on our ability to attract and retain customers.

Although we maintain third-party product liability insurance coverage, we have substantial self-insured retention amounts that we must pay in full before obtaining any insurance proceeds to satisfy a judgment or settlement. Furthermore, even if any product liability loss is covered by our insurance, it is possible that claims against us may exceed the coverage limits of our insurance policies and we would have to pay the amount of any settlement or judgment that is in excess of our policy limits. Product liability claims in excess of applicable insurance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are involved in legal proceedings that may result in adverse outcomes.

In addition to intellectual property and product liability claims and lawsuits, we are involved in various commercial litigation and claims and other legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. Although we believe we have substantial defenses in these matters, litigation and other claims are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view of these matters may change in the future. Given the uncertain nature of legal proceedings generally, we are not able in all cases to estimate the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome. We could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any particular period.

We may fail to adequately protect our proprietary technology and other intellectual property, which would allow competitors or others to take advantage of our research and development efforts.

Our long-term success largely depends on our ability to market technologically competitive products. If we fail to obtain or maintain adequate intellectual property protection, we may not be able to prevent third parties from using our proprietary technologies. Also, our currently pending or future patent applications may not result in issued patents, and issued patents are subject to claims concerning priority, scope and other issues.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and the courts have not consistently treated the breadth of claims allowed or interpreted in orthopaedic reconstructive implant and biotechnology patents. Future changes in, or unexpected interpretations of, the patent laws may adversely affect our ability to enforce our patent position.

In addition, intellectual property rights may be unavailable or of limited effect in some foreign countries. If we do not obtain sufficient international protection for our intellectual property, our competitiveness in international markets could be impaired, which could limit our growth and revenue.

We also attempt to protect our trade secrets, proprietary know-how and continuing technological innovation with security measures, including the use of confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and collaborators. These measures may prove to be ineffective and any remedies available to us may be insufficient to compensate our damages.

Pending and future intellectual property litigation and infringement claims could cause us to incur significant expenses or prevent us from selling our products.

A successful claim of patent or other intellectual property infringement against us could adversely affect our growth and profitability, in some cases materially. From time to time, we receive notices from third parties of potential infringement and receive claims of potential infringement. We may be unaware of intellectual property rights of others that may cover some of our technology. If someone claims that our products infringed their intellectual property rights, any resulting litigation could be costly and time consuming and would divert the attention of management and key personnel from other business issues. If we were to lose such litigation involving material intellectual property rights, such loss could result in significant damage awards and injunctions that could prevent our manufacture and sale of affected products or require us to pay significant royalties in order to continue to manufacture or sell affected products.

If we fail to retain the independent agents and distributors upon whom we rely heavily to market our products, customers may not buy our products and our revenue and profitability may decline.

Our marketing success in the U.S. and abroad depends significantly upon our agents' and distributors' sales and service expertise in the marketplace. Many of these agents have developed professional relationships with existing and potential customers because of the agents' detailed knowledge of products and instruments. A loss of a significant number of these agents could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We depend on a limited number of suppliers for some key raw materials and outsourced activities.

We use a number of suppliers for raw materials that we need to manufacture our products and to outsource some key manufacturing activities. These suppliers must provide the materials and perform the activities to our standards for us to meet our quality and regulatory requirements. Some key raw materials and outsourced activities can only be obtained from a single source or a limited number of sources. A prolonged disruption or other inability to obtain these materials or outsource key manufacturing activities could materially and adversely affect our ability to satisfy demand for our products.

Future material impairments in the carrying value of our intangible assets, including goodwill, would negatively affect our operating results.

Our assets include intangible assets, primarily goodwill. The goodwill results from our acquisition activity and represents the excess of the consideration transferred over the fair value of the net assets acquired. We assess at least annually whether events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our intangible assets may not be recoverable. If the operating performance at one or more of our business units falls significantly below current levels, if competing or alternative technologies emerge, or if market conditions or future cash flow estimates for one or more of our businesses decline, we could be required, under current U.S. accounting rules, to record a non-cash charge to operating earnings for the amount of the impairment. Any write-off of a material portion of our unamortized intangible assets would negatively affect our results of operations.

We are increasingly dependent on sophisticated information technology and if we fail to effectively maintain or protect the integrity of our information systems and data, our business could be adversely affected.

We are increasingly dependent on sophisticated information technology for our products and infrastructure. As a result of technology initiatives, recently enacted regulations, changes in our system platforms and integration of new

business acquisitions, we have been consolidating and integrating the number of systems we operate and have upgraded and expanded our information systems capabilities. Our information systems require an ongoing commitment of significant resources to maintain, protect, and enhance existing systems and develop new systems to keep pace with continuing changes in information technology, evolving systems and regulatory standards, and the increasing need to protect patient and customer information. In addition, third parties may attempt to hack into our products or systems and may obtain data relating to patients with our products or our proprietary information. If we fail to maintain or protect our information systems and data integrity effectively, we could lose existing customers, have difficulty attracting new customers, have problems in determining product cost estimates and establishing appropriate pricing, have difficulty preventing, detecting, and controlling fraud, have disputes with customers, physicians, and other healthcare professionals, have regulatory sanctions or penalties imposed, have increases in operating expenses, incur expenses or lose revenues as a result of a data privacy breach, or suffer other adverse consequences. While we have invested heavily in the protection of data and information technology, there can be no assurance that our process of consolidating the number of systems we operate, upgrading and expanding our information systems capabilities, protecting and enhancing our systems and developing new systems to keep pace with continuing

changes in information processing technology will be successful or that systems issues will not arise in the future. Any significant breakdown, intrusion, interruption, corruption, or destruction of these systems could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may make additional acquisitions or enter into strategic alliances that could increase our costs or liabilities or be disruptive.

We intend to continue to look for additional strategic acquisitions of other businesses that are complementary to our businesses and other companies with whom we could form strategic alliances or enter into other arrangements to develop or exploit intellectual property rights. These activities involve risks, including the following:

- we may need to divert more management resources to integration than we planned, which may adversely affect our ability to pursue other more profitable activities;
- the difficulties of integrating acquired businesses may be increased if we need to integrate geographically separated organizations, personnel with disparate business backgrounds and companies with different corporate cultures;
- we may not recognize expected cost savings or the anticipated benefits of acquisitions or strategic alliances;
- our acquisition candidates or strategic partners may have unexpected liabilities or prove unable to meet their obligations to us or the joint venture; and
- the priorities of our strategic partners may prove incompatible with ours.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not Applicable.

ITEM 2. Properties

We have the following properties:

| We have the following Location | | Owned /Leased | Square Feet |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|-------------|
| Warsaw, Indiana | Research & Development, Manufacturing, Warehousing, Marketing & | | |
| | Administration | Owned | 1,400,000 |
| Warsaw, Indiana | Corporate Headquarters & The Zimmer Institute | Owned | 117,000 |
| Warsaw, Indiana | Offices, Manufacturing & Warehousing | Leased | 90,000 |
| Carlsbad, California | Offices, Research & Development & Manufacturing | Leased | 125,000 |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota | Offices & Research & Development | Owned | 51,000 |
| Southaven, Mississippi | Distribution Center | Leased | 189,000 |
| Dover, Ohio | Research & Development, Manufacturing & | Owned | 140,000 |
| | Warehousing | Leased | 61,000 |
| Parsippany, New Jersey | Office, Research & Development, Manufacturing, Warehousing & The | | |
| | Zimmer Institute | Leased | 135,000 |
| Memphis, Tennessee | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 30,000 |
| Austin, Texas | Offices, Administration, Research & Development | Leased | 70,000 |
| Sydney, Australia | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 33,000 |
| Mississauga, Canada | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 52,000 |
| Beijing, China | Offices & Manufacturing | Leased | 88,000 |
| Xianning, China | Offices, Research & Development & Manufacturing | Leased | 53,000 |
| Shanghai, China | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 45,000 |
| Etupes, France | Offices, Manufacturing & Warehousing | Owned | 90,000 |
| Saint Priest, France | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 13,000 |
| Eschbach, Germany | Distribution Center | Owned | 94,000 |
| Freiburg, Germany | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 75,000 |
| Shannon, Ireland | Offices & Manufacturing | Owned | 125,000 |
| Milan, Italy | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 55,000 |
| Gotemba, Japan | Offices, Service Center & Warehousing | Owned | 87,000 |
| Tokyo, Japan | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 20,000 |
| Seoul, Korea | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 33,000 |
| Ponce, Puerto Rico | Offices, Manufacturing & Warehousing | Owned | 225,000 |
| Singapore | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 19,000 |
| Barcelona, Spain | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 27,000 |
| Winterthur, Switzerland | Offices, Research & Development & Manufacturing | Leased | 394,000 |
| Münsingen, Switzerland | Offices & Warehousing | Owned | 76,000 |
| Swindon, United Kingdom | Offices & Warehousing | Leased | 10,000 |

We believe the current facilities, including manufacturing, warehousing, research and development and office space, provide sufficient capacity to meet ongoing demands.

In addition to the above, we maintain more than 100 other offices and warehouse facilities in more than 25 countries around the world, including the U.S., Japan, Australia, France, Russia, India, Germany, Italy, Switzerland and China. We believe that all of the facilities and equipment are in good condition, well maintained and able to operate at present levels.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

Information pertaining to legal proceedings in which we are involved can be found in Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements (see Part II, Item 8 of this report).

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange and the SIX Swiss Exchange under the symbol "ZMH." The high and low sales prices for our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange and the dividends declared for the calendar quarters of fiscal years 2012 and 2011 are set forth as follows:

| Quarterly High-Low Share Prices and Declared Dividends | High | Low | Declared Dividends |
|--|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2012: | | | |
| First Quarter | \$64.81 | \$52.70 | \$ - |
| Second Quarter | \$66.41 | \$58.23 | \$0.18 |
| Third Quarter | \$67.90 | \$57.46 | \$0.18 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$69.09 | \$61.97 | \$0.18 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011: | | | |
| First Quarter | \$65.22 | \$52.15 | \$ - |
| Second Quarter | \$69.93 | \$59.49 | \$ |
| Third Quarter | \$66.03 | \$49.92 | \$ - |
| Fourth Quarter | \$55.43 | \$47.00 | \$0.18 |

We expect to continue paying cash dividends on a quarterly basis; however, future dividends are subject to approval of the Board of Directors and may be adjusted as business needs or market conditions change.

The number of holders of our common stock on February 15, 2013 was approximately 237,000. On February 15, 2013, the closing price of the common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, was \$75.90 per share.

The information required by this Item concerning equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to Item 12 of this report.

The following table summarizes repurchases of common stock settled during the three months ended December 31, 2012:

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| October 2012 | | \$ - | | \$1,154,341,726 |
| November 2012 | 1,108,700 | 64.93 | 1,108,700 | 1,082,354,658 |
| December 2012 | 1,000,000 | 67.77 | 1,000,000 | 1,014,582,321 |
| Total | 2,108,700 | \$66.28 | 2,108,700 | \$1,014,582,321 |

(1) Includes repurchases made under the current program authorizing \$1.5 billion of repurchases through December 31, 2014.

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

The financial information for each of the past five years ended December 31 is set forth below (in millions, except per share amounts):

| Summary of Operations | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net sales | \$4,471.7 | \$4,451.8 | \$4,220.2 | \$4,095.4 | \$4,121.1 |
| Net earnings of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. | 755.0 | 760.8 | 596.9 | 717.4 | 848.6 |
| Earnings per common share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 4.32 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 2.98 | \$ 3.34 | \$ 3.73 |
| Diluted | 4.29 | 4.03 | 2.97 | 3.32 | 3.72 |
| Dividends declared per share of common stock | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.18 | \$ – | \$ - | \$ – |
| Average common shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 174.9 | 187.6 | 200.0 | 215.0 | 227.3 |
| Diluted | 176.0 | 188.7 | 201.1 | 215.8 | 228.3 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$9,012.4 | \$8,515.3 | \$7,999.9 | \$7,785.5 | \$7,239.0 |
| Long-term debt | 1,720.8 | 1,576.0 | 1,142.1 | 1,127.6 | 460.1 |
| Other long-term obligations | 559.3 | 557.4 | 384.0 | 328.5 | 353.9 |
| Stockholders' equity | 5,866.3 | 5,514.8 | 5,771.3 | 5,638.7 | 5,653.9 |

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the corresponding notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Certain percentages presented in this discussion and analysis are calculated from the underlying whole-dollar amounts and therefore may not recalculate from the rounded numbers used for disclosure purposes. Certain amounts in the 2011 and 2010 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation.

EXECUTIVE LEVEL OVERVIEW

2012 Results

Our 2012 results reflect what we believe was above market sales growth in our Europe and Asia Pacific reporting segments and below market growth in our Americas reporting segment in the musculoskeletal markets in which we compete. As a result, 2012 net sales were flat when compared to 2011. We believe 2012 net sales reflected strong commercial execution in the Europe and Asia Pacific reporting segments and under-performance in some areas of the Americas reporting segment. We are focusing on improving those areas.

During 2012, we made substantial investments in a series of operational excellence initiatives. We began implementing these initiatives on a company-wide basis in 2010. They are intended to improve our future operating results and include centralizing or outsourcing certain functions, improving quality, distribution, sourcing, manufacturing and our information technology systems. We began realizing savings from these operational initiatives in 2012 as indicated in the 80 basis point decline in our selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense as a percent of sales. Additionally, research and development (R&D) spending was lower in 2012 as we completed certain significant projects and realized some operational savings from these initiatives.

We also recognized unanticipated expenses in 2012 for goodwill impairment related to our U.S. Spine operations and "Certain claims". However, this was partially offset by a favorable effective tax rate. We recorded a \$34.3 million net tax benefit related to restructuring of certain international operations that resulted in the lower tax rate.

In total, our 2012 net earnings were slightly lower than 2011 primarily due to the significant investments in our operational excellence initiatives and were lower than we expected primarily due to the goodwill impairment.

2013 Outlook

We estimate our net sales will grow between 2 and 4 percent in 2013. This assumes the market for knee and hip procedures will remain stable and grow in low single digits. We expect pricing to have a negative effect on sales growth of approximately 2 percent, and foreign currency exchange rates to have a negative effect on sales growth of approximately 0.5 percent based upon December 31, 2012 rates.

Assuming currency rates remain at December 31, 2012 levels, we expect our gross margin to be between 74.5 and 75.5 percent of sales in 2013. This range assumes that foreign currency hedge losses will be lower in 2013 than in 2012. The range also takes into consideration the impact of the new 2.3 percent excise tax on a majority of our U.S. sales resulting from U.S. healthcare reform. Pursuant to the tax regulations, the excise tax is imposed on the first sale in the U.S. by the manufacturer, producer or importer of a medical device to either a third party or an affiliated distribution entity. We distribute a majority of our musculoskeletal products through an affiliated distribution entity. Under U.S. GAAP, excise taxes incurred to get inventory to its current location can be included in the cost of the inventory. Accordingly, a majority of the excise tax will be capitalized in inventory and the expense will be deferred until that inventory is sold on a firstin-first-out basis. Therefore, while we started paying the tax in January 2013, it will not significantly increase our cost of products sold expense in our consolidated statement of earnings until later in the year. Once our cost of products sold starts reflecting this excise tax, we estimate the cost to be \$10 to \$15 million on a quarterly basis. The range of 74.5 to 75.5 percent does not take into consideration inventory step-up or other inventory charges related to acquisitions or operational excellence initiatives in 2013.

We do not expect to be able to offset the full impact of the excise tax on net earnings through higher pricing on our products or through higher sales volumes resulting from the expansion of health insurance coverage. However, we do expect to offset the tax with cost savings from our operational excellence initiatives.

We expect to continue making investments in R&D of approximately 5 percent of sales in 2013. SG&A as a percent of sales is expected to be between 39.5 and 40.0 percent in 2013 as we realize efficiencies from our operational excellence initiatives and further leverage revenue growth.

We expect to incur \$120 to \$130 million of expenses in 2013 related to our operational excellence initiatives. These programs are targeted at streamlining the organization and business processes. They are expected to be mostly completed in 2013. We also expect to incur \$5 to \$15 million for certain acquisition and integration costs connected with recent acquisitions. We expect to recognize the majority of these expenses in "Special items" on our statement of earnings, but some will be related to inventory and be reflected in costs of products sold. The gross margin and SG&A percentages discussed above do not include these expenses.

Assuming variable interest rates remain at December 31, 2012 levels, we expect interest income and expense, net, to be approximately \$60 million in 2013, which is similar to 2012.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Sales by Reportable Segment

The following tables present net sales by reportable segment and the components of the percentage changes (dollars in millions):

| Year Ended | Year Ended December 31, | | Volume/ | | Foreign |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 2012 | 2011 | % Inc/(Dec) | Mix | Price | Exchange |
| \$2,476.3 | \$2,440.8 | 1% | 4% | (2)% | (1)% |
| 1,177.4 | 1,214.5 | (3) | 4 | (1) | (6) |
| 818.0 | 796.5 | 3 | 5 | (2) | - |
| \$4,471.7 | \$4,451.8 | - | 4 | (2) | (2) |
| Year Ended December 31, | | | Volume/ | | |
| 2011 | 2010 | % Inc | Mix | Price | Foreign Exchange |
| \$2,440.8 | \$2,431.6 | -% | 2% | (2)% | -% |
| 1,214.5 | 1,099.5 | 10 | 5 | _ | 5 |
| 1,011.0 | -, | | + | | |
| 796.5 | 689.1 | 16 | 7 | (1) | 10 |
| | 2012 \$2,476.3 1,177.4 818.0 \$4,471.7 Year Ended I 2011 \$2,440.8 | 2012 2011 \$2,476.3 \$2,440.8 1,177.4 1,214.5 818.0 796.5 \$4,471.7 \$4,451.8 Year Ended December 31, 2011 2010 \$2,440.8 \$2,431.6 | 2012 2011 % Inc/(Dec) \$2,476.3 \$2,440.8 1% 1,177.4 1,214.5 (3) 818.0 796.5 3 \$4,471.7 \$4,451.8 - Year Ended December 31, 2011 % Inc \$2011 2010 % Inc \$2,440.8 \$2,431.6 -% | 2012 2011 % Inc/(Dec) Mix \$2,476.3 \$2,440.8 1% 4% 1,177.4 1,214.5 (3) 4 818.0 796.5 3 5 \$4,471.7 \$4,451.8 - 4 Year Ended December 31, Volume/ Volume/ 2011 2010 % Inc Mix \$2,440.8 \$2,431.6 -% 2% | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ |

"Foreign Exchange" as used in the tables in this report represents the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on sales growth.

Net Sales by Product Category

The following tables present net sales by product category and the components of the percentage changes (dollars in millions):

| Year Er | nded Dece | mber 31, | | Volume/ | | Foreign |
|------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|-------|----------|
| 2 | 012 | 2011 | % Inc (Dec) | | Price | Exchange |
| Reconstructive | | | | _ | | |
| Knees \$1,814 | 4.8 \$2 | 1,825.1 | (1)% | 4% | (3)% | (2)% |
| Hips 1,342 | 2.0 | 1,355.6 | (1) | 4 | (3) | (2) |
| Extremities 173 | 3.8 | 163.4 | 6 | 9 | (1) | (2) |
| Total 3,330 | 0.6 3 | 3,344.1 | _ | 4 | (3) | (1) |
| Dental 23 | 7.7 | 248.1 | (4) | (4) | 2 | (2) |
| Trauma 307 | 7.9 | 285.8 | 8 | 10 | (1) | (1) |
| Spine 208 | 8.9 | 225.0 | (7) | (2) | (4) | (1) |
| Surgical and other 380 | 5.6 | 348.8 | 11 | 12 | - | (1) |
| Total \$4,47 | 1.7 \$4 | 4,451.8 | - | 4 | (2) | (2) |

| 2011 | | | Volume/ | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|---|
| | 2010 | % Inc (Dec) | Mix | Price | Foreign Exchange |
| | | | | | |
| \$1,825.1 | \$1,789.9 | 2% | 1% | (2)% | 3% |
| 1,355.6 | 1,262.3 | 7 | 6 | (2) | 3 |
| 163.4 | 150.1 | 9 | 8 | (1) | 2 |
| 3,344.1 | 3,202.3 | 4 | 3 | (2) | 3 |
| 248.1 | 219.0 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| 285.8 | 245.5 | 16 | 13 | - | 3 |
| 225.0 | 234.4 | (4) | (4) | (2) | 2 |
| 348.8 | 319.0 | 9 | 6 | - | 3 |
| \$4,451.8 | \$4,220.2 | 5 | 4 | (1) | 2 |
| | 1,355.6 163.4 3,344.1 248.1 285.8 225.0 348.8 | $\begin{array}{c cccc} 1,355.6 & 1,262.3 \\ \hline 163.4 & 150.1 \\ \hline 3,344.1 & 3,202.3 \\ \hline 248.1 & 219.0 \\ 285.8 & 245.5 \\ 225.0 & 234.4 \\ - 348.8 & 319.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c cccccc} 1,355.6 & 1,262.3 & 7 \\ \hline 163.4 & 150.1 & 9 \\ \hline 3,344.1 & 3,202.3 & 4 \\ \hline 248.1 & 219.0 & 13 \\ 285.8 & 245.5 & 16 \\ 225.0 & 234.4 & (4) \\ \hline 348.8 & 319.0 & 9 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

The following table presents net sales by product category by region (dollars in millions):

| Year Ended December 31, 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 vs. 2011 % inc (Dec) | 2011 vs. 2010 % Inc (Dec) |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Reconstructive | | | | |
| Knees | | | | |
| Americas \$1,058.9 | | \$1,110.5 | (1)% | (4)% |
| Europe 447.3 | | 418.7 | (3) | 10 |
| Asia Pacific 308.6 | 295.0 | 260.7 | 5 | 13 |
| Hips | | | | |
| Americas 606.7 | | 589.7 | 1 | 2 |
| Europe 446.0 | | 433.2 | (5) | 9 |
| Asia Pacific 289.3 | 284.4 | 239.4 | 2 | 19 |
| Extremities | | | | |
| Americas 133.8 | | 115.9 | 7 | 8 |
| Europe 29.0 | | 24.4 | 6 | 13 |
| Asia Pacific 11.0 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 1 | 12 |
| Total 3,330.6 | 3,344.1 | 3,202.3 | _ | 4 |
| Dental | | | | |
| Americas 137.8 | | 113.9 | 2 | 18 |
| Europe 79.8 | | 80.0 | (6) | 7 |
| Asia Pacific 20.1 | 28.1 | 25.1 | (29) | 12 |
| Trauma | | | | |
| Americas 155.2 | | 130.1 | 7 | 12 |
| Europe 69.5 | | 50.2 | 10 | 26 |
| Asia Pacific 83.2 | 76.8 | 65.2 | 8 | 18 |
| Spine | | | | |
| Americas 140.0 | | 166.5 | (7) | (9) |
| Europe 49.3 | | 51.5 | (8) | 4 |
| Asia Pacific 19.6 | 20.6 | 16.4 | (5) | 25 |
| Surgical and other | | | | |
| Americas 243.9 | | 205.0 | 13 | 6 |
| Europe 56.5 | | 41.5 | 10 | 24 |
| Asia Pacific 86.2 | 80.7 | 72.5 | 7 | 11 |
| Total \$4,471.7 | \$4,451.8 | \$4,220.2 | | 5 |

Demand (Volume and Mix) Trends

Increased volume and changes in the mix of product sales contributed 4 percentage points of 2012 sales growth, which is the same rate of growth from 2011 compared to 2010.

Consistent with our expectations, procedure volumes in the broader musculoskeletal market remained stable in 2012 relative to 2011 at low to mid-single digit growth rates. We believe long-term indicators point toward sustained growth driven by an aging global population, growth in emerging markets, obesity, proven clinical benefits, new material technologies, advances in surgical techniques and more active lifestyles, among other factors. In addition, the ongoing shift in demand to premium products and the introduction of patient specific devices is expected to continue to positively affect sales growth.

Pricing Trends

Global average selling prices declined by 2 percent in 2012 compared to 2011. In all reporting segments, we continued to see pricing pressure from governmental healthcare cost containment efforts and from local hospitals and health systems. For example, in Japan a biennial price adjustment went into effect in April 2012 which lowered pricing. The Japan downward price adjustment was greater than we had anticipated coming into the year. For 2013, we estimate that selling prices will have a negative effect of approximately 2 percent.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

For 2012, foreign currency exchange rates resulted in a 2 percent decline in sales. This was most notable in Europe due to the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar versus the Euro yearover-year. If foreign currency exchange rates remain consistent with 2012 year end rates, we estimate that a stronger U.S. Dollar versus foreign currency exchange rates will have a negative effect in 2013 of approximately 0.5 percent on sales. We address currency risk through regular operating and financing activities and through the use of forward contracts and options solely to manage foreign currency volatility and risk. Changes to foreign currency exchange rates affect sales growth, but due to gains/losses on hedge contracts and options, which are recorded in cost of products sold, the effect on net earnings in the near term is expected to be minimal.

Knees

Knee sales experienced a 1 percent decline in 2012 compared to a 2 percent increase in 2011. However, most of that change was caused by the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In Europe, changes in foreign currency exchange rates affected knee sales in 2012 and 2011 by negative 6 percent and positive 4 percent, respectively. In Asia Pacific, changes in foreign currency exchange rates had a minimal effect on knee sales in 2012 and had a positive 9 percent effect in 2011. We estimate that industry procedure volumes were slightly positive on a global basis in 2012, while pricing was negative. We also believe our Europe and Asia Pacific reporting segments grew above the market, but in the Americas we were lower than the market due to underperformance in some areas. We are cautiously optimistic that volume/mix trends will continue to remain stable in 2013.

The NexGen Complete Knee Solution product line, including Gender Solutions[®] Knee Femoral Implants, the NexGen LPS-Flex Knee and the NexGen CR-Flex Knee, led knee sales in 2012. In addition, sales of our knee revision systems, the Zimmer Unicompartmental High Flex Knee and Zimmer Patient Specific Instruments exhibited growth.

Hips

Hip sales declined by 1 percent in 2012 compared to an increase of 7 percent in 2011. A significant portion of that change was caused by the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In Europe, changes in foreign currency exchange rates affected hip sales in 2012 and 2011 by negative 6 percent and positive 6 percent, respectively. In Asia Pacific, changes in foreign currency exchange rates positively affected hip sales in 2012 and 2011 by 1 percent and 10 percent, respectively.

Also, we experienced some specific positive growth drivers in 2011, such as new product introductions and product specific issues at competitors. Without these factors in 2012, our results were more reflective of market growth. We estimate that industry procedure volumes were slightly positive on a global basis in 2012, while pricing was negative.

Sales in 2012 were driven primarily by the Zimmer M/L Taper Hip Prosthesis and the Zimmer M/L Taper Hip Prosthesis with Kinectiv Technology, Fitmore Hip Stems, and our Continuum Acetabular System, Trilogy IT Acetabular System and Allofit IT Alloclassic Acetabular System. Other leading products in our hips portfolio were the CLS Spotorno Stem from the CLS Hip System and the Alloclassic Zweymüller Hip Stem. We also commercialized our Vivacit-E[®] Highly Crosslinked Polyethylene Liners in 2012 and expect this to drive sales growth in 2013.

Extremities

Extremities delivered solid sales growth the past two years. The *Zimmer Trabecular Metal* Reverse Shoulder System led extremities sales. Reverse shoulder procedures continue to gain popularity as a solution for patients with rotator cuff damage.

Dental

Dental sales declined by 4 percent in 2012 compared to an increase of 13 percent in 2011. While the Americas sales increased in 2012, the overall performance of our dental franchise was impacted by several factors, including softening in certain international markets and lower inventory levels maintained by our stocking distributors. Also, Americas sales growth was aided by the acquisition of a small Brazilian dental company. Sales were led by the *Tapered Screw-Vent* Implant System. In addition, sales of our recently released *Zimmer Trabecular Metal* Dental Implant positively affected sales. 2011 sales were buoyed by a change in a distribution arrangement under which we started capturing end-user sales as compared to the prior agreement where we only received a commission on end-user sales.

Trauma

Trauma sales increased by 8 percent and 16 percent in 2012 and 2011, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods. In 2011, we continued the launch of the *Zimmer* Natural Nail System, which contributed significantly to our trauma sales in 2011 and 2012. In addition to the *Zimmer* Natural Nail System, the *Zimmer* Periarticular Locking Plates System led trauma sales, while sales of the *Zimmer* Universal Locking System also made a strong contribution in 2012.

Spine

We experienced mid-single digit sales declines in Spine each of the past two years. This product category continues to face challenges related to utilization, pricing pressure and payor approvals. Overall, solid sales of the *PathFinder NXT* and *Sequoia* Pedicle Screw Systems, our *Universal Clamp*TM Spinal Fixation System and *Trabecular Metal* Technology products partly offset a decline in sales of the *Dynesys* System and other products.

Surgical and other

Surgical and other delivered solid sales growth the past two years. Surgical and other sales were led by *PALACOS* Bone Cement and tourniquet products. Our wound debridement products, powered instruments, *DeNovo* NT Natural Tissue Graft, *Chondrofix* Osteochondral Allograft and *Gel-One* Cross-linked Hyaluronate also made a positive contribution to sales results. Additionally, our January 2012 acquisition of Synvasive Technology, Inc. and October 2012 acquisition of Dornoch Medical Systems, Inc. provided growth in this product category.

The following table presents estimated* 2012 global market size and market share information (dollars in billions):

| | Global Market Size | Global Market % Growth** | Zimmer Market Share | Zimmer Market Position |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Reconstructive | | | | |
| Knees | \$ 7.3 | 3% | 25% | 1 |
| Hips | 6.4 | 1 | 21 | 2 |
| Extremities | 1.4 | 9 | 12 | 3 |
| Total | \$15.1 | 3 | 22 | 1 |
| Dental | \$ 3.3 | (1) | 7 | 5 |
| Trauma | \$ 5.5 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Spine*** | \$ 8.9 | | 2 | 6 |

* Estimates are not precise and are based on competitor annual filings, Wall Street equity research and Company estimates

** Excludes the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on sales growth

*** Spine includes related orthobiologics

Expenses as a Percent of Net Sales

| Year Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 vs. 2011 Inc (Dec) | 2011 vs. 2010 Inc (Dec) |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Cost of products sold | 25.2% | 25.2% | 24.0% | _ | 1.2 |
| Research and | | | | | |
| development | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.2 | (0.4) | 0.2 |
| Selling, general and | | | | | |
| administrative | 40.4 | 41.2 | 41.6 | (0.8) | (0.4) |
| Certain claims | 0.3 | 3.5 | 1.8 | (3.2) | 1.7 |
| Goodwill impairment | 2.1 | - | 4.8 | 2.1 | (4.8) |
| Special items | 3.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Operating margin | 23.4 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 |

Cost of Products Sold

Gross margin was the same in 2012 compared to 2011. Our foreign currency hedging program had a favorable impact to gross margin in 2012. Under the program, for derivatives which qualify as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is temporarily recorded in other comprehensive income and then recognized in cost of products sold when the hedged item affects earnings. Due to the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar in 2012, foreign currency hedge losses in costs of products sold were less in 2012 than they were in 2011. Additionally, lower inventory step-up charges from acquisitions were recognized in 2012 when compared to 2011 based on the timing of acquisitions. This favorability was offset by the effect of lower prices on gross margin.

Gross margin declined in 2011 from 2010. The most significant impact on gross margin in 2011 was from our foreign currency hedging program. Due to the weakening of the U.S. Dollar in 2011, we recognized foreign currency hedge losses in costs of products sold versus hedge gains in 2010. Also in 2011, lower selling prices, higher average costs per unit sold, and higher inventory step-up charges all contributed to lower gross margins.

The following table reconciles the gross margin changes for 2012 and 2011:

| Year Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Prior year gross margin | 74.8% | 76.0% |
| Lower average selling prices | (0.5) | (0.2) |
| Average cost per unit | (0.1) | (0.2) |
| Excess and obsolete inventory | (0.1) | - |
| Foreign currency exchange impact, net | 0.4 | (1.0) |
| Inventory step-up | 0.2 | (0.2) |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Current year gross margin | 74.8% | 74.8% |

Operating Expenses

R&D expenses and R&D as a percent of sales decreased in 2012 compared to 2011 after increases in 2011 when compared to 2010. In 2012, R&D spending benefitted from the effect of our operational excellence initiatives, as well as a natural decline related to certain large projects that achieved full commercialization, including *Persona*, The Personalized Knee System, and the *Trabecular Metal* Total Ankle, among other products. The increase in 2011 was in line with our strategy to

invest in new product development activities across nearly all of our product categories, as well as to increase spending on external research, clinical, regulatory and quality initiatives. We expect R&D spending in 2013 to be approximately 5 percent of sales for the year.

In 2012, SG&A decreased in dollars terms and as a percent of sales. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates due to the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar relative to 2011 lowered SG&A expense.

Absent the effects of foreign currency exchange rates, selling and distribution expenses were higher due to higher sales. Other unfavorable items included increased intangible amortization from business combinations, higher employee recruiting and relocation costs, increased legal costs and higher bad debt expense. These were offset by favorable product liability claims, lower instrument excess and obsolescence charges and lower advertising spend. SG&A as a percent of sales decreased 80 basis points compared to the prior year, reflecting disciplined discretionary spending and the effect of our operational excellence initiatives, which has lowered expenses such as salaries, wages and benefits.

In 2011, SG&A increased in dollar terms, but decreased as a percent of sales from 2010. The increase in dollars was primarily due to variable selling and distribution expenses from higher sales, increased intangible asset amortization from acquisitions completed in December 2010 and higher bad debt expenses primarily from our Europe operating segment. These were partially offset by lower product liability charges recorded in SG&A related to the *Durom* Cup. For more information regarding *Durom* Cup claims, see Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements. SG&A as a percent of sales in 2011 decreased by 40 basis points from 2010 reflecting disciplined spending and the effect of our operational excellence initiatives.

"Certain claims" expense is a provision for estimated liabilities to *Durom* Cup patients undergoing revision surgeries. Provisions of \$157.8 million, \$75.0 million, \$35.0 million and \$69.0 million were originally recorded during 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, with an additional \$15.0 million recorded during 2012, bringing the total provision to \$351.8 million for these claims, excluding a subset of *Durom* Cup claims that were recorded in SG&A. The additional expense in 2012 was primarily for more estimated claims outside the U.S. than were previously expected, as well as higher estimated legal costs. For more information regarding these claims, see Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

In connection with our annual goodwill impairment tests performed in the fourth quarters of 2012 and 2010, we noted that the carrying values of the net assets of our U.S. Spine reporting unit were in excess of the reporting unit's estimated fair value. As a result, we recorded goodwill impairment charges of \$96.0 million and \$204.0 million in 2012 and 2010, respectively. For more information regarding goodwill impairment and the factors that led to the impairment, see Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements. "Special items" expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$155.4 million, \$75.2 million, and \$34.7 million, respectively.

"Special items" in 2012 included significant expenses incurred for consulting and professional fees and dedicated project personnel for our operational excellence initiatives. These initiatives are intended to improve our future operating results and include centralizing or outsourcing certain functions, improving quality, distribution, sourcing, manufacturing and our information technology systems. Other significant expenses in 2012 were from impairments from intangible assets acquired in business combinations, settlement of various legal matters, including royalty disputes, and severance expenses related to various organizational changes as well as facility closures.

"Special items" in 2011 resulted from a continued reduction in management layers and restructuring in certain areas, resulting in \$23.1 million of severance and terminationrelated expenses. In 2011, we also incurred \$26.0 million in consulting and professional fees associated with acquisitions and our operational excellence initiatives. As a result of our acquisitions and operational excellence initiatives, we also incurred asset impairments, facility and employee relocation costs, contract termination expenses and other costs.

"Special items" in 2010 included expenses related to restructuring of our information technology infrastructure as well as our management structure. This resulted in \$7.7 million of asset impairment charges and \$6.7 million of employee severance and termination-related expenses. In 2010, we also incurred consulting and professional fees, facility and employee relocation costs, contract termination expenses and other various expenses resulting from acquisitions. "Special items" also included the impairment of an available-for-sale security that was acquired as part of a business acquisition and certain litigation related matters.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for more information regarding "Special items" charges.

Interest Income, Interest Expense, Income Taxes and Net Earnings

Interest expense increased in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to the \$550 million offering of senior notes we completed in November 2011. Interest expense decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 as the result of interest rate swap agreements we entered into in late 2010 and early 2011 to convert a portion of our fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. Interest income has increased the last two years due to higher balances of cash and cash equivalents and short-term and longterm investments upon which interest income is being earned.

Our effective tax rate (ETR) on earnings before income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was 24.0 percent, 22.4 percent and 30.6 percent, respectively. The variation of our ETR has largely been affected by "Certain claims", goodwill impairment charges and a \$34.3 million benefit from the recognition of deferred tax assets related to a legal entity restructuring. "Certain claims" expense favorably affects our ETR because it lowers the income within our U.S. operations relative to our foreign operations. Goodwill impairment charges negatively affect our ETR because no tax benefit is recorded on such charges. Additionally, in 2011 and 2010 our ETR was favorably impacted by the resolution of certain tax contingencies. These discrete items account for the majority of the variation in our ETRs in the past three years.

Our ETR for 2013 will reflect certain tax benefits resulting from the enactment in January 2013 of U.S. federal laws extending the R&D credit and other tax benefits applicable to us. The extension applies to both 2012 and 2013, and as a result of the timing of the law's enactment, the 2012 tax year benefits must be recognized in the first quarter of 2013 for financial reporting purposes. As a result, our 2013 financial results will reflect a reduction to our ETR of approximately 0.3 percent related to the 2012 tax year benefits.

As a result of the revenues and expenses discussed previously, net earnings in 2012 decreased 1 percent compared to 2011. In 2011, net earnings increased 27 percent compared to 2010. Basic and diluted earnings per share increased 7 percent and 6 percent, respectively, in 2012 compared to 2011, while 2011 basic and diluted earnings per share increased 36 percent from 2010. The disproportionate change in earnings per share as compared to net earnings is attributed to the effect of share repurchases.

Non-GAAP operating performance measures

We use non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate our operating performance that differ from financial measures determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Our non-GAAP financial measures exclude the impact of inventory step-up and other inventory charges, "Special items," "Certain claims," goodwill impairment, and the taxes on those items in addition to certain other tax adjustments. We use this information internally and believe it is helpful to investors because it allows more meaningful period-to-period comparisons of our ongoing operating results, it helps to perform trend analysis and to better identify operating trends that may otherwise be masked or distorted by these types of items, and it provides a higher degree of transparency of certain items. Certain of these non-GAAP financial measures are used as metrics for our incentive compensation programs.

Our non-GAAP adjusted net earnings used for internal management purposes for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$932.5 million, \$905.6 million, and \$871.6 million, respectively, and our non-GAAP adjusted diluted earnings per share were \$5.30, \$4.80, and \$4.33, respectively. The following are reconciliations from our GAAP net earnings and diluted earnings per share to our non-GAAP adjusted net earnings and non-GAAP adjusted diluted earnings per share used for internal management purposes (in millions, except per share amounts).

| 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------|---|--|
| \$755.0 | \$760.8 | \$596.9 |
| | | |
| 4.8 | 11.4 | 1.4 |
| 15.0 | 157.8 | 75.0 |
| 96.0 | | 204.0 |
| 155.4 | 75.2 | 34.7 |
| | | |
| (93.7) | (99.6) | (40.4) |
| \$932.5 | \$905.6 | \$871.6 |
| | \$755.0 4.8 15.0 96.0 155.4 (93.7) | \$755.0 \$760.8 4.8 11.4 15.0 157.8 96.0 - 155.4 75.2 (93.7) (99.6) |

* The tax effect is calculated based upon the statutory rates for the jurisdictions where the items were incurred.

| Year ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Diluted EPS | \$ 4.29 | \$ 4.03 | \$ 2.97 |
| Inventory step-up and other inventory | | | |
| charges | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| Certain claims | 0.09 | 0.84 | 0.37 |
| Goodwill impairment | 0.54 | | 1.01 |
| Special items | 0.88 | 0.40 | 0.17 |
| Taxes on above items and other certain tax | | | |
| adjustments* | (0.53) | (0.53) | (0.20) |
| Adjusted Diluted EPS | \$ 5.30 | \$ 4.80 | \$ 4.33 |

* The tax effect is calculated based upon the statutory rates for the jurisdictions where the items were incurred.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$1,151.9 million in 2012, compared to \$1,176.9 million in 2011. The principal source of cash from operating activities in 2012 was net earnings. Non-cash charges included in net earnings accounted for another \$454.1 million of operating cash. All other items of operating cash flows in 2012 were outflows of \$55.1 million of cash. The lower cash flows provided by operating activities in 2012 were primarily due to increased investments in inventory to support significant new product launches and increased product liability payments. We paid approximately \$90 million, \$60 million and \$45 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to Durom Cup product liability claims. We estimate the net remaining liability after insurance recovery for Durom Cup claims as of December 31, 2012, is \$162.8 million. We expect to pay the majority of this amount over the next five years.

At December 31, 2012, we had 64 days of sales outstanding in trade accounts receivable, which was the same as December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, we had 301 days of inventory on hand, an increase of 24 days compared to December 31, 2011. Days of inventory on hand have increased due to significant new product launches.

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$592.1 million in 2012, compared to \$624.4 million in 2011. Additions to instruments and additions to other property, plant and equipment did not change significantly year-over-year. In 2013, instrument additions are expected to be in a range of \$125 to \$145 million and property, plant and equipment additions are expected to be in a range of \$115 to \$135 million. We feel this level of capital spending is necessary to support new productrelated investments and replacement of older machinery and equipment. We invest some of our cash and cash equivalents in highly-rated debt securities. The purchases and any sales or maturities of these investments are reflected as cash flows from investing activities. The timing of these investments can vary from year to year depending on the maturity of the debt securities and other cash and cash equivalent needs. Acquired intellectual property rights relate to lump-sum payments made to certain healthcare professionals and institutions in place of future royalty payments that otherwise would have been due under the terms of prior contractual arrangements. In the past three years, we have made various business acquisitions including Dornoch Medical Systems, Inc., Synvasive Technology, Inc., ExtraOrtho, Inc., Beijing Montagne Medical Device Co., Ltd., Sodem Diffusion S.A. and certain foreignbased distributors.

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$436.5 million for 2012, compared to \$455.8 million in 2011. In 2012, we returned cash to our stockholders in the form of cash dividends of \$94.4 million and share repurchases of \$485.6 million. In 2012 as it relates to our senior credit facility, we converted some of the outstanding debt to a term loan and we borrowed \$100.0 million to repurchase shares as well as fund other corporate cash needs. We plan to repay that \$100.0 million in the first quarter of 2013. In 2011, we issued senior unsecured notes in public offerings and used some of the proceeds to repurchase shares.

In 2012, we paid cash dividends quarterly, starting in April, at an annualized rate of \$0.72 per share. We expect to continue paying cash dividends on a quarterly basis; however, future dividends are subject to approval of the Board of Directors and may be adjusted as business needs or market conditions change. In December 2012, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.18 per share that was paid in January 2013.

We have four tranches of senior notes outstanding: \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 1.4 percent notes due November 30, 2014, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 4.625 percent notes due November 30, 2019, \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.375 percent notes due November 30, 2021 and \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75 percent notes due November 30, 2039. Interest on each series is payable on May 30 and November 30 of each year until maturity. We may redeem the senior notes at our election in whole or in part at any time prior to maturity at a redemption price equal to the greater of 1) 100 percent of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed; or 2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued as of the date of redemption), discounted to the date of redemption on a semi-annual basis at the Treasury Rate (as defined in the debt agreement), plus 15 basis points in the case of the 2014 notes, 20 basis points in the case of the 2019 and 2021 notes, and 25 basis points in the case of the 2039 notes. We would also pay the accrued and unpaid interest on the senior notes to the redemption date.

In May 2012, we entered into a new five-year \$1,350 million revolving, multi-currency, Senior Credit Facility maturing May 9, 2017. The Senior Credit Facility replaced a previous credit facility with similar terms that was due to mature on November 30, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$100.0 million outstanding under the Senior Credit Facility and an availability of \$1,250.0 million.

We and certain of our wholly owned foreign subsidiaries are the borrowers under the Senior Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility bear interest at a LIBOR-based rate plus an applicable margin determined by reference to our senior unsecured long-term credit rating and the amounts drawn under the Senior Credit Facility, at an alternate base rate, or at a fixed-rate determined through a competitive bid process. The Senior Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default for an unsecured financing arrangement, including, among other things, limitations on consolidations, mergers and sales or transfers of assets. Financial covenants include a maximum leverage ratio of 3.0 to 1.0. If we fall below an investment grade credit rating, additional restrictions would result, including restrictions on investments, payment of dividends and stock repurchases. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Senior Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012. Commitments under the Senior Credit Facility are subject to certain fees, including a facility and a utilization fee. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility at December 31, 2012 are U.S. Dollar-based.

Borrowings of 11.7 billion Japanese Yen outstanding under the previous credit facility were converted to the Senior Credit Facility. On May 24, 2012, we refinanced these borrowings by entering into a separate Term Loan agreement with one of the lenders under the Senior Credit Facility for 11.7 billion Japanese Yen and we repaid the outstanding borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility.

The Term Loan will mature on May 31, 2016. Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest at a fixed rate of 0.61 percent per annum until maturity.

We also have available uncommitted credit facilities totaling \$79.1 million.

We place our cash and cash equivalents in highly-rated financial institutions and limit the amount of credit exposure to any one entity. We invest only in high-quality financial instruments in accordance with our internal investment policy. As of December 31, 2012, we had short-term and longterm investments in debt securities with a fair value of \$915.7 million. These investments are in debt securities of many different issuers and therefore we have no significant concentration of risk with a single issuer. All of these debt securities remain highly-rated and we believe the risk of default by the issuers is low.

As of December 31, 2012, \$1,063.1 million of our cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments are held in jurisdictions outside of the U.S. and are expected to be indefinitely reinvested for continued use in foreign operations. Repatriation of these assets to the U.S. may have tax consequences. \$829.8 million of this amount is denominated in U.S. Dollars and therefore bears no foreign currency translation risk. The balance of these assets is denominated in currencies of the various countries where we operate.

We may use excess cash to repurchase common stock under our share repurchase program. As of December 31, 2012, \$1,014.6 million remained authorized under a \$1.5 billion repurchase program, which will expire on December 31, 2014.

Management believes that cash flows from operations and available borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility are sufficient to meet our working capital, capital expenditure and debt service needs, as well as return cash to stockholders in the form of dividends and share repurchases. Should investment opportunities arise, we believe that our earnings, balance sheet and cash flows will allow us to obtain additional capital, if necessary.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

We have entered into contracts with various third parties in the normal course of business that will require future payments. The following table illustrates our contractual obligations (in millions):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | 2013 | 2014 and 2015 | 2016 and 2017 | 2018 and Thereafter |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Long-term debt | \$1,688.6 | \$ - | \$250.0 | \$138.6 | \$1,300.0 |
| Interest payments | 1,045.4 | 67.7 | 132.0 | 126.4 | 719.3 |
| Operating leases | 192.0 | 45.9 | 59.5 | 39.5 | 47.1 |
| Purchase obligations | 12.0 | 12.0 | . | - | _ |
| Other long-term liabilities | 295.7 | | 148.1 | _106.7 | 40.9 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$3,233.7 | \$125.6 | \$589.6 | \$411.2 | \$2,107.3 |

\$48.1 million of the other long-term liabilities on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, are liabilities related to defined benefit pension plans. Defined benefit plan liabilities are based upon the underfunded status of the respective plans; they are not based upon future contributions. Due to uncertainties regarding future plan asset performance, changes in interest rates and our intentions with respect to voluntary contributions, we are unable to reasonably estimate future contributions beyond 2013. Therefore, this table does not include any amounts related to future contributions to our plans. See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for further information on our defined benefit plans.

Also included in other long-term liabilities on our balance sheet are liabilities related to unrecognized tax benefits and corresponding interest and penalties thereon. Due to the uncertainties inherent in these liabilities, such as the ultimate timing and resolution of tax audits, we are unable to reasonably estimate the amount or period in which potential tax payments related to these positions will be made. Therefore, this table does not include any obligations related to unrecognized tax benefits. See Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements for further information on these unrecognized tax benefits.

We have entered into various agreements that may result in future payments dependent upon various events such as the achievement of certain product R&D milestones, sales milestones, or at our discretion to maintain exclusive rights to distribute a product. Since there is uncertainty on the timing or whether such payments will have to be made, we have not included them in this table. These payments could range from \$0 to \$54 million.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Our financial results are affected by the selection and application of accounting policies and methods. Significant accounting policies which require management's judgment are discussed below.

Excess Inventory and Instruments - We must determine as of each balance sheet date how much, if any, of our inventory may ultimately prove to be unsaleable or unsaleable at our carrying cost. Similarly, we must also determine if instruments on hand will be put to productive use or remain undeployed as a result of excess supply. Accordingly, inventory and instruments are written down to their net realizable value. To determine the appropriate net realizable value, we evaluate current stock levels in relation to historical and expected patterns of demand for all of our products and instrument systems and components. The basis for the determination is generally the same for all inventory and instrument items and categories except for work-in-process inventory, which is recorded at cost. Obsolete or discontinued items are generally destroyed and completely written off. Management evaluates the need for changes to inventory and instruments net realizable values based on market conditions, competitive offerings and other factors on a regular basis.

Income Taxes – Our income tax expense, deferred tax assets and liabilities and reserves for unrecognized tax benefits reflect management's best assessment of estimated future taxes to be paid. We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgments and estimates are required in determining the consolidated income tax expense.

We estimate income tax expense and income tax liabilities and assets by taxable jurisdiction. Realization of deferred tax assets in each taxable jurisdiction is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income sufficient to realize the benefits. We evaluate deferred tax assets on an ongoing basis and provide valuation allowances unless we determine it is "more likely than not" that the deferred tax benefit will be realized. Federal income taxes are provided on the portion of the income of foreign subsidiaries that is expected to be remitted to the U.S.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions across our global operations. We are subject to regulatory review or audit in virtually all of those jurisdictions and those reviews and audits may require extended periods of time to resolve. We record our income tax provisions based on our knowledge of all relevant facts and circumstances, including existing tax laws, our experience with previous settlement agreements, the status of current examinations and our understanding of how the tax authorities view certain relevant industry and commercial matters.

We recognize tax liabilities in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) guidance on income taxes and we adjust these liabilities when our judgment changes as a result of the evaluation of new information not previously available. Due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from our current estimate of the tax liabilities. These differences will be reflected as increases or decreases to income tax expense in the period in which they are determined.

Commitments and Contingencies – Accruals for product liability and other claims are established with the assistance of internal and external legal counsel based on current information and historical settlement information for claims, related legal fees and for claims incurred but not reported. We use an actuarial model to assist management in determining an appropriate level of accruals for product liability claims. Historical patterns of claim loss development over time are statistically analyzed to arrive at factors which are then applied to loss estimates in the actuarial model.

In addition to our general product liability, we have recorded provisions totaling \$403.2 million related to the *Durom* Cup, including \$15.0 million in 2012. See Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the *Durom* Cup.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets – We evaluate the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets annually, or whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. We evaluate the carrying value of finite life intangible assets whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Significant assumptions are required to estimate the fair value of goodwill and intangible assets, most notably estimated future cash flows generated by these assets. As such, these fair valuation measurements use significant unobservable inputs. Changes to these assumptions could require us to record impairment charges on these assets.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we determined our U.S. Spine reporting unit's carrying value was in excess of its estimated fair value. Fair value was determined using an equal weighting of income and market approaches. Fair value under the income approach was determined by discounting to present value the estimated future cash flows of the reporting unit. Fair value under the market approach utilized the guideline public company methodology, which uses valuation indicators determined from other businesses that are similar to our U.S. Spine reporting unit.

As a result, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge for the U.S. Spine reporting unit of \$96.0 million in 2012. In 2010, we also recorded an impairment charge related to this reporting unit of \$204.0 million. See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion and the factors that contributed to these impairment charges and the factors that could lead to further impairment.

We have six other reporting units with goodwill assigned to them. We estimated the fair value of those reporting units using the income approach by discounting to present value the estimated future cash flows of the reporting unit, or by doing a qualitative assessment of changes in fair value from the prior year's income approach. For each of those six reporting units, the estimated fair value substantially exceeded the carrying value.

Share-based Payment – We measure share-based payment expense at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and recognize expense over the requisite service period. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected life of stock options and the expected volatility of our stock. Additionally, we must estimate the amount of sharebased awards that are expected to be forfeited. We estimate expected volatility based upon the implied volatility of actively traded options on our stock. The expected life of stock options and estimated forfeitures are based upon our employees' historical exercise and forfeiture behaviors. The assumptions used in determining the grant date fair value and the expected forfeitures represent management's best estimates.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements to see how recent accounting pronouncements have affected or may affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

MARKET RISK

We are exposed to certain market risks as part of our ongoing business operations, including risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices that could affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We manage our exposure to these and other market risks through regular operating and financing activities and through the use of derivative financial instruments. We use derivative financial instruments solely as risk management tools and not for speculative investment purposes.

FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RISK

We operate on a global basis and are exposed to the risk that our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We are primarily exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk with respect to transactions and net assets denominated in Euros, Swiss Francs, Japanese Yen, British Pounds, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars, Korean Won, Swedish Krona, Czech Koruna, Thai Baht, Taiwan Dollars, South African Rand, Russian Rubles and Indian Rupees. We manage the foreign currency exposure centrally, on a combined basis, which allows us to net exposures and to take advantage of any natural offsets. To reduce the uncertainty of foreign currency exchange rate movements on transactions denominated in foreign currencies, we enter into derivative financial instruments in the form of foreign currency exchange forward contracts and options with major financial institutions. These forward contracts and options are designed to hedge anticipated foreign currency transactions, primarily intercompany sale and purchase transactions, for periods consistent with commitments. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts and options that qualify as cash flow hedges are temporarily recorded in other comprehensive income, then recognized in cost of products sold when the hedged item affects net earnings.

For contracts outstanding at December 31, 2012, we had obligations to purchase U.S. Dollars and sell Euros, Japanese Yen, British Pounds, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars, Korean Won, Swedish Krona, Czech Koruna, Thai Baht, Taiwan Dollars, South African Rand, Russian Rubles and Indian Rupees and purchase Swiss Francs and sell U.S. Dollars at set maturity dates ranging from January 2013 through June 2015. The notional amounts of outstanding forward contracts entered into with third parties to purchase U.S. Dollars at December 31, 2012 were \$1,548.8 million. The notional amounts of outstanding forward contracts entered into with third parties to purchase Swiss Francs at December 31, 2012 were \$303.9 million. The weighted average contract rates outstanding at December 31, 2012 were Euro:USD 1.33, Swiss Franc:USD 1.08, USD:Japanese Yen 78.27, British Pound:USD 1.58, USD:Canadian Dollar 1.01, Australian Dollar:USD 0.96, USD:Korean Won 1,169, USD:Swedish Krona 6.85, USD:Czech Koruna 18.66, USD:Thai Baht 32.09, USD:Taiwan Dollar 29.01, USD:South African Rand 8.72, USD:Russian Ruble 33.42 and USD:Indian Ruppee 54.58.

We maintain written policies and procedures governing our risk management activities. Our policy requires that critical terms of hedging instruments be the same as hedged forecasted transactions. On this basis, with respect to cash flow hedges, changes in cash flows attributable to hedged transactions are generally expected to be completely offset by changes in the fair value of hedge instruments. As part of our risk management program, we also perform sensitivity analyses to assess potential changes in revenue, operating results, cash flows and financial position relating to hypothetical movements in currency exchange rates. A sensitivity analysis of changes in the fair value of foreign currency exchange forward contracts outstanding at December 31, 2012 indicated that, if the U.S. Dollar uniformly changed in value by 10 percent relative to the various currencies, with no change in the interest differentials, the fair value of those contracts would increase or decrease earnings before income taxes in periods through June 2016, depending on the direction of the change, by the following average approximate amounts (in millions):

| Currency | Average Amount |
|--------------------|----------------|
| | <u>_</u> |
| Euro | \$68.2 |
| Swiss Franc | 30.0 |
| Japanese Yen | 35.1 |
| British Pound | 14.5 |
| Canadian Dollar | 11.4 |
| Australian Dollar | 12.5 |
| Korean Won | 3.2 |
| Swedish Krona | 3.0 |
| Czech Koruna | 0.6 |
| Thai Baht | 1.2 |
| Taiwan Dollars | 2.1 |
| South African Rand | 0.7 |
| Russian Rubles | 2.1 |
| Indian Rupees | 1.0 |

Any change in the fair value of foreign currency exchange forward contracts as a result of a fluctuation in a currency exchange rate is expected to be largely offset by a change in the value of the hedged transaction. Consequently, foreign currency exchange contracts would not subject us to material risk due to exchange rate movements because gains and losses on these contracts offset gains and losses on the assets, liabilities and transactions being hedged. We had net investment exposures to net foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of \$2,494.5 million at December 31, 2012, primarily in Euros and Japanese Yen. \$1,320.9 million of the net asset exposure at December 31, 2012 relates to goodwill recorded in the Europe and Asia Pacific geographic segments.

We enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts with terms of one month to manage currency exposures for monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than an entity's functional currency. As a result, foreign currency remeasurement gains/losses recognized in earnings are generally offset with gains/losses on the foreign currency forward exchange contracts in the same reporting period.

COMMODITY PRICE RISK

We purchase raw material commodities such as cobalt chrome, titanium, tantalum, polymer and sterile packaging. We enter into supply contracts generally with terms of 12 to 24 months, where available, on these commodities to alleviate the effect of market fluctuation in prices. As part of our risk management program, we perform sensitivity analyses related to potential commodity price changes. A 10 percent price change across all these commodities would not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

INTEREST RATE RISK

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates that could affect our results of operations and financial condition. We manage our exposure to interest rate risks through our regular operations and financing activities.

We invest our cash and cash equivalents primarily in highly-rated corporate commercial paper and bank deposits. We also have short-term and long-term investments in highlyrated corporate debt securities, U.S. government and agency debt securities, U.S. government treasury funds, municipal bonds, foreign government debt securities, commercial paper and certificates of deposit. The primary investment objective is to ensure capital preservation of our invested principal funds. Currently, we do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio.

We are exposed to interest rate risk on our debt obligations and our cash and cash equivalents. Presently, all of our debt outstanding under the Senior Credit Facility bears interest at short-term variable rates.

In 2010, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with a consolidated notional amount of \$250 million that hedge a portion of our \$500 million 4.625 percent Senior Notes due November 30, 2019. On the interest rate swap agreements outstanding as of December 31, 2012, we receive a fixed interest rate of 4.625 percent and we pay variable interest equal to the three-month LIBOR plus an average of 133 basis points. The interest rate swap agreements are to manage our exposure to interest rate movements by converting fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. The objective of the instruments is to more closely align interest expense with interest income received on cash and cash equivalents.

These derivative instruments are designated as fair value hedges under U.S. GAAP. Changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded in earnings and are offset by gains or losses on the underlying debt instrument.

Based upon our overall interest rate exposure as of December 31, 2012, a change of 10 percent in interest rates, assuming the amount outstanding remains constant, would not have a material effect on net interest expense. This analysis does not consider the effect of the change in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, are primarily cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments, derivative instruments, counterparty transactions and accounts receivable.

We place our investments in highly-rated financial institutions or highly-rated debt securities and limit the amount of credit exposure to any one entity. We believe we do not have any significant credit risk on our cash and cash equivalents and investments.

We are exposed to credit loss if the financial institutions or counterparties issuing the debt security fail to perform. However, this loss is limited to the amounts, if any, by which the obligations of the counterparty to the financial instrument contract exceed our obligation. We also minimize exposure to credit risk by dealing with a diversified group of major financial institutions. We manage credit risk by monitoring the financial condition of our counterparties using standard credit guidelines. We do not anticipate any nonperformance by any of the counterparties.

Our concentrations of credit risks with respect to trade accounts receivable is limited due to the large number of customers and their dispersion across a number of geographic areas and by frequent monitoring of the creditworthiness of the customers to whom credit is granted in the normal course of business. Substantially all of our trade receivables are concentrated in the public and private hospital and healthcare industry in the U.S. and internationally or with distributors or dealers who operate in international markets and, accordingly, are exposed to their respective business, economic and country specific variables.

Our ability to collect accounts receivable in some countries depends in part upon the financial stability of these hospital and healthcare sectors and the respective countries' national economic and healthcare systems. Most notably, in Europe healthcare is typically sponsored by the government. Since we sell products to public hospitals in those countries, we are indirectly exposed to government budget constraints.

The ongoing financial crisis in the Euro zone continues to impact the indirect credit exposure we have to those governments through their public hospitals. We have experienced an increasing number of days sales outstanding in some European countries compared to levels in 2010. As of December 31, 2012, in Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain, countries that have been widely recognized as presenting the highest risk, our gross short-term and long-term trade accounts receivable combined were \$209.2 million. With allowances for doubtful accounts of \$7.8 million recorded in those countries, the net balance was \$201.4 million, representing 24 percent of our total consolidated short-term and long-term trade accounts receivable balance, net. Italy and Spain account for \$191.6 million of that net amount. We are actively monitoring the situations in these countries. We maintain contact with these customers on a regular basis. We

continue to receive payments, albeit at a slower rate than in the past. We believe our allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate in these countries, as ultimately we believe the governments in these countries will be able to pay. As evidence of this, in Spain we received significant payments in July 2012, to settle certain past due accounts receivable. To the extent these governments' ability to fund their public hospital programs deteriorates, we may have to record significant bad debt expenses in the future.

While we are exposed to risks from the broader healthcare industry in Europe and around the world, there is no significant net exposure due to any individual customer. Exposure to credit risk is controlled through credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures and we believe that reserves for losses are adequate.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, the company's internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The company's management assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, the company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*.

Based on that assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, the company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The company's independent registered public accounting firm has audited the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, as stated in its report which appears in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Index to Consolidated Financial Statements **Financial Statements:** Page Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 33 Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 34Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 35 Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 36 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 37 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 38 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 39

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Pricewatehouseloopes LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Chicago, Illinois February 27, 2013

Consolidated Statements of Earnings

| | (in million | s, except per sh | are amounts) |
|--|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net Sales | \$4,471.7 | \$4,451.8 | \$4,220.2 |
| Cost of products sold | 1,125.2 | 1,122.0 | 1,012.2 |
| Gross Profit | 3,346.5 | 3,329.8 | 3,208.0 |
| Research and development | 225.6 | 238.4 | 218.5 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,807.1 | 1,834.3 | 1,759.1 |
| Certain claims (Note 19) | 15.0 | 157.8 | 75.0 |
| Goodwill impairment (Note 8) | 96.0 | _ | 204.0 |
| Special items (Note 2) | 155.4 | 75.2 | 34.7 |
| Operating expenses | 2,299.1 | 2,305.7 | 2,291.3 |
| Operating Profit | 1,047.4 | 1,024.1 | 916.7 |
| Interest income | 15.6 | 10.1 | 3.7 |
| Interest expense | (72.9) | (55.3) | (60.2) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 990.1 | 978.9 | 860.2 |
| Provision for income taxes | 237.2 | 218.9 | 263.3 |
| Net earnings | 752.9 | 760.0 | 596.9 |
| Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | (2.1) | (0.8) | |
| Net Earnings of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. | \$ 755.0 | <u>\$ 760.8</u> | \$ 596.9 |
| Earnings Per Common Share – Basic | \$ 4.32 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 2.98 |
| Earnings Per Common Share – Diluted | \$ 4.29 | \$ 4.03 | \$ 2.97 |
| Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding | | | <u></u> |
| Basic | 174.9 | 187.6 | 200.0 |
| Diluted | 176.0 | 188.7 | 201.1 |
| Cash Dividends Declared Per Common Share | \$0.54 | \$0.18 | \$ - |

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| | | (in | millions) |
|---|---------|---------|-----------|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net Earnings | \$752.9 | \$760.0 | \$596.9 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss): | | | |
| Foreign currency cumulative translation adjustments | 46.1 | 4.6 | (38.6) |
| Unrealized cash flow hedge gains/(losses), net of tax effects of (4.3) in 2012, 4.3 in 2011 and 10.1 in 2010 | 10.9 | (30.6) | 21.6 |
| Reclassification adjustments on cash flow hedges, net of tax effects of (8.9) in 2012, (16.7) in 2011 and (4.3) in 2010 | 3.3 | 24.5 | (11.6) |
| Unrealized gains/(losses) on securities, net of tax effects of 0.0 in 2012, 0.0 in 2011 and 0.3 in 2010 | 0.4 | 0.2 | (0.8) |
| Reclassification adjustments on securities, net of tax effects of (1.2) in 2010 | - | _ | 2.2 |
| Adjustments to prior service cost and unrecognized actuarial assumptions, net of tax effects of (8.5) in 2012, 23.0 in 2011 and 4.4 in 2010 | 11.8 | (48.3) | (10.4) |
| Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 72.5 | (49.6) | (37.6) |
| Comprehensive Income | 825.4 | 710.4 | 559.3 |
| Comprehensive Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest | (2.2) | (0.9) | |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Zimmer Holdings, Inc. | \$827.6 | \$711.3 | \$559.3 |

Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | | (in millions) |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | * 004.0 | * = = = = = = = |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 884.3 | \$ 768.3 |
| Short-term investments | 671.6 | 455.5 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts Inventories | 884.6 995.3 | 838.8 929.8 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 995.3 76.3 | 929.8 73.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 196.6 | 210.5 |
| | | |
| Total Current Assets | 3,708.7 | 3,276.6 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 1,210.7 | 1,207.3 |
| Goodwill Intensible consta not | 2,571.8 | 2,626.0 |
| Intangible assets, net Other assets | 740.7 | 798.5 606.9 |
| | 780.5 | |
| Total Assets | \$ 9,012.4 | \$ 8,515.3 |
| Current Liabilities: Accounts payable Income taxes Short-term debt Other current liabilities | \$ 184.1 22.8 100.1 559.0 | \$ 143.3 8.6 143.3 571.9 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 866.0 | 867.1 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 559.3 | 557.4 |
| Long-term debt | 1,720.8 | 1,576.0 |
| Total Liabilities | 3,146.1 | 3,000.5 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 19) Stockholders' Equity: Common stock, \$0.01 par value, one billion shares authorized, | | |
| 257.1 million (255.9 million in 2011) issued | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Paid-in capital | 3,500.6 | 3,399.2 |
| Retained earnings | 7,085.9 | $6,\!426.8$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 343.9 | 271.4 |
| Treasury stock, 85.5 million shares (77.9 million shares in 2011) | (5,072.1) | (4,592.7) |
| Total Zimmer Holdings, Inc. stockholders' equity | 5,860.9 | 5,507.2 |
| | 5.4 | 7.6 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | |
| Noncontrolling interest Total Stockholders' Equity | 5,866.3 | 5,514.8 |

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

| | | | | | | | _ | | (in millions) |
|--|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Zimm | er Holdings, In | c. Stockholders | | | | |
| | | n <u>Shares</u> Amount | Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | <u>Treas</u> Number | ury Shares Amount | Noncontrolling Interest | Total Stockholders' Equity |
| Balance January 1, 2010 | 254.1 | \$2.5 | \$3,214.6 | \$5,102.5 | \$358.6 | (49.9) | \$(3,039.5) | \$ - | \$ 5,638.7 |
| Net earnings | _ | | - | 596.9 | - | - | - | - | 596.9 |
| Other comprehensive loss | - | | _ | - | (37.6) | _ | - | - | (37.6) |
| Stock compensation plans, including | | | | | | | | | |
| tax benefits | 0.5 | - | 78.9 | - | - | - | - | - | 78.9 |
| Share repurchases | | | | | | (9.1) | (505.6) | | (505.6) |
| Balance December 31, 2010 | 254.6 | 2.5 | 3,293.5 | 5,699.4 | 321.0 | (59.0) | (3,545.1) | _ | 5,771.3 |
| Net earnings | _ | - | - | 760.8 | _ | - | - | (0.8) | 760.0 |
| Other comprehensive loss | _ | - | - | - | (49.6) | _ | - | (0.1) | (49.7) |
| Business combination with a noncontrolling interest | _ | - | - | _ | - | - | - | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Cash dividend declared of \$0.18 per share of common stock Stock compensation plans, including | - | - | - | (32.1) | . – | - | _ | _ | (32.1) |
| tax benefits | 1.3 | _ | 105.7 | (1.3) | | _ | 2.4 | _ | 106.8 |
| Share repurchases | - | _ | | (1.0) | _ | (18.9) | (1,050.0) | _ | (1,050.0) |
| Balance December 31, 2011 | 255.9 | 2.5 | 3,399.2 | 6,426.8 | 271.4 | (77.9) | (4.592.7) | 7.6 | 5,514.8 |
| Net earnings | | _ | | 755.0 | | _ | _ | (2.1) | , |
| Other comprehensive income | _ | _ | _ | _ | 72.5 | _ | _ | (0.1) | |
| Cash dividend declared of \$0.54 per share of common stock | - | | _ | (93.3) |) – | - | _ | - | (93.3) |
| Stock compensation plans, including tax benefits | 1.2 | 0.1 | 101.4 | (2.6) | | 0.1 | 6.2 | - | 105.1 |
| Share repurchases | | - | - | (1.0) | | (7.7) | (485.6) | - | (485.6) |
| Balance December 31, 2012 | 257.1 | \$2.6 | \$3,500.6 | \$7,085.9 | \$343.9 | (85.5) | \$(5,072.1) | \$ 5.4 | \$ 5,866.3 |

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | | | | (in millions) |
|---|--------------|--------|---------------|----------------|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 201 | .2 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 752. | 9 \$ | 760.0 | \$ 596.9 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 363. | 1 | 359.9 | 340.2 |
| Goodwill impairment | 96. | 0 | - | 204.0 |
| Share-based compensation | 55. | 0 | 60.5 | 62.0 |
| Income tax benefit from stock option exercises | 11. | 0 | 12.9 | 4.2 |
| Excess income tax benefit from stock option exercises | (2. | 7) | (5.0) | (1.3) |
| Inventory step-up and other inventory charges | 4. | 8 | 11.4 | 1.4 |
| Deferred income tax provision | (64. | 8) | (19.7) | (72.5) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquired assets and liabilities | | | | |
| Income taxes payable | 59. | | 14.6 | 7.7 |
| Receivables Inventories | (45. (67. | - | (63.2) 7.2 | (33.0) 25.8 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (07.) | · · | 20.0 | (0.8) |
| Other assets and liabilities | (57 | | 18.3 | 58.9 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,151. | 9 | 1,176.9 | 1,193.5 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities: | | | | |
| Additions to instruments | (148.) | 9) | (155.4) | (192.5) |
| Additions to other property, plant and equipment | (114. | 7) | (113.8) | (79.2) |
| Acquisition of intellectual property rights | (0. | 9) | (18.9) | (8.5) |
| Purchases of investments | (1,130. | 1) | (662.1) | (413.3) |
| Sales of investments | 878. | 5 | 394.8 | 67.5 |
| Other business combination investments | (59.) | 0) | (56.8) | (82.6) |
| Investments in other assets | (17. | 0) | (12.2) | (18.3) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (592. | 1) | (624.4) | (726.9) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: | | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of notes | | - | 549.3 | |
| Net proceeds (payments) under revolving credit facilities | (50. | 1) | 0.5 | (2.2) |
| Proceeds from term loans | 147.3 | 3 | _ | |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | (94 | 4) | _ | - |
| Debt issuance costs | (3.3 | 3) | (4.0) | |
| Proceeds from employee stock compensation plans | 46. | 9 | 43.4 | 16.9 |
| Excess income tax benefit from stock option exercises | 2. | 7 | 5.0 | 1.3 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (485.) | 6) | (1,050.0) | (505.6) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (436. | 5) | (455.8) | (489.6) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | (7.5 | 3) | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 116.0 | | 99.4 | (22.8) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 768.3 | | 668.9 | 691.7 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 884.3 | 3\$ | 768.3 | \$ 668.9 |

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. BUSINESS

We design, develop, manufacture and market orthopaedic reconstructive, spinal and trauma devices, biologics, dental implants and related surgical products. We also provide other healthcare related services. Orthopaedic reconstructive devices restore function lost due to disease or trauma in joints such as knees, hips, shoulders and elbows. Dental reconstructive implants restore function and aesthetics in patients who have lost teeth due to trauma or disease. Spinal devices are utilized by orthopaedic surgeons and neurosurgeons in the treatment of degenerative diseases, deformities and trauma in all regions of the spine. Trauma products are devices used primarily to reattach or stabilize damaged bone and tissue to support the body's natural healing process. Our related surgical products include surgical supplies and instruments designed to aid in orthopaedic surgical procedures and post-operation rehabilitation. We have operations in more than 25 countries and market our products in more than 100 countries. We operate in a single industry but have three reportable geographic segments, the Americas, Europe and Asia Pacific.

The words "we," "us," "our" and similar words refer to Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Zimmer Holdings refers to the parent company only.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Zimmer Holdings and its subsidiaries in which it holds a controlling financial interest. Investments in companies in which we exercise significant influence over the operating and financial affairs, but do not control, are accounted for under the equity method. Under the equity method, we record the investment at cost and adjust the carrying amount of the investment by our proportionate share of the investee's net earnings or losses. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated. The consolidated financial statements for some of our international subsidiaries are for an annual period that ended on December 25, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Certain amounts in the 2011 and 2010 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation.

Use of Estimates – The consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation – The financial statements of our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars using period-end exchange rates for assets and liabilities and average exchange rates for operating results. Unrealized translation gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. When a transaction is denominated in a currency other than the subsidiary's functional currency, we recognize a transaction gain or loss when the transaction is settled. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses included in net earnings for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were not significant.

Revenue Recognition – We sell product through three principal channels: 1) direct to healthcare institutions, referred to as direct channel accounts; 2) through stocking distributors and healthcare dealers; and 3) directly to dental practices and dental laboratories. The direct channel accounts represent approximately 75 percent of our net sales. Through this channel, inventory is generally consigned to sales agents or customers so that products are available when needed for surgical procedures. No revenue is recognized upon the placement of inventory into consignment as we retain title and maintain the inventory on our balance sheet. Upon implantation, we issue an invoice and revenue is recognized. Pricing for products is generally predetermined by contracts with customers, agents acting on behalf of customer groups or by government regulatory bodies, depending on the market. Price discounts under group purchasing contracts are generally linked to volume of implant purchases by customer healthcare institutions within a specified group. At negotiated thresholds within a contract buying period, price discounts may increase.

Sales to stocking distributors, healthcare dealers, dental practices and dental laboratories account for approximately 25 percent of our net sales. With these types of sales, revenue is recognized when title to product passes, either upon shipment of the product or in some cases upon implantation of the product. Product is generally sold at contractually fixed prices for specified periods. Payment terms vary by customer, but are typically less than 90 days.

If sales incentives are earned by a customer for purchasing a specified amount of our product, we estimate whether such incentives will be achieved and, if so, recognize these incentives as a reduction in revenue in the same period the underlying revenue transaction is recognized. Occasionally products are returned and, accordingly, we maintain an estimated sales return reserve that is recorded as a reduction in revenue. Product returns were not significant for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are presented on a net basis and excluded from revenues.

Shipping and Handling – Amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling of products are reflected in net sales and are not significant. Expenses incurred related to shipping and handling of products are reflected in selling, general and administrative and were \$139.5 million, \$142.1 million and

\$129.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Research and Development – We expense all research and development costs as incurred. Research and development costs include salaries, prototypes, depreciation of equipment used in research and development, consultant fees and service fees paid to collaborative partners. Where contingent milestone payments are due to third parties under research and development arrangements, the milestone payment obligations are expensed when the milestone results are achieved.

Litigation – We record a liability for contingent losses, including future legal costs, settlements and judgments, when we consider it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Special Items – We recognize expenses resulting directly from our business combinations, employee termination benefits, certain contract terminations, consulting and professional fees and asset impairment charges connected with global restructuring and operational excellence initiatives, and other items as "Special items" in our consolidated statement of earnings. "Special items" included (in millions):

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------|--------|--------|
| Impairment of assets | \$ 14.6 | \$ 8.4 | \$11.4 |
| Consulting and professional fees | 90.1 | 26.0 | 4.9 |
| Employee severance and retention, including | | | |
| share-based compensation acceleration | 8.2 | 23.1 | 6.7 |
| Dedicated project personnel | 15.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| Information technology integration | - | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Relocated facilities | 1.8 | _ | 0.2 |
| Distributor acquisitions | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Certain litigation matters | 13.7 | 0.1 | (0.3) |
| Contract terminations | 6.6 | 6.3 | 3.9 |
| Contingent consideration adjustments | (2.8) | - | - |
| Accelerated software amortization | 4.5 | - | |
| Other | 2.8 | 5.6 | 3.9 |
| Special items | \$155.4 | \$75.2 | \$34.7 |
| | | | |

Impairment of assets relates to impairment of intangible assets that were acquired in business combinations or impairment of other assets related to various reasons.

Consulting and professional fees relate to third-party consulting, professional fees and contract labor related to our operational excellence initiatives, third-party consulting fees related to certain information system implementations, thirdparty integration consulting performed in a variety of areas such as tax, compliance, logistics and human resources for our business combinations, third-party fees related to severance and termination benefits matters and legal fees related to certain product liability matters. Our operational excellence initiatives are across the company and include improvements in quality, distribution, sourcing, manufacturing, and information technology, among other areas.

In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we terminated some employees as we reduced management layers, restructured certain areas, announced closures of certain facilities, and commenced initiatives to focus on business opportunities that best support our strategic priorities. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, approximately 400, 500 and 60 positions, respectively, from across the globe were affected by these actions. As a result of these changes in our work force and headcount reductions from acquisitions, we incurred expenses related to severance benefits, redundant salaries as we worked through transition periods, share-based compensation acceleration and other employee terminationrelated costs. The majority of these termination benefits were provided in accordance with our existing or local government policies and are considered ongoing benefits. These costs were accrued when they became probable and estimable and were recorded as part of other current liabilities. The majority of these costs were paid during the year they were incurred.

Dedicated project personnel are the salary, benefits, travel expenses and other costs directly associated with employees who are 100 percent dedicated to our operational excellence initiatives or integration of acquired businesses.

Information technology integration relates to the noncapitalizable costs associated with integrating the information systems of acquired businesses.

Relocated facilities expenses are the moving costs and the lease expenses incurred during the relocation period related to relocating certain office facilities.

Over the past few years we have acquired a number of U.S. and foreign-based distributors. We have incurred various costs related to the consummation and integration of those businesses.

Certain litigation matters relate to costs and adjustments recognized during the year for the estimated or actual settlement of various legal matters, including royalty disputes, patent litigation matters, commercial litigation matters and matters arising from our acquisitions of certain competitive distributorships in prior years.

Contract termination costs relate to terminated agreements in connection with the integration of acquired companies and changes to our distribution model as part of business restructuring and operational excellence initiatives. The terminated contracts primarily relate to sales agents and distribution agreements.

Contingent consideration adjustments are the changes in the fair value of contingent consideration obligations to be paid to the prior owners of acquired businesses.

Accelerated software amortization is the incremental amortization caused by changing the estimated life of certain software. In 2012, we approved a plan to replace certain software. As a result, the estimated economic useful life of the existing software was decreased to represent the period of time expected to implement replacement software. As a result, the amortization from the shortened life of this software is substantially higher than the previous amortization being recognized.

Cash and Cash Equivalents – We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents are valued at cost, which approximates their fair value.

Investments - We invest our excess cash and cash equivalents in debt securities. Our investments include corporate debt securities, U.S. government and agency debt securities, municipal bonds, foreign government debt securities, commercial paper and certificates of deposit and are classified and accounted for as available-for-sale. Availablefor-sale debt securities are recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. Investments with a contractual maturity of less than one year are classified as short-term investments on our consolidated balance sheet, or in other non-current assets if the contractual maturity is greater than one year. Changes in fair value for available-for-sale securities are recorded, net of taxes, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss on our consolidated balance sheet. We review our investments for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting period. If an unrealized loss for any investment is considered to be other-than-temporary, the loss will be recognized in the consolidated statement of earnings in the period the determination is made. See Note 7 for more information regarding our investments.

Accounts Receivable – Accounts receivable consists of trade and other miscellaneous receivables. We grant credit to customers in the normal course of business and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for potential credit losses. We determine the allowance for doubtful accounts by geographic market and take into consideration historical credit experience, creditworthiness of the customer and other pertinent information. We make concerted efforts to collect all accounts receivable, but sometimes we have to write-off the account against the allowance for doubtful accounts was \$22.8 million and \$17.2 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Inventories – Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined on a first-in first-out basis.

Property, Plant and Equipment – Property, plant and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of ten to forty years for buildings and improvements and three to eight years for machinery and equipment. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. We review property, plant and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated future undiscounted cash flows relating to the asset are less than its carrying amount. An impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its fair value.

Software Costs – We capitalize certain computer software and software development costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining computer software for internal use when both the preliminary project stage is completed and it is probable that the software will be used as intended. Capitalized software costs generally include external direct costs of materials and services utilized in developing or obtaining computer software and compensation and related benefits for employees who are directly associated with the software project. Capitalized software costs are included in property, plant and equipment on our balance sheet and amortized on a straight-line or weighted average estimated user basis when the software is ready for its intended use over the estimated useful lives of the software, which approximate three to ten years.

Instruments – Instruments are hand-held devices used by surgeons during total joint replacement and other surgical procedures. Instruments are recognized as long-lived assets and are included in property, plant and equipment. Undeployed instruments are carried at cost or realizable value. Instruments in the field are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based on average estimated useful lives, determined principally in reference to associated product life cycles, primarily five years. We review instruments for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an instrument may not be recoverable. Depreciation of instruments is recognized as selling, general and administrative expense.

Goodwill - Goodwill is not amortized but is subject to annual impairment tests. Goodwill has been assigned to reporting units. We perform annual impairment tests by either comparing a reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying amount or doing a qualitative assessment of a reporting unit's fair value from the last quantitative assessment to determine if there is potential impairment. We may do a qualitative assessment when the results of the previous quantitative test indicated the reporting unit's estimated fair value was significantly in excess of the carrying value of its net assets and we do not believe there have been significant changes in the reporting unit's operations that would significantly decrease its estimated fair value or significantly increase its net assets. If a quantitative assessment is performed, the fair value of the reporting unit and the implied fair value of goodwill are determined based upon a discounted cash flow analysis and/or use of a market approach by looking at market values of comparable companies. Significant assumptions are incorporated into our discounted cash flow analyses such as estimated growth rates and risk-adjusted discount rates. We perform this test in the fourth quarter of the year or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the reporting unit's assets may not be recoverable. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill is less than the carrying value of the reporting unit goodwill. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010, we recorded goodwill impairment charges of \$96.0 million and \$204.0 million, respectively, related to our U.S. Spine reporting unit. See Note 9 for more information regarding goodwill and goodwill impairment.

Intangible Assets - Intangible assets are initially measured at their fair value. We have determined the fair value of our intangible assets either by the fair value of the consideration exchanged for the intangible asset or the estimated after-tax discounted cash flows expected to be generated from the intangible asset. Intangible assets with an indefinite life, including certain trademarks and trade names, are not amortized. Indefinite life intangible assets are assessed annually to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite life. Intangible assets with a finite life, including core and developed technology, certain trademarks and trade names, customer-related intangibles, intellectual property rights and patents and licenses are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful life, ranging from less than one year to 40 years. Intangible assets with a finite life are tested for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Intangible assets with an indefinite life are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the asset. The amount of the impairment loss to be recorded would be determined based upon the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value. The fair values of indefinite lived intangible assets are determined based upon a discounted cash flow analysis using the relief from royalty method or a qualitative assessment may be performed for any changes to the asset's fair value from the last quantitative assessment. The relief from royalty method estimates the cost savings associated with owning, rather than licensing, assets. Significant assumptions are incorporated into these discounted cash flow analyses such as estimated growth rates, royalty rates and risk-adjusted discount rates. We may do a qualitative assessment when the results of the previous quantitative test indicated the asset's fair value was significantly in excess of its carrying value.

In determining the useful lives of intangible assets, we consider the expected use of the assets and the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, anticipated technological advances, changes in surgical techniques, market influences and other economic factors. For technology-based intangible assets, we consider the expected life cycles of products, absent unforeseen technological advances, which incorporate the corresponding technology. Trademarks and trade names that do not have a wasting characteristic (i.e., there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors which limit the useful life) are assigned an indefinite life. Trademarks and trade names that are related to products expected to be phased out are assigned lives consistent with the period in which the products bearing each brand are expected to be sold. For customer relationship intangible assets, we assign useful lives based upon historical levels of customer attrition. Intellectual property rights are assigned useful lives that approximate the contractual life of any related

patent or the period for which we maintain exclusivity over the intellectual property.

Income Taxes – We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

We reduce our deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that we will not realize some portion or all of the deferred tax assets. In making such determination, we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. In the event we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred income tax assets in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, we would make an adjustment to the valuation allowance which would reduce the provision for income taxes. Federal income taxes are provided on the portion of the income of foreign subsidiaries that is expected to be remitted to the U.S.

We operate on a global basis and are subject to numerous and complex tax laws and regulations. Our income tax filings are regularly under audit in multiple federal, state and foreign jurisdictions. Income tax audits may require an extended period of time to reach resolution and may result in significant income tax adjustments when interpretation of tax laws or allocation of company profits is disputed. Because income tax adjustments in certain jurisdictions can be significant, we record accruals representing management's best estimate of the probable resolution of these matters. To the extent additional information becomes available, such accruals are adjusted to reflect the revised estimated probable outcome.

Derivative Financial Instruments – We measure all derivative instruments at fair value and report them on our consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities. We maintain written policies and procedures that permit, under appropriate circumstances and subject to proper authorization, the use of derivative financial instruments solely for hedging purposes. The use of derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes is prohibited by our policy. See Note 13 for more information regarding our derivative and hedging activities.

Other Comprehensive Income – Other comprehensive income refers to revenues, expenses, gains and losses that under generally accepted accounting principles are included in comprehensive income but are excluded from net earnings as these amounts are recorded directly as an adjustment to stockholders' equity. Other comprehensive income is

comprised of foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedges, unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities and amortization of prior service costs and unrecognized gains and losses in actuarial assumptions.

Treasury Stock – We account for repurchases of common stock under the cost method and present treasury stock as a reduction of stockholders' equity. We reissue common stock held in treasury only for limited purposes.

Noncontrolling Interest – In 2011, we made an investment in a company in which we acquired a controlling financial interest, but not 100 percent of the equity. Further information related to the noncontrolling interests of that investment has not been provided as it is not significant to our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Pronouncements – In 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard update (ASU) requiring companies to present net income and other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and of comprehensive income. This requirement became effective for us January 1, 2012. The ASU changes the presentation, but not the accounting requirements, of other comprehensive income and therefore had no effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In 2012, the FASB issued an ASU that allowed companies when performing their annual indefinite lived intangible asset impairment test to do a qualitative assessment to evaluate whether impairment has occurred versus performing a quantitative calculation of estimated fair value. We elected to early adopt this standard and performed a qualitative assessment on one of our intangible assets that in the prior year had an estimated fair value that was substantially in excess of its carrying value. This ASU just changed the methodology of testing for impairment and would not affect the outcome of impairment testing. Therefore, it did not have an effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

There are no other recently issued accounting pronouncements that we have not yet adopted that are expected to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

3. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Our share-based payments primarily consist of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs), and an employee stock purchase plan. Share-based compensation expense is as follows (in millions):

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Stock options | \$ 32.4 | \$ 41.7 | \$ 47.6 |
| RSUs and other | 22.6 | 18.8 | 14.4 |
| Total expense, pre-tax | 55.0 | 60.5 | 62.0 |
| Tax benefit related to awards | (16.6) | (17.8) | (16.2) |
| Total expense, net of tax | \$ 38.4 | \$ 42.7 | \$ 45.8 |

Share-based compensation cost capitalized as part of inventory for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$6.1 million, \$8.8 million, and \$12.2 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$3.3 million and \$4.8 million of capitalized costs remained in finished goods inventory.

Stock Options

We had two equity compensation plans in effect at December 31, 2012: the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (2009 Plan) and the Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. The 2009 Plan succeeds the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (2006 Plan) and the TeamShare Stock Option Plan (TeamShare Plan). No further awards have been granted under the 2006 Plan or under the TeamShare Plan since May 2009, and shares remaining available for grant under those plans have been merged into the 2009 Plan. Vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock and RSUs previously granted under the 2006 Plan, the TeamShare Plan and another prior plan, the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, remained outstanding as of December 31, 2012. We have reserved the maximum number of shares of common stock available for award under the terms of each of these plans. We have registered 57.9 million shares of common stock under these plans. The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of nonqualified stock options and incentive stock options, long-term performance awards in the form of performance shares or units, restricted stock, RSUs and stock appreciation rights. The Compensation and Management Development Committee of the Board of Directors determines

the grant date for annual grants under our equity compensation plans. The date for annual grants under the 2009 Plan to our executive officers is expected to occur in the first quarter of each year following the earnings announcements for the previous quarter and full year. The Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors provides for awards of stock options, restricted stock and RSUs to non-employee directors. It has been our practice to issue shares of common stock upon exercise of stock options from previously unissued shares, except in limited circumstances where they are issued from treasury stock. The total number of awards which may be granted in a given year and/or over the life of the plan under each of our equity compensation plans is limited. At December 31, 2012, an aggregate of 8.7 million shares were available for future grants and awards under these plans. Stock options granted to date under our plans generally vest over four years and generally have a maximum contractual life of 10 years. As established under our equity compensation plans, vesting may accelerate upon retirement after the first anniversary date of the award if certain criteria are met. We recognize expense related to stock options on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, less awards expected to be forfeited using estimated forfeiture rates. Due to the accelerated retirement provisions, the requisite service period of our stock options range from one to four years. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of our common stock on the date of grant, except in limited circumstances where local law may dictate otherwise.

A summary of stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows (options in thousands):

| | Stock Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|--|---------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2012 | 16,748 | \$68.04 | | |
| Options granted | 1,720 | 64.26 | | |
| Options exercised | (933) | 46.05 | | |
| Options cancelled | (239) | 60.21 | | |
| Options expired | (658) | 75.43 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 16,638 | \$68.74 | 4.8 | \$64.2 |
| Vested or expected to vest as of December 31, 2012 | 16,169 | \$68.94 | 4.7 | \$61.9 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2012 | 12,977 | \$ 71.34 | 3.9 | \$38.0 |

We use a Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair value of our stock options. Expected volatility was derived from the implied volatility of traded options on our stock that were actively traded around the grant date of the stock options with exercise prices similar to the stock options and maturities of over one year. The expected term of the stock options has been derived from historical employee exercise behavior. The risk-free interest rate was determined using the implied yield currently available for zero-coupon U.S. government issues with a remaining term approximating the expected life of the options. We began paying dividends in 2012. Accordingly, prior to 2012 we assumed no dividend yield. Starting in 2012, the dividend yield was determined by using an estimated annual dividend and dividing it by the market price of our stock on the grant date. The following table presents information regarding the weighted average fair value for stock options granted, the assumptions used to determine fair value, and the intrinsic value of options exercised in the indicated year:

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------|------------|------------|
| Dividend yield | 1.1 % | —% | % |
| Volatility | 25.6% | 26.1% | 26.3% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.5 % | $2.2 \ \%$ | $2.8 \ \%$ |
| Expected life (years) | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted | \$15.40 | \$18.33 | \$18.17 |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised (in millions) | \$ 17.1 | \$ 27.5 | \$ 8.5 |
| | | | |

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$38.4 million of unrecognized share-based payment expense related to nonvested stock options granted under our plans. That expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years.

RSUs

We have awarded RSUs to our employees. The terms of the awards have been three to five years. Some of the awards have only service conditions while some have performance and market conditions as well. The service condition awards vest ratably on the anniversary date of the award. The awards that have performance and market conditions vest all at once on the third anniversary date. Future service conditions may be waived if an employee retires after the first anniversary date of the award, but performance and market conditions continue to apply. Accordingly, the requisite service period used for sharebased payment expense ranges from one to five years.

A summary of nonvested RSU activity for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Weighted Average Grant Date | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--|
| | RSUs | Fair Value | |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2012 | 1,187 | \$56.25 | |
| Granted | 685 | 65.91 | |
| Vested | (299) | 52.92 | |
| Forfeited | (363) | 64.58 | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 1,210 | 60.03 | |

For the RSUs with service conditions only, the fair value of the awards was determined based upon the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. For the RSUs with market conditions, a Monte Carlo valuation technique was used to simulate the market conditions of the awards. The outcome of the simulation was used to determine the fair value of the awards.

We are required to estimate the number of RSUs that will vest and recognize share-based payment expense on a straightline basis over the requisite service period. As of December 31, 2012, we estimate that approximately 1,113,000 outstanding RSUs will vest. If our estimate were to change in the future, the cumulative effect of the change in estimate will be recorded in that period. Based upon the number of RSUs that we expect to vest, the unrecognized share-based payment expense as of December 31, 2012 was \$38.9 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years. The fair value of RSUs vesting during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 based upon our stock price on the date of vesting was \$18.9 million, \$11.8 million and \$3.2 million, respectively.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following (in millions):

| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Finished goods | \$786.3 | \$743.0 |
| Work in progress | 52.3 | 47.8 |
| Raw materials | 156.7 | 139.0 |
| Inventories | \$995.3 | \$929.8 |
| | | |

Amounts charged to the consolidated statement of earnings for excess and obsolete inventory in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$55.1 million, \$47.6 million and \$45.8 million, respectively.

5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following (in millions):

| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land | \$ 22.1 | \$ 22.3 |
| Building and equipment | 1,232.8 | 1,196.8 |
| Capitalized software costs | 241.8 | 208.4 |
| Instruments | 1,579.8 | 1,509.2 |
| Construction in progress | 117.8 | 76.4 |
| | 3,194.3 | 3,013.1 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,983.6) | (1,805.8) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 1,210.7 | \$ 1,207.3 |

Depreciation expense was \$266.0 million, \$266.1 million and \$247.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

6. ACQUISITIONS

We made a number of business acquisitions during the years 2012, 2011 and 2010. In January 2012, we acquired Synvasive Technology, Inc. (Synvasive). The Synvasive acquisition enhanced our product portfolio through the addition of the STABLECUT® surgical saw blades, as well as the *eLIBRA®* Dynamic Knee Balancing SystemTM for soft tissue balancing. In October 2012, we acquired Dornoch Medical Systems, Inc. (Dornoch). The Dornoch acquisition enhances our product portfolio through the addition of a medical waste fluid management and disposal technology. In November 2011, we acquired ExtraOrtho, Inc. (ExtraOrtho). The ExtraOrtho acquisition enhances our position in the estimated \$820 million external fixation market. In December 2010, we acquired Beijing Montagne Medical Device Co., Ltd. (Montagne) and Sodem Diffusion S.A. (Sodem). The Montagne acquisition makes us a significant provider of orthopaedic solutions in China and provides product lines tailored exclusively to the rapidly growing Chinese market. The Sodem acquisition broadens our portfolio of surgical power tools and strengthens our position in the estimated \$1 billion surgical power tool market. Additionally, we have acquired a number of foreignbased distributors during the three year period.

The results of operations of the acquired companies have been included in our consolidated results of operations subsequent to the transaction dates, and the respective assets and liabilities of the acquired companies have been recorded at their estimated fair values in our consolidated statement of financial position as of the transaction dates, with any excess purchase price being recorded as goodwill. Pro forma financial

information and other information required have not been included as the acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, did not have a material impact upon our financial position or results of operations.

7. INVESTMENTS

We invest in short and long-term investments classified as available-for-sale securities. Information regarding our investments is as follows (in millions):

| | Amortized | Gross U | Gross Unrealized | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|---------------|
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | Fair value |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$383.6 | \$0.3 | \$(0.1) | \$383.8 |
| U.S. government and agency debt | | | | |
| securities | 295.8 | 0.1 | - | 295.9 |
| Foreign government debt | | | | |
| securities | 5.0 | | - | 5.0 |
| Commercial paper | 138.7 | - | | 138.7 |
| Certificates of deposit | 92.2 | 0.1 | | 92.3 |
| Total short and long-term | | | | |
| investments | \$915.3 | \$0.5 | <u>\$(0.1)</u> | \$915.7 |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$324.8 | \$0.2 | \$(0.3) | \$324.7 |
| U.S. government and agency debt | | | | |
| securities | 177.1 | 0.1 | - | 177.2 |
| Municipal bonds | 1.0 | - | - | 1.0 |
| Foreign government debt | | | | |
| securities | 6.8 | _ | - | 6.8 |
| Commercial paper | 74.5 | - | - | 74.5 |
| Certificates of deposit | 88.5 | | | 88.5 |
| Total short and long-term | | | | |
| investments | \$672.7 | \$0.3 | <u>\$(0.3)</u> | \$672.7 |

The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses for all available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position deemed to be temporary (in millions):

| - | As of Decer | nber 31, 2012 | As of Decer | mber 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair value | Unrealized Losses |
| Corporate debt securities | \$144.2 | \$(0.1) | \$164.5 | \$(0.3) |

All securities in the table above have been in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months. A total of 79 securities were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2012.

The unrealized losses on our investments in corporate debt securities were caused by increases in interest yields resulting from changes in the global credit markets. We believe the unrealized losses associated with our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2012 are temporary because we do not intend to sell these investments before maturity, and we do not believe we will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost basis. The amortized cost and fair value of our available-for-sale fixed-maturity securities by contractual maturity are as follows (in millions):

| As of December 31, 2012 | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$671.3 | \$671.6 |
| Due after one year through two years | 244.0 | 244.1 |
| Total | \$915.3 | \$915.7 |

8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following financial assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis (in millions):

| | | As of December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Fair Value Mea | surements at Reporti | ng Date Using: | | | |
| Description | Recorded Balance | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable (nputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$383.8 | \$- | \$383.8 | \$ | | | |
| U.S. government and agency debt securities | 295.9 | - | 295.9 | _ | | | |
| Foreign government debt securities | 5.0 | _ | 5.0 | - | | | |
| Commercial paper | 138.7 | - | 138.7 | - | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | 92.3 | | 92.3 | _ | | | |
| Total available-for-sale securities Derivatives, current | 915.7 | - | 915.7 | _ | | | |
| and long-term | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts and options | 28.4 | - | 28.4 | - | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 33.9 | _ | 33.9 | | | | |
| | \$978.0 | <u>\$-</u> | \$978.0 | \$ | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives, current and long-term | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 10.8 | \$ | <u>\$ 10.8</u> | \$- = | | | |

| | | As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: | | | | | |
| Description | Recorded Balance | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$324.7 | \$ | \$324.7 | \$ | | | |
| U.S. government and agency debt securities | 177.2 | _ | 177.2 | _ | | | |
| Municipal bonds | 1.0 | | 1.0 | - | | | |
| Foreign government debt securities | 6.8 | _ | 6.8 | - | | | |
| Commercial paper | 74.5 | - | 74.5 | - | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | 88.5 | | 88.5 | - | | | |
| Total available-for- sale securities | 672.7 | - | 672.7 | _ | | | |
| Derivatives, current and long-term | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts and options | 18.3 | _ | 18.3 | _ | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 27.8 | _ | 27.8 | | | | |
| | \$718.8 | <u>\$ </u> | \$718.8 | <u>\$ </u> | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives, current and long-term | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 25.2 | \$ | \$ 25.2 | \$ - | | | |
| Cross-currency interest rate swaps | 8.2 | | 8.2 | | | | |
| | \$ 33.4 | <u>\$ </u> | \$ 33.4 | \$ ~ | | | |

We value our available-for-sale securities using a market approach based on broker prices for identical assets in overthe-counter markets and we perform ongoing assessments of counterparty credit risk.

We value our foreign currency forward contracts and foreign currency options using a market approach based on foreign currency exchange rates obtained from active markets and we perform ongoing assessments of counterparty credit risk.

We value our interest rate swaps using a market approach based on publicly available market yield curves and the terms of our swaps and we perform ongoing assessments of counterparty credit risk.

We valued our cross-currency interest rate swaps using a market approach based upon publicly available market yield curves, foreign currency exchange rates obtained from active markets and the terms of our swaps. We also performed ongoing assessments of counterparty credit risk.

The following nonfinancial assets were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis (in millions):

| | | Fa | air Value Measu | rements Using: | |
|--|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------|
| Description | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Losses |
| Year Ended Dec | ember 31, | 2012 | | | |
| Goodwill | \$ 41.0 | | | \$ 41.0 | \$ 96.0 |
| Indefinite- lived intangible assets | 24.2 | - | _ | 24.2 | 11.6 |
| Year Ended Dec | | 2010 | | | 1110 |
| Goodwill | 137.0 | _ | - | 137.0 | 204.0 |

We conduct our annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of every year or whenever events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. In each of 2012 and 2010, it was determined that our U.S. Spine reporting unit's carrying value was in excess of its fair value. In 2012, the goodwill for this reporting unit was written down to its implied fair value of \$41.0 million from its previous carrying value of \$137.0 million, resulting in a \$96.0 million non-cash impairment charge. In 2010, the goodwill was written down to its implied fair value of \$137.0 million from its previous carrying value of \$341.0 million, resulting in a \$204.0 million non-cash impairment charge. The implied fair value of goodwill equals the estimated fair value of a reporting unit minus the fair value of the reporting unit's net assets. In determining the implied fair value of the U.S. Spine reporting unit's goodwill, we used unobservable inputs to estimate the fair value of the reporting unit and its assets and liabilities. Fair value was determined using an equal weighting of income and market approaches.

Fair value under the income approach was determined by discounting to present value the estimated future cash flows of the reporting unit. Fair value under the market approach utilized the guideline public company methodology, which uses valuation indicators from publicly traded companies that are similar to our U.S. Spine reporting unit and considers control premiums that would result from a sale of the reporting unit and the level of assets in the reporting unit versus the comparable companies.

In estimating the future cash flows of the reporting unit, we utilized a combination of market and company specific inputs that a market participant would use in assessing the fair value of the reporting unit. The primary market input was revenue growth rates. These rates were based upon historical trends and estimated future growth drivers such as an aging global population, obesity and more active lifestyles. Significant company specific inputs included assumptions regarding how the reporting unit could leverage operating expenses as revenue grows and the impact any new products will have on revenues.

Under the guideline public company methodology, we took into consideration specific risk differences between our reporting unit and the comparable companies, such as recent financial performance, size risks and product portfolios, among other considerations. Based upon our reporting unit's recent financial performance, market share and product portfolio, we valued ourselves near the bottom of the valuation indicators of the comparable companies.

The fair value of the reporting unit's assets and liabilities was determined by using the same methods that are used in business combination purchase accounting.

Factors that contributed to impairment of the U.S. Spine reporting unit include broader market issues as well as company specific issues. The U.S. spine market has been under pressure due to a constrained economic environment leading to continuing high unemployment and payer pushback on the necessity of certain procedures. Additionally, pricing has continued to decline across the industry. Company specific issues have included turnover with our independent sales agents and lack of execution in developing new, competitive products which has resulted in a less than optimal product portfolio in our U.S. Spine reporting unit.

The U.S. spine market five years ago was growing in the low double digits, but now we estimate is flat or in the low single digits. Previous goodwill impairment tests forecasted some recovery in the market which has not come to fruition. Through the first three quarters of 2012, while U.S. Spine sales were lower than we expected, cash flows were not significantly lower as expenses were favorable and net working capital was better than planned. As we completed our annual operating plan in the fourth quarter of 2012, it became clearer that the U.S. spine market recovery may take longer than we planned, including the persistence of significant negative pricing pressures. Additionally, we concluded that new product introductions made in 2012 will not have as significant of a positive effect as we had previously forecasted. As a result, we have tempered our expectations of recovery in the U.S. market and for our U.S. Spine reporting unit and have recognized an impairment charge.

In 2010, the implied fair value of goodwill was determined using similar methodologies utilized in the 2012 valuation. An impairment charge was caused by similar market and company-specific factors discussed above. We have six other reporting units with goodwill assigned to them. We estimate the fair value of those reporting units using the income approach by discounting to present value the estimated future cash flows of the reporting unit. For each of those six reporting units, the estimated fair value substantially exceeded its carrying value.

We will continue to monitor the fair value of our U.S. Spine reporting unit as well as our other six reporting units in our interim and annual reporting periods. If our estimated cash flows for these reporting units decrease, we may have to record further impairment charges in the future. Factors that could result in our cash flows being lower than our current estimates include: 1) decreased revenues caused by unforeseen changes in the healthcare market, or our inability to generate new product revenue from our research and development activities, and 2) our inability to achieve the estimated operating margins in our forecasts due to unforeseen factors. Additionally, changes in the broader economic environment could cause changes to our estimated discount rates or comparable company valuation indicators, which may impact our estimated fair values.

In 2012, we also recorded \$11.6 million of impairment charges in "Special items" related to certain indefinite lived intangible assets. The impairment was a result of lower future estimated revenues from products using certain trademarks. The lower future estimated revenue resulted from our challenges in the global spine market and from negative publicity in the marketplace related to certain hip devices that have adversely affected sales of these products. Further information regarding how the fair value of these indefinite lived trademarks was determined has not been provided as we do not believe this non-cash charge was significant to our results for 2012.

9. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table summarizes the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill (in millions):

| | Americas | Europe | Asia Pacific | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | - | | | |
| Goodwill | \$1,562.8 | \$1,107.1 | \$187.9 | \$2,857.8 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (277.0) | - | - | (277.0) |
| | 1,285.8 | 1,107.1 | 187.9 | 2,580.8 |
| Acquisitions | 33.3 | - | - | 33.3 |
| Currency translation | (0.8) | 4.7 | 8.0 | 11.9 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Goodwill | 1,595.3 | 1,111.8 | 195.9 | 2,903.0 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (277.0) | | | (277.0) |
| | 1,318.3 | 1,111.8 | 195.9 | 2,626.0 |
| U.S. Spine reporting unit impairment | (96.0) | - | - | (96.0) |
| Acquisitions | 25.9 | - | - | 25.9 |
| Currency translation | 2.7 | 16.8 | (3.6) | 15.9 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Goodwill | 1,623.9 | 1,128.6 | 192.3 | 2,944.8 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (373.0) | | | (373.0) |
| | \$1,250.9 | \$1,128.6 | \$192.3 | \$2,571.8 |

The components of identifiable intangible assets are as follows (in millions):

| | Technology | Intellectual Property Rights | Trademarks and Trade Names | Customer Relationships | Other | Total |
|--|------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2012: | | | | | | |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization: | | | | | | |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 695.1 | \$ 173.4 | \$ 47.4 | \$177.0 | \$ 95.7 | \$1,188.6 |
| Accumulated amortization | (362.5) | (124.2) | (31.1) | (61.7) | (46.0) | (625.5) |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization: | | | | | | |
| Gross carrying amount | | | 177.6 | _ | | 177.6 |
| Total identifiable intangible assets | \$ 332.6 | \$ 49.2 | \$193.9 | \$115.3 | \$ 49.7 | \$ 740.7 |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization: | | | | | | |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 674.9 | \$ 172.5 | \$ 40.4 | \$164.3 | \$ 82.7 | \$1,134.8 |
| Accumulated amortization | (315.4) | (100.2) | (26.9) | (46.7) | (39.4) | (528.6) |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization: | | | | | | |
| Gross carrying amount | _ | | 192.3 | | | 192.3 |
| Total identifiable intangible assets | \$ 359.5 | \$ 72.3 | \$205.8 | \$117.6 | \$ 43.3 | \$ 798.5 |

Intangible amortization expense was recorded as follows (in millions):

2012 2011 2010 For the Years Ended December 31, \$24.0\$26.7 \$33.1 Cost of products sold 67.159.2Sellling, general and administrative 73.1Total intangible amortization \$97.1 \$93.8 \$92.3

Estimated annual amortization expense based upon intangible assets recognized as of December 31, 2012 for the years ending December 31, 2013 through 2017 is (in millions):

For the Years Ending December 31,

| 2013 | \$94. | 1 |
|------|-------|---|
| 2014 | 91. | 3 |
| 2015 | 77 | 3 |
| 2016 | 67. | 8 |
| 2017 | 61. | 8 |
| | | |

10. OTHER CURRENT AND LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Other current and long-term liabilities consisted of the following (in millions):

| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Other current liabilities: | | |
| License and service agreements | \$ 92.3 | \$106.1 |
| Certain claims accrual (Note 19) | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 118.8 | 116.5 |
| Accrued liabilities | 297.9 | 299.3 |
| Total other current liabilities | \$559.0 | \$571.9 |
| Other long-term liabilities: | | |
| Long-term income tax payable | \$213.0 | \$125.8 |
| Certain claims accrual (Note 19) | 210.8 | 261.1 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 135.5 | 170.5 |
| Total other long-term liabilities | \$559.3 | \$557.4 |

11. DEBT

Our debt consisted of the following (in millions):

| As of December 31, | | 2012 | | 2011 |
|---|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| Short-term debt | | | | |
| Senior Credit Facility | \$ | 100.0 | \$ | 143.0 |
| Other short-term debt | _ | 0.1 | | 0.3 |
| Total short-term debt | \$ | 100.1 | \$ | 143.3 |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| Senior Notes due 2014 | \$ | 250.0 | \$ | 250.0 |
| Senior Notes due 2019 | | 500.0 | | 500.0 |
| Senior Notes due 2021 | | 300.0 | | 300.0 |
| Senior Notes due 2039 | | 500.0 | | 500.0 |
| Term Loan | | 138.6 | | - |
| Debt discount | | (1.7) | | (1.8) |
| Adjustment related to interest rate swaps | | 33.9 | | 27.8 |
| Total long-term debt | \$1 | ,720.8 | \$1 | ,576.0 |

In May 2012, we entered into a new five-year \$1,350 million revolving, multi-currency, senior unsecured credit facility maturing May 9, 2017 (Senior Credit Facility). As of December 31, 2012, we had \$100.0 million outstanding under the Senior Credit Facility and an availability of \$1,250.0 million. The Senior Credit Facility replaced a previous credit facility with similar terms that was due to mature on November 30, 2012.

Borrowings of 11.7 billion Japanese Yen outstanding under the previous credit facility were converted to the Senior Credit Facility. On May 24, 2012, we refinanced these borrowings by entering into a separate term loan agreement with one of the lenders under the Senior Credit Facility (Term Loan) for 11.7 billion Japanese Yen and we repaid the outstanding borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility.

The Term Loan will mature on May 31, 2016. Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest at a fixed rate of 0.61 percent per annum until maturity. The estimated fair value of the Term Loan as of December 31, 2012, based upon publicly available market yield curves and the terms of the debt (Level 2), was \$138.1 million.

We and certain of our wholly owned foreign subsidiaries are the borrowers under the Senior Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility bear interest at a LIBOR-based rate plus an applicable margin determined by reference to our senior unsecured long-term credit rating and the amounts drawn under the Senior Credit Facility, at an alternate base rate, or at a fixed-rate determined through a competitive bid process. The Senior Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default for an unsecured financing arrangement, including, among other things, limitations on consolidations, mergers and sales or transfers of assets. Financial covenants include a maximum

leverage ratio of 3.0 to 1.0. If we fall below an investment grade credit rating, additional restrictions would result, including restrictions on investments, payment of dividends and stock repurchases. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Senior Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012. Commitments under the Senior Credit Facility are subject to certain fees, including a facility and a utilization fee. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility at December 31, 2012 are U.S. Dollar-based.

We have four tranches of senior notes outstanding: \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 1.4 percent notes due November 30, 2014, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 4.625 percent notes due November 30, 2019, \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 3.375 percent notes due November 30, 2021 and \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75 percent notes due November 30, 2039. Interest on each series is payable on May 30 and November 30 of each year until maturity. The estimated fair value of our Senior Notes as of December 31, 2012, based on quoted prices for the specific securities from transactions in over-the-counter markets (Level 2), was \$1,745.8 million.

We may redeem the Senior Notes at our election in whole or in part at any time prior to maturity at a redemption price equal to the greater of 1) 100 percent of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed; or 2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued as of the date of redemption), discounted to the date of redemption on a semi-annual basis at the Treasury Rate (as defined in the debt agreement), plus 15 basis points in the case of the 2014 Notes, 20 basis points in the case of the 2019 Notes and 2021 Notes, and 25 basis points in the case of the 2039 Notes. We would also pay the accrued and unpaid interest on the Senior Notes to the redemption date.

We have entered into interest rate swap agreements which we designated as fair value hedges of underlying fixedrate obligations on our Senior Notes due 2019. See Note 13 for additional information regarding the interest rate swap agreements.

We also have available uncommitted credit facilities totaling \$79.1 million.

At December 31, 2012, the weighted average interest rate for short-term and long-term borrowings was 1.1 percent and 3.5 percent, respectively. We paid \$67.8 million, \$55.0 million and \$59.8 million in interest during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

12. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Accumulated other comprehensive income items represent certain amounts that are reported as components of stockholders' equity in our consolidated balance sheet, including foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, on available-for-sale investments and hedging instruments and pension liability adjustments. Accumulated other comprehensive income consisted of the following (in millions):

| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Foreign currency translation | \$ 445.5 | \$ 399.4 |
| Cash flow hedges | 4.1 | (10.1) |
| Unrealized loss on securities | 0.4 | - |
| Unrecognized prior service cost and unrecognized | | |
| loss in actuarial assumptions | (106.1) | (117.9) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 343.9 | \$ 271.4 |

13. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We are exposed to certain market risks relating to our ongoing business operations, including foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk, interest rate risk and credit risk. We manage our exposure to these and other market risks through regular operating and financing activities. Currently, the only risks that we manage through the use of derivative instruments are interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk.

Interest Rate Risk

Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedges

We use interest rate derivative instruments to manage our exposure to interest rate movements by converting fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. Under these agreements, we agree to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. The objective of the instruments is to more closely align interest expense with interest income received on cash and cash equivalents. These derivative instruments are designated as fair value hedges under GAAP. Changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded in current earnings and are offset by gains or losses on the underlying debt instrument.

In 2010, we entered into multiple nine-year fixed-tovariable interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$250 million. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as fair value hedges of the fixed interest rate obligation of our 2019 Notes. We receive a fixed interest rate of 4.625 percent and pay variable interest equal to the threemonth LIBOR plus an average of 133 basis points on these interest rate swap agreements.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We operate on a global basis and are exposed to the risk that our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. To reduce the potential effects of foreign currency exchange rate movements on net earnings, we enter into derivative financial instruments in the form of foreign currency exchange forward contracts and options with major financial institutions. We are primarily exposed to

foreign currency exchange rate risk with respect to transactions and net assets denominated in Euros, Swiss Francs, Japanese Yen, British Pounds, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars, Korean Won, Swedish Krona, Czech Koruna, Thai Baht, Taiwan Dollars, South African Rand, Russian Rubles and Indian Rupees. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

Our revenues are generated in various currencies throughout the world. However, a significant amount of our inventory is produced in U.S. Dollars. Therefore, movements in foreign currency exchange rates may have different proportional effects on our revenues compared to our cost of products sold. To minimize the effects of foreign currency exchange rate movements on cash flows, we hedge intercompany sales of inventory expected to occur within the next 30 months with foreign currency exchange forward contracts and options. We designate these derivative instruments as cash flow hedges.

We perform quarterly assessments of hedge effectiveness by verifying and documenting the critical terms of the hedge instrument and that forecasted transactions have not changed significantly. We also assess on a quarterly basis whether there have been adverse developments regarding the risk of a counterparty default. For derivatives which qualify as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is temporarily recorded in other comprehensive income and then recognized in cost of products sold when the hedged item affects net earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value, if any, is immediately reported in cost of products sold.

For forward contracts and options outstanding at December 31, 2012, we have obligations to purchase U.S. Dollars and sell Euros, Japanese Yen, British Pounds, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars, Korean Won, Swedish Krona, Czech Koruna, Thai Baht, Taiwan Dollars, South African Rand, Russian Rubles and Indian Rupees and purchase Swiss Francs and sell U.S. Dollars at set maturity dates ranging from January 2013 through June 2015. As of December 31, 2012, the notional amounts of outstanding forward contracts and options entered into with third parties to purchase U.S. Dollars were \$1,548.8 million. As of December 31, 2012, the notional amounts of outstanding forward contracts and options entered into with third parties to purchase Swiss Francs were \$303.9 million.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

We enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts with terms of one month to manage currency exposures for monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than an entity's functional currency. As a result, any foreign currency remeasurement gains/losses recognized in earnings are generally offset with gains/losses on the foreign currency forward exchange contracts in the same reporting period. These offsetting gains/losses are recorded in cost of products sold as the underlying assets and liabilities exposed to remeasurement include inventory-related transactions. These contracts are settled on the last day of each reporting period. Therefore, there is no outstanding balance related to these contracts recorded on the balance sheet as of the end of the reporting period. The notional amounts of these contracts are typically in a range of \$1.2 billion to \$1.7 billion per quarter.

Foreign Currency Exchange and Interest Rate Risk

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

In 2011, our subsidiary in Japan, with a functional currency of Japanese Yen, borrowed variable-rate debt of \$143.0 million denominated in U.S. Dollars under our previous credit facility. To manage the foreign currency exchange risk associated with remeasuring the debt to Japanese Yen and the interest rate risk associated with the variable-rate debt, we entered into multiple cross-currency interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of 11,798 million Japanese Yen. We designated these swaps as cash flow hedges of the foreign currency exchange and interest rate risks. The effective portion of changes in fair value of the cross-currency interest rate swaps was temporarily recorded in other comprehensive income and then recognized in interest expense when the hedged item affected net earnings. The cross-currency interest rate swap agreements matured in 2012 and we paid off the subsidiary's U.S. Dollar debt with Japanese Yen debt borrowed under our previous credit facility.

Income Statement Presentation

Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedges

Derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges had the following effects on our consolidated statement of earnings (in millions):

| | | | Gain on Instrument | | L | oss on Hedge Item | d |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| | Location on | Year Ended December 31, | | | | ear Ended ember 31, | |
| Derivative Instrument | Derivative Statement of | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate swaps | Interest expense | \$6.1 | \$26.3 | \$1.5 | \$(6.1) | \$(26.3) | \$(1.5) |

We had no ineffective fair value hedging instruments nor any amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

Derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges had the following effects on other comprehensive income (OCI) on our consolidated balance sheet and our consolidated statement of earnings (in millions):

| | | mount of Gain / (Loss) Recognized in OCI | | | | t of Gain / (Lo ssified from C | • |
|------------------------------------|--------|---|---------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Year E | nded Decem | ber 31, | | Year End | ded Decembe | er 31, |
| Derivative Instrument | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Location on Statement of Earnings | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | \$16.3 | \$(34.9) | \$ 11.2 | Cost of products sold | \$(12.0) | \$(32.9) | \$7.3 |
| Foreign exchange options | (1.1) | (0.2) | 0.3 | Cost of products sold | (0.4) | - | - |
| Cross-currency interest rate swaps | | 0.2 | | Interest expense | 0.2 | (8.3) | |
| | \$15.2 | \$(34.9) | \$11.5 | | \$(12.2) | \$(41.2) | \$ 7.3 |

The net amount recognized in earnings during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 due to ineffectiveness and amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness were not significant.

The fair value of outstanding derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges and recorded on the balance sheet at December 31, 2012, together with settled derivatives where the hedged item has not yet affected earnings, was a net unrealized gain of \$4.8 million, or \$4.1 million after taxes, which is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income. Of the net unrealized gain, losses of \$5.3 million, or \$4.5 million after taxes, are expected to be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The following gains/(losses) from these derivative instruments were recognized on our consolidated statement of earnings (in millions):

| | Location on | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Derivative Instrument | Statement of Earnings | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Cost of products sold | \$(2.0) | \$2.7 | \$3.3 | | |

This impact does not include any offsetting gains/losses recognized in earnings as a result of foreign currency remeasurement of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than an entity's functional currency.

Balance Sheet Presentation

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, all derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges and cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet. On our consolidated balance sheet, we recognize individual forward contracts and options with the same counterparty on a net asset/liability basis if we have a master netting agreement with the counterparty. The fair value of derivative instruments on a gross basis is as follows (in millions):

| | As of December 31, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Asset Derivatives | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Other current assets | \$29.7 | Other current assets | \$24.9 |
| Foreign exchange options | Other current assets | 0.6 | Other current assets | 1.4 |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Other assets | 19.8 | Other assets | 14.5 |
| Foreign exchange options | Other assets | _ | Other assets | 1.0 |
| Interest rate swaps | Other assets | 33.9 | Other assets | 27.8 |
| Total asset derivatives | | \$84.0 | | \$69.6 |
| Liability Derivatives | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Other current liabilities | \$20.2 | Other current liabilities | \$35.6 |
| Cross-currency interest rate swaps | Other current liabilities | _ | Other current liabilities | 8.2 |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | Other long-term liabilities | 12.3 | Other long-term liabilities | 13.1 |
| Total liability derivatives | | \$32.5 | | \$56.9 |

14. RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

We have defined benefit pension plans covering certain U.S. and Puerto Rico employees. The employees who are not participating in the defined benefit plans receive additional benefits under our defined contribution plans. Plan benefits are primarily based on years of credited service and the participant's average eligible compensation. In addition to the U.S. and Puerto Rico defined benefit pension plans, we sponsor various non-U.S. pension arrangements, including retirement and termination benefit plans required by local law or coordinated with government sponsored plans.

We use a December 31 measurement date for our benefit plans.

Defined Benefit Plans

The components of net pension expense for our defined benefit retirement plans are as follows (in millions):

| | U.S. and Puerto Rico | | | Non-U.S. | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|--------|--------|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ 11.4 | \$ 11.4 | \$ 10.9 | \$15.0 | \$16.8 | \$14.6 |
| Interest cost | 13.3 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (25.5) | (21.9) | (18.1) | (7.6) | (9.6) | (8.0) |
| Settlement | 0.7 | _ | | _ | | _ |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (2.0) | | (0.1) | (0.9) | (0.8) | (0.7) |
| Amortization of unrecognized actuarial loss | 11.4 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 9.3 | \$ 8.7 | \$ 6.6 | \$14.5 | \$14.9 | \$13.8 |

The weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine net pension expense for our defined benefit retirement plans were as follows:

| | U.S. and Puerto Rico | | | | Non-U.S. | | |
|--|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|--|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Discount rate | 4.97% | 5.82% | 6.26% | 2.58% | 2.82% | 3.19% | |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.81% | 3.81% | 3.80% | 2.77% | 2.64% | 2.63% | |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 7.75% | 7.75% | 7.50% | 3.51% | 4.01% | 4.12% | |

ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on the historical and estimated future rates of return on the different asset classes held in the plans. The expected long-term rate of return is the weighted average of the target asset allocation of each individual asset class. We believe that historical asset results approximate expected market returns applicable to the funding of a long-term benefit obligation.

Discount rates were determined for each of our defined benefit retirement plans at their measurement date to reflect the yield of a portfolio of high quality bonds matched against the timing and amounts of projected future benefit payments.

Changes in projected benefit obligations and plan assets were (in millions):

| | U.S. and F | Non-U.S. | | |
|---|------------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Projected benefit obligation – beginning of year | \$290.0 | \$227.1 | \$235.1 | \$226.5 |
| Service cost | 11.4 | 11.4 | 15.0 | 16.8 |
| Interest cost | 13.3 | 13.0 | 6.1 | 7.3 |
| Plan amendments | (17.1) | - | - | - |
| Employee contributions | - | - | 17.5 | 15.9 |
| Benefits paid | (7.0) | (4.5) | (21.3) | (36.7) |
| Settlement | (1.1) | - | | - |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 24.8 | 43.0 | 6.9 | 0.4 |
| Prior service cost | - | _ | (3.7) | (1.6) |
| Expenses paid | - | _ | (0.2) | (0.1) |
| Translation loss | | | 4.0 | 6.6 |
| Projected benefit obligation – end of year | \$314.3 | \$290.0 | \$259.4 | \$235.1 |
| Plan assets at fair market value – beginning of year | \$275.1 | \$244.9 | \$205.1 | \$206.0 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 40.7 | (2.1) | 11.4 | (2.5) |
| Employer contributions | 54.2 | 36.8 | 15.5 | 16.0 |
| Employee contributions | _ | | 17.5 | 15.9 |
| Benefits paid | (7.0) | (4.5) | (21.3) | (36.7) |
| Expenses paid | _ | _ | (0.2) | (0.1) |
| Translation gain | <u> </u> | | 3.6 | 6.5 |
| Plan assets at fair market value – end of year | \$363.0 | \$275.1 | <u>\$231.6</u> | \$205.1 |
| Funded status | \$ 48.7 | \$(14.9) | \$(27.8) | \$(30.0) |
| Amounts recognized in consolidated balance sheet: | | | | |
| Prepaid pension | \$ 61.9 | \$ - | \$ 7.5 | \$ 4.3 |
| Short-term accrued benefit liability | (0.4) | (1.0) | _ | _ |
| Long-term accrued benefit liability | (12.8) | (13.9) | (35.3) | (34.3) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 48.7 | \$(14.9) | <u>\$(27.8)</u> | \$(30.0) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income: | | | | <u> </u> |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | \$(14.5) | \$ 0.6 | \$ (9.6) | \$ (6.7) |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | 136.9 | 140.4 | 35.9 | 45.5 |
| Total amount recognized | \$122.4 | \$141.0 | \$ 26.3 | \$ 38.8 |

We estimate the following amounts recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive income will be recognized as part of our net pension expense during 2013 (in millions):

| | U.S. and Puerto Rico | Non-U.S. |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Unrecognized prior service cost | \$(2.6) | \$(1.3) |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | 15.4 | 1.9 |
| | \$12.8 | \$ 0.6 |

The weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine the projected benefit obligation for our defined benefit retirement plans were as follows:

| | U.S. and Puerto Rico | | | | Non-U.S. | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|--|
| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Discount rate | 4.32% | 5.05% | 5.82% | 2.15% | 2.49% | 2.82% | |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.29% | 3.81% | 3.80% | 2.75% | 2.76% | 2.61% | |

Plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

| | U.S. and Puerto Rico | | | Non-U.S. | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|
| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$29.3 | \$290.0 | \$233.1 | \$211.5 | | |
| Plan assets at fair market value | 16.0 | 275.1 | 197.7 | 177.3 | | |

Plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

| | U.S. and Pue | U.S. and Puerto Rico | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| As of December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$26.9 | \$22.4 | \$191.9 | \$190.4 |
| Plan assets at fair market value | 16.0 | 13.0 | 168.8 | 168.7 |

The accumulated benefit obligation for U.S. and Puerto Rico defined benefit retirement pension plans was \$268.7 million and \$241.3 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation for non-U.S. defined benefit retirement plans was \$244.9 million and \$219.9 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The benefits expected to be paid out in each of the next five years and for the five years combined thereafter are as follows (in millions):

| For the Years Ending December 31, | U.S. and Puerto Rico | Non-U.S. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 2013 | \$ 8.9 | \$ 16.2 |
| 2014 | 10.4 | 14.2 |
| 2015 | 11.4 | 16.3 |
| 2016 | 13.1 | 16.1 |
| 2017 | 14.6 | 16.4 |
| 2018-2022 | 96.5 | 109.7 |

The U.S. and Puerto Rico defined benefit retirement plans' overall investment strategy is to maximize total returns by emphasizing long-term growth of capital while mitigating risk. We have established target ranges of assets held by the plans of 45 to 50 percent for equity securities, 35 to 40 percent for debt securities and 5 to 15 percent in non-traditional investments. The plans strive to have sufficiently diversified assets so that adverse or unexpected results from one asset class will not have an unduly detrimental impact on the entire portfolio. We regularly review the investments in the plans and we may rebalance them from time-to-time based upon the target asset allocation of the plans.

For the U.S. and Puerto Rico plans, we maintain an investment policy statement that guides the investment

allocation in the plans. The investment policy statement describes the target asset allocation positions described above. We have a benefits committee to monitor compliance with and administer the investment policy statement and the plans' assets and oversee the general investment strategy and objectives of the plans. The benefits committee generally meets quarterly to review performance and to ensure that the current investment allocation is within the parameters of the investment policy statement.

The investment strategies of non-U.S. based plans vary according to the plan provisions and local laws. The majority of the assets in non-U.S. based plans are located in Switzerland-based plans. These assets are held in trusts and are commingled with the assets of other Swiss companies with representatives of all the companies making the investment decisions. The overall strategy is to maximize total returns while avoiding risk. The trustees of the assets have established target ranges of assets held by the plans of 30 to 50 percent in debt securities, 20 to 37 percent in equity securities, 15 to 24 percent in real estate, 3 to 15 percent in cash funds and 0 to 12 percent in other funds.

The fair value of our U.S. and Puerto Rico pension plan assets by asset category was as follows (in millions):

| | | As of Dece | mber 31, 2012 | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date | | | | |
| Asset Category | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3.2 | \$3.2 | \$ - | \$- | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. large-cap | 60.3 | - | 60.3 | - | |
| U.S. small-cap | 22.1 | - | 22.1 | - | |
| International | 87.5 | - | 87.5 | - | |
| Real estate | 29.5 | - | 29.5 | - | |
| Commodity-linked mutual funds | 38.3 | - | 38.3 | - | |
| Intermediate fixed income securities | 122.1 | | 122.1 | _ | |
| Total | \$363.0 | \$3.2 | \$359.8 | <u>\$-</u> | |

| | As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: | | | | |
| Asset Category | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1.4 | \$1.4 | \$ - | \$- | | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. large-cap | 52.9 | - | 52.9 | - | | |
| U.S. small-cap | 17.4 | - | 17.4 | - | | |
| International | 50.0 | - | 50.0 | - | | |
| Real estate | 18.7 | - | 18.7 | - | | |
| Commodity-linked mutual funds | 25.0 | - | 25.0 | _ | | |
| Intermediate fixed income securities | 109.7 | | 109.7 | _ | | |
| Total | \$275.1 | \$1.4 | \$273.7 | \$- | | |

The fair value of our non-U.S. pension plan assets was as follows (in millions):

| | _ | As of Dece | mber 31, 2012 | |
|---|-------------|---|---|--|
| | | rting Date Using: | | |
| Asset Category | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash and cash equivalents Equity securities: | \$ 12.7 | \$12.7 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Energy | 1.7 | 1.7 | - | - |
| Materials | 2.6 | 2.6 | - | - |
| Industrials | 4.1 | 4.1 | - | - |
| Consumer discretionary | 2.2 | 2.2 | - | - |
| Consumer staples | 3.0 | 3.0 | - | - |
| Healthcare | 4.9 | 4.9 | - | - |
| Financials | 7.3 | 7.3 | - | - |
| Information technology | 2.5 | 2.5 | - | - |
| Telecommunication services | 1.0 | 1.0 | _ | - |
| Utilities | 1.6 | 1.6 | - | - |
| Other Fixed income securities: | 35.1 | 32.5 | 2.6 | - |
| Government bonds | 44.9 | - | 44.9 | - |
| Corporate bonds | 37.9 | | 37.9 | - |
| Asset-backed securities | 13.2 | - | 13.2 | - |
| Other debt Other types of investments: | 1.0 | | 1.0 | - |
| Mortgage loans | 5.4 | _ | 5.4 | - |
| Insurance contracts | 5.9 | - | 5.9 | - |
| Other investments Real estate | 7.5 37.1 | - | 7.5 | 37.1 |
| Total | \$231.6 | \$76.1 | \$118.4 | \$37.1 |

As of December 31, 2011

| | | Fair Value Measur | ements at Repo | ting Date Using: | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---|---|--|--|
| Asset Category | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10.8 | \$10.8 | \$ | \$ - | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | |
| Energy | 1.9 | 1.9 | - | - | |
| Materials | 2.0 | 2.0 | - | - | |
| Industrials | 3.7 | 3.7 | - | - | |
| Consumer discretionary | 2.1 | 2.1 | - | | |
| Consumer staples | 4.0 | 4.0 | - | | |
| Healthcare | 6.0 | 6.0 | - | - | |
| Financials | 5.8 | 5.8 | | - | |
| Information technology | 2.3 | 2.3 | | - | |
| Telecommunication services | s 1.0 | 1.0 | _ | | |
| Utilities | 1.6 | 1.6 | - | - | |
| Other | 28.9 | 26.6 | 2.3 | - | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| Government bonds | 42.5 | - | 42.5 | - | |
| Corporate bonds | 35.5 | - | 35.5 | - | |
| Asset-backed securities | 8.4 | - | 8.4 | _ | |
| Other debt | 1.1 | - | 1.1 | - | |
| Other types of investments: | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans | 5.2 | - | 5.2 | - | |
| Insurance contracts | 5.5 | - | 5.5 | - | |
| Other investments | 5.0 | - | 5.0 | - | |
| Real estate | 31.8 | | | 31.8 | |
| Total | \$205.1 | \$67.8 | \$105.5 | \$31.8 | |

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, our defined benefit pension plans' assets did not hold any direct investment in Zimmer Holdings common stock.

Equity securities are valued using a market approach, based on quoted prices for the specific security from transactions in active exchange markets (Level 1), or in some cases where we are invested in mutual or collective funds, based upon the net asset value per unit of the fund which is determined from quoted market prices of the underlying securities in the fund's portfolio (Level 2). Fixed income securities are valued using a market approach, based upon quoted prices for the specific security or from institutional bid evaluations. Some fixed income securities are in funds with a net asset value per unit which is determined using similar techniques for the underlying securities in the fund's portfolio. Real estate is valued by discounting to present value the cash flows expected to be generated by the specific properties.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of our non-U.S. pension plan assets measured at fair value that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (in millions):

| | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Beginning Balance | 31.8 |
| Gains on assets sold | 0.2 |
| Change in fair value of assets | 0.9 |
| Net purchases and sales | 3.3 |
| Translation gain | 0.9 |
| Ending Balance | \$37.1 |

We expect that we will have no legally required minimum funding requirements in 2013 for the qualified U.S. and Puerto Rico defined benefit retirement plans. We expect to voluntarily contribute between \$24 million and \$42 million to these plans during 2013. Contributions to non-U.S. defined benefit plans are estimated to be approximately \$16 million in 2013. We do not expect the assets in any of our plans to be returned to us in the next year.

Defined Contribution Plans

We also sponsor defined contribution plans for substantially all of the U.S. and Puerto Rico employees and certain employees in other countries. The benefits offered under these plans are reflective of local customs and practices in the countries concerned. We expensed \$26.5 million, \$25.7 million and \$24.4 million related to these plans for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

15. INCOME TAXES

The components of earnings before income taxes consist of the following (in millions):

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| United States operations | \$409.9 | \$485.7 | \$382.4 |
| Foreign operations | 580.2 | 493.2 | 477.8 |
| Total | \$990.1 | \$978.9 | \$860.2 |
| | <i>4000.1</i> | | |

The provision for income taxes consists of (in millions):

| Provision for income taxes | \$237.2 | \$218.9 | \$263.3 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| <u> </u> | (64.8) | (19.7) | (72.5) |
| Foreign | (6.7) | (16.2) | (15.6) |
| State | 0.7 | (0.9) | (2.0) |
| Federal | (58.8) | (2.6) | (54.9) |
| Deferred: | | | |
| | 302.0 | 238.6 | 335.8 |
| Foreign | 108.4 | 75.9 | 81.0 |
| State | 13.8 | 14.3 | 19.5 |
| Federal | \$179.8 | \$148.4 | \$235.3 |
| Current: | | | |

Income taxes paid during 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$227.6 million, \$236.4 million and \$330.6 million, respectively.

A reconciliation of the U.S. statutory income tax rate to our effective tax rate is as follows:

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| U.S. statutory income tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of federal deduction | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Tax impact of foreign operations, including foreign tax credits | (10.4) | (11.0) | (10.6) |
| Tax impact of significant non- recurring transactions | (3.5) | _ | - |
| Tax benefit relating to U.S. manufacturer's deduction and export sales | (1.9) | (1.6) | (2.6) |
| R&D credit | - | (0.5) | (0.8) |
| Goodwill impairment | 3.4 | _ | 8.3 |
| Other | 0.4 | (0.2) | |
| Effective income tax rate | 24.0% | 22.4% | 30.6% |

Our operations in Puerto Rico, Switzerland and the State of Indiana benefit from various tax incentive grants. Unless these grants are extended, they will expire between fiscal years 2016 and 2026.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred income tax assets when it is more likely than not that an income tax benefit will not be realized.

The components of deferred taxes consisted of the following (in millions):

| As of December, 31 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Inventory | \$ 225.1 | \$ 218.5 |
| Net operating loss carryover | 26.8 | 23.5 |
| Tax credit carryover | 16.4 | 16.9 |
| Capital loss carryover | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Accrued liabilities | 67.0 | 116.1 |
| Share-based compensation | 106.3 | 98.3 |
| Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries | 172.3 | 103.9 |
| Other | 42.3 | 73.1 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 660.2 | 654.3 |
| Less: Valuation allowances | (41.3) | (40.3) |
| Total deferred tax assets after valuation | 618.9 | 614.0 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Fixed assets | \$ (93.9) | \$(111.6) |
| Intangible assets | (140.6) | (148.9) |
| Accrued liabilities | | (1.0) |
| Other | (1.0) | |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (235.5) | (261.5) |
| Total net deferred tax assets | \$ 383.4 | \$ 352.5 |

The net operating loss carryovers are available to reduce future federal, state and foreign taxable earnings. At December 31, 2012, these net operating loss carryovers generally expire within a period of 1 to 20 years. Valuation allowances for net operating loss carryovers have been established in the amount of \$17.0 million and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The tax credit carryovers are available to offset future federal, state and foreign tax liabilities. At December 31, 2012, these tax credit carryovers generally expire within a period of 1 to 10 years. We have established valuation allowances for certain tax credit carryovers in the amount of \$14.2 million and \$15.3 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The capital loss carryover is also available to reduce future federal taxable earnings. However, the entire \$4.0 million capital loss carryover is subject to a valuation allowance and expires in 4 years. The remaining valuation allowances of \$6.1 million and \$6.4 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, relate primarily to potential capital losses.

At December 31, 2012, we had an aggregate of approximately \$2,790 million of unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries that have been, or are intended to be, indefinitely reinvested for continued use in foreign operations. If the total undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries were remitted, a significant amount of the additional tax would be offset by the allowable foreign tax credits. It is not practical for us to determine the additional tax related to remitting these earnings. The following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits (in millions):

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$158.4 | \$168.0 | \$150.4 |
| Increases related to prior periods | 118.7 | 11.4 | 23.1 |
| Decreases related to prior periods | (8.9) | (49.0) | (6.1) |
| Increases related to current period | 19.1 | 34.4 | 23.7 |
| Decreases related to settlements with taxing authorities | (0.6) | (4.8) | (14.1) |
| Decreases related to lapse of statute of limitations | (1.2) | (1.6) | (9.0) |
| Balance at December 31 | \$285.5 | \$158.4 | \$168.0 |
| Amounts impacting effective tax rate, if recognized balance at December 31 | \$159.0 | \$132.7 | \$112.2 |

We recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. During 2012, we accrued interest and penalties of \$23.2 million, and as of December 31, 2012, had recognized a liability for interest and penalties of \$33.9 million. We decreased interest and penalties by \$12.1 million during 2011, and as of December 31, 2011, had recognized a liability for interest and penalties of \$10.7 million. During 2010, we decreased interest and penalties by \$5.8 million, and as of December 31, 2010, had recognized a liability for interest and penalties of \$22.8 million.

We operate on a global basis and are subject to numerous and complex tax laws and regulations. Our income tax filings are regularly under audit in multiple federal, state and foreign jurisdictions. Income tax audits may require an extended period of time to reach resolution and may result in significant income tax adjustments when interpretation of tax laws or allocation of company profits is disputed. The net amount of tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits may change within the next twelve months due to changes in audit status, expiration of statutes of limitations and other events which could impact our determination of unrecognized tax benefits. Currently, we cannot reasonably estimate the amount by which our unrecognized tax benefits will change.

During the second quarter of 2011, the IRS concluded their examination of our U.S. federal returns for years 2005 through 2007 and issued income tax assessments reallocating profits between certain of our U.S. and foreign subsidiaries. We believe that we have followed applicable U.S. tax laws and are vigorously defending our income tax positions. The ultimate resolution of this matter is uncertain and could have a material impact on our income tax expense, results of operations, and cash flows for future periods.

U.S. and Europe tax returns for years 2007 through 2009 are in various stages of review by the relevant tax authorities. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we received indication from taxing jurisdictions that our as-filed tax positions, with regard to profit allocations, are in dispute. Although in each case we believe we have followed applicable tax laws in establishing

our filed tax positions, this new information impacted our determination of unrecognized tax benefits resulting in an increase in both the net amount of tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits and income tax expense. The ultimate resolution of this matter is uncertain and could have a material impact on our income tax expense, results of operations, and cash flows for future periods.

State income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of 3 to 5 years after filing of the respective return. The state impact of any federal changes generally remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification to the states. We have various state income tax returns in the process of examination, administrative appeals or litigation.

Our tax returns are currently under examination in various foreign jurisdictions. Foreign jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from 3 to 5 years. Years still open to examination by foreign tax authorities in major jurisdictions include: Australia (2008 onward), Canada (2006 onward), France (2010 onward), Germany (2009 onward), Ireland (2008 onward), Italy (2006 onward), Japan (2010 onward), Korea (2007 onward), Puerto Rico (2008 onward), Switzerland (2011 onward), and the United Kingdom (2011 onward).

16. CAPITAL STOCK AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

We are authorized to issue 250 million shares of preferred stock, none of which were issued or outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

The numerator for both basic and diluted earnings per share is net earnings available to common stockholders. The denominator for basic earnings per share is the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The denominator for diluted earnings per share is weighted average shares outstanding adjusted for the effect of dilutive stock options and other equity awards. The following is a reconciliation of weighted average shares for the basic and diluted share computations (in millions):

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic net earnings per share | 174.9 | 187.6 | 200.0 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options and other equity awards | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted net earnings per share | 176.0 | 188.7 | 201.1 |

For the year ended December 31, 2012, an average of 11.9 million options to purchase shares of common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as the exercise prices of these options were greater than the average market price of the common stock. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, an average of 13.2 million and 13.7 million options, respectively, were not included.

During 2012, we repurchased 7.7 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$63.39 per share for a total cash outlay of \$485.6 million, including commissions. As of December 31, 2012, \$1,014.6 million remained authorized under a \$1.5 billion repurchase program, which will expire on December 31, 2014.

17. SEGMENT DATA

We design, develop, manufacture and market orthopaedic reconstructive implants, biologics, dental implants, spinal implants, trauma products and related surgical products which include surgical supplies and instruments designed to aid in surgical procedures and post-operation rehabilitation. We also provide other healthcare-related services. We manage operations through three major geographic segments - the Americas, which is comprised principally of the U.S. and includes other North, Central and South American markets; Europe, which is comprised principally of Europe and includes the Middle East and African markets; and Asia Pacific, which is comprised primarily of Japan and includes other Asian and Pacific markets. This structure is the basis for our reportable segment information discussed below. Management evaluates reportable segment performance based upon segment operating profit exclusive of operating expenses pertaining to share-based payment expense, inventory step-up and other certain inventory charges, "Certain claims," goodwill impairment, "Special items," and global operations and corporate functions. Global operations and corporate functions include research, development engineering, medical education, brand management, corporate legal, finance, and human resource functions, U.S., Puerto Rico and Ireland-based manufacturing operations and logistics and intangible asset amortization resulting from business combination accounting. Intercompany transactions have been eliminated from segment operating profit. Management reviews accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, goodwill and intangible assets by reportable segment exclusive of U.S., Puerto Rico and Ireland-based manufacturing operations and logistics and corporate assets.

Net sales and other information by segment is as follows (in millions):

| | | | | Global Operations and | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | Americas | Europe | Asia Pacific | Corporate Functions | Total |
| As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$2,476.3 | \$1,177.4 | \$818.0 | \$ – | \$4,471.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 73.7 | 73.6 | 36.3 | 179.5 | 363.1 |
| Segment operating profit | 1,256.3 | 369.1 | 311.1 | (562.9) | 1,373.6 |
| Share-based payment expense | | | | | (55.0) |
| Inventory step-up and other inventory charges | | | | | (4.8) |
| Certain claims | | | | | (15.0) |
| Goodwill impairment | | | | | (96.0) |
| Special items | | | | | (155.4) |
| Operating profit | | | | | 1,047.4 |
| Long-lived assets | 776.0 | 326.1 | 108.6 | _ | $1,\!210.7$ |
| Total assets | 2,690.6 | 2,308.0 | 578.3 | $3,\!435.5$ | 9,012.4 |
| Additions to instruments | - | 14.0 | 7.1 | 127.8 | 148.9 |
| Additions to other property, plant and equipment | 0.7 | 21.9 | 6.4 | 85.7 | 114.7 |
| As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$2,440.8 | \$1,214.5 | \$796.5 | \$ - | \$4,451.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 81.0 | 74.9 | 36.3 | 167.7 | 359.9 |
| Segment operating profit | 1,220.4 | 411.5 | 290.6 | (593.5) | 1,329.0 |
| Share-based payment expense | | | | | (60.5) |
| Inventory step-up | | | | | (11.4) |
| Certain claims | | | | | (157.8) |
| Special items | | | | | (75.2) |
| Operating profit | | | | | 1,024.1 |
| Long-lived assets | 769.0 | 330.6 | 107.7 | - | $1,\!207.3$ |
| Total assets | 2,571.6 | 2,345.5 | 602.4 | 2,995.8 | 8,515.3 |
| Additions to instruments | - | 15.2 | 7.7 | 132.5 | 155.4 |
| Additions to other property, plant and equipment | 1.3 | 23.8 | 4.7 | 84.0 | 113.8 |
| As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$2,431.6 | \$1,099.5 | \$689.1 | \$ - | \$4,220.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 78.1 | 70.5 | 30.0 | 161.6 | 340.2 |
| Segment operating profit | 1,214.6 | 398.0 | 259.9 | (578.7) | 1,293.8 |
| Share-based payment expense | | | | | (62.0) |
| Inventory step-up | | | | | (1.4) |
| Certain claims | | | | | (75.0) |
| Goodwill impairment | | | | | (204.0) |
| Special items | | | | | (34.7) |
| Operating profit | | | | | 916.7 |
| Long-lived assets | 841.5 | 281.7 | 90.6 | - | 1,213.8 |
| Total assets | 2,578.0 | 2,210.8 | 561.4 | $2,\!649.7$ | 7,999.9 |
| Additions to instruments | - | 22.9 | 5.2 | 164.4 | 192.5 |
| Additions to other property, plant and equipment | 0.3 | 16.9 | 7.6 | 54.4 | 79.2 |

The Americas long-lived tangible assets are located primarily in the U.S. \$226.1 million of Europe long-lived tangible assets as of December 31, 2012 are located in Switzerland.

For segment reporting purposes, deployed instruments are included in the measurement of reportable segment assets while undeployed instruments at U.S. and Puerto Rico-based manufacturing operations and logistics are included in global operations and corporate functions. The majority of instruments are purchased by U.S., Puerto Rico and Irelandbased manufacturing operations and logistics and are deployed to the reportable segments as needed for the business. Therefore, the reportable segment assets include deployed instruments even though that reportable segment may not report the instrument addition.

U.S. sales were \$2,280.7 million, \$2,263.7 million and \$2,277.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Sales within any other individual country were less than 10 percent of our consolidated sales. Sales are attributable to a country based upon the customer's country of domicile.

Net sales by product category are as follows (in millions):

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Reconstructive | | | |
| Knees | \$1,814.8 | \$1,825.1 | \$1,789.9 |
| Hips | 1,342.0 | 1,355.6 | 1,262.3 |
| Extremities | 173.8 | 163.4 | 150.1 |
| | 3,330.6 | 3,344.1 | 3,202.3 |
| Dental | 237.7 | 248.1 | 219.0 |
| Trauma | 307.9 | 285.8 | 245.5 |
| Spine | 208.9 | 225.0 | 234.4 |
| Surgical and other | 386.6 | 348.8 | 319.0 |
| Total | \$4,471.7 | \$4,451.8 | \$4,220.2 |

18. LEASES

Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 aggregated \$46.3 million, \$47.0 million and \$46.2 million, respectively.

Future minimum rental commitments under noncancelable operating leases in effect as of December 31, 2012 were (in millions):

For the Years Ending December 31,

| 2013 | \$45.9 |
|------------|--------|
| 2014 | 33.1 |
| 2015 | 26.4 |
| 2016 | 21.3 |
| 2017 | 18.2 |
| Thereafter | 47.1 |
| | |

19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Product Liability-Related Claims

We are subject to product liability claims arising in the ordinary course of our business. We establish standard accruals for product liability claims in conjunction with outside counsel based on current information and historical settlement information for open claims, related legal fees and claims incurred but not reported. These standard product liability accruals are recognized in selling, general and administrative expense. We may also establish provisions for certain product liability claims outside of the standard accruals that are recorded separately on our statement of earnings, such as the provision for claims related to the *Durom* Cup discussed below. We maintain insurance, subject to self-insured retention requirements, for losses from these and other claims.

On July 22, 2008, we temporarily suspended marketing and distribution of the *Durom* Cup in the U.S. Subsequently, a number of product liability lawsuits and other claims have been asserted against us. We have settled some of these claims and the others are still pending. Additional claims may be asserted in the future.

Initially, we estimated that any revision surgeries required would manifest themselves within two years of the original surgery. In the second quarter of 2010, based upon more recent claims information available, we revised our estimate to include all claims for revisions of original surgeries performed before July 22, 2008 (i.e., before our temporary suspension) on a worldwide basis, regardless of the amount of time between the revision surgery and the original surgery. In the fourth quarter of 2011, as additional claims information became available, we revised our estimates and methodology again to consolidate all estimated liabilities associated with Durom Cup-related claims regardless of whether the original surgery occurred before or after our temporary sales suspension. We recognized estimated claims that met the parameters noted in this paragraph during that time period as "Certain claims" on our statement of earnings. We recognized estimated claims outside these parameters as part of selling, general and administrative expense. The following table shows the line of our statement of earnings and the period in which Durom Cup-related claims were recognized:

| For the Years Ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | Total |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Certain claims | \$15.0 | \$157.8 | \$75.0 | \$35.0 | \$69.0 | \$351.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative | - | 4.2 | 15.4 | 24.6 | 7.2 | 51.4 |
| Total | \$15.0 | \$162.0 | \$90.4 | \$59.6 | \$76.2 | \$403.2 |

As noted above, we maintain insurance for product liability claims, subject to self-insurance retention requirements. In 2008, we notified our insurance carriers of potential claims related to the *Durom* Cup. Based upon our most recent estimates for liabilities associated with the *Durom*

Cup, as detailed above, we believe we may exhaust our selfinsured retention under our insurance program. In this event, we would have a claim for insurance proceeds for ultimate losses which exceed the self-insured retention amount, subject to a 20 percent co-payment requirement and a cap. We believe our contracts with the insurance carriers are enforceable for these claims and therefore we believe it is highly probable that we would recover some amount from our insurance carriers if our ultimate losses exceed our self-insured retention. Accordingly, we have recognized a \$98.0 million receivable in "other assets" on our consolidated balance sheet that reduced "Certain claims" expense for estimated insurance recoveries. As is customary in this process, our insurance carriers have reserved all rights under their respective policies and could still ultimately deny coverage for some or all of our insurance claims.

Our estimate, as of December 31, 2012, of the remaining liability for all *Durom* Cup-related claims is \$260.8 million, of which \$50.0 million is classified as short-term in "Other current liabilities" and \$210.8 million is classified as long-term in "Other long-term liabilities" on our consolidated balance sheet. We expect to pay the majority of the *Durom* Cup-related claims within the next five years.

Our understanding of clinical outcomes with the *Durom* Cup and other large diameter hip cups continues to evolve. We rely on significant estimates in determining the provisions for *Durom* Cup-related claims, including the number of claims that we will receive and the average amount we will pay per claim. The actual number of claims that we receive and the amount we pay per claim may differ from our estimates. Since our understanding of the clinical outcomes is still evolving, we cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may result from *Durom* Cup-related claims in excess of the losses we have accrued.

On August 20, 2008, Margo and Daniel Polett filed an action against us and an unrelated third party, Public Communications, Inc. (PCI), in the Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania seeking an unspecified amount of damages for injuries and loss of consortium allegedly suffered by Mrs. Polett and her spouse, respectively. The complaint alleged that defendants were negligent in connection with Mrs. Polett's participation in a promotional video featuring one of our knee products. The case was tried in November 2010 and the jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiffs. The jury awarded \$27.6 million in compensatory damages and apportioned fault 30 percent to plaintiffs, 34 percent to us and 36 percent to PCI. Under applicable law, we may be liable for any portion of the damages apportioned to PCI that it does not pay. On December 2, 2010, we and PCI filed a Motion for Post-Trial Relief seeking a judgment notwithstanding the verdict, a new trial or a remittitur. On June 10, 2011, the trial court entered an order denying our Motion for Post-Trial Relief and affirming the jury verdict in full and entered judgment for \$20.3 million against us and PCI. On June 29, 2011, we filed a Notice of Appeal to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania and

posted a bond for the verdict amount plus interest. Oral argument before the appellate court in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania was held on March 13, 2012. A ruling has not yet been issued. We do not believe the facts and evidence support the jury's verdict. Although we believe we have strong grounds to reverse the jury's verdict, the ultimate resolution of this matter is uncertain and could result in a loss of up to \$20 million in excess of the amount accrued.

Following a wide-spread advertising campaign conducted by certain law firms beginning in 2010, a number of product liability lawsuits have been filed against us in various jurisdictions. The plaintiffs seek damages for personal injury, alleging that certain products within the NexGen Knee System suffer from defects that cause them to loosen prematurely. The majority of the cases are currently pending in a federal Multidistrict Litigation in the Northern District of Illinois. Other cases are pending in other state and federal courts, and additional lawsuits may be filed. As of December 31, 2012, discovery in these lawsuits was underway and no trial dates had been set. We expect initial bellwether trials to commence sometime in mid-to-late 2014. We have not accrued an estimated loss relating to these lawsuits because we believe the plaintiffs' allegations are not consistent with the record of clinical success for these products. As a result, we do not believe that it is probable that we have incurred a liability, and we cannot reasonably estimate any loss that might eventually be incurred. Although we intend to vigorously defend these lawsuits, their ultimate resolution is uncertain.

Intellectual Property-Related Claims

We are involved in certain ongoing contractual and other disputes pertaining to certain royalty arrangements. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously against these claims. These matters are in varying stages of dispute resolution processes. In the twelve month period ended December 31, 2012, we accrued losses related to one of these matters. With respect to the other matters, we cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss, if any, we may incur. An adverse result in any of these matters could have an adverse effect on our results of operations in any particular period.

On December 10, 2010, Stryker Corporation and related entities (Stryker) filed suit against us in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan, alleging that certain of our *Pulsavac* Plus wound debridement products infringe three U.S. patents assigned to Stryker. The case was tried beginning on January 15, 2013, and on February 5, 2013, the jury found that we infringed certain claims of the subject patents. The jury awarded \$70.0 million in monetary damages for lost profits. The jury also found that we willfully infringed the subject patents. Final judgment has not yet been entered. We intend to file a number of post-trial motions challenging the verdict. Following the trial court's rulings on these post-trial motions and entry of final judgment, we intend to timely appeal the unfavorable verdict. We have not accrued an estimated loss related to this matter in our consolidated

statement of earnings for the year ended December 31, 2012, because we do not believe that it is probable that we have incurred a liability. Although we believe we have strong grounds to reverse the jury's verdict, the ultimate resolution of this matter is uncertain. In the future we could be required to record a charge of up to \$70.0 million that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any particular period.

Putative Class Action Closure

On November 20, 2008, a complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, Dewald v. Zimmer Holdings, Inc., et al., naming us and certain of our current and former directors and employees as defendants. The complaint related to a putative class action on behalf of all persons who were participants in or beneficiaries of our U.S. or Puerto Rico Savings and Investment Programs (plans) between October 5, 2007 and the date of filing and whose accounts included investments in our common stock. The complaint alleged, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties in violation of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, by continuing to offer Zimmer stock as an investment option in the plans when the stock purportedly was no longer a prudent investment and that defendants failed to provide plan participants with complete and accurate information sufficient to advise them of the risks of investing their retirement savings in Zimmer stock. The plaintiff sought an unspecified monetary payment to the plans, injunctive and equitable relief, attorneys' fees, costs and other relief. On January 23, 2009, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint that alleged the same claims and clarified that the class period was October 5, 2007 through September 2, 2008. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on March 23, 2009. On June 12, 2009, the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation entered an order transferring the Dewald case to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana. On December 23, 2011, the Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On January 20, 2012, the plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file a second amended complaint. On November 16, 2012, the Court denied the plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the amended complaint and dismissed the case with prejudice. The plaintiff's deadline to challenge the Court's decision has passed. The case is now closed and we will not be reporting the status of this matter in the future.

Government Investigation Closure

In September 2007, the Staff of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) informed us that it was conducting an investigation regarding potential violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) in the sale of medical devices in a number of foreign countries by companies in the medical device industry. In November 2007, we received a letter from the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) requesting that any information provided to the SEC also be provided to the DOJ on a voluntary basis. In the first quarter of 2011, we received a subpoena from the SEC seeking documents and other records pertaining to our business activities in substantially all countries in the Asia Pacific region where we operate. We produced documents responsive to the subpoena and reported to the government concerning the results of our own reviews regarding FCPA compliance. During a meeting in December 2012, representatives from the agencies informed us that the SEC and the DOJ planned to close their investigation without pursuing any enforcement action against us. The DOJ and SEC formally notified us through letters of declination dated December 19, 2012 and February 1, 2013, respectively, that the agencies have closed their inquiries into this matter. While we are pleased with the government's declination decision in this matter, we are committed to continuing to enhance our global anti-corruption compliance program.

Regulatory Matter

In September 2012, we received a warning letter from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) citing concerns relating to certain manufacturing and validation processes pertaining to Trilogy[®] Acetabular System products manufactured at our Ponce, Puerto Rico manufacturing facility. We have provided detailed responses to the FDA as to our corrective actions and will continue to work expeditiously to address the issues identified by the FDA. Until the violations are corrected, we may be subject to additional regulatory action by the FDA, including seizure, injunction and/or civil monetary penalties. Additionally, requests for Certificates to Foreign Governments related to products manufactured at the Ponce facility may not be granted and premarket approval applications for Class III devices to which the Quality System regulation deviations are reasonably related will not be approved until the violations have been corrected.

T,

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

20. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

(in millions, except per share data)

| | 2012 Quarter Ended | | | 2011 Quarter Ended | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Mar | Jun | Sep | Dec | Mar | Jun | Sep | Dec |
| Net sales | \$1,140.7 | \$1,125.0 | \$1,025.5 | \$1,180.5 | \$1,115.6 | \$1,137.4 | \$1,031.5 | \$1,167.3 |
| Gross profit | 852.0 | 843.1 | 769.8 | 881.6 | 836.6 | 849.5 | 779.6 | 864.1 |
| Net earnings of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. | 209.6 | 214.5 | 178.1 | 152.8 | 208.9 | 203.8 | 191.5 | 156.6 |
| Earnings per common share | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | 1.18 | 1.22 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.02 | 0.88 |
| Diluted | 1.17 | 1.22 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.01 | 0.87 |

The quarter ending December 31, 2012 includes a \$96.0 million goodwill impairment charge.

ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Because of inherent limitations, disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of disclosure controls and procedures are met.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at a reasonable assurance level.

During 2012, we continued transitioning work to a thirdparty service provider to outsource certain finance functions that historically have been performed in multiple countries throughout Europe and in the U.S. We also continued

ITEM 9B. Other Information

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors approved the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, to perform certain non-audit services related to certain tax matters. This disclosure is made pursuant to Section 10A(i)(2) of the Exchange Act. centralizing other finance functions that historically have been performed in a decentralized manner. This outsourcing and centralization are part of our ongoing operational excellence initiatives, and we plan to finalize transitioning work to the service provider and the centralized finance departments during 2013.

Also in 2012, we implemented and tested new software to consolidate our worldwide financial information. We will start to use this software for our consolidated financial statements starting in the first quarter of 2013. This software implementation is part of our operational excellence initiatives in order to improve the overall efficiency and effectiveness of our financial reporting process.

In connection with the outsourcing, centralization of finance functions, and software implementation and the resulting business process changes, we continue to enhance the design and documentation of our internal control processes to ensure suitable controls over our financial reporting. There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Management's report on internal control over financial reporting appears in this report at the conclusion of Part II, Item 7A.

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information required by this item regarding our Directors is incorporated by reference from the section entitled "Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors" in our definitive Proxy Statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 7, 2013 (the "2013 Proxy Statement"). Information about our Audit Committee is incorporated by reference from the section entitled "Committees of the Board" in our 2013 Proxy Statement. Information regarding the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to the Board of Directors is incorporated by reference from the section entitled "Corporate Governance – Nominations for Directors" in our 2013 Proxy Statement. Information regarding our executive officers is set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this report under the caption "Executive Officers." Information about compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated by reference from the section entitled "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in our 2013 Proxy Statement.

We have adopted the Zimmer Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers (the "finance code of ethics"), a code of ethics that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller, and other finance organization senior employees. The finance code of ethics is publicly available in the Investor Relations section of our website, which may be accessed from our homepage at www.zimmer.com or directly at http:// investor.zimmer.com. If we make any substantive amendments to the finance code of ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the code to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, or Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller, we will disclose the nature of that amendment in the Investor Relations section of our website.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections entitled "Committees of the Board", "Compensation of Directors" and "Executive Compensation" in our 2013 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections entitled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners," "Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in our 2013 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections entitled "Corporate Governance – Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions" and "Corporate Governance – Director Independence" in our 2013 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the sections entitled "Audit and Non-Audit Fees" and "Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in "Proposal No. 3" of our 2013 Proxy Statement.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries are set forth in Part II, Item 8.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Other financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

A list of exhibits required to be filed as part of this report is set forth in the Index to Exhibits, which immediately precedes such exhibits and is incorporated herein by reference.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ DAVID C. DVORAK

David C. Dvorak President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: February 27, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ David C. Dvorak David C. Dvorak | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ James T. Crines James T. Crines | Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Derek M. Davis Derek M. Davis | Vice President, Finance, and Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Christopher B. Begley Christopher B. Begley | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Betsy J. Bernard Betsy J. Bernard | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Gail K. Boudreaux Gail K. Boudreaux | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Marc N. Casper Marc N. Casper | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Larry C. Glasscock Larry C. Glasscock | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Robert A. Hagemann Robert A. Hagemann | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Arthur J. Higgins | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ John L. McGoldrick John L. McGoldrick | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ CECIL B. PICKETT, PH.D. Cecil B. Pickett, Ph.D. | Director | February 27, 2013 |

| Exhibit No | Description |
|------------|--|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. dated May 13, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2008) |
| 3.2 | Restated By-Laws of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. effective May 8, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 14, 2012) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2012) |
| 4.2 | Indenture dated as of November 17, 2009 between Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to the form filed as Exhibit 4.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed November 12, 2009) |
| 4.3 | First Supplemental Indenture to the Indenture dated as of November 17, 2009 between Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 17, 2009) |
| 4.4 | Form of 4.625% Note due 2019 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 above) |
| 4.5 | Form of 5.750% Note due 2039 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 above) |
| 4.6 | Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 10, 2011, to the Indenture dated as of November 17, 2009 between Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 10, 2011) |
| 4.7 | Form of 1.400% Note due 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 above) |
| 4.8 | Form of 3.375% Note due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 above) |
| 10.1* | Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed March 24, 2003) |
| 10.2* | First Amendment to the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 15, 2005) |
| 10.3* | Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2006) |
| 10.4* | Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Executive Performance Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed March 20, 2008) |
| 10.5* | Restated Zimmer, Inc. Long-Term Disability Income Plan for Highly Compensated Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 28, 2007) |
| 10.6* | Change in Control Severance Agreement with David C. Dvorak (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2009) |
| 10.7* | Form of Change in Control Severance Agreement with Bruno A. Melzi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 8, 2002) |
| 10.8* | Form of Change in Control Severance Agreement with James T. Crines (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2009) |
| 10.9* | Form of Change in Control Severance Agreement with Jeffery A. McCaulley and Chad F. Phipps (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2009) |
| 10.10* | Form of Change in Control Severance Agreement with Jeffrey B. Paulsen and Joseph A. Cucolo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 5, 2010) |
| 10.11* | Change in Control Severance Agreement with Stephen Hong Liang, Ooi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 12, 2003) |
| 10.12* | Change in Control Severance Agreement with Derek M. Davis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2009) |
| 10.13* | Restated Benefit Equalization Plan of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and Its Subsidiary or Affiliated Corporations Participating in the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Savings and Investment Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2009) |
| 10.14* | Restated Benefit Equalization Plan of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and Its Subsidiary or Affiliated Corporations Participating in the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Retirement Income Plan or the Zimmer Puerto Rico Retirement Income Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2009) |

| ZIMMER | HOLDINGS, | INC |
|--------|-------------|-----|
| | morebillio, | |

| Exhibit No | Description |
|------------|---|
| 10.15* | Form of Confidentiality, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement with U.SBased Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2012) |
| 10.16* | Non-Disclosure, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Employment Agreement with Stephen Hong Liang, Ooi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 27, 2006) |
| 10.17* | Confidentiality, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement with Bruno A. Melzi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 27, 2006) |
| 10.18* | Agreement by and between Bruno A. Melzi, Zimmer S.r.l. and Zimmer, Inc. dated December 12, 2012 |
| 10.19* | Agreement by Private Deed between Zimmer S.r.l. and Bruno A. Melzi dated December 12, 2012 |
| 10.20* | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 11, 2006) |
| 10.21* | Form of Nonqualified Performance-Conditioned Stock Option Grant Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 21, 2005) |
| 10.22* | Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed March 20, 2009) |
| 10.23* | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 5, 2005) |
| 10.24* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 21, 2006) |
| 10.25* | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2006) |
| 10.26* | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Award Letter for Non-U.S. Employees under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2006) |
| 10.27* | Form of Restricted Stock Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (five-year vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2006) |
| 10.28* | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 17, 2009) |
| 10.29* | Restated Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed March 20, 2009) |
| 10.30* | Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed March 20, 2009) |
| 10.31* | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Award Letter under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 5, 2011) |
| 10.32* | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Award Letter for Non-U.S. Employees under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 5, 2011) |
| 10.33* | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Letter (one-year performance period) under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 5, 2011) |
| 10.34* | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Letter for Non-U.S. Employees (one-year performance period) under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 5, 2011) |
| 10.35* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Letter (five-year vesting) under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 25, 2010) |

ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC.

| Exhibit No | Description |
|------------|--|
| 10.36* | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Letter (three-year performance period) under the Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2012) |
| 10.37* | Form of Indemnification Agreement with Non-Employee Directors and Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 31, 2008) |
| 10.38 | \$1,350,000,000 Credit Agreement dated as of May 9, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 15, 2012) |
| 10.39 | Term Loan Agreement ¥11,700,000,000 dated as of May 24, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 31, 2012) |
| 10.40 | Letter of Guarantee dated as of May 24, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 31, 2012) |
| 21 | List of Subsidiaries of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. |
| 23 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Schedule II

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

| | | | | | | (in millions) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions Charged (Credited) to Expense | Deductions to Reserve | Effects of Foreign Currency | Acquired Allowances | Balance at End of Period |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: | | | | | | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 18.8 | (1.0) | (3.1) | (0.6) | 0.3 | 14.4 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011 | 14.4 | 4.5 | (1.7) | - | - | 17.2 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012 | 17.2 | 7.1 | (1.8) | - | 0.3 | 22.8 |

Exhibit 31.1

Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, David C. Dvorak, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2013

David C. Dvorak President and Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2

Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, James T. Crines, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2013

James T. Crines Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32

Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Zimmer Holdings, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

David C. Dvorak President and Chief Executive Officer February 27, 2013

James T. Crines Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer February 27, 2013

Reconciliations

Reconciliation of Operating Profit to Adjusted Operating Profit for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in millions, unaudited)

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| ····· | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Operating Profit | \$1,047.4 | \$1,024.1 | \$ 916.7 | \$1,018.8 | \$1,090.0 | | |
| Inventory step-up and other inventory charges | 4.8 | 11.4 | 1.4 | 12.5 | 7.0 | | |
| Certain claims | 15.0 | 157.8 | 75.0 | 35.0 | 69.0 | | |
| Goodwill impairment | 96.0 | | 204.0 | 73.0 | _ | | |
| Special items | 155.4 | 75.2 | 34.7 | 75.3 | 68.5 | | |
| Net curtailment and settlement | _ | | _ | (32.1) | | | |
| Adjusted Operating Profit | \$1,318.6 | \$1,268.5 | \$1,231.8 | \$1,182.5 | \$1,234.5 | | |

Reconciliation of Diluted EPS to Adjusted Diluted EPS for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | |
| Diluted EPS | \$ 4.29 | \$ 4.03 | \$ 2.97 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.72 | |
| Inventory step-up and other inventory charges | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.03 | |
| Certain claims | 0.09 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.30 | |
| Goodwill impairment | 0.54 | | 1.01 | 0.34 | _ | |
| Special items | 0.88 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.30 | |
| Net curtailment and settlement | | | | (0.15) | | |
| Taxes on above items and other certain tax adjustments* | (0.53) | (0.53) | (0.20) | (0.14) | (0.30) | |
| Adjusted Diluted EPS | \$ 5.30 | \$ 4.80 | \$ 4.33 | \$ 3.94 | \$ 4.05 | |

* The tax effect is calculated based upon the statutory rates for the jurisdictions where the items were incurred.

Reconciliation of Sales Growth Rate to Constant Currency Sales Growth Rate for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 (unaudited)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 201 | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Reported % Growth | Foreign Exchange Impact | Constant Currency % Growth | |
| Geographic Segment | | | | |
| Americas | 1% | (1)% | 2% | |
| Europe | (3) | (6) | 3 | |
| Asia Pacific | 3 | | 3 | |
| Consolidated | | (2) | 2 | |
| Product Category | | | | |
| Reconstructive | | (1) | 1 | |
| Knees | (1) | (2) | 1 | |
| Hips | (1) | (2) | 1 | |
| Extremities | 6 | (2) | 8 | |
| Dental | (4) | (2) | (2) | |
| Trauma | 8 | (1) | 9 | |
| Spine | (7) | (1) | (6) | |
| Surgical and Other | 11 | (1) | 12 | |
| Consolidated | | (2) | 2 | |

Corporate Information (As of March 21, 2013)

Board of Directors

John L. Mc Goldrick Chairman of the Board, Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Special Advisor, International AIDS Vaccine Initiative

Christopher B. Begley Retired Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Hospira, Inc.

Betsy J. Bernard Retired President, AT&T Corp.

Management Team

David C. Dvorak President and Chief Executive Officer

James T. Crines Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Joseph A. Cucolo President, Americas

Stockholder Information

Headquarters

Zimmer Holdings, Inc. 345 East Main Street Warsaw, IN 46580, U.S.A. +1-574-267-6131 www.zimmer.com

Stock Listing

Zimmer is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and the SIX Swiss Exchange under the symbol ZMH.

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

Gail K. Boudreaux Chief Executive Officer, UnitedHealthcare Executive Vice President, UnitedHealth Group

Marc N. Casper President and Chief Executive Officer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.

David C. Dvorak President and Chief Executive Officer, Zimmer Holdings, Inc. Larry C. Glasscock Retired Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer,

WellPoint, Inc. **Robert A. Hagemann** Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer,

Quest Diagnostics Incorporated

Arthur J. Higgins Consultant, Blackstone Healthcare Partners

Cecil B. Pickett, Ph.D. Retired President, Research and Development, Biogen Idec Inc.

Derek M. Davis Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

Norman D. Finch Jr. Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer

William P. Fisher Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources Katarzyna Mazur-Hofsaess, M.D., Ph.D. President, Europe, Middle East and Africa Reconstructive

Jeffery A. McCaulley President, Zimmer Reconstructive

Bruno A. Melzi Chairman, Europe, Middle East and Africa **Emmanuel Nyakako** Senior Vice President, Global Quality and Regulatory Affairs

Stephen H. L. Ooi President, Asia Pacific

Chad F. Phipps Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Richard C. Stair Senior Vice President, Global Operations and Logistics

Investor Relations

Zimmer invites stockholders, security analysts, portfolio managers and other interested parties to contact:

Robert J. Marshall Jr. Vice President, Investor Relations and Treasurer +1-574-371-8042 robert.marshall@zimmer.com

James T. Crines Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer +1-574-372-4264 james.crines@zimmer.com To obtain a free copy of Zimmer's annual report on form 10-K, quarterly reports on form 10-Q, news releases, earnings releases, proxy statements, or to obtain Zimmer's financial calendar, access SEC filings, listen to earnings calls, or to look up Zimmer stock quotes, please visit http://investor.zimmer.com or call +1-866-688-7656.

Independent Auditors

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Chicago, IL, U.S.A.

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC administers the Investors Choice Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, which allows registered stockholders to purchase additional shares of Zimmer common stock through the automatic investment of dividends. The plan also allows registered stockholders to purchase shares with optional cash investments of at least \$25, either by check or by automatic deductions from checking or savings accounts. The maximum optional cash investment is \$10,000 per transaction. Please direct inquiries concerning the plan to: Zimmer Holdings, Inc., c/o American Stock

Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, P.O. Box 922, Wall Street Station, New York, NY 10269-0560, +1-888-552-8493 (domestic), +1-718-921-8124 (international)

Stock Performance Graph Comparison of Cumulative Total Return for years ended December 31 ✓ IX SWISS EXCHANGE \$150 Assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2007 in Zimmer common \$100 stock and each index and dividends were reinvested. Returns over the indicated period should not be considered indicative \$50 of future returns. \$0 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 This annual report is printed on paper that contains 10% post-consumer waste. Zimmer Holdings, Inc. \$100 \$61 \$89 \$81 \$81 \$102 S&P 500 Stock Index 63 80 92 94 100 109 S&P 500 Health Care Equipment Index 100 73 93 91 90 105

Transfer Agent Communications concerning

stock transfer requirements, loss of certificates and change of address should be directed to Zimmer's Transfer Agent:

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC 6201 15th Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11219 +1-888-552-8493 (domestic) +1-718-921-8124 (international) Email: zimmer@amstock.com Website: http://www.amstock.com



Zimmer Holdings, Inc., 345 East Main Street, P.O. Box 708, Warsaw, IN 46580, U.S.A.