

annual report

Received SEC

NOV 1 0 2010

Washington, DC 20549





CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Fiscal 2010 was a difficult year for the Company. The Company implemented some tough decisions necessary to weather the storm. At the same time, the Company continued to deliver on its key strategies to create long-term value for shareholders and build the foundation for growth.

Air pollution control remains a critical need for society, and TurboSonic intends to play its part to the fullest. We expect demand for our innovative solutions to grow as the attention to the environment continues to increase.

In 2010 we expanded our key strategy of expanding market reach by increasing focus on South America . We are confident that this initiative will yield positive results in the coming year.

We continue to leverage our technology and alliance base. In 2010 we expanded our patent portfolio and built up our partner base.

Our ISO 9001:2008 quality management system certification, the focus of which is on customer satisfaction, robust business practices and quality control has served us well during the downturn. This certification has provided TurboSonic the basis for building strong relationships with customers and users.

We believe that regulatory action is finally catching up with the political rhetoric. A combination of robust air pollution control solutions, an improving economy and new regulations provide the basis for a positive outlook for TurboSonic.

Our strategic plan has set ambitious goals for long-term growth. Management has developed the detailed plans to achieve those goals. Our focus emphasizes building on the foundation for sustained long-term growth and seizing the many opportunities presented by the drive for environmental sustainability.

I wish to thank our customers, shareholders, partners and employees for their confidence and support and look forward to progress in 2011.

Ken Kivenko

Chairman of the Board



CEO'S REPORT

I have received many calls and e-mails from shareholders and it is gratifying that despite the economic downturn and its adverse effect on our performance, many share the long-term vision we have for TurboSonic. Our strong performance in Fiscal 2009 pushed us halfway through the financial meltdown, but the general freeze on capital expenditures and stalled economic growth caught up to us in Fiscal 2010. As we expanded our reach through our E.U. office and enhanced our product lines, with the addition of new patents and new technologies like CGT, to smooth out regional economic cycles and decrease dependence on regulation, we experienced the effects of a global phenomenon. We responded with a staff reduction, temporary salary reductions for staff and significant salary reductions for management. Through this we have maintained our talent pool and operate under a business model that leverages this core talent and provides for rapid expansion as market opportunities rebound.

I have shared our frustrations, but also our tremendous optimism as we move through the recession to renewed economic activity, new market opportunities and a series of progressive regulatory initiatives, covering many industries and regions, bolstered by non-regulatory driven initiatives like CGT and conductive composite materials for our SonicKleenTM WESP.

Our first CGT installations in the U.S. have been operating well with final government compliance tests pending. There is considerable interest in this success by Federal, State and international regulators, as well as many clients, in the pursuit of a lower cost, eco-friendly technology that does not add to the carbon footprint, or depend on non-renewable fuels.

Our new proprietary conductive composite material, developed to replace expensive alloys in WESP fabrication is ready for field trials, with the pilot unit built and operation verified. This could prove to be a disruptive technology that lowers the cost of environmental compliance by outperforming stainless steel alloys in corrosion resistance, spark resistance and cost.

As we move forward, we do so with an increasing intellectual property base, opportunities, regulations and an overriding desire to see our efforts rewarded with success.

I want to thank our employees for their hard work, sacrifice and dedication, our Board of Directors for challenging management and our shareholders for their engaging discussion and support.

Edward F. Spink

27/Spint

CEO

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 2010

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

Washington, DC 20549

Received SEC

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

Commission file number 0-21832

TURBOSONIC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware

13-1949528

(State of Incorporation)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

550 Parkside Drive, Suite A-14, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 5V4

(Address of principal executive offices)

(519) 885-5513

(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock

(Title of Class)

(-100)
Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒
Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Exchange Act. Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \)
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \(\subseteq\) No \(\subseteq\)
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.: Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No
Aggregate market value of the Registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of December 31, 2009: \$9,793,661
The number of outstanding shares of the Registrant's common stock as of September 24, 2010: 15,138,054

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

TURBOSONIC TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 2010 FORM 10-K TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	9
Item 2.	Properties	9
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	9
Item 4.	Reserved	9
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	10
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	10
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	11
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	16
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	16
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	16
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	17
Item 9B.	Other Information	17
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	18
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	21
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	23
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	24
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	24
PART IV		
Item 15	Exhibits Financial Statement Schedules	25

All dollar amounts set forth in this report are in United States dollars except where otherwise indicated.

Forward-Looking Statements

Forward-looking statements in this report, including without limitation, statements relating to our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations, intentions and adequacy of resources, are made pursuant to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those we anticipate. We refer to "Item 1A: Risk Factors" as well as other factors discussed in this annual report. Our statements are based upon information known to us as of the date of this annual report, and we assume no obligation to update or alter our forward-looking statements within this annual report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except when required by applicable federal securities laws.

PART I

ITEM 1: BUSINESS

a) Business Development

TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. (OTCBB:TSTA) designs and supplies air pollution control technologies to industrial customers worldwide. Under a reorganization plan approved by a bankruptcy court in 1997, Sonic Environmental Systems, Inc., a US public company, consolidated with Turbotak Technologies, Inc, a privately-held Canadian company to form TurboSonic Technologies Inc. The founding companies were incorporated in the State of Delaware in April 1961 and in the Province of Ontario Canada in 1976.

Our executive offices are located at 550 Parkside Drive, Suite A-14, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 5V4; our telephone number is (519) 885-5513. Our website is located at www.turbosonic.com. The information on our website is not part of this annual report.

b) Our Business

Introduction

Our proprietary technology is designed to address a wide variety of air pollution control problems for industries including pulp and paper, wood products, metallurgical (non-ferrous and iron and steel), cement and mineral processing, ethanol and biofuel production, industrial/medical and municipal solid waste (MSW) incineration, petrochemical, textiles and power generation. We are actively pursuing opportunities related to the production of alternative fuels as cellulosic ethanol, pelletizing, biodiesel and other biofuels processes are developed. We believe our products and systems, which are designed to meet the strictest emission regulations for gaseous and particulate emissions, afford economic and technical advantages over competitive air pollution equipment.

The following table reflects the approximate percentages of our revenue derived from our principal customer categories during the fiscal years indicated:

	Year Ended June 30,	
Customer Revenue by Industry	2010	2009
Waste Incineration, including MSW	4%	5%
Cement and Mineral Processing	24%	23%
Metallurgical Processing	7%	3%
Pulp and Paper	2%	2%
Wood Products	31%	12%
Ethanol and Biofuel Production	3%	21%
Power Generation	8%	7%
Petrochemical	16%	21%
Other	5%	6%
	100%	100%

U.S. and Canadian customers collectively accounted for approximately 70% and 74% of our sales during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Revenue derived from export sales is transacted in U.S. Dollars and Euro.

	Year Ended June 30,	
Customer Revenue by Geographic Area	2010	2009
United States	66%	70%
Canada	4%	4%
South and Central America	7%	1%
Spain	4%	17%
Other Europe	16%	7%
Asia	2%	%
Other	1%	1%
	100%	100%

Scope of Contracts

We are contractually responsible to our customers for all phases of the design, fabrication and, if included in the scope of our contract, field installation of our products and systems. Successful completion of our contractual obligation is generally determined by a performance test that is conducted either by our customer or by a customer-selected independent testing agency.

We perform all process engineering, including but not limited to, the determination of the size, geometry and structural characteristics of the particular system needed for gaseous, mist or particulate removal, and perform the detailed design of, and develop specifications for, all applicable structural, electrical, mechanical and chemical components of such system. We have sales, project management and field service personnel in remote offices to support local markets. We, historically, have not manufactured or fabricated our own products or systems. Rather, we subcontract the manufacturing of our components to be incorporated by third party fabricators into our custom designed systems whereon they are then installed in the field by local contractors. Our project managers and quality assurance personnel supervise, and manage all technical, physical and commercial aspects of our contracts to ensure we meet our customers' performance criteria.

Products and Systems

We offer a range of products and systems, incorporating diverse technologies, to address the industrial processing, air pollution control and other environmental management needs of our customers. Besides the design and supply of standalone systems, we often integrate our products and systems into equipment provided by others. These alliances facilitate our ability to provide custom-engineered solutions to meet or exceed environmental standards.

Certain of our products and systems employ proprietary nozzles to atomize liquids passing through the nozzles, resulting in the liquid stream being converted into fine droplets. Controlling the liquid and gas pressures applied to the nozzle can modulate droplet sizes and liquid flow rates. We have sold atomizing nozzles for many years.

	Year End	ed June 30,
Customer Revenue by Primary Products and Systems	2010	2009
Catalytic Gas Treatment Systems	27%	
SoniCool™ Evaporative Gas Cooling and Conditioning Systems*	12%	26%
SonicKleen™ Wet Electrostatic Precipitation Systems (WESP)*	18%	56%
TurboSorb Semi-dry Scrubber Systems*	22%	5%
Wet Scrubbers and Gas Absorbers*	15%	9%
Atomizing Nozzles, Service and Spare Parts	6%	4%
	100%	100%

^(*) Includes Air Pollution Control system upgrades and spare parts.

Our principal products and systems are described below:

Catalytic Gas Treatment (CGT)TM Systems

Catalytic Gas Treatment (CGT)TM technology controls emissions of regulated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) such as formaldehyde and methanol from process gas streams. CGTTM has been demonstrated to reduce regulated emissions of aldehydes and alcohols by over 95%. Treating a wide range of alcohols and aldehydes, CGTTM utilizes an environmentally friendly catalytic process to destroy VOCs without the production of harmful, combustion-related green house gases and nitrogen oxides (NOx). Traditional thermal destruction technologies for VOC control consume expensive natural gas and generate CO₂. CGT technology avoids CO₂ creation, which is a much more desirable, cost-effective solution than thermal oxidation and avoids the need for carbon capture and sequestration. TurboSonic holds the exclusive worldwide marketing rights for the patented CGT technology. TurboSonic's proprietary clean air technology will be integrated with the CGT technology for use by building products and other industries requiring VOC control.

SoniCoolTM Evaporative Gas Cooling and Conditioning Systems

SoniCoolTM Evaporative Gas Cooling and Conditioning Systems accurately control temperature and humidity of high-temperature gas streams, such as those emanating from cement kilns, non-ferrous smelters and steel mill Electric Arc Furnaces (EAF) or Basic Oxygen Furnaces (BOF). The result is gas temperatures that do not damage equipment and ductwork, smaller gas volumes, and improved efficiency of downstream air pollution control equipment.

We are an internationally known leader in gas cooling and conditioning with hundreds of installations throughout the world.

SonicKleen™ Wet Electrostatic Precipitation Systems (WESP)

The SonicKleenTM WESP removes sub-micron particulate, heavy metals, dioxins and furans, mists, and furans from process gas streams. More efficient than scrubbers this system is often specified for power boilers, waste incineration, acid plant gas treatment, biofuel production, power generation, thermal oxidizer pre-cleaning, and other industrial process gas streams. It has proven to be reliable and has exceeded regulatory targets for performance while retaining operating and maintenance costs to a minimum.

Wet Scrubbers and Gas Absorbers

The Turbotak Scrubber treats industrial process gas streams, removing sub-micron and larger particulate, acid gases, odors, fumes and vapors. Designed with our proprietary atomizing nozzles, the scrubber is able to more effectively remove multiple contaminants than competing technologies and operates with low maintenance costs.

The TurboVenturi Scrubber treats industrial process exhaust gas streams primarily for removal of particulate. The system operates by forcing the gas stream across a pressure drop in order to generate a fine stream of liquid droplets for very high efficiency particulate removal. This single purpose design is a low capital cost solution for specific applications.

Gas absorbers treat industrial process gas streams primarily for removal of contaminant gases, including acid gases (SO₂, HCl, etc.), where high removal efficiencies are required. Designs include packed-, spray- and tray-type towers and are selected based upon application-specific conditions.

TurboSorb Semi-Dry Scrubber

The TurboSorb Semi-Dry Scrubber treats industrial process gas streams, removing acid gases such as SO₂ (sulfur dioxide) and HCI (hydrochloric acid). By spraying an alkali reagent, the TurboSorb system can achieve higher removal efficiencies of SO₂ and HCl than traditional "dry" scrubbers. Unlike "wet" systems, all water is evaporated resulting in no liquid waste stream being generated.

We have a substantial installed base of hundreds of scrubbers and gas absorbers worldwide. Using patented processes and components we can meet the most rigorous current regulations for limiting gaseous and particulate emissions.

Air Pollution Control System Upgrades

We are able to retrofit existing air pollution control equipment, using our atomizing nozzles, venturi scrubber and wet electrostatic precipitator technologies and years of experience in the air pollution control industry, to consistently improve performance. An equipment upgrade is significantly less expensive than purchasing new equipment. Our engineers will assess an existing system and propose an upgrade solution where practical.

Atomizing Nozzles and Spare Parts

Our Turbotak atomizing nozzles, which are used in a wide variety of industrial applications, atomize liquids to extremely small droplets. The nozzles feature a two-phase design for superior control over droplet size and distribution pattern. The nozzles range from single-orifice to multi-orifice designs which makes them ideal for evaporative gas cooling, spray drying, wet and semi-dry scrubbing, performance enhancement of air pollution control systems, as well as combustion and incineration. Other nozzle designs we offer generate very low flow rates that make them ideal for applications such as dust suppression and humidification.

Our nozzles are sold as parts to other suppliers in the industry. We incorporate these nozzles into our air pollution control systems to meet superior performance criteria.

Given the extreme conditions under which industrial process and air pollution control systems operate, there is an ongoing requirement for maintenance and replacement parts. We offer specialized field service, replacement parts and retrofit components.

Contractual Liabilities

Our standard contractual terms with respect to the sale of our products and systems disclaim any liability for consequential or indirect losses or damages stemming from any failure of our products or systems or any of their components. We customarily seek contractual indemnification from our subcontractors for any loss, damage or claim arising from the subcontractor's failure of performance, negligence or malfeasance. It is possible, however, that a customer's inability to comply with applicable pollution control laws or regulations stemming from the failure or non-performance of our products or systems may result in an attempt to subject us to liability for any fines imposed upon such customer by governmental regulatory authorities or for damages asserted to have been incurred by any third party adversely affected thereby.

Marketing and Sales

Our marketing efforts are technical in nature and currently involve our senior management and technical professionals, who may be supported by independent sales representatives. A significant portion of our sales are made through the recommendation of engineering firms who are often engaged in complete plant installations or upgrades. Our independent sales representatives assist us in identifying opportunities and serve as liaison between us and our customers during the sales process. We select sales representatives based upon industry reputation, prior sales performance, product knowledge and territorial coverage, among other criteria. Our contractual arrangements with 18 current independent sales representatives are territory and product specific, and the continuity of such arrangements is subject to performance criteria. None of these representatives have authority to execute contracts on our behalf.

Technical inquiries received from potential customers are referred to our sales and engineering personnel who jointly prepare either a budget for the customers' future planning or a sales quotation. The period between initial customer contact and issuance of a purchase order varies widely, but is generally between 6 and 24 months.

We seek to obtain repeat business from our customers, although we do not depend upon any single customer to maintain our level of activity from year to year; however, one or more different customers may be expected to account for greater than 10% of our net revenues in any consecutive twelve month period.

	Year Ended June 30,		
Significant Customer Revenue	2010	2009	
Top 3 customers for the year	53%	51%	

Backlog

Backlog represents unfilled customer orders for our products and services. Additions to backlog are recorded with a signed sales contract. As work proceeds on the contract, the applicable percentage of completion is converted from backlog to revenue recognition. The backlog balance represents committed work yet to be completed.

Our business is driven by environmental regulations, operational improvements, product life cycles, new plant developments, competitive pressures, and other economic and non-regulatory factors affecting our capital goods markets. Our backlog and revenues can fluctuate significantly in response to these factors.

•	Year Ended		
Backlog	2010	2009	
Backlog, balance at	\$3,000,000	\$10,200,000	

Product Research & Development

We have an ongoing program for the research, development and commercialization of new industrial processing and air pollution control products, systems and technologies, and the enhancement of existing products and systems. We believe that these investments will contribute significantly to our future growth.

	Year Ended June 30,		
Product Research & Development	2010	2009	
Expenditures for research and development, net of customer and government support, if			
applicable	\$332,193	\$122,268	

Proprietary Protection

We rely on a combination of patents, trade- and service-marks, trade secrets and know-how to protect our proprietary technology and rights. We own 18 unique patents and patents pending in domestic and multiple international jurisdictions relating to a variety of air pollution control applications. Two of these patents will expire in fiscal 2011; however, we do not expect that their expiration will have a negative impact on our future revenue.

We have registered trademarks in the United States and certain foreign countries for identifying names which we use with our products and systems including SoniCore®, Dry Fog® and TurboSOx®.

Suppliers and Subcontractors

We have historically relied on third parties to manufacture and fabricate our products and to supply parts and components for our systems in accordance with our specifications and we supervise subcontractors for equipment installation when included in our scope of work. Throughout our markets, we have many vendors from which to choose, both pre-qualified or those with whom we have direct experience. Given the number of manufacturers, fabricators and subcontractors which we utilize and the availability of alternative sources, we do not believe that the loss of our relationship with any one firm would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Government Regulation

Stringent environmental laws have been enacted in the United States and other nations in response to public concern about the environment. The need to comply with these laws creates demand for our products. The United States Clean Air Act, federal, state and local regulations which implement it and the enforcement of these laws and regulations largely determine the level of expenditures that customers will make to limit emissions from their facilities.

The market for our air pollution control products and systems is directly dependent upon the existence and enforcement of laws and regulations that limit the release of pollutants into the atmosphere and impose substantial penalties for non-compliance. Governments can change environmental regulations at any time, which could have positive or negative effects upon our future revenues and prospects of profitability.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is currently seeking to tighten certain emission standards, thereby forcing a number of industries to adopt technologies, such as WESPs, in order to meet emissions targets that more traditional technologies, such as scrubbers, will not be able to meet effectively. Court challenges to the implementation of certain of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP") in the U.S. market have delayed order placement by companies intending to be compliant. The standards set by the EPA under NESHAP for particular source categories (such as (i) plywood and composite wood and (ii) boilers and process heaters) are known as Maximum Achievable Control Technology ("MACT") standards. New SO₂ emission rules went into effect on August 23, 2010. New Boiler MACT rules in the US market for industrial steam and power generation are expected to be promulgated by the end of 2010 and proposed emission rules for cement production facilities are anticipated to go into effect on November 8, 2010.

The European Union ("EU") is enforcing emission regulations based on the latest standards developed according to individual industries, requiring industrial emitters to operate according to best known practices, which may include installing and operating emission control equipment. Similarly, other countries are implementing, tightening and enforcing industrial emission regulations requiring industrial entities to install and operate emission control equipment.

Competition

We face substantial competition in each of our principal markets and throughout our product line from numerous competitors. Price is the predominant competitive factor but we promote our engineering and technological expertise, quality of our products, systems and service, our reputation for performance, and our ability to negotiate responsible contracts. We believe customers award us contracts on varying combinations of these factors.

Employees

Our employees are generally long serving, technically qualified and performance driven. As of June 30, 2010, we employed 45 full time persons, including 15 engineers, 14 salespersons, and 17 technical support persons. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We believe that our relationship with our employees is satisfactory.

Financial Information about Foreign and Domestic Operations and Export Sales

A table of Customer Revenues by Geographic Area is set forth above under "Our Business - Introduction".

We have a sales and project management office in Milan Italy to manage our business in Europe and other international locations.

Foreign transactions are conducted in Canadian dollars, US dollars or Euro to accommodate customers and all reporting is prepared in US dollars. As a result, fluctuations in currency exchange rates may affect operating results. To mitigate currency exposure we attempt to contract outsourcing, where practical, in the same currency as the sales contract. There were no outstanding forward contract commitments at June 30, 2010.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

Forward-looking statements in this report, including without limitation, statements relating to our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations, intentions and adequacy of resources, are made pursuant to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those we anticipate. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, the following:

Our operating results for fiscal 2010 were significantly and negatively impacted by the economic downturn. Our operating revenues for our fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 were approximately \$13,886,000, representing a decrease of 45.3% when compared to our operating revenues of approximately \$25,405,000 for our prior fiscal year, as our clients curtailed capital expenditures and deferred order placements as they sought to cope with the effects of the economic downturn upon their businesses. We incurred a fiscal 2010 operating loss of approximately \$1,884,000 and a net loss of approximately \$975,000, as contrasted with an operating profit of \$2,165,000 and a net profit of approximately \$1,556,000, in fiscal 2009. Our backlog at June 30, 2010 was approximately \$3,000,000 as compared to a backlog of approximately \$10,200,000 at the end of fiscal 2009. Exacerbating our fiscal 2010 operating results were U.S. legislative delays in the enactment of new and stringent definitions of certain environmental standards for hazardous pollutants which caused many of our historical and prospective clients across market sectors to delay their orders in anticipation of extended mandatory compliance dates. We are unable to give you any assurance as to the timing, strength and duration of any economic recovery nor can we give you any assurance as to when we may return to profitability.

We are dependent on environmental regulation to drive our orders. The market for our air pollution control products and systems is directly dependent upon the existence and enforcement of laws and regulations, which limit the release of pollutants into the atmosphere and impose substantial penalties for non-compliance. Stringent enforcement of these laws and regulations may increase the attractiveness of, and demand for our products and services, whereas lax enforcement and/or repeal in whole or in part may have the opposite effect.

Our revenue is concentrated among a few customers who vary from year to year. Sales to our top three customers accounted for 53% of our net revenues in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 and 51% in 2009. One was a repeat customer accounting for 15% in 2010 (12% in 2009). The bulk of our revenue is large dollar capital contracts where the customer base may change widely on a year-to-year basis. Our inability to replace these customers on an annual basis could materially and adversely affect future revenue and profitability.

Our proprietary technology and rights have limited protection. We rely on a combination of patents, trade and service marks, trade secrets and know-how to protect our proprietary technology and rights. There can be no assurance that our patents will not be infringed upon, that we would have adequate remedies for any such infringement, or that our trade secrets will not otherwise become known to or independently developed by competitors. There can also be no assurance that any patents now or hereafter issued to, licensed by or applied for by us will be upheld, if challenged, or that the protections afforded thereby will not be circumvented by others. Litigation may be necessary to defend our proprietary rights, which would result in significant cost to us and a diversion of effort of our personnel.

Our foreign sales are subject to certain inherent risks. Approximately 30% and 26%, respectively, of our revenues during our fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were derived from sales made outside of North America. Foreign sales are subject to certain inherent risks, including unexpected changes in political, regulatory and other legal requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers, regional economic conditions and business practices, greater difficulty in collection of accounts receivable, foreign exchange fluctuations and potentially adverse tax consequences. There can be no assurance that these factors will not have an adverse impact on our future foreign sales and, consequently, on our operating results.

Permitting delays may cause extended delay or cancellation of one or more of our large projects. Some of our projects require permits to be issued by one or more governmental agencies prior to the commencement of both construction and operation. Issuance of such permits could be delayed by political and other considerations. Permitting delays could cause extended delay or cancellation of one or more of our large project opportunities, which would adversely impact our future revenues.

Since we do not manufacture or fabricate our own products or systems, we are dependent on the services of third party manufacturers and fabricators. We do not manufacture or fabricate our own products or systems, relying instead upon the services of third party manufacturers and fabricators. We also do not engage in the field construction of our systems but rely on field construction subcontractors operating under the supervision of our own employees. The unavailability of the services of, or a substantial increase in pricing by a significant number of, these manufacturers, fabricators or subcontractors could adversely affect us.

The markets for environmental control products are very competitive. The markets for environmental control products are characterized by substantial competition based primarily on engineering and technological expertise and quality of service. Because virtually all contracts for our products and systems are obtained through competitive bidding, price is also a competitive factor and may be the most significant factor in certain instances. Although we believe that we compete on the basis of our technical expertise and reputation for service, there can be no assurance that we will maintain our competitive position in our principal markets.

Our fixed price contracts expose us to losses in the event of cost overruns. Our receipt of a fixed price contract as a consequence of being the lowest competitive bidder carries the inherent risk that our actual performance costs may exceed the estimates upon which our bid for such contract was based. To the extent that contract performance costs exceed projected costs, our profitability could be materially adversely affected. Escalation clauses regarding labor or material changes, including commodity price changes, are not normally accepted by our clients. Rather we may elect to place orders as soon after receipt of a firm customer order as possible to manage our labor/material price escalation exposure.

Adverse economic conditions may cause customers to delay or terminate our fixed price contracts. Due to a number of factors including adverse market conditions, fixed price contracts may be delayed or terminated by customers. Although we are typically protected by contractual terms that will address the cost implications of these events, any such delays may have a material impact on our contract revenue and therefore our profitability in a given fiscal period.

The market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include, among other things, actual or anticipated variations in our costs of doing business, operating results and cash flow, the nature and content of our earnings releases and our competitors' earnings releases, customers, competitors or markets, changes in business conditions in our markets and the general state of the securities markets and the market for similar stocks, changes in capital markets that affect the perceived availability of capital to companies in our industries, governmental legislation or regulation the environment, as well as general economic and market conditions, such as continued downturns in our economy and recessions.

ITEM 1B: UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2: PROPERTIES

As of September 24, 2010, TurboSonic occupied the following facilities:

Location	Use	Sq. Ft.	Annual Rent	Lease Expires
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada	Executive, administration, sales, engineering, project management	12,400	\$105,000	July 2013
Raleigh, North Carolina, USA	Project management	1,000	\$11,400	November 2010
Milan, Italy	Sales & project management	975	\$14,800	July 2016

ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On October 6, 2005 a statement of claim was filed against our company in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Canada) by Abuma Manufacturing Limited, one of our vendors, in which they claimed additional charges for work performed and refute our claim for back charges on a specific project. The claim is for CAD \$95,647 in respect of unpaid accounts, CAD \$50,000 for aggravated, punitive and/or exemplary damages, interest on the past due accounts and costs of the action. It is our position that the claims are without merit and we have filed a statement of defense and counter-claim. Each company has filed an affidavit of documents and discovery of both has been conducted. It is still our contention that the claims are without merit.

On November 10, 2009 a statement of claim was served against our company and one of our customers in the United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania by a competitor, in which it was claimed that a contract awarded to our company in June 2009 had infringed on one of their patents. This patent infringement case, related to our TurboSorb Spray-Dry Absorption (SDA) technology, has been settled. As a consequence of this settlement, TurboSonic is licensed to pursue SDA opportunities in the US and Canada and continues to freely pursue opportunities in all international markets. The settlement did not have a material effect on us.

ITEM 4: RESERVED

PART II

ITEM 5: MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our Common Stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "TSTA".

The following table sets forth the range of the bid quotations for our Common Stock for the periods shown, as furnished by The Nasdaq Stock Market.

	Common Stock (1)	
	High	Low
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010		
First Quarter	\$1.370	\$0.910
Second Quarter	\$1.320	\$0.590
Third Quarter	\$0.860	\$0.430
Fourth Quarter	\$0.600	\$0.310
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009		
First Quarter	\$0.790	\$0.450
Second Quarter	\$0.790	\$0.200
Third Quarter	\$0.440	\$0.300
Fourth Quarter	\$1.360	\$0.350

⁽¹⁾ The above quotations represent prices between dealers and do not include retail mark up, markdown or commissions. They do not necessarily represent actual transactions.

As of June 30, 2010, there were 310 holders of record and approximately 1,500 beneficial holders of the Common Stock. This number of beneficial holders represents the number of actual holders of our Common Stock, including an estimate of the beneficial owners of shares held in "nominee" or "street" name.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future, as it is the current policy of our Board of Directors to retain any earnings to finance our future operations and expand our business.

ITEM 6: SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected historical financial data for fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 through 2010 and balance sheet data as of June 30, 2006 through 2010. This selected financial data (except for working capital, percentages and ratios) is derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements, for the years 2006 to 2010.

Selected Financial Data	Fiscal 2010	Fiscal 2009	Fiscal 2008	Fiscal 2007	Fiscal 2006
	<u> </u>	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue	13,886,053	25,405,475	14,268,284	22,893,353	16,047,140
Net (Loss) Income	(975,153)	1,555,905	(662,994)	1,281,462	815,850
% Return on sales	(7.0%)	6.1%	(4.6%)	5.6%	5.1%
Total assets	7,436,267	13,480,335	8,597,847	7,092,800	8,507,025
Long-term debt	143,519	212,254	20,908	29,786	
Working capital	3,646,322	4,922,251	2,861,501	3,455,180	2,276,455
Current Ratio	2.72:1	1.66:1	1.72:1	2.51:1	1.42:1
Stockholders Equity	5,245,396	5,860,806	4,350,080	4,788,778	3,066,740
% Return on stockholders equity	(18.6%)	26.5%	(15.2%)	26.8%	26.6%
Basic weighted average number of shares	15,137,528	15,130,054	15,130,054	14,933,087	13,505,474
Diluted weighted average number of shares	15,137,528	15,168,536	15,130,054	15,055,748	14,039,339
Diluted earnings (loss) on weighted average number of shares	(0.06)	0.10	(0.04)	0.09	0.06

ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. The purpose of the discussion and analysis is to enhance the understanding and evaluation of our results of operations, financial position, liquidity and capital resources, and other key financial information.

Overview

TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. designs and supplies air pollution control technologies to industrial customers worldwide. We believe our products, which are designed to meet the strictest emission regulations for gaseous and particulate emissions, afford economic and technical advantages over competitive air pollution equipment. We currently have two reportable business segments — OEM systems and Aftermarket.

Sales are frequently attained through the recommendation of engineering firms who are often engaged in complete plant installations or upgrades. Other sales opportunities are sourced directly with the end user by our independent sales representatives or by our internal sales team. We have sales, project management and field service personnel in remote offices to support local markets.

Our leading edge technology and strong project management performance contribute significantly to our strategy of building long-term loyalty and growth through customer satisfaction. This allows us to serve the demanding requirements of multinational firms that we believe can provide a series of opportunities over many years.

We perform all process engineering and the detailed design and specifications for all applicable structural, electrical, mechanical and chemical components of such system. We subcontract all fabrication and installation of systems when contracted. Our project managers and quality assurance personnel supervise, and manage all aspects of our contracts to ensure we meet the performance criteria, as agreed with our customers.

We conduct business in Canadian, US and European currency to accommodate customers and mitigate our exchange risk through matching the sales currency with the supplier currency where practical. We participated in foreign exchange spot trading activities only during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 and there were no outstanding forward contract commitments at June 30, 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies

Discussion of our financial condition and results of operations is an analysis of our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related contingent assets and liabilities. Estimates have been employed in the areas of revenue recognition, income taxes, and goodwill impairment testing. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgements and estimates used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements:

Revenue Recognition

We derive revenue from long-term contracts which require performance [i.e., design, fabricate, install, commission and test] over a time span which may extend beyond one or more accounting periods. For long-term contracts, we employ the percentage of completion method for all of such projects being undertaken except in instances of significant uncertainty, such as in the use of new technology, in which we employ the completed contract method. Aftermarket revenue recorded is based on persuasive evidence of an existing arrangement, price is fixed and determinable, products are shipped, services are performed or billings are rendered, which approximates actual performance, and collection is reasonably assured.

The percentage-of-completion is determined by best available engineering estimates by our project managers. Engineering progress is measured in time relative to the overall project design time, valued at standard rates. Fabrication progress is determined by the degree of completeness in value relative to the project plan. When included in the scope of the work, installation progress is based upon work completed relative to the installation scope. Monthly revenue recognition reflects the summary degree of completeness based upon review of the above elements and further validated by review and consensus by the project manager, quality inspectors, and the construction advisor, if applicable. When the current estimated costs to complete indicate a loss, such losses are recognized immediately for accounting purposes.

At June 30, 2010, the net position of contracts in process is an investment of \$133,885 compared to June 30, 2009 with an advance payment of \$1,299,408. These amounts include gross costs and accrued income, which is netted against billings and progress payments. If the conditions of work to be performed change, or the estimated costs are not accurately projected, the gross profit from construction-type contracts may vary significantly in future periods. For example, a one percent variance in our estimate of costs as of June 30, 2010 on all open fixed-price contracts would impact our pre-tax income from product sales and services by \$25,000.

Goodwill

Goodwill, which resides entirely in our Aftermarket business segment, represents the excess of purchase price over fair value at the time of the combination of Turbotak Technologies Inc. and Sonic Environmental Systems, Inc. into TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. in 1997.

We assess the impairment of goodwill annually or whenever business circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the goodwill asset on our balance sheet may not be recoverable, in whole or part. Our impairment review considers:

- significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
- significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;
- significant negative industry or economic trends; and
- significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period, and our market capitalization relative to net book value.

An annual test was completed at April 1, 2010 for the Aftermarket business segment, as required by ASC Topic 350, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other". This test and an additional test at June 30, 2010 were based on a five-year discounted (12%) Cash Flow calculated using the pre-tax net income projection from our 2011 business plan. With the fair value exceeding book value by \$763,131 in the June 2010 test, the conclusion reached was that there was no impairment of goodwill value for the year ended June 30, 2010.

Income Taxes and Tax Valuation Allowances

We record the estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and amounts reported in our Consolidated Balance Sheet, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Future realization of deferred tax assets ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character (for example, ordinary income or capital gain) within the carryback or carryforward periods available under the tax law. We regularly review our deferred tax assets for recoverability based on historical taxable income, projected future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences and tax planning strategies. We have not made any material changes in the methodologies used to determine our tax valuation allowances during the past three fiscal years.

Our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2010 includes a non-current deferred tax asset of \$1,021,343. This compares with a non-current deferred tax asset of \$307,424 as of June 30, 2009. For all jurisdictions for which we have net deferred tax assets, we expect that our existing levels of pre-tax earnings are sufficient to generate the amount of future taxable income needed to realize these tax assets. Although we make every reasonable effort to ensure the accuracy of our deferred tax assets, if we continue to operate at a loss in certain jurisdictions or are unable to generate sufficient future taxable income, or if there is a material change in the actual effective tax rates or time period within which the underlying temporary differences become taxable or deductible, or if the potential impact of tax planning strategies changes, we could be required to increase the valuation allowance against all or a significant portion of our deferred tax assets resulting in a substantial increase in our effective tax rate and a material adverse impact on our operating results.

Results of Operations

After completing a record year in fiscal 2009, we have been experiencing the effects of the economic downturn in fiscal 2010. We are disappointed by our performance over the past fiscal year, which is attributable in substantial part to capital expenditure deferrals by customers throughout our primary business sectors. In the first quarter of fiscal 2010 we anticipated that we would experience lower performance levels during the year. While we continue to face market uncertainties due to a slow economic recovery, we are encouraged by a recent increase in activities in domestic and international markets marked by significant orders since the beginning of the current fiscal year from key industries.

FY2010 Operating Results

Revenues representing all industries, products and markets declined from \$25,405,475 in 2009 to \$13,886,053 in 2010 as a result of our major customers deferring capital expenditures, following the economic downturn that began in 2009. The increase in customer activity that we reported in our third quarter 2010 financial release contributed to our order backlog, which reached \$3.0 million entering the 2011 fiscal year compared to \$10.2 million a year earlier. Subsequent to the June 30 2010 fiscal year end, we have received approximately US\$2 million in new orders. We have also received a letter of award from a customer for US\$3.6 million for a SonicKleenTM wet electrostatic precipitator (WESP). This project award is subject to

receiving a notice to proceed, which, based on discussions with our customer we anticipate receiving by the end of December 2010. We continue to see increased activity in inquiries and interest in our range of products.

During the year we instituted temporary salary reductions, ranging from 20% to 50%, a staff reduction for a limited number of employees, froze capital spending and curtailed discretionary spending to minimize our losses. We endeavored to retain and build our performance capability, add to our intellectual properties and prepare for market opportunities resulting from recently passed and pending regulations in the United States. We are in constant contact with our customers in our efforts to increase our order backlog.

This past year has had a significant impact on our cash reserves. We will explore opportunities to assure sufficient resources to take advantage of the regulatory environment that is expected to lead to our market opportunities.

Markets

International expansion plays a key role in our long-term business plan. We continue to develop customers beyond our North American and European base and collaborate with other technology firms to offer unique solutions to pollution problems experienced around the globe. Some of these initiatives have focused on the non-regulatory market to offer our customers an economic payback to justify purchase decisions. We try to diversify in geography, industries, products and solutions to accommodate changes in politics, economics and technology.

Environmental Regulation

We believe that we are moving closer to a very significant opportunity with the US Environmental Protection Agency's newest regulations. These regulations include the proposed Boiler MACT (Maximum Achievable Control Technology) for industrial boiler emissions expected to be law by December 2010, SO₂ emission reduction regulations, which went into effect on August 23, 2010, for coal-fired power plants, pulp and paper mills, metallurgical smelters and cement kilns. In addition, the EPA is finalizing amendments designed to reduce hazardous air pollutants from the Portland cement manufacturing industry; the final rule is anticipated to go into effect on November 8, 2010. These policies will affect industries in which TurboSonic has years of experience, a large client base, and proven technologies for compliance.

According to The McIlvaine Company, a recognized independent market consulting firm with expertise in the air pollution control industry, the world market for air pollution control systems, products and services is forecast to grow from \$65 billion in 2004 to \$250 billion by 2015. Although we serve a very small part of this massive market the scale of opportunity is relevant to us.

Our New Technology

As announced previously, Catalytic Gas Treatment (CGT)TM, a new patented technology to which TurboSonic has exclusive worldwide marketing rights, is a significant development in the control of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). CGT destroys volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as formaldehyde from industrial air emissions, eliminating dependence on natural gas combustion in thermal oxidizers, the traditional approach to VOC control. CGT avoids CO₂ and NOx generated by the combustion of natural gas. The CGT technology offers an opportunity for a return on investment from the savings to the user of natural gas and we believe projects may qualify for carbon credits under proposed cap-and-trade legislation. Initial performance testing of the first US-based installation of CGT technology, at a wood products producer, has confirmed VOC removal performance in excess of 95%. There is considerable interest in the CGT technology by industry, the EPA, together with state and provincial agencies, and discussions are underway with numerous customers regarding sales of these systems.

According to The McIlvaine Company, the worldwide market for thermal oxidizers represents 40% of the total market for VOC abatement equipment, which was estimated in 2008 to be \$4.25 billion annually. We believe that CGT technology will apply to a sizeable portion of this market.

Intellectual Property

We previously announced that a patent infringement case brought by a competitor, related to our TurboSorb Spray-Dry Absorption (SDA) technology has been settled. As a consequence of this settlement, TurboSonic is licensed to pursue SDA opportunities in the US and Canada and continues to freely pursue opportunities in all international markets. The settlement did not have a material effect on us. We are pleased to put this controversy behind us and continue to offer our proprietary SDA technology to customers in markets targeted by new US EPA regulations for SO₂ emissions from industrial sources.

SDA technology uses hydrated lime slurry for high efficiency removal of acid gases including sulfur dioxide (SO₂), hydrogen chloride (HCl), and mercury in industries worldwide including Cement, Industrial Power Generation and Waste-to-Energy. This technology is noteworthy in that all sprayed liquid is evaporated, resulting in no wastewater by product to be treated or discharged.

12 MONTH COMPARATIVE INCOME STATEMENTS AT JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Fiscal 2010	% to Total	Fiscal 2009	% to Total	Increase (Dec	crease)
	\$	Revenue	\$	Revenue	\$	%
Contract revenue & sales						
OEM Systems	10,798,683	78%	21,148,204	83%	(10,349,521)	(49%)
Aftermarket parts & retrofits	3,087,370	22%	4,257,271	17%	(1,169,901)	(27%)
	13,886,053	100%	25,405,475	100%	(11,519,422)	(45%)
Contract costs & cost of sales						
OEM Systems	8,292,748	60%	15,681,617	62%	(7,388,869)	(47%)
Aftermarket parts & retrofits	1,842,631	13%	2,527,524	10%	(684,893)	(27%)
	10,135,379	73%	18,209,141	72%	(8,073,762)	(44%)
Gross profit			-			
OEM Systems	2,505,935	18%	5,466,587	21%	(2,960,652)	(55%)
Aftermarket parts & retrofits	1,244,739	9%	1,729,747	7%	(485,008)	(28%)
	3,750,674	27%	7,196,334	28%	(3,445,660)	(49%)
Expenses	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Selling, general & administrative	5,106,408	38%	4,746,350	19%	359,958	7%
Research & development costs	332,193	1%	122,268	0%	210,025	172%
Depreciation & amortization	196,457	2%	163,065	1%	33,392	(20%)
	5,635,058	41%	5,031,683	20%	603,375	12%
(Loss) income from operations	(1,884,384)	(14%)_	2,164,651	8%	(4,049,035)	(190%)
Other expense (income)	1 251	00/	(20, 402)	0%	(21.742)	106%
Interest, net	1,251	0%	(20,492)	0%	(21,743)	10070
(Loss) income before taxes	(1,885,635)	(14%)	2,185,143	8%	(4,070,778)	(189%)
(Recovery of) provision for income taxes	(910,482)	(7%)	629,238	2%	(1,539,720)	(259%)
Net (loss) income	(975,153)	(7%)	1,555,905	6%	(2,531,058)	(160%)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	274,374	2%	(114,841)	0%	389,215	339%
Comprehensive (loss) income	(700,779)	(5%)	1,441,064	6%	(2,141,843)	(146%)

Due to decreased orders resulting from the economic decline in fiscal 2010, our OEM systems revenue decreased 49% for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in fiscal 2009, due primarily to reduced sales of Wet Electrostatic Precipitators (WESPs) systems and retrofits to the wood products industry (113% of decrease year-over-year) and the reduced sales of evaporative gas cooling systems to the cement industry (45% of decrease), partially offset by the sale of a CGT system to the wood products industry (an offset of 38%) and a semi-dry scrubbing system to the cement industry (an offset of 20%).

The cost of sales for OEM systems decreased 47% for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in the prior year, which reflects the decreased revenue volume.

As a result, gross profit on OEM systems in fiscal 2010 was 23% and in the same period in fiscal 2009 was 26%. Relative to total revenue for our company, OEM Systems contributed gross profit of \$2,505,935 (18% of total revenue) in fiscal 2010 and \$5,466,587 (21% of total revenue) for fiscal 2009. The lower gross profit percentages are primarily the result of two large contracts strategically taken at lower margins in the fiscal 2010 period to establish new markets.

Due to decreased orders resulting from the economic decline in fiscal 2010, our Aftermarket revenues decreased 27% for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in the prior fiscal year due mostly to decreased semi-dry scrubbing (27% of decrease year-over-year), evaporative gas cooling (32% of decrease) and wet scrubber components (5% of decrease) and spare parts shipments in general (26% of decrease). We have experienced a decreased volume of component and spare parts sales as our customers have curtailed production in fiscal 2010.

For the year ended June 30

The cost of sales for Aftermarket products decreased 27% for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in fiscal 2009, due to lower sales volume and lower prices attained in fiscal 2010 compared in fiscal 2009. The gross profit attributable to Aftermarket products was 9% of total revenue for 2010 and 7% of total revenue for 2009.

Gross profit on aftermarket revenues in fiscal 2010 was 40% and in the same period in fiscal 2009 was 41%. The gross profit contribution from OEM systems in fiscal 2010 decreased 55% over fiscal 2009, and Aftermarket gross profit contributions in fiscal 2010 decreased 28% over fiscal 2009. This was due to lower sales volumes and lower gross margins in both segments for 2010 compared to 2009.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$359,958 (7%) in fiscal 2010. This increase is represented by changes in the following: legal fees \$412,000 (+119% of increased expenses year-over-year), patent fees \$62,000 (+18%), outside services \$72,000 (+21%), salaries and benefits \$112,000 (+32%) together with the foreign exchange impact of Canadian- and Eurodenominated expenses relative to the prior fiscal year \$208,000 (+60%), partially offset by a reduced bad debt expense \$312,000 (-90%) and executive bonuses not repeated in fiscal 2010 \$209,000 (-61%). The increased legal expense experienced in fiscal 2010 was the result of our defense against a patent infringement claim by one of our competitors – see note 10b to our Consolidated Financial Statements. The reduced bad debt expense is the result of decreased expense year-over-year combined with the recovery of doubtful accounts in fiscal 2010 – see note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements As a percentage of total revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses were 37% in fiscal 2010 and 19% in fiscal 2009.

The increase of \$210,025 in research and development costs is primarily due to the increased effort to substantially complete certain WESP technology upgrades in the current year in anticipation of new regulatory announcements.

The decrease of \$21,743 in interest earned is due to the lower interest rates and cash positions experienced in fiscal 2010 versus those of fiscal 2009.

Income before taxes decreased by \$4,070,778 year over year to a net loss of \$1,885,635 in fiscal 2010 compared to income before taxes of \$2,185,143 in fiscal 2009. This full year result reflects the lower revenue combined with decreased OEM margin experienced in fiscal 2010.

Recovery of income tax for fiscal 2010 was \$910,482 compared to an income tax provision of \$629,238 in fiscal 2009. The fiscal 2010 recovery of tax includes increases in deferred tax assets in the US of \$673,229 and in Canada of \$34,892, together with recovery of Canadian tax of \$18,320, provisions for US tax of \$17,740 and Italian tax of \$44,890. The fiscal 2009 tax provision relates to recognition of a decrease of the Canadian deferred tax asset of \$289,317, an increase in the US deferred tax asset of \$109,292, and current Canadian tax expense of \$202,563, US tax expense of \$18,594 and Italian tax of \$9,472, as discussed in note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Our effective tax rate for fiscal 2010 was (48%) versus the 29% recorded in fiscal 2009. The recovery in fiscal 2010 is primarily related to our Scientific Research and Experimental Development Investment Tax Credit ("ITC") claims as part of our company's fiscal 2009 and 2010 Canadian corporate tax filings.

The other comprehensive income shown as foreign currency translation adjustment reflects the exchange values for Canadian dollar and Euro accounts on the balance sheet converted to US dollars. The statement of comprehensive income reflects change in the carrying value of these accounts – an increase of \$274,374 in fiscal 2010 and a decrease of \$114,841 during fiscal 2009 - while the Stockholders' equity carries the cumulative value of currency exchange for balance sheet accounts.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

	1 of the year e	naca sunc 30,	
	2010	2009	
Cash Summary	\$	\$	
Cash (used in) provided by:			
Operations	(3,791,040)	2,901,125	
Purchase of equipment	(46,041)	(248,373)	
Proceeds from common shares issued	6.000	(= /3,2 / 2)	
Repayment of obligations under long-term debt	(78,789)	(9,056)	
Foreign currency translation of cash	325,233	(212,711)	
Net cash provided during the period	(3,584,637)	2,430,985	
Cash beginning of period	5,621,166	3,190,181	
Cash end of period	2,036,529	5,621,166	

Working Capital Summary	2010	2009
Current assets	5,771,957	12,410,280
Current liabilities	2,125,635	7,488,029
Net working capital	3,646,322	4,922,251
Current ratio	2.72:1	1.66:1
Summary of Contracts in Progress	2010	2009
Contract value completed and to be invoiced	486,512	1,027,858
Contract advances invoiced	(352,627)	(2,327,266)
Net contracts in progress	133,885	(1,299,408)
Contract Backlog	2010	2009
Contract value to be recognized as revenue	3,000,000	10,200,000

In fiscal 2010, operations applied \$3,791,040 in cash compared to fiscal 2009 when operations provided \$2,901,125 in cash. Cash applied in 2010 was the result of decreased accounts payable, accrued charges and unearned revenue and contract advances partially offset by decreased accounts receivable, combined with the net loss recorded for the year. The provision of cash in 2009 was the result of increased accounts payable and accrued charges, combined with the net income achieved for the year. The working capital position decreased in 2010 to \$3,646,322 from \$4,922,251 and the current ratio increased from 1.66:1 to 2.72:1.

Our contract payment terms provide for funding the progression of work to the point of delivery. A final holdback amount is often dependent on commissioning, specified performance criteria or a fixed time of operations. At any point in time, the contracts in process with costs that exceed invoicing may exceed the contracts that have been invoiced in advance of performance. At June 30, 2010, the net position of contracts in process is an investment of \$133,885 compared to June 30, 2009 with advance payments of \$1,299,408.

During 2010, we renewed a credit facility with a major Canadian bank for a total of USD \$6.4 million, together with a demand loan for computer equipment. This facility includes a demand operating line of CAD \$1.5 million secured by our receivables, a demand credit for standby letters of credit for USD \$4.25 million secured by a general security agreement and guarantees were provided by Export Development Canada on a fee-for-service basis, plus a demand credit for foreign exchange contracts for USD \$750,000. Based upon our June 30, 2010 accounts receivable balance, the available demand operating line was \$1,278,000. At June 30, 2010 we had standby letters of credit outstanding for approximately \$2,007,021 in place with various customers in order to receive cash proceeds ahead of customer-specified milestones, together with our demand loan balance of \$143,519. Financial covenants include that the Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth Ratio does not exceed 2.0:1 at any time and that the Current Ratio is not less than 1.20:1 at any time. As at June 30, 2010 our Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth Ratio was 0.42:1 and our Current Ratio was 2.72:1 and, therefore, we were in compliance with these financial covenants. There were no drawdowns against the operating or foreign exchange credit lines at June 30, 2010. There were no off-balance sheet financing arrangements other than the lines of credit and letters of credit.

Our backlog as at June 30, 2010 was approximately \$3,000,000, which we expect to record 100% as revenue in fiscal 2011, compared to the June 30, 2009 backlog of \$10,200,000. There is no assurance that backlog will be replicated, increased or transferred into higher revenues for the future.

Based on our cash position at June 30, 2010, borrowings available pursuant to the operating line of credit, our recent increase in bookings and anticipated sales orders through fiscal 2011, we believe that we will have sufficient capital resources to support operations through June 30, 2011.

ITEM 7A: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Foreign transactions are conducted in Canadian dollars, US dollars or Euro to accommodate customers and all reporting is prepared in US dollars. A major portion of our selling, administrative and engineering expenses is denominated in Canadian dollars. As a result, fluctuations in currency exchange rates may affect operating results. We have entered into forward or future foreign exchange contracts, but there were no outstanding forward foreign exchange contracts at June 30, 2010. To further mitigate currency exposure we attempt to contract outsourcing, where practical, in the same currency as the sales contract or with fabricators where the all-in costs, including currency, are most favorable. At June 30, 2010, we were not using any bank borrowing facility, other than outstanding standby letters of credit totaling \$2,007,022 and a demand loan with an outstanding balance of \$143,519 at June 30, 2010, that could subject us to the risk of interest rate fluctuations.

ITEM 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Reference is made to pages F-1 through F-18 comprising a portion of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management has carried out an evaluation, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of June 30, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010.

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of our company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of our company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of June 30, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

ITEM 9B: OTHER INFORMATION

On September 27, 2010, we issued a press release announcing our financial results for the year ended June 30, 2010. A copy of the press release is being furnished as Exhibit 99.1 to this report and incorporated herein by reference.

PART III

ITEM 10: DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Our executive officers, directors and key persons are as follows:

Name	Age	Positions and Offices
Ken Kivenko	68	Chairman of the Board
Edward F. Spink	56	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Egbert Q. van Everdingen	49	President, Secretary-Treasurer and Director
Carl A. Young	68	Chief Financial Officer
Richard H. Hurd	73	Director
Glen O. Wright	61	Director
Raymond L. Alarie	58	Director
F. Eugene Deszca	62	Director
Robert A. Allan	68	Vice President Engineering
David J. Hobson	62	Vice President Finance & Administration
Richard C. Gimpel	62	Vice President Marketing & Sales
Luca Finzi	56	General Manager – Italian Branch (key person)

Ken Kivenko, P.Eng., has been a director of our company since February 2007. Mr. Kivenko, a member of the Professional Engineers of Ontario, is the founder and President of Kenmar Consulting. Kenmar acts as a private consultant in the areas of strategic planning, Total Quality, financing and governance, and was established in January 2001. Prior thereto, he was President and CEO of NBS Technologies, a supplier of credit and ID cards and card personalization equipment, from June 1995 to December 2000. He currently serves on the board of TSX-listed RDM Corporation (HR/Compensation committee and Chair of Disclosure Committee). He is the Advisory Committee Chairman for the Small Investor Protection Association in Canada, a member of the board of the National Quality Institute and is a former member of the Ontario Securities Commission Continuous Disclosure Advisory Committee. We believe that Mr. Kivenko's extensive engineering background, his many years as senior executive with a number of diversified companies, his role with the National Quality Institute, over ten years of public board experience and his background for good corporate disclosure and governance qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Edward Spink, Chief Executive Officer and director, has completed 24 years as a Senior Executive with us and has participated in the development of our company and our technology. He served as Chairman of the Board of Directors from June 1999 to December 2007, at which time he nominated an outside director as chairman to improve our corporate governance practises. He has been instrumental in the expansion of product lines and the development of our intellectual property base and has authored, participated and directed numerous patents. Mr. Spink has served as a director with The Bank of Akron in New York state since 2005. We believe that Mr. Spink's unique position in working alongside our founder for 18 years, his 24 years as a senior executive with TurboSonic and the role that he has played in the development of our technology qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Egbert Q. van Everdingen has served as our President, Secretary-Treasurer and as a director, since June 2006. From August 2003 until June 2006, he was Executive Vice President, with responsibility for all sales, marketing, design and project engineering. Prior thereto and from August 1997, Mr. van Everdingen served as our Vice President Marketing & Sales, Air Pollution Control Systems. Prior thereto and from 1986, he served as project manager and in various product development, sales and marketing positions with Turbotak. We believe that Mr. van Everdingen's knowledge and understanding of the industry and over 20 years experience regarding business operations and strategic planning qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Carl A. Young, CA., has served as our Chief Financial officer on a part-time basis since October 2006. A Chartered Accountant, he has been the owner and president of 602536 Ontario Ltd., a management services company, since 1984. From 1989 to 1998, he was president and owner of Mentor Dynamics Inc., which designed, manufactured and installed custom material handling equipment and industrial overhead cranes, and Provincial International Cranes Inc., which designed and contracted out fabrication of industrial and shipyard cranes to international markets. Since 1999 through his management services company, Mr. Young has provided interim CFO, Director of Finance, VP of Finance and other business consulting services to a number of clients.

Richard H. Hurd served as President from August 1993 to August 1997 and Treasurer from April 1994 to August 1997 of Sonic Environmental Systems, Inc., the predecessor to our company. He has been a director since February 1993 and currently serves as our audit committee chairperson. Mr. Hurd has been President and sole owner of RHB Capital Company Inc., a financial consulting company since 1987. He is presently Chief of Staff to the Director of the New Jersey Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services and was a Special Assistant to the Treasurer of the State of New Jersey. We believe that Mr. Hurd's extensive finance and accounting background, his close involvement with Sonic Environmental Systems, Inc. and TurboSonic over the past seventeen years, and his experience in investing and managing small and medium-sized businesses qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Glen O. Wright has been a director of our company since December 2005. Mr. Wright founded Wright, Mogg and Associates Ltd., a pension and benefits consulting firm and served as its Chief Executive Officer from 1980 to 1986, and its Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer until 1996. It was sold in 2000 to Cowan Insurance Group and he continued as Chairman of the Cowan Insurance Group until October 2002. He served as the Chairman of the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board in Ontario from June 1996 to February 2004 and served as Chairman of Hydro One, the agency responsible for electric transmission in Ontario from June 2002 to May 2003. Since February 2004, he has been working on a number of consulting projects through GPark Consulting Ltd., of which he is President. He is currently a director of PrinterOn Corporation, Waterloo North Hydro and LeanCor Logistics LLC, and was formerly a director for the Institute for Work and Health, Gore Mutual Insurance and the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, a member of the Wilfrid Laurier University Foundation, the 2010 Chair of the Joint Public Advisory Committee of the Commission for Environmental Cooperation and a member of the Council for Canadian Unity. We believe that Mr. Wright's executive business experience, including founding a pension consulting firm, serving in senior executive roles for twenty years, and Chairman positions with major provincial organizations, qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Raymond L. Alarie, P.Eng., ICD.D, has been a director of our company since December 2008. Mr. Alarie, a member of the Professional Engineers of Ontario, was the founder and CEO of Paragon Engineering Ltd., an infrastructure consulting engineering company from 1979 to 1997 until its acquisition by Stantec Inc. He served as Executive Vice President for Stantec Inc. from 2003 until he retired in 2006, with responsibility for several professional disciplines and regional operations in Canada and in the USA totaling some 2,500 staff. Stantec provides professional design and consulting services in planning, engineering, architecture, surveying, and project management. Prior thereto and concurrent with the most recent position, he was a member of Stantec's Executive Leadership Team from 1997 to 2006 and contributed to Stantec's growth from 1,500 to over 5,500 employees. Since his retirement from Stantec in January 2006, he has continued to provide occasional services to that firm as a senior consultant. In 2005, he received his corporate director designation through the Institute of Corporate Directors of Canada. We believe that Mr. Alarie's founding role and senior executive positions in a large engineering consulting firm, his position as senior executive in a large public firm, and his experience and training as a director qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Dr. F. Eugene Deszca, Ph.D., has been a director of our company since December 2008. Dr. Deszca has been a Professor since 1982 at Wilfrid Laurier University in the School of Business and Economics, where he is currently the Associate MBA Director. He has taught at Queen's University, York University, the University of Western Ontario's Graduate Program in Engineering Management, and at St. Francis Xavier University, and is a member of the board of directors of the Society of Management Accountants of Canada. As an educator and consultant, he has focused on the development of organizations and the people who work there, change management and the enactment of strategy. His consulting work is conducted through Gene Deszca and Associates, of which he is President. We believe that Mr. Deszca's experience ranging from university faculty, research and consulting work with organizations and senior management groups on matters related to strategy, organizational change, implementation and conflict management qualify him to serve as a director of our company.

Robert A. Allan, P.Eng., has served as our Vice President Engineering since August 27, 1997, and joined Turbotak as Manager of Engineering in 1990. Prior thereto and from 1979, he was a Manager of Engineering with Joy Technologies Canada, an air pollution control company. Prior to 1979 and from 1972, he was a technical advisor with Flakt Canada, specifically on pollution control processes. He also held various senior project management and engineering positions in Quebec and Sweden with AB Svenska Flaktfabriken. He is a professional engineer and holds a Master's degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Waterloo.

David J. Hobson has served as our Vice President Finance and Administration since August 27, 1997. Prior thereto and from 1996, he held the position of Controller with Turbotak. Mr. Hobson has over thirty years of finance and accounting, human resources management and project management experience in the capital equipment sector, most of it gained in the air pollution control field with a division of Joy Technologies Canada.

Richard C. Gimpel was appointed our Vice President, Marketing and Sales in May 2006. Prior thereto and from 1999, he held the position of Sales Director for air pollution control systems in the US. Prior to joining us, he had held a number of senior management positions including Director – Industrial Sales with Crowder Construction. He holds degrees in Industrial Engineering and Criminal Justice.

Luca Finzi has served as General Manager Europe since February 2006. From 1996 to 2005 he was a General Manager with Hamon Research Cottrell, part of the Belgian-based Hamon Group, including three years as President of Hamon Research Cottrell USA, based in New Jersey. Prior thereto, from 1985 to 1996, he was a manager with Cifa Progetti, an Italian company focused on environmental systems and bulk solids handling. He holds a Master's degree in Mechanical Engineering from the Politecnico of Milan.

All directors hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and the election and qualification of their successors. Executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors to hold office until the first meeting of the Board following the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are chosen and qualified.

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers and persons who own beneficially more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of such common stock with the SEC, and to file copies of such reports with us. Based solely upon a review of the copies of such reports filed with us, we believe that during the year ended June 30, 2010 such reporting persons complied with the filing requirements of said Section 16(a).

Our Board of Directors has determined that each of our non-employee directors (Messrs. Kivenko, Hurd, Wright, Alarie and Deszca), who collectively constitute a majority of our Board, meets the general independence criteria set forth in the Nasdaq Marketplace rules. In addition, as further required by the Nasdaq rules, our Board has made a subjective determination as to each of the foregoing individuals that no relationships exist that, in the opinion of our Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

Our audit committee consists of three directors, Richard H. Hurd as chairperson, Raymond L. Alarie and Ken Kivenko. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Hurd, Alarie and Kivenko meet the Nasdaq Marketplace Rule definition of "independent" for audit committee purposes. The Board of Directors has also determined that Richard Hurd meets the SEC definition of an "audit committee financial expert."

Our audit committee has adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to all of our employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Vice President Finance, as well as the members of our board of directors. The Code of Ethics was filed as Exhibit 14 to the Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004, and is also posted on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.turbosonic.com.

Our compensation committee consists of three directors, Glen O. Wright as chairperson, F. Eugene Deszca and Raymond L. Alarie. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee meets the Nasdaq general definition of independence for members of the board of directors.

ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation

Set forth below is the aggregate compensation for services rendered in all capacities to us during our fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 by our chief executive officer and by executive officers earning annual compensation exceeding \$100,000 during our fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 (collectively the "Named Officers").

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE (1)						
Name and Principal Position	Year Ended June 30	Salary	Option Awards (2)	Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation (3)	All Other Compensation	Total
		<u> </u>	\$	\$	<u> </u>	\$
Edward F. Spink	2010	191,576	1,840		 -	193,416
Chief Executive Officer	2009	193,121	4,452	96,561	1,287 (4)	295,421
	2008	224,284	13,699	-	1,477 (4)	239,460
Egbert Q. van Everdingen,	2010	171,664	1,840		_	173,504
President, Secretary-Treasurer	2009	171,664	4,452	85,832	1,287 (4)	263,235
	2008	202,265	13,699	<u></u>	1,969 (4)	217,933
Carl A. Young	2010	140,958	1,840	_		142,798
Chief Financial Officer	2009	96,973	_	42,916	_	139,889
	2008	111,785	_	<u></u>		111,785
Richard C. Gimpel,	2010	104,584		_	13,432 (5)	118,016
VP – Marketing & Sales	2009	123,600	_	18,540	12,963 (5)	155,103
	2008	112,924	2,749	4,035	16,991 (5)	136,699
Robert A. Allan	2010	105,075	_	_	_	105,075
VP – Engineering	2009	98,692	_	15,321	_	114,013

- No bonus awards were made except for bonus awards under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. We have no pension or deferred compensation plans to which we contribute.
- 2) This column represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the 2010 fiscal year for the fair value of option awards granted in fiscal 2008, 2009 and 2010, in accordance with ASC Topic 718, "Compensation Stock Compensation" ("ASC Topic 718"). Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The fair value of the option award was calculated using the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. For additional information, refer to note 12 of our financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010. Amounts in this column reflect our accounting expense for these awards and do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the named executive officers.
- 3) Amounts in this column represent discretionary bonuses approved by our Board of Directors in fiscal 2009 and 2008 for the named executive officers. There were no discretionary bonuses approved by our Board of Directors in fiscal 2010.
- 4) This represents directors fees received during the corresponding fiscal year.
- 5) This represents commission paid on orders received prior to fiscal 2007.

The recognized stock-based compensation expense listed as Option Awards for Mr. Spink in the above Summary Compensation table was derived from option awards of 20,000 options as a director made on December 11, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.84, an award of 20,000 options as a director made on December 11, 2008 at an exercise price of \$0.30 and an award of 25,000 options as an employee made on December 11, 2009 at an exercise price of \$0.79.

The recognized stock-based compensation expense listed as Option Awards for Mr. Van Everdingen in the above Summary Compensation table was derived from option awards of 20,000 options as a director made on December 11, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.84, an award of 20,000 options as a director made on December 11, 2008 at an exercise price of \$0.30 and an award of 25,000 options as an employee made on December 11, 2009 at an exercise price of \$0.79.

The recognized stock-based compensation expense listed as Option Awards for Mr. Young in the above Summary Compensation table was derived from an award of 15,000 options as an employee made on December 11, 2009 at an exercise price of \$0.79.

The options reflected in the above Summary Compensation table as having been granted to Messrs. Spink and van Everdingen, in their respective capacities as members of our board were immediately exercisable upon their grant date. The options reflected therein as having been granted to Messrs. Spink, van Everdingen and Young, in their respective capacities as employees will not become exercisable prior to December 11, 2012 for Mr. Young and prior to December 11, 2014 for Messrs. Spink and van Everdingen.

None of our current executive officers are employed pursuant to an employment agreement.

OUTSTANDING EQ	IIITY AWARDS	AT JUNE 30, 2010
OUTSTANDING EO	ULLI AWARDS	TIT UCTIL DO, MOTO

	Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Exercise Price	Expiration Date
Edward F. Spink	35,000	_	\$0.75	10/31/2010
Edward F. Spilik	35,000		\$1.10	1/05/2012
	20,000		\$0.84	12/11/2015
	20,000	_	\$0.30	12/11/2016
	-	25,000 (1)	\$0.79	12/11/2017
Egbert Q. van Everdingen	15,000	_	\$0.75	10/31/2010
Igbert Q. van Everamgen	35,000	_	\$1.10	1/05/2012
	20,000		\$0.84	12/11/2015
	20,000	_	\$0.30	12/11/2016
	_ _	25,000 (1)	\$0.79	12/11/2017
Carl A. Young		15,000 (2)	\$0.79	12/11/2017
Richard C. Gimpel	5,000		\$0.75	10/31/2010
Identia C. Ompe.	12,500		\$1.10	1/05/2012
Robert A. Allan	12,500	_	\$1.10	1/05/2012

¹⁾ Options vest on December 11, 2014.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

	COMIT ENDE	TION OF BIRECIONS	
	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$	Option Awards (1) \$	Total \$
Richard R. Hurd	27,000	10,831	37,831
Ken Kivenko	23,500	10,831	34,331
Glen O. Wright	21,000	10,831	31,831
Raymond L. Alarie	19,500	10,831	30,331
F. Eugene Deszca	15,000	10,831	25,831

¹⁾ This column represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the 2010 fiscal year for the fair value of option awards granted in fiscal 2010, in accordance with ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation". Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The fair value of the option award was calculated using the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. For additional information, refer to note 12 of our financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below for information on stock awards made in 2010. Amounts in this column reflect our accounting expense for these awards and do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the directors.

²⁾ Options vest on December 11, 2012.

During the first half of fiscal 2009, all of our directors were each paid \$500 (CAD for all board members, except Mr. Hurd who is in US Dollars) for each board meeting attended and our non-executive directors were paid \$2,500 per quarter and \$250 for each committee meeting attended. Commencing with the third quarter of fiscal 2009, our non-executive directors were paid \$3,000 per quarter and \$500 for each regularly scheduled board meeting or committee meeting attended in person and \$250 for each meeting attended by phone. In addition to the above-noted fees, the board and the audit committee chairperson each receive \$3,000 per quarter and the compensation committee chairperson receives \$750 per quarter. All of our directors are periodically granted stock options, typically on a yearly basis. Non-executive directors receive reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred for each board meeting or committee meeting attended. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, there was a grant of 20,000 options to each non-executive director, which vested immediately, had an exercise price of \$0.79 and an expiry date of December 11, 2017.

ITEM 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth, as of September 17, 2010 the shares of our common stock beneficially owned by each person who, to our knowledge, is the holder of 5% or more of our common stock, by each of our directors, by the Named Officers and by all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner or Identity or Group*	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Approximate Percentage of Class
Dr. Donald R. Spink, Sr	1,273,070 (3)	8.0%
Edward F. Spink	1,220,379 (2) (5)	7.6%
Egbert Q. van Everdingen	401,121 (2) (6)	2.5%
Richard H. Hurd	156,373 (4)	**
Glen O. Wright	134,000 (4)	**
Ken Kivenko	95,000 (7)	**
Raymond L. Alarie	240,000 (10)	1.5%
F. Eugene Deszca	140,000 (10)	**
Carl A. Young	7,000	**
Richard C. Gimpel	48,125 (8)	**
Robert A. Allan	139,750 (11)	**
Heartland Advisors, Inc. (12)	1,000,987	6.3%
Bard Associates, Inc. (13)	1,513,900	9.5%
Sprott Asset Management Inc. (14)	1,017,600	6.4%
All Executive Officers and Directors as a group (11 persons)	2,639,709 (9)	16.5%

- (*) Unless otherwise indicated, address of each person is c/o TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. 550 Parkside Drive, Suite A-14, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 5V4, Canada.
- (**) Less than 1%.
- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, all persons named below have sole voting and investment power over listed shares.
- (2) Includes shares of TurboSonic Canada Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of our company, which by their terms are convertible at any time into a like number of shares of Common Stock of our company ("TurboSonic Canada Shares").
- (3) Includes 764,609 TurboSonic Canada Shares owned by Canadian numbered corporation, over which shares Dr. Spink exercises voting control.
- (4) Includes 100,000 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (5) Includes 110,000 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (6) Includes 90,000 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options
- (7) Includes 80,000 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (8) Includes 17,500 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (9) Includes 615,000 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (10) Includes 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (11) Includes 12,500 shares issuable upon exercise of vested options.
- (12) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G filed on February 19, 2010, Heartland Advisors, Inc., an investment adviser, and William J. Nasgovitz have shared power to direct the disposition of, and the shared power to direct the vote of, 1,000,987 shares. The address of the beneficial owner is 789 North Water St., Milwaukee, WI 53202.
- (13) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G filed on February 12, 2010, Bard Associates, Inc., an investment adviser, has the sole power to direct the disposition of 1,513,900 shares and the sole power to direct the vote of 103,750 shares. The address of the beneficial owner is 135 South LaSalle St., Suite 2320, Chicago, IL 60603.
- (14) Pursuant to a Schedule 13G filed on January 26, 2010, Sprott Asset Management Inc. has the shared power to direct the disposition of, and the shared power to direct the vote of, 1,017,600 shares. The address of the beneficial owner is Suite 2700, South Tower, Royal Bank Plaza, Toronto, ON M5J 2J1, Canada.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans:

The following table provides information as of June 30, 2010 about our equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average – Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:			
- 2008 Stock Plan	340,000	\$ 0.6029	460,000
- 2003 Stock Plan	367,500	\$ 1.0016	132,500
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders:			
- 2000 Stock Plan	132,500	\$0.7500	
Total	840,000	\$0.8006	592,500

We instituted the 2000 Stock Plan [the "2000 Plan"] with the express purpose of encouraging key employees, as well as other individuals who render services to us, by affording such persons with opportunities to participate in equity ownership of our company. The 2000 Plan provides for the grant of non-qualified options, stock awards and stock purchase rights. Collectively, these are referred to as stock rights.

The 2000 Plan, adopted by us on February 9, 2000, was not approved by a vote of stockholders. It is administered by the Board of Directors, which determines to whom such stock rights may be granted, at which times the stock rights shall be granted and the time or times when each option shall become exercisable and the duration of the exercise period. The exercise price per each non-qualified option granted under the 2000 Plan shall be not less than the fair market value per share of common stock on the date of such grant. The stock, subject to stock rights, shall be authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or shares of common stock reacquired by us in any manner. The aggregate number of shares that may be issued, pursuant to the 2000 Plan, is 937,500, of which 132,500 have been granted and remain outstanding, 747,813 have been granted and exercised and 57,187 were not granted. As the 2000 Plan expired February 2010, no further shares may be issued.

ITEM 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Our Board of Directors has determined that Ken Kivenko, Richard H. Hurd, Glen O. Wright, Raymond L. Alarie and F. Eugene Deszca, who collectively constitute a majority of the members of our board of directors, each meets the general independence criteria set forth in the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules.

There has not been any reportable transaction since July 1, 2008, and there are no currently proposed transactions, between us and any "related person" as defined in the rules of the SEC.

ITEM 14: PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Fees for professional services provided by our principal independent auditors in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are as follows:

	2010	2009
Fees billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP:	\$	\$
Audit fees	76,568	80,569
Audit-related fees	18,599	22,628
Tax fees	10,792	19,071
Other	40,059	
	146,018	122,268

Audit fees services include fees associated with the annual audit, assistance with and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Audit-related fees principally consist of quarterly reviews. Tax fees include tax compliance and tax consultations. In fiscal 2010, other fees consist of capital markets analysis.

The audit committee has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax and other services performed by the independent auditor. The policy provides for pre-approval by the audit committee of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that year, the audit committee must approve the permitted service before the independent auditor is engaged to perform it.

PART IV

ITEM 15: EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following exhibits, which are numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K, are filed herewith or, as noted, incorporated by reference herein:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of TurboSonic Technologies (1)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of TurboSonic Technologies (2)
3.3	Certificate of Correction of Certificate of Amendment of TurboSonic Technologies (3)
3.4	Certificate of Designation, Number, Powers, Preferences and Relative, Participating, Optional, and other Special Rights and the Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, and other distinguishing characteristics of Special Voting Preferred Stock (2).
3.5	Amended and Restated By-laws of TurboSonic Technologies (4)
4.1	Form of certificate evidencing share of common stock (2)
10.1 *	2000 Stock Plan (5)
10.2 *	2003 Stock Plan (6)
10.3 *	2008 Stock Plan (7)
10.4	Letter Agreement dated February 24, 2010 by and between TurboSonic Inc. and Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce
10.5	Security Agreement dated April 21, 2008 by and between TurboSonic Inc. and Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce (8)
10.6	Security Agreement dated April 21, 2008 by and between TurboSonic Technologies and Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce (8)
10.7	Guaranty dated as of April 21, 2008 by and between TurboSonic Technologies and Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce (8)
14.1	Code of Ethics (9)
21.1	Subsidiaries of TurboSonic Technologies
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications
99.1	Full Year Operating Results Press Release issued September 27, 2010

^{*} Compensatory Plan

- (1) Filed on April 9, 1993 as an exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File Number 33-60856) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed on November 18, 1997 as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended April 30, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed on September 30, 2002 as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed on August 26, 2010 as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 23, 2010 and incorporated by reference.
- (5) Filed on March 19, 2001 as an exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File Number 333-57248) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed on November 12, 2002 as an exhibit to our proxy statement for the 2002 annual meeting, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed on November 6, 2007 as an exhibit to our proxy statement for the 2007 annual meeting, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed on July 25, 2008 as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 27, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed on April 25, 2006 as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 24, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of TurboSonic Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of (loss) income and comprehensive (loss) income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP Independent Registered Chartered Accountants Licensed Public Accountants Kitchener, Canada September 27, 2010

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS Current Cash and cash equivalents 2,036,529 5,621,160 Accounts receivable, net [note 4] 2,251,476 5,038,45 Etentions receivable 709,554 516,14 119,307 2,251,476 5,038,45 Etentions receivable 709,554 516,14 119,307 2,251,476 5,038,45 Etentions receivable 709,554 516,14 119,307 2,251,476 5,038,45 1,000 2,000 111,481 1,000 111,481 1,000 111,481 1,000 111,481 1,000 1	As at June 30	[expressed in United States dolla	
Current 2,036,529 5,621,166 Cash and cash equivalents 2,251,476 5,038,45 Accounts receivable, net [note 4] 2,251,476 5,038,45 Retentions receivable 709,554 516,14 Inventories [note 5] 96,410 119,307 Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue [note 6] 486,512 1,027,851 Income taxes recoverable 111,481 — Other current assets 79,995 87,357 Total current assets 5,771,957 12,410,286 Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7] 230,155 350,200 Goodwill [note 2] 398,897 398,897 398,897 Deferred income taxes [note 13] 1,021,343 307,42 Other assets 13,915 13,520 Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,33 Total assets 260,042 901,599 Total current demone taxes [note 13] 814,567 3,332,33 Accrued compensation charges 814,567 3,332,33 Accrued counts payable 814,567			2009 \$
Current 2,036,529 5,621,164 Cash and cash equivalents 2,251,476 5,038,45 Accounts receivable, net [note 4] 2,251,476 5,038,45 Retentions receivable 709,554 516,14 Inventories [note 5] 96,410 119,307 Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue [note 6] 486,512 1,027,851 Income taxes recoverable 111,481 — Other current assets 79,995 87,357 Total current assets 5,771,957 12,410,286 Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7] 230,155 350,200 Goodwill [note 2] 398,897 398,897 398,897 Goodwill [note 2] 398,897 398,897 398,897 Deferred income taxes [note 13] 1,021,343 307,42 Other assets 13,915 13,520 Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,33 Total current asset [note 13] 48,457 3,332,33 Accrued warranty provision [note 8 and note 18] 814,567 3,332,33 Accrued compens	ACCETC		
Cash and cash equivalents 2,036,529 5,621,166 Accounts receivable, net (note 4] 2,251,476 5,038,45 Retentions receivable 109,554 516,14 Inventories (note 5] 96,410 119,30 Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue [note 6] 486,512 1,027,858 Income taxes recoverable 111,481 -7,995 87,357 Total current assets 79,995 87,357 12,410,286 Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7] 230,155 350,200 309,897 398,897			
Accounts receivable 1,000 to 1,000		2 036 529	5 621 166
Retentions receivable Inventories [note 5] 56,410 119,301 Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue [note 6] 486,512 1,027,852 Income taxes recoverable 111,481 — Other current assets 79,995 87,357 Total current assets 5,771,957 12,410,288 Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7] 230,155 309,203 Goodwill [note 2] 398,897 398,897 Deferred income taxes [note 13] 1,021,343 307,42 Other assets 13,915 13,520 Total assets 1,664,310 1,070,052 Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,333 Total assets 260,042 901,593 Accounts payable 814,567 3,332,333 Accrued compensation charges 260,042 901,594 Accrued accompensation charges 260,042 901,594 Accrued compensation charges 1814,567 3,332,333 Accrued compensation charges 260,042 901,594 Accrued compensation charges 1,22,20 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Inventories note 5 96,410 119,30° Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue note 6 486,512 1,207,850 Income taxes recoverable 111,481 −−−		the state of the s	
Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue Inote 6 186,512 1,027,855 Income taxes recoverable 111,481 − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −		*	
Income taxes recoverable		-	·
Other current assets 79,995 87,35° Total current assets 5,771,957 12,410,280° Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7] 230,155 350,200° Goodwill [note 2] 398,897 398,897 Deferred income taxes [note 13] 1,021,343 307,425° Other assets 13,915 13,520° Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,333° LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Accounts payable 814,567 3,332,333° Accounts payable 814,567 3,332,333° Accounts payable accounts position charges 260,042 901,599° Account demark provision [note 8 and note 18] 94,214 86,244° Accounted compensation charges [note 17 and note 18] 525,902 492,44* Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] 78,283 80,75* Income taxes payable — 267,38* Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] 352,627 2,327,26* Total current liabilities 2,1			
Total current assets 5,771,957 12,410,280 Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7] 230,155 350,200 Goodwill [note 2] 398,897 398,897 Deferred income taxes [note 13] 1,021,343 307,42- Other assets 13,915 13,520 Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,33: LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Accounts payable 814,567 3,332,33: Accounts payable 814,567 3,332,33: Accrued warranty provision [note 8 and note 18] 94,214 86,24 Accrued other charges [note 17 and note 18] 525,902 492,44 Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] 78,283 80,75 Income taxes payable — 267,38e Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] 352,627 2,327,26e Total current liabilities 2,125,635 7,488,02e Long term debt [note 9] 65,236 131,50e Stockholders' equity [note 12]		*	87,357
Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation and amortization [note 7]			
Sand amortization fnote 7 398,897 398,897 398,899 398,999 398		<u>-,</u>	
Coodwill		230,155	350.208
Deferred income taxes [note 13] 1,021,343 307,42-2-1			
13,915 13,520 1,664,310 1,070,055 Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,335 13,6267 13,480,335 13,6267 13,480,335 13,520 13,480,335 13,520 13,480,335 13,520 13,480,335 13,480,355 13,4			
1,664,310 1,070,055 Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 13,480,335 14,4			13,526
Total assets 7,436,267 13,480,33			
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Accounts payable 814,567 3,332,333 3,332,333 Accrued compensation charges 260,042 901,594 Accrued warranty provision [note 8 and note 18] 94,214 86,244 Accrued other charges [note 17 and note 18] 525,902 492,444 Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] 78,283 80,75* 1ncome taxes payable — 267,386 2,327,266 Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] 352,627 2,327,266 131,500 2,190,871 7,619,52* 13,500 13,5	Total assets		
Current Accounts payable S14,567 3,332,333 Accrued compensation charges 260,042 901,599 Accrued warranty provision [note 8 and note 18] 94,214 86,244 Accrued other charges [note 17 and note 18] 525,902 492,444 Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] 78,283 80,754 Income taxes payable — 267,386 Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] 352,627 2,327,266 Total current liabilities 2,125,635 7,488,029 Long term debt [note 9] 65,236 131,500 2,190,871 7,619,529 Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,444 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,666 4,222,475 6,137,100 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,734 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,735 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,035)	1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7,100,207	15,100,000
Accounts payable	LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Accrued compensation charges	Current		
Accrued warranty provision [note 8 and note 18] Accrued other charges [note 17 and note 18] Accrued other charges [note 17 and note 18] Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] Income taxes payable Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] Total current liabilities Long term debt [note 9] Commitments and contingencies [note 10] Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,736 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189)	Accounts payable	814,567	3,332,338
Accrued other charges [note 17 and note 18] Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] Income taxes payable Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] Total current liabilities Long term debt [note 9] Long term debt [note 9] Commitments and contingencies [note 10] Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) 492,444 267,384 80,75. 267,384 27,386 37,206 37,206 37,207 492,444 492,444 492,445 492,445 492,445 492,445 492,445 492,446 492,446 492,447 492,448 492,446 492,447 492,448 490,448 492,448 492,448 492,448 492,448 492,448 492,448 492,448 490,448 49	Accrued compensation charges	260,042	901,599
Long-term debt, current portion [note 9] 78,283 80,754 Income taxes payable		94,214	86,242
Income taxes payable		525,902	492,444
Unearned revenue and contract advances [note 6] 352,627 2,327,260 Total current liabilities 2,125,635 7,488,029 Long term debt [note 9] 65,236 131,500 Commitments and contingencies [note 10] Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,444 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,666 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,734 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,035) Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,035) Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,035) Commitments and contract advances [note 6] 2,125,635 7,488,022 2,125,635 131,500 2,190,871 7,619,529 3,190,871 7,619,529 3,190,871 7,619,529 4,190,871 7,619,529 5,249,440 7,249,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,440 4,240,240 7,240,		78,283	80,754
Total current liabilities 2,125,635 7,488,029		_	267,386
Long term debt [note 9] 65,236 131,500			
2,190,871 7,619,529 Commitments and contingencies [note 10] Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,449 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,669 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,739 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,039)	Total current liabilities		
Commitments and contingencies [note 10] Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 2,558,806 the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,03	Long term debt [note 9]	65,236	131,500
Stockholders' equity [note 12] Share capital Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 155,512 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189)		2,190,871	7,619,529
Authorized 30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 475,210 477,734 Accumulated other comprehensive income Accumulated deficit (1,729,189)	Stockholders' equity [note 12]		
30,000,000 common shares, par value \$0.10 per share 1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,444 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,666 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,734 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,035)			
1,500 preferred shares, no par value Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,44 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,660 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,730 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,030)			
Issued 14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,44 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,660 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,73 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,03)			
14,982,542 common shares [2009 – 14,723,081] 155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,444 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,660 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,730 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,030)			
155,512 common shares reserved for the conversion of the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,44 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,660 Accumulated other comprehensive income 6,222,475 6,137,100 Accumulated deficit 477,730 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,030)			
the subsidiary's Class B exchangeable shares [2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,44 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,666 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,734 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,034)			
[2009 – 406,973] 2,558,806 2,549,444 Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,66 Accumulated other comprehensive income 6,222,475 6,137,10 Accumulated deficit 752,110 477,73 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Additional paid-in capital 3,663,669 3,587,669 6,222,475 6,137,100 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,730 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,030)		2.558.806	2,549,446
Accumulated other comprehensive income 6,222,475 6,137,10 Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,73 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,03			3,587,660
Accumulated other comprehensive income 752,110 477,734 Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,034			6,137,106
Accumulated deficit (1,729,189) (754,03	Accumulated other comprehensive income		477,736
			(754,036)
			13,480,335

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF (LOSS) INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

Years ended June 30

[expressed in United States dollars]

	2010	2009
	\$	<u> </u>
CONTRACT REVENUE AND SALES		
OEM systems revenue	10,798,683	21,148,204
Aftermarket revenue	3,087,370	4,257,271
	13,886,053	25,405,475
CONTRACT COSTS AND COST OF SALES		
OEM systems contract costs and costs of sales	8,292,748	15,681,617
Aftermarket contract costs and cost of sales	1,842,631	2,527,524
	10,135,379	18,209,141
Gross margin	3,750,674	7,196,334
	5,.5,5,5,	7,170,001
EXPENSES		
Selling, general and administrative	5,106,408	4,746,350
Research and development expense	332,193	122,268
Depreciation and amortization	196,457	163,065
	5,635,058	5,031,683
(Loss) income from operations	(1,884,384)	2,164,651
Interest income	7,561	22,569
Interest expense	(8,812)	(2,077)
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes	(1,885,635)	2,185,143
(Recovery of) provision for income taxes [note 13]	(910,482)	629,238
Net (loss) income	(975,153)	1,555,905
Other comprehensive income (loss):	(370)100)	1,223,235
Foreign currency translation adjustment	274,374	(114,841)
Comprehensive (loss) income	(700,779)	1,441,064
		_
Basic (loss) earnings per share [note 14]	(\$0.06)	\$0.10
Diluted (loss) earnings per share [note 14]	(\$0.06)	\$0.10
Basic weighted average number of shares [note 14]	15,137,528	15,130,054
Diluted weighted average number of shares [note 14]	15,137,528	15,168,536
Didded weighted average number of shares [note 14]	13,137,320	13,100,330

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

As at June 30

[expressed in United States dollars]

	Common shares [Note 12]		Additional paid-in	Accumulated	Accumulated other comprehensive	Total stockholders'
-	Shares #	Amount \$	capital \$	deficit \$	Income (loss)	equity \$
Balance – June 30, 2008	15,130,054	2,549,446	3,517,998	(2,309,941)	592,577	4,350,080
Net income	_			1,555,905	_	1,555,905
Stock-based compensation [note 12]		-	69,662	_	_	69,662
Translation adjustment					(114,841)	(114,841)
Balance – June 30, 2009	15,130,054	2,549,446	3,587,660	(754,036)	477,736	5,860,806
Net (loss)		_	_	(975,153)	_	(975,153)
Stock-based compensation [note 12]	_		79,369	_		79,369
Translation adjustment			·	_	274,374	274,374
Issuance of shares	8,000	9,360	(3,360)		<u> </u>	6,000
Balance – June 30, 2010	15,138,054	2,558,806	3,663,669	(1,729,189)	752,110	5,245,396

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years ended June 30

[expressed in United States dollars]

	2010	2009
	\$	\$
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (loss) income	(975,153)	1,555,905
Add charges to operations not requiring a current cash payment:	())	, ,
Stock-based compensation expense [note 12]	79,364	69,662
Depreciation and amortization [note 7]	196,457	163,065
Deferred income taxes	(708,121)	467,535
Net change in non-cash assets and liabilities related	(,)	,
to operations [note 15]	(2,383,587)	644,958
Cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(3,791,040)	2,901,125
Cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(0,1,2,0,10)	_,-,-,-,
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of property and equipment	(46,041)	(248,373)
Cash (used in) investing activities	(46,041)	(248,373)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
(Repayment) of obligations under long-term debt and capital leases	(78,789)	(9,056)
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	6,000	_
Cash (used in) financing activities	(72,789)	(9,056)
ESC -t -S have a set alaman an each and each againstants	325,233	(212,711)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	323,233	(212,/11)
Net cash (used in) provided during year	(3,584,637)	2,430,985
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	5,621,166	3,190,181
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	2,036,529	5,621,166
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	2,000,022	,,
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Interest paid	(8,812)	(2,077)
Interest received	6,963	22,519
Income taxes paid	(350,626)	(28,433)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS DESCRIPTION

TurboSonic Technologies, Inc., directly and through subsidiaries, designs and markets integrated air pollution control and liquid atomization technology, including industrial gas cooling/conditioning systems, to ameliorate or abate industrial environmental problems.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP") and are applied within the framework of the significant accounting policies summarized below:

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our company and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.

Inventories

Raw materials are valued at the lower of cost, on a first-in, first-out basis, and replacement cost.

Finished goods are valued at the lower of cost, on a first-in, first-out basis, and net realizable value. Net realizable value is defined as selling price less estimated selling costs.

Goodwill

Under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 350, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other" ("ASC Topic 350"), goodwill is not being amortized but is subject to an annual impairment review [or more frequently if conditions dictate]. Since the adoption of ASC Topic 350 as at July 1, 2001, we have completed the transitional impairment test as at July 1, 2001 and annual impairment tests through April 1, 2010 and additionally at June 30, 2010, to identify if there is any impairment to the goodwill using a fair value methodology by reporting unit. The goodwill relates only to the Aftermarket segment and we conclude there has not been any impairment.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization are calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Office equipment 2-5 years Other equipment 5-10 years

Leasehold improvements shorter of lease term [5-6] years or assets useful life

Revenues and long-term contracts

We derive revenue from long-term contracts which require performance [i.e., design, construction and performance testing] over a time span which may extend one or more accounting periods. For long-term contracts, we employ two methods of recognition – the percentage of completion method for all of such projects being undertaken except in instances of uncertainty, and the completed contract method for contracts with significant uncertainty, such as the use of new technology. Aftermarket revenue recorded is based on persuasive evidence of an existing arrangement, price is fixed or determinable, products are shipped, services are performed or billings are rendered, which approximates actual performance, and collection is reasonably assured.

The percentage-of-completion is determined by best available engineering estimates. Engineering progress is measured upon completion progress relative to the overall project design. Material progress is determined by the degree of completeness or progress of the components individually and as a whole of the project. When included in the scope of the work, installation work completeness is based upon work completed relative to the overall scope. Monthly revenue recognition reflects the degree of completeness based upon review of project drawings, project schedule, progress of actual fabrication and installation, and further validated by visual observation by the project manager, quality inspectors, and the construction advisor, if applicable.

When the current estimated costs to complete indicate a loss, such losses are recognized immediately for accounting purposes.

Contract revenues recorded under the percentage-of-completion method in excess of amounts billed are classified as deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue. Amounts billed in excess of revenue earned and work-in-process balances are classified as unearned revenue and contract advances.

Contract change orders are routinely negotiated with the customer prior to any work proceeding on the requested scope revision. Upon approval by both parties, contract price and costs are adjusted to reflect the revised scope and price.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES continued

Contract claims against the customer are recorded as revenue only upon award or settlement. The amounts recorded, if material, are disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Costs attributable to claims are treated as costs of contract performance, as incurred. There were no material contract claims filed in either fiscal 2009 or 2010.

Cash and cash equivalents

We consider all highly liquid temporary cash investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

An allowance for doubtful accounts, if any, is established on a case-by-case basis after careful review of the outstanding receivable amounts and the probability of collection within a reasonable period of time.

Retentions Receivable

Retentions receivable represents amounts withheld from progress billings by our customers and may not be paid to us until the completion of a project. Retentions receivable may also be subject to restrictive conditions such as performance or fulfillment guarantees.

Investment tax credits

Investment tax credits are accrued when qualifying expenditures are made and there is reasonable assurance that the credits will be realized. Refundable investment tax credits earned with respect to current expenditures for qualified research and development activities are included in the statement of operations as a reduction of income tax expenses. With respect to capital expenditures, these tax credits are applied to reduce the cost of the related capital assets. Non-refundable investment tax credits are recorded as a reduction of the provision for income taxes in the year in which the related provision is recorded.

Research and development expenditures

Research and development costs [other than capital expenditures] are expensed as incurred. Income tax expense is reduced by any related investment tax credits and government support program proceeds.

Income taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes" ("ASC Topic 740"). ASC Topic 740 requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets is provided when it is more likely than not that a portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

In accordance with ASC Subtopic 740-10, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("ASC Subtopic 740-10") we recognize, measure, present, and disclose in our financial statements uncertain tax positions that we have taken or expect to take on a tax return. Claims under the Canadian "Scientific Research & Development" program for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 have yet to be submitted but a valuation estimate has been prepared [see note 13].

Advertising costs

All costs associated with advertising and promoting products are expensed as incurred. Advertising and promotion expense was \$101,464 in 2010 [\$87,425 in 2009].

Stock-based compensation

We account for share-based payments in accordance with ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation" ("ASC Topic 718"), which requires compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements based on the estimated fair value of the equity or liability instrument issued.

We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of such awards. ASC Topic 718 requires forfeitures of share-based awards to be estimated at the time of the grant and revised in subsequent periods, if actual forfeitures differ from initial estimates. Therefore, expenses related to share-based payments and recognized in the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 have been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience. See Note 12 for more information regarding the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of stock options.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES continued

Warranty

We carry a reserve based upon historical warranty claims experience. Additionally, warranty accruals are established on the basis of anticipated future expenditures as specific warranty obligations are identified, and are expensed directly to cost of sales. Expenditures have not exceeded accruals in any year.

(Loss) Earnings per share

Basic (loss) earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. In computing the earnings per share, the TurboSonic Canada Inc. Class B exchangeable shares, disclosed in note 12, are considered outstanding common stock of TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. Diluted (loss) earnings per share reflects the per share amount under the treasury stock method that would have resulted if dilutive potential common stock had been converted to common stock.

Foreign currency translation

The functional currency of TurboSonic is the United States dollar. European and Canadian-based operations maintain their accounts in their respective local currencies. Transactions incurred in currencies other than the functional currency are converted to the functional currency at the transaction date. All foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in net (loss) income. The financial statements of our foreign subsidiaries have been translated into United States dollars in accordance with ASC Subtopic 830-30, "Translation of Financial Statements" ("ASC Subtopic 830-30"). All balance sheet accounts have been translated using the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Income statement amounts have been translated using monthly average exchange rates. The gains and losses resulting from the changes in exchange rates from year to year have been reported separately in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements, in accordance with US GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the period. Estimates have been employed in the areas of revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, deferred taxes, investment tax credits, uncertain tax positions, stock-based compensation expense, contingencies, goodwill impairment testing, inventory obsolescence, warranty provision and long-lived assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive (loss) income

We report comprehensive (loss) income, in addition to net (loss) income from operations, as required by ASC Topic 220, "Comprehensive Income" ("ASC Topic 220"). Comprehensive (loss) income is a more inclusive financial reporting methodology that includes disclosure of certain financial information that historically has not been recognized in the calculation of (loss) net income. Comprehensive (loss) income is defined as a change in equity during the financial reporting period of a business enterprise resulting from non-owner sources. Components of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income are disclosed in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive (Loss) Income. All reported comprehensive (loss) income is the result of the foreign exchange changes to our balance sheet year-to-year.

Impact of recently issued accounting standards

Useful Life of an Intangible Asset

In April 2008, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 350-30 which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of the recognized intangible asset. The intent of the guidance is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under ASC Subtopic 350-30 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under ASC 805. For a recognized intangible asset, an entity will be required to disclose information that enables users of the financial statements to assess the extent to which expected future cash flows associated with the asset are affected by the entity's intent and/or ability to renew or extend the arrangement. ASC Subtopic 350-30 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which is our fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. We adopted ASC Subtopic 350-30 on July 1, 2009. The adoption of ASC Subtopic 350-30 has not had a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition to date.

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued ASC Topic 820 – Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, ("ASC Topic 820"), effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, which was our fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. ASC Topic 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. On February 12, 2008, the FASB delayed the effective date of ASC Topic 820 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, which is

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES continued

our fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. We adopted the non-deferred portion of ASC Topic 820 on July 1, 2008 and the deferred portion on July 1, 2009. The adoption of ASC Topic 820 has not had a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition to date.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06 Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements ("ASU 2010-06"). ASU 2010-06 amends ASC Topic 820 to add new requirements for disclosures about transfers into and out of Level 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. The ASU also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. ASU 2010-06 is effective for the first reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009, which is our reporting period ending March 31, 2010, except for the requirement to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales issuances, and settlements on a gross basis, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, which is our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 has not had a material impact on our company. We are currently assessing the impact of adoption of revised Level 3 disclosures.

Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, —Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force ("ASU 2009-13"). ASU 2009-13 amends ASC Subtopic 605-25 - Revenue Recognition: Multiple-Element Arrangements ("ASC Subtopic 605-25"). Specifically ASU 2009-13 amends the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements and establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. The selling price used for each deliverable will be based on vendor-specific objective evidence if available, third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, or estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific objective evidence nor third-party evidence is available. The guidance eliminates the use of the residual method, requires entities to allocate revenue using the relative-selling-price method, and significantly expands the disclosure requirements for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. ASU 2009-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, which is our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the impact of adoption of ASU 2009-13.

3. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value disclosure and interest rate risk

We did not have any financial instruments carried at fair value for which the fair value hierarchy disclosure is required.

Long-Term Debt – Our long-term debt obligations bear interest at an annual interest rate of Canadian bank prime plus 1.25%. Total long-term debt outstanding as at June 30, 2010 amounted to \$143,519 (bank loan) (2009 - \$212,254). The fair value of our long-term debt obligations are not materially different than their respective carrying values due to their relative short-term maturities and the borrowing rates available as of June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 for debt obligations with similar terms and conditions. Note that interest rate fluctuations may impact the total owing on long-term debt.

Credit risk

Trade accounts receivable potentially subject us to credit risk. Sales are made to accredited end users of all sizes located primarily in North America and Europe. We provide an allowance for doubtful accounts equal to the estimated losses expected to be incurred in the collection of accounts receivable.

As at June 30, 2010, we had three customers that comprised 61% of the total trade receivable balance and had one repeat and two different customers that comprised 53% of the total trade receivable balance at June 30, 2009.

Our cash balances are maintained in one United States chartered bank, which is an AA rated financial institution, in two Canadian chartered banks, which are AA rated financial institutions and in one Italian chartered bank, which is an AA rated financial institution.

Currency Risk

Currency risk is the risk to our earnings that arises from fluctuations of foreign exchange rates and the degree of volatility of these rates. We may use forward contracts to reduce its exposure to foreign currency risk. There are no outstanding instruments at this time. Our actual currency risks relate to appreciation of the Euro and Canadian dollar opposite the US dollar.

4. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

	2010	2009	
	<u> </u>	\$	
Trade accounts receivable	1,926,671	4,787,981	
Value-added taxes receivable	365,837	468,495	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(41,032)	(218,025)	
	2,251,476	5,038,451	

4. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE cont'd

Bad debt expense was \$19,405 in 2010 and \$193,744 in 2009. Recovery of doubtful accounts was recorded for fiscal 2010 of \$125,474 and \$1,434 for fiscal 2009.

5. INVENTORIES

5. INVENTURIES				
		2010	2009	
		\$	<u> </u>	
Pinisted and a		121 250	120.055	
Finished goods Reserve for obsolescence		131,278	138,855	
Reserve for obsolescence		(34,868)	(19,548)	
		96,410	119,307	
6. COST AND ESTIMATED EARNINGS ON	I IINCOMPI ETE	'D CONTRACTS		
6. COST AND ESTIMATED EARTHOS OF	ONCOMILETE	2010	2009	
		\$	\$	
		Φ		
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts		27,629,728	23,174,794	
Estimated earnings		7,254,724	6,691,283	
250mateu earmingo		34,884,452	29,866,077	
Less: billings to date		(34,750,567)	(31,165,485)	
Less. omings to date		133,885	(1,299,408)	
		133,003	(1,299,400)	
Included in the accompanying balance sheets un	der the following o	antions:		
meraded in the accompanying barance sheets un	der die following c	2010	2009	
		\$		
		3	\$	
Deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue		486,512	1,027,858	
Unearned revenue and contract advances		(352,627)	(2,327,266)	
Chearned revenue and contract advances	-	133,885	(1,299,408)	
		133,003	(1,299,400)	
7 DDODEDTWAND EQUIDATENT				
7. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		A 1.4.1	NI AD	
	C	Accumulated	Net Book	
	Cost	Depreciation & Amortization	Value	
2010	Φ		•	
2010	\$	<u> </u>	\$	
Office equipment	1 220 721	1.072.470	166 251	
Other equipment	1,229,721	1,063,470	166,251	
	687,594	627,181	60,413	
Leasehold improvements	70,593	67,102	3,491	
	1,987,908	1,757,753	230,155	
		A aan1-4- 1	Not Deel	
	a .	Accumulated	Net Book	
	Cost	Depreciation	Value	
•000	_	& Amortization		
2009	\$	\$	\$	
OCC	1 4 44 200	010.0==	222 125	
Office equipment	1,141,683	919,277	222,406	
Other equipment	649,071	521,269	127,802	
Leasehold improvements	60,355	60,355		
	1,851,109	1,500,901	350,208	

Total depreciation and amortization incurred during fiscal 2010 was \$196,457 [2009 - \$163,065].

8. WARRANTY

In accordance with the *Guarantee* topic of ASC Topic 460, "Guarantees" ("ASC Topic 460"), we are required to make the following disclosure regarding performance guarantees and product warranties.

As part of the normal sale of OEM systems, we have provided our customers with performance guarantees and product warranties. Most of our OEM system sales have an element of performance guarantee to ensure our custom-engineered equipment provided to our clients meets the level of performance anticipated. Performance tests for projects are completed and

8. WARRANTY cont'd

resolved to the clients' satisfaction prior to final acceptance and closure of the contract. Expenses incurred to that time are treated as project costs.

The warranties generally extend for twelve months from the date of start-up or eighteen months after shipment to the customer. Commensurate warranties are provided by engineered product suppliers, such as those of pumps, fans and valves, whose products are incorporated into our systems. Warranty accruals are established on the basis of anticipated future expenditures based on historical warranty claims experience. As specific warranty obligations are identified, they are charged to the accrual account. The following summarizes the accrual of product warranties that is recorded as part of other accrued charges in the accompanying consolidated condensed balance sheets:

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
Balance, beginning of year	86,242	98,362	
Payments made	(14,910)	(11,428)	
Provisions made	14,910	11,428	
Foreign exchange adjustments	7,972	(12,120)	
Balance, end of year	94,214	86,242	

9. LONG-TERM DEBT OBLIGATIONS

In fiscal 2009, we entered into a 36-month computer loan with monthly repayments, an annual interest rate of the Canadian bank prime (1.0% at June 30, 2010) plus 1.25% and is secured under a General Security Agreement with our Canadian bank. The assets are recorded on our Balance Sheet as Plant and Equipment.

The following is a schedule of the future minimum loan payments:

	2010	2009	
	\$	<u> </u>	
Total minimum loan payments	146,613	222,648	
Less amount representing interest	3,094	10,394	
	143,519	212,254	
Less current portion	78,283	80,754	
Long-term portion	65,236	131,500	

The following is a schedule of the future minimum loan payments:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y
2011	80,704
2011 2012	80,704 65,909
	146,613

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

[a] Operating leases

We have entered into operating leases, expiring through 2014, for office equipment and premises. Total minimum annual payments under these leases for the years after June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	\$
	07.420
2011	95,429
2012	90,179
2013	81,375
2011 2012 2013 2014	95,429 90,179 81,375 14,166
	281,149

Rental expense for office equipment and premises was \$151,189 in 2010 [\$132,941in 2009].

[b] Contingencies

General

On October 6, 2005 a statement of claim was filed against our company in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Canada) by Abuma Manufacturing Limited, one of our vendors, in which they claimed additional charges for work performed and refute our claim for back charges on a specific project. The claim is for CAD \$95,647 in respect of unpaid accounts,

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES cont'd

CAD \$50,000 for aggravated, punitive and/or exemplary damages, interest on the past due accounts and costs of the action. It is our position that the claims are without merit and we have filed a statement of defense and counter-claim. Each company has filed an affidavit of documents and discovery of both has been conducted. It is still our contention that the claims are without merit. As such, there is no accrual for an adverse outcome.

On November 10, 2009 a statement of claim was served against our company and one of our customers in the United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania by a competitor, in which it was claimed that a contract awarded to our company in June 2009 had infringed on one of their patents. This patent infringement case, related to our TurboSorb Spray-Dry Absorption (SDA) technology, has been settled. As a consequence of this settlement, TurboSonic is licensed to pursue SDA opportunities in the US and Canada and continues to freely pursue opportunities in all international markets. The settlement did not have a material effect on our company.

Letters of Guarantee and Bonding

In order to favorably affect our cash position, it is our practice to issue standby letters of guarantee to foreign customers in return for the early release of advance payments prior to shipment and holdbacks which span the warranty period of the projects. Collateral for these standby letters of guarantee is provided by Export Development Canada on a paid-fee basis. We have also purchased insurance against wrongful call of these standby letters of guarantee for up to 95% of their face value.

The following is a list of the outstanding standby letters of credit at June 30, 2010, together with the reason for issuance and the expiry dates:

Expiry Dates	Reason US\$			
October 15, 2010	Advance payment	173,000 EUR	211,198	
October 28, 2010	Holdback	721,250 US\$	721,250	
January 23, 2011	Holdback	158,000 EUR	192,886	
February 25, 2011	Holdback	25,035 US\$	25,035	
March 31, 2011	Holdback	306,924 US\$	306,924	
May 17, 2011	Holdback	17,400 US\$	21,242	
July 31, 2011	Holdback	180,000 EUR	219,744	
September 17, 2011	Performance	173,000 EUR	211,198	
October 31, 2011	Holdback	15,750 US\$	15,750	
September 28, 2012	Holdback	67,000 EUR	81,794	
			2,007,021	

Additionally, at the time of negotiation of certain contracts, we are requested by our customers to provide performance and labor and material bonds, although no performance or labor and material bonds are outstanding at this time. Collateral for these standby letters of credit and bonds is provided by Export Development Canada on a paid-fee basis. We have agreed to fully indemnify Export Development Canada should there be any call on these standby letters of credit and bonds.

11: CREDIT FACILITY

During 2010, we renewed a credit facility with a major Canadian bank for a total of USD \$6.4 million, together with a demand loan for computer equipment. This facility includes a demand operating line of CAD \$1.5 million secured by our receivables, a demand credit for standby letters of credit for USD \$4.25 million secured by a general security agreement and guarantees provided by Export Development Canada on a fee-for-service basis, plus a demand credit for foreign exchange contracts for USD \$750,000. At June 30, 2010 we had standby letters of credit for approximately \$2,007,021 (\$2,359,624 in 2009) in place with various customers in order to receive cash proceeds ahead of customer-specified milestones (see note 10 (b)). There were no drawdowns against the operating or foreign exchange credit lines at June 30, 2010 (nil at June 30, 2009).

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock

We have total authorized share capital of 30,000,000 shares of common stock. In connection with our consolidation with Turbotak Technologies Inc., on August 27, 1997, the stockholders of Turbotak Technologies Inc. exchanged their shares for the Class B exchangeable shares of a wholly owned subsidiary of our company. These shares are exchangeable, at any time, at the election of the holders of such shares, into an equivalent number of shares of our common stock. The Class B exchangeable shares have voting rights through a trustee. During fiscal 2010, 251,461 Class B exchangeable shares [433,131 in 2009] were exchanged for our common stock, leaving 155,512 Class B exchangeable shares outstanding as of June 30, 2010 [406,973 at June 30, 2009].

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY cont'd

At the end of fiscal 2010, a total of 14,982,542 of our common stock and 155,512 Class B exchangeable shares of the subsidiary were outstanding.

Stock-based compensation

We instituted the 2000 Stock Plan [the "2000 Plan"] with the express purpose of encouraging our key employees, as well as other individuals who render services to us, by providing opportunities to participate in the ownership of our company. The plan provides for the grant of non-qualified options, stock awards and stock purchase rights. Collectively, these are referred to as stock rights. The 2000 Plan was not submitted to Stockholders for approval. The 2000 Plan expired February 2010 and no further options may be issued under that Plan.

A stock plan [the "2003 Plan"] was approved by our stockholders at our annual meeting held December 10, 2002. The 2003 Plan has terms similar to the 2000 Plan.

A stock plan [the "2008 Plan"] was approved by our stockholders at our annual meeting held December 11, 2007. The 2008 Plan has terms similar to the 2000 and 2003 Plan [collectively the "Plans"] with one difference – commencing on December 11, 2007 and thereafter on the date of each successive annual meeting of stockholders during the term of the 2008 Plan, each person who is elected or re-elected as a director of our company will be granted a non-qualified stock option to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price per share of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant of such options.

The Plans are administered by the Board of Directors or a committee established by the Board of Directors. The Board [or committee] shall determine to whom such stock rights may be granted, determine at which times the stock rights shall be granted and determine the time or times when each option shall become exercisable and the duration of the exercise period. The exercise price per each stock option granted under the Plans shall be not less than the fair market value per share of common stock on the date of such grant.

The stock, subject to stock rights, shall be authorized unissued shares of our common stock or shares of common stock reacquired by us in any manner. As originally adopted, the aggregate number of shares that could be issued pursuant to the Plans was 937,500 [after the July 2005 5-for-4 stock split] under the 2000 Plan, 625,000 under the 2003 Plan and 800,000 under the 2008 Plan, subject to certain adjustments.

Stock-based compensation expenses of \$79,369 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2010 (\$69,662 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2009) are included as selling, general and administrative expenses in our financial statements.

A summary of the significant assumptions used in calculating the fair value, using the Black-Scholes method, of our fiscal 2010 and 2009 stock option grants is as follows:

FY 2009 and 2010 Grants			
All Directors Independent Directors		Executive Officers	
December 11, 2008	December 10, 2009	December 10, 2009	
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
101.00%	98.63%	110.84%	
1.51%	1.46%	2.33%	
4.80	4.00	6.00	
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
\$0.30	\$0.79	\$0.79	
140,000	100,000	65,000	
\$0.22	\$0.54	\$0.66	
	December 11, 2008 0.00% 101.00% 1.51% 4.80 0.00% \$0.30 140,000	All Directors Independent Directors December 11, 2008 December 10, 2009 0.00% 0.00% 101.00% 98.63% 1.51% 1.46% 4.80 4.00 0.00% 0.00% \$0.30 \$0.79 140,000 100,000	

Estimated volatility is based on the historical volatility of our common stock. Vesting of the options granted to Directors was immediate. Vesting of the options granted to executive officers was after three years for one officer and after five years for two officers.

A summary of the status of stock options under our 2000, 2003 and 2008 Plans as at June 30, 2009 and changes during fiscal 2010, is as follows:

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY cont'd

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2009	698,000	\$0.8114	\$0.5043	3.7	\$301,345
Stock options exerciseable at June 30, 2009	535,500	\$0.7239	\$0.4267	4.1	\$278,595
Stock options forfeited	(15,000)	\$0.9250	\$0.5900		
Stock options expired	0	\$0.0000	\$0.0000		
Stock options granted	165,000	\$0.7900	\$0.5873		
Stock options exercised	(8,000)	\$0.7500	\$0.4200		
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2010	840,000	\$0.8006	\$0.5148	3.7	\$14,000
2007 Stock options vested in fiscal 2010	142,500	\$1.1000	\$0.7600		
2010 Stock options vested in fiscal 2010	100,000	\$0.7900	\$0.5400		
Stock options exerciseable at June 30, 2010	775,000	\$0.8015	\$0.5026	3.4	\$14,000

As of June 30, 2010, there was \$37,472 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested stock options granted under our 2003 Plan in fiscal 2010. This cost is expected to be recognized over a straight-line period of 54 months, commencing July 2010. The total fair value of stock options that vested during fiscal 2010 and 2009 was \$162,455 and \$31,166, respectively.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value or the aggregate difference between the closing price of our common stock on June 30, 2010 of \$0.40 and the excess over the exercise price for in-the-money options that would have been received by optionees if all options had been exercised on June 30, 2010.

13. INCOME TAXES

We believe our income tax provision at June 30, 2010 reflects a full accounting of our tax filings. We are not currently under Internal Revenue Service, state, local or foreign jurisdiction tax examinations. We recognize interest and penalties, as estimated or incurred, as selling, general and administrative expense. We are subject to ongoing review by tax authorities in the jurisdictions in which it operates. We regularly assess the status of any examinations and the potential for adverse outcomes to determine the adequacy of the provision for income taxes. Our Scientific Research and Experimental Investment Tax Credit ("ITC") claims as part of our company's fiscal 2009 and 2010 Canadian corporate tax filings are not yet complete nor filed. As a result, our ITC tax advisors have estimated the size of the claims at \$263,949 and we have recorded a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets of \$65,987 (25% of claim), related to Scientific Research and Experimental ITCs.

Details of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
Current: - U.S.	1,967	18,594	
- Canadian	(264,991)	202,563	
- Italian	44,890	9,472	
Total current taxes	(218,134)	230,629	
Deferred: - U.S.	(657,456)	109,292	
- Canadian	(34,892)	289,317	
Total deferred taxes	(692,348)	398,609	
Income tax provision	(910,482)	629,238	

13. INCOME TAXES continued

Components of the tax provision are as follows:

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
Provision for income tax based on basic U.S. tax rates	(580,485)	123,921	
Provision for income tax based on basic U.S. state tax rates	(83,048)	11,625	
Provision for income taxes based			
on basic Canadian federal income tax rates	(20,977)	372,239	
Provision for income taxes based			
on basic Canadian provincial income tax rates	(15,874)	270,719	
Provision for income taxes based			
on basic Italian federal income tax rates	48,448	9,472	
Non-deductible permanent differences	(32,305)	(28,179)	
Investment tax credits recognized	(215,486)	(139,686)	
Provincial transitional tax debit recognized	7,376	6,695	
Other	(18,131)	2,432	
	(910,482)	629,238	

Italian taxes are applicable to the European branch office and are included in our Canadian subsidiary.

The following is a summary of the statutory income tax rates used:

	2010	2009	
	%	%	
U.S.	34.0	34.0	
U.S state	6.3	3.8	
Canadian federal	0.3 18.5	3.8 19.3	
	14.0	14.0	
Canadian provincial			
Italian federal	27.5	41.4	
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes:			
(2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
U.S.	(1,707,309)	358,176	
Canadian	(178,326)	1,826,967	
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes	(1,885,635)	2,185,143	
Income taxes paid are as follows:	2010	2009	
	\$	<u> </u>	
T4-1: C- 11	72 521	9.246	
Italian federal	72,521	8,246	
U.S. federal	2,000	20.197	
U.S. state	13,970	20,187	
Canadian federal	134,030		
Canadian provincial	128,105		
	350,626	28,433	
Income tax refunds received are as follows:			
	2010	2009	
	<u> </u>	\$	
Constitute for Joseph	05 (3)		
Canadian federal	85,636	40.226	
Canadian provincial	47,576	49,326	
U.S. state	133,212	10,048 59,374	

13. INCOME TAXES continued

We have unutilized operating losses in the United States of approximately \$2,540,000 available for carry forward to reduce income taxes otherwise payable in future years. This amount includes purchased loss carry forwards of approximately \$166,000, which, if not utilized, will expire in 2012. A total of \$942,000 relating to prior year purchased operating losses was available to apply against current year income.

In Canada, we have unutilized operating taxable losses of approximately \$60,739.

As it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefits of deductible temporary differences, we are required under ASC Subtopic 740-10 to record deferred income tax assets. Deferred tax liabilities and assets are comprised of the following as at June 30:

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
Difference between tax and accounting basis of property and equipment	8,914	4,763	
Reserves not currently deductible	27,558	28,676	
Net operating loss carryforward	966,528	273,985	
Investment tax credits, not currently deductible	106,261		
Provincial transitional tax debit	(21,931)		
Total deferred tax assets	1,087,330	307,424	
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(65,987)		
Net deferred tax asset (liabilities)	1,021,343	307,424	

The income tax provision at June 30, 2010 reflects a full accounting of our tax filings under ASC subtopic 740-10. We are not currently under internal revenue service, state, local or foreign jurisdiction tax examinations. We recognize interest and penalties, as estimated or incurred, as selling, general and administrative expense.

14. (LOSS) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of (loss) earnings per share. The effect of dilutive securities is included only when dilutive.

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
Numerator			
Net (loss) income	(975,153)	1,555,905	
Denominator			
Denominator for earnings per share -			•
weighted average shares outstanding	15,137,528	15,130,054	
Denominator for diluted earnings per share -			
adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions	15,137,528	15,168,536	
Basic (loss) earnings per share	(\$0.06)	\$0.10	*
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	(\$0.06)	\$0.10	

The dilutive loss per common share calculated for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 excludes the effect of all options outstanding. These amounts were excluded since their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

15. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION ON CASH FLOWS

	2010 ©	2009 \$	
	Φ	J	
Changes in non-cash assets and liabilities related to operations:			
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	2,844,963	(1,839,277)	
(Increase) in retentions receivable	(196,129)	(332,563)	
Decrease (increase) in inventories	34,144	(15,396)	
Decrease in deferred contract costs and unbilled revenue	562,539	154,140	
(Increase) in income taxes receivable	(405,925)	_	
Decrease (increase) in other current assets	11,664	(10,662)	
(Increase) in other assets	(133)	_	
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable	(2,557,080)	1,787,096	
(Decrease) increase in accrued compensation charges	(492,688)	455,977	
(Decrease) increase in accrued other charges	(159,361)	409,175	
(Decrease) in unearned revenue and contract advances	(2,025,581)	(243,263)	
Increase in income taxes payable		279,731	
	(2,383,587)	644,958	

16. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

We offer a range of products and systems, incorporating diverse technologies, to address the industrial process, air pollution control and other environmental management needs of our customers. We report to stockholders on the two business segments into which management classifies the business – OEM systems and Aftermarket. OEM systems generally involve long-term contracts which require performance [i.e., design, construction and performance testing] over a time span which may extend one or more accounting periods. The Aftermarket business segment involves upgrades to air pollution systems supplied by ourselves and others, together with service and spare parts for OEM systems and nozzles to be incorporated in systems to be provided by others.

There are no inter-segment sales, transfers or profit or loss.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Contract revenue and sales are broken down geographically as follows:

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
United States	9,245,400	17,885,500	
Canada	503,000	1,117,800	
Asia	259,300	40,000	
Spain	607,100	4,315,000	
Other Europe	2,115,800	1,757,000	
South and Central America	948,800	109,200	
Other	206,653	180,975	
	13,886,053	25,405,475	

The long-lived assets of the two business segments are located in Canada and the US, except for intangible assets related to the wet electrostatic precipitator and certain nozzle technologies. These technologies are valued at nil, having been written-down in fiscal 2003. The following table summarizes certain financial data for the customers whom accounted for 10.0% or more of revenue or accounts receivable. No other customer accounted for 10.0% or more of revenue in any of the years presented.

	2010	2009	
	\$	\$	
Single largest customer			
Revenue	29%	22%	
Accounts receivable	15%	31%	
Second largest customer			
Revenue	15%	17%	
Accounts receivable	32%	16%	
Third largest customer			
Revenue	9%	12%	
Accounts receivable	14%	6%	

Contract revenue and sales are broken down by product line as follows:

	2010 \$	2009 \$	
Catalytic Gas Treatment Systems	3,790,500		
SoniCool™ Evaporative Gas Cooling and Conditioning Systems	1,691,200	6,549,400	
SonicKleen TM Wet Electrostatic Precipitation Systems (WESP)	2,486,500	14,249,800	
TurboSorb Semi-dry Scrubber Systems	2,997,500	1,307,500	
Wet Scrubbers and Gas Absorbers	2,142,500	2,423,100	
Atomizing Nozzles, Service and Spare Parts	777,853	875,675	
	13,886,053	25,405,475	

16. SEGMENTED INFORMATION cont'd

Industry segments

	OEM	A £4 l 4	Other	700 . 4 . N	
2010	Systems \$	Aftermarket \$	Other \$	Total	
Contract revenue and sales	D	3	3	\$	
OEM systems	10,798,683			10 700 602	
Aftermarket	10,790,003	2 007 270	_	10,798,683	
Total contract revenue and sales	10 700 602	3,087,370		3,087,370	·-
	10,798,683	3,087,370		13,886,053	
(Loss) income from operations Interest income	(2,189,802)	305,418	_	(1,884,384)	
	5,880	1,681		7,561	
Interest expense	(6,853)	(1,959)		(8,812)	
(Loss) income before provision	(A 400 PP =)	***			
for income taxes	(2,190,775)	305,140		(1,885,635)	
Recovery of income taxes	(708,049)	(202,433)		(910,482)	
Net (loss) income	(1,482,726)	507,573		(975,153)	
D 10 1 1 1 1					
Depreciation and amortization	157,166	39,291		196,457	
Capital expenditures	32,229	13,812		46,041	
Segment assets	4,164,909	1,234,829	2,036,529 ¹	7,436,267	
Property and equipment	184,124	46,031	_	230,155	
Goodwill	_	398,897	_	398,897	
¹ – Cash and cash equivalen		' between business s	egments.		
	OEM Systems	Aftermarket	Other	Total	
2009	\$ \$	S	S S	10tai \$	
Contract revenue and sales		Ψ		Ψ	
OEM systems	21,148,205			21,148,205	
Aftermarket	21,140,205	4,257,271		4,257,271	
Total contract revenue and sales	21,148,205	4,257,271		25,405,475	
Income from operations	1,430,715	733,940			
Interest income	1,430,713	3,782	_	2,164,651	
Interest meome Interest expense	(1,729)	(348)	_	22,569	
Income before provision	(1,/29)	(340)		(2,077)	
for income taxes	1 447 774	727 272		2 105 142	
Provision for income taxes	1,447,774	737,373		2,185,143	
	523,795	105,443		629,238	
Net income	923,979	631,926		1,555,905	
Depreciation and amortization	120.452	22 612		162.065	
	130,452	32,613	_	163,065	
Capital expenditures	198,698	49,675		248,373	
Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Segment assets	198,698 6,183,723	49,675 1,675,446	5,621,166 ¹	248,373 13,480,335	
Capital expenditures	198,698	49,675	5,621,166 ¹	248,373	

¹ – Cash and cash equivalents are not allocated between business segments.

17. ACCRUED CHARGES - OTHER

Accrued charges - other are as follows:

	2010 \$	2009 \$	
Accrued charges – payable to customer	130,000	113,630	
Accrued charges - other	395,902	378,814	
	525,902	492,444	

18. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

The comparative consolidated financial statements have been reclassified from statements previously presented to conform to the presentation of the current year consolidated financial statements. This involved reclassifying the warranty accrual of \$86,242 from accrued other charges into accrued warranty provision. The total amount of current and total liabilities has not changed.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TURBOSONIC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ Edward F. Spink

Edward F. Spink

Chief Executive Officer

Date:

September 27, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Capacity	Date
/s/ Edward F. Spink	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	September 27, 2010
Edward F. Spink	And Director	
/s/ Egbert Q. van Everdingen	President, Secretary, Treasurer and Director	September 27, 2010
Egbert Q. van Everdingen		
/s/ Carl A. Young	Chief Financial Officer	September 27, 2010
Carl A. Young	(Principal Financial Officer)	
/s David J. Hobson	VP Finance and Administration	September 27, 2010
David J. Hobson	(Principal Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Ken Kivenko Ken Kivenko	Chairman of the Board of Directors	September 27, 2010
/s/ Richard H. Hurd Richard H. Hurd	Director	September 27, 2010
/s/ Glen O. Wright Glen O. Wright	Director	September 27, 2010
/s/ Raymond L. Alarie Raymond L. Alarie	Director	September 27, 2010
/s/ F. Eugene Deszca F. Eugene Deszca	Director	September 27, 2010

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Ken Kivenko, P.Eng 1

Chairman of the Board TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. President

Kenmar Consulting

Edward F. Spink

Chief Executive Officer TurboSonic Technologies, Inc.

Egbert O. van Everdingen

President, Secretary & Treasurer TurboSonic Technologies, Inc.

Richard H. Hurd¹

Special Assistant to the Treasurer of the State of New Jersey

Glen O. Wright²

President GPark Consulting Ltd.

Raymond L. Alarie, P.Eng 1&2 Retired

F. Eugene Deszca, Ph.D²

Professor

Wilfrid Laurier University

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

AUDITORS

Deloitte & Touche, LLP 4210 King Street East Kitchener, Ontario N2P 2G5 Canada

LEGAL COUNSEL

US Corporate & Securities SNR Denton US LLP Two World Financial Center 225 Liberty Street New York, New York 10281-2699 **USA**

Canadian Corporate White, Duncan, Ostner & Linton LLP 45 Erb Street East Waterloo, Ontario N2J 4B5 Canada

Canadian Securities Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP **Suite 1020** 50 Oueen Street North Kitchener, Ontario N2H 6M2 Canada

OFFICERS & KEY MANAGEMENT

Edward F. Spink

Chief Executive Officer

Egbert van Everdingen

President, Secretary & Treasurer

Carl A. Young, CA

Chief Financial Officer

David J. Hobson

V.P. Finance & Administration

Richard C. Gimpel

V.P. Marketing & Sales

Robert A. Allan, P.Eng

V.P. Engineering

Luca Finzi

General Manager - Italian Branch

INVESTOR INFORMATION

Transfer Agent

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 59 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038 **USA** (212) 936-5100

Trading Market

OTC Bulletin Board Ticker Symbol: TSTA

Annual Meeting

The annual meeting of stockholders will be held on Thursday, December 9, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. local time, at the Waterloo Inn, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

Investor Information

Investors, stockbrokers, security analysts and others seeking information about TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. should contact:

Edward Spink Chief Executive Officer TurboSonic Technologies, Inc. 550 Parkside Drive, Suite A-14 Waterloo, Ontario N2L 5V4 Canada (519) 885-5513 x214 email: ir@turbosonic.com

¹ Audit and Governance Committee

² Human Resources and Compensation Committee

Designers and Suppliers of Quality Air Pollution Control and Industrial Process Improvement Technologies



Corporate Office: 550 Parkside Drive, Suite A-14 Waterloo, ON N2L 5V4

Canada

Tel: (519) 885-5513 Fax: (519) 885-6992

Investor Inquiries: ir@turbosonic.com

Corporate Inquiries: info@turbosonic.com



www.turbosonic.com