10031699	SECURITIES AND E Washing ANNUAL AU FORM	TED STATES EXCHANGE COMMISSIO ton, D.C. 20549 JDITED REPOR	N OMB N Expires Estima	ted average burden
	Washing ANNUAL AU FORM	JDITED REPOR	N OMB N Expires Estima	lumber: 3235-012 s: April 30, 201 ted average burden
10031699	ANNUAL AU	JDITED REPOR	Estima	ted average burden
10031699	FORM		LIVED hours	per response 12.0
10031699		AV 17A E ATRE		
10031699		M X-17A-5 🔊 🗂		SEC FILE NUMBE
10031699	P/		1 6 2010	
		L AUG		8-65-836
Information Requi		ING PAGE and Dealers Pursuase	22 Section 17	of the
Securities J	Exchange Act of	1934 and Rule 17a-5	Thereunder	orthe
	071		V	6/20/10
REPORT FOR THE PERIOD BEGIN	\sqrt{ING}	M/DD/YY	ENDING 0	
A			1	
A	. REGISTRANT	IDENTIFICATION		
NAME OF BROKER-DEALER: K	engi Investm	ents, Inc.	0	FFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL PLACE C	-	•		FIRM I.D. NO.
6900 I-40 West				
A	(No	and Street)	.7.9	1116
Amarillo (City)		(State)	(Zip Cod	106
		. ,	•••	
NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER Roger W. Remling	. OF PERSON TO C	ONTACT IN REGARD 1	O THIS REPORT	806) 359-31
J ····································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Area	Code – Telephone Numbe
B	ACCOUNTAN	FIDENTIFICATION	1	
INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNT	ANT whose opinior	is contained in this Dens	*	
	-		<i>////</i>	
Dushier, Pickens + F	*****	vidual, state last, first, middle n		
Date All S. C			ame)	79101
301 S. Polk St.; Su (Address)	, Fe 800	/tmarillo	(State)	(Zip Code)
	(City)		(State)	(Zip Code)
CHECK ONE:				
Certified Public Accour	itant			
Public Accountant				
Accountant not resident	in United States or	any of its possessions.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FOR OFFI	CIAL USE ONLY		
			<u></u>	

м² . .

M

M

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See Section 240.17a-5(e)(2)

SEC 1410 (06-02)

ŧ.

OATH OR AFFIRMATION

I, <u>Roger W. Remling</u>, swear (or affirm) that, to the best of my knowledge and belief the accompanying financial statement and supporting schedules pertaining to the firm of Kengi Investments, Inc., as 30, 2010, are true and correct. I further swear (or affirm) that _____, as of neither the company nor any partner, proprietor, principal officer or director has any proprietary interest in any account classified solely as that of a customer, except as follows: Signature Presiden **ROBYN WHITNEY RICHARDSON** MY COMMISSION EXPIRES This report ****** contains (check all applicable boxes): August 6, 2012 (a) Facing Page. (b) Statement of Financial Condition. (c) Statement of Income (Loss). (d) Statement of Changes in Financial Condition. Ø (e) Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity or Partners' or Sole Proprietors' Capital. (f) Statement of Changes in Liabilities Subordinated to Claims of Creditors. 図 (g) Computation of Net Capital. Ø (h) Computation for Determination of Reserve Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-3. (i) Information Relating to the Possession or Control Requirements Under Rule 15c3-3. (j) A Reconciliation, including appropriate explanation of the Computation of Net Capital Under Rule 15c3-1 and the Computation for Determination of the Reserve Requirements Under Exhibit A of Rule 15c3-3. X (k) A Reconciliation between the audited and unaudited Statements of Financial Condition with respect to methods of consolidation. (1) An Oath or Affirmation. \square (m) A copy of the SIPC Supplemental Report.

(n) A report describing any material inadequacies found to exist or found to have existed since the date of the previous audit.

** For conditions of confidential treatment of certain portions of this filing, see section 240.17a-5(e)(3).

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC.

-

ſ

I

ſ

1

1

J

annor the

Financial Statements

For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC.

• 1

Financial Statements

For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

U-particul

Color Street

-

ĺ

Í

l

[

[

1

1

ſ

I

Coperator.

COLUMN ST

l

-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Balance Sheets	2
Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income	2
Statements of Stockholder's Equity	4
Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	6
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	13
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Supplementary Schedule of Computation of Net Capital Pursuant to Rule 15c3-1 of	
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934	14
Determination of Reserve Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-1 of the Securities	1.5
Exchange Act of 1934	15
Reconciliation of Supplementary Schedule 1 of Computation of Net Capital	16
Pursuant to Rule 15c3-1 with Company's Computation	10



Kenai Investments, Inc. Amarillo, Texas

Acres 1

ĺ

1

{

1

1

1

-

1

1

1

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Kenai Investments, Inc. as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of income and comprehensive income, stockholder's equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kenai Investments, Inc. at June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DOSHIER, PICKENS & FRANCIS, L.L.C.

Doshier, Pickens & Francis, LLC August 11, 2010

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. BALANCE SHEETS June 30, 2010 and 2009

4

•

Sec. 1

1

Contractor

-

ĺ

ſ

l

(

ſ

1

l

[

Name of Street, or other

-

1

[

l

í

ĺ

1

1

Į

1

1

1

	2	010	2009		
ASSETS					
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash	\$	388	\$	10,470	
Clearing deposit		10,000		10,000	
Marketable securities		10,054		5,786	
Accounts receivable		2,500		-	
Prepaid expenses		5,834	<u></u>		
Total Current Assets		28,776		26,256	
OTHER ASSETS					
Deposits		650		1,609	
Deferred income tax benefit		4,300		4,662	
Total Other Assets		4,950		6,271	
Total Assets	\$	33,726	\$	32,527	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY					
CURRENT LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable	\$	2,494	\$	1,613	
Income taxes payable		-		698	
Total Liabilities		2,494		2,311	
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY					
Common stock, \$.003 par value, 10,000,000 shares					
authorized, 10,000,000 shares issued and outstanding		30,000		30,000	
Retained earnings		10,342		12,427	
Accumulated other comprehensive income:					
Unrealized losses on securities, net of income tax		(9,110)		(12,211)	
Total Stockholder's Equity		31,232		30,216	
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	\$	33,726	\$	32,527	

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

~

[

Carrier

Sector 1

1.110

ſ

I

ſ

ſ

l

ſ

[

ALC: NO

No.

{

Í

ĺ

-

Į

-

and the second

ĺ

March 1

l

1

1

	2010			2009
REVENUE Brokerage and other fees Realized gain Interest income Other income	\$	103,040 1,389 44	\$	82,375 - 55 4,750
Total Revenue		104,473	. <u></u>	87,180
GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES		106,743		67,837
Total Expenses		106,743	<u> </u>	67,837
Income (Loss) Before Provision for Income Taxes		(2,270)		19,343
PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES		(185)		3,038
NET INCOME (LOSS)		(2,085)	<u>.</u>	16,305
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities				
Amount arising during the year		3,648		(10,022)
Less: income tax effect		(547)		1,503
Total Other Comprehensive Income		3,101		(8,519)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	1,016	\$	7,786

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

.

.

ſ

-

Look up

to page

Sugar State

And and a second

[

1

ſ

[

ſ

ſ

No.

and a second

And and

1

l.

and a

PER-LONG P

-

Second S

without

l

ALC: NOT

	Comm	ion Sto	ock	F	Retained	 cumulated Other pprehensive	
	Shares		Amount	E	Earnings	 Income	 Total
Balance at June 30, 2008 Net Income (Loss)	10,000,000	\$	30,000	\$	(3,878) 16,305	\$ (3,692) (8,519)	\$ 22,430 7,786
Balance at June 30, 2009 Net Income (Loss)	10,000,000	<u></u>	30,000		12,427 (2,085)	 (12,211) 3,101	30,216 1,016
Balance at June 30, 2010 (Deficit)	10,000,000	\$	30,000	\$	10,342	\$ (9,110)	\$ 31,232

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

*

¥

(

1.000

1.000

1. 1000

C.meters

-

1

I

Í

L

[

[

The second second

Distant.

l

-

Į

ĺ

Long L

Sinces.

1

-

1

Sec.

101000

No.

	2010			2009	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income (loss)	\$	(2,085)	\$	16,305	
Adjustment to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used by operating activities:					
Amortization expense		-		50	
(Increase) decrease in deferred income tax benefit		(185)		2,336	
Realized gain on marketable securities		(1,389)		-	
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable		(2,500)		-	
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses		(5,834)		-	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable		881		(9,184)	
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable		(698)		698	
Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities		(11,810)	. <u></u>	10,205	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Purchase of marketable securities		-		(3,544)	
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities		769		-	
(Increase) decrease in deposits		959	Norman	(500)	
Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities		1,728		(4,044)	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH		(10,082)		6,161	
CASH BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF YEAR		10,470		4,309	
CASH BALANCE AT END OF YEAR	\$	388	\$	10,470	

Interest	\$ 41	\$ 234
Income taxes	\$ 698	\$ -

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

Survey and

100

dimeter of

time to the total

ĺ

ſ

[

Toronto

l

and and

Constant of

L

Į

And and a second

١,

1

Concerts.

1000

1000

(Comp

-

and the

- Martin

Kenai Investments, Inc. was incorporated on February 3, 2003. The Company primarily provides broker-dealer services in connection with securities transactions. The Company's application for license and membership with the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. was approved on June 6, 2003. The Company has not held securities or maintained accounts for customers and has not incurred any liabilities subordinated to the claims of general creditors during the year ended June 30, 2010.

The financial statements do not include a statement of changes in liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors as required under Rule 17a-5 of the Act, since no such liabilities existed during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the Statements of Cash Flows, the Company considers deposits in banks and money market accounts as cash and cash equivalents.

Equipment

The Company records equipment at cost. Improvements are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance costs are charged to operations as incurred. When assets are retired or disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation thereon are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in operations.

Depreciation expense is computed using the declining balance method over the estimated useful lives of the assets which are as follows:

Furniture and equipment

3 years

Amortization Expense

The Company amortizes organization and start-up costs using the straight-line method over five years. The original costs of \$3,300 have been fully amortized.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed when incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3,481 and \$1,938, respectively.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continuation

Accounts Receivable

The Company considers accounts receivable to be fully collectible; accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is required. If accounts become uncollectible, they will be charged to operations when that determination is made.

Income Taxes

Second Second

Allowed P.

(Constitution)

thomas a

1

ſ

1

(Louise

diam'r

ĺ

All states

T-

1

(Contract)

and the second

100 mg

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 740-10-25 (formerly FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* [FIN 48]) on July 1, 2009. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The implementation of FIN 48 had no impact on the Company's financial statements. Management does not believe there are any material uncertain tax positions and, accordingly, has not recognized any liability for uncertain tax positions. For the year ended June 30, 2010, there were no interest or penalties recorded or included in the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 2 – MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company's marketable securities are recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the marketable securities is based on the quoted market price. A comparison of the carrying value of the financial instrument is as follows:

June 30, 2010	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Manitowoc Company Inc. (1,100 shares)	\$ 20,515	\$ 10,054
June 30, 2009	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Manitowoc Company Inc. (1,100 shares)	<u>\$ 20,515</u>	\$ 5,786

NOTE 3 – PREPAID EXPENSES

At June 30, 2010, the Company's prepaid expenses consisted of prepaid rent of \$5,833.

NOTE 4 – EQUIPMENT

Comps.

A(-simetae

Sec. 10

- Annual of the

1

ſ

I

Control of

A VENUE

ſ

and the second

Vinue

and the second

Contraction of the local division of the loc

1.4

1000

No.

dimental di

1

1000

		2010	 2009
Furniture and fixtures Less accumulated depreciation	\$	1,582 (1,582)	\$ 1,582 (1,582)
	<u>\$</u>	-	\$ -

NOTE 5 – NET CAPITAL

Pursuant to the net capital requirements of Rule 15c3-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company is required to maintain a minimum net capital, as defined under such provisions. At June 30, 2010, the Company had net capital of \$18,740 and a minimum net capital requirement of \$5,000.

NOTE 6 – LEASES

In August 2005, the Company entered into a related party lease agreement for office equipment which is renewable on an annual basis at \$1,000 per month.

In May 2010, the Company entered into a lease agreement for office space. The monthly payments are \$721 and the lease expires in April 2011. However, there was a provision in the agreement stating that if the Company paid the entire lease in advance, it would be at a discounted rate. On April 26, 2010, Kenai Investments, Inc. paid \$7,000 for the term of the lease.

Total rental expense for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$18,444 and \$31,985, respectively.

NOTE 7– INCOME TAXES

1

1

A. 10.10

The second

a filmer

ł

ſ

ſ

1

1

l

l

the second second

107

l

I

1

Į

1

į

L

1

ĺ

1

l

All and a local division of the

Second Second

1.2

The provision for federal income taxes for the years ended June 30, is as follows:

		2010		
Current	\$	-	\$	702
Deferred		362		833
Provision (Benefit) for Income Tax	<u>\$</u>	362	\$	1,535

The difference between the effective rate and the statutory rate (15%) can be attributed to the following:

		2010	 2009
Federal tax at statutory rates Non-deductible expenses and adjustments	\$	207 155	\$ 1,398 137
	<u>\$</u>	362	\$ 1,535

Deferred income tax expense results from temporary differences in the recognition of revenues and expenses for tax and financial reporting purposes. The source of these differences and the tax effect of each are as follows:

	 2010		2009
Tax Benefit:			
Unrealized losses	\$ 1,569	\$	2,116
Capital loss carryforward	2,338		2,546
Net operating loss carryforward	 393	. <u> </u>	-
Total Net Deferred Income Tax Benefit	\$ 4,300	\$	4,662

NOTE 8 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Company's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of their respective period ends, and have not been reevaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective period ends, and have not been reevaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period-end.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2010 and 2009. There have been no significant changes in methodology for estimating fair value of the Company's financial instruments since June 30, 2010.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Determination of Fair Value

Under the Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, the Company bases its fair value on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It is the Company's policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements, in accordance with the fair value hierarchy. Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data and, therefore, are based primarily upon management's own estimates, are often calculated based on current pricing policy, the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the asset or liability and other such factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, that could significantly affect the results of current or future value.

NOTE 8 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS - Continuation

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value and for estimating fair value for financial instruments not recorded at fair value (disclosures required by the Fair Value Measurements Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification).

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Accounts receivable

101710-00

L.

A.L. 100

the second second

Terration of the second

1

The second

Service of

diama)

And the second

ļ

Alisarda

Aucouk

divercia.

5

ALC: NO

-

The carrying amounts of accounts receivable approximates its fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Investments in equity securities

Investments in equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. When quoted market prices are unobservable, management uses quotes from independent pricing vendors based on independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security's credit rating and other factors such as credit loss assumptions. The pricing vendors may provide the Company with valuations that are based on unobservable inputs, and in those circumstances the Company would classify the fair value measurements of the investment securities as Level 3. Management conducted a review of its pricing vendor to validate that the inputs used in that vendor's pricing process are deemed to be market observable or unobservable as defined in the standard. Based on the review performed, management believes that the valuations used in its financial statements are reasonable and are appropriately classified in the fair value hierarchy.

Assets and Liabilities Measured and Recognized at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The table below presents the amounts of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and 2009:

1		Total	((Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)	
June 30, 2010									
Investments in equity securities classified as available-for-sale	\$	10,054	\$	10,054	\$		\$	-	
June 30, 2009									
Investments in equity securities classified as available-for-sale	\$	5,786	\$	5,786	\$	-	\$		

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

No.

100

Ren ...

The second

(

Ĩ

ſ

ſ

[

{

I

1

Į

No.

Į

F

the second

l

l

A Day

L

ĺ

Sec.

L

the state

1

-

ésé.

A. Sec.

In accordance with FASB ASC 855-10-25, *Subsequent Events* (formerly SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* [SFAS 165]), the Company has evaluated subsequent events through August 11, 2010, the date which the financial statements were available to be issued. Management does not believe there are any material subsequent events that would be required to be disclosed in these financial statements.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

, **'** .



Kenai Investments, Inc. Amarillo, Texas

Ĩ

the second

and the

ł

[

(

{

Į

1

ł

State your

The second

l

The second se

1

and the second

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Our report on our audits of the basic financial statements of Kenai Investments, Inc. for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 appears on page 1. Those audits were made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying financial information is presented for additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

DOSHIER, PICKENS & FRANCIS, L.L.C.

Doshier, Pickens & Francis, LLC August 11, 2010

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF COMPUTATION OF NET CAPITAL PURSUANT TO RULE 15c3-1 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 June 30, 2010 and 2009

.

Schedule 1

	2010			2009	
Stockholder's equity from balance sheet	\$	31,232	\$	30,216	
Less non-allowable assets from balance sheet		(10,784)		(6,271)	
Less haircuts on securities computed pursuant to Rule 15c3-1		(868)			
Net capital		18,740		23,077	
Less minimum net capital requirements		(5,000)		(5,000)	
Net Capital in Excess of Requirement	\$	13,740	\$	18,077	

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. DETERMINATION OF RESERVE REQUIREMENTS PURSUANT TO RULE 15c3-1 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 June 30, 2010 and 2009

Sectors.

10.00

[]

1

(mone)

None of Contemporate

and the state

ĺ.

Colt make

1

No.

Same and

the second

Sector Sector

1000

NUMBER OF

NEW COMMENT

No.

Schedule 2

Kenai Investments, Inc. carries no margin or customer accounts and has not had any activities as a broker and dealer during the periods covered by the accompanying financial statements. Accordingly, the computation of special reserve requirements and information for possession or control requirements under Exhibit a of Rule 15c3-3 is not applicable.

KENAI INVESTMENTS, INC. RECONCILIATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE 1 OF COMPUTATION OF NET CAPITAL PURSUANT TO RULE 15c3-1 WITH COMPANY'S COMPUTATION June 30, 2010 and 2009

, .

WDDaw.

Sec. ran

tangan 1

ſ

ĺ

ſ

1

[]

(and a second

(Second)

1

Activity.

No.

and a

The second

a constant

and the second second

Schedule 3

	2010		2009	
Balance per Company's computation		18,740	\$	23,776
Effect of adjustment for income tax provision to Company's accounts				(699)
Balance per Schedule 1	\$	18,740	\$	23,077



Kenai Investments, Inc. Amarillo, Texas

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Kenai Investments, Inc. as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered Kenai Investments' internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and, therefore, there can be no assurance that all such deficiencies have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the following deficiencies in Kenai Investments, Inc.'s internal control to be material weaknesses.

The company is operated by the sole stockholder and no other employees. With this organizational structure there are no internal controls that the Company could enact that would prove to be effective.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, the SEC and FINRA, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

DOSHIFR, PICKENS & FRANCIS, L.L.C.

Doshier, Pickens & Francis, LLC

August 11, 2010