

UNITED STATES
ECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549



FORM 18-K AMS

# [X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OF	•
[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SEC EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	CTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
For the transition period from	to
Commission File Nu	mber: 000-31673
OHIO LEGA (Exact name of registrant a	·
Ohio	34-1903890
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S Employer Identification No.)
600 South Main Street, North Canton, Ohio	44720
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code:	(330) 499-1900
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the	e Act:
<u>Title of each class</u> <u>Name</u> Common stock, without par value	of each exchange on which registered The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (The NASDAQ Capital Market)
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the	e Act: None
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known Securities act. Yes [ ] No [X]	wn seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not rec Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes [ ] No [X]	quired to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) had 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 193 shorter period that the registrant was required to file filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No	4 during the preceding 12 months (or for such
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant h corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data Fil to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chap shorter period that the registrant was required to sub	le required to be submitted and posted pursuant ter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent	filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K

(§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of

registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [ ]

Non-accelerated filer [ ]

Smaller reporting company [X]

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  $[\ ]$  No [X]

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates was \$4,966,344, based on the closing sale price as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding as of March 30, 2010

Common stock, without par value

19,714,564

## **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 18, 2010 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Index to Exhibits begins on page 61.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PART I		Page
Item 1 Item 1B Item 2 Item 3	Business. Unresolved Staff Comments. Properties. Legal Proceedings.	4 17 17 17
PART II		
Item 5 Item 7	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	17 18
Item 8 Item 9	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	27 57
Item 9A(T) Item 9B	Controls and Procedures. Other Information.	57 58
PART III		
Item 10 Item 11 Item 12	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance. Executive Compensation. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	58 58
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	<b>5</b> 8
Item 14 PART IV	Principal Accounting Fees and Services.	58
Item 15	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	<b>5</b> 8
SIGNATURES		60
INDEX TO EXHIBITS		62

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business.

#### Background

Ohio Legacy Corp ("Ohio Legacy") is a bank holding company incorporated in July 1999 under the laws of the State of Ohio. Ohio Legacy has one wholly-owned subsidiary, Ohio Legacy Bank, National Association (the "Bank"). Unless otherwise noted, the "Company," "us," "we," and "our" refer to Ohio Legacy, together with the Bank. The Bank opened for business on October 3, 2000.

Ohio Legacy's principal executive offices are located at 600 South Main Street, North Canton, Ohio 44720, and its telephone office is (330) 499-1900. Shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock, each without par value, are listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "OLCB."

Ohio Legacy maintains an Internet Web site at www.ohiolegacycorp.com (this uniform resource locator, or URL, is an inactive textual reference only and is not intended to incorporate Ohio Legacy's Internet Web site into this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this "Form 10-K")). Ohio Legacy makes available free of charge on or through its Internet Web site Ohio Legacy's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as well as Ohio Legacy's definitive proxy statements filed pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after Ohio Legacy electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

## **Recent Developments**

As previously announced, on November 15, 2009, Ohio Legacy and the Bank entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Stock Purchase Agreement") with Excel Financial, LLC ("Excel Financial"). Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Excel Financial agreed to purchase 15 million shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. As a condition to Excel Financial's purchase of the shares, Ohio Legacy agreed to sell a minimum of 1.5 million shares of its common stock to investors other than Excel Financial in a private offering, and to use its best efforts to sell an additional one million shares of its common stock in the same private offering, all at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share.

On February 19, 2010, Ohio Legacy closed (i) the sale of 15 million shares of its common stock, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, to Excel Bancorp, LLC ("Excel Bancorp"), an affiliate of Excel Financial, at a price of \$1.00 per share and (ii) the sale of 2.5 million shares of its common stock to other local investors at a price of \$1.00 per share. The aggregate proceeds from the sales were \$17.5 million. Through its purchase of 15 million shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock, Excel Bancorp, which did not own any Ohio Legacy securities before the closing, acquired approximately 76% of Ohio Legacy's total outstanding shares of common stock.

The transactions contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement were approved by Ohio Legacy's shareholders at a special meeting held on January 8, 2010. Additional information regarding the Stock Purchase Agreement and the related transactions was set forth in Ohio Legacy's definitive proxy statement relating to the special meeting filed with the SEC on December 17, 2009. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these transactions.

#### **Products and Services**

The Company, through the Bank's four offices, provides retail and commercial banking services to its customers, who are located primarily in Stark and Wayne Counties in north east Ohio. These products include checking and savings accounts, cash management services, time deposits, safe deposit box facilities and courier services, commercial loans, real estate mortgage loans, installment and personal loans and night depository facilities to customers.

## Commercial and Construction Lending Products

Commercial loans are primarily variable rate and include operating lines of credit and term loans made to small businesses based primarily on their ability to repay the loan from cash flows. These loans typically are secured by business assets such as equipment or inventory. For entity borrowers, the Bank generally obtains a personal guarantee of the business owner. As compared to retail lending, which includes residential real estate, personal installment loans and automobile loans, commercial lending entails significant additional risks. These loans typically involve larger loan balances and are generally dependent on the businesses' cash flow and, thus, may be subject to adverse conditions in the general economy or in a specific industry. Management reviews the borrower's cash flows when deciding whether or not to grant the credit. Management also evaluates if estimated future cash flows will be adequate to service principal and interest of the new obligation in addition to existing obligations. Additionally, the company's historical performance, business principles and industry are reviewed prior to the extension of credit. Commercial loans comprised 7.3% of the Bank's loan portfolio at December 31, 2009.

Commercial real estate loans are secured primarily by borrower-occupied business real estate or multifamily residential real estate, such as apartment buildings, and are dependent on the ability of the related business to generate adequate cash flow to service the debt. These loans primarily carry variable interest rates. Commercial real estate loans generally are originated with a loan-to-value ratio of 80% or less. Management performs much the same analysis when deciding whether to grant a commercial real estate loan as it performs when deciding whether to grant a commercial loan. Commercial real estate and multifamily real estate loans comprised 50.9% of the Bank's loan portfolio at December 31, 2009.

Construction loans are secured by residential and business real estate. Construction loans generally involve greater underwriter and default risks than do loans on existing real estate due to the inherent uncertainties in construction costs and the difficulty in valuing property under construction. The Bank's construction lending program is established in a manner to minimize risk of this type of lending by not making a significant number of loans on speculative projects located outside its geographic marketplace. While not required to do so contractually, the Bank may finance the permanent loan at the end of the construction phase. Construction loans also are generally made in amounts of 80% or less of the value of collateral. Construction loans comprised 3.8% of the Bank's loan portfolio at December 31, 2009.

Certain risks are involved in granting loans that primarily relate to the borrower's ability and willingness to repay the debt. Before the Bank extends a new loan to a customer, these risks are assessed through a review of the borrower's past and current credit history, the collateral being used to secure the transaction in case the customer does not repay the debt, cash flows of any related businesses, the availability of personal guarantees and other factors. Once the decision has been made to extend credit, the Bank's credit officers monitor these factors throughout the life of the loan.

## Retail Lending Products

Residential real estate loans, primarily fixed rate, and home equity lines of credit, primarily variable rate, are secured by the borrower's residence. These loans are made based on the borrower's ability to make repayment from employment and other income. Using secondary market approval standards management assesses the borrower's ability to repay the debt through a review of credit history and ratings, verification of employment and other income, review of debt-to-income ratios and other measures of repayment ability. The Bank generally makes these loans in amounts of 90% or less of the value of collateral. An appraisal is obtained from a qualified real estate appraiser for substantially all loans secured by real estate. Beginning in November 2006 the bank began originating residential real estate loans and selling these loans to the secondary market. It is the strategy of the Company going forward to continue to sell these types of loans in accordance with secondary market guidelines.

Consumer installment loans to individuals include loans secured by automobiles and other consumer assets, including home equity loans on personal residences. Consumer loans for the purchase of new automobiles generally do not exceed 85% of the purchase price of the car. Loans for used cars generally do not exceed the average wholesale or trade-in value of the car as stipulated in a recent

auto industry used car price guide. Overdraft protection loans are unsecured personal lines of credit to individuals of demonstrated good credit character with reasonably assured sources of income and satisfactory credit histories. Consumer loans generally involve more risk than residential mortgage loans because of the type and nature of collateral and, in certain types of consumer loans, the absence of collateral. Since these loans generally are repaid from ordinary income of an individual or family unit, repayment may be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, ill health or a general decline in economic conditions. The Bank assesses the borrower's ability to make repayment through a review of credit history, credit ratings, debt-to-income ratios and other measures.

At December 31, 2009, residential real estate loans comprised 31.6% and consumer and home equity loans comprised 6.4% of the Bank's total loans.

## **Deposit Products**

The Bank offers a broad range of deposit products, such as personal and business checking, savings and money market accounts, certificates of deposit, internet banking, cash management and direct-deposit services. Deposit accounts are tailored to each market area at rates competitive with those offered in Wayne and Stark Counties in Ohio and consistent with the Bank's asset-liability management goals. All deposit accounts are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") up to the maximum amount permitted by law. The Bank solicits deposit accounts from individuals, businesses, associations, financial institutions and government entities. The Bank is not significantly affected by seasonal activity or large deposits of any individual depositor.

## **Employees**

At December 31, 2009, the Bank had 54 employees, including 36 full-time employees. The Bank provides a number of benefits to its employees, such as health, disability and life insurance for all qualified employees. No employee is represented by a union or collective bargaining group. Management considers its employee relations to be good. Ohio Legacy has no employees who are not also employed by the Bank.

## Competition

The Bank operates in a highly competitive industry. In its primary market areas of Stark and Wayne Counties in Ohio, the Bank competes for new deposit accounts and loans with numerous other commercial banks, both large regional banks and smaller community banks, as well as savings and loan associations, credit unions, finance companies, insurance companies, brokerage firms and investment companies. Many of our competitors enjoy the benefits of greater financial resources, advanced technology, fewer regulatory constraints and lower cost structures. The Bank's ability to generate earnings is impacted in part by interest rates offered on loans and deposits, and by changes in the rates on loans and various other securities which comprise the Bank's investment portfolio. The Bank is competitive with respect to the interest rates and loan fees it charges, as well as in the variety of accounts and interest rates it offers to customers. The dominant pricing mechanisms on loans are the Prime interest rate as published in the Wall Street Journal and U.S. Treasury Note rates with three-year or five-year maturities. The interest margin in excess of the applicable base rate depends on the overall account relationship and the creditworthiness of the borrower. Deposit rates are reviewed and set weekly by management. The Bank's primary objective in setting deposit rates is to remain competitive in the market area while maintaining an adequate interest rate spread (the difference between the yield earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid on deposits and borrowed funds) to meet overhead costs and provide a profitable return.

## **Supervision and Regulation**

The Bank is subject to supervision, regulation and periodic examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") and Ohio Legacy is supervised by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board"). Earnings of the Company are affected by state and federal laws and regulations and by policies of various regulatory authorities. These policies include, for example, statutory maximum lending rates, loan loss reserves, requirements on maintenance of reserves against deposits, domestic monetary policies of the Federal Reserve Board,

United States federal government fiscal policy, international currency regulations and monetary policies, certain restrictions on banks' relationships with the securities business, capital adequacy requirements and liquidity restraints.

## Regulation of Ohio Legacy

**Bank Holding Company Act**. As a bank holding company, Ohio Legacy is subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHCA"). Under the BHCA, Ohio Legacy is subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve Board and is required to file periodic reports regarding its operations and any additional information that the Federal Reserve Board may require.

The BHCA generally limits the activities of a bank holding company to banking, managing or controlling banks, furnishing services to or performing services for its subsidiaries and engaging in any other activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined to be so closely related to banking or to managing or controlling banks as to be incidental to those activities. In addition, the BHCA requires bank holding companies to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve Board prior to acquiring substantially all the assets of another bank or bank holding company, acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of a bank or merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

The Federal Reserve Board has extensive enforcement authority over bank holding companies, including, among other things, the ability to: (i) assess civil money penalties; (ii) issue cease and desist or removal orders; and (iii) require that a bank holding company divest subsidiaries (including its subsidiary banks). In general, the Federal Reserve Board may initiate enforcement actions for violations of laws and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices.

Under Federal Reserve Board policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to each subsidiary bank and to commit resources to support those subsidiary banks. Under this policy, the Federal Reserve Board may require a bank holding company to contribute additional capital to an undercapitalized subsidiary bank and may disapprove of the payment of dividends to shareholders if the Federal Reserve Board believes the payment of such dividends would be an unsafe or unsound practice. These provisions could have the effect of limiting Ohio Legacy's ability to pay dividends on its common stock.

**Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act**. In November 1999, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the "GLBA") went into effect making substantial revisions to statutory restrictions separating banking activities from other financial activities. Under the GLBA, bank holding companies that are well-capitalized, well-managed and have at least a satisfactory Community Reinvestment Act rating can elect to become "financial holding companies." Financial holding companies and their subsidiaries may engage in or acquire companies that engage in a broad range of financial services that were not permitted previously, such as insurance underwriting, securities underwriting and distribution, merchant banking and certain other financial activities as determined by the Federal Reserve Board. Ohio Legacy has not registered as a financial holding company.

The GLBA adopts a system of functional regulation under which the Federal Reserve Board is designated as the umbrella regulator for financial holding companies. However, financial holding company affiliates are regulated by functional regulators such as the FDIC, the SEC and state insurance regulators, depending on the nature of the business and entity type of the financial holding company's affiliates.

The GLBA contains extensive provisions regarding a customer's right to privacy of non-public personal information. Under these provisions, a financial institution must provide to its customers the institution's policies and procedures regarding the handling of customers' non-public personal information. Except in certain cases, an institution may not provide personal information to unaffiliated third parties unless the institution discloses that such information may be disclosed and the customer is given the opportunity to opt out of such disclosure. Ohio Legacy and the Bank are also subject to certain state laws that deal with the use and distribution of non-public personal information.

Capital Guidelines. The OCC and the Federal Reserve Board each have adopted risk-based and leverage capital guidelines to evaluate the adequacy of capital of national banks and bank holding companies. The guidelines involve a process of assigning various risk weights to different classes of assets, then evaluating the sum of the risk-weighted balance sheet structure against the capital base. Actual and required capital amounts are disclosed in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements. Failure to meet capital guidelines could subject a banking institution to various penalties, including termination of FDIC deposit insurance. In addition, the OCC and the FDIC may take various corrective actions against any undercapitalized bank and any bank that fails to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan or fails to implement a plan accepted by the OCC or the FDIC. These powers include, but are not limited to, requiring the institution to be recapitalized, prohibiting asset growth, restricting interest rates paid, requiring prior approval of capital distributions by any bank holding company that controls the institution, requiring divestiture by the institution of its subsidiaries or by the holding company of the institution itself, requiring new election of directors, and requiring the dismissal of directors and officers.

At December 31, 2009, the Bank was critically undercapitalized according to the guidelines above. The Bank, through its Board of Directors, agreed to a Consent Order (the "Consent Order") with the OCC dated February 17, 2009 that called for the Bank to reach and maintain tier 1 capital of at least 8.75% of adjusted total assets and total risk-based capital of at least 13.25% of risk-weighted assets by August 31, 2009. In response to the Consent Order, the Bank initiated several short and intermediate term strategies to improve its capital position. During the first quarter of 2009, the Bank sold approximately \$28.4 million of debt and mortgage backed securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA") or the Federal Home Loan Corporation ("FHLMC"), which have a 20% risk weighting, and purchased the same amount of mortgage backed securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA"), which have a 0% risk weighting. In addition, the Bank retained the services of the investment banking firm of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated ("Stifel Nicolaus") to explore the options of raising private equity capital, or merging with or being acquired by another financial institution or other interested investors. On February 19, 2010, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement entered into on November 15, 2009 with Excel Financial, the Company received \$15.0 million from Excel Bancorp in connection with Excel Bancorp's purchase of 15 million shares of common stock, and raised an additional \$2.5 million in connection with a private placement of 2.5 million shares of common stock. After the closing of these transactions, Ohio Legacy contributed approximately \$16.2 million to the capital of the Bank. With the additional capital invested in the Bank, the Company exceeded the minimum capital ratios required under the Consent Order. See Notes 2 and 18 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the Consent Order and Ohio Legacy's sale of its common stock.

## Regulation of the Bank

The Bank is also subject to federal regulation regarding such matters as reserves, limitations on the nature and amount of loans and investments, issuance or retirement of its securities, limitations on the payment of dividends and other aspects of banking operations.

The Bank is a member of the Federal Reserve System and, because it is a national bank, is regulated by the OCC. Accordingly, the Bank is subject to periodic examinations by the OCC. These examinations are designed primarily for the protection of the depositors of the Bank and not for its shareholder, Ohio Legacy, or for the shareholders of Ohio Legacy. The OCC has broad enforcement powers over national banks, including the power to impose fines and other civil and criminal penalties and to appoint a conservator or receiver if any of a number of conditions are met.

**Dividend Restrictions**. The Bank is a legal entity separate and distinct from Ohio Legacy, although Ohio Legacy owns 100% of the outstanding stock of the Bank. Virtually all of Ohio Legacy's revenues result from dividends paid by the Bank. The Bank is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends it can pay to Ohio Legacy. Under OCC regulations, a national bank, such as the Bank, may not declare a dividend in excess of its undivided profits. Additionally, the Bank may not declare a dividend if the total amount of all dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total of the Bank's retained net income of that year to date, combined with its retained net income of the preceding two years. However, a dividend that does not meet this criteria may be approved by the OCC in certain circumstances. In addition, the

Bank may not declare or pay any dividend if, after making the dividend, the Bank would be undercapitalized under applicable federal regulations.

**FDIC**. The FDIC is an independent federal agency that insures the deposits of federally-insured banks and savings associations up to prescribed limits. Presently, the FDIC insures accounts generally up to \$250,000 per account. On January 1, 2014, the standard insurance amount is scheduled to revert back to \$100,000 per account, except for certain retirement accounts (such as IRAs) which will permanently remain insured up to \$250,000. Under the FDIC's Transaction Account Guarantee Program, through June 30, 2010, all non-interest bearing transaction accounts (such as demand deposit accounts and business checking accounts) will have unlimited insurance coverage at participating institutions, including the Bank. On June 30, 2010, this additional coverage expires and the insurance amount will revert to \$250,000 per account. Institutions were permitted to opt-out of this additional coverage, although the Bank did not. While this program is in place, the Bank pays an additional assessment on the balance of non-interest bearing accounts over \$250,000 of ten basis points (on an annualized basis).

The FDIC is required to maintain designated levels of reserves. The FDIC may increase assessment rates if necessary to restore the ratio of reserves to insured deposits to its target level within a reasonable time and may decrease rates if the target level has been met. Assessments vary based on the risk the institution poses to the deposit insurance fund and the FDIC may alter its method of determining risk at any time. The risk level is determined based on the institution's capital level and the FDIC's level of supervisory concern about the institution. The FDIC may, in its discretion, impose special assessments on insured institutions at any time. In May 2009, the FDIC imposed a special assessment on all insured depository institutions of five basis points on the amount of the institution's assets. In November 2009, the FDIC approved a final rule requiring banks to prepay their estimated quarterly assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, as well as all of 2010, 2011, and 2012 on December 30, 2009. The Bank was exempted by the FDIC from prepaying its estimated assessments for 2010 through 2012 under a provision of the final rule permitting the FDIC, after consultation with the Bank's primary federal regulator, to waive the prepayment requirement if prepayment poses a safety and soundness risk for the institution. The FDIC may impose additional special assessments or increase premiums in the future.

The FDIC safeguards the safety and soundness of financial institutions through examinations of insured institutions. The Bank is subject to examination by the FDIC, and the Bank's deposits are assessed deposit insurance premiums by the Bank Insurance Fund of the FDIC. Under the FDIC's deposit insurance assessment system, the assessment rate for any insured institution may vary according to regulatory capital levels of the institution and other factors such as supervisory evaluations.

The FDIC is authorized to prohibit any insured institution from engaging in any activity that poses a serious threat to the insurance fund and may initiate enforcement actions against banks. The FDIC may also terminate the deposit insurance of any institution that has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. If deposit insurance is terminated, the deposits at the institution at the time of termination, less subsequent withdrawals, will continue to be insured for a period from six months to two years, as determined by the FDIC. The Company is not aware of any existing circumstances that could result in termination of the Bank's deposit insurance.

**Community Reinvestment Act**. The Community Reinvestment Act (the "CRA") requires depository institutions to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas, including low and moderate-income areas, consistent with safe and sound banking practice. Under the CRA, each institution is required to adopt a statement for each of its marketing areas describing the depository institution's efforts to assist in its community's credit needs. Depository institutions are examined periodically for compliance and are assigned ratings. Banking regulators consider these ratings when considering approval of a proposed transaction by an institution.

**Patriot Act**. The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the "Patriot Act") strengthened the federal government's powers to address terrorist threats through enhanced domestic security measures,

expanded surveillance powers, increased information sharing and broadened anti-money laundering requirements. Title III of the Patriot Act takes measures to encourage information sharing among bank regulatory agencies and law enforcement bodies. Further, certain provisions of Title III impose affirmative obligations on a broad range of financial institutions. Among other requirements, Title III and related regulations require regulated financial institutions to establish a program specifying procedures for obtaining specified identifying information from customers seeking to open new accounts and to establish enhanced due diligence policies, procedures and controls designed to detect and report suspicious activity. The Bank has augmented its policies and procedures to comply with the requirements of the Patriot Act.

Transactions with Affiliates, Directors, Executive Officers and Shareholders. Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation W restrict transactions by banks and their subsidiaries with their affiliates. An affiliate of a bank is any company or entity which controls, is controlled by or is under common control with the bank. Ohio Legacy, Excel Bancorp and the Bank are affiliates. Generally, Sections 23A and 23B and Regulation W: (i) limit the extent to which a bank or its subsidiaries may engage in "covered transactions" with any one affiliate to an amount equal to 10% of that bank's capital stock and surplus (i.e., tangible capital); (ii) limit the extent to which a bank or its subsidiaries may engage in "covered transactions" with all affiliates to 20% of that bank's capital stock and surplus; and (iii) require that all such transactions be on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the bank subsidiary, as those provided to a non-affiliate.

The term "covered transaction" includes the making of loans to the affiliate, the purchase of assets from the affiliate, issuance of a guarantee on behalf of the affiliate, the purchase of securities issued by the affiliate, and other similar types of transactions.

A bank's authority to extend credit to executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders, as well as entities such persons control, is subject to Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O promulgated thereunder by the Federal Reserve Board. Among other things, these loans must be made on terms substantially the same as those offered to unaffiliated individuals or be made as part of a benefit or compensation program and on terms widely available to employees, and must not involve a greater than normal risk of repayment. In addition, the amount of loans a bank may make to these persons is based, in part, on the bank's capital position, and specified approval procedures must be followed in making loans which exceed specified amounts.

## Effects of Government Monetary Policy

The earnings of the Company are affected by general and local economic conditions and by the policies of various governmental regulatory authorities. In particular, the Federal Reserve Board regulates monetary policy, credit conditions and interest rates that may influence general economic conditions primarily through open market acquisitions or dispositions of United States government securities, varying the discount rate on member bank borrowings and setting reserve requirements against member and nonmember bank deposits. The Federal Reserve Board's monetary policies have historically had a significant effect on the interest income and interest expense of commercial banks, including the Bank, and are expected to continue to do so in the future.

## Future Regulatory Uncertainty

Federal regulation of bank holding companies and financial institutions changes regularly and is the subject of constant legislative debate. Future legislation and policies may have a significant influence on overall growth and distribution of loans, investments and deposits and may affect interest rates charged on loans or paid on time and savings deposits. Such legislation and policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future. As a result of the continuous changes in legislation related to the financial services industry, the Company cannot forecast how federal regulation of financial institutions may change in the future or its impact on the Company's operations and profitability.

#### **Statistical Disclosures**

The following schedules present, for the periods indicated, certain financial and statistical information of the Company as required under the SEC's Industry Guide 3, "Statistical Disclosure by Bank Holding Companies."

## Industry Guide 3 - Item I. Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity; Interest Rates and Interest Differential

## A. & B. Average Balance Sheets and Related Analysis of Net Interest Earnings

The following table sets forth information relating to the Company's average balance sheet and reflects the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated. These yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balances of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities for the periods presented.

	Year ended December 31,							
		2009	2008					
	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/ Paid	Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/ Paid	Yield/ Rate		
(Dollars in thousands) Assets Interest-earning assets:     Interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold     Securities available for sale     Securities held to maturity     Federal bank stock     Loans (1)         Total interest-earning assets Noninterest-earning assets         Total assets	\$ 18,368 34,041 2,999 1,309 111,275 167,992 15,657 \$ 183,649	\$ 37 0 1,505 4 114 3 64 4 6,985 6	0.20% 4.42 3.81 4.92 5.28	\$ 2,677 32,839 3,001 1,478 127,825 167,820 16,342 \$ 184,162	\$ 69 1,731 115 82 8,681 10,678	2.59% 5.27 3.81 5.49 6.79 6.36		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing liabilities:     Interest-bearing demand deposits     Savings accounts     Money market accounts     Certificates of deposit     Total interest-bearing deposits     Other borrowings     Total interest-bearing liabilities Noninterest-bearing demand deposits Noninterest-bearing liabilities     Total liabilities     Shareholders' equity     Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	8,716 16,505 41,134 71,340 137,695 20,793 158,488 15,855 625 174,968 8,681 \$ 183,649	244 1 582 1 2,430 3 3,321 2 729 3	0.75 1.48 1.42 3.41 2.41 3.50 2.56	9,299 6,737 45,317 69,298 130,651 22,776 153,427 15,930 500 169,857 14,305 \$ 184,162	99 91 1,159 2,741 4,090 908 4,998	1.07 1.36 2.56 3.95 3.13 3.98 3.26		
Net interest income; interest-rate spread (2) Net earning assets Net interest margin (3) Average interest-earning assets to	\$ 9,504		2.62% 2.77%	\$ 14,393	\$ 5,680	3.10% 3.38%		
interest-bearing liabilities	1.06x			1.09x				

<sup>(1)</sup> Average loans are net of net deferred loan fees and costs and loans in process. Nonaccrual loans are included in noninterest-earning assets. Fee income is included in interest earned.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on interest-earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

<sup>(3)</sup> Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

#### C. Interest Differential

The table below describes the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected the Company's interest income and interest expense during the years indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume (change in balances multiplied by prior year rate), (2) changes in rate (change in rates multiplied by prior year balance) and (3) total changes in rate and volume. The combined effects of changes in both volume and rate, which are not separately identified, have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

	Year ended December 31,											
		2	009	vs. 200	8		2008 vs. 2007					
	1	ncreas	e (D	ecrease)	) due	to		Increas	e (D	ecrease	) due	e to
(Dollars in thousands)	Vol	ume		Rate		otal	_Vo	lume		Rate	Total	
Change in interest income attributable to:												
Interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold	\$	83	\$	(115)	\$	(32)	\$	(97)	\$	(97)	\$	(194)
Securities available for												
sale		62		(288)		(226)		396		219		615
Securities held to maturity		(1)		-		(1)		3		1		4
Federal bank stock	(10) (8) (18)							(4)		(12)		(16)
Loans	(1	(1,070) (626) (1,696)						2,536)		(981)	(	3,517)
Total assets	\$	(936)	\$ (	(1,037)	<u>\$ (</u>	1,973)	\$(2	2,238)	\$	(870)	\$ (	3,108)
Change in interest expense attributable to: Interest-bearing												
demand deposits		(6)		(28)		(34)		(2)		(34)		(36)
Savings accounts		144		9		153		(7)		39		32
Money market accounts		(99)		(478)		(577)		6		(572)		(566)
Certificates of deposit		79		(390)		(311)	(:	.,128)		(694)	(	(1,822)
Other borrowings		(75)		(104)		(179)		73		(359)		(286)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$	43	\$	(991)	\$	(948)	\$ (	L,058)	\$ (	(1,620)	\$ (	(2,678)
Change in net interest income					\$ (	1,025)					\$	(430)

#### **Industry Guide 3 - Item II. Investment Portfolio**

- A. This information is contained in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- B. Other securities consist of a certificate of deposit and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac preferred stock that has a stated rate and an initial call date of five years from the date of issuance. Based on actions taken by the Treasury Department on September 7, 2008, the dividend on the preferred stock has been suspended indefinitely.

	No	o State	d Maturity			in one or less	th		ter one five years		ter five ten years
	An	nount	Weighted Average Yields	An	nount	Weighted Average Yields	An	nount	Weighted Average Yields	Amount	Weighted Average Yields
U.S. Government sponsored		<u>lourie</u>		<del></del>			<del></del>			<u></u>	
agencies Agency pass- through MBS	\$	-	- -	<b>\$</b>	-	- -	<b>Þ</b>	-	-	\$ - 47	5.7%
Other MBS		-	-		- 187	- 4.3%		- 633	- 5.1%	- 2,231	- 5.7
State and political Other securities		123	0.0%		-	4.3%		-	3.1% -	2,231	5.7
Total securities	\$	123	0.0%	\$	187	4.3%	\$	633	5.1%	\$ 2,278	5.7%

	Due afte	er ten years	Total			
	Amount	Weighted Average Yields	Amount	Weighted Average Yields		
U.S. Government sponsored		-				
agencies Agency pass-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-		
through MBS	26,326	4.1%	26,373	4.1%		
Other MBS	396	5.0	396	5.0		
State and political	-	-	3,051	5.5		
Other securities	-	-	123	-		
	\$26,722	4.1%	\$29,943	4.2%		

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

C. At December 31, 2009, the following table lists holdings of securities of issuers, other than mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government sponsored enterprises, in amounts greater than 10% of shareholders' equity.

	В	ook Value	F	air Value _
Lakewood Ohio City School District	\$	324,065	\$	328,588
Meigs Ohio Local School District		300,616		313,059
Beachwood Ohio Municipal Complex		291,563		304,615
Hicksville Ohio Exempted Village School District		346,059		357,081
North Canton Ohio Water System		537,431		539,878
Portsmouth Ohio City School District		333,973		331,478
Wadsworth Ohio City School District		254,214		256,328
Fredericktown Ohio Local School District		426,893		433,113
GMAC Mortgage		423,843		396,370
	\$	3,238,657	\$	3,260,510

#### Industry Guide 3 - Item III. Loan Portfolio

#### A. Types of Loans

This information is contained in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

B. Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates

The following is a schedule of maturities of loans based on contractual terms and assuming no amortization or prepayments, excluding residential real estate and consumer loans, as of December 31, 2009:

	 Maturing							
(Dollars in thousands)	ne year r less	th	er one rough e years		ter five years		Total	
Commercial	\$ 2,375	\$	1,810	\$	3,488	\$	7,673	
Commercial real estate	1,004		7,063		45,330		53,397	
Real estate construction	2,655		993		311		3,959	
Total	\$ 6,034	\$	9,866	\$	49,129	\$	65,029	

Amount of loans reported above due after one year which have adjustable interest rates (dollars in thousands):

Fixed rate	\$ 4,657
Adjustable rate	54,338
Total	\$ 58,995

#### C. Risk Elements

1. Nonaccrual, Past Due and Restructured Loans - This information is contained in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The Bank's policy for placing loans on nonaccrual status is to cease accruing interest on loans when management believes that collection of interest is doubtful or when loans are past due as to principal and interest for 90 days or more, except that, in certain circumstances, interest accruals are continued on loans deemed by management to be fully collectible. In such cases, loans are evaluated individually in order to determine whether to continue income recognition after 90 days beyond the due dates. When loans are placed on nonaccrual status, any accrued interest that will not be collected is charged against interest income.

When an analysis of a borrower's operating results and financial condition indicates the borrower's underlying cash flows are not adequate to meet debt service requirements, the loan is evaluated for impairment. Smaller-balance homogeneous loans are evaluated for impairment in total. These loans include residential first mortgage and construction loans secured by one- to four-family residences, consumer loans, credit card loans and home equity loans. Commercial, agricultural and commercial real estate loans are evaluated individually for impairment. In addition, loans held for sale and leases are excluded from consideration of impairment.

Loans individually considered impaired are carried at (a) the present value of expected cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or (b) the fair value of collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

2. Potential Problem Loans - At December 31, 2009, management did not identify any loans as to which it had serious doubts about the borrowers' ability to comply with present loan repayment

terms other than those included above in Industry Guide 3 - Item III.C.1, except \$4,655,007 of loans not included above but classified as substandard assets for regulatory purposes

- 3. Foreign Outstandings There were no foreign loans outstanding during any period presented.
- 4. Loan Concentrations At December 31, 2009, approximately \$4,984,500 of loans were made to individuals or companies involved in the rental of residential real estate. This concentration accounts for 4.8% of total loans at that date. Loans to individuals or companies involved in the leasing of commercial real estate totaled \$7,896,300, or 7.5% of total loans, at December 31, 2009.

## D. Other Interest-bearing Assets

At December 31, 2009, there were no other interest-bearing assets required to be disclosed under Industry Guide 3 - Items III.C.1. or 2 if such assets were loans.

## **Industry Guide 3 - Item IV. Summary of Loan Loss Experience**

#### A. Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

	 2009	2008
Balance, January 1 Provision for loan losses Loans charged-off:	\$ 3,398,284 4,507,055	\$ 1,622,906 2,361,496
Commercial Commercial real estate	(1,463,167) (1,219,370)	(162,775)
Residential real estate  Construction	(221,830) (1,501,839)	(19,190) (544,000)
Consumer and home equity	 (22,242)	 (39,630)
Total loans charged-off Recoveries:	(4,428,448)	(765,595)
Commercial	4,558	104,354
Commercial real estate Residential real estate	55,279 9,868	20,603
Construction Consumer and home equity	 380,870 18,204	 - 54,520
Total recoveries	468,779	179,477
Balance, December 31	\$ 3,945,670	\$ 3,398,284
Balance as a percentage of total loans	3.76%	2.61%

The allowance for loan losses balance and the provision for loan losses charged to operating expense are determined by management based on periodic reviews of the Bank's loan portfolio, economic conditions and various other circumstances that are subject to change over time. In making this judgment, management reviews selected large loans as well as loans individually considered impaired, other delinquent, nonaccrual and problem loans and loans to industries experiencing economic difficulties. The collectability of these loans is evaluated after considering the current operating results and financial position of the borrower, estimated market value of collateral, guarantees and the Company's collateral position versus other creditors. Judgments, which are necessarily subjective, as to the probability of losses and the amounts of such losses are formed on these loans, as well as other loans taken together.

## B. Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses

While management's periodic analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses may allocate portions of the allowance to specific problem loan situations, the entire allowance is available for any loan charge-offs that occur. The following schedule is a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses allocated by type of loan and related ratios at December 31 2009 and 2008:

		20	09		20	08
	Percentage of Loans in Each					Percentage of Loans in Each
		lowance mount	Category to Total Loans		lowance Amount	Category to Total Loans
Commercial	\$	313,888	7.3%	\$	911,294	9.3%
Commercial real estate (1)		1,681,022	45.4		1,040,594	43.7
Multifamily residential real estate		577,800	5.5		182,758	4.2
Residential real estate		629,057	31.6		485,628	28.5
Construction		554,659	3.8		617,375	8.3
Consumer and home						
equity		189,244	6.4		160,635	6.0
Total	\$	3,945,670	100.0%_	\$_	3,398,284	100.0%

(1) Includes non-owner occupied 1-4 family real estate.

## Industry Guide 3 - Item V. Deposits

A. Average Amount and Average Rate Paid On Deposits.

	2009		2008	
(Dollars in thousands)	Average Balances	Average Rate	Average Balances	Average Rate
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$15,855	N/A	\$15,930	N/A
Interest-bearing demand deposits	8,716	0.75%	9,299	1.07%
Savings accounts	16,505	1.48%	6,737	1.36%
Money market accounts	41,134	1.42%	45,317	2.56%
Certificates of deposit	71,340	3.41%	69,298	3.95%
Total deposits	\$153,550		\$146,581	

- B. Other categories not applicable.
- C. Foreign deposits not applicable.
- D. The following is a schedule of maturities of certificates of deposit in amounts of \$100,000 or more as of December 31, 2009:

Three months or less	\$ 510,781
Over three through six months	297,618
Over six through twelve months	400,000
Over twelve months	1,007,993
Total	\$ 2,216,392

E. Time deposits greater than \$100,000 issued by foreign offices - not applicable.

## Industry Guide 3 - Item VI. Return on Equity and Assets

	2009	2008
Return on average assets	(3.63)	(3.28)
Return on average equity	(76.72)	(42.27)
Dividend payout ratio	0.0	0.0
Average shareholders' equity to average assets	4.73	7.77

## Industry Guide 3 - Item VII. Short-Term Borrowings

During 2009, the Company entered into repurchase agreements and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances. This information is contained in Notes 9 and 10 to the consolidated financial statements, which are incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

## Item 2. Properties.

The Bank currently owns or leases and operates four banking offices, including its main office, and an operations center:

- Wooster Main Office, 305 West Liberty Street, Wooster, Ohio, 44691 (capital lease)
- Canton Branch Office, 4026 Dressler Road N.W., North Canton, Ohio, 44735 (lease)
- Wooster Milltown Branch Office, 3562 Commerce Parkway, Wooster, Ohio 44681 (own)
- North Canton Branch Office, 600 South Main Street, North Canton, Ohio 44720 (own)
- Wooster Operations Center, 2375 Benden Drive, Suite C, Wooster, Ohio, 44691 (operating lease)

The Bank considers the physical properties it occupies to be suitable and adequate for the purposes for which they are being used. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our properties.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The Company is not a party to any material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business of the Company. No routine litigation in which the Company is involved is expected to have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

See Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements for information regarding the Consent Order that the Bank agreed to with its primarily federal regulator, the OCC, on February 17, 2009.

#### **PART II**

# Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

## Market for the Company's Common Stock

Ohio Legacy's common stock is publicly traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "OLCB." As of March 15, 2010, there were 19,714,564 shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock issued and outstanding and there were approximately 268 holders of record. The following table summarizes the highest and lowest sales prices for Ohio Legacy's common stock for each quarter during 2009 and 2008, as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market:

		2009			2008			
	Н	IGH	L	OW	Н	IGH	L	OW
First Quarter	\$	2.75	\$	1.22	\$	7.00	\$	5.02
Second Quarter		3.50		1.25		6.75		5.50
Third Quarter		2.40		0.70		6.75		4.36
Fourth Quarter		2.07		0.55		5.08		1.20

No cash dividends were declared or paid by Ohio Legacy during 2009 or 2008. The payment of dividends by the Bank to Ohio Legacy and by Ohio Legacy to its shareholders is subject to restrictions by regulatory agencies. The Company is currently not able to declare or pay dividends without prior approval from its regulators. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capital Resources" and Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for information regarding the restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends,

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

As previously announced, on November 15, 2009, Ohio Legacy and the Bank entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Excel Financial. Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Excel Financial agreed to purchase 15 million shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. As a condition to Excel Financial's purchase of the shares, Ohio Legacy agreed to sell a minimum of 1.5 million shares of its common stock to investors other than Excel Financial in a private offering, and to use its best efforts to sell an additional one million shares of its common stock in the same private offering, all at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share.

On February 19, 2010, Ohio Legacy closed (i) the sale of 15 million shares of its common stock, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, to Excel Bancorp, at a price of \$1.00 per share and (ii) the sale of 2.5 million shares of its common stock to other local investors at a price of \$1.00 per share. The aggregate proceeds from the sales were \$17.5 million. Net proceeds from the sales were \$16.8 million, after the Company's payment of legal, investment banking, accounting and other issuance expenses of approximately \$700,000. Through its purchase of 15 million shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock, Excel Bancorp, which did not own any Ohio Legacy securities before the closing, acquired approximately 76% of Ohio Legacy's total outstanding shares of common stock.

The shares of Ohio Legacy common stock sold in these transactions were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or the securities laws of any state, and were offered and sold in reliance on the exemption from registration afforded by Section 4(2) and Regulation D (Rule 506) under the Securities Act and corresponding provisions of state securities laws, which exempt transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering. The offering was made solely to "accredited investors," as that term is defined in Regulation D under the Securities Act. The shares of common stock sold in the offering may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements. Certificates representing these shares of Ohio Legacy common stock contain a legend stating the same. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these transactions.

#### <u>Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>

There were no purchases made by or on behalf of the Company or any affiliated purchaser of shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock during the fourth quarter of 2009.

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis.

In the following section, management presents an analysis of Ohio Legacy Corp's financial condition and results of operations as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. This discussion is provided to give shareholders a more comprehensive review of the issues facing management than could be obtained from an examination of the financial statements alone. This analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included in this Form 10-K.

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "may," "might," "could," "would," "believe," "expect," "intend," "plan," "seek," "anticipate," "estimate," "project "or "continue" or the negative version of such terms or comparable terminology. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this Form 10-K, including statements regarding our outlook, financial position, results of operation, liquidity, capital resources and interest rate sensitivity are forward-looking statements.

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information so long as those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. We desire to take advantage of the "safe harbor" provisions of that Act.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and, except as may be required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date on which the statement is made.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results to be materially different from any future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Although we believe the assumptions, judgments and expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance such assumptions, judgments and expectations will prove to have been correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-K include, but are not limited to:

- competition in the industry and markets in which we operate;
- rapid changes in technology affecting the financial services industry;
- · changes in government regulation;
- general economic and business conditions;
- changes in industry conditions created by state and federal legislation and regulations;
- changes in general interest rates and the impact of future interest rate changes on our profitability, capital adequacy and the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities;
- our ability to retain existing customers and attract new customers;
- our development of new products and services and their success in the marketplace;
- the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses; and
- our anticipated loan and deposit account growth, expense levels, liquidity and capital resources and projections of earnings.

#### **OVERVIEW OF STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENTS**

During 2008 and 2009, the Company was burdened by high levels of problem loans and a sizeable portfolio of other real estate owned ("OREO"). In February 2009, the Company entered into a Consent Order with the OCC focusing on capital adequacy and credit quality. Although capital preservation was a key priority for the Company during the year, the combination of credit-related charges, the expenses and resources required for the administration of problem assets, and

impairment losses associated with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac securities resulted in charges to capital that left the Company critically undercapitalized by year-end 2009.

Throughout 2009, management explored various strategies to raise capital in an unfavorable capital market when many financial institutions were facing significant credit challenges. In November 2009, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Excel Financial. The Stock Purchase Agreement closed in February 2010 and resulted in combined gross proceeds to the Company from the issuance of 17.5 million shares of common stock to Excel Bancorp and other local investors totaling \$17.5 million. Subsequent to closing and in accordance with the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company appointed a new executive management team, accepted the resignations of seven directors, and appointed seven new directors to fill the resulting vacancies. The Company invested \$16.2 million of the proceeds of the stock offering in the Bank as additional capital, resulting in compliance with provisions of the Consent Order that required the Bank to achieve certain minimum capital levels. See Notes 2 and 18 for additional information related to the Consent Order and the Company's sale of common stock.

The following key factors summarize the Company's financial condition at year-end 2009 compared to year-end 2008:

- Total assets decreased by \$23.3 million from \$186.5 million to \$163.2 million;
- Total deposits decreased by \$5.9 million from \$145.7 million to \$139.8 million;
- Total shareholders' equity decreased by \$7.2 million from \$9.6 million to \$2.4 million;
- Tier 1 capital declined by \$6.2 million to \$2.6 million;
- Net loans decreased by \$26.0 million to \$100.9 million;
- Nonaccrual loans increased by \$1.2 million from \$4.6 million to \$5.8 million; and
- Other Real Estate Owned decreased by \$2.0 million from \$5.2 million to \$3.2 million.

The following key factors summarize our results of operations for 2009 compared to 2008:

- Net interest income decreased by \$1,024,374, from \$5.7 million in 2008 to \$4.7 million in 2009;
- The provision for loan losses increased to \$4,507,055 in 2009 from \$2,361,496 in 2008, an increase of \$2,145,559;
- Gains on the sale of available securities, net of charges for other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") of investment securities, totaled \$806,987 for 2009 compared to an OTTI charge of \$2,859,024 for 2008;
- The direct write-down of other real estate owned totaled \$1,665,004 for 2009 compared to \$537,770 for 2008; and
- Savings realized from cost cutting measures were largely offset by increases in FDIC premiums, professional fees, and other insurance costs.

The following forward-looking statements describe our near term outlook:

- Credit quality is expected to remain a primary focus of the Company;
- We successfully closed on our recapitalization in February 2010 and expect to incur significant costs associated with the recapitalization during the first quarter of 2010;
- As part of our transition to a new management team, we expect to hire new management staff and improve our credit administration and collection efforts which will increase our personnel costs; and
- We expect to begin offering trust, investment and wealth management services during the first half of 2010, which will likely result in higher operating costs.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of consolidated financial statements and related disclosures in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates at a specific point in time that affect the amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. In preparing these financial statements, we have utilized available information including our past history, industry standards and the current economic environment,

among other factors, in forming our estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the consolidated financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. It is possible that the ultimate outcome as anticipated by management in formulating our estimates inherent in these financial statements may not materialize. Application of the critical accounting policies described below involves the exercise of judgment and the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates, which may impact the comparability of our results of operation to similar businesses.

Allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses, increased by the provision for loan losses and decreased by charge-offs. We estimate the allowance balance by considering the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in our judgment, should be charged off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when we believe the loan balance cannot be collected.

We consider various factors, including portfolio risk, economic environment and loan delinquencies, when determining the level of the provision for loan losses. We monitor loan quality monthly and use an independent third party each quarter to review our loan grading system.

Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. Another critical accounting policy relates to valuation of the deferred tax asset for net operating losses. Net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$6,503,000 will expire as follows: \$1,419,000 on December 31, 2027 and \$132,000 on December 31, 2028, and \$4,952,000 on December 31, 2029. A valuation allowance has been recorded for the related deferred tax asset for these carryforwards and other net deferred tax assets recorded by the Company to reduce the carrying amount of these assets to zero. Additional information is included in Notes 1 and 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION - DECEMBER 31, 2009, COMPARED TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

Assets. At December 31, 2009, assets totaled \$163.2 million, down \$23.3 million from \$186.5 million at December 31, 2008. The composition of the balance sheet has changed significantly as the Company executed strategies to strengthen its capital position and reduce its overall risk profile. A smaller asset base requires less capital to meet regulatory capital requirements. The investment portfolio was restructured to reduce investments with a 20% risk weighting and proceeds were reinvested in alternative investments with a 0% risk weighting. Reductions to loan balances from repayments and payoffs were generally used to increase cash and liquid assets and to fund deposit outflows.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and Cash Equivalents increased by \$12.7 million to \$24.2 million at year-end 2009 compared to \$11.5 million at year-end 2008. The Company increased its liquidity position as a defensive measure due to the decline in its capital ratios and losses realized during 2009 and 2008 by reducing the size of its loan portfolio through principal repayments, maturities and payoffs.

Securities. Total securities classified as available for sale decreased by \$5.8 million to \$26.9 million. The portfolio consists primarily of 30-year mortgage backed securities issued by GNMA, an agency guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. During 2009, the Bank sold approximately \$59.4 million of 15, 20 and 30 year mortgage backed securities and reinvested the proceeds into GNMA securities to improve its risk weighted capital ratio. The monthly cash flow of principal and interest was used to repay liabilities during 2009, but is available to fund loan growth or be reinvested should the Bank choose that strategy. The proceeds from the sale of securities sold for a substantial gain during 2009 were reinvested in long-term GNMA securities at lower yields. At December 31, 2009, the effective duration of the portfolio excluding equity investments was approximately 4.9 years, compared to 2.1 years at December 31, 2008 as a result of the strategies noted above. The increase in duration from purchasing longer average life securities can be expected to contribute to increased volatility in the market value of the portfolio for any given change in market

rates. The net unrealized loss on the portfolio at December 31, 2009 was approximately \$237,000, compared to a gain of \$351,292 at year-end 2008.

Loans. At December 31, 2009, the loan portfolio, net of the allowance for loan losses and deferred fees, totaled \$100.9 million, a decrease of \$26.0 million compared to December 31, 2008. The Company reduced the size and changed the composition of the portfolio to lower its risk profile.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Asset Quality. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$3.9 million at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$547,000 compared to \$3.4 million at December 31, 2008. The amount of the allowance is based on a combination of actual experiential factors such as historical losses for each category of loans and information about specific borrowers as well as projections for various other factors, including delinquencies, general economic conditions and the outlook for specific industries, which are more subjective in nature. During 2009, the Company recognized loan charge-offs totaling \$4.4 million, including \$2.7 million during the fourth quarter of 2009. The fourth quarter charge-offs included all specific reserves previously allocated at the end of the third quarter of 2009. Loan charge-offs for 2008 totaled \$\$765,595. Recoveries of \$470,000 were collected during 2009 due primarily to a \$366,800 mediated insurance settlement related to construction defects in one of the loans charged off and a recovery of \$54,800 on a commercial loan that was repaid.

As a percentage of total loans, the allowance for loan losses increased from 2.61% at year-end 2008 to 3.76% at the end of 2009. We continue to closely monitor credit quality and delinquencies as our loan portfolio ages, and will increase the allowance for loan losses if we believe losses have been incurred.

Loans are considered nonperforming if they are impaired or if they are in nonaccrual status. Nonperforming loans totaled \$5.8 million at December 31, 2009 compared to \$4.6 million at December 31, 2008. During 2009, 34 loans totaling approximately \$7.4 million converted to nonaccrual status, four loans totaling \$372,961 were paid off, ten loans totaling \$1.5 million were charged off, sixteen loans were charged down by \$2.7 million, and ten loans totaling \$1.0 million were transferred to OREO.

Other Real Estate Owned. OREO consisted of 15 properties and totaled \$3.2 million at year-end 2009 compared to \$5.2 million and 13 properties at year-end 2008. During 2009, 12 properties were sold from OREO generating \$1.6 million in sales proceeds.

Accrued interest receivable and other assets. Accrued interest receivable and other assets decreased by \$735,000 from the previous year end. Of this amount, changes in the accounting for income taxes as the result of the net loss booked in 2008 resulted in a decrease of \$570,800 and other changes in prepaid assets and accrued interest arising from normal business activities comprised the remainder.

Deposits. Total deposits decreased \$5.9 million from year end 2008 to \$139.8 million at December 31, 2009. Overall, core deposit balances increased 2.2% to \$82.0 million from \$80.2 million at year end. Noninterest bearing demand deposits decreased 6.8% to \$15.5 million. Our certificate of deposit portfolio decreased \$7.7 million during the period to \$57.8 million or 41.3% of total deposits compared to \$65.5 million, or 44.9% of total deposits, at year-end 2008. The decrease was largely the result of promotional certificates maturing without reinvestment into currently offered certificate of deposit products. Furthermore, the Company's regulatory risk profile may have encouraged some depositors to withdraw funds. Under applicable FDIC rules and regulations, a less than well-capitalized insured depository institution may not pay a rate of interest that significantly exceeds the prevailing rate in the institution's market area or the prevailing rate in the market area from which the deposit is accepted. These restrictions prohibited the Company from offering promotional rates on deposits during 2009 that it may have otherwise offered.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances. Total advances decreased \$9.4 million from \$27.9 million at December 31, 2008 to \$18.5 million at December 31, 2009. An overnight advance of \$6.9 million was repaid early in the first quarter of 2009. A \$3.0 million 4.89% advance that matured in January 2009 was renewed at 3.19%, and a \$2.5 million term advance with a rate of 5.24% was paid off at maturity in February 2009.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008**

The Company incurred losses for both 2009 and 2008. The loss for 2009 was \$6,659,595, or \$3.01 per share. The loss for 2008 was \$6,047,089, or \$2.73 per share.

Net interest income. For the year ended December 31, 2009, net interest income was \$4.7 million, compared to \$5.7 million for 2008. The Company's net interest margin decreased to 2.77% in 2009 compared to 3.38% in 2008. Low interest rates prevailed across the yield curve during 2009 following the financial crisis that occurred during the fall of 2008, the ensuing economic events, and the Federal Reserve Bank's efforts to assist an economic recovery.

Interest income. Interest income decreased from \$10.7 million in 2008 to \$8.7 million in 2009 due to a change in the mix of earning assets and lower yields on earning assets. The yield on earning assets declined from 6.36% in 2008 to 5.18% in 2009 on a nearly unchanged earning asset base of approximately \$168.0 million. The decline in average loan balances from 2008 to 2009 resulted in a reduction to interest income of \$1.1 million and the decline in the yield on the loan portfolio resulted in a reduction to interest income of approximately \$0.6 million. Lower yields on our securities portfolio and our higher liquidity position during 2009 in the form of interest bearing deposits and federal funds sold also contributed to the decline in interest income.

Interest expense. Total interest expense declined from \$5.0 million in 2008 to \$4.1 million in 2009, a decrease of 19.0%. The cost of interest bearing funds averaged 2.56% for 2009 compared to 3.26% for 2008. Interest expense on deposits decreased \$769,000 to \$3.3 million in 2009 compared to \$4.1 million in 2008. The most significant declines in deposit interest expense were for lower rates paid for money market and certificates of deposit balances; interest paid for these two deposit types declined by \$888,000. Other borrowing costs also declined by \$179,000 due to lower rates and outstanding balances.

Provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses was \$4.5 million in 2009 compared to \$2.4 million in 2008. Charge-offs taken during 2009, particularly during the fourth quarter of 2009, contributed to higher loss experience ratios, thus requiring a higher loan loss provision. In 2009, we had charge-offs totaling \$1.8 million for two participation loans; both loans funded construction of hotel/water park properties outside of the Company's local lending area. Both properties are in Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings, and the loans were charged down based on appraised values less selling costs.

Noninterest income. For the year ended December 31, 2009, noninterest income increased to \$225,681 from a loss of \$2.1 million for 2008. In both 2008 and 2009, the Company recognized OTTI charges for FNMA and FHLMC preferred stock owned. In 2008, the OTTI charge was \$2,859,024, and for 2009 the OTTI charge was \$111,200. The current carrying value of these securities is \$122,800 and includes an unrealized gain of \$34,000. The impact of the OTTI charge for 2009 was more than offset by gains realized on securities available for sale totaling \$918,187.

In both 2008 and 2009, we recognized losses on the disposition or direct write-down of other real estate owned. For 2009, the net loss on disposition or direct write-down of other real estate owned totaled \$1,522,008 on twenty-two properties compared to a net loss of \$537,770 for 2008.

Service charges and other fees declined by \$125,817 to \$829,409 in 2009 compared to \$955,226 for 2008. The reduction was due to both changes in customer behavior in response to general economic conditions and a decrease in accounts previously maintained in conjunction with loan relationships. Gains on the sale of loans declined by \$122,123 to \$57,351 in 2009, down from \$179,474 in 2008. The Company pursued a strategy to preserve capital by decreasing its lending activities. Other income decreased by \$144,541 to \$58,729 in 2009 from \$203,270 in 2008. The decrease was primarily the result a decline in mortgage lending activity resulting in lower fees associated with that activity.

Noninterest expense. Total noninterest expenses remained flat at \$7.3 million in 2009 and 2008. Cost cutting efforts in several areas of the Company were offset by increases in FDIC deposit insurance expense and professional fees and costs associated with OREO properties. From 2008 to 2009,

salaries and benefits decreased \$563,670, occupancy and equipment expense decreased \$124,962, Ohio franchise tax decreased \$76,800, marketing costs were down \$77,010, supplies costs were down \$36,907 and amortization expense was down by \$30,521. These combined cost savings of \$909,870 were almost completely offset by increases in FDIC deposit insurance expense of \$625,766, professional and consulting fees of \$204,193, data processing costs of \$4,053 and other expenses, including loan and OREO expenses, of \$53,924. Professional and consulting fees included \$70,000 for accrued fees due to Excel Financial, with whom the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement in November 2009. Management expects that improvements to the Company's risk profile due to the closing of the Stock Purchase Agreement in February 2010 will assist in reducing its FDIC deposit insurance costs during 2010 since FDIC premiums are lower for adequately capitalized banks.

Tax expense (benefit). As a result of a change in tax laws in 2008 that allows net operating losses to be carried back five years, the Company was able to amend its 2003 tax return and record a refund of taxes paid for that year. The refund of \$289,300 was partially offset by a \$38,311 change in accrued taxes for 2008, resulting in a tax benefit of \$250,989.

#### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

At December 31, 2009, we had no unconsolidated, related special purpose entities, nor did we engage in derivatives and hedging contracts, such as interest rate swaps, that may expose us to liabilities greater than the amounts recorded on the consolidated balance sheet. Our investment policy prohibits engaging in derivatives contracts for speculative trading purposes; however, in the future, we may pursue certain contracts, such as interest rate swaps, in our efforts to execute a sound and defensive interest rate risk management policy.

## **LIQUIDITY**

Liquidity refers to our ability to fund loan demand and customers' deposit withdrawal needs and to meet other commitments and contingencies. The purpose of liquidity management is to ensure sufficient cash flow to meet all of our financial commitments and to capitalize on opportunities for business expansion in the context of managing our interest rate risk exposure. This ability depends on our financial strength, asset quality and the types of deposit and loan instruments we offer to our customers.

Our principal sources of funds are deposits, repurchase agreements, loan and security repayments and maturities, sales of securities, capital transactions and borrowings from the FHLB and correspondent banks. While scheduled loan repayments and maturing investments are relatively predictable, deposit flows and early loan and security prepayments are more influenced by interest rates, general economic conditions, and competition. We maintain investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of our need for funds, our expected deposit flows, yields available on short-term liquid assets and the objectives of our asset/liability management program.

Our liquidity contingency funding plan identifies liquidity thresholds and raises red flags that may evidence liquidity issues. Additionally, the contingency plan details specific actions to be taken by management and the Board of Directors and identifies sources of emergency liquidity, both asset and liability-based, should we encounter a liquidity crisis. We actively monitor liquidity risk and analyze various scenarios that could impact our ability to access emergency funding in conjunction with our asset/liability and interest rate risk management activities.

Cash and cash equivalents increased from \$11.5 million at December 31, 2008 to \$24.2 million at December 31, 2009. Cash and cash equivalents represented 14.8% of total assets at year-end 2009, compared to 6.2% of total assets at year-end 2008. The increase was primarily due to the implementation of strategies to lower the risk profile of the Bank's balance sheet during 2009. As loans were paid off throughout 2009, higher cost deposits were allowed to mature. During 2009, excess funds were used to increase the Company's cash position at the Federal Reserve Bank. These funds were derived from loan payments and investment securities maturities, calls and paydowns.

We monitor our liquidity position on a regular basis in conjunction with our asset/liability and interest rate risk management activities. We believe our current liquidity level, including contingency funding

available through borrowing facilities at the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank, is sufficient to meet anticipated future growth in loans and deposits and general liquidity needs.

#### **CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Total shareholders' equity was \$2.4 million at December 31, 2009, compared to \$9.6 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease was due to net losses for the year.

Banks are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet capital requirements can result in regulatory action.

Current regulations require a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0%, at least half of which must be tier 1 capital, and a leverage ratio of 4.0%. The Bank's total risk-based capital is made up of tier 1 capital and tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital is total shareholders' equity, less any intangible assets. Tier 2 capital is tier 1 capital plus the allowance for loan losses (includible up to a maximum of 1.25% of riskweighted assets). As a result of the write-downs in value of both loans and securities , as of December 31, 2009, the Bank did not meet the definition of adequately capitalized (see Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements). On February 17, 2009, the Company agreed to a Consent Order with the OCC that required the Bank to reach and maintain tier 1 capital of at least 8.75% of adjusted total assets and total risk-based capital of at least 13.25% of risk-weighted assets by August 31, 2009 August 31, 2009. In response to the Consent Order, the Bank initiated several short and intermediate term strategies to improve its capital position. During the first quarter of 2009, the Bank sold approximately \$28.4 million of debt and mortgage backed securities issued by FNMA or FHLMC, which have a 20% risk weighting, and purchased the same amount of mortgage backed securities issued by GNMA, which have a 0% risk weighting. In addition, the Bank retained the services of the investment banking firm of Stifel, Nicolaus to explore the options of raising private equity capital, or merging with or being acquired by another financial institution or other interested investors. At December 31, 2009, the Company had not met the terms of the Consent Order. On February 19, 2010, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement entered into on November 15, 2009 with Excel Financial, the Company received \$15.0 million from Excel Bancorp in connection with Excel Bancorp's purchase of 15 million shares of common stock, and raised an additional \$2.5 million in connection with a private placement of 2.5 million shares of common stock. After the closing of these transactions, Ohio Legacy contributed approximately \$16.2 million to the capital of the Bank. With the additional capital invested in the Bank, the Company exceeded the minimum capital ratios required under the Consent Order. However, until the Consent Order is terminated, the Bank cannot be classified as wellcapitalized under prompt corrective action provisions. See Notes 2 and 18 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the Consent Order and Ohio Legacy's sale of its common stock.

With the additional capital invested in the Bank, the Bank's regulatory capital levels improved significantly. Depicted in the following table are pro forma regulatory capital ratios based on the capital investment made in February 2010 with the average assets and risk weighted assets used to compute the regulatory capital ratios as of December 31, 2009.

To Be Well-

	Pro fo	rma	For Ca Adequacy I	-	Capitalize Prompt C Action Pr	orrective
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	\$20,038	19.34%	\$8,031	8.00%	\$10,039	10.00%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	18,750	18.09%	4,016	4.00%	6,023	6.00%
Tier 1 capital to average assets	18,750	10.06%	6,805	4.00%	8,506	5.00%

The payment of dividends by the Bank to Ohio Legacy and by Ohio Legacy to its shareholders is subject to restrictions by regulatory agencies. These restrictions generally limit the Bank's dividends to the sum of its current year's and the prior two years' retained earnings. In addition, dividends may not reduce capital levels below the minimum regulatory requirements as described above. As of February 28, 2010, based on its year to date and previous year's earnings, the Company is not able to declare dividends without prior approval from its regulators. Pursuant to the Consent Order, prior to paying any dividends, the Bank must provide a written request to the OCC for review and determination of no supervisory objection. In addition to the dividend restrictions above, the Bank is not permitted to accept brokered deposits without prior approval from its regulators.

## **INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY**

The following table details the variable rate composition of our interest-earning assets at December 31 2009 and 2008:

	Percent variable rate		
	2009	2008	
Interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold	100%	100%	
Securities	8	19	
Loans	75	76	
Federal bank stock	100	100	
Total interest-earning assets	66%	64%	

The Company performs liquidity risk analysis at least monthly and interest rate risk analysis at least quarterly. This information is used to assist in managing the balance sheet to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on earnings and equity. Approximately 34.2% of the interest-earning assets and 72.4% of the interest-bearing liabilities on our balance sheet at December 31, 2009 were scheduled to mature or subject to repricing during 2010.

We believe that the Bank is "liability sensitive" over a twelve-month horizon at December 31, 2009. Usually, this would mean an increasing interest rate environment would cause a drop in net interest income and a falling interest rate scenario would have the inverse effect. However, we cannot be certain that our net interest income would contract if interest rates increased because the composition of our assets and liabilities is constantly changing due to the variability of our loan prepayment experience, the behavior of core deposit customers and other factors.

## **IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES**

The majority of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature; therefore, we differ greatly from most commercial and industrial companies that have significant investments in fixed assets or inventories. However, inflation does have an important impact on the growth of total assets in the banking industry and the resulting need to increase equity capital at higher than normal rates in order to maintain an appropriate equity-to-assets ratio. Inflation significantly affects noninterest expense, which tends to rise during periods of general inflation. Deflation, or a decrease in overall prices from one period to the next, could have a negative impact on the Company's operations and financial condition. Deflationary periods impute a higher borrowing cost to debtors as the purchasing power of a dollar increases with time. This may decrease the demand for loan products offered by the Bank.

We believe the most significant impact on our financial results is our ability to react to changes in interest rates. While we seek to maintain a fairly balanced position between interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities and to actively manage our balance sheet in order to protect against the effects of wide interest rate fluctuations on our net income and shareholders' equity, constraints on capital and other factors may also affect our ability to minimize the impact of changes in interest rates.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

## **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008

715 OF BEEETINGER 51, 2005 and 2000		
	2009	2008
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,970,133	\$ 7,652,710
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions	22,195,657	3,815,227
Cash and cash equivalents	24,165,790	
Cash and cash equivalents  Certificate of deposit in financial institution	100,000	11,467,937
Securities available for sale	26,892,105	100,000 32,726,863
Securities available for sale  Securities held to maturity (fair value 2009 -	20,892,103	32,720,603
\$3,050,740, 2008 - \$3,003,825)	2,996,826	2,999,813
Loans held for sale	195,247	1,012,038
Loans, net of allowance of \$3,945,670 and	193,247	1,012,038
\$3,398,284	100,855,165	126,836,473
Federal bank stock	1,267,250	1,455,100
Premises and equipment, net	2,952,392	3,284,884
Intangible assets	2,332,332	59,901
Other real estate owned	3,175,658	5,215,696
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	640,595	1,375,369
Actived interest receivable and other assets		1,373,309
Total assets	163,241,028	186,534,074
LIABILITIES Deposits:     Noninterest-bearing demand     Interest-bearing demand     Savings and money market     Certificates of deposit, net	15,521,829 9,372,841 57,119,495	16,659,300 10,070,737 53,483,533
Total deposits	57,784,548	65,491,464
Repurchase agreements	139,798,713	145,705,034
Short term Federal Home Loan Bank advances	1,037,776	1,405,619
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	19 500 000	6,850,000
Capital lease obligations	18,500,000	21,000,000
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	440,786	469,060
Total liabilities	1,097,242	1,583,504
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 15)	160,874,517	177,013,217
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, no par value, 500,000 shares authorized and none outstanding Common stock, no par value, 5,000,000 shares	-	-
authorized; 2,214,564 outstanding in 2009 and 2008	18,782,779	18,808,311
Accumulated deficit	(16,178,901)	(9,519,307)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(237,367)	231,853
Total shareholders' equity	2,366,511	9,520,857
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 163,241,028	\$ 186,534,074
Total habilities and shareholders equity	Ψ 103,271,020	Ψ 100,334,074

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## **CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008		2009		2008
Interest and dividends income:	\$	6,984,552	\$	8,681,282
Loans, including fees Securities, taxable	Ŧ	1,504,830	Ф	1,731,333
Securities, taxable Securities, tax-exempt		114,396		114,443
Interest-bearing deposits, federal funds sold and other		37,238		69,435
Dividends on federal bank stock		64,465		81,115
		8,705,481		10,677,608
Total interest and dividends income		8,703,481		10,077,008
Interest expense:		3,321,169		4,090,296
Deposits Short term Federal Home Loan Bank advances		2,085		76,638
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		648,426		728,176
Repurchase agreements		4,464		24,598
Capital leases		73,709		77,898
·		4,049,853		4,997,606
Total interest expense		4,049,633		4,997,000
Net interest income		4,655,628		5,680,002
Provision for loan losses		4,507,055		2,361,496
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		148,573		3,318,506
Noninterest income:				
Service charges and other fees		829,409		955,226
Gain on sales of loans		57,351		179,474
Gain on sales of securities available for sale, net		918,187		<u>.</u>
Other than temporary impairment of securities		(111,200)		(2,859,024)
Loss on disposition or direct write-down of other real estate		=== ===		(
owned		(1,522,008)		(537,770)
Loss on disposition of fixed assets		(4,787)		202 270
Other income		58,729		203,270
Total other income		225,681		(2,058,824)
Noninterest expense:				2 206 244
Salaries and benefits		2,822,371		3,386,041
Occupancy and equipment		840,933		965,895
Professional fees		537,541		333,348
Franchise tax		112,209		189,009
Data processing		681,719		677,666
Marketing and advertising		100,208		177,218 105,907
Stationery and supplies		69,000		
Amortization of intangible asset		59,900		90,421
Deposit expenses and insurance		908,413		282,647 1,098,619
Other expenses		1,152,543		
Total noninterest expense		7,284,837		7,306,771
Loss before income taxes		(6,910,583)		(6,047,089)
Income tax expense (benefit)		(250,989)		
Net loss	\$	(6,659,594)	\$	(6,047,089)
Basic net earnings (loss) per share	\$	(3.01)	\$	(2.73)
Diluted net earnings (loss) per share	\$	(3.01)	\$	(2.73)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

	Outstanding Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2008	2,214,564	\$18,781,925	(\$3,472,218)	\$3,936	\$15,313,643
Stock based compensation expense	-	26,386	-	-	26,386
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net loss	-	-	(6,047,089)	-	(6,047,089)
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale arising during the period	_	_	_	227,917	227,917
Total comprehensive loss					(5,819,172)
Balance, December 31, 2008	2,214,564	18,808,311	(9,519,307)	231,853	9,520,857
Stock based compensation expense	-	(25,532)	-	-	(25,532)
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net income (loss)	-	-	(6,659,594)	-	(6,659,594)
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale arising during the period, including effect of					
reclassifications	-	-	-	(469,220)	(469,220)
Total comprehensive loss					(7,128,814)
Balance, December 31, 2009	2,214,564	\$18,782,779	\$(16,178,901)	\$ (237,367)	\$ 2,366,511

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For t	he years	ended	December	31,	2009	and 2	2008

	2009		2008	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net loss	\$	(6,659,594)	\$	(6,047,089)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from				
operating activities:		. = 0 = 0 = =		2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Provision for loan losses		4,507,055		2,361,496
Depreciation and amortization		402,421		500,519
Securities amortization and accretion, net		127,335		19,006
Gain on sale of securities available for sale		(918,187)		-
Other than temporary impairment of securities		111,200		2,859,024
Gain on sale of loans held for sale		(57,351)		(179,474)
Stock based compensation expense		(25,532)		26,386
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock dividends		(2.225.060)		(39,600)
Origination of loans held for sale		(2,325,069)		(14,199,868)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale		3,199,211		14,279,210
Loss on write-down and disposition of other real estate		4 500 000		F07 770
owned		1,522,008		537,770
Loss on disposition of fixed assets		4,787		-
Net change in:				442.045
Accrued interest receivable and other assets		734,773		112,845
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities		(366,820)		389,445
Deferred loan fees		17,257		(47,517)
Net cash from operating activities		273,494		572,153
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Available for sale securities				
Purchases		(58,739,297)		(9,920,610)
Sales		59,368,575		-
Maturities, calls and paydowns		5,299,459		3,674,321
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned		1,599,970		385,614
Redemptions of federal bank stock		187,850		125,700
Net change in loans		20,444,909		(874,530)
Improvements to real estate owned		(69,855)		(356,164)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment		2,350		-
Purchases of premises and equipment		(17,164)		(793,076)
Net cash from investing activities		28,076,797		(7,758,745)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net change in deposits		(5,906,321)		(1,644,318)
Net change in repurchase agreements		(367,843)		(617,250)
Repayment of capital lease obligations		(28,274)		(24,108)
Proceeds from short term FHLB advances, net of repayments		(6,850,000)		4,825,000
Proceeds from FHLB advances		3,000,000		11,000,000
Repayments of FHLB advances		(5,500,000)		(2,000,000)
Net cash from financing activities		(15,652,438)		11,539,324
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		12,697,853		4,352,732
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		11,467,937		7,115,205
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	24,165,790	\$	11,467,937

## Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash received during the year for:		
Federal income tax refund	\$250,989	-
Cash paid during the year for:		
Interest	\$4,137,853	\$5,040,396
Federal income taxes	-	-
Noncash transactions:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	\$1,017,541	\$3,366,549

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**Nature of Operations and Principles of Consolidation**: The consolidated financial statements include Ohio Legacy Corp ("Ohio Legacy") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Ohio Legacy Bank, National Association (the "Bank"). Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. References to the "Company" include Ohio Legacy, consolidated with its subsidiary, the Bank.

Ohio Legacy is a bank holding company, incorporated in July 1999 under the laws of the State of Ohio. The Bank provides financial services through its full-service offices in Wooster, North Canton and Canton, Ohio. Its primary deposit products are checking, savings and certificate of deposit accounts, and its primary lending products are residential mortgage, commercial and installment loans. Substantially all loans are secured by specific items of collateral including business assets, consumer assets and real estate. Commercial loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of businesses. Real estate loans are secured by residential and commercial real estate. Loans to lessors of buildings, warehouse and residential properties represent 1,288.0% of capital. Additionally, loans to new housing builders, dentists and used car dealers represent 72.0%, 48.0% and 31.0% of capital, respectively. Other financial instruments that potentially represent concentrations of credit risk include deposit accounts in other financial institutions and federal funds sold.

**Use of Estimates**: To prepare financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and actual results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, judgments about the other than temporary impairment of securities, fair value of financial instruments, valuation of deferred tax assets and the fair value of other real estate owned are particularly subject to change.

**Cash Flows**: Cash and cash equivalents includes cash, deposits with other financial institutions with original maturities of less than 90 days and federal funds sold. Net cash flows are reported for customer loan and deposit transactions, interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions, repurchase agreements, and short term FHLB advances.

**Interest–Bearing Deposits in Other Financial Institutions**: Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions mature within one year and are carried at cost.

**Restrictions on Cash**: Cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank of \$1,167,000 and \$1,287,000 was required to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**Securities**: Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Debt securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values are classified as available for sale. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage-backed securities where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such evaluation.

**Loans Held for Sale**: Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to

earnings. Mortgage loans held for sale are generally sold with servicing rights released. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold.

**Loans:** Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at the principal balance outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs and an allowance for loan losses.

Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized in interest income using the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments.

Interest income on loans is discontinued at the time the loan becomes 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual status or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. Delinquency status is based on contractual payment due date.

All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual status are reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonable assured.

**Allowance for loan and lease losses**: The allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed.

Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged off.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired and assigned a probable loss amount. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures. The Company then divides the remaining loans by risk into three grades: pass, special mention and substandard. Loans with a pass grade are divided into nine separate categories. Total charge-offs for a specified time period, currently 2.5 years, are divided into the same categories and used as a starting point to estimate credit losses in each category. Other subjective factors, such as industry conditions, local economic trends and similar items are assigned a numeric value by category and are also applied to the balances in the pass grade. Historic loss percentages are applied separately to the special mention and substandard pools of loans based on actual charge-offs for each pool in total regardless of the category.

**Transfers of Financial Assets:** Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been relinquished. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered

when the assets have been isolated from the Company, the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

**Federal Bank Stock:** The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (the "FHLB") system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowing and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. FHLB stock is carried at cost, classified as federal bank stock on the balance sheet, and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income. Federal Reserve Bank stock is also carried at cost. Cash dividends are reported as income. At December 31, 2009, federal bank stock consisted of Federal Home Loan Bank stock of \$1,021,000 and Federal Reserve Bank stock of \$246,250. As of December 31, 2008, federal bank stock consisted of Federal Home Loan Bank stock of \$1,020,000 and Federal Reserve Bank stock of \$435,100.

**Premises and Equipment:** Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed over an asset's useful life, primarily using the straight line method. Leasehold improvements and office buildings under a capital lease are amortized over the original term of the lease. Furniture, fixture and equipment have useful lives ranging from 3 to 7 years. Buildings have useful lives ranging from 15 to 20 years. Premises and equipment and other long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment when events indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable through future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

**Intangible Assets:** Intangible assets typically consist of core deposit intangible assets arising from a branch acquisition. They are initially measured at fair value and then are amortized on an accelerated method over their useful lives, which range from 7 to 10 years.

**Other Real Estate Owned:** Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense or direct writedowns are recorded to the carrying amount of the asset. Costs after acquisition are expensed. Improvements that improve the fair value of the property are capitalized. Real estate owned at December 31, 2009 and 2008 includes a property placed into receivership until it can be improved and sold in an orderly fashion.

**Long-Term Assets:** Premises and equipment, intangible assets, and other long-term assets are reviewed for impairment when events indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

**Income Taxes:** Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The Company adopted guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") with respect to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes as of January 1, 2007. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The adoption had no effect on the Company's financial statements. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

**Earnings Per Share:** Basic earnings (loss) per share is net income (loss) divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options and stock warrants. The following table details the calculation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the years ended December 31:

	2009	2008
BASIC: Net loss	\$ (6,659,594)	\$ (6,047,089)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,214,564	2,214,564
Basic loss per share	\$ (3.01)	\$ (2.73)
_	2009	2008
DILUTED: Net loss	\$ (6,659,594)	\$ (6,047,089)
Weighted average common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of stock options	2,214,564 -	2,214,564
Dilutive effect of stock warrants Total common shares and dilutive potential common shares	2,214,564	2,214,564
Diluted loss per share	\$ (3.01)	\$ (2.73)

The computation of diluted loss per share excludes potential dilutive common shares if the effect of their exercise would be antidilutive. The number of shares excluded in 2009 and 2008 was 312,300 and 334,700, respectively.

**Stock-Based Compensation:** Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

**Loan Commitments and Related Financial Instruments:** Financial instruments include off-balance sheet credit instruments, such as commitments to make loans and commercial letters of credit issued to meet customer financing needs. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

**Comprehensive Income (Loss):** Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, which are also recognized as a separate component of equity, net of tax.

**Loss Contingencies:** Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there are any such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.

**Dividend Restriction:** Banking regulations require the Bank to maintain certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the Bank to Ohio Legacy. See Notes 14 and 18 for a further description of regulatory restrictions.

**Fair Values of Financial Instruments**: Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in Note 11. Fair value

estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

**Operating Segments:** While the Company's chief decision-makers monitor the revenue streams of the Company's various products and services, the identifiable segments are not material and operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Accordingly, all of the Company's financial services operations are considered by management to be aggregated into one reportable operating segment.

**Reclassifications:** Some items in the prior-year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation.

**Adoption of New Accounting Standards:** In September 2006, the FASB issued guidance that defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy about the assumptions used to measure fair value and clarifies assumptions about risk and the effect of a restriction on the sale or use of an asset. The guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the FASB issued guidance that delayed the effective date of this fair value guidance for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually) to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The effect of adopting the guidance effective this year was not material in 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB amended existing guidance for determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary for debt securities. The guidance requires an entity to assess whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of these criteria is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: (1) other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income; and (2) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. Additionally, disclosures about other-than-temporary impairments for debt and equity securities were expanded. This guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material in 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance that emphasizes that the objective of a fair value measurement does not change even when market activity for the asset or liability has decreased significantly. Fair value is the price that would be received for an asset sold or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. When observable transactions or quoted prices are not considered orderly, then little, if any, weight should be assigned to the indication of the asset or liability's fair value. Adjustments to those transactions or prices should be applied to determine the appropriate fair value. The guidance, which was applied prospectively, was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material in 2009.

#### **Newly Issued Not Yet Effective Standards**

In June 2009, the FASB amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets and eliminated the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date.

Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. The effect of adopting this new guidance is not expected to be material.

# **NOTE 2 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

At a special meeting held January 8, 2010, the Ohio Legacy's shareholders approved the issuance and sale of up to 17,500,000 additional shares of Ohio Legacy common stock. Shareholder approval was obtained in conjunction with a Stock Purchase Agreement that the Company entered into with Excel Financial on November 15, 2009. At the special meeting, shareholders approved: (1) an amendment to Ohio Legacy's articles of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 5,000,000 to 22,500,000; (2) the issuance of 15,000,000 shares of common stock to Excel Bancorp, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, and the issuance of up to 2,500,000 additional shares to other investors in a private offering made in connection with the sale of shares to Excel Bancorp; and (3) the control share acquisition by Excel Bancorp of 15,000,000 shares of common stock.

Excel Financial is a Delaware limited liability company that was formed for the sole purpose of pursuing a bank acquisition. Excel Financial engaged consultants and advisors to assist it in this endeavor and had no other business activity. Although the Company entered into the Stock Purchase Agreement with Excel Financial, Excel Financial assigned the agreement to its affiliate, Excel Bancorp, an Ohio limited liability company formed to acquire the shares of Ohio Legacy's common stock. The Federal Reserve Board approved Excel Bancorp's application to become a registered bank holding company on February 12, 2010, in connection with its acquisition of Ohio Legacy's common stock. Following regulatory approval, Ohio Legacy issued 15,000,000 shares of common stock to Excel Bancorp and 2,500,000 shares of common stock in a private offering on February 19, 2010, at a issue price of \$1.00 per share (the "Closing").

The net proceeds to the Company of the stock offering were \$16,766,257 after payment of various costs totaling \$733,743. Proceeds were used by the Company to increase the capital level of the Bank in the amount of \$16,184,135, to pay direct offering costs including legal, investment banking, accounting and issuance expenses of \$733,743, and to repay notes payable and accrued interest to the organizers of Excel Bancorp and Excel Financial in the amount of \$526,915 for advances made to Excel Financial for organization and operating expenses related to its pursuit of a bank acquisition. The Company accepted the assignment of the notes payable to the organizers of Excel Bancorp and Excel Financial in exchange for their agreement to waive a closing condition that required the Bank to maintain a minimum tier 1 capital level of \$5.7 million.

As discussed in Notes 14 and 18, the Bank entered into a Consent Order in 2009 that specified achievement of higher capital ratios. Following the Closing, the Bank exceeded the minimum capital ratios required under the Consent Order with the OCC of tier 1 capital of at least 8.75% of adjusted total assets and total risk-based capital of at least 13.25% of risk-weighted assets. However, until the Consent Order is terminated, the Bank cannot be classified as well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions.

Various management and board changes also took place as contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement.

The issuance of common stock to Excel Bancorp resulted in an "ownership change" of the Company, as broadly defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result of the ownership change, utilization of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards and certain built-in losses under federal income tax laws will be subject to annual limitation. The annual limitation placed on the Company's ability to utilize these potential tax deductions will equal the product of an applicable interest rate mandated under federal income tax laws and the Company's value immediately before the ownership change. Given the limited carryforward period assigned to these tax deductions in excess of this

annual limit, some portion of these potential deductions will be lost and, consequently, the related tax benefits will not be recorded in the financial statements.

# **NOTE 3 - SECURITIES**

The fair value of available for sale securities and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair
Available for sale, carried at fair value:	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
December 31, 2009  Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored	toc 646 000	+20.702	(+274 507)	+26 272 025
enterprises	\$26,616,829	\$30,703	(\$274,597)	\$26,372,935
Other mortgage-backed securities	423,843	-	(27,473)	396,370
Equity securities	88,800	34,000	-	122,800
Total	\$27,129,472	\$64,703	(\$302,070)	\$26,892,105
•				
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
Available for sale, carried at fair value:	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
December 31, 2008				
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored	\$1,000,001	\$31,762	-	\$1,031,763
enterprises	30,630,212	622,755	(72,487)	31,180,480
Other mortgage-backed securities	545,358	-	(88,738)	456,620
Equity securities	200,000	-	(142,000)	58,000
Total _	\$32,375,571	\$654,517	(\$303,225)	\$32,726,863

The carrying amount, unrecognized gains and losses, and fair value of securities held to maturity were as follows:

Held to maturity, carried at	Carrying Amount	Gross Unrecognized Gains	Gross Unrecognized Losses	Fair Value
amortized cost:				
December 31, 2009  Municipal securities	\$ 2,996,826	\$ 56,408	\$ (2,494)	\$ 3,050,740
December 31, 2008  Municipal securities	\$ 2,999,813	\$ 19,143	\$ (15,131)	\$ 3,003,825

Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale were \$59.4 million for 2009. Gross gains of \$918,200 were realized on the sales during 2009. Purchases for 2009 totaled \$58.7 million, all of which were Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") pass-through mortgage backed securities. There were no sales of securities during 2008.

Securities with unrealized losses for less than one year and one year or more at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

		Less than 1	2 mo	nths	12 Months or More		Total					
		Fair	Unr	ealized	1	Fair	Unr	ealized		Fair	Unr	ealized
(Dollars in thousands)		Value		Loss	V	'alue	[	Loss		Value		.oss
Available for sale: Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government- sponsored enterprises Other mortgage-backed securities	\$	15,499	\$	(269) 	\$	273 396	\$	(6) (27)	\$	15,772 396	\$	(275) (27)
Total	\$	15,499	\$	(269)	\$	669	\$	(33)	\$	16,168	\$	(302)
Held to maturity: Municipal securities	\$\$	331	<b>\$</b>	(2)_	\$	<del>-</del>	. \$		\$_	331_	\$	(2)

Securities with unrealized losses for less than one year and one year or more at December 31, 2008 were as follows:

		Less than 1	2 mon	ths		12 Months	s or M	ore		Tot	tal	
		Fair	Un	realized		Fair	Unr	ealized		Fair	Unr	ealized
(Dollars in thousands)		Value		Loss	\	/alue		Loss	\	/alue		Loss
Available for sale: Mortgage-backed securities	\$	1,494	\$	(28)	\$	1,766	\$	(133)	\$	3,260	\$	(161)
Equity securities		58		(142)		<del>-</del>				58		(142)
Total	\$	1,552	\$	(170)	\$	1,766	\$	(133)	\$	3,318	\$	(303)
Held to maturity:												
Municipal securities	\$\$	1,539	\$	(15)	\$	-	\$		\$	1,539	\$	(15)

# Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for OTTI at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's security portfolio consisted of thirty-seven securities, ten of which were in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and two of which were in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or longer. The unrealized losses are primarily related to the Company's mortgage-backed securities, as discussed below:

#### **Equity Securities**

As a result of actions of the Treasury Department in 2008, the Company recognized an other than temporary impairment of the value of preferred equity securities issued by FNMA and FHLMC. These charges to earnings were \$111,200 and \$2,859,024 in 2009 and 2008, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of the equities was \$122,800, compared to a book value of \$88,800.

# Mortgage-backed Securities

At December 31, 2009, 93.9% of the mortgage-backed securities held by the Company were issued by GNMA, which are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government, and an additional 4.6% were issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA") and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), institutions which the government has affirmed its commitment to

support. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, and because the Company does not have the intent to sell these mortgage-backed securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2009.

The Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio includes one non-agency security with a market value of \$396,400 which represents an unrealized loss of approximately \$27,500 at December 31, 2009. This non-agency mortgage-backed security was rated AAA and Aaa by Standard and Poor's and Moody's, respectively, when it was purchased and is rated AAA and Aa2 at the balance sheet date. As part of this issue, certain subordinated classes of securities are designated to receive principal repayments only after all payments have been made to senior classes. As of the reporting date, the mortgage loans available to support this security had balances that were 6.6 times the estimated potential loss, an increase from 3.5 times at issuance. As of February 28, 2010, 0.33% of the loans serving as collateral for this security were 30 days delinquent, no loans were 60 days delinquent, 2.5% were 90 days delinquent, and 2.67% were in bankruptcy. The loans are mortgages with an initial fixed rate for 10 years, which then adjust annually at 2.75% over one year LIBOR. The average first rate reset will occur in June, 2014. Although the borrowers are not required to make principal payments during the initial 10 year period, 57.8% of the original principal had been repaid as of December 31, 2009. There are no negative amortization loans in the pool and none of the loans are subprime, Alt A or similar type of high-default product. The Company does not have the intent to sell this security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. Based on these factors, as of December 31, 2009, the Company believes there is no OTTI.

The fair value of debt securities and the carrying amount, if different, at year end 2009 by contractual maturity were as follows. Securities not due at a single maturity date, mortgage-backed securities and equity securities, are shown separately.

	Held-to-r	Available for sale			
(Dollars in thousands)	rrying nount	Fair	- Value	Fai	r Value
Due in one year or less Due from one to five years Due from five to ten years Due after ten years	\$ 798 2,199	\$	820 2,231	\$	-
Equity securities  Mortgage-backed securities	 -				26,769
Total	\$ 2,997	\$	3,051	\$	26,892

Securities with a fair value of \$4,243,000 and \$4,514,000 were pledged as collateral for public fund deposits at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Available for sale securities with a fair value of \$2,979,000 and \$3,844,000 were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements (see Note 9) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Available for sale securities with a fair value of \$1,626,000 and \$10,165,000 were pledged as collateral to the Federal Home Loan Bank as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, holdings of securities of specific issuers, other than mortgage backed securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity, were as follows:

	Amoi	tized Cost	F	Fair Value
Lakewood Ohio City School District	\$	324,065	\$	328,588
Meigs Ohio Local School District		300,616		313,059
Beachwood Ohio Municipal Complex		291,563		304,615
Hicksville Ohio Exempted Village School District		346,059		357,081
North Canton Ohio Water System		537,431		539,878
Portsmouth Ohio City School District		333,973		331,478
Wadsworth Ohio City School District		254,214		256,328
Fredericktown Ohio Local School District		426,893		433,113
GMAC Mortgage		423,843		396,370
	\$	3,238,657	\$	3,260,510

At December 31, 2008, there were no such holdings except mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises and debentures issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank.

# **NOTE 4 - LOANS**

Loans, by collateral type, were as follows at December 31:

	2009		2008	
	Balance	Percent	Balance	Percent
Residential real estate Multifamily residential real estate Commercial real estate Construction Commercial Consumer and home equity	\$ 33,147,634 5,757,491 47,639,944 3,958,572 7,673,195 6,719,205	31.6% 5.5 45.4 3.8 7.3 6.4	\$ 37,091,918 5,558,771 56,921,284 10,799,541 12,082,242 7,893,464	28.5% 4.2 43.7 8.3 9.3 6.0
Total loans Less: Allowance for loan losses Net deferred loan fees	104,896,041 (3,945,670) (95,206)	100.0%	130,347,220 (3,398,284) (112,463)	100.0%
Loans, net	\$ 100,855,165		\$ 126,836,473	

Approximately \$23,436,000 and \$24,656,000 of residential real estate loans were pledged as collateral for FHLB advances at December 31,2009 and 2008, respectively.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

	 2009		2008
Balance, January 1 Provision for loan losses Loans charged-off Recoveries of charged-off loans	\$ 3,398,284 4,507,055 (4,428,448) 468,779	\$	1,622,906 2,361,496 (765,595) 179,477
Balance, December 31	\$ 3,945,670	\$_	3,398,284
Balance as a percentage of total loans	3.76%		2.61%

Loans individually considered impaired and nonperforming loans were as follows at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and during the years then ended:

,	2009	2008
At December 31: Loans past due over 90 days still on accrual Nonaccrual loans, includes smaller balance homogeneous loans Impaired loans, included in nonaccrual loans Impaired loans with no allowance for loan losses allocated Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	\$ - 5,826,976 5,826,976 5,826,976	\$ 279,800 4,636,376 4,616,376 3,380,537 772,000
During the year ended December 31: Average of impaired loans during the year Interest income recognized during impairment Cash-basis interest income recognized during impairment	\$ 6,800,848 500 500	\$ 3,522,152 44,835 44,835
Interest income foregone on nonaccrual loans	\$ 382,418	\$ 238,239

Loans to principal officers, directors and their affiliates in 2009 were as follows:

Balances, January 1	\$ 3,911,750
New loans	25,070
Repayments	(211,588)
Balances, December 31	\$ 3,725,232

#### **NOTE 5 - OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED**

Other real estate owned was as follows for the years ended December 31 2009 and 2008:

	2009	2008
Residential real estate	\$ 1,357,309	\$ 2,430,803
Land development	1,818,349	2,784,893
Total real estate owned	\$ 3,175,658	\$ 5,215,696

Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense.

Costs after acquisition are expensed. Expenditures that improve the fair value of the property are capitalized. It is the Company's intention to make periodic reassessments of the value of assets held in this category and record valuation adjustments or write-downs as the reassessments dictate. Real estate owned at December 31, 2009 and 2008 includes a property placed into receivership until it can be improved and sold in an orderly fashion.

## **NOTE 6 - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT**

Premises and equipment were as follows at December 31 2009 and 2008:

	2009		2008		
Land	\$ 638	3,786	\$ 638,786		
Office building	2,031	.,368	2,031,368		
Leasehold improvements	764	1,767	764,767		
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	1,663	3,535	1,769,025		
Premises and equipment, cost	5,098	3,456	5,203,946		
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(2,146,	064)	(1,919,062)		
Premises and equipment, net	\$ 2,952	2,392	\$ 3,284,884		

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, depreciation expense was \$342,520 and \$410,098, respectively. Depreciation expense includes amortization of assets leased under capital leases.

The Company's main banking office is located in a leased premises at 305 West Liberty Street in Wooster, Ohio. Monthly rent for the first five years, which ended in April 2006, was \$4,200 plus an amount equal to the monthly payment to amortize the construction costs of \$550,000 over 180 months, with an interest rate of 10%. The base rent increases every five years by the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index over the same five-year period. The monthly base rent increased to \$5,562 in May 2006. The final scheduled increase in base rent will occur in May 2011. Base rent will remain at that level until the expiration of the lease in April 2016. The building portion of the lease is accounted for as a capital lease while the land portion of the lease is accounted for as an operating lease, due to the land exceeding 25% of the total fair value of the property.

The Bank's operations center is located at 2375 Benden Drive, Suite C, Wooster, Ohio. In 2007, the Bank entered into a five year operating lease agreement for the property. Annual rent payments are \$23,004 for the first year, then increase annually as follows: \$28,752 for the second year, \$34,500 for the third year, \$40,248 for the fourth year and \$45,996 for the fifth year. At the end of the initial five years, the Bank has the right to extend the term of the lease for five additional one year terms. The rent will increase 3.0% for each renewal term.

The Canton banking office is located at 4026 Dressler Road in Canton, Ohio. In 2001, the Bank entered into a ten-year operating lease agreement for the property with two five-year renewal options. The annual rent under the lease increased to \$88,851 for the second five years of the original term of the lease.

The Milltown banking office is located at 3562 Commerce Parkway in Wooster, Ohio. The Bank assumed an operating lease on the property upon the consummation of a purchase and assumption agreement (discussed in Note 7) in August 2004, and subsequently purchased the building in 2008.

The North Canton banking office is located at 600 South Main Street in North Canton, Ohio. The Bank owns the land and building, which was constructed during 2005.

Rent expense was \$186,800 and \$264,800 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Estimated rental commitments under all leases for their non-cancelable periods were as follows as of December 31, 2009:

	Operating Leases		Capital Leases	
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Thereafter	\$	161,434 81,537 67,328 40,497 40,497 53,996	\$ 101,983 111,143 115,724 115,724 115,724 154,299	
Total minimum lease payments	\$	445,289	\$ 714,597	
Amounts representing interest			 (273,811)	
Present value of minimum lease payments			\$ 440,786	

# **NOTE 7 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

As a result of the Bank's acquisition of its Milltown branch in 2004, the Bank recorded intangible assets of \$749,600 related to identifiable intangibles. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2009 and 2008 totaled \$749,600 and \$689,700 respectively. The asset was amortized over an estimated life of six years using the sum-of-the-years' digits method. Amortization expense totaled \$59,900 and \$90,400 during 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### **NOTE 8 - DEPOSITS**

Certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100,000 or more were \$2,216,400 and \$27,911,600 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit were as follows at December 31, 2009:

2010	\$ 37,254,659
2011	19,987,711
2012	2,169,104
2013	368,841
2014	4,233
Thereafter	-
	\$ 57,784,548

Included in certificates of deposit at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were deposits totaling \$472,700 and \$3,159,300, respectively, obtained through the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (the "CDARS"). This service allows deposit customers to maintain fully insured balances in excess of the \$100,000 FDIC insurance limit without the inconvenience of having multiple banking relationships. Under the reciprocal program, customers agree to allow the Bank to place their deposits with other participating banks in the CDARS program in insurable amounts under \$100,000. In exchange, other banks in the program agree to place their deposits with the Bank, also in insurable amounts under \$100,000.

#### **NOTE 9 - REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS**

Repurchase agreements are financing arrangements that mature daily. Under the agreements, customers agree to maintain funds on deposit with the Bank and in return acquire an interest in a pool of securities pledged as collateral against the funds. The securities are held in a segregated safekeeping account at the Federal Home Loan Bank. Information concerning the repurchase agreements for 2009 and 2008 is summarized as follows:

	2009		2008	
Average daily balance during the year Average interest rate during the year	\$	1,059,040 0.47%	\$ 1,642,594 1.33%	
Maximum month-end balance during the year Interest rate at year-end	\$	1,403,643 0.56%	\$ 2,130,469 0.37%	

#### **NOTE 10 - FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ADVANCES**

There were no overnight borrowings from the FHLB at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2008, overnight borrowings were \$6,850,000 with a variable rate of 0.54%. The following table details FHLB term advances as of December 31 2009 and 2008:

Three-year interest-only advance	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3,000,000
Three-year interest-only advance	
, , , ,	2,500,000
Four-year interest-only advance	
	2,000,000
Four-year interest-only advance	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2,500,000
Two-year interest-only advance	
3.02% fixed rate, maturing February 26, 2010 2,000,000 2	2,000,000
Two-year interest-only advance	
2.65% fixed rate, maturing March 4, 2010 2,000,000 2	2,000,000
Two-year interest-only advance	
3.19% fixed rate, maturing September 16, 2010 5,000,000 5	5,000,000
Two-year interest-only advance	
2.08% fixed rate, maturing January 14, 2011 3,000,000	-
Three-year interest only advance	
2.96% fixed rate, maturing March 11, 2011	2,000,000
\$ 18,500,000 \$ 21	1,000,000

Each interest-only advance is payable at its maturity date and has a prepayment penalty if repaid prior to maturity. The advances were collateralized by a blanket pledge of eligible residential real estate loans and specific securities in the available for sale securities portfolio. At December 31, 2009, the Bank had approximately \$19,100,000 in additional borrowing capacity available for future advances based upon current collateral. As of December 31, 2009, required principal payments on all FHLB advances over the next five years were as follows:

2010	\$ 13,500,000
2011	5,000,000
2012	-
2013	-
2014	-
	\$ 18,500,000

# **NOTE 11 - FAIR VALUE**

ASC 820-10 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC 820-10 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

- Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- Level 2 Quoted prices (unadjusted) for similar assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of financial asset:

Securities: The fair values for securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 3).

Impaired Loans and Other Real Estate: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses and other real estate is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available.

Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Available for sale securities	\$ 26,892,105	\$ 32,726,863
Quoted prices on active markets for identical assets (Level 1) Equity securities Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	122,800	58,000
U.S. government sponsored enterprises  Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government-	-	1,031,763
sponsored enterprises Other mortgage backed securities Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	26,372,935 396,370	31,180,480 456,620

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

		December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008		
Impaired loans	\$	4,670,389	\$	1,002,322		
Quoted prices on active markets for identical assets (Level 1) Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	\$	- - 4,670,389	\$	- - 1,002,322		
Other real estate	\$	3,131,658	\$	2,888,998		

Quoted prices on active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	-	-
Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	-	-
Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	\$ 3,131,658	na

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a carrying amount of \$4,670,389 with no specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2009. Provisions for loan losses as a result of charge-offs or write-downs to the fair value of collateral were \$4,234,997 in 2009. Impaired loans carried at fair value had a principal balance after partial charge downs of \$1,774,322, with an additional specific allocation of \$772,000 at December 31, 2008. Impairment charges which were reflected as charge-offs of specific allocations through the allowance for loan losses resulted in a provision for loan losses during the period of \$824,493.

Gross write downs totaling \$1.7 million were recorded on other real estate during the year to date period.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial assets and liabilities at December 31 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			December 31, 2008				
		Carrying Amounts	Es	timated Fair Value		Carrying Amounts		timated Fair Value
Financial assets								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	24,166,000	\$	24,166,000	\$	11,468,000	\$	11,468,000
Certificate of deposit in financial institution		100,000		100,000		100,000		100,000
Securities available for sale		26,892,000		26,892,000		32,727,000		32,727,000
Securities held to maturity		2,997,000		3,051,000		3,000,000		3,004,000
Loans held for sale		195,000		195,000		1,012,000		1,038,000
Loans, net		100,855,000		101,308,000		126,836,000		133,500,000
Accrued interest receivable		413,000		413,000		602,000		602,000
Financial liabilities								
Deposits	(1	39,799,000)	(:	140,668,000)	(	145,705,000)	(:	146,982,000)
Repurchase agreements		(1,038,000)		(1,038,000)		(1,406,000)		(1,406,000)
Overnight FHLB advances	_	<u>-</u>		-		(6,850,000)		(6,850,000)
FHLB advances	(	18,500,000)		(18,638,000)		(21,000,000)		(21,286,000)
Accrued interest payable		(148,000)		(148,000)		(236,000)		(236,000)

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, noninterest-bearing demand deposits and variable-rate loans, deposits that reprice frequently and fully, repurchase agreements, certificates of deposit in financial institutions and overnight FHLB advances. Security fair values are based on market prices or dealer quotes. For fixed-rate loans or deposits and for variable-rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life. The fair value of borrowings is based upon current rates for similar financing over the remaining terms of the borrowings. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of federal bank stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The estimated fair value for other financial instruments and off-balance sheet loan commitments are considered nominal.

# **NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES**

Income tax expense (benefit) was as follows during the years ended December 31 2009 and 2008:

		2009	2008		
Current federal Deferred federal Change in valuation allowance	\$	250,989 (2,080,651) 2,080,651		\$ (2,109,363) 2,109,363	
Total income tax expense	\$	(250,989)	\$	_	

Effective tax rates differ from federal statutory rates applied to financial statement earnings (loss) due to the following:

-	2009	2008
Federal statutory rate (34%) times financial statement earnings (loss)	\$ (2,349,599)	\$ (2,056,010)
Effect of: Tax exempt income net of disallowed interest expense	(34,497)	(36,673)
Stock based compensation Change in valuation allowance Dividends received deduction Other, net	(8,681) 2,080,651 - 61,137	8,971 2,109,363 (32,526) <u>6,875</u>
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (250,989)	<u>\$</u>

Deferred tax assets and liabilities were due to the following at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Deformed to a penatra	2009		2	800	
Deferred tax assets: Allowance for loan losses Deferred loan fees Deferred and accrued compensation Unrealized loss on securities available for sale Intangible asset amortization Stock based compensation REO valuation allowance Net operating loss carryforward Other than temporary impairment of securities Depreciation Tax credit carryforward	\$	950,284 33,675 4,694 80,705 164,248 13,410 1,062,688 2,211,012 1,009,876 98,687 45,715	\$	882,306 40,156 15,280 - 160,873 13,410 518,007 854,390 972,068 40,177 14,093	
Other Total deferred tax assets		8,913 5,683,907		<u>17,484</u> 3,528,244	
Deferred tax liabilities: Unrealized gain on securities available for sale Prepaid expenses FHLB stock dividends Other Total deferred tax liabilities Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance Less valuation allowance Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	(! \$	(26,530) (58,480) (137) (85,147) 5,598,760 5,598,760)	\$	(119,439) (32,270) (58,480) (90) (210,279) 3,317,965 (3,437,404) (119,439)	

A valuation allowance of \$5,598,760 was recorded to reduce the carrying amount of the Company's net deferred tax assets to zero.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$6,503,000 that will expire as follows: \$1,419,000 on December 31, 2027, \$132,000 on December 31, 2028, and \$4,952,000 on December 31, 2029. See Note 2 for information regarding the annual limitations on the use of net operating loss carryforwards resulting from an "ownership change" as broadly defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code").

In addition, the Company has approximately \$46,000 of alternative minimum tax credits that may be carried forward indefinitely.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits recorded. The Company does not expect the amount of unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly within the next twelve months.

The Company and the Bank are subject to U.S. federal income tax. The Company is no longer subject to examination by federal taxing authorities for tax years prior to 2006. The tax years 2006, 2007 and 2008 remain open to examination by the U.S. taxing authorities.

# **NOTE 13 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

In connection with the Company's initial public offering in 2000, the Company granted an aggregate of 150,000 warrants to certain of its directors and officers in recognition of the financial risks and efforts they undertook to organize the Company. These warrants vested in one-third increments over a three-year period following the date of grant. Each warrant entitles its holder to purchase a specified number of shares of Ohio Legacy common stock at \$10.00 per share and will expire ten years from the date of grant. As of December 31, 2009, all warrants were fully vested and exercisable, and no warrants had been exercised or forfeited.

In accordance with the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement between the Company and Excel Financial (see Note 18), all outstanding warrants held by the Company's directors and officers were cancelled and extinguished without consideration effective February 19, 2010.

In 1999, the Company adopted the Omnibus Stock Option, Stock Ownership and Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Stock Ownership Plan"). A total of 400,000 common shares were available for awards under the Stock Ownership Plan. Under the Stock Ownership Plan, the Company was authorized to grant nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options and restricted stock to eligible participants, including nonemployee directors and employees. The Stock Ownership Plan expired by its terms in September 2009, although awards granted under the plan will remain in effect in accordance with their respective terms.

Under the Stock Ownership Plan, each nonemployee director was typically granted nonqualified options to purchase 2,500 shares at the time or soon after that person first became a director. These initial option grants vest annually in equal amounts over a five-year term. Each nonemployee director also typically received an annual grant of nonqualified options to purchase up to 1,000 shares during his or her tenure on the Board. These annual option grants vested immediately. No options were granted to the Company's nonemployee directors in 2008 or 2009.

Options granted to employees under the Stock Ownership Plan generally vest three years from the date of grant. The exercise price of options granted to employees may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

In the event of a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Stock Ownership Plan), all outstanding options may become immediately exercisable in full at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. If the Compensation Committee does not elect to accelerate the vesting of outstanding options, all outstanding options will terminate upon a change in control unless the successor corporation agrees to assume or replace such options with an equivalent award.

The following table details stock option grants under the Stock Ownership Plan during the year ended December 31, 2009:

	2009		
			eighted
			verage
	Options		kercise Price
	Options		riice
Outstanding at January 1	184,700	\$	10.69
Granted	-		-
Forfeited	(22,400)		9.94
Exercised	_		
Outstanding at December 31	162,300	\$	10.79
Exercisable at December 31	157,300	\$	10.87

No options were granted or exercised under the Stock Ownership Plan in 2009 or 2008.

Options outstanding at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Outstanding			Exerci	sable
		Weighted			
		Average	Weighted		Weighted
		Remaining	Average		Average
Range of Exercise		Contractual	Exercise		Exercise
Prices	Number	Life (years)	Price	Number	Price
40.70 40.00	30.050	5.34	\$ 8.97	22.050	¢ 0.07
\$8.28 - \$9.99	38,050		7	33,050	\$ 9.07
\$10.00	40,500	2.43	10.00	40,500	10.00
\$12.00	83,750	4.96	12.00	83,750	12.00
	162,300	4.42	\$ 10.79	157,300	\$ 10.87

The intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable as of December 31, 2009 was \$0.

The compensation cost yet to be recognized for stock options that have been awarded but not vested is as follows:

	Compensation Costs
2010	2,081
Total	\$ 2,081

In accordance with the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement between the Company and Excel Financial (see Note 18), all outstanding stock options held by the Company's directors, officers and employees were cancelled and extinguished without consideration effective February 19, 2010.

# **NOTE 14 - REGULATORY MATTERS**

The Bank, through its Board of Directors, agreed to a Consent Order (the "Consent Order") with the Bank's primary federal regulator, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"), dated February 17, 2009. The Consent Order required the Board of Directors to submit a capital plan to the Assistant Deputy Controller that included specific plans to achieve and maintain tier 1 capital of at least 8.75% of adjusted total assets and total risk-based capital of at least 13.25% of risk-weighted

assets by August 31, 2009. The Board of Directors submitted the capital plan to the OCC, which included the engagement of an advisory firm to seek out capital investment from parties not currently affiliated with the Company or the Bank or attracting a merger partner. The capital plan also called for management to continue to manage the Bank's assets with the goal of protecting and growing capital and reducing the level of criticized assets. As of December 31, 2009, the Bank had not achieved the minimum capital ratios specified in the Consent Order. To have achieved both these levels at December 31, 2009, the Bank would have needed approximately \$12.3 million of additional capital.

The Consent Order provides that the OCC has the ability to take any action it deems appropriate in fulfilling its regulatory and supervisory responsibilities during the term of the Consent Order or upon the failure of the Bank to comply with the terms of the Consent Order. Among the actions that may be taken by the OCC is the placing of the Bank into receivership.

On February 19, 2010, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement entered into on November 15, 2009 with Excel Financial, LLC ("Excel Financial") (see Note 2), the Company received \$15.0 million from Excel Bancorp, LLC, an affiliate of Excel Financial ("Excel Bancorp"), in connection with Excel Bancorp's purchase of 15 million shares of common stock, and raised an additional \$2.5 million in connection with a private placement of 2.5 million shares of common stock. After the closing of these transactions, Ohio Legacy contributed approximately \$16.2 million to the capital of the Bank. If the additional capital had been invested in the Bank as of December 31, 2009, the Company would have exceeded the minimum capital ratios required under the Consent Order. However, until the Consent Order is terminated, the Bank cannot be classified as well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions.

Banks are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications: (i) well capitalized; (ii) adequately capitalized; (iii) undercapitalized; (iv) significantly undercapitalized; and (v) critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action. At December 31, 2009, the Bank met the definition of critically undercapitalized.

Actual and required capital amounts (in thousands) and ratios are presented below at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

T- D- W-II

	Actu	al	For Ca Adequacy	•	To Be Capitalize Prompt Co Action Pr	d Under orrective
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
2009 Total capital to risk-weighted assets Ohio Legacy Bank	\$ 3,854	3.8%	\$ 8,031	8.0%	\$ 10,039	10.0%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets Ohio Legacy Bank	2,566	2.6	4,016	4.0	6,023	6.0
Tier 1 capital to average assets Ohio Legacy Bank	2,566	1.5	6,805	4.0	8,506	5.0
2008 Total capital to risk-weighted assets Ohio Legacy Bank	\$ 10,454	7.6%	\$ 10,938	8.0%	\$ 13,673	10.0%

assets Ohio Legacy Bank	8,734	6.4	5,469	4.0	8,204	6.0
Tier 1 capital to average assets Ohio Legacy Bank	8,734	4.8	7,262	4.0	9,078	5.0

The payment of dividends by the Bank to Ohio Legacy is subject to restrictions by regulatory agencies. These restrictions generally limit dividends to the sum of the current year's and prior two years' retained earnings. In addition, dividends may not reduce capital levels below the minimum regulatory requirements as described above. Based on its year to date and previous year's earnings, the Company is not able to declare dividends without prior approval from its regulators. Pursuant to the Consent Order, prior to paying any dividends, the Bank must provide a written request to the OCC for review and determination of no supervisory objection. In addition to the dividend restrictions above, the Bank is not permitted to issue brokered deposits without prior approval from its regulators.

#### **NOTE 15 - LOAN COMMITMENTS AND RELATED ACTIVITIES**

ment and the second second

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Off-balance sheet risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral upon exercise of the commitment.

Commitments to make loans are generally made for periods of 30 days or less. The contractual amount of loan commitments with off-balance sheet risk was as follows at December 31 2009 and 2008:

	 2009	 2008
Commitments to make loans: Variable rate Fixed rate	\$ -	\$ 1,485,724 201,000
Unused lines of credit, variable-rate Standby letters of credit	\$ 9,403,134	\$ 14,523,560 153,164

#### NOTE 16 - PARENT COMPANY ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS	As of December 31,		
	2009	2008	
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 73,022	\$ 862,533	
Investment in subsidiary, Ohio Legacy Bank, N.A.	2,327,503	9,166,566	
Other assets	92,254	11,257	
Total assets	\$ 2,492,779	\$ 10,040,356	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Other liabilities	126,268	519,499	
Shareholders' equity	2,366,511	9,520,857	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,492,779	\$ 10,040,356	

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS	For the year ended December 31,				
SOND ENGLISHED OF THE STATE OF	2009	2008			
Interest income Interest expense Net interest income	\$ - 	\$ - - -			
Professional fees Other expense Loss before undistributed earnings of subsidiary	(234,676) (80,607) (315,283)	(140,872) (85,414) (226,286)			
Equity in undistributed loss of subsidiary Loss before income taxes	(6,344,311) (6,659,594)	(5,820,803) (6,047,089)			
Income tax expense (benefit)		-			
Net loss	\$ (6,659,594)	<u>\$ (6,047,089)</u>			
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	For the year ended December 3: 2009 2008				
Cash flows from operating activities: Net loss Adjustments: Equity in undistributed loss of subsidiary Net change in other assets and other liabilities Net cash used by operating activities	\$ (6,659,594) 6,344,311 (474,228) (789,511)	\$ (6,047,089) 5,820,803 620,370 394,084			
Net change in cash and cash equivalents Beginning cash and cash equivalents	(789,511) 862,533	394,084 468,449			
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 73,022	\$ 862,533			

# **NOTE 17 - OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

Components of other comprehensive income (loss) and the related tax effects were as follows during the year ended December 31 2009 and 2008:

	 2009	2008
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available for sale securities during the period	\$ 218,328	\$ (2,513,695)
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses realized in income	(806,987)	 2,859,024
	 (588,659)	345,329
Tax effect	119,439	(117,412)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (469,220)	\$ 227,917

# **NOTE 18 - CONSENT ORDER**

The Bank, through its Board of Directors, entered into the Consent Order with the Bank's primary federal regulator, the OCC, dated February 17, 2009. The Consent Order required the Board of Directors to submit a capital plan to the Assistant Deputy Controller that included specific plans to achieve and maintain tier 1 capital of at least 8.75% of adjusted total assets and total risk-based capital of at least 13.25% of risk-weighted assets by August 31, 2009. The Board of Directors submitted the capital plan to the OCC, which included the engagement of an advisory firm to seek out

capital investment from parties not currently affiliated with the Company or the Bank or attracting a merger partner. The capital plan also called for management to continue to manage the Bank's assets with the goal of protecting and growing capital and reducing the level of criticized assets.

In response to the Consent Order, the Bank initiated several short and intermediate term strategies to improve its capital position. During the first quarter of 2009, the Bank sold approximately \$28.4 million of debt and mortgage backed securities issued by FNMA or FHLMC, which have a 20% risk weighting, and purchased the same amount of mortgage backed securities issued by GNMA, which have a 0% risk weighting. In addition, the Bank retained the services of the investment banking firm of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated to explore the options of raising private equity capital, or merging with or being acquired by another financial institution or other interested investors. As of December 31, 2009, the Bank had not achieved the minimum capital ratios specified in the Consent Order. To have achieved both these levels at December 31, 2009, the Bank would have needed approximately \$12.3 million of additional capital.

The Consent Order provides that the OCC has the ability to take any action it deems appropriate in fulfilling its regulatory and supervisory responsibilities during the term of the Consent Order or upon the failure of the Bank to comply with the terms of the Consent Order. Among the actions that may be taken by the OCC is the placing of the Bank into receivership.

On February 19, 2010, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement entered into on November 15, 2009 with Excel Financial (see Note 2), the Company received \$15.0 million from Excel Bancorp in connection with Excel Bancorp's purchase of 15 million shares of common stock, and raised an additional \$2.5 million in connection with a private placement of 2.5 million shares of common stock. After the closing of these transactions, Ohio Legacy contributed approximately \$16.2 million to the capital of the Bank. If the additional capital had been invested in the Bank as of December 31, 2009, the Bank would have exceeded the minimum capital ratios required under the Consent Order. However, until the Consent Order is terminated, the Bank cannot be classified as well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions.



**Crowe Horwath LLP** Independent Member Crowe Horwath International

# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders Ohio Legacy Corp North Canton, Ohio

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ohio Legacy Corporation as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ohio Legacy Corporation at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company issued 15 million shares of common stock to Excel Bancorp LLC and 2.5 million shares of common stock to other investors in a private offering, both of which closed on February 19, 2010. Excel Bancorp LLC became the majority shareholder of the Company with a 76% ownership interest in the common stock of the Company. The proceeds received by the Company were principally used to increase the capital levels of its bank subsidiary, which was considered critically undercapitalized under Prompt Corrective Action provisions at December 31, 2009.

Crowe Howath LLP

Crowe Horwath LLP

# EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF OHIO LEGACY CORP AND OHIO LEGACY BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

Rick L. Hull, President and Chief Executive Officer Denise M. Penz, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer Jane Marsh, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

### DIRECTORS OF OHIO LEGACY CORP

Louis Altman Co-Managing Partner, A. Altman Company Canton, Ohio

Bruce A. Cassidy, Sr. Retired Business Executive Sarasota, Florida

J. Edward Diamond Private Investor Canton, Ohio

Denise M. Penz Executive Officer St. Clairsville, Ohio

Michael Steiner Retired Insurance Executive Wooster, Ohio

Michael Wurster CEO, BookMasters, Inc. Ashland, Ohio

# CORPORATE AND BANK LOCATIONS

Wooster Office 305 West Liberty Street Wooster Ohio 44691

Milltown Office 3562 Commerce Parkway Wooster, Ohio 44691

Operations Center 2375 Benden Drive Suite C Wooster, Ohio 44691 Robert F. Belden President, Belden Brick Company Canton, Ohio

Heather L. Davis Chief Financial Officer, Hammond Construction North Canton, Ohio

Rick L. Hull Executive Officer North Canton, Ohio

Wilbur R. Roat, Chairman Retired Bank Executive Kennett Square, Pennsylvania

Frank Wenthur Retired Business Executive North Canton, Ohio

<u>Canton Office</u> 4026 Dressler Road NW Canton, Ohio 44718

North Canton Corporate Office and Banking
Center
600 South Main Street
North Canton, Ohio 44720

# Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

# Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures.

# **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Management of Ohio Legacy Corp is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was performed under the supervision, and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009, were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (1) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and (2) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period required by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

# Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Ohio Legacy Corp is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation or assessment of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. The Company's internal control over financial reporting system is designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving its objectives.

Management of Ohio Legacy Corp, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, has assessed the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009, based on the specified criteria.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

# Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 9B. Other Information.

There are no matters to be reported under this item.

#### PART III

# Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure to be included under the captions "Board of Directors," "Executive Officers," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," "Information Regarding the Board of Directors, its Committees and Corporate Governance—Code of Business Conduct and Ethics" and "Information Regarding the Board of Directors, its Committees and Corporate Governance—Audit and Compliance Committee" in our definitive proxy statement relating to our 2010 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed pursuant to SEC Regulation 14A (our "2010 Proxy Statement").

# Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure to be included under the captions "Compensation of Executive Officers" and "Compensation of Directors" in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

# Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure to be included under the captions "Equity Compensation Plan Information" and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

# Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure to be included under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Information Regarding the Board of Directors, its Committees and Corporate Governance—Director Independence" in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure to be included under the caption "Audit and Compliance Committee Matters—Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Services and Fees" in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

# **PART IV**

## Item 15. Exhibits

# (a)(1) Financial Statements.

The following consolidated financial statements of Ohio Legacy Corp are contained in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

# (2) Financial Statement Schedules.

Financial statement schedules are omitted as they are not required or are not applicable, or the required information is included in the financial statements.

# (3) Exhibits.

Reference is hereby made to the Index to Exhibits beginning on page 61 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# (b) Exhibits.

Reference is hereby made to the Index to Exhibits beginning on page 61 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The documents listed in the Index to Exhibits are filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K as exhibits or incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

# (c) Financial Statement Schedules.

None.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

OHIO LEGACY CORP

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ Rick L. Hull
Rick L. Hull

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Rick L. Hull

Rick L. Hull, President and Chief Executive Officer and Director

(principal executive officer)

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ Jane Marsh

Jane Marsh, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

(principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)

Date: March 31, 2010

By: \_\_/s/ Wilbur R. Roat

Wilbur R. Roat, Chairman of the Board and Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: <u>/s/ Louis Altman</u>
Louis Altman, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ Robert F. Belden

Robert F. Belden, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ Bruce A. Cassidy, Sr.

Bruce A. Cassidy, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/Heather L. Davis

Heather L. Davis, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: <u>/s/ J. Edward Diamond</u>

J. Edward Diamond, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ Denise M. Penz

Denise M. Penz, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ Michael S. Steiner

Michael S. Steiner, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

By: <u>/s/ Frank Wenthur</u> Frank Wenthur, Director Date: March 31, 2010

By: /s/ David B. Wurster

David B. Wurster, Director

Date: March 31, 2010

# **INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

The following exhibits are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or are incorporated herein by reference as noted in the following table:

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2009, by and among Excel Financial, LLC, Ohio Legacy Corp and Ohio Legacy Bank, National Association (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 16, 2009 (File No. 0-31673))
3.1	Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Ohio Legacy Corp as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on August 5, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Quarterly Report on Form 10QSB for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2003 (File No. 0-31673))
3.2	Amendment to Article Fourth of the Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Ohio Legacy Corp as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on February 5, 2010 (filed herewith)
3.3	Code of Regulations of Ohio Legacy Corp (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Annual Report on Form 10KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 0-31673))
3.4	Amendment No. 1 to Code of Regulations of Ohio Legacy Corp (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Annual Report on Form 10KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 0-31673))
4.1	Form of Ohio Legacy Corp Organizer Stock Purchase Warrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on June 30, 2000 (File No. 333-38328))
10.1*	Ohio Legacy Corp Omnibus Stock Option, Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on June 30, 2000 (File No. 333-38328))
10.2*	2002 Amendment to Ohio Legacy Corp Omnibus Stock Option, Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on May 22, 2002 (File No. 333-88842))
10.3*	2004 Amendment to Ohio Legacy Corp Omnibus Stock Option, Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Annual Report on Form 10KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 0-31673))
10.4*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2005, by and among Ohio Legacy Corp, Ohio Legacy Bank, N.A., and Michael D. Kramer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 26, 2005 (File No. 0-31673))
10.5*	Change in Control Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2007, by and between Ohio Legacy Bank and Gregory A. Spradlin (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Ohio Legacy Corp's Annual Report on Form 10KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 (File No. 0-31673))
10.6*	Change in Control Agreement, dated as of February 4, 2009, by and between Ohio Legacy Bank and Vanessa Richards (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to

	Ohio Legacy Corp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 0-31673))
10.7*	Form of Stock Option and Warrant Cancellation and Surrender Agreement, effective as of February 19, 2010, by and between Ohio Legacy Corp and each of its directors and executive officers (filed herewith)
10.8*	Termination Agreement, effective as of February 19, 2010, by and between Ohio Legacy Corp D. Michael Kramer (filed herewith)
10.9*	Termination Agreement, effective as of February 19, 2010, by and between Ohio Legacy Corp and Vanessa Richards (filed herewith)
10.10*	Termination Agreement, effective as of February 19, 2010, by and between Ohio Legacy Corp and Gregory A. Spradlin (filed herewith)
21	Subsidiary of Ohio Legacy Corp
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer)
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Financial Officer)
32.1	Section 1350 Certification (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes management contract or compensatory arrangement.

# SUBSIDIARY OF OHIO LEGACY CORP

Ohio Legacy Bank, National Association, North Canton, Ohio, a federally-chartered national banking association.

# CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 333-88842 and 333-117191 of Ohio Legacy Corp on Forms S-8 related to the Ohio Legacy Corp Omnibus Stock Option, Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan, of our report dated March 31, 2010 appearing in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Ohio Legacy Corp for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crowe Howath LLP

Cleveland, Ohio March 31, 2010

#### CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER

- I, Rick L. Hull, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 of Ohio Legacy Corp (the "registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 31, 2010 /s/ Rick L. Hull Rick L. Hull President and Chief Executive Officer

#### **CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER**

I, Jane Marsh, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 of Ohio Legacy Corp (the "registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 31, 2010 /s/ Jane Marsh Jane Marsh Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

# CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

March 31, 2010

In connection with the Annual Report of Ohio Legacy Corp (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of and for the periods covered in the Report.

/s/ Rick L. Hull
Rick L. Hull
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Jane Marsh
Jane Marsh
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
and Treasurer