

20ANNUA

MAR



OMB Number: 3235-0123 Expires: February 28, 2010 Estimated average burden

hours per response..... 12.00



SEC FILE NUMBER

FACING PAGE

Information Required of Brokers and Dealers Pursuant to Section 17 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 17a-5 Thereunder

REPORT FOR THE PERIOD BEGINN	VING 1/11/09	AND ENDING	12/31/08
	MM/DD/YY		MM/DD/YY
A	. REGISTRANT IDENTIFICA	ATION	compression provides and a service of the compression of the compressi
NAME OF BROKER-DEALER:	ge Rutty 2 Co.	the common resource of the desired participation of the common of the co	OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS: (Do not use P.O. Box No.)			FIRM I.D. NO.
	(No. and Street)	And the second s	
			*
(City)	(State)		(Zip Code)
NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER	OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN RE	GARD TO THIS RI	SPORT
			(Area Code - Telephone Number)
${f B}_*$	ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFIC	ATION	street value ta kaika
INDEPENDENT PUBLIC AÇCOUNT	ANT whose opinion is contained in t	his Report*	обильный перене по тем на развительной при
O \wedge	ouse coopers 1/1	10	
	(Name - if individual, state last, firs	t, middle name)	
(Address)	(City)	(State)	(Zip Code)
CHECK ONE:			
☐ Certified Public Accoun	tant		
☐ Public Accountant			
☐ Accountant not resident	in United States or any of its possess	ions.	
	FOR OFFICIAL USE ON	LY	
		descriptions and property and descriptions of the second description o) mily in the first first first in the face of the face of the first special program of the first speci
			·

*Claims for exemption from the requirement that the annual report be covered by the opinion of an independent public accountant must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See Section 240.17a-5(e)(2)

> Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1410 (06-02)



ACC. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 1100 Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester NY 14604-2705 Telephone (585) 232 4000 Facsimile (585) 454 6594

Washington, OC 电线器

Report of Independent Accountants

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

In accordance with Rule 17a-5(e)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we have performed the procedures enumerated below with respect to the accompanying Transitional Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7T) of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) of Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. for the period from April 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, which were agreed to by Sage Rutty & Co., Inc., the Securities and Exchange Commission, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (collectively, the "specified parties") solely to assist the specified parties in evaluating Sage Rutty & Co., Inc.'s compliance with the applicable instructions of Form SIPC-7T during the nine months ended December 31, 2009. Management is responsible for Sage Rutty & Co., Inc.'s compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures we performed and our findings are as follows:

- 1. Compared the listed assessment payments on page 1, items 2B and 2F of Form SIPC-7T with the respective cash disbursement records entries, as follows:
 - Payments dated July 30, 2009 in the amount \$3,292 and January 2, 2009 in the amount of \$150, compared to the year-to-date December 31, 2009 check register obtained from Matthew Lacey, Controller, check numbers 77910 and 77299 dated July 30, 2009 and January 2, 2010, respectively. In addition, payment dated February 25, 2010 in the amount \$7,282. We noted no differences.
- 2. Compared the Total Revenue amount reported on the audited Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 less the revenues reported on Sage Rutty & Co., Inc.'s Focus Reports for the period from January 1, 2009 to March 31, 2009 as applicable, with the Total revenue amount of \$6,279,828 reported on page 2, item 2a of Form SIPC-7T for the period from April 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009. We noted no differences.
- 3. Compared amounts reported on page 2, items 2b and 2c of Form SIPC-7T with supporting schedules and work papers as follows:
 - a. Compared additions on line 7, net loss from securities in investment accounts, of \$972 to a report from the Peachtree accounting system provided by Matthew Lacey, Controller, Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. We noted no differences.
 - b. Compared deductions on line 1, revenues from the sale of annuities and from the business of insurance, of \$1,607,674 to a report from the Peachtree accounting system provided by Matthew Lacey, Controller, Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. We noted no differences.



- c. Compared deductions on line 3, clearance paid to other SIPC members in connection with securities transactions, of \$169,074 to a report from the Peachtree accounting system provided by Matthew Lacey, Controller, Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. We noted no differences.
- d. Compared deductions on line 5, net gain from securities in investment accounts, of \$151,338, to a report from the Peachtree accounting system provided by Matthew Lacey, Controller, Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. We noted no differences.
- e. Compared deductions on line 8, other revenue not related either directly or indirectly to the securities business, of \$58,702 to a report from the Peachtree accounting system provided by Matthew Lacey, Controller, Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. We noted no differences.
- f. Compared deductions on line 9 (i), interest and dividend expense, of \$4,237 to a report from the Peachtree accounting system provided by Matthew Lacey, Controller, Sage Rutty & Co., Inc. We noted no differences.
- 4. Proved the arithmetical accuracy of the calculations reflected in Form SIPC-7T and in the related schedules and working papers obtained in procedure 3, as follows:

Recalculated the mathematical accuracy of the SIPC Net Operating Revenues on page 2, line 2d and the General Assessment @ .0025 on page 2, line 2e of \$4,289,775 and \$10,724, respectively of the Form SIPC-7T. We noted no differences.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Company's preparation of Form SIPC 7-T in accordance with the applicable instructions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the board of directors of Sage Rutty & Co., Inc., the Securities and Exchange Commission, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Pricewoterhouse Coopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP February 25, 2010