			1
	UNITEDSTATES		-1
10030342	<b>URITIES AND EXCHANGE COMM</b>	ISSION OMB Number: 3235-0123	3
	Washington, D.C. 20549	Expires: February 28, 2010 Estimated average burden	)
	ANNUAL AUDITED REP	NEO Val	)
	FORM X-17A-577		-,
	ANNUAL AUDITED REP FORM X-17A-57 PART II	2 3 2010 SEC FILE NUMBER	-
	KA .	- 2700	
Information Rec	FACING PAGE	93 Shortion 17 of the	
	es Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 1		
REPORT FOR THE PERIOD BEG		ND ENDING $\frac{12}{31}$	
	MM/DD/YÝ	MM/DD/YY	
	A. REGISTRANT IDENTIFICAT	ION	
NAME OF BROKER-DEALER:	TAVEN L. FALK +	A SSOC, INC OFFICIAL USE ONLY	1
			1
11) 200 CAAN	E OF BUSINESS: (Do not use P.O. Box No	FIRM I.D. NO.	
169 GRANITE	FRIVER D.L. SUI	701042	
FAS VERAC	E RIDGE D.2. 5.1(No. and Street) $NV B9135(State)$		
(City)	(State)	(Zip Code)	
AME AND TELEPHONE NUMB	ER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REGA	· · ·	
	FALL PRESIDENT	702-240-0	' 7
STEVEN L			
STEVEN L		(Area Code – Telephone Number)	
STEVEN L	B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT		
$S \neq U \sim L$	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b>	ION Report*	
$S \neq U = V$	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b>	ION Report*	
$S \neq U \sim L$	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b>	ION Report*	
$S \neq U \sim L$	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b>	ION Report*	4
S = 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b>	ION Report*	ч.,
STEVEN L. NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNT RICHA D. SON EN S J 6 S Non TAN (Address)	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b>	ION Report* ddle name) ? WEST SOUTHFIELD, (State) (Zip Code)	~~,
NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNT $R_1 CHA = D S_0 A COUNT S J G S N CA TAAN (Address)$	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b> NTANT whose opinion is contained in this $M \in D$ $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ . (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{F}$ .	ION Report*	τ.,
NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUN $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A} dress)$ CHECK ONE: $\mathcal{L}$ Certified Public Acco	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b> NTANT whose opinion is contained in this $M \in D$ $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ . (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{F}$ .	ION Report* ddle name) ? WEST SOUTHFIELD, (State) (Zip Code)	4. <sub>1</sub>
NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNT $2iCHA = D \le a \times a$ $5 \le 5 \times a \times a$ (Address) <b>Certified Public Acco</b> $\Box$ Public Accountant	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b> NTANT whose opinion is contained in this $M \in D$ $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$ . (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{F} = F$	ION Report* ddle name) ? WEST SOUTHFIELD, (State) (Zip Code) 48075	~~/
NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNT $\mathcal{R}_{i}$ $\mathcal{C}_{H1}$ $\mathcal{A}_{D}$ $\mathcal{S}_{O}$ $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ $\mathcal{C}_{O}$ $\mathcal{S}_{J}$ $\mathcal{S}_{J}$ $\mathcal{S}_{O}$ $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ $\mathcal{C}_{O}$ (Address) <b>CHECK ONE:</b> $\square$ Certified Public Accountant	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b> NTANT whose opinion is contained in this $M \in D$ $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}$ . (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{T} = T$	ION Report* ddle name) ? WEST SOUTHFIELD, (State) (Zip Code) 48075	~~~~
NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNT $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$	<b>B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICAT</b> NTANT whose opinion is contained in this $M \in D$ $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$ . (Name - <i>if individual, state last, first, mi</i> $M = D = \mathcal{F} = F$	ION Report* ddle name) ? WEST SOUTHFIELD, (State) (Zip Code) 48075	<b>~~~</b>

\*Claims for exemption from the requirement that the annual report be covered by the opinion of an independent public accountant must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See Section 240.17a-5(e)(2)

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1410 (06-02)

()/lo

## OATH OR AFFIRMATION

STENCE FALK , swear (or affirm) that, to the best of my knowledge and belief the accompanying financial statement and supporting schedules pertaining to the firm of STERIOR L.  $FALK \neq ASS=2C117ES, 1NC$ , as of <u>DECEMBER 31</u>, 20<u>eq</u>, are true and correct. I further swear (or affirm) that neither the company nor any partner, proprietor, principal officer or director has any proprietary interest in any account classified solely as that of a customer, except as follows: Signature 1D C Title **ANDREW GEISER** otary Public NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA This report **\*\*** contains (check all applicable boxes): APPT. No. 09-10640-1 (a) Facing Page. MY APPT. EXPIRES JUNE 1, 2013 □ (b) Statement of Financial Condition. □ (c) Statement of Income (Loss). (d) Statement of Changes in Financial Condition. (e) Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity or Partners' or Sole Proprietors' Capital. (f) Statement of Changes in Liabilities Subordinated to Claims of Creditors. (g) Computation of Net Capital. (h) Computation for Determination of Reserve Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-3. (i) Information Relating to the Possession or Control Requirements Under Rule 15c3-3. (j) A Reconciliation, including appropriate explanation of the Computation of Net Capital Under Rule 15c3-1 and the Computation for Determination of the Reserve Requirements Under Exhibit A of Rule 15c3-3. (k) A Reconciliation between the audited and unaudited Statements of Financial Condition with respect to methods of consolidation. (1) An Oath or Affirmation. (m) A copy of the SIPC Supplemental Report.  $\square$  (n) A report describing any material inadequacies found to exist or found to have existed since the date of the previous audit.

\*\*For conditions of confidential treatment of certain portions of this filing, see section 240.17a-5(e)(3).

## **REPORT ON SIPC ASSESSMENT RECONCILIATION**

-

.

-

For the year ended December 31, 2009

.

.

,

Edward Richardson Jr., CPA 15565 Northland Drive Suite 508 West Southfield, MI 48075

Board of Directors Steven Falk & Associates, Inc. 11280 Granite Ridge Drive Suite 1042 Las Vegas, NV 89135

In accordance with Rule 17a-5©(4) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we have performed the procedures enumerated below with respect to the accompanying Schedule of Assessment and Payments [Transitional Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7T) to the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) for the period April 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, which were agreed to by Steven Falk & Associates, Inc. and the Securities and Exchange Commission, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. and the SIPC, solely to assist you and other specified parties in evaluating Steven Falk & Associates, Inc. 's compliance with the applicable instructions of the Transitional Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7T). Steven Falk & Associates, Inc. 's management is responsible for Steven Falk & Associates, Inc. with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures were performed and our findings are as follows:

- 1. Compared the listed assessment payments represented on Form SIPC-7T with the respective cash disbursements record entries, including check amounts of \$345.00.
- Compared audited Total Revenue for the period of April 01, 2009 through the December 31, 2009 (fiscal year-end) with the amounts reported on Form SIPC-7T for the same period noting no reportable reconciliation differences.
- 3. Compared any adjustments reported Form SIPC-7T with supporting schedules and work papers, to the extent such exists, noting no differences.
- 4. Proved the arithmetical accuracy of the calculations reflected on Form SIPC-7T noting no differences.
- 5. If applicable, compared the amount of any overpayment applied to the current assessment with the Form SIPC-7T on which it was originally computed. According to our findings, Steven Falk & Associates, Inc. had no reportable differences.

I was not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. Had I performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to my attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties listed above and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 4, 2010

•

~

٠

-

,

.

/