




2010
ANNUAL
REPORT

Celebrating our Past Building our Future




THE MARCUS CORPORATION


FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Marcus Corporation is a leader in the lodging and entertainment industries. Marcus Theatres® currently owns or manages 668 screens at 54 locations in Wisconsin, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota and Ohio. Marcus® Hotels and Resorts currently owns or manages a distinctive portfolio of 19 hotels, resorts and other properties in ten states.


Revenues⁽¹⁾
(in millions)



Net Earnings
(in millions)




Operating Income⁽¹⁾
(in millions)



(1) Fiscal 2006-2007 exclude discontinued operations (limited-service lodging, the Miramonte Resort and Marcus Vacation Club).



Gregory S. Marcus
President and
Chief Executive Officer



Stephen H. Marcus
Chairman

| | Fiscal Year May 27, 2010 | Fiscal Year May 28, 2009 | Percent Change |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| OPERATIONS (in millions) | | | |
| Revenues | \$379.1 | \$383.5 | (1.2)% |
| Operating Income | 36.2 | 43.4 | (16.6)% |
| Net Earnings | 16.1 | 17.2 | (6.3)% |
| PER COMMON SHARE (diluted) | | | |
| Net Earnings | 0.54 | 0.58 | (6.9)% |
| Cash Dividends | 0.34 | 0.34 | — |
| FINANCIAL POSITION (dollars in millions) | | | |
| Shareholders' Equity | \$335.8 | \$327.4 | 2.6% |
| Total Assets | \$704.4 | \$711.5 | (1.0)% |
| Debt/Capitalization Ratio | .41 | .44 | |

DEAR SHAREHOLDERS

THIS YEAR, we celebrate the 75th anniversary of The Marcus Corporation. Starting a business, nurturing it and growing it over three generations is a pretty remarkable accomplishment, especially when you consider that very few companies achieve this milestone, much less remain vibrant and thriving.

A lot has changed since Ben Marcus, the founder of our business, along with his partner the late Irwin Swirnoff, opened our first movie theatre in Ripon, Wisconsin, on November 1, 1935. From that single theatre, we have grown to become the sixth largest motion picture circuit in the United States. We expanded into the lodging business in 1962 and now own or manage approximately 5,200 hotel rooms in ten states.

Over the years, innovations in technology have transformed the way films are shown and hotel reservations are made. In-theatre dining, cocktail lounges and digital 3D are shaping today's movie theatre business, just as spas, golf courses, water parks and creative restaurant concepts are defining the lodging industry.

CELEBRATING OUR PAST

But as the proverb says, the more things change, the more they stay the same.

We're still in the motion picture business and just as they were in the golden age of the 1930s, movies remain one of the least expensive and most widely available forms of out-of-home entertainment in the country. The desire of our hotel guests for an attractive, clean and comfortable room or the perfect setting for a business meeting or social event hasn't changed either.

We owe a great deal of our success to the philosophy of maintaining a strong balance sheet. This founding principle has enabled us to survive severe economic downturns, while fueling our expansion and innovation. Our solid balance sheet also enables us to continually update our facilities and introduce new amenities that capture the ever-changing imagination of our guests.

Ben's first movie theatre was an outstanding success because he recognized that when his guests left the auditorium, all they took with them was the memory of their experience. Our associates make that experience the best it can be. The entrepreneurial yet familial culture that was created in the early years continues today and we're proud that many of our associates have stayed with us for 20, 30 and even 40 years.

Of course, an effective team needs excellent leaders. We have an exceptional Board of Directors that provides valuable support and guidance. We also have a dedicated and experienced management team planning our future and executing our strategies.

Another founding principle is giving back to our communities. In recognition of our 75th anniversary, we challenged our associates to donate a total of 75,000 hours of volunteer time to local non-profit organizations. Although the program will not end until November 1, we are confident we will achieve our goal. The enthusiasm and support of our associates has been tremendous. They truly personify the campaign theme of "moving forward, giving back."

MAKING PROGRESS IN FISCAL 2010

We don't need to tell you that the economic environment during the past year was challenging, to say the least. This made fiscal 2010 very difficult for Marcus® Hotels and Resorts, with revenue per available room (RevPAR) down 8.5% for the year.

But we made progress late in the year, when the division ended seven quarters of reduced results with a 15-point increase in occupancy percentage and a 19% increase in RevPAR in the fourth quarter. Our experience is consistent with past lodging-industry recoveries, with occupancy rebounding first, followed by rates. Although in this current economic environment it will take some time before our results return to pre-recession levels, we are very optimistic about the positive trends.

Marcus Theatres® achieved record revenues and operating income for the second consecutive year. Six of our top 15 films for the year were in digital 3D, underscoring the popularity of this dramatic, life-like technology. We continued

Our ability to embrace change and make it work to our advantage is based on a set of principles our founder established 75 years ago.

to expand digital 3D across our circuit and now offer this exciting entertainment concept at nearly 80% of our first-run theatres.

Of course, even after nearly 75 years in the theatre business, there are always challenges.

During fiscal 2010, we dealt with rising film costs and new discussions about the timing between when a picture appears in first-run theatres and when it is available in alternate channels of distribution. While the studios may experiment with the period of exclusivity provided to movie theatres, we believe they must be extremely cautious when tampering with a successful business model that has stood the test of time.

Looking at the numbers for the year, our total revenues were \$379.1 million in fiscal 2010, compared to \$383.5 million in the prior year. Net earnings were \$16.1 million, or \$0.54 per diluted common share, for fiscal 2010, compared to net earnings of \$17.2 million, or \$0.58 per diluted common share, in fiscal 2009. Our balance sheet remains solid, with a debt-to-total-capitalization ratio of 41% at the end of the year. We currently have over \$124 million available under our existing credit lines. In addition, we repurchased more than 350,000 shares of our common stock at the end of the fourth quarter and early in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 under an existing Board authorization.

BUILDING OUR FUTURE

Our ability to embrace change and make it work to our advantage is based on a set of principles our founder established 75 years ago. The concepts of maintaining a strong financial position, owning and maintaining our real estate assets, focusing on quality and value, managing for the long term and building shareholder value will be just as relevant in the years ahead as they were back in 1935.

The history of The Marcus Corporation is a true American success story. A smart, hard-working immigrant laid the foundation for a company whose current breadth and reach would both please and surprise him. Celebrating our past creates energy, excitement and anticipation for the future — and the many opportunities it will bring for our shareholders, customers and associates.



Stephen H. Marcus
Chairman




Gregory S. Marcus
President and Chief Executive Officer

August 19, 2010



Rivoli Theatre, La Crosse, Wis. 1953



Marcus Theatres® continues to enhance its theatres. Renovations at the Coral Ridge Cinema in Coralville, Iowa, were completed in May 2010

“Ours is a mission to make others happy. What a pleasure to be so engaged!” This message from Ben Marcus welcomed customers to the November 1, 1935 opening of the Campus Theatre in Ripon, Wisconsin.

While the designs and amenities of movie theatres have changed dramatically, the primary reason people come to a Marcus Theatres® location is the same today as it was back then. Seeing a first-run film on the big screen is a communal entertainment experience unlike any other.

Building on Ben's strategy of offering superlative entertainment in luxurious surroundings, we're continually improving that experience. Through the years, our strong balance sheet has enabled us to build and acquire new theatres, add new screens and enhance existing locations, as well as introduce new technology and features.

Marcus Theatres®

THEN NOW

Campus Theatre in Ripon, Wis. opened on November 1, 1935

Sixth largest theatre circuit in the U.S.; owns or operates 668 screens at 54 locations in seven Midwestern states

The CinemaScope process enabled widescreen presentations

First 70-foot-wide signature UltraScreen® introduced in 1999; now 13 throughout the circuit

Concession stand offered popcorn, candy and soda

Expanded food and beverage concepts include Zaffiro's pizza, burgers, appetizers and upscale cocktail lounges

Theatres in the 1960s had one concession stand, a single ticket counter and 3D glasses with red and blue lenses

Digital 3D technology, stadium seating, online ticketing, in-theatre dining and reserved VIP seating are the latest theatre amenities

New theatres in the 1970s had two or three single-screen auditoriums

Theatres average 12.4 screens per location

75 YEARS OF INNOVATION

Digital 3D is the newest technology in the industry, putting movie-goers right into the center of the action. In fiscal 2010, we continued to expand digital 3D throughout our circuit and went one step further, introducing digital 3D at eight of our gigantic 70-foot-wide UltraScreens®. We are among the first exhibitors in the U.S. to provide this industry-leading large-screen 3D technology, which we have branded UltraScreen® XL3D. We now offer digital 3D at nearly 80% of our first-run locations.

We are transforming selected theatres into complete entertainment destinations, where CineDine® in-theatre dining, sophisticated cocktail lounges and expanded menus featuring Zaffiro's™ thincredible™ pizza have proven to be popular with our guests. Alternate programming featuring live entertainment and special events further expands our audience and provides additional revenues.

Combine all these innovations with service that exceeds the expectations of our guests, and it's easy to see how the solid business model Ben Marcus created in 1935 has provided the foundation for 75 years of growth and success for Marcus Theatres.

Marcus® Hotels & Resorts

THEN NOW

Purchased The Pfister Hotel in Milwaukee, Wis. in 1962

Operated a total of 185 rooms in 1962

First two hotels were historic properties

Hotel experience featured a comfortable bed, attractive rooms and fine dining

The Crowne Plaza Northstar hotel in Minneapolis, Minn. was the first managed property outside of Wisconsin

Owens or manages 19 hotels, resorts and other properties

Approximately 5,200 rooms under management

Portfolio includes upscale city hotels, luxury resorts, suburban properties and historic masterpieces

Hotel experience includes signature restaurants, relaxing spas, challenging golf courses and indoor water parks

Presence has expanded to major markets in 10 states

The purchase of The Pfister® Hotel in Milwaukee in 1962 marked The Marcus Corporation's entry into the full-service lodging business — a significant step that has served the company well for nearly five decades.

The Marcus® Hotels and Resorts portfolio has grown to include eight company-owned properties and 11 properties managed for other owners. We manage both independent and branded hotels that include Starwood, InterContinental and Hilton properties. As we celebrate our past, we remain committed to our promise of delivering quality, value and exceptional service to our guests.

A CUSTOMER-FOCUSED CULTURE

The dynamics of the lodging industry in 2010 are much different than they were in the 1960s. Today, a comfortable bed in an attractive room in a good location is a given. What really sets a property apart is the total guest experience. For us, this begins with our culture of guest service and the empowerment of our associates to connect with all of our guests and satisfy their every need. It also includes special features such as our fun-filled water parks, championship golf courses, signature restaurants and relaxing spas — amenities that make an already special hotel an exciting and unique destination for both business and leisure travelers.





In fiscal 2010, we focused on effectively using the Internet to manage revenues and capture customers. We also made significant investments in our properties at a time when others have found it difficult to do so. These investments are paying off, as our growth in revenue per available room (RevPAR) for our fiscal 2010 fourth quarter outperformed our segment of the lodging industry.

From the very beginning, Ben Marcus established the principles that enabled us to build a reputation for excellence. His core values of remaining financially strong, maintaining and enhancing our properties, and focusing on our guests are the major reason why we not only survived one of the worst downturns in the lodging industry, but are well positioned to become one of the leading hotel management companies in the years ahead.

Recent investments position Marcus® Hotels and Resorts for growth. An extensive renovation project at the Hilton Milwaukee was recently completed

The Pfister® Hotel, Milwaukee, Wis.





**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended May 27, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-12604

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Wisconsin
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

39-1139844
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
(Address of principal executive offices)

53202-4125
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (414) 905-1000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| <u>Title of Each Class</u> | <u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u> |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Common stock, \$1.00 par value | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common equity held by non-affiliates as of November 26, 2009 was approximately \$256,000,000. This value includes all shares of the registrant's common stock, except for treasury shares and shares beneficially owned by the registrant's directors and executive officers listed in Part I below.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock outstanding at August 5, 2010—20,769,509

Class B common stock outstanding at August 5, 2010—8,854,179

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2010 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the Commission under Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year, will be incorporated by reference into Part III to the extent indicated therein upon such filing.

PART I

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the accompanying annual report to shareholders, particularly in the Shareholders' Letter and Management's Discussion and Analysis, are "forward-looking statements" intended to qualify for the safe harbors from liability established by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements may generally be identified as such because the context of such statements include words such as we "believe," "anticipate," "expect" or words of similar import. Similarly, statements that describe our future plans, objectives or goals are also forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which may cause results to differ materially from those expected, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) the availability, in terms of both quantity and audience appeal, of motion pictures for our theatre division, as well as other industry dynamics such as the maintenance of a suitable window between the date such motion pictures are released in theatres and the date they are released to other distribution channels; (2) the effects of increasing depreciation expenses, reduced operating profits during major property renovations, and preopening and start-up costs due to the capital intensive nature of our businesses; (3) the effects of adverse economic conditions in our markets, particularly with respect to our hotels and resorts division; (4) the effects of adverse weather conditions, particularly during the winter in the Midwest and in our other markets; (5) the effects on our occupancy and room rates from the relative industry supply of available rooms at comparable lodging facilities in our markets; (6) the effects of competitive conditions in our markets; (7) our ability to identify properties to acquire, develop and/or manage and continuing availability of funds for such development; and (8) the adverse impact on business and consumer spending on travel, leisure and entertainment resulting from terrorist attacks in the United States, the United States' responses thereto and subsequent hostilities. Shareholders, potential investors and other readers are urged to consider these factors carefully in evaluating the forward-looking statements and are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements made herein are made only as of the date of this Form 10-K and we undertake no obligation to publicly update such forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

Item 1. Business.

General

We are engaged primarily in two business segments: movie theatres and hotels and resorts.

As of May 27, 2010, our theatre operations included 54 movie theatres with 668 screens throughout Wisconsin, Ohio, Illinois, Minnesota, North Dakota, Nebraska and Iowa, including two movie theatres with 11 screens in Wisconsin and Nebraska owned by third parties but managed by us. We also operate a family entertainment center, *Funset Boulevard*, that is adjacent to one of our theatres in Appleton, Wisconsin. We are currently the 6th largest theatre circuit in the United States.

As of May 27, 2010, our hotels and resorts operations included 8 owned and operated hotels and resorts in Wisconsin, Missouri, Illinois and Oklahoma. We also manage 11 hotels, resorts and other properties for third parties in Wisconsin, Minnesota, Ohio, Texas, Arizona, Missouri, Nevada and California. As of May 27, 2010, we owned or managed nearly 5,200 hotel and resort rooms.

Both of these business segments are discussed in detail below. For information regarding the revenues, operating income or loss, assets and certain other financial information of these segments for the last three fiscal years, please see our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Note 11 in Part II below.

Strategic Plans

Please see our discussion under "Current Plans" in Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Theatre Operations

At the end of fiscal 2010, we owned or operated 54 movie theatre locations with a total of 668 screens in Wisconsin, Illinois, Minnesota, Ohio, North Dakota, Nebraska and Iowa for an average of 12.4 screens per location, compared to an average of 12.5 and 12.1 screens per location at the end of fiscal 2009 and 2008, respectively. Included in the 2010 total are two theatres with 11 screens that we manage for other owners. We managed one theatre with 6 screens for another owner during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008. Our 52 company-owned facilities include 32 megaplex theatres (12 or more screens), representing 75% of our total screens, 19 multiplex theatres (two to 11 screens) and one single-screen theatre. At fiscal year-end, we operated 647 first-run screens, 11 of which are operated under management contracts, and 21 budget-oriented screens.

In May 2009, we opened our circuit's 13th UltraScreen® at our newly-renovated North Shore Cinema in Mequon, Wisconsin. In addition, in November 2009, we opened the new Marcus Midtown Cinema at Midtown Crossing in Omaha, Nebraska. We are managing this unique upscale four-level, five-screen entertainment destination for the owner, Mutual of Omaha. This theatre offers our exclusive *CineDine*SM in-theatre dining concept in all five auditoriums and also features *Zaffiro's* pizza. The theatre also features two sophisticated cocktail lounges, meeting and event space and a full catering service. The initial response to this distinctive new theatre has been positive.

We continue to review opportunities to build additional new locations and recently purchased land in Sun Prairie, Wisconsin with plans to build a new theatre to replace the existing Eastgate Theatre in Madison, Wisconsin. We currently own land in six different communities that may be used for new theatres at a future date. We also will continue to consider additional potential acquisitions as opportunities arise.

Revenues for the theatre business, and the motion picture industry in general, are heavily dependent on the general audience appeal of available films, together with studio marketing, advertising and support campaigns, factors over which we have no control. Consistent with prior years in which blockbusters accounted for a significant portion of our total box office, our top 15 performing films accounted for 42% of our fiscal 2010 box office receipts compared to 32% during fiscal 2009. The following five fiscal 2010 films accounted for approximately 20% of our total box office and produced the greatest box office receipts for our circuit: *Avatar*, *Transformers: Revenge of the Fallen*, *Harry Potter and the Half-Blood Prince*, *The Blind Side* and *The Twilight Saga: New Moon*.

We obtain our films from several national motion picture production and distribution companies and are not dependent on any single motion picture supplier. Our booking, advertising, concession purchases and promotional activities are handled centrally by our administrative staff. Including our own theatres, we currently are providing film buying, booking and other related services for 837 screens in seven states.

We strive to provide our movie patrons with high-quality picture and sound presentation in clean, comfortable, attractive and contemporary theatre environments. Substantially all of our movie theatre complexes feature either digital sound, Dolby or other stereo sound systems; acoustical ceilings; side wall insulation; engineered drapery folds to eliminate sound imbalance, reverberation and distortion; tiled floors; loge seats; cup-holder chair-arms; and computer-controlled heating, air conditioning and ventilation. We offer stadium seating, a tiered seating system that permits unobstructed viewing, at approximately 90% of our first-run screens. Computerized box offices permit all of our movie theatres to sell tickets in advance. Our theatres are accessible to persons with disabilities and provide wireless headphones for hearing-impaired moviegoers. Other amenities at certain theatres include touch-screen, computerized, self-service ticket kiosks, which simplify advance ticket purchases. We own a minority interest in MovieTickets.com, a joint venture of movie and entertainment companies that was created to sell movie tickets over the internet and represents a large majority of the top 50 market theatre screens throughout the United States and Canada. As a result of our association with MovieTickets.com, moviegoers can buy tickets to movies at any of our first-run theatres via the internet and print them at home.

In fiscal 2010, we continued our installation of Digital 3D Cinema technology, with the installation of 33 digital 3D systems in our theatres, including the installation of 3D systems at eight of our signature *UltraScreens* that we have branded as *UltraScreen XL3D*. As of May 27, 2010, we offer digital 3D systems at 60 screens at 43 of our theatre locations in seven states. There are currently nearly 30 3D films scheduled for release during fiscal 2011, compared to 15 digital 3D films played in our theatres during fiscal 2010.

An anticipated broad roll-out of digital cinema into our theatres, as well as the rest of the industry, was delayed during fiscal 2010 due to the increased difficulties of proposed third-party implementers to obtain the necessary financing during the current economic climate. During the latter half of fiscal 2010, progress was made regarding financing and system pricing and an expected industry-wide roll-out is now expected to occur over the course of several years. We currently expect to begin a broader roll-out of digital projection technology in our circuit beginning in fiscal 2011. The actual costs that we may incur when such a roll-out begins are yet to be determined, but it is our expectation that the majority of the costs would be paid for by the film studios through the payment of virtual print fees to us or a selected digital cinema implementation partner. Our goals from digital cinema include delivering an improved film presentation to our guests, increasing scheduling flexibility, as well as maximizing the opportunities for alternate programming that may be available with this technology.

We sell food and beverage concessions in all of our movie theatres. We believe that a wide variety of food and beverage items, properly merchandised, increases concession revenue per patron. Although popcorn and soda remain the traditional favorites with moviegoers, we continue to upgrade our available concessions by offering varied choices. For example, some of our theatres offer hot dogs, pizza, ice cream, pretzel bites, frozen yogurt, coffee, mineral water and juices. We have also added self-serve soft drinks to many of our theatres. In recent years, we have added branded pizza (*Zaffiro's*) and branded coffee, ice cream and chocolates – as well as an expanded concession *Hot Zone* that serves pizza, hamburgers, wraps, sandwiches and other hot appetizers – to selected theatres. Certain of our theatres have also introduced *Take Five* cocktail lounges and a multi-use “dinner-theatre” concept we have branded as *CineDine*SM, fully equipped for live performances, meetings, broadcast concerts and sporting events and regular screenings of first-run movies, with an attached kitchen from which we offer a full menu. Our new Marcus Midtown Cinema at Midtown Crossing in Omaha, Nebraska, offers our exclusive *CineDine*SM in-theatre dining concept in all five auditoriums, features *Zaffiro's* pizza and also offers two sophisticated cocktail lounges, meeting and event space and a full catering service. Our newly remodeled North Shore Cinema in Mequon, Wisconsin now includes a cocktail lounge, a *Hot Zone* and a separate full-service *Zaffiro's Pizzeria and Bar*. The response to our new food and beverage offerings at these theatres has been positive and we continue to refine these existing food and beverage opportunities so as to determine whether they may be profitably duplicated at additional locations in the future.

We have a variety of ancillary revenue sources in our theatres, with the largest related to the sale of pre-show and lobby advertising (through our advertising provider, Screenvision). Additional ancillary revenues can come from corporate and group meeting sales, sponsorships, alternate auditorium uses and naming rights. In addition, we are a party to a digital network affiliate agreement with National CineMedia, LLC for the presentation of live and pre-recorded in-theatre events in 24 of our locations in multiple markets. The expanded programming, which has included live performances of the Metropolitan Opera, as well as sports, music and other events, has been well received by our customers and has the ability of providing revenue during our theatres' slower periods. We continue to pursue additional strategies to increase our ancillary revenue sources.

We also own a family entertainment center, *Funset Boulevard*, adjacent to our 14-screen movie theatre in Appleton, Wisconsin. *Funset Boulevard* features a 40,000 square foot Hollywood-themed indoor amusement facility that includes a restaurant, party room, laser tag center, virtual reality games, arcade, outdoor miniature golf course and batting cages.

Hotels and Resorts Operations

Owned and Operated Hotels and Resorts

The Pfister Hotel

We own and operate the Pfister Hotel, which is located in downtown Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The Pfister Hotel is a full service luxury hotel and has 307 guest rooms (including 82 luxury suites and 176 tower rooms), two restaurants, three cocktail lounges and a 275-car parking ramp. The Pfister also has 24,000 square feet of banquet and convention facilities. The Pfister's banquet and meeting rooms accommodate up to 3,000 people and the hotel features two large ballrooms, including one of the largest ballrooms in the Milwaukee metropolitan area, with banquet seating for 1,200 people. A portion of the Pfister's first-floor space is leased for use by retail tenants. In fiscal 2010, the Pfister Hotel earned its 34th consecutive four-diamond award from the American Automobile Association. The Pfister is also a member of Preferred Hotels and Resorts Worldwide Association, an organization of independent luxury hotels and resorts, and the Association of Historic Hotels of America. The hotel has a signature restaurant named the Mason Street Grill, as well as a state-of-the-art spa and salon.

The Hilton Milwaukee City Center

We own and operate the 729-room Hilton Milwaukee City Center. Several aspects of Hilton's franchise program have benefited this hotel, including Hilton's international centralized reservation and marketing system, advertising cooperatives and frequent stay programs. The Hilton Milwaukee City Center also features Paradise Landing, an indoor water park and family fun center that features water slides, swimming pools, a sand beach, lounge and restaurant. The hotel also has two cocktail lounges, two restaurants and an 870-car parking ramp. We recently completed major renovations to this hotel's guestrooms, corridors and main lobby.

Hilton Madison at Monona Terrace

We own and operate the 240-room Hilton Madison at Monona Terrace in Madison, Wisconsin. The Hilton Madison, which also benefits from the aspects of Hilton's franchise program noted above, is connected by skywalk to the Monona Terrace Community and Convention Center, has four meeting rooms totaling 2,400 square feet, an indoor swimming pool, a fitness center, a lounge and a restaurant.

The Grand Geneva Resort & Spa

We own and operate the Grand Geneva Resort & Spa in Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, which is the largest convention resort in Wisconsin. This full-facility destination resort is located on 1,300 acres and includes 355 guest rooms, over 60,000 square feet of banquet, meeting and exhibit space, over 13,000 square feet of ballroom space, three specialty restaurants, two cocktail lounges, two championship golf courses, several ski hills, two indoor and five outdoor tennis courts, three swimming pools, a spa and fitness complex, horse stables and an on-site airport. In fiscal 2010, the Grand Geneva Resort & Spa earned its 12th consecutive four-diamond award from the American Automobile Association. We recently completed a major renovation to this hotel's exterior pool, WELL Spa, and guest rooms, including the hotel's luxury suites.

Hotel Phillips

We own and operate the Hotel Phillips, a 217-room hotel in Kansas City, Missouri. After purchasing and completely restoring this landmark hotel, we reopened it in September 2001. The Hotel Phillips has conference rooms totaling 5,600 square feet of meeting space, a 2,300 square foot ballroom, a restaurant and a lounge.

InterContinental Milwaukee

We own and operate the InterContinental Milwaukee in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The InterContinental Milwaukee has 220 rooms, 12,000 square feet of flexible banquet and meeting space, on-site parking, a fitness center, a restaurant and a lounge and is located in the heart of Milwaukee's theatre and financial district.

Skirvin Hilton

We are the principal equity partner and operator of the Skirvin Hilton hotel in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, the oldest hotel in Oklahoma. This historic hotel has 225 rooms, including 20 one-bedroom suites and one Presidential Suite. The Skirvin Hilton benefits from the aspects of Hilton's franchise program noted above and has a restaurant, lounge, fitness center, indoor swimming pool, business center and approximately 18,500 square feet of meeting space.

Four Points by Sheraton Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile

Pursuant to a long-term lease, we operate the Four Points by Sheraton Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, a 226-room (including 130 suites) hotel in Chicago, Illinois. The Four Points by Sheraton Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile has affordable, well-appointed guest rooms and suites, 3,000 square feet of high-tech meeting rooms, an indoor swimming pool and fitness room and an on-site parking facility. The hotel leases space to two area restaurants.

Managed Hotels, Resorts and Other Properties

We also manage hotels, resorts and other properties for third parties, typically under long-term management agreements. Revenues from these management contracts may include both base management fees, often in the form of a fixed percentage of defined revenues, and incentive management fees, typically calculated based upon defined profit performance. We may also earn fees for technical and preopening services before a property opens, as well as for on-going accounting and technology services.

We manage the Crowne Plaza-Northstar Hotel in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Crowne Plaza-Northstar Hotel is located in downtown Minneapolis and has 226 guest rooms, 13 meeting rooms, 6,370 square feet of ballroom and convention space, a restaurant, a cocktail lounge and an exercise facility.

We manage Beverly Garland's Holiday Inn in North Hollywood, California. The Beverly Garland has 257 guest rooms, including 12 suites, meeting space for up to 600, including an amphitheater and ballroom, an outdoor swimming pool and lighted tennis courts. The mission-style hotel is located on seven acres near Universal Studios.

We also provide hospitality management services, including check-in, housekeeping and maintenance, for a vacation ownership development adjacent to the Grand Geneva Resort & Spa owned by Orange Lake Resort & Country Club of Orlando, Florida. The development includes 62 timeshare units and a timeshare sales center.

We manage the Hilton Garden Inn Houston NW/Chateau in Houston, Texas. The Hilton Garden Inn has 171 guest rooms, a ballroom, a restaurant, a fitness center, a convenience mart and a swimming pool. The hotel is a part of Chateau Court, a 13-acre, European-style mixed-use development that also includes retail space and an office village.

We manage and own a 15% minority equity interest in the Sheraton Madison Hotel in Madison, Wisconsin. The Sheraton Madison features 237 rooms and suites, an indoor heated swimming pool, whirlpool, fitness center, a restaurant, lounge and 18,000 square feet of meeting space. It is adjacent to the Alliant Energy Center, which includes more than 150,000 square feet of exhibit space, and is located approximately 1.5 miles from the Monona Terrace Convention Center, the city's convention center facility.

We manage and own a 15% minority equity interest in the Westin Columbus in Columbus, Ohio. The Westin Columbus is a AAA four-diamond full-service historic hotel that currently includes 186 rooms and suites and offers more than 12,000 square feet of meeting, banquet and ballroom space, a restaurant and a cocktail

lounge. The hotel is located in the heart of the downtown business district and is connected to the Southern Theatre, a historically restored performing arts theater. In fiscal 2009, a substantial renovation of the guest rooms and public space of this property was completed.

We manage Xona Resort Suites, a four-star destination resort in Scottsdale, Arizona, offering 431 suites, four swimming pools, three whirlpools, a fitness center, a 24-hour concierge and 7,600 square feet of meeting space. The resort recently completed a multi-million dollar renovation of its public space, restaurant and grounds.

We manage the Sheraton Clayton Plaza Hotel in St. Louis, Missouri, which offers 257 rooms and suites, an indoor swimming pool, a fitness facility, and a business center. A multi-million dollar renovation of the public space and guest rooms of the hotel was recently completed.

We manage the new Hilton Minneapolis/Bloomington in Bloomington, Minnesota. This “business class” hotel, which opened on January 26, 2008, offers 256 rooms, an indoor swimming pool, a club level, a fitness center, a business center and 9,100 square feet of meeting space.

We also manage two condominium-hotels under long-term management contracts. Revenues from these management contracts are larger than typical management contracts because, under an agreed-upon rental pool arrangement, room revenues are shared at a defined percentage with individual condominium owners. In addition, we own all of the common areas of these facilities, including any restaurants, lounges, spas and gift shops, and keep all of the revenues from these outlets.

We manage the Timber Ridge Lodge, an indoor/outdoor waterpark and condominium complex in Lake Geneva, Wisconsin. The Timber Ridge Lodge is a 225-unit condominium hotel on the same campus as our Grand Geneva Resort & Spa. The Timber Ridge Lodge has meeting rooms totaling 3,640 square feet, a general store, a restaurant-cafe, a snack bar and lounge, a state-of-the-art fitness center and an entertainment arcade.

We manage the Platinum Hotel & Spa, a condominium hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada just off the Las Vegas Strip, and own the hotel’s public space. The Platinum Hotel & Spa has 255 one and two-bedroom suites. This non-gaming, non-smoking hotel also has an on-site restaurant, lounge, spa and 8,440 square feet of meeting space. We own 16 previously unsold condominium units at the Platinum and anticipate selling these units when Las Vegas real estate market conditions improve.

Competition

Both of our businesses experience intense competition from national, regional and local chain and franchise operations, some of which have substantially greater financial and marketing resources than we have. Most of our facilities are located in close proximity to competing facilities.

Our movie theatres compete with large national movie theatre operators, such as AMC Entertainment, Cinemark, Regal Cinemas and Carmike Cinemas, as well as with a wide array of smaller first-run exhibitors. Movie exhibitors also generally compete with the home video, pay-per-view and cable television markets. We believe that such ancillary markets have assisted the growth of the movie theatre industry by encouraging the production of first-run movies released for initial movie theatre exhibition, which has historically established the demand for such movies in these ancillary markets.

Our hotels and resorts compete with the hotels and resorts operated by Hyatt Corporation, Marriott Corporation, Ramada Inns, Holiday Inns and others, along with other regional and local hotels and resorts.

We believe that the principal factors of competition in both of our businesses, in varying degrees, are the price and quality of the product, quality and location of our facilities and customer service. We believe that we are well positioned to compete on the basis of these factors.

Seasonality

Historically, our first fiscal quarter has produced the strongest operating results because this period coincides with the typical summer seasonality of the movie theatre industry and the summer strength of our lodging business. Our third fiscal quarter has historically produced the weakest operating results in our hotels and resorts division primarily due to the effects of reduced travel during the winter months. Our third fiscal quarter for our theatre division has historically been our second strongest quarter but is heavily dependent upon the quantity and quality of films released during the Thanksgiving to Christmas holiday period.

Environmental Regulation

Federal, state and local environmental legislation has not had a material effect on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position. However, our activities in acquiring and selling real estate for business development purposes have been complicated by the continued emphasis that our personnel must place on properly analyzing real estate sites for potential environmental problems. This circumstance has resulted in, and is expected to continue to result in, greater time and increased costs involved in acquiring and selling properties associated with our various businesses.

Employees

As of the end of fiscal 2010, we had approximately 6,200 employees, approximately 44% of whom were employed on a part-time basis. A number of our (1) projectionists in Milwaukee, Wisconsin are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expired on May 30, 2007 and are operating under the terms of the old agreement on a day-to-day basis; (2) projectionists at Chicago locations are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on July 7, 2011; (3) hotel employees at the Hilton Milwaukee City Center and the Pfister Hotel are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on February 14, 2013; (4) operating engineers at the Sheraton Clayton Plaza Hotel are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on November 30, 2010; (5) hotel employees at the Sheraton Clayton Plaza Hotel are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on December 14, 2010; (6) operating engineers at the Hilton Milwaukee City Center and Pfister Hotel are covered by collective bargaining agreements that expire on December 31, 2010 and April 30, 2011, respectively; (7) hotel employees at the Crowne Plaza Northstar in Minneapolis, Minnesota are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expired on June 30, 2010 and are operating under the terms of the old agreement on a day-to-day basis; (8) painters at the Sheraton Clayton Plaza Hotel are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on August 31, 2010; (9) projectionists in Omaha, Nebraska are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on March 31, 2011; (10) projectionists in Madison, Wisconsin are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on April 5, 2012; and (11) painters in the Hilton Milwaukee City Center and the Pfister Hotel are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that expires on May 31, 2013.

As of the end of fiscal 2010, approximately 11% of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement, of which 2% are covered by an agreement that will expire within one year.

Web Site Information and Other Access to Corporate Documents

Our corporate web site is www.marcuscorp.com. All of our Form 10-Ks, Form 10-Qs and Form 8-Ks, and amendments thereto, are available on this web site as soon as practicable after they have been filed with the SEC. We are not including the information contained on our website as part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report. In addition, our corporate governance guidelines and the charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are available on our web site. If you would like us to mail you a copy of our corporate governance guidelines or a committee charter, please contact Thomas F. Kissinger, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, The Marcus Corporation, 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following risk factors and other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, operating results, and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

The Lack of Both the Quantity and Audience Appeal of Motion Pictures May Adversely Affect Our Financial Results.

The financial results of our movie theatre business and the motion picture industry in general are heavily dependent on the general audience appeal of available films, together with studio marketing, advertising and support campaigns, factors over which we have no control. The relative success of our movie theatre business will continue to be largely dependent upon the quantity and audience appeal of films made available by the movie studios and other producers. Poor performance of films, a disruption in the production of films due to events such as a strike by actors, writers or directors, or a reduction in the marketing efforts of the film distributors to promote their films could have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations. Also, our quarterly results of operations are significantly dependent on the quantity and audience appeal of films that we exhibit during each quarter. As a result, our quarterly results may be unpredictable and somewhat volatile.

A Deterioration in Relationships with Film Distributors Could Adversely Affect Our Ability to Obtain Commercially Successful Films.

We rely on the film distributors for the motion pictures shown in our theatres. Our business depends to a significant degree on maintaining good relationships with these distributors. Deterioration in our relationships with any of the major film distributors could adversely affect our access to commercially successful films and adversely affect our business and results of operations. Because the distribution of motion pictures is in large part regulated by federal and state antitrust laws and has been the subject of numerous antitrust cases, we cannot ensure a supply of motion pictures by entering into long-term arrangements with major distributors. Rather, we must compete for licenses on a film-by-film and theatre-by-theatre basis and are required to negotiate licenses for each film and for each theatre individually.

Our Financial Results May be Adversely Impacted by Unique Factors Affecting the Theatre Exhibition Industry, Such as the Shrinking Video Release Window, the Increasing Piracy of Feature Films and the Increasing Use of Alternative Film Distribution Channels and Other Competing Forms of Entertainment.

Over the last decade, the average video release window, which represents the time that elapses from the date of a film's theatrical release to the date a film is available on video or DVD, has decreased from approximately six months to less than four and one-half months. Some studios have recently expressed a desire to have a shorter window for a select number of films that might be released during traditionally slower seasons of the year. There have also been discussions recently regarding the possibility of a new shorter premium-priced video on-demand window. We cannot assure you that this release window, which is determined by the film studios, will not shrink further, which could have an adverse impact on our movie theatre business and results of operations.

Piracy of motion pictures is prevalent in many parts of the world. Technological advances allowing the unauthorized dissemination of motion pictures increase the threat of piracy by making it easier to create, transmit and distribute high quality unauthorized copies of such motion pictures. The proliferation of unauthorized copies and piracy of motion pictures may have an adverse effect on our movie theatre business and results of operations.

We face competition for movie theatre patrons from a number of alternative motion picture distribution channels, such as DVD, network, cable and satellite television, video on-demand, pay-per-view television and downloading utilizing the internet. We also compete with other forms of entertainment competing for our

patrons' leisure time and disposable income such as concerts, amusement parks, sporting events, home entertainment systems, video games and portable entertainment devices such as the iPod®. An increase in popularity of these alternative film distribution channels and competing forms of entertainment may have an adverse effect on our movie theatre business and results of operations.

Industry-wide Conversion to Digital Cinema May Increase Our Costs.

The theatre industry is in the process of conversion from film-based media to digital-based media. There are a variety of constituencies associated with this anticipated change that may significantly impact industry participants, including content providers, distributors, equipment providers and exhibitors. While content providers and distributors have indicated they would bear substantially all of the costs of this change, there can be no assurance that we will have access to adequate capital to finance the conversion costs associated with this potential change, nor can there be any assurance that we will not see an increase in related operating costs of the new media that would adversely affect our results of operations. There is also a risk that if we, or other exhibitors, do not proceed with a conversion to digital cinema on a timely basis, the film distributors could reduce the amount they are willing to contribute towards the conversion costs in the future or, less likely, choose to not provide film product at some point.

The Relative Industry Supply of Available Rooms at Comparable Lodging Facilities May Adversely Affect Our Financial Results.

Historically, a material increase in the supply of new hotel rooms in a market can destabilize that market and cause existing hotels to experience decreasing occupancy, room rates and profitability. If such over-supply occurs in one or more of our major markets, we may experience an adverse effect on our hotels and resorts business and results of operations.

If the Amount of Sales Made Through Third-Party Internet Travel Intermediaries Increases Significantly, Consumer Loyalty to Our Hotels Could Decrease and Our Revenues Could Fall.

We expect to derive most of our business from traditional channels of distribution. However, consumers now use internet travel intermediaries regularly. Some of these intermediaries are attempting to increase the importance of price and general indicators of quality (such as "four-star downtown hotel") at the expense of brand/hotel identification. These agencies hope that consumers will eventually develop brand loyalties to their reservation system rather than to our hotels. If the amount of sales made through internet travel intermediaries increases significantly and consumers develop stronger loyalties to these intermediaries rather than to our hotels, we may experience an adverse effect on our hotels and resorts business and results of operations.

Our Businesses are Heavily Capital Intensive and Preopening and Start-Up Costs and Increasing Depreciation Expenses May Adversely Affect Our Financial Results.

Both our movie theatre and hotels and resorts businesses are heavily capital intensive. Purchasing properties and buildings, constructing buildings, renovating and remodeling buildings and investing in joint venture projects all require substantial upfront cash investments before these properties, facilities and joint ventures can generate sufficient revenues to pay for the upfront costs and positively contribute to our profitability. In addition, many growth opportunities, particularly for our hotels and resorts division, require lengthy development periods during which significant capital is committed and preopening costs and early start-up losses are incurred. We expense these preopening and start-up costs currently. As a result, our results of operations may be adversely affected by our significant levels of capital investments. Additionally, to the extent we capitalize our capital expenditures, our depreciation expenses may increase, thereby adversely affecting our results of operations.

Adverse Economic Conditions in Our Markets May Adversely Affect Our Financial Results.

Downturns or adverse economic conditions affecting the United States economy generally, and particularly downturns or adverse economic conditions in the Midwest and in our other markets, adversely affect our results

of operations, particularly with respect to our hotels and resorts division. Poor economic conditions can significantly adversely affect the business and group travel customers, which are the largest customer segments for our hotels and resorts division. Specific economic conditions that may directly impact travel, including financial instability of air carriers and increases in gas and other fuel prices, may adversely affect our results of operations. Additionally, although our theatre business has historically performed well during economic downturns as consumers seek less expensive forms of out-of-home entertainment, a significant reduction in consumer confidence or disposable income in general may temporarily affect the demand for motion pictures or severely impact the motion picture production industry, which, in turn, may adversely affect our results of operations.

Adverse Economic Conditions, Including Disruptions in the Financial Markets, May Adversely Affect Our Ability to Obtain Financing on Reasonable and Acceptable Terms, if at All, and Impact Our Ability to Achieve Certain of Our Growth Objectives.

We expect that we will require additional financing over time, the amount of which will depend upon a number of factors, including the number of theatres and hotels and resorts we acquire and/or develop, the amount of capital required to refurbish and improve existing properties, the amount of existing indebtedness that requires repayment in a given year and the cash flow generated by our businesses. Downturns or adverse economic conditions affecting the United States economy generally, and the United States stock and credit markets specifically, may adversely impact our ability to obtain additional short-term and long-term financing on reasonable terms or at all, which would negatively impact our liquidity and financial condition. As a result, a prolonged downturn in the stock or credit markets would also limit our ability to achieve our growth objectives.

Adverse Weather Conditions, Particularly During the Winter in the Midwest and in Our Other Markets, May Adversely Affect Our Financial Results.

Poor weather conditions adversely affect business and leisure travel plans, which directly impacts our hotels and resorts division. In addition, theatre attendance on any given day may be negatively impacted by adverse weather conditions. In particular, adverse weather during peak movie-going weekends or holiday time periods may negatively affect our results of operations. Adverse winter weather conditions may also increase our snow removal and other maintenance costs in both of our divisions.

Each of Our Business Segments and Properties Experience Ongoing Intense Competition.

In each of our businesses we experience intense competition from national, regional and local chain and franchise operations, some of which have substantially greater financial and marketing resources than we have. Most of our facilities are located in close proximity to other facilities which compete directly with ours. The motion picture exhibition industry is fragmented and highly competitive with no significant barriers to entry. Theatres operated by national and regional circuits and by small independent exhibitors compete with our theatres, particularly with respect to film licensing, attracting patrons and developing new theatre sites. Moviegoers are generally not brand conscious and usually choose a theatre based on its location, the films showing there and its amenities. With respect to our hotels and resorts division, our ability to remain competitive and to attract and retain business and leisure travelers depends on our success in distinguishing the quality, value and efficiency of our lodging products and services from those offered by others. If we are unable to compete successfully in either of our divisions, this could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our Ability to Identify Suitable Properties to Acquire, Develop and Manage Will Directly Impact Our Ability to Achieve Certain of Our Growth Objectives.

A portion of our ability to successfully achieve our growth objectives in both our theatre and hotels and resorts divisions is dependent upon our ability to successfully identify suitable properties to acquire, develop and manage. Failure to successfully identify, acquire and develop suitable and successful locations for new lodging properties and theatres will substantially limit our ability to achieve these important growth objectives.

Investing Through Partnerships or Joint Ventures Decreases Our Ability to Manage Risk.

In addition to acquiring or developing hotels and resorts or entering into management contracts to operate hotels and resorts for other owners, we have from time to time invested, and expect to continue to invest, as a joint venturer. Joint venturers may have shared control or disproportionate control over the operation of the joint venture assets. Therefore, joint venture investments may involve risks such as the possibility that the co-venturer in an investment might become bankrupt or not have the financial resources to meet its obligations, or have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, or be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives. Consequently, actions by a co-venturer might subject hotels and resorts owned by the joint venture to additional risk. Further, we may be unable to take action without the approval of our joint venture partners. Alternatively, our joint venture partners could take actions binding on the joint venture without our consent.

Our Properties are Subject to Risks Relating to Acts of God, Terrorist Activity and War and Any Such Event May Adversely Affect our Financial Results.

Acts of God, natural disasters, war (including the potential for war), terrorist activity (including threats of terrorist activity), epidemics (such as SARs, bird flu and swine flu), travel-related accidents, as well as political unrest and other forms of civil strife and geopolitical uncertainty may adversely affect the lodging and movie exhibition industries and our results of operations. Terrorism incidents, such as the events of September 11, 2001, and wars, such as the Iraq war, significantly impact business and leisure travel and consequently demand for hotel rooms. In addition, inadequate preparedness, contingency planning, insurance coverage or recovery capability in relation to a major incident or crisis may prevent operational continuity and consequently impact the reputation of our businesses.

Our Results May be Seasonal, Resulting in Unpredictable and Non-Representative Quarterly Results.

Historically, our first fiscal quarter has produced our strongest operating results because this period coincides with the typically strong summer performance of the movie theatre industry and the summer strength of our lodging business. Our third fiscal quarter has historically produced our weakest operating results in our hotels and resorts division, primarily due to the affects of reduced travel during the winter months. Our third fiscal quarter for our theatre division has historically been our second strongest quarter but is heavily dependent upon the quantity and quality of films released during the Thanksgiving to Christmas holiday period.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

We own the real estate of a substantial portion of our facilities, including, as of May 27, 2010, the Pfister Hotel, the Hilton Milwaukee City Center, the Hilton Madison at Monona Terrace, the Grand Geneva Resort & Spa, the Hotel Phillips, the InterContinental Milwaukee, the Skirvin Hilton and the majority of our theatres. We lease the remainder of our facilities. As of May 27, 2010, we also managed two hotels for joint ventures in which we have an interest and nine hotels, resorts and other properties and two theatres that are owned by third parties. Additionally, we own properties acquired for the future construction and operation of new facilities and we have an interest in a joint venture hotel managed by a third party. All of our properties are suitably maintained and adequately utilized to cover the respective business segment served.

Our owned, leased and managed properties are summarized, as of May 27, 2010, in the following table:

| Business Segment | Total Number of Facilities in Operation | Owned⁽¹⁾ | Leased from Unrelated Parties⁽²⁾ | Managed for Related Parties | Managed for Unrelated Parties⁽²⁾ |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Theatres: | | | | | |
| Movie Theatres | 54 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| Family Entertainment Center | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotels and Resorts: | | | | | |
| Hotels | 16 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| Resorts | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other Properties | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 74 | 52 | 9 | 2 | 11 |

(1) Six of the movie theatres are on land leased from unrelated parties.

(2) The eight theatres leased from unrelated parties have 86 screens and the two theatres managed for unrelated parties have a total of 11 screens.

Certain of the above individual properties or facilities are subject to purchase money or construction mortgages or commercial lease financing arrangements, but we do not consider these encumbrances, individually or in the aggregate, to be material.

All of our operating property leases expire on various dates after the end of fiscal 2011 (assuming we exercise all of our renewal and extension options).

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Goodman, et al. v. Platinum Condominium Development, LLC, Case No. 09-CV-957 (D. Nev.). As reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended February 25, 2010, on December 5, 2008, a class action complaint was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Nevada for Clark County against Platinum Condominium Development, LLC ("Platinum LLC"), one of our subsidiaries. On April 30, 2009, Platinum LLC was served with a summons and a copy of an amended complaint. The amended complaint also named another one of our subsidiaries, Marcus Management Las Vegas, LLC ("Marcus Management LV"), as a defendant. Subsequently, Platinum LLC and Marcus Management LV removed the case to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, where it is currently pending. The amended complaint in Goodman seeks an unspecified amount of damages and alleges violations of federal and Nevada law, and that Platinum LLC and Marcus Management LV made various representations in connection with the Platinum Hotel & Spa development in Las Vegas, Nevada. On June 29, 2009, both Platinum LLC and Marcus Management LV moved to dismiss the amended complaint in its entirety. On March 29, 2010, the District of Nevada granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss, and dismissed most of the claims against Platinum LLC and Marcus Management LV without prejudice. On April 28, 2010, Goodman filed a second amended complaint, which Platinum LLC and Marcus Management LV have answered, in part, and moved to dismiss, in part. The parties are currently briefing the motion to dismiss.

Baroi, et al. v. Platinum Condominium Development, LLC, Case No. 09-CV-671 (D. Nev.) and *Benson, et al. v. Platinum Condominium Development, LLC, et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-1301 (D. Nev.). As we reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended February 25, 2010, on March 27, 2009, another complaint was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Nevada for Clark County against Platinum LLC, which Platinum LLC subsequently removed to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. On May 29, 2009, plaintiffs in Baroi amended their complaint and named Marcus Management LV, as well as two of our other subsidiaries, Marcus Development, LLC (“Marcus Development”) and Marcus Hotels, Inc. (“Marcus Hotels”), as additional defendants. On July 2, 2009, Marcus Management LV, Marcus Development, and Marcus Hotels moved to dismiss the amended complaint. That motion was granted, without prejudice, and with leave to amend.

In addition, as we reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended February 25, 2010, on July 17, 2009, the Benson action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, and the complaint made allegations similar to those of the Baroi action. The Benson action also named Platinum LLC, Marcus Management LV, Marcus Development and Marcus Hotels as defendants.

Subsequent to the District of Nevada’s order in Baroi granting the motion to dismiss of Marcus Management LV, Marcus Development, and Marcus Hotels, the plaintiffs in Baroi and Benson agreed to consolidate the two actions. On January 25, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint against Platinum LLC, Marcus Management LV, and Marcus Hotels. Plaintiffs did not name Marcus Development as a defendant. The consolidated amended complaint seeks declaratory relief and an unspecified amount of damages, and alleges violations of Nevada law and that the defendants made various representations in connection with the Platinum Hotel & Spa development in Las Vegas, Nevada. On March 16, 2010, the defendants responded to the consolidated amended complaint. Marcus Management LV answered the consolidated amended complaint, and Platinum LLC answered it in part and moved to dismiss it in part. Marcus Hotels moved to dismiss the complaint in its entirety. The defendants’ motions to dismiss are currently pending before the District of Nevada.

Platinum LLC, Marcus Management LV, and Marcus Hotels believe the allegations against all of the defendants in these lawsuits are without merit and they intend to vigorously defend against them. Since these matters are in the preliminary stages, we are unable to predict the scope or outcome or quantify their eventual impact, if any, on us. At this time, we are also unable to estimate associated expenses or possible losses.

Item 4. Reserved.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF COMPANY

Each of our executive officers is identified below together with information about each officer's age, position and employment history for at least the past five years:

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position</u> | <u>Age</u> |
|---------------------|--|------------|
| Stephen H. Marcus | Chairman of the Board | 75 |
| Gregory S. Marcus | President and Chief Executive Officer | 45 |
| Bruce J. Olson | Senior Vice President and President of Marcus Theatres Corporation | 60 |
| Thomas F. Kissinger | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary | 50 |
| Douglas A. Neis | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | 51 |
| William J. Otto | President and Chief Operating Officer of Marcus Hotels, Inc. | 54 |

Stephen H. Marcus has been our Chairman of the Board since December 1991. He served as our Chief Executive Officer from December 1988 to January 2009 and as our President from December 1988 until January 2008. Mr. Marcus has worked at our company for 47 years.

Gregory S. Marcus joined our company in March 1992 as Director of Property Management/Corporate Development. He was promoted in 1999 to our Senior Vice President – Corporate Development and became an executive officer in July 2005. He has served as our President since January 2008 and was elected our Chief Executive Officer in January 2009. He was elected to serve on our Board of Directors in October 2005. He is the son of Stephen H. Marcus, our Chairman of the Board.

Bruce J. Olson joined our company in 1974. Mr. Olson served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Marcus Theatres Corporation from August 1978 until October 1988, at which time he was appointed President of that subsidiary. Mr. Olson also served as our Vice President-Administration and Planning from September 1987 until July 1991. In July 1991, he was appointed as our Group Vice President and in October 2004, he was promoted to Senior Vice President. He was elected to serve on our Board of Directors in April 1996.

Thomas F. Kissinger joined our company in August 1993 as our Secretary and Director of Legal Affairs. In August 1995, he was promoted to our General Counsel and Secretary and in October 2004, he was promoted to Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior to August 1993, Mr. Kissinger was associated with the law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP for five years.

Douglas A. Neis joined our company in February 1986 as Controller of the Marcus Theatres division and in November 1987, he was promoted to Controller of Marcus Restaurants. In July 1991, Mr. Neis was appointed Vice President of Planning and Administration for Marcus Restaurants. In September 1994, Mr. Neis was also named as our Director of Technology and in September 1995 he was elected as our Corporate Controller. In September 1996, Mr. Neis was promoted to Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

William J. Otto joined our company in 1993 as the Senior Vice President of Operations of Marcus Hotels, Inc. In 1996, Mr. Otto was promoted to Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Marcus Hotels, Inc. and in April 2001 he was further promoted to President and Chief Operating Officer of Marcus Hotels, Inc.

Our executive officers are generally elected annually by our Board of Directors after the annual meeting of shareholders. Each executive officer holds office until his successor has been duly qualified and elected or until his earlier death, resignation or removal.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Company's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities.


(a) Stock Performance Graph

The following information in this Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is not deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent we specifically incorporate it by reference into such a filing.

Set forth below is a graph comparing the annual percentage change during our last five fiscal years in our cumulative total shareholder return (stock price appreciation on a dividend reinvested basis) of our Common Shares to the cumulative total return of: (1) a composite peer group index selected by us and (2) companies included in the Russell 2000 Index. The composite peer group index is comprised of the Dow Jones U.S. Hotels Index (weighted 50%) and a theatre index we selected that includes Regal Cinemas and Carmike Cinemas (weighted 50%).

The indices within the composite peer group index are weighted to approximate the relative annual revenue contributions of each of our continuing business segments to our total annual revenues over the past several fiscal years. The shareholder returns of the companies included in the hotels and resorts index and theatre index are weighted based on each company's relative market capitalization as of the beginning of the presented periods.

From May 31, 2005 to May 31, 2010



Source: FactSet Research Systems Inc.

| | 5/31/05 | 5/31/06 | 5/31/07 | 5/31/08 | 5/31/09 | 5/31/10 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| The Marcus Corporation | \$100 | \$115 | \$154 | \$116 | \$75 | \$ 78 |
| Composite Peer Group Index ⁽¹⁾ | 100 | 106 | 127 | 96 | 66 | 93 |
| Russell 2000 Index | 100 | 117 | 137 | 121 | 81 | 107 |

(1) Equal Weighted 50.0% for the Dow Jones U.S. Hotels Index and 50.0% for the Company-selected Theatre Index.

(b) Market Information

Our Common Stock, \$1 par value, is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "MCS." Our Class B Common Stock, \$1 par value, is neither listed nor traded on any exchange. During each quarter of fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010, we paid a dividend of \$0.085 per share on our Common Stock and \$0.07727 per share on our Class B Common Stock. The following table lists the high and low sale prices of our Common Stock for the periods indicated (NYSE trading information only).

| | 1st Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th Quarter |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Fiscal 2010 | | | | |
| High | \$14.35 | \$14.25 | \$13.50 | \$14.00 |
| Low | \$ 9.54 | \$11.14 | \$10.04 | \$10.03 |
| | | | | |
| | 1st Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th Quarter |
| Fiscal 2009 | | | | |
| High | \$19.51 | \$20.00 | \$16.78 | \$13.57 |
| Low | \$13.07 | \$ 8.92 | \$ 7.37 | \$ 6.45 |

On August 5, 2010, there were 1,653 shareholders of record of our Common Stock and 45 shareholders of record of our Class B Common Stock.

(c) Stock Repurchases

As of May 27, 2010, our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 6.7 million shares of our outstanding Common Stock. Under these authorizations, we may repurchase shares of our Common Stock from time to time in the open market, pursuant to privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. The repurchased shares are held in our treasury pending potential future issuance in connection with employee benefit, option or stock plans or other general corporate purposes. Under these authorizations, we have repurchased approximately 4.5 million shares of Common Stock as of May 27, 2010. These authorizations do not have an expiration date.

The following table sets forth information with respect to purchases made by us or on our behalf of our Common Stock during the periods indicated. All of these repurchases were made in the open market and pursuant to the publicly announced repurchase authorization described above.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|
| February 26 – March 27 | — | \$ — | — | 2,273,155 |
| March 28 – April 27 | 405 | \$13.60 | 405 | 2,272,750 |
| April 28 – May 27 | 43,654 | \$10.80 | 43,654 | 2,229,096 |
| Total | 44,059 | \$10.83 | 44,059 | 2,229,096 |

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.


Five-Year Financial Summary

| | F2010 | F2009 | F2008 | F2007 | F2006 |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Operating Results | | | | | |
| <i>(in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$379,069 | 383,496 | 371,075 | 327,631 | 289,244 |
| Earnings from continuing operations ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 16,115 | 17,200 | 20,486 | 33,927 | 22,468 |
| Net earnings | \$ 16,115 | 17,200 | 20,486 | 33,297 | 28,271 |
| Common Stock Data⁽²⁾ | | | | | |
| Earnings per common share—continuing operations ⁽¹⁾ | \$.54 | .58 | .68 | 1.10 | .73 |
| Net earnings per common share | \$.54 | .58 | .68 | 1.08 | .91 |
| Cash dividends per common share ⁽³⁾ | \$.34 | .34 | .34 | .32 | 7.26 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding <i>(in thousands)</i> | 29,910 | 29,819 | 30,230 | 30,807 | 30,939 |
| Book value per share | \$ 11.23 | 10.98 | 10.69 | 10.51 | 9.87 |
| Financial Position | | | | | |
| <i>(in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$704,411 | 711,523 | 721,648 | 698,383 | 587,234 |
| Long-term debt | \$196,833 | 240,943 | 252,992 | 199,425 | 123,110 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$335,796 | 327,440 | 317,493 | 319,509 | 301,323 |
| Capital expenditures and acquisitions | \$ 25,082 | 35,741 | 64,937 | 186,752 | 75,532 |
| Financial Ratios | | | | | |
| Current ratio | .35 | .37 | .53 | .47 | .73 |
| Debt/capitalization ratio | .41 | .44 | .47 | .45 | .37 |
| Return on average shareholders' equity | 4.9% | 5.3% | 6.4% | 10.7% | 7.1% |

(1) Fiscal 2006-2007 restated to present limited-service lodging, the Miramonte Resort and Marcus Vacation Club as discontinued operations.

(2) All per share and shares outstanding data is on a diluted basis. Earnings per share data is calculated on our Common Stock using the two class method.

(3) Includes \$7.00 per share special dividend paid during fiscal 2006.



Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Results of Operations

General

We report our consolidated and individual segment results of operations on a 52-or-53-week fiscal year ending on the last Thursday in May. Fiscal 2010, fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 were 52-week years. Fiscal 2011 will also be a 52-week year. We divide our fiscal year into three 13-week quarters and a final quarter consisting of 13 or 14 weeks. Our primary operations are reported in two business segments: theatres and hotels and resorts.

Historically, our first fiscal quarter has produced the strongest operating results because this period coincides with the typical summer seasonality of the movie theatre industry and the summer strength of the lodging business. Our third fiscal quarter has historically produced the weakest operating results in our hotels and resorts division primarily due to the effects of reduced travel during the winter months. Our third fiscal quarter for our theatre division has historically been our second strongest quarter, but is heavily dependent upon the quantity and quality of films released during the Thanksgiving to Christmas holiday period.

Consolidated Financial Comparisons

The following table sets forth revenues, operating income, other income (expense), net earnings and net earnings per common share for the past three fiscal years (in millions, except for per share and percentage change data):

| | F2010 | F2009 | Change F10 v. F09 | | F2008 | Change F09 v. F08 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|---------|-------------------|--------|
| | | | Amt. | Pct. | | Amt. | Pct. |
| Revenues | \$379.1 | \$383.5 | \$ (4.4) | -1.2% | \$371.1 | \$ 12.4 | 3.3% |
| Operating income | 36.2 | 43.4 | (7.2) | -16.6% | 47.7 | (4.3) | -9.0% |
| Other income (expense) | (11.0) | (16.0) | 5.0 | 31.5% | (14.0) | (2.0) | -14.5% |
| Net earnings | \$ 16.1 | \$ 17.2 | \$ (1.1) | -6.3% | \$ 20.5 | \$ (3.3) | -16.0% |
| Net earnings per common share—diluted | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.58 | \$(0.04) | -6.9% | \$ 0.68 | \$(0.10) | -14.7% |

Fiscal 2010 versus Fiscal 2009

Our revenues, operating income (earnings before other income/expense and income taxes) and net earnings for fiscal 2010 decreased compared to the prior year due entirely to reduced operating results from our hotels and resorts division. Fiscal 2010 revenues and operating income in our theatre division increased compared to last year, partially offsetting the hotels and resorts results, due in part to a strong slate of films. Operating results from our hotels and resorts division continued to be negatively impacted by reduced business spending on travel due to the current economic environment, resulting in lower average daily rates and reduced year-to-date occupancies compared to the prior year. A reduction in our interest expense favorably impacted our net earnings during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year. Comparisons to last year's results were also favorably impacted by significant unusual investment losses and losses on property, equipment and other assets during fiscal 2009 totaling approximately \$0.07 per diluted common share.

Our fiscal 2010 operating results also benefited from a change in estimate related to our deferred gift card revenue. We introduced a gift card program in our theatre division several years ago and subsequently expanded it to our hotels and resorts division. With very little history as to redemption patterns, we had been taking a very conservative approach to our deferred gift card liability. During our fiscal 2010 third quarter, we determined that we had enough historical gift card redemption data available to support a change in estimate of our gift card liability. Accordingly, gift card breakage income will now be recognized based upon our historical redemption patterns and will represent the gift card balances for which we believe customer redemption is remote.

As a result of this change in estimate, we reported cumulative gift card breakage income of \$3.0 million (pre-tax) during our fiscal 2010 third quarter, of which approximately \$2.4 million (pre-tax), or approximately \$0.05 per diluted common share, related to fiscal years 2009 and earlier. Our theatre division benefited the most from this change in estimate, recognizing \$2.5 million of gift card breakage income during our fiscal 2010 third quarter, of which \$2.0 million related to fiscal years 2009 and earlier. Based upon recent redemption levels, we currently estimate our future annual breakage to be in the \$600,000-\$700,000 range. If gift card sales continue to increase in the future, our annual breakage income also is expected to increase.

Our fiscal 2010 operating results were also significantly impacted by a one-time pension withdrawal liability in our theatre division of \$1.4 million (pre-tax) and a non-cash impairment charge in our hotels and resorts division of \$2.6 million (pre-tax), both reported during our fiscal 2010 second quarter. Together, these two adjustments negatively impacted our fiscal 2010 operating income by approximately \$4.0 million and our net earnings per diluted common share by \$0.08.

We recognized investment income of \$607,000 during fiscal 2010, representing an increase of \$1.4 million compared to investment losses of \$780,000 during the prior year. Investment income has historically included interest earned on cash, cash equivalents and notes receivable, including notes related to prior sales of timeshare units in our hotels and resorts division. The significant increase in investment income during fiscal 2010 was primarily the result of two unusual investment losses reported during the prior year. During fiscal 2009, we recognized a \$1.3 million pre-tax investment loss on securities held whose decline in fair value we deemed to be other than temporary. In addition, we reported an approximately \$660,000 pre-tax investment loss during fiscal 2009 related to a former Baymont Inns & Suites joint venture that owns real estate that had declined in value because of commercial real estate market conditions. Since we have a very limited amount of these types of investments, we do not believe that our exposure to additional losses related to securities investments and joint ventures is significant. We do not expect a significant variation in investment income during fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010.

Our interest expense totaled \$11.2 million for fiscal 2010, representing a decrease of approximately \$2.8 million, or 19.5%, compared to fiscal 2009 interest expense of \$14.0 million. The decrease in interest expense was the result of reduced borrowings and lower short-term interest rates during fiscal 2010. We were able to fund our fiscal 2010 capital expenditures out of operating cash flow, eliminating the need for additional incremental debt during the year. Barring an event that would require significantly more borrowings during fiscal 2011 than currently planned (such as an acquisition or significant share repurchases), and assuming short-term interest rates remain at or near current levels for the majority of the year, we currently believe our interest expense will not significantly change during fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010. Current maturities of long-term debt on our balance sheet as of May 27, 2010 included a \$25.2 million mortgage note related to our Chicago hotel with a maturity date in February 2011. We currently anticipate extending the maturity date of all or most of this note, which would result in the majority of this amount being reclassified as long-term debt.

We reported a small net loss on disposition of property, equipment and other assets of \$25,000 during fiscal 2010, compared to net losses of \$814,000 during fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2009, we incurred a loss of approximately \$1.1 million related to an adjustment of prior pro-rated gains recorded on the sale of condominium units at our Platinum Hotel & Spa in Las Vegas, Nevada. We have classified the remaining 16 units that have yet to be sold (which continue to be rented to guests) as a long-term asset on our balance sheet, as we currently do not expect to sell these units during fiscal 2011.

Excluding the unusual adjustment described above, the remaining fiscal 2009 gain on disposition of property, equipment and other assets was primarily the result of the sale of an outlot on a theatre land parcel. The timing of our periodic sales of property and equipment results in variations each year in the gains or losses that we report on disposition of property and equipment. We anticipate the potential for additional disposition gains from periodic sales of non-core property and equipment during fiscal 2011 and beyond. In particular, we have the potential to report significant gains sometime during the next several years from the intended sale of a valuable former theatre parcel in Brookfield, Wisconsin and the potential sale of an existing theatre parcel in Madison, Wisconsin that we are intending to replace with a new theatre.

We reported net equity losses from unconsolidated joint ventures of \$337,000 during fiscal 2010 compared to losses of \$476,000 during the prior year. Losses during fiscal 2010 and 2009 included losses from two hotel joint ventures in which we have a 15% ownership interest and our remaining Baymont 50% joint venture. We currently do not expect significant variations in net equity gains or losses from unconsolidated joint ventures during fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010.

We reported income tax expense for fiscal 2010 of \$9.1 million, a decrease of approximately \$1.1 million, or 10.5%, compared to fiscal 2009 income tax expense of \$10.2 million. Our effective income tax rate during fiscal 2010 was 36.1%, slightly lower than our fiscal 2009 effective rate of 37.1%. This lower rate was primarily due to a decrease in our liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations during fiscal 2010. We currently anticipate that our fiscal 2011 effective income tax rate will return to its historical range of 38-40%, excluding any further lapses of any statutes of limitations during the year or potential changes in federal and state tax rates.

Weighted-average shares outstanding were 29.9 million during fiscal 2010 and 29.8 million during fiscal 2009. All per share data is presented on a diluted basis.

Fiscal 2009 versus Fiscal 2008

Revenues increased in our theatre division during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year, offsetting decreases in revenues from our hotels and resorts division. Our total operating income decreased during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year, with significantly improved operating results from our theatre division offset by reduced operating results from our hotels and resorts division. Theatre division operating results were favorably impacted by new screens acquired during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and an overall stronger slate of film product. In addition, theatres have historically performed well during recessionary time periods. Operating results from our hotels and resorts division were negatively impacted by reduced occupancy rates and average daily rates resulting from reduced business and consumer spending on travel and leisure due to the economic environment. Significant unusual investment losses and losses on disposition of property, equipment and other assets, partially offset by reduced interest expense and a slightly lower income tax rate, also contributed to a decrease in net earnings during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year.

We recognized investment losses of \$780,000 during fiscal 2009, representing a decrease of \$2.3 million compared to investment income of \$1.5 million during the prior year. The significant decrease in investment income during fiscal 2009 was primarily the result of two unusual investment losses reported during the year. We recognized a \$1.3 million pre-tax investment loss on securities held whose decline in fair value we deemed to be other than temporary and we reported an approximately \$660,000 pre-tax investment loss during fiscal 2009 related to a former Baymont Inns & Suites joint venture that owns real estate that had declined in value.

The remaining decrease in investment income during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year was primarily the result of reduced interest earned on our cash balances and our timeshare notes receivable during fiscal 2009. Interest on our fiscal 2009 cash balances was lower due to slightly lower cash balances and lower interest rates compared to the prior year and interest on our notes receivable from timeshare sales were lower because of the decrease in the principal balances of the notes as they were being paid off over time in accordance with their terms. Our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$6.8 million at the end of fiscal 2009, compared to \$13.4 million at the end of fiscal 2008.

Our interest expense totaled \$14.0 million for fiscal 2009, representing a decrease of approximately \$1.2 million, or 7.9%, compared to fiscal 2008 interest expense of \$15.2 million. The decrease in interest expense was the result of reduced borrowings and lower short-term interest rates during fiscal 2009. We were able to fund our fiscal 2009 capital expenditures out of operating cash flow, eliminating the need for additional incremental debt during the year.

We recognized net losses on disposition of property, equipment and other assets of \$814,000 during fiscal 2009, compared to gains of \$83,000 during fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009, we incurred a loss of approximately \$1.1 million related to an adjustment of prior pro-rated gains recorded on the sale of condominium units at our Platinum Hotel & Spa in Las Vegas, Nevada. With approximately 94% of the units sold, prior gains were recorded on a “percentage of completion” method based upon estimated total proceeds once all 255 units were sold. As a result of the then-current economic environment and its impact on Las Vegas real estate values, we lowered our estimated total proceeds which we expected to receive when the remaining 16 units are sold. Our pro-rated gain on sale of the previous units was reduced accordingly.

Excluding the unusual adjustment described above, the remaining fiscal 2009 gain on disposition of property, equipment and other assets was primarily the result of the sale of an outlot on a theatre land parcel. The fiscal 2008 gain was primarily the result of the sale of one of our last two remaining Baymont Inn joint ventures.

We reported net equity losses from unconsolidated joint ventures of \$476,000 during fiscal 2009 compared to losses of \$411,000 during the prior year. Losses during fiscal 2009 and 2008 included losses from two hotel joint ventures in which we have a 15% ownership interest and our remaining Baymont 50% joint venture. The slightly increased net losses were due to the challenging environment for hotel operations.

We reported income tax expense for fiscal 2009 of \$10.2 million, a decrease of approximately \$3.0 million, or 23.1%, compared to fiscal 2008 income tax expense of \$13.2 million. Our effective income tax rate during fiscal 2009 was 37.1%, lower than our fiscal 2008 effective rate of 39.2%. This lower rate was primarily due to a decrease in our liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations during fiscal 2009.

Weighted-average shares outstanding were 29.8 million during fiscal 2009 and 30.2 million during fiscal 2008.

Current Plans

Our aggregate capital expenditures, acquisitions and purchases of interests in joint ventures were approximately \$25 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$36 million during fiscal 2009 and \$65 million during fiscal 2008. We currently anticipate that our fiscal 2011 capital expenditures, including potential purchases of interests in joint ventures (but excluding any other potential acquisitions) may be in the \$40-\$60 million range. We will, however, continue to monitor our operating results and economic and industry conditions so that we may adjust our plans accordingly.

Our current strategic plans include the following goals and strategies:

- After opening three new theatres (including our prototype Majestic theatre in Brookfield, Wisconsin), adding three more successful 72-foot wide *UltraScreens*® at existing locations and acquiring 18 theatres and 205 screens in adjacent markets during the last four fiscal years, our current plans for growth in our theatre division include several opportunities for new theatres and screens. We continue to review opportunities to build additional new locations – we currently own land in six different communities that may be used for new theatres at a future date. Ultimately, we would like to build one to two new theatres per year. We will also continue to look for opportunities to expand our successful *UltraScreen* concept at new and existing locations (we currently have 13 of these very popular screens). Our *UltraScreens* have higher per-screen revenues and draw customers from a larger geographic region compared to our standard screens. In addition, we are very pleased with the results of our last two acquisitions and we will continue to consider additional potential acquisitions as opportunities arise.
- During fiscal 2010, an increasing portion of our box office receipts have resulted from digital 3D presentations of films. As a result, we significantly expanded our digital 3D footprint during fiscal 2010 with the installation of six additional 3D systems in December 2009 and an additional 27 3D systems at new and existing locations during our fiscal 2010 fourth quarter. We are particularly excited by the fact that eight of these new digital 3D

systems were installed in our signature 70-foot wide *UltraScreens* in select locations. These 3D screens are among the largest in North America and have been branded as *UltraScreen XL3D*. We now are able to offer digital 3D on 60 screens at 43 locations. With digital 3D technology available in nearly 80% of our theatres and 10% of our screens, we expect to continue to benefit from the increased number of digital 3D films being released and from the fact that 3D versions of the films have generally outperformed the corresponding 2D version of the same film, often by a factor of two to three times.

- An anticipated broad roll-out of digital cinema into our theatres, as well as the rest of the industry, was delayed during fiscal 2010 due to the increased difficulties of proposed third-party implementers to obtain the necessary financing during the current economic climate. During the latter half of fiscal 2010, progress was made regarding financing and system pricing and an expected industry-wide roll-out is now expected to occur over the course of several years. We currently expect to begin a broader roll-out of digital projection technology in our circuit beginning in fiscal 2011. The actual costs that we may incur when such a roll-out begins are yet to be determined, but it is our expectation that the majority of the costs would be paid for by the film studios through the payment of virtual print fees to us or a selected digital cinema implementation partner. Our goals from digital cinema include delivering an improved film presentation to our guests, increasing scheduling flexibility, as well as maximizing the opportunities for alternate programming that may be available with this technology.
- We continue to explore opportunities to further enhance our food and beverage offerings within our existing theatres. As part of a major renovation completed in May 2009 at our North Shore Cinema in Mequon, Wisconsin, we introduced an expanded concession *Hot Zone* that serves pizza, hamburgers, wraps, sandwiches and other hot appetizers, as well as our circuit's second *Take Five Lounge* that serves alcoholic beverages (the first being at our flagship Majestic theatre in Brookfield, Wisconsin). Capitalizing on the success of the *Zaffiro's* pizza brand at the Majestic, we also opened our first full-service restaurant within a theatre complex (*Zaffiro's Pizzeria and Bar*) at the North Shore Cinema. During fiscal 2010, we expanded our exclusive *CineDineSM* in-theatre dining concept, first introduced at the Majestic, to all five screens of a new theatre we are managing for another owner in Omaha, Nebraska. With each of these strategies, our goal continues to be to introduce and maintain entertainment destinations that would further define and enhance the customer value proposition for movie-going in the future. During fiscal 2011, our current plans are to continue to refine these existing food and beverage opportunities so as to determine whether they may be profitably duplicated at additional locations in the future. As always, we will also continue to maintain and enhance the value of our existing theatre assets by regularly upgrading and remodeling our theatres in order to keep them looking fresh and new. In order to accomplish the strategies noted above, we currently anticipate that our fiscal 2011 capital expenditures in this division may be approximately \$20-\$30 million, excluding any potential acquisitions.
- In addition to the growth strategies described above, our theatre division continues to focus on several strategies that are designed to further improve the profitability of our existing theatres. These strategies include plans to expand ancillary theatre revenues, such as pre-show and lobby advertising (thru our advertising provider, Screenvision) and additional corporate and group sales, sponsorships and alternate auditorium uses. We also continue to have a non-exclusive digital network affiliate agreement with National CineMedia, LLC for the presentation of live and pre-recorded in-theatre events in 24 of our locations in multiple markets. The expanded programming, which has included live performances of the Metropolitan Opera, as well as sports, music and other events, continues to be well received by our customers and should benefit our future operating results by providing revenue during our theatres' slower times.
- Although the current economic environment has slowed hotel development and transaction activity significantly in the short-term, our hotels and resorts division remains committed to increasing the number of rooms under management in the coming years. We continue to pursue additional growth opportunities, with an emphasis on management contracts for other owners. A number of the projects that we are currently exploring may also include some small equity investments, similar to investments we have made in the past with strategic equity partners. Although total revenues from an individual hotel management contract are significantly less than from an owned hotel, the operating margins are significantly higher due to the fact

that all direct costs of operating the property are borne by the owner of the property. Management contracts provide us with an opportunity to increase our total number of managed rooms without a significant investment, thereby increasing our returns on equity from this division. With an increasing number of hotels across the country experiencing financial difficulties due to reduced operating results and high debt service costs, we believe the opportunities to acquire high quality hotels or management contracts at attractive valuations will likely increase in the future for well-capitalized companies such as ours.

- Unlike theatre assets, whereby the majority of the return on investment comes from the annual cash flow generated by operations, a portion of the return on a hotel investment is derived by effective portfolio management, which includes determining the proper branding strategy for a given asset and the proper level of investment and upgrades necessary, as well as identifying an effective divestiture strategy for the asset when appropriate. Our past hotel investments have been very opportunistic as we have acquired assets at favorable terms and then improved the properties and operations in order to create value. Depending upon market conditions, we will periodically evaluate existing or future individual hotel assets in order to determine whether a divestiture strategy may be appropriate for that asset. We do not currently anticipate divesting any particular hotel assets during fiscal 2011.
- Our plans for our hotels and resorts division also include continued reinvestment in our existing properties in order to maintain and increase their value. During fiscal 2009, we began a major guest room renovation at the Hilton Milwaukee City Center, as well as a guest room renovation and pool and spa update at our Grand Geneva Resort. Both of these projects have now been completed. We believe that proceeding with these projects at a time when occupancies were lower and thus less disruptive, put these two important properties in a position to capitalize on an improvement in macroeconomic conditions. Our fiscal 2011 hotels and resorts capital expenditures, which will include additional reinvestments in our existing assets as well as possible equity investments in new projects, may be up to approximately \$20-\$30 million.
- In addition to the growth strategies described above, our hotels and resorts division continues to focus on several strategies that are designed to further improve the division's profitability. These include human resource and cost improvement strategies designed to achieve operational excellence and improved operating margins. We have also invested in sales, revenue management and internet marketing strategies to further drive increased profitability.
- In addition to operational and growth strategies in our operating divisions, we continue to seek additional opportunities to enhance shareholder value, including strategies related to dividend policy, share repurchases and asset divestitures. During fiscal 2010, we maintained our regular quarterly cash dividend at \$0.085 per share of common stock despite the difficult economic environment. We also have repurchased approximately 383,000 shares of our common stock during fiscal 2010 and early fiscal 2011 in conjunction with the purchase of shares in the open market and the exercise of stock options under our existing board stock repurchase authorizations. We will also continue to evaluate opportunities to sell real estate when appropriate, benefiting from the underlying value of our real estate assets. In addition to the previously mentioned potential sale of a valuable former theatre parcel in Brookfield, Wisconsin and an existing theatre in Madison, Wisconsin, we will evaluate opportunities to sell additional out-parcels at our new theatre developments in Green Bay and Sturtevant, Wisconsin in addition to other non-operating, non-performing real estate in our portfolio.

The actual number, mix and timing of potential future new facilities and expansions and/or divestitures will depend, in large part, on industry and economic conditions, our financial performance and available capital, the competitive environment, evolving customer needs and trends and the potential availability of attractive acquisition and investment opportunities. It is likely that our growth goals will continue to evolve and change in response to these and other factors, and there can be no assurance that these current goals will be achieved. Each of our goals and strategies are subject to the various risk factors discussed earlier in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Theatres

Our oldest and most profitable division is our theatre division. The theatre division contributed 59.1% of our consolidated revenues and 96.9% of our consolidated operating income, excluding corporate items, during fiscal 2010, compared to 56.1% and 81.8%, respectively, during fiscal 2009 and 48.8% and 62.1%, respectively, during fiscal 2008. The theatre division operates motion picture theatres in Wisconsin, Illinois, Ohio, Minnesota, Iowa, North Dakota and Nebraska and a family entertainment center in Wisconsin. The following tables set forth revenues, operating income, operating margin, screens and theatre locations for the last three fiscal years:

| | F2010 | F2009 | Change F10 v. F09 | | F2008 | Change F09 v. F08 | |
|--|---------|---------|-------------------|------|---------|-------------------|-------|
| | | | Amt. | Pct. | | Amt. | Pct. |
| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$224.1 | \$215.3 | \$8.8 | 4.1% | \$181.1 | \$34.2 | 18.9% |
| Operating income | \$ 44.7 | \$ 43.7 | \$1.0 | 2.5% | \$ 35.3 | \$ 8.4 | 23.6% |
| Operating margin | 20.0% | 20.3% | | | 19.5% | | |
| Number of screens and locations at fiscal year-end⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | |
| | F2010 | F2009 | F2008 | | | | |
| Theatre screens | 668 | 663 | 678 | | | | |
| Theatre locations | 54 | 53 | 56 | | | | |
| Average screens per location | 12.4 | 12.5 | 12.1 | | | | |

(1) Includes 11 screens at two locations managed for other owners in 2010 and 6 screens at one location in 2009 and 2008.

(2) Includes 21 budget screens at three locations in 2010 and 2009 and 29 budget screens at four locations in 2008. Compared to first-run theatres, budget theatres generally have lower box office revenues and associated film costs, but higher concession sales as a percentage of box office revenues.

The following table further breaks down the components of revenues for the theatre division for the last three fiscal years:

| | F2010 | F2009 | Change F10 v. F09 | | F2008 | Change F09 v. F08 | |
|--|---------|---------|-------------------|-------|---------|-------------------|-------|
| | | | Amt. | Pct. | | Amt. | Pct. |
| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Box office revenues | \$142.7 | \$137.3 | \$ 5.4 | 3.9% | \$114.7 | \$22.6 | 19.7% |
| Concession revenues | 67.8 | 67.9 | (0.1) | -0.1% | 56.9 | 11.0 | 19.4% |
| Other revenues | 13.6 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 35.3% | 9.5 | 0.6 | 5.6% |
| Total revenues | \$224.1 | \$215.3 | \$ 8.8 | 4.1% | \$181.1 | \$34.2 | 18.9% |

Fiscal 2010 versus Fiscal 2009

Our record theatre division fiscal 2010 operating results benefited from the previously described gift card breakage income and an increase in our average ticket price, offsetting a one-time pension withdrawal liability and a decrease in total theatre attendance at comparable theatres of 3.0% during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year. The comparable theatre decrease in attendance occurred primarily during two distinct periods of our fiscal year—a three-week period from mid-July to early August corresponding with the first three weeks of last year's top film, *The Dark Knight*, and during the final two months of our fiscal year when the film product did not perform as well as prior year's films.

Revenues for the theatre business and the motion picture industry in general are heavily dependent on the general audience appeal of available films, together with studio marketing, advertising and support campaigns and the maintenance of the current "windows" between the date a film is released in theatres and the date a motion picture is released to other channels, including video on-demand and DVD. These are factors over which we have no control. We believe that the most significant factor contributing to variations in attendance during fiscal 2010, as in other years, was the quantity and quality of film product released during the respective quarters compared to the films released during the same quarters last year.

Blockbusters (generally defined in the industry as films that gross more than \$100 million nationally) accounted for an increased portion of our total box office during fiscal 2010, with our top 15 performing films accounting for 42% of our fiscal 2010 box office receipts compared to 32% during fiscal 2009. The higher percentage related to blockbuster movies was due in large part to the fact that our top film, *Avatar*, became the highest grossing film of all time. The following five top performing fiscal 2010 films accounted for nearly 20% of our total box office and produced the greatest box office receipts for our circuit: *Avatar*, *Transformers: Revenge of the Fallen*, *Harry Potter and the Half-Blood Prince*, *The Blind Side* and *The Twilight Saga: New Moon*. The quantity of films shown in our theatres declined slightly during fiscal 2010. We played 168 films (including 15 digital 3D films) and 41 alternate content attractions at our theatres during fiscal 2010 compared to 183 films (including eight digital 3D films) and 31 alternate content attractions during fiscal 2009. Based upon projected film and alternate content availability, we currently estimate that we may again experience a slight decline in the number of films and attractions on our screens during fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010. There are currently nearly 30 digital 3D films scheduled to be released during our fiscal 2011, including potential hits such as *Megamind*, *Harry Potter and the Deathly Hallows*, *Tangled*, *The Chronicles of Narnia: the Voyage of the Dawn Treader*, *Yogi Bear* and *Tron*. Generally, a decrease in the quantity of films reduces the potential for more blockbusters in any given year, but an increase in the quantity of 3D films increases the potential for a higher average ticket price.

During fiscal 2010, our average ticket price increased 7.7% compared to the prior year, attributable primarily to selected price increases and premium pricing for our digital 3D and *UltraScreen*® attractions. Changes in film product mix did not have a significant impact on our average ticket price during fiscal 2010 (adult-oriented and R-rated films result in a higher average ticket price). With attendance at comparable theatres down during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year, this increase in average ticket price was the sole factor resulting in our increased box office revenues during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year.

Our average concession sales per person increased 3.6% during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year. Pricing, concession product mix and film product mix are the three primary factors that impact our concession sales per person. Selected price increases and a change in concession product mix, including increased sales of higher priced non-traditional food and beverage items in our theatres, were the primary reasons for our increased average concession sales per person during fiscal 2010. Film product mix (for example, films that appeal to families and teenagers generally produce better than average concession sales) did not have a significant impact on our average concession sales per person during the full year, although it did cause minor fluctuations during individual quarters. Because attendance during fiscal 2010 decreased, this increase in average concession sales per person was the sole factor resulting in our increased concession revenues during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year.

Our theatre division's operating margin decreased to 20.0% during fiscal 2010, compared to 20.3% in fiscal 2009. The fact that a higher percentage of our box office was attributable to our highest grossing films contributed to higher film costs and reduced margins during fiscal 2010. Higher grossing "blockbuster" films historically have a higher film cost as a percentage of box office revenues than other films and therefore our operating margin decreases when a smaller number of high grossing films make up such a large percentage of our box office revenue. In addition, reduced attendance negatively impacts our operating margins, particularly as it relates to its impact on our high-margin concession revenues. On the other hand, other revenues, which include management fees, pre-show advertising income, family entertainment center revenues and gift card breakage income, increased during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year due to the previously described change in estimate related to our gift card liability, favorably impacting our operating margin during fiscal 2010. We hope to increase our operating margin in our theatre division during fiscal 2011 by reducing our costs, including film costs, increasing our ancillary revenues and increasing our per-capita revenues.

As noted previously, our fiscal 2010 operating income and operating margin were negatively impacted by a one-time \$1.4 million pre-tax adjustment for a pension withdrawal liability incurred during our fiscal 2010 second quarter. This non-recurring liability related to our decision to withdraw from an underfunded multi-

employer Chicago projectionist union pension plan. We had only a few active associates remaining in this union and we believed it was fiscally prudent to withdraw from this pension plan and lock in our portion of the unfunded liability existing using favorable August 2008 valuations (prior to the market downturn).

In November 2009, we opened the new Marcus Midtown Cinema at Midtown Crossing in Omaha, Nebraska. We are managing this unique upscale four-level, five-screen entertainment destination for the owner, Mutual of Omaha. This theatre offers our exclusive *CineDine*SM in-theatre dining concept in all five auditoriums, another first for us, and also features *Zaffiro's* pizza. The theatre also features two sophisticated cocktail lounges, meeting and event space and a full catering service. The initial response to this distinctive new theatre has been positive. We also purchased an additional site for the development of a new theatre in Sun Prairie, Wisconsin, for approximately \$4.5 million during our fiscal 2010 fourth quarter. A new theatre on this site will ultimately replace an existing theatre in Madison, Wisconsin. We did not close any theatres during fiscal 2010 and do not anticipate closing any theatres during fiscal 2011.

Box office receipts during the summer through the date of this filing have thus far decreased slightly compared to last year's summer results. Strong performances from films such as *Karate Kid*, *Toy Story 3* (3D), *Grown Ups*, *The Twilight Saga: Eclipse* and *Inception* have contributed to our early fiscal 2011 results.

Fiscal 2009 versus Fiscal 2008

The increase in theatre division revenues and operating income during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year was due primarily to the results from the seven theatres and 83 screens that we acquired from Douglas Theatre Company and related parties during our fiscal 2008 fourth quarter, as well as a strong slate of films during fiscal 2009. Excluding the Douglas theatres, fiscal 2009 box office receipts increased 5.5% and concession revenues increased 4.3% compared to the prior year comparable theatres.

Total theatre attendance increased 14.4% during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year. Excluding the Douglas theatres, fiscal 2009 attendance at our comparable theatres increased approximately 0.1% compared to the prior year. With good film product, movie theatres have historically performed well during difficult economic conditions, as evidenced by the fact that national theatre attendance increased during five of the last seven recessions. During fiscal 2009, our comparable theatre attendance decreased by 5.6% during our first quarter compared to the prior year (despite the impact of our largest grossing movie of the year, *The Dark Knight*) due to a weak August film slate and television viewership of the Olympics and the Democratic National Convention. As the economy worsened, our attendance improved, with comparable attendance up 3.0% during the last three quarters of fiscal 2009. Our fiscal 2009 third quarter was particularly strong, with an unusually large quantity of good performing films compared to the prior year, particularly during January and February.

We believe that the most significant factor contributing to variations in attendance during fiscal 2009, as in other years, was the quantity and quality of film product released during the respective quarters compared to the films released during the same quarters in the prior year. As mentioned above, an additional factor that is difficult to measure but which may have had some continuing impact on attendance during the last three quarters of fiscal 2009 was the impact of the recession on consumer spending choices. The movie-going experience is a relatively inexpensive experience compared to other out-of-home entertainment options and has traditionally been viewed as an opportunity to escape from the daily challenges of life. With consumers generally traveling less during turbulent times, it is possible that our attendance benefitted from this environment during fiscal 2009.

Consistent with prior years in which blockbusters accounted for a significant portion of our total box office, our top 15 performing films accounted for 32% of our fiscal 2009 box office receipts compared to 35% during fiscal 2008. The following five fiscal 2009 films accounted for approximately 14% of our total box office and produced the greatest box office receipts for our circuit: *The Dark Knight*, *Wall-E*, *Hancock*, *Gran Torino* and *Twilight*. The quantity of films shown in our theatres remained relatively constant during fiscal 2009. We played 214 films and attractions (including 31 alternate content bookings and 8 digital 3D films) at our theatres during fiscal 2009 compared to 217 films and attractions during fiscal 2008.

During fiscal 2009, our average ticket price increased 4.7% in total and 5.4% for comparable theatres compared to the prior year, attributable primarily to selected price increases and premium pricing for our digital 3D and *UltraScreen* attractions. During our fiscal 2009 fourth quarter, when our top performing film was *Monsters vs. Aliens* (with over one-half of our box office receipts for this film attributable to our digital 3D showings), our average ticket price increased 7.6% in total and 8.3% for comparable theatres. Changes in film product mix did not have a significant impact on our average ticket price during fiscal 2009 (more adult-oriented and R-rated films result in a higher average ticket price). With attendance at comparable theatres up only slightly during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year, this increase in average ticket price contributed approximately \$6.1 million, or 98%, of our increased box office revenues for comparable theatres during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year.

Our average concession sales per person increased 4.2% in total and for comparable theatres during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year. Selected price increases and a slight change in concession product mix, including increased sales of higher priced non-traditional food and beverage items in our theatres, were the primary reasons for our increased average concession sales per person during fiscal 2009. Film product mix (for example, films that appeal to families and teenagers generally produce better than average concession sales) did not have a significant impact on our average concession sales per person during the full year, although it did cause minor fluctuations during individual quarters. Since attendance during fiscal 2009 only increased slightly, this increase in average concession sales per person contributed \$2.3 million, or 97%, of our increased concession revenues for comparable theatres during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year.

Our theatre division's operating margin increased to 20.3% during fiscal 2009, compared to 19.5% in fiscal 2008. Our fiscal 2009 operating margin increased primarily due to the impact of increased revenues at our comparable theatres, particularly as a result of higher average ticket prices and average concession sales per person. Other revenues increased slightly during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year, also favorably impacting our operating margin.

We closed three leased theatres with 16 screens during fiscal 2009, including two theatres during our fiscal fourth quarter, with minimal impact on our fiscal 2009 operating results. The underlying leases had expired on two of these three locations. We also closed one screen at our North Shore Cinema in Mequon, Wisconsin during fiscal 2009 in order to make room for a full-service *Zaffiro's* restaurant.

Late in our fiscal 2009 second quarter, we opened our circuit's 12th *UltraScreen* at our theatre in Orland Park, Illinois. Late in our fiscal 2009 fourth quarter, we opened our 13th *UltraScreen* at our newly renovated North Shore Cinema. The new *UltraScreens* provided a minor favorable impact on our fiscal 2009 operating results. In conjunction with our Douglas acquisition, we also purchased an additional site for the development of a new theatre in LaVista, Nebraska, a growing suburb of Omaha, for approximately \$4.4 million during our fiscal 2009 first quarter.

Hotels and Resorts

The hotels and resorts division contributed 40.6% of our consolidated revenues from continuing operations and 3.1% of our consolidated operating income, excluding corporate items, during fiscal 2010, compared to 43.6% and 18.2%, respectively, during fiscal 2009 and 50.8% and 37.9%, respectively, during fiscal 2008. As of May 27, 2010, the hotels and resorts division owned and operated three full-service hotels in downtown Milwaukee, Wisconsin, a full-facility destination resort in Lake Geneva, Wisconsin and full-service hotels in Madison, Wisconsin, Kansas City, Missouri, Chicago, Illinois and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. In addition, we managed 11 hotels, resorts and other properties for other owners. Included in the 11 managed properties are two hotels owned by joint ventures in which we have a minority interest and two condominium hotels in which we own the public space. The following table sets forth revenues, operating income, operating margin and rooms data for the hotels and resorts division for the last three fiscal years:

| | F2010 | F2009 | Change F10 v. F09 | | F2008 | Change F09 v. F08 | | |
|--|---------|---------|--|--------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | Amt. | Pct. | | Amt. | Pct. | |
| | | | <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$153.9 | \$167.1 | \$(13.2) | -7.9% | \$188.5 | \$(21.4) | -11.4% | |
| Operating income | \$ 1.4 | \$ 9.7 | \$ (8.3) | -85.2% | \$ 21.6 | \$(11.9) | -55.0% | |
| Operating margin | 0.9% | 5.8% | | | 11.4% | | | |
| Available rooms at fiscal year-end | | | | | F2010 | F2009 | F2008 | |
| Company-owned | | | | | 2,520 | 2,520 | 2,520 | |
| Management contracts with joint ventures | | | | | 423 | 423 | 423 | |
| Management contracts with condominium hotels | | | | | 480 | 480 | 480 | |
| Management contracts with other owners | | | | | 1,717 | 1,769 | 1,769 | |
| Total available rooms | | | | | 5,140 | 5,192 | 5,192 | |

Fiscal 2010 versus Fiscal 2009

Division revenues and operating income decreased during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year due to the continued negative impact the current economic environment has had on demand, and in particular, group business travel. In addition, our fiscal 2010 operating income was negatively impacted by two significant items related to our Platinum Hotel & Spa in Las Vegas, Nevada. We recognized a \$2.6 million pre-tax non-cash impairment charge during fiscal 2010 related to our 16 remaining owned condominium hotel units at this property. The Las Vegas real estate market has been impacted significantly by the recessionary economic conditions and the market is currently saturated with unsold hotel condominium units, including a significant number that have recently been constructed. As a result, sales prices of such units have declined dramatically and we concluded that impairment indicators were present. The impairment charge reported during fiscal 2010 represents the difference between the carrying amount of the assets and our estimate of the current fair value of these assets. We also incurred approximately \$1.7 million in legal expenses related to various legal proceedings associated with the Platinum Hotel & Spa. We anticipate incurring additional legal expenses of up to \$1 million during fiscal 2011 related to these matters.

Decreases in hotels and resorts division revenues and operating income during fiscal 2010 were partially offset by approximately \$400,000 of the previously described gift card breakage income related to fiscal years 2009 and prior. In addition, comparisons to fiscal 2009 operating results were favorably impacted by the fact that we reported a \$1.3 million charge to earnings during fiscal 2009 for losses on funds advanced to owners of managed properties that experienced significant financial hardship as a result of the reduced travel.

We have implemented numerous strategies to reduce costs during this difficult period in the hotel industry. Excluding the unusual items during fiscal 2010 and 2009 described above, our cost containment measures resulted in approximately 46% of our overall fiscal 2010 revenue decline flowing through to our operating income—a flow-through percentage that compares favorably with others in our industry.

The following table sets forth certain operating statistics, including our average occupancy percentage (number of occupied rooms as a percentage of available rooms), our average daily room rate, or ADR, and our total revenue per available room, or RevPAR, for company-owned properties:

| Operating Statistics ⁽¹⁾ | F2010 | F2009 | Change F10 v. F09 | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|--------|
| | | | Amt. | Pct. |
| Occupancy percentage | 63.7% | 62.1% | 1.6 pts | 2.6% |
| ADR | \$128.93 | \$144.41 | \$(15.48) | -10.7% |
| RevPAR | \$ 82.14 | \$ 89.74 | \$ (7.60) | -8.5% |

(1) These operating statistics represent averages of eight distinct company-owned hotels and resorts, branded and unbranded, in different geographic markets with a wide range of individual hotel performance. The statistics are not necessarily representative of any particular hotel or resort.

RevPAR decreased at all eight of our company-owned properties during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year. A strong resurgence in demand during our fiscal fourth quarter resulted in an overall increase in our occupancy during fiscal 2010 compared to the prior year, with several of our properties recently renovated performing particularly well during this period. We continue, however, to experience significant downward pressure on our ADR. According to data received from Smith Travel Research and compiled by us in order to compare our fiscal year results, comparable “upper upscale” hotels throughout the United States experienced a similar decrease in RevPAR of 8.7% during our fiscal 2010. In general, industry data indicated that properties in major destination markets, properties that are perceived to operate near the luxury end of the hotel spectrum and properties with a greater reliance on group business, have experienced the largest declines in RevPAR during this extended period of economic turmoil.

The impact of the improved demand, as well as the fact that comparisons to the prior year were progressively easier, may be seen in the following fiscal 2010 quarterly trends in our operating statistics:

| | Change F10 v. F09 | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 st Qtr. | 2 nd Qtr. | 3 rd Qtr. | 4 th Qtr. |
| Occupancy percentage | -8.8pts | -2.0pts | +1.6pts | +15.5pts |
| ADR | -11.1% | -12.2% | -8.9% | -6.2% |
| RevPAR | -21.1% | -15.0% | -5.8% | +19.3% |

In order to better understand our fiscal 2010 results compared to pre-recessionary levels, however, the following table compares our fiscal 2010 quarterly operating statistics to fiscal 2008 results:

| | Change F10 v. F08 | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 st Qtr. | 2 nd Qtr. | 3 rd Qtr. | 4 th Qtr. |
| Occupancy percentage | -9.6pts | -7.4pts | -4.7pts | +5.4pts |
| ADR | -11.1% | -11.6% | -10.8% | -15.0% |
| RevPAR | -22.0% | -20.7% | -18.5% | -8.2% |

As indicated by the tables above, one of the biggest challenges facing our hotels and resorts division, and the industry as a whole, is the continued decline in ADR. Without a strong group business segment to fill blocks of rooms, we have had to aggressively seek occupancy with the more price sensitive leisure, government and contract customer segments. In addition, we are also booking more rooms on alternate internet channels, further driving down our ADR.

Hotel revenues have historically tracked very closely with traditional macroeconomic statistics such as the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Although the current near-term outlook for this division's performance remains uncertain in light of the current economic and employment environment, we were very encouraged by our fiscal 2010 fourth quarter results and the growing optimism in the marketplace that the industry will see further improvement during our fiscal 2011. The hotel business has historically been a very cyclical business and these cycles have had many consistent elements over the years. The first sign of recovery in past cycles has been a slow and steady increase in occupancy rates. Our fiscal 2010 third and fourth quarter increases in our occupancy rate, as well as similar increases reported by others in our industry, may be an indication that we are in this first phase of the recovery cycle. Historically, the cycle completes itself when ADR and margins return to pre-recession levels. What is unknown is how long it will take for ADR and margins to rebound. Due to the fact that the lead time for reservations from both the corporate transient and leisure customer is relatively short (often only one to two weeks) compared to historic norms, our ability to project future occupancies from these customers is very limited. In recent months, we have experienced some improvement in our group business booking pace, but we will likely continue to see ADR's lower than those of comparable prior periods in the near term. We will continue to offer value packages to our guests in an effort to increase demand and we will attempt to shift market share away from alternate internet channels and towards our own booking channels.

If a future recovery unfolds similarly to recoveries from prior down cycles, it will likely take group business longer to return to "normal" levels, as companies typically take a very cautious approach on these expenditures before restoring their pre-recessionary budgets. Prior year comparisons, however, will likely continue to become more favorable in future periods. As a result, assuming economic conditions do not worsen, we believe that we may continue to report increases in our comparable RevPAR in the periods ahead. The fact that any improvement in RevPAR in the near term will likely be the result of increased occupancy, and not ADR, will likely imply that our operating margins will continue to be challenged, as our operating costs traditionally increase as occupancy increases. A potentially positive aspect of the current circumstances is the likelihood that supply growth will continue to be minimal in the near term, which may have a favorable impact on owners of existing hotels like us. We will continue to monitor the situation and adjust our sales focus and operating costs as needed.

Fiscal 2009 versus Fiscal 2008

Division revenues and operating income decreased during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year due to the negative impact the recessionary environment had on all customer segments—group business, corporate transient and leisure. Food and beverage revenues at our hotels declined at a slightly higher pace than room revenues as customers also reduced the amount they spent during their stay. Comparisons to the prior year's revenues and operating income were negatively impacted by the fact that, during fiscal 2008, we received a \$900,000 development fee related to a hotel project for another owner to whom we provided assistance. In addition, fiscal 2009 operating results were negatively impacted by reduced management fees and a \$1.3 million charge to earnings for potential losses on funds advanced to owners of managed properties that have experienced significant financial hardship as a result of the reduced travel.

The following table sets forth certain operating statistics, including our average occupancy percentage, our ADR, and RevPAR, for company-owned properties:

| Operating Statistics⁽¹⁾ | F2009 | F2008 | Change F09 v. F08 | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Amt. | Pct. |
| Occupancy percentage | 62.1% | 67.8% | -5.7 pts | -8.3% |
| ADR | \$144.41 | \$147.22 | \$ (2.81) | -1.9% |
| RevPAR | \$ 89.74 | \$ 99.79 | \$ (10.05) | -10.1% |

(1) These operating statistics represent averages of eight distinct company-owned hotels and resorts, branded and unbranded, in different geographic markets with a wide range of individual hotel performance. The statistics are not necessarily representative of any particular hotel or resort.

RevPAR decreased at seven of our eight company-owned properties during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year. In addition to the one property with increased RevPAR during fiscal 2009, two other properties experienced RevPAR declines of only 2% or less. In general, owned and managed properties in major destination markets or with a greater reliance on group business experienced the largest declines in RevPAR during fiscal 2009. According to data received from Smith Travel Research and compiled by us in order to compare our fiscal year results, comparable upper upscale hotels throughout the United States experienced a slightly higher decrease in RevPAR of 12.3% during our fiscal 2009, likely due to the different markets in which we operate.

The worsening effect of the recession can be seen in the following fiscal 2009 quarterly trends in our operating statistics:

| | Change F09 v. F08 | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 st Qtr. | 2 nd Qtr. | 3 rd Qtr. | 4 th Qtr. |
| Occupancy percentage | -0.8pts | -5.4pts | -6.3pts | -10.1pts |
| ADR | 0.1% | 0.7% | -2.0% | -9.4% |
| RevPAR | -1.0% | -6.8% | -13.5% | -23.0% |

Division operating income and operating margins declined during fiscal 2009 compared to the prior year due to the aforementioned reduced revenues. Improved operating results from our newest hotel in Oklahoma City only partially offset the significant declines in operating income at our hotels most impacted by the economic downturn. We implemented numerous strategies to reduce costs during this difficult period in the hotel industry. Excluding the previously described non-comparable development fee reported in our fiscal 2008 third quarter, the reserve for potential losses related to management contracts with other owners reported during fiscal 2009 and a one-time unfavorable adjustment to real estate taxes at our Chicago Four Points hotel during fiscal 2008, our cost containment measures resulted in approximately 49% of our overall fiscal 2009 revenue decline flowing through to our operating income—a flow-through percentage that generally compares favorably with others in our industry.

Financial Condition

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our movie theatre and hotels and resorts businesses each generate significant and relatively consistent daily amounts of cash, subject to previously noted seasonality, because each segment's revenue is derived predominantly from consumer cash purchases. We believe that these relatively consistent and predictable cash sources, as well as the availability of \$107 million of unused credit lines at fiscal 2010 year-end, should be adequate to support the ongoing operational liquidity needs of our businesses during fiscal 2011.

Our revolving credit agreement has three years remaining at very favorable terms (LIBOR plus 0.60% to 1.00%, based on our borrowing levels). The majority of our long-term debt consists of senior notes with limited annual maturities—\$14.2 million and \$16.4 million in fiscal 2011 and 2012, respectively. We currently have one mortgage note for \$25.2 million that is due in fiscal 2011, but we anticipate successfully extending the maturity of that note during fiscal 2011. We are also in a very good position with our two primary financial covenants—as of May 27, 2010, our debt-to-capitalization ratio was 0.41 and our fixed charge coverage ratio was 4.1, compared to limitations of 0.55 and 3.0, respectively, as specified in our revolving credit agreement. We currently do not expect any covenant violations during fiscal 2011.

Fiscal 2010 versus Fiscal 2009

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$52.7 million during fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$16.7 million, or 24.1%, compared to \$69.4 million during fiscal 2009. The decrease was due primarily to unfavorable timing in the collection of accounts and notes receivable, the payment of accounts payable and income taxes and

a decrease in deferred compensation and other, partially offset by an increase in deferred income taxes and favorable timing in the payment of accrued compensation.

Net cash used in investing activities during fiscal 2010 totaled \$21.4 million compared to \$35.4 million during fiscal 2009, a decrease of \$14.0 million or 39.6%. The decrease in net cash used in investing activities was primarily the result of decreased capital expenditures and the return of split dollar life insurance policy premiums during fiscal 2010. We had minimal proceeds from the sale of assets during fiscal 2010 and 2009.

Total cash capital expenditures (including normal continuing capital maintenance projects) totaled \$25.1 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$35.7 million incurred in fiscal 2009. We incurred approximately \$15.6 million of capital expenditures during fiscal 2010 in our hotels and resorts division, including costs associated with the previously described renovations at our Hilton Milwaukee and Grand Geneva properties. We incurred approximately \$9.4 million of capital expenditures during fiscal 2010 in our theatre division, including costs associated with a land purchase in Sun Prairie, Wisconsin, a major remodeling at our Coral Ridge, Iowa theatre and digital 3D projectors. During fiscal 2009, we incurred approximately \$14.7 million of capital expenditures in our hotels and resorts division, including costs associated with the previously described renovations at our Hilton Milwaukee and Grand Geneva properties. We incurred approximately \$20.9 million of capital expenditures during fiscal 2009 in our theatre division, including costs associated with the two new *UltraScreens* opened during the year, a land purchase in Nebraska, digital 3D projectors and the renovation of the North Shore Cinema (including the new *Zaffiro's* restaurant). Our estimated current planned fiscal 2011 capital expenditures, which may be in the \$40-\$60 million range (excluding potential acquisitions), are described in greater detail in the Current Plans section of this discussion.

Net cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2010 totaled \$29.0 million, a decrease of \$11.7 million, or 28.7%, compared to \$40.7 million during fiscal 2009. The difference related primarily to increased net proceeds from issuance of notes payable and long-term debt. During fiscal 2010, we received \$77.9 million of net proceeds from the issuance of notes payable and long-term debt, compared to \$67.1 million during fiscal 2009. Fiscal 2010 and 2009 proceeds were primarily the result of periodic short-term borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We made total principal payments on notes payable and long-term debt of \$96.8 million and \$98.3 million during fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing mostly the payment of current maturities of senior notes and the payment of short-term commercial paper and revolving credit borrowings during both years. As a result, our total debt (including current maturities) decreased to \$236.4 million at the close of fiscal 2010, compared to \$255.4 million at the end of fiscal 2009. Our debt-capitalization ratio was 0.41 at May 27, 2010, compared to 0.44 at the prior fiscal year-end. Based upon our current expectations for fiscal 2011 capital expenditure levels and excluding any potential acquisitions, we anticipate our long-term debt total and debt-capitalization ratio will not change significantly during fiscal 2011. Our actual long-term debt total and debt-capitalization ratio at the end of fiscal 2011 will likely be dependent upon our actual operating results, capital expenditures, potential acquisitions, asset sales proceeds and equity transactions during the year.

During fiscal 2010, we repurchased 70,000 of our common shares for approximately \$769,000 in conjunction with the exercise of stock options and the purchase of shares in the open market, compared to 20,000 of common shares repurchased for approximately \$324,000 during fiscal 2009 in conjunction with the exercise of stock options. We also repurchased an additional 313,000 of our common shares for approximately \$3.4 million during the first weeks of our fiscal 2011 first quarter. As a result, as of the date of this filing, approximately 1.9 million shares remain available under prior repurchase authorizations. Any additional repurchases are expected to be executed on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending upon a number of factors, including prevailing market conditions.

Fiscal 2009 versus Fiscal 2008

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$69.4 million during fiscal 2009, an increase of \$11.6 million or 20.2%, compared to \$57.8 million during fiscal 2008. The increase was due primarily to favorable

timing in the payment of accounts payable and collection of accounts and notes receivable and an increase in deferred compensation and other, partially offset by a decrease in deferred income taxes and unfavorable timing in the payment of accrued compensation.

Net cash used in investing activities during fiscal 2009 totaled \$35.4 million compared to \$60.2 million during fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$24.8 million or 41.2%. The decrease in net cash used in investing activities was primarily the result of decreased acquisitions and a decrease in other assets, partially offset by an increase in capital expenditures and a decrease in cash received that was previously held by intermediaries. We had minimal proceeds from the sale of assets during fiscal 2009 and 2008.

Total cash capital expenditures (including normal continuing capital maintenance projects) totaled \$35.7 million during fiscal 2009 compared to \$24.4 million incurred in fiscal 2008 (excluding our \$40.5 million acquisition of the Douglas theatres). We incurred approximately \$14.7 million of capital expenditures during fiscal 2009 in our hotels and resorts division, including costs associated with the previously described renovations at our Hilton Milwaukee and Grand Geneva properties. We incurred approximately \$20.9 million of capital expenditures during fiscal 2009 in our theatre division, including costs associated with the two new *UltraScreens* opened during the year, the land purchase in Nebraska, digital 3D projectors and the renovation of the North Shore Cinema (including the new *Zaffiro's* restaurant). During fiscal 2008, we incurred approximately \$15.8 million of capital expenditures for our hotels and resorts division, including costs associated with renovations at our Pfister Hotel. Excluding the Douglas acquisition, we incurred fiscal 2008 capital expenditures of \$8.5 million in our theatre division, including costs associated with the new *UltraScreen* opened during the year.

Net cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2009 totaled \$40.7 million, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$3.8 million in fiscal 2008, with the difference primarily related to increased principal payments on notes payable and long-term debt and reduced net proceeds from issuance of notes payable and long-term debt, partially offset by reduced treasury stock transactions during fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2009, we received \$67.1 million of net proceeds from the issuance of notes payable and long-term debt, compared to \$75.5 million during fiscal 2008. Fiscal 2009 proceeds were primarily the result of replacing existing commercial paper borrowings with borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Fiscal 2008 proceeds were primarily the result of the issuance of \$60 million of unsecured senior notes privately placed with four institutional lenders in April 2008. We made total principal payments on notes payable and long-term debt of \$98.3 million and \$47.3 million during fiscal 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing primarily the payment of current maturities of senior notes during both years and the aforementioned payment of commercial paper borrowings during fiscal 2009. As a result, our total debt (including current maturities) decreased to \$255.4 million at the close of fiscal 2009, compared to \$284.9 million at the end of fiscal 2008. Our debt-capitalization ratio was 0.44 at May 28, 2009, compared to 0.47 at the prior fiscal year-end.

During fiscal 2009, we repurchased 20,000 of our common shares for approximately \$324,000 in conjunction with the exercise of stock options. This compares to 828,000 of common shares repurchased for approximately \$14.3 million during fiscal 2008 in conjunction with the exercise of stock options and the purchase of shares in the open market.

Contractual Obligations

We have obligations and commitments to make future payments under debt and operating leases. The following schedule details these obligations at May 27, 2010 (in thousands):

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| | Total | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | After 5 Years |
| Long-term debt | \$236,443 | \$39,610 | \$122,428 | \$ 9,727 | \$ 64,678 |
| Notes payable | 221 | 221 | — | — | — |
| Operating lease obligations | 142,904 | 7,073 | 14,066 | 13,308 | 108,457 |
| Construction commitments | 3,395 | 3,395 | — | — | — |
| Total contractual obligations | \$382,963 | \$50,299 | \$136,494 | \$23,035 | \$173,135 |

Additional detail describing our long-term debt is included in Note 5 of our consolidated financial statements.

As of May 27, 2010, we had no additional material purchase obligations other than those created in the ordinary course of business related to property and equipment, which generally have terms of less than 90 days. We also have long-term obligations related to our employee benefit plans, which are discussed in detail in Note 7 of our consolidated financial statements. We have not included uncertain tax obligations in the table of contractual obligations due to uncertainty as to the timing of any potential payments.

We guarantee debt of a 50% unconsolidated joint venture. Our joint venture partner also guarantees all of this same debt.

We have approximately three and one-half years remaining on a ten and one-half year office lease. During fiscal 2006, the lease was amended in order to allow us to exit the leased office space for our former limited-service lodging division. To induce the landlord to amend the lease, we guaranteed the lease obligations of the new tenant of the relinquished space throughout the remaining term of the lease.

The following schedule details our guarantee obligations at May 27, 2010 (in thousands):

| | Expiration by Period | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| | Total | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | After 5 Years |
| Debt guarantee obligations | \$1,443 | \$1,443 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Lease guarantee obligations | 1,600 | 438 | 918 | 244 | — |
| Total guarantee obligations | \$3,043 | \$1,881 | \$918 | \$244 | \$— |

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates and we manage our exposure to this market risk by monitoring available financing alternatives.

Variable interest rate debt outstanding as of May 27, 2010 was \$68.7 million, carried an average interest rate of 1.3% and represented 29.1% of our total debt portfolio. Our earnings are affected by changes in short-term interest rates as a result of our borrowings under our revolving credit agreement, commercial paper and floating-rate mortgages.

Fixed interest rate debt totaled \$167.7 million as of May 27, 2010, carried an average interest rate of 5.7% and represented 70.9% of our total debt portfolio. Fixed interest rate debt included the following: senior notes

bearing interest semiannually at fixed rates ranging from 5.89% to 7.93%, maturing in fiscal 2012 through 2020; and fixed rate mortgages and other debt instruments (including an effective interest rate swap agreement described below) bearing interest from 1.00% to 6.10%, maturing in 2011 through 2036. The fair value of our long-term fixed interest rate debt is subject to interest rate risk. Generally, the fair market value of our fixed interest rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. As of May 27, 2010, the fair value of our \$102.4 million of senior notes was approximately \$97.8 million. Based upon the respective rate and prepayment provisions of our remaining fixed interest rate mortgage and unsecured term note at May 27, 2010, the carrying amounts of such debt approximates fair value as of such date.

The variable interest rate debt and fixed interest rate debt outstanding as of May 27, 2010 matures as follows (in thousands):

| | F2011 | F2012 | F2013 | F2014 | F2015 | Thereafter | Total |
|------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Variable interest rate | \$ — | \$ 750 | \$ 68,000 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 68,750 |
| Fixed interest rate | 39,610 | 16,595 | 37,083 | 7,324 | 2,403 | 64,678 | 167,693 |
| Total debt | \$39,610 | \$17,345 | \$105,083 | \$7,324 | \$2,403 | \$64,678 | \$236,443 |

We periodically enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate changes. These swaps involve the exchange of fixed and variable interest rate payments without exchanging the notional principal amount. Payments or receipts on the agreements are recorded as adjustments to interest expense. We had one outstanding interest rate swap agreement at May 27, 2010 covering \$25.2 million of principal borrowing obligations, expiring on February 1, 2011. Under this swap agreement, we pay a defined fixed rate while receiving a defined variable rate based on LIBOR, effectively converting a \$25.2 million variable rate mortgage note to a fixed rate. The swap agreement did not impact our fiscal 2010 earnings and we do not expect it to have any effect on fiscal 2011 earnings. On March 19, 2008, we terminated an effective cash flow hedge agreement that had covered \$25.0 million of borrowings and required us to pay interest at a defined fixed rate while receiving a defined variable rate based on LIBOR. The fair value of the hedge agreement on the date of the termination resulted in a liability of \$567,000 (\$338,000 net of tax). The remaining loss in accumulated other comprehensive income at May 27, 2010 of \$326,000 (\$194,000 net of tax) will be reclassified into earnings as interest expense through April 15, 2013, the remaining life of the original hedge, as interest payments affect earnings. We expect to reclassify approximately \$113,000 (\$68,000 net of tax) of loss into earnings during fiscal 2011.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates associated with critical accounting policies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

- We review long-lived assets, including fixed assets, goodwill, investments in joint ventures and receivables from joint ventures, for impairment at least annually, or whenever events or changes in circumstances

indicate that the carrying amount of any such asset may not be recoverable. In assessing the recoverability of these assets, we must make assumptions regarding the estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets. The estimate of cash flow is based upon, among other things, certain assumptions about expected future operating performance. Our estimates of undiscounted cash flow may differ from actual cash flow due to factors such as economic conditions, changes to our business model or changes in our operating performance. For long-lived assets other than goodwill, if the sum of the undiscounted estimated cash flows (excluding interest) is less than the current carrying value, we recognize an impairment loss, measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the asset. During fiscal 2010, we recorded a before-tax impairment charge of \$2.6 million related to our 16 remaining owned condominium hotel units at our Platinum Hotel & Spa. During fiscal 2008, we recorded a before-tax impairment charge of \$200,000 on fixed assets related to theatres scheduled to close during fiscal 2009.

- In assessing goodwill for impairment, we utilize a two-step approach. In the first step, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. In the second step of the impairment test, any impairment loss is determined by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill to the recorded amount of goodwill. In assessing the fair value of the reporting unit, we utilize a market approach to determine the fair value of each reporting unit. The market approach quantifies each reporting unit's fair value based on revenue and/or earnings or cash flow multiples realized in similar industry transactions or multiples gathered from other external competitive data. The fair value of our theatre reporting unit exceeded our carrying value for fiscal 2010 and 2009.
- We pay income taxes based on tax statutes, regulations and case law of the various jurisdictions in which we operate. Judgment is required as to whether uncertain tax positions will be accepted by tax authorities. We are subject to tax audits in each of these jurisdictions, which may result in changes to our estimated tax expense. The amount of these changes would vary by jurisdiction and would be recorded when probable and estimable. In calculating the provision for income taxes on an interim basis, we use an estimate of the annual effective tax rate based upon the facts and circumstances known at each interim period.

Accounting Changes

On May 30, 2008, we adopted Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) No. 820 (originally issued as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*) as it relates to financial assets and liabilities. The impact of this adoption was not material to our financial statements. ASC No. 820 became effective for our nonfinancial assets and liabilities on May 29, 2009, the first day of our fiscal 2010. ASC No. 820 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, defines fair value based upon an exit price model, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands the applicable disclosure requirements. ASC No. 820 indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that a transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability.

ASC No. 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for the pricing inputs used to measure fair value. Our assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified in one of the following categories:

Level 1—Assets or liabilities for which fair value is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments as of the reporting date. At May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, our \$383,000 and \$412,000, respectively, of available for sale securities were valued using Level 1 pricing inputs.

Level 2—The liability related to our interest rate hedge contract was based on valuation models for which pricing inputs were either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. The liability was \$488,000 and \$961,000 at May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively.

Level 3—Assets or liabilities for which fair value is based on valuation models with significant unobservable pricing inputs and which result in the use of management estimates. At May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, none of our assets or liabilities were valued using Level 3 pricing inputs.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC No. 805 (originally issued as SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*), which establishes accounting standards for acquisitions made by the Company. This statement was effective for us during fiscal 2010 and did not have an impact on our overall financial position or results of operation.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC No. 805 (originally issued as SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements*), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This statement was effective for us during fiscal 2010 and did not have an impact on our overall financial position or results of operation.

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC No. 810, (originally issued as SFAS No. 167, *Amendment to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*), which amends certain requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (VIE). The statement requires a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE, requires continuous assessment of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE and requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's involvement with a VIE. This statement is effective for us in fiscal 2011 and we do not expect the adoption of this statement to have an impact on our overall financial position or results of operation.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The information required by this item is set forth in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” above.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of May 27, 2010. The Company's auditors, Deloitte & Touche LLP, have issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. That attestation report is set forth in this Item 8.

Gregory S. Marcus
President and Chief Executive Officer

Douglas A. Neis
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
The Marcus Corporation

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of The Marcus Corporation (the "Company") as of May 27, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of May 27, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended May 27, 2010 of the Company and our report dated August 10, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
August 10, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
of The Marcus Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The Marcus Corporation (the "Company") as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinions, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of May 27, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated August 10, 2010 and expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
August 10, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM


The Board of Directors and Shareholders
of The Marcus Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity, and cash flows of The Marcus Corporation for the year ended May 29, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of The Marcus Corporation's operations and its cash flows for the year ended May 29, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

On May 31, 2007, the Company changed its method of accounting for defined benefit pension plans.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ernst & Young LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
August 11, 2008

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 9,132 | \$ 6,796 |
| Accounts and notes receivable, net of reserves <i>(Notes 4 and 10)</i> | 9,323 | 12,433 |
| Refundable income taxes | 6,820 | — |
| Deferred income taxes <i>(Note 8)</i> | 2,708 | 3,139 |
| Other current assets <i>(Note 1)</i> | 7,310 | 7,776 |
| Total current assets | 35,293 | 30,144 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net <i>(Note 4)</i> | 585,989 | 595,556 |
| OTHER ASSETS: | | |
| Investments in joint ventures <i>(Note 10)</i> | 1,322 | 1,391 |
| Goodwill <i>(Note 1)</i> | 44,413 | 44,552 |
| Condominium units <i>(Note 3)</i> | 3,479 | 5,912 |
| Other <i>(Note 4)</i> | 33,915 | 33,968 |
| Total other assets | 83,129 | 85,823 |
| Total assets | \$704,411 | \$711,523 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Notes payable <i>(Note 10)</i> | \$ 221 | \$ 229 |
| Accounts payable | 18,985 | 22,743 |
| Income taxes | — | 796 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 12,589 | 13,015 |
| Accrued compensation | 5,038 | 4,665 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 24,533 | 24,540 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt <i>(Note 5)</i> | 39,610 | 14,432 |
| Total current liabilities | 100,976 | 80,420 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT <i>(Note 5)</i> | 196,833 | 240,943 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES <i>(Note 8)</i> | 39,180 | 32,024 |
| DEFERRED COMPENSATION AND OTHER <i>(Note 7)</i> | 31,626 | 30,696 |
| COMMITMENTS, LICENSE RIGHTS AND CONTINGENCIES <i>(Note 9)</i> | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY <i>(Note 6):</i> | | |
| Preferred Stock, \$1 par; authorized 1,000,000 shares; none issued | — | — |
| Common Stock: | | |
| Common Stock, \$1 par; authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued 22,335,334 shares in 2010 and 22,329,978 shares in 2009 | 22,335 | 22,330 |
| Class B Common Stock, \$1 par; authorized 33,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 8,854,179 shares in 2010 and 8,859,535 shares in 2009 | 8,855 | 8,860 |
| Capital in excess of par | 48,664 | 47,649 |
| Retained earnings | 279,869 | 273,637 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,825) | (2,781) |
| | 356,898 | 349,695 |
| Less cost of Common Stock in treasury (1,299,098 shares in 2010 and 1,364,585 shares in 2009) | (21,102) | (22,255) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 335,796 | 327,440 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$704,411 | \$711,523 |

See accompanying notes.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Year ended | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| REVENUES: | | | |
| Theatre admissions | \$142,675 | \$137,335 | \$114,703 |
| Rooms | 77,512 | 84,673 | 94,077 |
| Theatre concessions | 67,837 | 67,881 | 56,849 |
| Food and beverage | 44,992 | 48,256 | 54,902 |
| Other revenues | 46,053 | 45,351 | 50,544 |
| Total revenues | 379,069 | 383,496 | 371,075 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES: | | | |
| Theatre operations | 121,631 | 112,921 | 95,694 |
| Rooms | 30,987 | 32,552 | 34,661 |
| Theatre concessions | 16,924 | 16,273 | 14,002 |
| Food and beverage | 35,645 | 38,441 | 42,918 |
| Advertising and marketing | 19,643 | 20,300 | 20,307 |
| Administrative | 36,836 | 38,716 | 37,007 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 32,312 | 32,228 | 31,259 |
| Rent <i>(Note 9)</i> | 7,895 | 7,744 | 5,145 |
| Property taxes | 13,469 | 15,185 | 14,124 |
| Other operating expenses | 24,949 | 25,737 | 28,060 |
| Impairment charge <i>(Note 3)</i> | 2,575 | — | 200 |
| Total costs and expenses | 342,866 | 340,097 | 323,377 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 36,203 | 43,399 | 47,698 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | | |
| Investment income (loss) | 607 | (780) | 1,486 |
| Interest expense | (11,235) | (13,963) | (15,157) |
| Net gain (loss) on disposition of property, equipment and other assets | (25) | (814) | 83 |
| Equity losses from unconsolidated joint ventures, net <i>(Note 10)</i> | (337) | (476) | (411) |
| | (10,990) | (16,033) | (13,999) |
| EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 25,213 | 27,366 | 33,699 |
| INCOME TAXES <i>(Note 8)</i> | 9,098 | 10,166 | 13,213 |
| NET EARNINGS | \$ 16,115 | \$ 17,200 | \$ 20,486 |
| NET EARNINGS PER SHARE—BASIC: | | | |
| Common Stock | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.70 |
| Class B Common Stock | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.64 |
| NET EARNINGS PER SHARE—DILUTED: | | | |
| Common Stock | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.68 |
| Class B Common Stock | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.64 |

See accompanying notes.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Common Stock | Class B Common Stock | Capital in Excess of Par | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | Total |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|---------------|
| BALANCES AT MAY 31, 2007 | \$22,300 | \$8,890 | \$46,438 | \$255,727 | \$(1,515) | \$(12,331) | \$319,509 |
| Cash dividends: | | | | | | | |
| \$.31 per share Class B Common Stock | — | — | — | (2,748) | — | — | (2,748) |
| \$.34 per share Common Stock | — | — | — | (7,189) | — | — | (7,189) |
| Exercise of stock options | — | — | (375) | — | — | 1,200 | 825 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | (14,323) | (14,323) |
| Savings and profit-sharing contribution | — | — | (3) | — | — | 676 | 673 |
| Reissuance of treasury stock | — | — | 42 | — | — | 213 | 255 |
| Issuance of non-vested stock | — | — | (87) | — | — | 87 | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 1,215 | — | — | — | 1,215 |
| Other | — | — | 107 | — | — | — | 107 |
| Conversions of Class B Common Stock | 5 | (5) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Components of comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | — | — | — | 20,486 | — | — | 20,486 |
| Change in unrealized gain on available for sale investments, net of tax effect of \$387 | — | — | — | — | (581) | — | (581) |
| Pension adjustment, net of tax effect of \$386 | — | — | — | — | (542) | — | (542) |
| Loss on swap agreement, net of tax effect of \$229 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | (338) | — | (338) |
| Amortization of loss on swap agreement, net of tax effect of \$2 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | 3 | — | 3 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax effect of \$96 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | 141 | — | 141 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 19,169 |
| BALANCES AT MAY 29, 2008 | 22,305 | 8,885 | 47,337 | 266,276 | (2,832) | (24,478) | 317,493 |
| Cash dividends: | | | | | | | |
| \$.31 per share Class B Common Stock | — | — | — | (2,743) | — | — | (2,743) |
| \$.34 per share Common Stock | — | — | — | (7,096) | — | — | (7,096) |
| Exercise of stock options | — | — | (297) | — | — | 781 | 484 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | (324) | (324) |
| Savings and profit-sharing contribution | — | — | (676) | — | — | 1,354 | 678 |
| Reissuance of treasury stock | — | — | (69) | — | — | 295 | 226 |
| Issuance of non-vested stock | — | — | (117) | — | — | 117 | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 1,421 | — | — | — | 1,421 |
| Other | — | — | 50 | — | — | — | 50 |
| Conversions of Class B Common Stock | 25 | (25) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Components of comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | — | — | — | 17,200 | — | — | 17,200 |
| Change in unrealized gain on available for sale investments, net of tax effect of \$294 | — | — | — | — | 441 | — | 441 |
| Pension adjustment, net of tax effect of \$252 | — | — | — | — | 264 | — | 264 |
| Amortization of loss on swap agreement, net of tax effect of \$50 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | 73 | — | 73 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax effect of \$472 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | (727) | — | (727) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 17,251 |
| BALANCES AT MAY 28, 2009 | 22,330 | 8,860 | 47,649 | 273,637 | (2,781) | (22,255) | 327,440 |
| Cash dividends: | | | | | | | |
| \$.31 per share Class B Common Stock | — | — | — | (2,737) | — | — | (2,737) |
| \$.34 per share Common Stock | — | — | — | (7,146) | — | — | (7,146) |
| Exercise of stock options | — | — | (209) | — | — | 549 | 340 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | (769) | (769) |
| Savings and profit-sharing contribution | — | — | (160) | — | — | 908 | 748 |
| Reissuance of treasury stock | — | — | (67) | — | — | 304 | 237 |
| Issuance of non-vested stock | — | — | (161) | — | — | 161 | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 1,607 | — | — | — | 1,607 |
| Other | — | — | 5 | — | — | — | 5 |
| Conversions of Class B Common Stock | 5 | (5) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Components of comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | — | — | — | 16,115 | — | — | 16,115 |
| Change in unrealized gain on available for sale investments, net of tax effect of \$12 | — | — | — | — | (18) | — | (18) |
| Pension adjustment, net of tax effect of \$301 | — | — | — | — | (386) | — | (386) |
| Amortization of loss on swap agreement, net of tax effect of \$45 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | 68 | — | 68 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax effect of \$182 (Note 5) | — | — | — | — | 292 | — | 292 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 16,071 |
| BALANCES AT MAY 27, 2010 | \$22,335 | \$8,855 | \$48,664 | \$279,869 | \$(2,825) | \$(21,102) | \$335,796 |

See accompanying notes.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

| | Year ended | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 16,115 | \$ 17,200 | \$ 20,486 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Losses on loans to and investments in joint ventures | 337 | 476 | 411 |
| Consolidation of joint venture | — | 659 | — |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of property, equipment and other assets | 428 | (304) | (117) |
| Loss (gain) on sale of condominium units | (403) | 1,118 | 34 |
| Loss on available for sale securities | — | 1,317 | — |
| Impairment charge | 2,575 | — | 200 |
| Distributions from joint ventures | — | — | 11 |
| Amortization of loss on swap agreement | 113 | 123 | 5 |
| Amortization of favorable lease right | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 32,312 | 32,228 | 31,259 |
| Stock compensation expense | 1,607 | 1,421 | 1,215 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,812 | (3,028) | 2,260 |
| Deferred compensation and other | 634 | 4,051 | 2,125 |
| Contribution of the Company's stock to savings and profit-sharing plan | 748 | 678 | 673 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts and notes receivable | (453) | 4,907 | 1,136 |
| Condominium units | — | — | (70) |
| Other current assets | 466 | 2,178 | (1,084) |
| Accounts payable | (2,217) | 3,823 | (7,299) |
| Income taxes | (7,611) | 3,283 | 4,426 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | (426) | 196 | 1,206 |
| Accrued compensation | 373 | (2,283) | 228 |
| Other accrued liabilities | (4) | 1,065 | 331 |
| Total adjustments | 36,625 | 52,242 | 37,284 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 52,740 | 69,442 | 57,770 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (25,082) | (35,741) | (24,437) |
| Purchase of theatres, net of cash acquired | — | — | (40,500) |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, equipment and other assets | 766 | 1,408 | 38 |
| Proceeds from sale of condominium units | — | — | 409 |
| Proceeds received from intermediaries | — | — | 5,749 |
| Increase in condominium units and other assets | (893) | (1,069) | (1,187) |
| Premiums returned from split dollar life insurance policies | 3,820 | — | — |
| Purchase of interest and capital contribution in joint ventures | — | — | (35) |
| Cash advanced to joint ventures | — | — | (223) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (21,389) | (35,402) | (60,186) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Debt transactions: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of notes payable and long-term debt | 77,895 | 67,111 | 75,507 |
| Principal payments on notes payable and long-term debt | (96,835) | (98,343) | (47,280) |
| Debt issuance costs | — | — | (557) |
| Payment on swap agreement termination | — | — | (567) |
| Equity transactions: | | | |
| Treasury stock transactions, except for stock options | (532) | (98) | (14,153) |
| Exercise of stock options | 340 | 484 | 825 |
| Dividends paid | (9,883) | (9,838) | (9,937) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (29,015) | (40,684) | 3,838 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,336 | (6,644) | 1,422 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 6,796 | 13,440 | 12,018 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 9,132 | \$ 6,796 | \$ 13,440 |

See accompanying notes.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
May 27, 2010

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business—The Marcus Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company) operate principally in two business segments:

Theatres: Operates multiscreen motion picture theatres in Wisconsin, Illinois, Ohio, Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota and Nebraska and a family entertainment center in Wisconsin.

Hotels and Resorts: Owns and operates full service hotels and resorts in Wisconsin, Illinois, Oklahoma and Missouri and manages full service hotels, resorts and other properties in Wisconsin, Ohio, Minnesota, Texas, Arizona, Missouri, Nevada and California.

Principles of Consolidation—The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Marcus Corporation and all of its subsidiaries, including a 50% owned joint venture entity in which the Company has a controlling financial interest. Investments in affiliates which are 50% or less owned by the Company for which the Company exercises significant influence or for which the affiliate maintains separate equity accounts are accounted for on the equity method. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fiscal Year—The Company reports on a 52/53-week year ending the last Thursday of May. All segments had a 52-week year in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Use of Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash Equivalents—The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

Fair Value Measurements—Certain financial assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value in the financial statements. Some are measured on a recurring basis while others are measured on a non-recurring basis. Financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis are those that are adjusted to fair value each time a financial statement is prepared. Financial assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis are those that are adjusted to fair value when a significant event occurs. A fair value measurement assumes that a transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability.

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified in one of the following categories:

Level 1—Assets or liabilities for which fair value is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments as of the reporting date. At May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, the Company's \$383,000 and \$412,000, respectively, of available for sale securities were valued using Level 1 pricing inputs.

Level 2—The liability related to the Company's interest rate hedge contract was based on valuation models for which pricing inputs were either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. The liability was \$488,000 and \$961,000 at May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively.

Level 3—Assets or liabilities for which fair value is based on valuation models with significant unobservable pricing inputs and which result in the use of management estimates. At May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, none of the Company's assets or liabilities were valued using Level 3 pricing inputs.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The carrying value of the Company's financial instruments (including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, notes receivable, investments and accounts and notes payable) approximates fair value. The fair value of the Company's \$102,364,000 of senior notes is approximately \$97,824,000 at May 27, 2010, determined based upon current market interest rates for financial instruments with a similar average remaining life. The carrying amounts of the Company's remaining long term debt approximate their fair values.

Accounts and Notes Receivable—The Company evaluates the collectibility of its accounts and notes receivable based on a number of factors. For larger accounts, an allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded based on the applicable parties' ability and likelihood to pay based on management's review of the facts. For all other accounts, the Company recognizes an allowance based on length of time the receivable is past due based on historical experience and industry practice.

Inventory—Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost has been determined using the first-in, first-out method. Inventories of \$2,062,000 and \$2,119,000 as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively, were included in other current assets.

Long-Lived Assets—The Company periodically considers whether indicators of impairment of long-lived assets held for use are present. If such indicators are present, the Company determines whether the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to such assets is less than their carrying amounts. The Company recognizes any impairment losses based on the excess of the carrying amount of the assets over their fair value. For the purpose of determining fair value, defined as the amount at which an asset or group of assets could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, the Company utilizes currently available market valuations of similar assets in its respective industries, often expressed as a given multiple of operating cash flow. The Company evaluated the ongoing value of its property and equipment and other long-lived assets as of May 27, 2010, May 28, 2009, and May 29, 2008, and determined that there was no significant impact on the Company's results of operations, other than the impairment charges discussed in Note 3.

Goodwill—The Company reviews goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if certain indicators arise. The Company performed an annual impairment test as of the Company's year-end date in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 and deemed that no impairment indicators existed. The Company has determined that its reporting units are its operating segments and all the Company's goodwill, which represents the excess of the acquisition cost over the fair value of the assets acquired, relates to its Theatres segment. A summary of the Company's goodwill activity follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$44,552 | \$44,325 |
| Adjustment to prior year theatre acquisition | — | 416 |
| Deferred tax adjustment | (139) | (189) |
| Balance at end of year | \$44,413 | \$44,552 |

Capitalization of Interest—The Company capitalizes interest during construction periods by adding such interest to the cost of constructed assets. Interest of approximately \$278,000, \$127,000 and \$62,000 was capitalized in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Investments—Available for sale securities are stated at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of shareholders' equity. The cost of securities sold is based upon the specific

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

identification method. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary are included in investment income (loss). The Company evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a periodic basis and principally considers the type of security, the severity of the decline in fair value, and the duration of the decline in fair value in determining whether a security's decline in fair value is other-than-temporary. In fiscal 2009, the Company recognized a \$1,317,000 other-than-temporary investment loss on securities whose market value was substantially below cost which is included in investment income (loss) in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Revenue Recognition—The Company recognizes revenue from its rooms as earned on the close of business each day. Revenues from theatre admissions, concessions and food and beverage sales are recognized at the time of sale. Revenues from advanced ticket and gift certificate sales are recorded as deferred revenue and are recognized when tickets or gift certificates are redeemed. The Company had deferred revenue of \$11,316,000 and \$12,255,000, which is included in other accrued liabilities as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively. Gift card breakage income is recognized based upon historical redemption patterns and represents the balance of gift cards for which the Company believes the likelihood of redemption by the customer is remote. During Fiscal 2010, the Company determined that it had sufficient historical data to support a change in estimate related to its gift card liabilities and recognized \$3,157,000 of gift card breakage income, of which \$2,404,000 related to periods prior to fiscal 2010. Gift card breakage income is recorded in other revenues in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Other revenues include management fees for theatres and hotels under management agreements. The management fees are recognized as earned based on the terms of the agreements and include both base fees and incentive fees. Revenues do not include sales tax as the Company considers itself a pass-through conduit for collecting and remitting sales tax.

Advertising and Marketing Costs—The Company expenses all advertising and marketing costs as incurred.

Workers Compensation and General Liability Claims—The Company records accruals for workers compensation and general liability claims in the period in which they are probable and reasonably estimable. The Company's principal self-insurance programs include workers compensation and general liability where it self-insures up to a specified dollar amount. Claims exceeding this amount up to specified limits are covered by policies purchased from commercial insurers. The Company estimates the liability for the majority of the self-insured claims using its claim experience for the periods being valued.

Income Taxes—The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets represent items to be used as a tax deduction or credit in the future tax returns for which the Company has already properly recorded the tax benefit in the income statement. The Company regularly assesses the probability that the deferred tax asset balance will be recovered against future taxable income, taking into account such factors as earnings history, carryback and carryforward periods, and tax strategies. When the indications are that recovery is unlikely, a valuation allowance is established against the deferred tax asset, increasing income tax expense in the year that conclusion is made. See Note 8—Income Taxes.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Depreciation and Amortization—Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment are provided using the straight-line method over the shorter of the following estimated useful lives or any related lease terms:

| | Years |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land improvements | 15 – 39 |
| Buildings and improvements | 25 – 39 |
| Leasehold improvements | 3 – 40 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 3 – 20 |

Preopening Expenses—Costs incurred prior to opening new or remodeled facilities are expensed as incurred.

Earning Per Share—Net earnings per share (EPS) of Common Stock and Class B Common Stock is computed using the two class method. Basic net earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding less any non-vested stock. Diluted net earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted for the effect of dilutive stock options and non-vested stock using the treasury method. Convertible Class B Common Stock is reflected on an if-converted basis. The computation of the diluted net earnings per share of Common Stock assumes the conversion of Class B Common Stock, while the diluted net earnings per share of Class B Common Stock does not assume the conversion of those shares.

Holdings of Common Stock are entitled to cash dividends per share equal to 110% of all dividends declared and paid on each share of the Class B Common Stock. As such, the undistributed earnings for each year are allocated based on the proportionate share of entitled cash dividends. The computation of diluted net earnings per share of Common Stock assumes the conversion of Class B Common Stock and, as such, the undistributed earnings are equal to net earnings for that computation.

The following table illustrates the computation of Common Stock and Class B Common Stock basic and diluted net earnings per share and provides a reconciliation of the number of weighted-average basic and diluted shares outstanding:

| | Year ended | | |
|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| | <i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i> | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$16,115 | \$17,200 | \$20,486 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Denominator for basic EPS | 29,791 | 29,663 | 29,956 |
| Effect of dilutive employee stock options and non-vested stock | 119 | 156 | 274 |
| Denominator for diluted EPS | 29,910 | 29,819 | 30,230 |
| Net earnings per share—Basic: | | | |
| Common Stock | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.70 |
| Class B Common Stock | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.64 |
| Net earnings per share—Diluted: | | | |
| Common Stock | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.68 |
| Class B Common Stock | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.64 |

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Options to purchase 1,288,141 shares, 885,717 shares, and 371,975 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$12.73 to \$23.37, \$14.07 to \$23.37, and \$19.75 to \$23.37 per share were outstanding at May 27, 2010, May 28, 2009, and May 29, 2008, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares, and, therefore, the effect would be antidilutive.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss—Accumulated other comprehensive loss presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets consists of the following, all presented net of tax:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Unrealized gain on available for sale investments | \$ 81 | \$ 99 |
| Unrecognized loss on terminated interest rate swap agreement | (194) | (262) |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swap agreement | (293) | (585) |
| Net unrecognized actuarial loss | (2,419) | (2,033) |
| | \$(2,825) | \$(2,781) |

Concentration of Risk—As of May 27, 2010, 11% of the Company's employees were covered by a collective bargaining agreement, of which 2% are covered by an agreement that will expire within one year.

New Accounting Pronouncements—In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) No. 805, (originally issued as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 (R), *Business Combinations*), which establishes accounting standards for acquisitions made by the Company. This statement was effective for the Company in fiscal 2010 and did not have an impact on the Company's overall financial position or results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC No. 810 (originally issued as SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This statement was effective for the Company in fiscal 2010 and did not have an impact on the Company's overall financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC No. 810 (originally issued as SFAS No. 167, *Amendment to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*), which amends certain requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (VIE). The statement requires a qualitative rather than quantitative analysis to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE, requires continuous assessment of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE and requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's involvement with a VIE. This statement is effective for the Company in fiscal 2011 and the Company does not expect the adoption of this statement to have an impact on its overall financial position.

2. Acquisitions

On April 3, 2008, the Company acquired seven owned and/or leased movie theatres for a total purchase price of \$40,500,000, net of cash acquired. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The assets acquired consist primarily of land, buildings, leasehold improvements and equipment. The difference between the fair value of the net assets acquired and the purchase price was recorded as goodwill of \$7,564,000. The \$10,070,000 allocated to goodwill for income tax purposes is deductible over 15 years. The

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Acquisitions (continued)

consolidated financial statements reflect the final allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. In fiscal 2008, the acquired theatres contributed approximately \$4,131,000 and \$456,000 to revenues and operating income, respectively.

3. Impairment Charges

In Fiscal 2010, the Company determined that indicators of impairment of the condominium units available for sale were evident as the Las Vegas real estate market has been significantly impacted by the recessionary economic conditions. As such, the Company evaluated the ongoing value of its condominium units held for sale and determined that the fair value, measured using estimated sales prices of similar condominium units held for sale in the same market, or Level 2 pricing inputs, was less than their carrying value and recorded a \$2,575,000 pre-tax impairment loss.

In Fiscal 2008, the Company recorded a \$200,000 pre-tax impairment charge related to closed theatres.

4. Additional Balance Sheet Information

The composition of accounts and notes receivable is as follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Trade receivables, net of allowances of \$755 and \$458, respectively | \$4,599 | \$ 3,610 |
| Current notes receivable for interval ownership | 451 | 615 |
| Other receivables, net of allowances of \$1,149 and \$1,292, respectively | 4,273 | 8,208 |
| | \$9,323 | \$12,433 |

In fiscal 2009, the Company recorded a \$1,292,000 allowance for other receivables related to funds advanced to owners of managed properties.

The composition of property and equipment, which is stated at cost, is as follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Land and improvements | \$ 92,761 | \$ 89,090 |
| Buildings and improvements | 521,150 | 512,002 |
| Leasehold improvements | 61,276 | 60,423 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 218,347 | 210,684 |
| Construction in progress | 4,687 | 8,489 |
| | 898,221 | 880,688 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 312,232 | 285,132 |
| | \$585,989 | \$595,556 |

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Additional Balance Sheet Information (continued)

The composition of other assets is as follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Favorable lease right | \$11,684 | \$12,018 |
| Long-term notes receivable for interval ownership, net | 623 | 1,068 |
| Split dollar life insurance policies | 9,395 | 8,419 |
| Other assets | 12,213 | 12,463 |
| | <u>\$33,915</u> | <u>\$33,968</u> |

The Company's long-term notes receivable for interval ownership are net of a reserve for uncollectible amounts of \$161,000 and \$269,000 as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively. The notes bear fixed-rate interest between 6.0% and 15.9% over the seven-year or ten-year terms of the loans. The weighted-average rate of interest on outstanding notes receivable for interval ownership is 15.4%. The notes are collateralized by the underlying vacation intervals.

The Company's favorable lease right is being amortized over the expected term of the underlying lease and is expected to result in amortization of \$334,000 in each of the five succeeding fiscal years.

5. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt is summarized as follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|--|--|------------------|
| | <i>(in thousands, except payment data)</i> | |
| Mortgage notes | \$ 61,419 | \$ 61,423 |
| Senior notes | 102,364 | 116,597 |
| Unsecured term note due February 2025, with monthly principal and interest payments of \$39,110, bearing interest at 5.75% | 4,660 | 4,855 |
| Commercial paper | — | 5,500 |
| Revolving credit agreement | 68,000 | 67,000 |
| | <u>236,443</u> | <u>255,375</u> |
| Less current maturities | <u>39,610</u> | <u>14,432</u> |
| | <u>\$196,833</u> | <u>\$240,943</u> |

The mortgage notes, both fixed rate and adjustable, bear interest from 1.0% to 6.1% at May 27, 2010, and mature in fiscal years 2012 through 2036. The mortgage notes are secured by the related land, buildings and equipment.

The \$102,364,000 of senior notes maturing in 2012 through 2020 require annual principal payments in varying installments and bear interest payable semi-annually at fixed rates ranging from 5.89% to 7.93%, with a weighted-average fixed rate of 6.64% and 6.70% at May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Long-Term Debt (continued)

The Company has the ability to issue commercial paper through an agreement with a bank, up to a maximum of \$35,000,000. The agreement requires the Company to maintain unused bank lines of credit at least equal to the principal amount of outstanding commercial paper.

At May 27, 2010, the Company had a credit line totaling \$175,000,000 in place. There were borrowings of \$68,000,000 outstanding on the line, of which \$4,000,000 bears interest at the prime rate of 3.25% at May 27, 2010, and \$64,000,000 bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin which adjusts based on the Company's borrowing levels, effectively 1.175% at May 27, 2010. This agreement matures in April 2013 and requires an annual facility fee of 0.20% on the total commitment. Based on borrowings and commercial paper outstanding, availability under the line at May 27, 2010 totaled \$107,000,000.

The Company has the ability and the intent to replace commercial paper borrowings with long-term borrowings under its credit facility. Accordingly, the Company classifies these borrowings as long-term.

The Company's loan agreements include, among other covenants, maintenance of certain financial ratios, including a debt-to-capitalization ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. The Company is in compliance with all debt covenants at May 27, 2010.

Scheduled annual principal payments on long-term debt for the years subsequent to May 27, 2010 are:

| Fiscal Year | <i>(in thousands)</i> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 39,610 |
| 2012 | 17,345 |
| 2013 | 105,083 |
| 2014 | 7,324 |
| 2015 | 2,403 |
| Thereafter | 64,678 |
| | <u>\$236,443</u> |

Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized, in fiscal 2010, 2009, and 2008 totaled \$11,181,000, \$14,302,000, and \$15,026,000, respectively.

The Company utilizes derivatives principally to manage market risks and reduce its exposure resulting from fluctuations in interest rates. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions.

The Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement on February 1, 2008 covering \$25,170,000 of floating rate debt, which expires February 1, 2011, and requires the Company to pay interest at a defined rate of 3.24% while receiving interest at a defined variable rate of one-month LIBOR (0.375% at May 27, 2010). The Company recognizes derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and on the type of hedging relationship. Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting must be adjusted to fair value through earnings. The Company's interest rate swap agreement is considered effective and qualifies as a cash flow hedge. For derivatives that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Long-Term Debt (continued)

as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. In fiscal 2010 and 2009 and from February 1, 2008 through May 29, 2008, the interest rate swap was considered effective and had no effect on earnings. The increase (decrease) in fair value of the interest rate swap of \$474,000 (\$292,000 net of tax), \$(1,198,000) (\$726,000 net of tax) and \$237,000 (\$141,000 net of tax), is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company does not expect the interest rate swap to have any effect on earnings within the next 12 months.

As of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, the notional amount of the swap was \$25,170,000. The fair value of the swap as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009 was a liability of \$488,000 and \$961,000, respectively, and was included in other accrued liabilities at May 27, 2010 and other long-term liabilities at May 28, 2009.

On February 29, 2008, the Company also entered into an interest rate swap agreement covering \$25,000,000 of floating rate debt, which required the Company to pay interest at a defined rate of 3.49% while receiving interest at a defined variable rate of three-month LIBOR. The interest rate swap agreement was considered effective and qualified as a cash flow hedge. On March 19, 2008, the Company terminated the swap, at which time cash flow hedge accounting ceased. The fair value of the swap on the date of termination was a liability of \$567,000 (\$338,000 net of tax). In fiscal 2010 and 2009 and from March 19, 2008, through May 29, 2008, the Company reclassified \$113,000 (\$68,000 net of tax), \$123,000 (\$73,000 net of tax) and \$5,000 (\$3,000 net of tax) from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense, respectively. The remaining loss at May 27, 2010 in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be reclassified into earnings as interest expense through April 15, 2013, the remaining life of the original hedge. The Company expects to reclassify approximately \$113,000 (\$68,000 net of tax) of loss into earnings within the next 12 months.

6. Shareholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation

Shareholders may convert their shares of Class B Common Stock into shares of Common Stock at any time. Class B Common Stock shareholders are substantially restricted in their ability to transfer their Class B Common Stock. Holders of Common Stock are entitled to cash dividends per share equal to 110% of all dividends declared and paid on each share of the Class B Common Stock. Holders of Class B Common Stock are entitled to ten votes per share while holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote per share on any matters brought before the shareholders of the Company. Liquidation rights are the same for both classes of stock.

Through May 27, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors has approved the repurchase of up to 6,687,500 shares of Common Stock to be held in treasury. The Company intends to reissue these shares upon the exercise of stock options and for savings and profit-sharing plan contributions. The Company purchased 70,081, 19,831, and 828,418 shares pursuant to these authorizations during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At May 27, 2010, there were 2,229,096 shares available for repurchase under these authorizations.

The Company's Board of Directors has authorized the issuance of up to 750,000 shares of Common Stock for The Marcus Corporation Dividend Reinvestment and Associate Stock Purchase Plan. At May 27, 2010, there were 544,826 shares available under this authorization.

Shareholders have approved the issuance of up to 3,437,500 shares of Common Stock under various equity incentive plans. Options granted under the plans to employees generally become exercisable 40% after two years, 60% after three years, 80% after four years and 100% after five years of the date of grant. The options generally expire ten years from the date of grant as long as the optionee is still employed with the Company.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Shareholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

Awarded shares of non-vested stock cumulatively vest either 25% after three years of the grant date, 50% after five years of the grant date, 75% after ten years of the grant date and 100% upon retirement, or 50% after three years of the grant date and 100% after five years of the grant date, depending on the date of grant. The non-vested stock may not be sold, transferred, pledged or assigned, except as provided by the vesting schedule included in the Company's equity incentive plan. During the period of restriction, the holder of the non-vested stock has voting rights and is entitled to receive all dividends and other distributions paid with respect to the stock. Non-vested stock awards and shares issued upon option exercises are issued from previously acquired treasury shares. At May 27, 2010, there were 713,519 shares available for grants of additional stock options, non-vested stock and other types of equity awards under the current plan.

Stock-based compensation, including stock options and non-vested stock awards, is expensed over the vesting period of the awards based on the grant date fair value.

The Company estimated the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions used for awards granted during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | Year Ended May 27, 2010 | Year Ended May 28, 2009 | Year Ended May 29, 2008 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.2-3.5% | 3.9% | 4.6% |
| Dividend yield | 2.7% | 1.9% | 1.5% |
| Volatility | 49-59% | 38-41% | 33-41% |
| Expected life | 4-9 years | 4-9 years | 4-9 years |

Total pre-tax stock-based compensation expense was \$1,607,000, \$1,421,000, and \$1,215,000 in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | | May 28, 2009 | | May 29, 2008 | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
| | <i>(options in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 1,500 | \$14.37 | 1,250 | \$13.95 | 1,137 | \$12.69 |
| Granted | 298 | 13.31 | 327 | 15.54 | 212 | 20.06 |
| Exercised | (38) | 8.92 | (48) | 10.03 | (73) | 11.29 |
| Forfeited | (30) | 13.35 | (29) | 16.44 | (26) | 16.59 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 1,730 | \$14.33 | 1,500 | \$14.37 | 1,250 | \$13.95 |
| Exercisable at end of year | 907 | \$13.08 | 778 | \$11.82 | 662 | \$10.77 |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted during year | | \$5.56 | | \$5.87 | | \$7.45 |

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Shareholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

Exercise prices for options outstanding as of May 27, 2010 ranged from \$8.02 to \$23.37. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of those options is 5.8 years. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of options currently exercisable is 3.7 years. Additional information related to these options segregated by exercise price range is as follows:

| | Exercise Price Range | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | \$8.02 to \$12.71 | \$12.72 to \$17.73 | \$17.74 to \$23.37 |
| | <i>(options in thousands)</i> | | |
| Options outstanding | 441 | 934 | 355 |
| Weighted-average exercise price of options outstanding | \$9.89 | \$14.22 | \$20.14 |
| Weighted-average remaining contractual life of options outstanding | 1.8 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| Options exercisable | 441 | 288 | 178 |
| Weighted-average exercise price of options exercisable | \$9.89 | \$13.63 | \$20.11 |

The intrinsic value of options outstanding at May 27, 2010 was \$550,000, and the intrinsic value of options exercisable at May 27, 2010 was \$550,000. The intrinsic value of options exercised was \$93,000, \$291,000, and \$2,299,000 during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of May 27, 2010, total remaining unearned compensation cost related to stock options was \$3,160,000, which will be amortized to expense over the remaining service period of five years.

A summary of the Company's non-vested stock activity and related information follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | | May 28, 2009 | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | Shares | Weighted-Average Fair Value | Shares | Weighted-Average Fair Value |
| | <i>(shares in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 75 | \$19.07 | 87 | \$18.64 |
| Granted | 22 | 10.36 | — | — |
| Vested | (24) | 20.37 | (12) | 15.84 |
| Forfeited | — | — | — | — |
| Outstanding at end of year | 73 | \$12.87 | 75 | \$19.07 |

The Company expenses awards of non-vested stock based on the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. As of May 27, 2010, total remaining unearned compensation related to non-vested stock was \$546,000, which will be amortized over the weighted-average remaining service period of 6.8 years.

7. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a qualified profit-sharing savings plan (401(k) plan) covering eligible employees. The 401(k) plan provides for a contribution of a minimum of 1% of defined compensation for all plan participants and matching of 25% of employee contributions up to 6% of defined compensation. In addition, the Company may make additional discretionary contributions. During fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, the 1% and the discretionary contributions were made with the Company's common stock. The Company also sponsors unfunded, nonqualified, defined-benefit and deferred compensation plans. The Company's unfunded, nonqualified defined-benefit plan was amended effective January 1, 2009 to include two components. The first component applies to certain plan participants and continues to provide the same nonqualified pension benefits as

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Employee Benefit Plans (continued)

were provided prior to the amendment. The second component applies to all other participants and provides an account-based supplemental retirement benefit. Pension and profit-sharing expense for all plans was \$2,921,000, \$2,997,000, and \$2,597,000 for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company recognizes actuarial losses and prior service costs related to its defined benefit plan in the statement of financial position and recognizes changes in these amounts in the year in which changes occur through comprehensive income. Additionally, the Company is required to measure the funded status of its plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position.

The status of the Company's unfunded nonqualified, defined-benefit and account-based retirement plan based on the respective May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009 measurement dates is as follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Change in benefit obligation: | | |
| Net benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 19,049 | \$ 18,848 |
| Service cost | 505 | 539 |
| Interest cost | 1,264 | 1,229 |
| Plan change | — | (1,256) |
| Actuarial loss | 775 | 867 |
| Benefits paid | (830) | (1,178) |
| Net benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 20,763 | \$ 19,049 |
| Funded status at end of year | | |
| Unrecognized prior service credit | (1,145) | (1,223) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | 5,171 | 4,561 |
| Net amount recognized at end of year | \$(16,737) | \$(15,711) |
| Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position consist of: | | |
| Current accrued benefit liability | \$ (820) | \$ (823) |
| Noncurrent accrued benefit liability | (19,943) | (18,226) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | 2,419 | 2,033 |
| Deferred tax asset | 1,607 | 1,305 |
| Net amount recognized at end of year | \$(16,737) | \$(15,711) |

| | Year ended | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Net periodic pension cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 505 | \$ 539 | \$ 485 |
| Interest cost | 1,264 | 1,229 | 1,024 |
| Net amortization of prior service cost, transition obligation and actuarial loss | 88 | 128 | 69 |
| | \$1,857 | \$1,896 | \$1,578 |

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Employee Benefit Plans (continued)

The \$2,419,000 loss, net of tax, included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at May 27, 2010, consists of the \$3,107,000 net actuarial loss, net of tax, and the \$688,000 unrecognized prior service credit, net of tax, which have not yet been recognized in the net periodic benefit cost.

The accumulated benefit obligation was \$15,409,000 and \$13,889,000 as of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively.

The pre-tax change in the benefit obligation recognized in other comprehensive loss during fiscal 2010 consisted of the current year net actuarial loss of \$774,000, the amortization of the net actuarial loss of \$165,000 and the amortization of the prior service credit of \$78,000. The estimated amount that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit cost in fiscal 2010 is \$108,000 and relates to the actuarial loss and the prior service credit.

The benefit obligations were determined using an assumed weighted-average discount rate of 5.70% in 2010 and 6.60% in 2009, and an annual salary rate increase of 5.0% for both years.

The net periodic benefit cost was determined using an assumed discount rate of 6.60% in fiscal 2010 and 2009 and 6.10% in 2008 and an annual salary rate increase of 5.0% for all three years.

Benefit payments expected to be paid subsequent to May 27, 2010 are:

| Fiscal Year | <i>(in thousands)</i> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 820 |
| 2012 | 810 |
| 2013 | 806 |
| 2014 | 806 |
| 2015 | 1,022 |
| Years 2016 – 2020 | 5,348 |

8. Income Taxes

The components of the net deferred tax liability are as follows:

| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Current deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ — | \$ — |
| Accrued employee benefits | 597 | 578 |
| Other | 2,111 | 2,561 |
| Net current deferred tax assets | \$ 2,708 | \$ 3,139 |
| Noncurrent deferred income tax (liabilities) assets: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$(51,377) | \$(42,783) |
| Accrued employee benefits | 9,915 | 9,260 |
| Other | 2,282 | 1,499 |
| Net noncurrent deferred tax liabilities | \$(39,180) | \$(32,024) |

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

8. Income Taxes (continued)

Income tax expense consists of the following:

| | Year ended | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 86 | \$ 8,686 | \$10,298 |
| State | 1,373 | 2,767 | 2,471 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 6,982 | (635) | 389 |
| State | 657 | (652) | 55 |
| | <u>\$9,098</u> | <u>\$10,166</u> | <u>\$13,213</u> |

A reconciliation of the statutory federal tax rate to the effective tax rate follows:

| | Year ended | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| Statutory federal tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits and related interest | (4.2) | (1.3) | 0.2 |
| Other | 0.1 | (1.6) | (0.9) |
| | <u>36.1%</u> | <u>37.2%</u> | <u>39.2%</u> |

Income taxes paid, net of refunds received, in fiscal 2010, 2009, and 2008 totaled \$9,883,000, \$6,293,000, and \$10,674,000, respectively.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending gross amounts of unrecognized tax benefit are as follows:

| | Year Ended | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 4,118 | \$ 967 | \$930 |
| Increases due to: | | | |
| Tax positions taken in prior years | — | 3,778 | 37 |
| Tax positions taken in current year | — | — | — |
| Decreases due to: | | | |
| Tax positions taken in prior years | (1,437) | — | — |
| Settlements with taxing authorities | — | — | — |
| Lapse of applicable statute of limitations | (58) | (627) | — |
| Balance at end of year | <u>\$ 2,623</u> | <u>\$4,118</u> | <u>\$967</u> |

The Company's total unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the Company's effective tax rate were \$166,000, \$1,511,000 and \$889,000 as of May 27, 2010, May 28, 2009 and May 29, 2008,

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

8. Income Taxes (continued)

respectively. At May 27, 2010, the Company had accrued interest and penalties of \$407,000 and \$436,000, respectively, compared to accrued interest of \$999,000 and no penalties at May 28, 2009. The Company classifies interest and penalties relating to income taxes as income tax expense. For the year ended May 27, 2010, \$(344,000) of interest and \$436,000 of penalties were recognized in the statement of earnings compared \$712,000 of interest and no penalties for the year ended May 28, 2009 and \$51,000 of interest and no penalties for the year ended May 29, 2008.

At May 27, 2010, examination of the Company's consolidated federal income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") had concluded for the fiscal year 2006 and was substantially completed for the fiscal years 2007 and 2008. With certain exceptions, the Company's state income tax returns are no longer subject to examination for the fiscal years 2005 and prior. At this time, the Company does not expect the results from any income tax audit to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change over the next 12 months.

9. Commitments, License Rights and Contingencies

Lease Commitments—The Company leases real estate under various noncancellable operating leases with an initial term greater than one year that contain multiple renewal options, exercisable at the Company's option. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term, including cancelable option periods where failure to exercise such options would result in an economic penalty. Percentage rentals are based on the revenues at the specific rented property. Rent expense charged to operations under these leases was as follows:

| | Year ended | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | May 27, 2010 | May 28, 2009 | May 29, 2008 |
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Fixed minimum rentals | \$7,488 | \$7,295 | \$4,738 |
| Amortization of favorable lease right | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Percentage rentals | 73 | 115 | 73 |
| | <u>\$7,895</u> | <u>\$7,744</u> | <u>\$5,145</u> |

Aggregate minimum rental commitments under long-term operating leases, assuming the exercise of certain lease options, are as follows at May 27, 2010:

| Fiscal Year | <i>(in thousands)</i> |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 7,073 |
| 2012 | 7,018 |
| 2013 | 7,048 |
| 2014 | 6,735 |
| 2015 | 6,573 |
| Thereafter | 108,457 |
| | <u>\$142,904</u> |

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Commitments, License Rights and Contingencies (continued)

Commitments—The Company has commitments for the completion of construction at various properties totaling approximately \$3,395,000 at May 27, 2010.

License Rights—The Company has license rights to operate three hotels using the Hilton trademark, one hotel using the Four Points by Sheraton trademark and one hotel using the InterContinental trademark. Under the terms of the licenses, the Company is obligated to pay fees based on defined gross sales.

Contingencies—The Company guarantees the debt of a joint venture totaling \$1,443,000 at May 27, 2010. The debt of the joint venture is collateralized by the real estate, building and improvements and all equipment. The Company does not anticipate this guarantee to be payable within the next fiscal year.

The Company has approximately three and one half-years remaining on a ten and one half-year office lease. On July 7, 2005, the lease was amended in order to exit leased office space for the Company's former limited-service lodging division. To induce the landlord to amend the lease, the Company guaranteed the lease obligations of the new tenant of the relinquished space throughout the remaining term of the lease. The maximum amount of future payments the Company could be required to pay if the new tenant defaults on its lease obligations was approximately \$1,600,000 as of May 27, 2010.

Subsidiaries of the Company are defendants in legal proceedings related to the Platinum Hotel & Spa in Las Vegas, Nevada. The Company believes the lawsuits are without merit and plans to vigorously defend against them. Since these matters are in the preliminary stages, the Company is unable to estimate the associated expenses or possible losses as of May 27, 2010.

10. Joint Venture Transactions

At May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, the Company held investments with aggregate carrying values of \$1,322,000 and \$1,391,000, respectively, in several hotel joint ventures, which are accounted for under the equity method.

The Company has receivables from hotel joint ventures of \$1,394,000 at May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, respectively. As of May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009, the Company had an allowance against these receivables of \$1,394,000 and \$1,206,000, respectively.

Included in notes payable at May 27, 2010 and May 28, 2009 is \$221,000 and 229,000, respectively, owed to joint ventures in connection with cash advanced to the Company. The Company pays interest on the cash advances based on the 90-day certificate of deposit rates.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

11. Business Segment Information

The Company evaluates performance and allocates resources based on the operating income (loss) of each segment. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Following is a summary of business segment information for fiscal 2008 through 2010:

| | Theatres | Hotels/ Resorts | Corporate Items | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Fiscal 2010 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$224,102 | \$153,935 | \$ 1,032 | \$379,069 |
| Operating income (loss) | 44,741 | 1,438 | (9,976) | 36,203 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,701 | 15,042 | 569 | 32,312 |
| Assets | 352,138 | 306,510 | 45,763 | 704,411 |
| Capital expenditures and acquisitions | 9,431 | 15,622 | 29 | 25,082 |
| Fiscal 2009 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$215,258 | \$167,055 | \$ 1,183 | \$383,496 |
| Operating income (loss) | 43,671 | 9,700 | (9,972) | 43,399 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,431 | 15,148 | 649 | 32,228 |
| Assets | 359,232 | 306,467 | 45,824 | 711,523 |
| Capital expenditures and acquisitions | 20,924 | 14,680 | 137 | 35,741 |
| Fiscal 2008 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$181,058 | \$188,520 | \$ 1,497 | \$371,075 |
| Operating income (loss) | 35,334 | 21,556 | (9,192) | 47,698 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15,128 | 15,450 | 681 | 31,259 |
| Assets | 355,247 | 318,368 | 48,033 | 721,648 |
| Capital expenditures and acquisitions | 49,049 | 15,806 | 82 | 64,937 |

Corporate items include amounts not allocable to the business segments. Corporate revenues consist principally of rent and the corporate operating loss includes general corporate expenses. Corporate information technology costs and accounting shared services costs are allocated to the business segments based upon several factors, including actual usage and segment revenues. Corporate assets primarily include cash and cash equivalents, investments, notes receivable, receivables from joint ventures and land held for development.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

12. Unaudited Quarterly Financial Information *(in thousands, except per share data)*

| | 13 Weeks Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| | August 27, 2009 | November 26, 2009 | February 25, 2010 | May 27, 2010 |
| Fiscal 2010 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$110,153 | \$83,366 | \$96,444 | \$89,106 |
| Operating income | 18,975 | 1,967 | 8,044 | 7,217 |
| Net earnings (loss) | 10,218 | (323) | 3,191 | 3,029 |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share—diluted | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.10 |

| | 13 Weeks Ended | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| | August 28, 2008 | November 27, 2008 | February 26, 2009 | May 28, 2009 |
| Fiscal 2009 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$120,371 | \$87,943 | \$91,011 | \$84,171 |
| Operating income | 23,947 | 8,342 | 6,178 | 4,932 |
| Net earnings | 12,433 | 896 | 1,663 | 2,208 |
| Net earnings per common share—diluted | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.07 |

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Based on their evaluations, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”)) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or furnish under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management and recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

(b) Management’s report on internal control over financial reporting.

The report of management required under this Item 9A is contained in the section titled “Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” under the heading “Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.”

(c) Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

The attestation report required under this Item 9A is contained in the section titled “Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” under the heading “Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.”

(d) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act during the fourth quarter of our fiscal 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this item with respect to directors is incorporated herein by reference to the information pertaining thereto set forth under the caption entitled “Election of Directors” in the definitive Proxy Statement for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on October 13, 2010 (our “Proxy Statement”). The information required with respect to executive officers appears at the end of Part I of this Form 10-K. The required information with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by directors and executive officers is incorporated by reference to the information pertaining thereto set forth under the caption entitled “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in our Proxy Statement.

We have adopted a written code of conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, which is available on our corporate web site (www.marcuscorp.com). No waivers from our code of conduct with respect to any of our executive officers or directors have been made. If, in the future, we amend our code of conduct, or grant waivers from our code of conduct with respect to any of our executive officers or directors, we will make information regarding such amendments or waivers available on our corporate web site for a period of at least 12 months.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information pertaining thereto set forth under the caption entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” in our Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters.

The following table lists certain information about our three stock option plans, our 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, our 1994 Nonemployee Director Stock Option Plan and our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, all of which were approved by our shareholders:

| <u>Number of securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options</u> | <u>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options</u> | <u>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under current equity compensation plan (excluding securities reflected in the first column)</u> |
|---|---|---|
| 1,730,000 | \$14.33 | 714,000 |

The other information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information pertaining thereto set forth under the caption entitled “Stock Ownership of Management and Others” in our Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this item, to the extent applicable, is incorporated herein by reference to the information pertaining thereto set forth under the caption entitled “Policies and Procedures Governing Related Person Transactions” in our Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference herein to the information pertaining thereto set forth under the caption “Other Matters” in our Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)(1) Financial Statements.

The information required by this item is set forth in “Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” above.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

All schedules are omitted because they are inapplicable, not required under the instructions or the financial information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits.

The exhibits filed herewith or incorporated by reference herein are set forth on the attached Exhibit Index.*

* Exhibits to this Form 10-K will be furnished to shareholders upon advance payment of a fee of \$0.25 per page, plus mailing expenses. Requests for copies should be addressed to Thomas F. Kissinger, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, The Marcus Corporation, 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

Date: August 10, 2010

By: /s/ Gregory S. Marcus

Gregory S. Marcus,
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of us and in the capacities as of the date indicated above.

By: /s/ Gregory S. Marcus

Gregory S. Marcus, President and Chief
Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
and Director

By: /s/ Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr.

Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr., Director

By: /s/ Douglas A. Neis

Douglas A. Neis, Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer
and Accounting Officer)

By: /s/ Diane Marcus Gershowitz

Diane Marcus Gershowitz, Director

By: /s/ Stephen H. Marcus

Stephen H. Marcus, Chairman and Director

By: /s/ Timothy E. Hoeksema

Timothy E. Hoeksema, Director

By: /s/ Philip L. Milstein

Philip L. Milstein, Director

By: /s/ Allan H. Selig

Allan H. Selig, Director

By: /s/ Bronson J. Haase

Bronson J. Haase, Director

By: /s/ James D. Ericson

James D. Ericson, Director

By: /s/ Bruce J. Olson

Bruce J. Olson, Director

The most recent certifications by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are filed as exhibits to the Form 10-K. We have also filed with the New York Stock Exchange the most recent Annual CEO Certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Stephen H. Marcus (d)*

Chairman, The Marcus Corporation

Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr. (a)* (b)

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,
Tamarack Petroleum Company, Inc.

Diane Marcus Gershowitz (d)

Real Estate Management and Investments

Timothy E. Hoeksema (b)* (c)

Retired Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer, Midwest Air Group, Inc.

Allan H. (Bud) Selig (c) (d)

Commissioner of Major League Baseball

Bruce J. Olson

Senior Vice President, The Marcus Corporation
and President, Marcus Theatres Corporation

Philip L. Milstein (a) (b) (d)

Principal, Ogden CAP Properties, LLC

Bronson J. Haase (b) (c)*

Retired President of Pabst Farms Equity
Ventures, LLC, Retired President and Chief
Executive Officer of Wisconsin Gas Company, and
Vice President of WICOR, Inc., and former President
and Chief Executive Officer of Ameritech Wisconsin

James D. Ericson (a) (d)

Retired Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer, Northwestern
Mutual Life Insurance Company

Gregory S. Marcus

President and Chief Executive Officer,
The Marcus Corporation

Committees of the Board:

- (a) Audit
- (b) Compensation
- (c) Corporate Governance and Nominating
- (d) Finance

*Denotes chairman

OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT

Gregory S. Marcus

President and Chief Executive Officer

Bruce J. Olson

Senior Vice President, The Marcus Corporation
and President, Marcus Theatres Corporation

Thomas F. Kissinger

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Douglas A. Neis

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

William J. Otto

President, Marcus Hotels and Resorts

Jane Durment

Chief Information Officer

Karen Y. Spindler

Director of Corporate Human Resources



Jack McKeithan, Phil Milstein, Bruce Olson, Diane Marcus Gershowitz, Steve Marcus, Greg Marcus, Jim Ericson, Tim Hoeksema, Bud Selig and Bronson Haase



Bruce Olson, Doug Neis, Greg Marcus, Jane Durment, Bill Otto, Tom Kissinger and Karen Spindler

CORPORATE INFORMATION

Annual Meeting

Shareholders are invited to attend
The Marcus Corporation's 2010
Annual Meeting at 10:00 a.m. CDT on
Wednesday, October 13, 2010 at the
North Shore Cinema, 11700 N. Port
Washington Road, Mequon, Wisconsin.

NYSE Listing and Symbol

MCS
LISTED
NYSE
The Marcus Corporation
common stock is traded
on the New York Stock
Exchange under the
symbol MCS. The Marcus Corporation
is included in the Standard & Poor's
SmallCap 600 Index, the Russell 2000
Index and other indices.

Transfer Agent

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
Shareowner Services
P.O. Box 64854
St. Paul, MN 55164
(800) 468-9716
www.wellsfargo.com/shareownerservices

Corporate Headquarters

The Marcus Corporation
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4125
(414) 905-1000
www.marcuscorp.com

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

The Marcus Corporation has a
dividend reinvestment plan through
which shareholders of record may
reinvest their cash dividends and
make supplemental cash investments
in additional shares. There are no
commissions or service charges
to purchase shares. For additional
information, write or call our
transfer agent.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Deloitte & Touche LLP,
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Form 10-K Report

A copy of the company's fiscal 2010
Form 10-K annual report (without
exhibits) as filed with the Securities
and Exchange Commission is included
in this report.

Legal Counsel

Foley & Lardner LLP,
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Investor Information

Investors are encouraged to visit www.marcuscorp.com for a wide range of
company information including stock quotes and charts, news releases, Securities
and Exchange Commission filings, Code of Conduct, Corporate Governance Policy
Guidelines, Board of Directors committee charters, dividend reinvestment information,
event calendar, webcasts of conference calls, investor presentations and links to the
company's divisions and properties. Interested individuals can also register to be
automatically notified by e-mail when new information is added to the site.

OUR PHILOSOPHY

WE TAKE GREAT PRIDE in our dedicated management teams and associates and will continue to develop their potential and skills at all levels within our organization.

WE BELIEVE in rewarding outstanding performance, and in promoting from within to develop a climate of high expectation and achievement, as well as a solid base of highly-qualified associates.

WE WILL REMAIN a quality-minded corporation, dedicated to upholding our corporate slogan, "People Pleasing People."

WE WILL MAINTAIN our position of leadership in the hospitality and entertainment industries through our commitment to quality, service and value.

WE WILL CONTINUE our personal and corporate involvement in activities benefiting the community, state and nation.

WE WILL SUPPORT the values of the communities we are privileged to serve by honoring their traditions and preserving their environments.

WE PLEDGE to remain alert to economic changes which affect our businesses, and to respond to ever-changing consumer demands.

WE WILL CONTINUE to successfully meet all challenges through planning, balanced diversification and orderly growth.

WE TAKE OUR RESPONSIBILITY to our shareholders very seriously and are committed to be a model for good corporate governance.



THE MARCUS CORPORATION

100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125