

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC.

# Gold Banc

2002 ANNUAL REPORT

ARS


0-28936



03057111

PE.

12-31-02



PROCESSED

APR 29 2003

THOMSON  
FINANCIAL

More Than Money®

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Gold Banc Corporation, Inc.

(In thousands, except per share data)	2002	2001 (1)	2000 (1)	1999	1998
<b>EARNINGS</b>					
Net interest income	\$ 103,907	\$ 89,078	\$ 93,460	\$ 88,185	\$ 78,800
Provision for loan losses	19,420	15,314	4,673	11,586	5,111
Non-interest income	63,542	44,982	28,837	29,449	17,731
Non-interest expense (2)	110,085	91,172	117,350	83,373	63,962
Income taxes	11,727	4,291	5,392	7,900	6,792
Net earnings (loss)	26,217	23,281	(5,118)	14,775	20,666
<b>FINANCIAL POSITION</b>					
Total assets	\$3,811,723	\$3,014,955	\$2,717,203	\$2,550,741	\$2,213,270
Loans receivable, net	2,696,912	2,136,308	1,919,988	1,793,810	1,503,717
Investment securities	736,085	588,778	525,981	455,162	432,634
Deposits	2,716,569	2,163,866	2,133,877	2,006,154	1,824,557
Stockholders' equity	227,774	164,540	169,211	167,048	163,637
<b>PER SHARE DATA</b>					
Net earnings (loss)					
per share—basic and diluted	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.56
Book value per share	5.77	4.85	4.51	4.48	4.48
<b>RATIOS</b>					
Return (loss) on average assets	0.77%	0.82%	(0.19)%	0.63%	1.03%
Return (loss) on average equity	14.25%	13.75%	(2.76)%	8.71%	13.35%
<b>CAPITAL RATIOS</b>					
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	8.61%	7.76%	8.89%	9.76%	11.16%
Total risk-based capital ratio	11.02%	11.35%	11.38%	12.20%	12.38%
Leverage ratio	6.96%	6.20%	7.13%	7.55%	8.36%

- (1) The information provided as of and for the periods ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 within the schedule above is derived from the restatement of our audited consolidated financial statements as included in the Form 10-K/A included herein and as discussed in "Item 1 Business — Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements" therein.
- (2) Includes losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds of \$136, \$1,099 and \$1,252 for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

### FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

*This report may contain comments or information that constitute forward-looking statements (within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995), which involve significant risks and uncertainties. These statements may be identified by such words as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "should," "planned," "estimated," and "potential." Actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements or materials. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to: general economic conditions; changes in interest rates; deposit flows; loan demand; real estate values; competition; changes in accounting principles, practices or guidelines; changes in legislation or regulation; and other economic, governmental, regulatory and technological factors affecting the Company's operations, pricing, products and services.*

To Our Shareholders:

This is a challenging, yet surprisingly upbeat, letter to write.

To be perfectly candid, I was not expecting to write this year's letter. And yet, I willingly accept responsibility for leading this organization and for reporting on our financial performance, our unexpected leadership transition, and our very bright future. In fact, I am proud to do so.

First, let me say that our bank is very strong, our financial performance has been rock-solid, and our rate of growth has been enviable within our industry. At year-end, our total assets increased from \$3 billion in 2001 to \$3.8 billion in 2002. Net earnings increased 12.6%, from \$23.3 million in 2001 to \$26.2 million in 2002. Net earnings per share increased 16.4%, from \$.67 cents in 2001 to \$.78 cents in 2002. Stockholder equity increased 38.1%, from \$164.5 million in 2001 to \$227 million in 2002.

Fueling this performance was the truly spectacular growth of our loan business, whose 26% growth in 2002 exceeded that of most regional banks. In addition, our wealth management business continued its impressive growth, ending the year with almost \$1 billion in assets under management and administration. Our fixed income brokerage business and our public finance underwriting business reached record revenue and profit levels in 2002. In short, our vision of providing unparalleled commercial banking, asset and wealth management services using a customer focused model is being realized.

Looking back, I'm particularly proud of our character and resiliency. In March, as you know, we announced the results of an internal investigation and publicly reported irregularities in the personal banking activities of Mike Gullion. From the moment these irregularities were discovered, we took prompt, aggressive action. We reported the irregularities to federal and state officials and we launched an exhaustive internal investigation. Mike Gullion was relieved of his duties and I was unanimously elected by the Board to lead the organization. Our swift and thorough investigation identified \$2.5 million in losses related to the irregularities, causing us to restate earnings slightly for 2001 and 2000 and prompting delays in our 10-K filing and our annual meeting. The delays also took a toll on our annual report, which, as you can see, is understandably modest this year. The good news is, despite all of these challenges, we had a great year in 2002.

As a natural result of the investigation, we expect the Federal Reserve to remove the "well managed" rating of Gold Bank-Kansas. We already have addressed, or are addressing, all of the specific areas of concern. We acted quickly to make the necessary management changes. We outsourced our internal auditing function to Deloitte & Touche. The IT/technology upgrades we began implementing several months ago should be completed by June 1. And, to ensure that we meet or exceed all requirements of the new Bank Secrecy Act—a challenge all banks are facing right now—we hired one of the industry's best security consultants, whose goal is to design and implement a program to further fortify our systems by September 1. We expect to regain our well-managed rating when these areas of concern are remediated.

In short, recent events have motivated us not only to strengthen our internal controls but set a new standard for corporate governance and ethics. In fact, the measures we have implemented so far—some two dozen in all—go far beyond what is required by law. But these steps are appropriate because we want to maintain the full confidence of our shareholders, customers, and employees moving forward.

When you go through challenging times, you find out who your friends are, and that certainly has been the case with Gold Banc. I'd like to offer a special thanks to those who stood by us. Our Boards—both legal and advisory—provided steady and thoughtful guidance as we worked through complex issues. Analysts and shareholders gave us the benefit of the doubt as we completed our investigation and took steps to strengthen internal controls. Our customers responded by sticking by our side and even increasing business in many cases. The communities from which we draw our strength remained our biggest allies. Our employees rallied by providing even better customer service. They reinforced my conviction that teams of great people working together are always more effective than the efforts of any single individual.

Looking ahead, we see nothing but opportunities for continued growth and for improved margins and profit. Our confidence is driven by several key factors. First, the time for a customer service focused business model has never been better. Increasing consolidation of banking giants is creating opportunities for banks that provide a sophisticated level of service with a friendly personal touch. In an increasingly impersonal world, customers want to do business with people who recognize their face and know their name. And we do.

Second, the fundamentals of our business continue to look strong. Since becoming President and CEO, I have been traveling almost nonstop, meeting with bankers and customers in Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Florida. These discussions have energized me and reinforced my optimism for the future. Our commercial loan business remains solid. Given the state of the economy, naturally we do not expect our loan growth in the short term to be at historical levels. But, based on our current pipeline, our existing customer relationships, and our reputation for being an aggressive lender to small and middle-sized businesses and high net worth individuals, we do expect growth to be at or above industry standards for banks our size. Our expectations for Florida, Oklahoma City and Kansas City are particularly strong.

Along with continued loan growth, we expect to see gradually increasing net margins and efficiencies as we complete internal infrastructure improvements aimed at allowing us to operate and service loans more efficiently. I firmly believe that growth with improved margins—not simply growth for growth's sake—should guide our decisions in the coming years.

On the wealth management side, we are equally optimistic. We have grown assets under management and administration from \$240 million to \$1 billion in four short years. Because the community banking model is based on personal relationships, we have been able to earn the trust of customers who want reassurance that someone they know is looking after their money. And, we've earned that trust because we have performed. We expect that growth, from both investments and administrative fees, to continue because we have a stellar team in place to make that happen.

I could not be prouder of our organization. We have performed very well, we have worked our way—together—through a difficult situation, and we have taken the actions necessary to ensure a bright future. Thank you again for your support as shareholders. Be assured that the Gold Banc you own is well positioned and worthy of your continued confidence. We were a great bank before we faced adversity in March, but we will be an even greater bank because of it.

Sincerely,



Mick Aslin  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K/A**  
AMENDMENT NO. 1

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 0-28936

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Kansas  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

48-1008593  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

11301 Nall Avenue Leawood, Kansas 66211  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(913) 451-8050

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

Securities registered pursuant to section 12 (b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to section 12 (g) of the Act:

Title of Each Class  
Common Stock, \$1.00 par value

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the 29,085,876 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 28, 2002 was \$318,781,201, computed based on the \$10.96 closing sale price of such common stock on that date. As of April 11, 2003, the registrant had 39,495,182 shares of its common stock outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

The definitive proxy statement relating to the registrant's 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated by reference in Part III to the extent described therein.

**EXPLANATORY NOTE:**

On March 31, 2003, the Company filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (the "Original Filing") with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Original Filing did not contain the following sections: "Item 6—Selected Financial Data", "Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", and "Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" due to the reasons stated in the Original Filing under "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Forward Looking Information." The Company hereby amends and restates the Original Filing to include these omitted items and to provide restated financial information for fiscal years 2001 and 2000 and make other minor changes.

INDEX

ITEM 1.	BUSINESS .....	1
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES .....	20
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	20
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS .....	23
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS. ....	23
ITEM 6.	SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA .....	25
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS .....	27
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK .	61
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA .....	63
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE. ....	109
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.....	109
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION. ....	109
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT .....	109
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS .....	109
ITEM 14.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES .....	111
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.....	115

## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### SUMMARY OF RECENT EVENTS AND RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

##### Recent Events

On March 14, 2003, the Company issued a press release and filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing that Malcolm M. Aslin would replace Michael W. Gullion as Chief Executive Officer of the Company and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Gold Bank-Kansas, effective March 17, 2003. Mr. Gullion was replaced due to improper conduct discovered during an internal investigation that was conducted in close cooperation with bank regulatory authorities as part of their regularly scheduled examination of Gold Bank-Kansas. On March 14, 2003, the Company also notified the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") of these discoveries, and pledged its full cooperation with any inquiry made by the SEC into these matters. The Company was subsequently notified by the SEC that it is conducting an informal investigation. In addition, Nasdaq has submitted an informal request for information with respect to these matters.

On March 31, 2003, the Company filed a Form 10-K ("Report") that omitted "Item 6—Selected Consolidated Financial Data," "Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and "Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Due to the recent events described herein, at that time the Company was not able to prepare complete financial statements and KPMG LLP, its independent auditors, could not issue an audit report on the Company's financial statements. On April 1, 2003, the Company filed a Form 12b-25 indicating that the Company intended to complete the financial statements and file an Amended Form 10-K ("Amended Report"), including the previously omitted items, within 15 days.

The Audit Committee of the Company led an internal investigation with assistance from its independent legal counsel, forensic accountants and the Company's internal audit department, which was outsourced in March 2003 to Deloitte & Touche. The scope of the Company's internal investigation was extensive and included transactions, accounts, assets, loans and other matters involving Mr. Gullion, his family members or related entities that were identified as possibly suspicious during the investigation or otherwise by Gold Bank-Kansas personnel or regulatory officials. The internal investigation is complete. A report on the results of the internal investigation was issued on March 31, 2003 and was supplemented on April 9, 2003. The internal investigation generally covered the period commencing January 1, 1998 through April 12, 2003. However, the underlying documentation for some transactions in 1998 and early 1999 was incomplete.

A regularly scheduled examination of the Company was conducted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (the "FRB-KC") during the first and second quarters of 2003. Also, a regularly scheduled examination of Gold Bank-Kansas was conducted jointly by the FRB-KC and the Office of the Kansas State Bank Commissioner (the "Commissioner") during the first and second quarters of 2003. A more detailed discussion of the probable results of such examinations and the consequences to the Company is included in "Item 3—Legal Proceedings" below.

The information contained in this Amended Report regarding the results of the Company's internal investigation and the probable results of the regulatory examinations are based on the Company's knowledge as of the date hereof. The Company does not currently expect that any significant additional losses to Gold Bank-Kansas or its other subsidiaries arising out of Mr. Gullion's misconduct beyond those described in this Amended Report will be uncovered. We cannot give absolute assurance that this will be the case.

As described more fully in "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below, Gold Bank-Kansas suffered a loss of approximately \$2.5 million in connection with the matters that were investigated. These losses resulted in part from the diversion by Mr. Gullion for his personal benefit of approximately \$1.9 million in bank funds in a real estate transaction. As a result of these discoveries, the Company recorded an additional pre-tax expense of \$1.0 million for 2000 and \$900,000 for 2001 and restated its previously reported results of operation for such years. The recording of these additional expenses has increased the Company's previously reported loss per share for 2000 from \$(0.12) to \$(0.14), and has reduced the Company's previously reported earnings per share for 2001 from \$0.69 to \$0.67. As a result of these entries and the classification of the related real estate as a nonperforming asset, the Company's total nonperforming assets as of December 31, 2002 increased to \$22.2 million from the \$18.2 million previously announced by the Company in its earnings release dated January 22, 2003. The remaining approximately \$600,000 of the loss represents improper credits to Mr. Gullion's personal bank account or personal expenses that were improperly paid or reimbursed by Gold Bank-Kansas. Because these amounts have been previously expensed, these losses do not adversely affect the Company's previously reported results of operations. These expenses, however, have been reclassified by the Company as "Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds."

Gold Bank-Kansas maintains various types of insurance policies, including fidelity bonds, that may cover most or all of the losses, subject to applicable deductibles. The Company is examining whether to submit an insurance claim based on a determination as to whether the risk of increased premiums or difficulty in obtaining insurance coverage in the future would more than offset that benefit.

Mr. Gullion has offered, through his attorney, to make restitution of all amounts appropriately due us and to secure that obligation with his assets in an appropriate amount. Most of Mr. Gullion's assets consist of the Company's common stock. The Company believes Mr. Gullion owns approximately 735,000 shares (excluding options), of which approximately 640,000 shares are pledged to secure a personal loan from LaSalle Bank. The Board of Directors does not believe that it is in the Company's best interest, or the best interest of the Company's shareholders, for Mr. Gullion to attempt to sell a large block of his stock in the open market to pay his obligations to us. The Company is therefore negotiating, through Mr. Gullion's attorney, to repurchase his shares in partial satisfaction of his reimbursement obligation, which will require reaching agreement on an appropriate price for those shares. A portion of the net proceeds of this sale of common stock to us will be used to pay off his obligations to LaSalle Bank that are secured by his common stock, with the remainder applied toward the balance of his restitution obligations to us.

Because Gold Bank-Kansas has already recognized the losses resulting from Mr. Gullion's actions, if in the future Mr. Gullion makes restitution or Gold Bank-Kansas receives insurance proceeds, Gold Bank-Kansas will record those payments as income in the period received.

In connection with its internal investigation, the Company sought to examine the ways in which its internal controls were circumvented, how those internal controls could be strengthened, and yet remain workable and cost-effective, and generally how to improve the control environment in order to take all actions reasonably practicable to prevent such conduct from occurring in the future. The Company believes that strengthened internal controls are warranted in order to make circumvention more difficult and to improve the internal control environment by, among other things, becoming a model of corporate governance and a standard bearer in honest and ethical conduct and legal compliance. Our Board of Directors, Audit Committee and senior management are committed to these goals and therefore have taken numerous actions to achieve those objectives, which are described under "Item 14—Controls and Procedures" below.

As part of the internal investigation and the examination by bank regulatory authorities, a careful review was made of loans made by Gold Bank-Kansas, Gold Bank-Oklahoma or Gold Bank-Florida in which Mr. Gullion had a role in initiating or approving the loans. Based on an analysis of the



creditworthiness of the borrowers on those loans and the value of any collateral securing those loans, bank regulatory authorities indicated that Gold Bank-Kansas should increase its allowance for loan losses by \$2.0 million as of December 31, 2002. Accordingly, the Company increased its allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2002, from \$31.4 million (as previously announced by the Company in its earnings release, dated January 22, 2003) to \$33.4 million (which total allowance represents 1.23% of total outstanding loans).

#### **Restatement of Financial Statements**

The Company has restated its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001. This Amended Report includes all of the adjustments relating to the restatement for such prior periods including those required by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99 ("SAB 99"). The Company will also file amended Form 10-Qs with respect to the first three quarters of 2002 to reflect the restatement of the financial information for such periods. Based on discussions with the staff of the SEC, the Company does not plan to file amended Form 10-Ks or Form 10-Qs for 2000 and 2001. For financial information regarding such prior periods, investors should refer to "Item 6—Selected Consolidated Financial Data" of this Amended Report, the restatement of our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained in "Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Amended Report, including Note 2 "Restatement and Impact on Earnings" and Note 23 "Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited", and the amended and restated quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for 2002 that we will file with the SEC in the near future.

## THE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

### Gold Banc Corporation, Inc.

Our Company, Gold Banc Corporation, Inc., a Kansas corporation, is a registered bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act and a financial holding company under the Graham-Leach-Bliley Act. We are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board (the "FRB").

We are engaged in a full range of financial activities. "Financial activities" include not only banking, insurance and securities activities, but also merchant banking, investment advisory and additional activities that the FRB determines to be financial in nature or complementary to such activities. As a financial holding company, we are eligible to engage in a broad range of financial activities.

We own all of the outstanding stock of three commercial banks with 60 offices in 42 communities in Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma and Florida. Our Banks are Gold Bank-Kansas, Gold Bank-Oklahoma and Gold Bank-Florida.

In addition to our Banks, we also own seven non-bank financial services subsidiaries. Our financial services subsidiaries provide securities brokerage, investment management, trust, insurance agency, investment advisory, title insurance services, and information technology services. Since December 1978, we have grown internally and through acquisitions from a one-bank holding company with \$2.9 million in total assets to a financial services holding company offering diversified financial services with total assets as of December 31, 2002 of \$3.8 billion. Our principal executive offices are located at 11301 Nall Avenue, Leawood, Kansas 66211, and our telephone number is (913) 451-8050.

#### During 2002:

- We sold four Gold Bank-Kansas branches located in Colby, Oberlin, Norcatur, and Alma, Kansas.
- Gold Bank-Kansas opened two de novo branches in the Kansas City metropolitan area.
- Gold Bank-Kansas also purchased from Encore Bank four branches located in the Kansas City metropolitan area.
- Gold Bank-Florida opened branch offices in Sarasota and Tampa, Florida.
- We completed a public offering of 5,750,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$8.75 before commissions and discounts, which provided us with additional equity of approximately \$47.1 million.
- We acquired the trust business of George K. Baum Trust Company via a merger with Gold Trust Company.
- We changed the name of our Florida bank from American Bank to Gold Bank.

#### Our Subsidiary Banks

**Gold Bank-Kansas.** Gold Bank-Kansas is a Kansas state bank that has 25 banking offices located throughout the state of Kansas as well as five Missouri locations in greater Kansas City. Gold Bank-Kansas is a full service bank that conducts a general banking and trust business, offering its customers checking and savings accounts, debit cards, certificates of deposit, trust services, brokerage services, safe deposit boxes and a wide range of lending services, including: credit card accounts, commercial and industrial loans, single payment personal loans, installment loans and commercial and residential real estate loans. This Bank's loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial, real estate and agricultural loans. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Bank-Kansas had total assets of approximately \$2.1 billion.

**Gold Bank-Oklahoma.** Gold Bank-Oklahoma is an Oklahoma state bank that has 18 banking offices located in western and central Oklahoma and one western Kansas location. Its headquarters are located in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Gold Bank-Oklahoma is a full service bank that conducts a general banking and trust business, offering its customers checking and savings accounts, debit cards, certificates of deposit, trust services, brokerage services, safe deposit boxes and a wide range of lending services, including credit card accounts, commercial and industrial loans, single payment personal loans, installment loans and commercial and residential real estate loans. Gold Bank-Oklahoma's loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial, real estate and agricultural loans. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Bank-Oklahoma had total assets of approximately \$1.0 billion.

**Gold Bank-Florida.** Gold Bank-Florida is a Florida state bank that has eight banking offices located in Sarasota and Manatee counties, one office in Tampa, and two in other surrounding communities. Gold Bank-Florida is a full service bank that conducts a general banking business, offering its customers checking and savings accounts, debit cards, certificates of deposit, brokerage services, safe deposit boxes and a wide range of lending services including: credit card accounts, commercial and industrial loans, single payment personal loans, installment loans and commercial and residential real estate loans. Gold Bank-Florida's loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial, real estate, personal and agricultural loans. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Bank-Florida had total assets of approximately \$665.2 million.

#### **Our Financial Services Subsidiaries**

**Gold Financial Services, Inc.** Gold Financial Services is our wholly-owned subsidiary that serves as the division for our financial holding company activities of insurance, trust, brokerage, investment advisory services, merchant banking, and title insurance services.

**Gold Capital Management, Inc.** Gold Capital Management is a securities broker dealer and investment advisor that is registered with the National Association of Securities Dealers. Gold Capital Management's customers consist mostly of financial institutions located throughout the Midwest. Gold Capital Management manages a wide variety of stock, bond and money market portfolios for clients that currently include a significant number of commercial banks located primarily in Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Nebraska and Iowa. Gold Capital Management also provides its services to trusts, pension plans, insurance companies, commercial businesses, government entities, foundations and high net worth individuals. Gold Capital Management is headquartered in Overland Park, Kansas, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gold Financial Services.

**Gold Trust Company.** Gold Trust Company is a Missouri non-depository trust company that is headquartered in St. Joseph, Missouri. Gold Trust Company also provides trust services at each Gold Bank-Kansas affiliate location. On October 31, 2002, the Company acquired the trust company business of George K. Baum Trust Company through a merger involving Gold Trust Company and George K. Baum Trust Company. The surviving entity operates under the name Gold Trust Company. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Trust Company had approximately \$492 million in discretionary trust assets under management and approximately \$385 million in non-discretionary trust assets under administration. Gold Trust Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gold Financial Services.

**Gold Insurance Agency, Inc.** Gold Insurance Agency is a Kansas insurance agency which sells a full line of insurance products, including life insurance, annuities, disability insurance and credit life insurance. During 2001, we sold several of Gold Insurance Agency's agency locations and substantially reduced the scope of its operations.

**Gold Merchant Banc, Inc.** Gold Merchant Banc was established at the end of December 2000 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gold Financial Services. Gold Merchant Banc engages in merchant banking activities.

*Gold Investment Advisors, Inc.* We formed Gold Investment Advisors as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gold Financial Services in December 2000 to perform investment advisory services.

*GBS Holding Company, LLC.* GBS Holding was formed in September 2001 as a joint venture with Stewart Title Agency. GBS Holding is 75% owned by Gold Financial Services and 25% owned by Stewart Title Agency. GBS Holding owns 100% of its subsidiary, Gold Title Agency, LLC, which acts as a title insurance agent.

*Gold Reinsurance Company, Ltd.* Gold Reinsurance Company, Ltd. was formed in November 2001 and is owned by Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. This company provides reinsurance services for credit life insurance sold through the bank affiliates.

*CompuNet Engineering, Inc.* CompuNet Engineering provides information technology, e-commerce services and networking solutions for banks and other businesses, including the design and implementation and administration of local and wide area networks. CompuNet Engineering is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and is headquartered in Overland Park, Kansas.

#### Mergers, Acquisitions, Dispositions and Consolidations During 2002 and Early 2003

Pursuant to the Company's strategy to increase its presence in higher growth metropolitan areas, the Company has sold some of its rural branches and redeployed such assets to acquire deposits in metropolitan areas.

*Sales of Rural Branches.* On May 3, 2002, Gold Bank-Kansas sold four branches located in rural Kansas. Bank branches in Oberlin, Colby and Norcatour, with deposits of \$24.7 million, \$11.2 million, and \$8.6 million, respectively, were sold to one purchaser. The branch in Alma, with deposits of \$22.2 million, was sold to another purchaser. The Company recorded a gain in the second quarter of 2002 of \$2.4 million in connection with the sales of the four branches. The Company believes that the sale of these branches did not have a significant impact on the capital and liquidity or the operations of the Bank.

*Purchase of Encore Branches.* On September 30, 2002, Gold Bank-Kansas purchased from Encore Bank, Houston, Texas, Encore's deposit base of approximately \$149 million and physical assets at four locations in Johnson County, Kansas. In connection with the acquisition, the Company recorded an intangible asset consisting of a core deposit premium of \$3.4 million. Such amount has been recorded as other intangible assets and is being amortized over ten years on a straight-line basis.

*Sale of Wakita & Helena Branches.* On March 4, 2003, Gold Bank-Oklahoma entered into an agreement for the sale of its Helena and Wakita, Oklahoma branch locations to Farmers Exchange Bank of Cherokee, Oklahoma. The aggregate deposits and loans of these Gold Bank-Oklahoma branches are approximately \$17 million and \$4 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2002. The sale of these branches is expected to close in the second quarter of 2003 upon receipt of regulatory approvals. The Company believes the sale of these branches will not have a significant impact on the capital and liquidity or the operations of the Bank.

*Sale of Guymon Branch.* On December 24, 2002, Gold Bank-Oklahoma entered into an agreement for the sale of its Guymon, Oklahoma branch location to City National Bank and Trust Company of Guymon, Oklahoma. The deposits and loans of this branch are approximately \$37 million and \$8 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2002. The sale of this branch closed on April 11, 2003, following receipt of regulatory approvals. The Company believes the sale of this branch will not have a significant impact on the capital and liquidity or the operations of the Bank.

The Company also expanded its wealth management business.

*Purchase of George K. Baum Trust Company.* On October 31, 2002, the Company acquired, for a purchase price of \$1.8 million, the trust company business of George K. Baum Trust Company through a merger of Gold Trust Company and George K. Baum Trust Company. The surviving entity operates under the name Gold Trust Company. Prior to the merger, total assets under management for George K. Baum Trust Company were approximately \$350 million. With this merger, Gold Trust Company has more than \$877 million in assets under management and administration as of December 31, 2002.

## BUSINESS

### Community Banking Style

We serve the needs and cater to the economic strengths of the metropolitan and county seat centers where our Banks are located. Through our Banks and their employees, we strive to provide a high level of personal and professional customer service focusing on commercial banking and assets and wealth management in a community bank setting. Employee participation in community affairs is encouraged in order to build long-term banking relationships with established businesses and individual customers in these market areas.

We have applied our community banking style to the affluent communities in the rapidly developing Johnson County suburbs southwest of Kansas City, the growing Tulsa and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma market areas and in the high growth market areas of Tampa Bay/Sarasota/Bradenton, Florida markets. We believe the recent wave of regional bank acquisitions of local banks in those communities and metropolitan areas, and the subsequent conversion of some of those acquired banks to branch locations, have alienated the customers of those locations. This has created an opportunity for us to attract and retain as loan customers those owner-operated businesses that require flexibility and responsiveness in lending decisions and desire a more personal banking relationship. We believe that we have been able to meet these customers' expectations without compromising credit standards. The success of this strategy is reflected in our growth in the suburban communities of Leawood, Shawnee, Olathe and Overland Park, Kansas, the urban communities of Kansas City and Independence, Missouri and in markets such as Tulsa and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and Tampa Bay/Sarasota/Bradenton, Florida.

### Operating Strategy

Our operating strategy is focused on commercial banking and wealth management. This operating strategy is to provide a focused range of financial products and services to small and medium sized businesses and consumers in each of our markets. We emphasize personal relationships with customers, involvement in local community activities and responsive lending decisions. We strive to maintain responsive community banking offices with local decision makers, allowing senior management at each banking location, within certain limitations, to make their own credit and pricing decisions allowing us to retain a local identity at each Bank.

Our goals include long-term customer relationships, a high quality of service and responsiveness to specific customer needs. The principal elements of our operating strategy are:

- *Emphasize Personalized Customer Service and Community Involvement.* We believe that in most of our market areas customer loyalty and service are the most important competitive factors. Our primary goal is to provide exceptional customer service, turning the Gold Banc relationship into "More Than Money". Our Banks' management and other employees participate actively in a wide variety of community activities and organizations in order to develop and maintain customer relationships. Our Banks seek to retain and recruit the best available banking talent to deliver the quality of personal banking services required to meet customer expectations and to permit us to meet our goals for long term profitable growth.

- *Capitalize on Changing Market Conditions.* Our management continually monitors economic developments in our market areas in order to tailor our operations to the evolving strengths and needs of the local communities. For example, Gold Bank-Kansas has opened service locations in the high-growth sections of the Kansas City area to fill the void of community banks that has been created by the recent consolidation of regional banking institutions. Gold Bank-Oklahoma also has opened a facility in the high-growth area of northwestern Oklahoma City. Florida's market area is a strong market for commercial banking and wealth management services. To further serve this area, we opened new branches in Tampa and Sarasota.
- *Centralize and Streamline Operations to Achieve Economies.* In order to minimize duplication of functions, we have centralized certain management and administrative functions, including data processing, human resources, internal audit, loan and regulatory administration. This includes the ongoing centralization of operations at the services center in Leawood, Kansas. In 2003, we plan to complete a company-wide conversion to common platforms for loans and deposits. Such centralization is designed to reduce operating expenses, enhance standardization and controls and enable our Bank personnel to become even more focused on customer service and community involvement.

#### Acquisition/Growth Strategy

*Transactions.* Our management believes that we are well positioned to acquire and profitably operate community banks because of, among other things, our proven experience in operating community banks and our ability to provide centralized management assistance to those banks. We do not expect to make any acquisitions, however, until we add additional management and issues related to our status as a financial holding company are resolved. See "Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries."

*Internal Growth.* The wave of regional bank acquisitions of community banks in the Midwest has created what our management perceives to be a void in the community banking market. It is management's belief that it has been the practice of regional banking institutions to convert the banks they acquire into branches of the acquiring institution without the retention of local decision making. Management believes this practice detracts from the delivery of quality personalized services to the existing customer base of those branches. Management believes our branching activities are distinguished from those of other regional banking institutions by the high degree of autonomy given each branch location.

Our expansion activity has allowed us to diversify our loan portfolio. Furthermore, due to heavy residential and small business development, the loan demand in the suburban Johnson County, Kansas communities, as well as Tampa Bay/Bradenton/Sarasota, Florida, is greater than that experienced in our rural market areas.

#### Lending Activities

*General.* In each market area we serve, we strive to provide a full range of financial products and services to small and medium-sized businesses and to consumers. We target owner-operated businesses. Our Banks participate in credits originated within the organization but generally do not participate in loans from non-affiliated lenders. Each of our Banks has an established loan committee which has authority to approve credits within established guidelines. Concentrations in excess of those guidelines must be approved by an executive loan committee comprised of our new Chief Executive Officer and one of our Vice Presidents, and the local Bank's president and senior lending officer. When lending to an entity, we generally obtain a guaranty from the principals of such entity. The loan mix within the individual Banks is subject to the discretion of the Bank's board of directors and the demands of the local marketplace.

Residential loans are priced consistently with the secondary market, and commercial and consumer loans generally are issued at or above the prime rate. We have no potential negative amortization residential loans. The following is a brief description of each major category of our lending activity along with the relative risk associated with each category:

*Real Estate Lending.* Commercial, residential and agricultural real estate loans represent the largest class of our loans. As of December 31, 2002, real estate and real estate construction loans totaled \$1.3 billion and \$357.4 million, respectively, or 47.64% and 13.08% of gross loans, respectively. Our large portfolio of real estate loans carries with it credit risk, which, although managed through proper credit administration and underwriting, must be accompanied by adequate allowances for loan losses. Generally, residential loans are written on a variable rate basis with adjustment periods of five years or less and amortized over either 15 or 30 years. We retain in our portfolio some adjustable rate mortgages having an adjustment period of five years or less. Agricultural and commercial real estate loans are amortized over 15 or 20 years. We also generate long term fixed rate residential real estate loans which we sell in the secondary market. We take a security interest in the real estate. Commercial real estate, construction and agricultural real estate loans are generally limited, by policy, to 80% of the appraised value of the property. Commercial real estate and agricultural real estate loans also are supported by an analysis demonstrating the borrower's ability to repay. Residential loans that exceed 80% of the appraised value of the real estate generally are required, by policy, to be supported by private mortgage insurance; although, on occasion, we will retain non-conforming residential loans to known customers at premium pricing.

*Commercial Lending.* Loans in this category principally include loans to service, retail, wholesale and light manufacturing businesses including agricultural service businesses. Commercial loans are made based on the financial strength and repayment ability of the borrower, as well as the collateral securing the loans. As of December 31, 2002, commercial loans represented our second largest class of loans at \$817.2 million, or 29.92% of gross loans. Commercial loans can contain risk factors unique to the business of each customer. In order to mitigate these risks, we target owner-operated businesses as our customers and make lending decisions based upon a cash flow analysis of the borrower as well as the accounts receivable, inventory and equipment of the borrower. Accounts receivable loans and loans for inventory purchases generally have a one-year renewable term and those for equipment generally have a term of seven years or less. We generally take a blanket security interest in all assets of the borrower. Equipment loans are generally limited to 75% of the cost or appraised value of the equipment. Inventory loans generally are limited to 50% of the value of the inventory, and accounts receivable loans generally are limited to 75% of a predetermined eligible base.

*Consumer and Other Lending.* Loans classified as consumer and other loans include automobile, credit card, boat, home improvement and home equity loans, the latter two secured principally through second mortgages. We generally take a purchase money security interest in goods for which we provide the original financing. The terms of the loans range from one to five years, depending upon the use of the proceeds, and range from 75% to 90% of the value of the collateral. The majority of these loans are installment loans with fixed interest rates. As of December 31, 2002, consumer and other loans amounted to \$70.4 million, or 2.58% of gross loans. We implemented a credit card program in late 1994 and targeted our Banks' existing customer base as potential consumers. As of December 31, 2002, we had issued 9,973 cards having an aggregate outstanding balance of \$6.2 million. We have not marketed credit cards other than to existing customers.

*Agricultural Lending.* We provide short-term credit for operating loans and intermediate-term loans for farm product, livestock and machinery purchases and other agricultural improvements. Agricultural loans were \$160.0 million as of December 31, 2002, or 5.86% of total loans. Farm product loans have generally a one-year term, and machinery and equipment and breeding livestock loans generally have five to seven year terms. Extension of credit is based upon the ability to repay as well as

the existence of federal guarantees and crop insurance coverage. Farm Credit Services guarantees are pursued wherever possible. Gold Bank holds a "Preferred Lender Status" from Farm Credit Services, a guarantee program similar to the Small Business Administration, that minimizes the credit exposure of our Banks through partial transfer of the credit risk to the federal government. Preferred Lender Status expedites the processing of loan applications. These loans are generally secured by a blanket lien on livestock, equipment, feed, hay, grain and growing crops. Equipment and breeding livestock loans generally are limited to 75% of the appraised value of the collateral.

#### Loan Origination and Processing

Loan originations are derived from a number of sources. Residential loan originations result from real estate broker referrals, mortgage loan brokers, direct solicitation by our Banks' loan officers, present savers and borrowers, builders, attorneys, walk-in customers, and in some instances, other lenders. Residential loan applications, whether originated through our Banks or through mortgage brokers, are underwritten and closed based on the same standards, which generally meet Fannie Mae underwriting guidelines. Consumer and commercial real estate loan originations emanate from many of the same sources. From time to time, loans may be participated among our Banks.

The loan underwriting procedures followed by our Banks are designed to assess both the borrower's ability to make principal and interest payments and the value of any assets or property serving as collateral for the loan. Generally, as part of the process, a loan officer meets with each applicant to obtain the appropriate employment and financial information as well as any other required loan information. Our Bank then obtains reports with respect to the borrower's credit record and orders and reviews an appraisal of any collateral for the loan (prepared for our Bank through an independent appraiser). The loan information supplied by the borrower is independently verified.

Loan applicants are notified promptly of the decision of our Bank by telephone and a letter. If the loan is approved, the commitment letter specifies the terms and conditions of the proposed loan including the amount of the loan, interest rate, amortization term, a brief description of the required collateral, and required insurance coverage. Prior to closing any long-term loan, the borrower must provide proof of fire and casualty insurance on the property serving as collateral, and such insurance must be maintained during the full term of the loan. Title insurance is required on loans collateralized by real property. Interest rates on committed loans are normally locked in at the time of application or for a 30 to 45 day period.

#### Mortgage Banking Operations

We are engaged through our Banks in the production of residential mortgages. Our Banks originate residential mortgage loans in their respective market areas, which are generally sold servicing-released. Income is generated from origination fees and the gain on sale of loans.

Residential loan business is generated primarily through networking with, and referrals from, real estate brokers, builders, developers and prior customers.

#### Brokerage Services

We provide securities brokerage and investment management services through Gold Capital Management, a wholly-owned subsidiary, which operates as a broker dealer in securities. Gold Capital Management is registered with the SEC as a broker dealer and investment advisor and is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers.



### Trust Services

We provide trust and investment advisory services, primarily to individuals, corporations and employee benefit plans, through Gold Trust Company, a Missouri chartered non-depository trust company and wholly-owned non-bank subsidiary.

### Merchant Banking

We engage in merchant banking activities, as authorized for financial holding companies, through Gold Merchant Banc, a wholly-owned non-bank subsidiary. If we lose our status as a "financial holding company," we would have to terminate these activities or divest the entity or assets used in conducting them. See "Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries" and "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below.

### Technology and E-Commerce Services

Through CompuNet Engineering, we provide technology and e-commerce services at the Gold Bank Services Center. The Services Center provides consolidated core and back office processing, including:

- the electronic storage of checks and statements and the preparation of imaged statements for Gold Bank-Kansas
- on-line banking support
- a call center currently serving the Kansas City metropolitan area
- internet access and electronic mail, as well as the gateway for all intra-company electronic communications
- 24 hour, seven-days-a-week telephone banking

CompuNet Engineering also designs and implements scaleable local and wide area networking solutions utilizing the products of Microsoft, Novell, Cisco and Citrix, among others. CompuNet Engineering provides these services, including network and PC service support on a 24 hour per day, seven-days-per-week basis, for us as well as other bank and non-bank clients. Additional services provided by CompuNet Engineering include the design and implementation of internet protocol solutions and consulting design and implementation of wireless networking solutions. In 2001, CompuNet Engineering acquired Information Products, Inc. which provided technology services, including LAN, WAN, product support, telecommunication line monitoring, hardware maintenance, and systems design and installation across all industry sectors. For information regarding the future disposition of CompuNet Engineering, see "Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries" and "Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capital and Liquidity" below.

### Insurance Services

We provided insurance agency services through Gold Insurance Agency, a wholly-owned non-bank subsidiary. During 2001, we sold most of our agency locations and substantially reduced the activities of Gold Insurance Agency to offering life insurance and annuity products to the Banks' clients.

### Title Insurance Services

In September 2001, we entered into a joint venture agreement with Stewart Title Agency to form GBS Holding Company, LLC. Through its subsidiary, Gold Title Agency, LLC, GBS Holding operates a title insurance agency business and generates fee income for the owners of the joint venture. If we

lose our status as a "financial holding company," we would have to terminate our title insurance activities or divest Gold Title Agency LLC or its assets. See "Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries" and "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below. We are currently exploring the sale of our interest in GBS Holding Company, LLC to Stewart Title Agency.

#### **Investment Portfolio**

Our Banks' investment portfolios are used to meet our Banks' liquidity needs while endeavoring to maximize investment income. Additionally, management augments the quality of the loan portfolio by maintaining a high quality investment portfolio. The portfolio is comprised of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency instruments and a modest amount of obligations of state and political subdivisions. In managing our interest rate exposure, we also invest in mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. Investment securities were \$736.1 million, or 19.3% of total assets, on December 31, 2002. Federal funds sold and certificates of deposit are not classified as investment securities.

#### **Deposits and Borrowings**

Deposits are the major source of our Banks' funds for lending and other investment purposes. In addition to deposits, including local public fund deposits and demand deposits of commercial customers, our Banks derive funds from loan principal repayments, maturing investments, Federal Funds borrowings from commercial banks, borrowings from the FRB-KC and the Federal Home Loan Bank, and from repurchase agreements. Loan repayments and maturing investments are a relatively stable source of funds while deposit inflows are significantly influenced by general interest rates and money market conditions. Borrowings may be used on a short-term basis to compensate for reductions in the availability of funds from other sources. They also may be used on a long-term basis for funding specific loan transactions and for general business purposes.

We may be in default under our credit line with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines ("FHLB—Des Moines"). We plan to approach FHLB—Des Moines to obtain a waiver of any defaults. A more detailed discussion of this matter is included in "Item 7—Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capital and Liquidity—FHLB—Des Moines Credit Line."

Our Banks offer a variety of accounts for depositors designed to attract both short-term and long-term deposits. These accounts include certificates of deposit, savings accounts, money market accounts, checking and individual retirement accounts. Deposit accounts generally earn interest at rates established by management based on competitive market factors and management's desire to increase or decrease certain types or maturities of deposits.

#### **Competition**

The deregulation of the banking industry, the widespread enactment of state laws permitting multi-bank holding companies, and the availability of nationwide interstate banking has created a highly competitive environment for financial service providers. This is particularly true for institutions in suburban areas such as Gold Bank-Kansas' Shawnee, Leawood, Olathe and Overland Park, Kansas locations, and its Kansas City and Independence, Missouri locations, Gold Bank-Oklahoma's Tulsa and Oklahoma City locations and Gold Bank-Florida's Tampa Bay/Bradenton/Sarasota locations. These locations compete for deposits and loans with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage and investment banking companies and other financial intermediaries. Some of these competitors have substantially greater resources and lending limits and may offer certain services that we do not currently provide at

these locations. In addition, some of the non-bank competitors are not subject to the same extensive federal regulations that govern our Banks.

Our management believes that our Banks generally have been able to compete successfully in their respective communities because of our emphasis on local control and the autonomy of Bank management, allowing our Banks to meet what is perceived to be the preference of community residents and businesses to deal with "local" banks. While management believes our Banks will continue to compete successfully in their communities, increased competition could adversely affect our Banks' earnings.

### **Employees**

We maintain a corporate staff of approximately 36 persons. At December 31, 2002, our Banks and non-bank subsidiaries had approximately 956 full time equivalent employees. None of our employees nor any of the employees of our Banks or non-bank subsidiaries are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We, along with our Banks and our non-bank subsidiaries, believe that our employee relations are satisfactory.

### **Where To Find Additional Information**

Additional information about the Company can be found on our website at [www.goldbanc.com](http://www.goldbanc.com). We also provide on our website the Company's filings with the SEC, including our annual reports, quarterly reports, and current reports along with any amendments thereto, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company has electronically filed such material with the SEC.

## **SUPERVISION AND REGULATION**

### **Regulations Applicable to Bank Holding Companies and Financial Holding Companies**

**General.** As a registered bank holding company and a financial holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act (the "BHC Act") and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (the "GLB Act"), the Company is subject to the supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve Board (the "FRB"). The FRB has authority to issue cease and desist orders against bank holding companies if it determines that their actions represent unsafe and unsound practices or violations of law. In addition, the FRB is empowered to impose civil money penalties for violations of banking statutes and regulations. Regulation by the FRB is intended to protect depositors of the Banks, not the shareholders of the Company.

**Limitation on Acquisitions.** The BHC Act requires a bank holding company to obtain prior approval of the FRB before:

- taking any action that causes a bank to become a controlled subsidiary of the bank holding company;
- acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of voting shares of any bank or bank holding company, if the acquisition results in the acquiring bank holding company having control of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of such bank or bank holding company, and such bank or bank holding company is not majority-owned by the acquiring bank holding company prior to the acquisition;
- acquiring substantially all of the assets of a bank; or
- merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

*Limitation on Activities.* The activities of bank holding companies are generally limited to the business of banking, managing or controlling banks, and other activities that the FRB has determined to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. In addition, under the GLB Act, a bank holding company, all of whose controlled depository institutions are "well-capitalized" and "well-managed" (as defined in federal banking regulations) and which obtains "satisfactory" Community Reinvestment Act ratings, may declare itself to be a "financial holding company" and engage in a broader range of activities.

A financial holding company may affiliate with securities firms and insurance companies and engage in other activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature. "Financial in nature" activities include:

- securities underwriting, dealing and market making;
- sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies;
- insurance underwriting and insurance agency activities;
- merchant banking; and
- activities that the FRB determines to be financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity, or which is complementary to a financial activity and does not pose a safety and soundness risk.

A financial holding company that desires to engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity but not previously authorized by the FRB must obtain approval from the FRB before engaging in such activity. Also, a financial holding company may seek FRB approval to engage in an activity that is complementary to a financial activity, if it shows that the activity does not pose a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of insured depository institutions or the financial system.

A financial holding company may acquire a company (other than a bank holding company, bank or savings association) engaged in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to activities that are financial in nature without prior approval from the FRB. Prior FRB approval is required, however, before the financial holding company may acquire control of more than 5% of the voting shares or substantially all of the assets of a bank holding company, bank or savings association. In addition, under the FRB's merchant banking regulations, a financial holding company is authorized to invest in companies that engage in activities that are not financial in nature, as long as the financial holding company makes its investment with the intention of limiting the duration of the investment, does not manage the company on a day-to-day basis, and the company does not cross market its products or services with any of the financial holding company's controlled depository institutions.

If any subsidiary bank of a financial holding company ceases to be "well-capitalized" or "well-managed," the financial holding company will not be in compliance with the requirements of the BHC Act regarding financial holding companies. If a financial holding company is notified by the FRB of such a change in the ratings of any of its subsidiary banks, it must take the following actions:

- promptly prepare and submit, within 20 days after the receipt of such notice, a written corrective action plan to the FRB detailing the specific actions, and a timetable for each action, that the financial holding company will take to restore its subsidiary bank to a "well-managed" or "well-capitalized" condition, as applicable, within 180 days after the receipt of such notice, and
- if the corrective action plan is acceptable to the FRB, within 45 days after the receipt of such notice, the financial holding company may sign a written agreement with the FRB in which the financial holding company will agree to take the action steps listed in the action plan to correct the management or capitalization deficiencies.

Until the FRB determines that the financial holding company has corrected the conditions described in the notification from the FRB, the financial holding company may not, directly or indirectly, or through any of its non-bank subsidiaries, engage in any financial activities other than those in which the financial holding company was engaged prior to receipt of such notice or activities authorized for bank holding companies without financial holding company status, without the written approval of the FRB. The financial holding company will not be considered to be in compliance with the statutes and regulations related to financial holding companies until the management or capitalization rating of the subsidiary bank has been upgraded to "well-managed" or "well-capitalized" (as applicable) by the bank regulators. After issuing a notification and during any period of noncompliance, the FRB may also impose other limitations or conditions on a financial holding company's conduct or activities, or the conduct or activities of its affiliates.

If the subsidiary bank does not become "well-managed" or "well-capitalized" within 180 days after the receipt of such notification from the FRB, the FRB may take additional actions against the financial holding company, including requiring it to divest its ownership or control of any subsidiary banks or cease engaging, directly or indirectly, in all activities other than those permissible for a bank holding company without financial holding company status. A more detailed discussion of the potential ramifications of the loss of "well managed" status is included in "Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries" below.

As an alternative to proposing a plan and entering into an agreement to correct management or capitalization deficiencies, the financial holding company may choose to forego any attempt to remedy the management or capitalization deficiencies and instead voluntarily terminate any and all financial activities authorized only for financial holding companies. Thereafter, the financial holding company would be limited to engaging only in those activities permitted for a bank holding company.

If any subsidiary bank of a financial holding company receives a rating under the Community Reinvestment Act of less than "satisfactory", then the financial holding company is prohibited from engaging in new activities or acquiring companies other than bank holding companies, banks or savings associations, until the rating is raised to "satisfactory" or better.

**Regulatory Capital Requirements.** The FRB has promulgated capital adequacy guidelines for use in its examination and supervision of bank holding companies. If a bank holding company's capital falls below minimum required levels, then the bank holding company must implement a plan to increase its capital, and its ability to pay dividends and make acquisitions of new bank subsidiaries may be restricted or prohibited.

The FRB's capital adequacy guidelines provide for the following types of capital:

- Tier 1 capital, also referred to as core capital, which includes:
  - common shareholders' equity;
  - qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus;
  - qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus (limited to a maximum of 25% of Tier 1 capital elements); and
  - minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries.

Goodwill is excluded from Tier 1 capital. Most intangible assets are also deducted from Tier 1 capital.

- Tier 2 capital, also referred to as supplementary capital, which includes:
  - allowances for loan and lease losses (limited to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets);
  - most perpetual preferred stock and any related surplus;

- certain hybrid capital instruments, perpetual debt and mandatory convertible debt securities; and
- intermediate-term preferred stock and intermediate-term subordinated debt instruments (subject to limitations).

The maximum amount of supplementary capital that qualifies as Tier 2 capital is limited to 100% of Tier 1 capital.

- Total capital, which includes:
  - Tier 1 capital;
  - *plus*, qualifying Tier 2 capital;
  - *minus*, investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries, reciprocal holdings of bank holding company capital securities, and deferred tax assets and other deductions.

The FRB's capital adequacy guidelines require that a bank holding company maintain a Tier 1 leverage ratio equal to at least 4% of its average total consolidated assets, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio equal to 4% of its risk-weighted assets and a total risk-based capital ratio equal to 8% of its risk-weighted assets. On December 31, 2002, the Company was in compliance with all of the FRB's capital adequacy guidelines. The Company's capital ratios on December 31, 2002 are shown on the following chart.

	<u>Leverage Ratio (4% minimum requirement)</u>	<u>Tier 1 Risk-based Capital Ratio (4% minimum requirement)</u>	<u>Total Risk- based Capital Ratio (8% minimum requirement)</u>
Company .....	6.96%	8.61%	11.02%

*Interstate Banking and Branching.* Under the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (the "Riegle-Neal Act"), a bank holding company is permitted to acquire the stock or substantially all of the assets of banks located in any state regardless of whether such transaction is prohibited under the laws of any state. The FRB will not approve an interstate acquisition if as a result of the acquisition the bank holding company would control more than 10% of the total amount of insured deposits in the United States or would control more than 30% of the insured deposits in the home state of the acquired bank. The 30% of insured deposits state limit does not apply if the acquisition is the initial entry into a state by a bank holding company or if the home state waives such limit. The Riegle-Neal Act also authorizes banks to merge across state lines, thereby creating interstate branches. Banks are also permitted to acquire and to establish de novo branches in other states where authorized under the laws of those states.

Under the Riegle-Neal Act, individual states may restrict interstate acquisitions in two ways. A state may prohibit an out-of-state bank holding company from acquiring a bank located in the state unless the target bank has been in existence for a specified minimum period of time (not to exceed five years). A state may also establish limits on the total amount of insured deposits within the state which are controlled by a single bank holding company, provided that such deposit limit does not discriminate against out-of-state bank holding companies.

*Source of Strength.* FRB policy requires a bank holding company to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary banks. Under this "source of strength doctrine," a bank holding company is expected to stand ready to use its available resources to provide adequate capital funds to its subsidiary banks during periods of financial stress or adversity, and to maintain resources and the capacity to raise capital that it can commit to its subsidiary banks. Furthermore, the FRB has

the right to order a bank holding company to terminate any activity that the FRB believes is a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of any subsidiary bank.

**Liability of Commonly Controlled Institutions.** Under cross-guaranty provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (the "FDIA"), bank subsidiaries of a bank holding company are liable for any loss incurred by the Bank Insurance Fund (the "BIF"), the federal deposit insurance fund for banks, in connection with the failure of any other bank subsidiary of the bank holding company.

**Kansas Bank Holding Company Regulation.** A bank holding company that owns, controls or has the power to vote 25% or more of any class of voting securities of a Kansas bank or a Kansas bank holding company must file an application with the Kansas State Bank Commissioner. Kansas prohibits any bank holding company from acquiring ownership or control of any bank that has Kansas deposits if, after such acquisition, the bank holding company would hold or control more than 15% of total Kansas deposits.

#### **Regulations Applicable to the Banks**

**General.** Gold Bank-Kansas, a Kansas state member bank, is subject to regulation and examination by the Kansas State Bank Commissioner and the FRB. Gold Bank-Oklahoma, an Oklahoma state member bank, is subject to regulation and examination by the Oklahoma State Banking Department and the FRB. Gold Bank-Kansas and Gold Bank-Oklahoma are also regulated by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). Gold Bank-Florida, a Florida state non-member bank, is subject to regulation and examination by the Florida Department of Banking and Finance and the FDIC. Each of the FRB and the FDIC is empowered to issue cease and desist orders against the Banks if it determines that activities of any of the Banks represents unsafe and unsound banking practices or violations of law. In addition, the FRB and the FDIC have the power to impose civil money penalties for violations of banking statutes and regulations. Regulation by these agencies is designed to protect the depositors of the Banks, not shareholders of the Company.

**Bank Regulatory Capital Requirements.** The FRB and the FDIC have adopted minimum capital requirements applicable to state member banks and state non-member banks, respectively, which are similar to the capital adequacy guidelines established by the FRB for bank holding companies. Federal banking laws classify an insured financial institution in one of the following five categories, depending upon the amount of its regulatory capital:

- "well-capitalized" if it has a total Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater and a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater (and is not subject to any order or written directive specifying any higher capital ratio);
- "adequately capitalized" if it has a total Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% or greater (or a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3% or greater, if the bank has a CAMELS rating of 1), a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% or greater and a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater;
- "undercapitalized" if it has a total Tier 1 leverage ratio that is less than 4% (or a Tier 1 leverage ratio that is less than 3%, if the bank has a CAMELS rating of 1), a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 4% or a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 8%;
- "significantly undercapitalized" if it has a total Tier 1 leverage ratio that is less than 3%, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio that is less than 3% or a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 6%; and
- "critically undercapitalized" if it has a Tier 1 leverage ratio that is equal to or less than 2%.

Federal banking laws require the federal regulatory agencies to take prompt corrective action against undercapitalized financial institutions.

On December 31, 2002, each of the Banks was in compliance with its federal banking agency's minimum capital requirements. The capital ratios and classifications of each of the Banks as of December 31, 2002 is shown on the following chart.

	Leverage Ratio	Tier 1 Risk-based Capital Ratio	Total Risk-based Capital Ratio	Classification
Gold Bank-Kansas .....	7.28%	8.88%	10.04%	Well-Capitalized
Gold Bank-Oklahoma .....	7.37%	9.34%	10.56%	Well-Capitalized
Gold Bank-Florida .....	7.27%	9.32%	10.25%	Well-Capitalized

All of the Banks must be well-capitalized and well-managed for the Company to remain a financial holding company.

*Deposit Insurance and Assessments.* The deposits of the Banks are insured by the BIF administered by the FDIC, in general up to a maximum of \$100,000 per insured depositor. Certain deposits of Gold Bank-Kansas are insured by the Savings Association Insurance Fund (the "SAIF"). Under federal banking regulations, insured banks are required to pay semi-annual assessments to the FDIC for deposit insurance. The FDIC's risk-based assessment system requires BIF members to pay varying assessment rates depending upon the level of the institution's capital and the degree of supervisory concern over the institution. The FDIC's assessment rates range from zero cents to 27 cents per \$100 of insured deposits. The FDIC has authority to increase the annual assessment rate and there is no cap on the annual assessment rate which the FDIC may impose.

*Limitations on Interest Rates and Loans to One Borrower.* The rate of interest a bank may charge on certain classes of loans is limited by state and federal law. At certain times in the past, these limitations have resulted in reductions of net interest margins on certain classes of loans. Federal and state laws impose additional restrictions on the lending activities of banks. The maximum amount that a Kansas state bank may loan to one borrower generally is limited to 25% of the bank's capital, plus an additional 10% for loans fully secured by certain kinds of real estate collateral. The maximum amount that an Oklahoma state bank may lend to one borrower (and certain related entities of such borrower) generally is limited to 30% of the bank's capital, less intangible assets. The maximum amount that a Florida state bank may lend to one borrower generally is limited to 15% of the bank's capital accounts, plus an additional 10% for loans fully secured by readily marketable collateral.

*Payment of Dividends.* The Banks are subject to federal and state laws limiting the payment of dividends. Under the FDIA, an FDIC-insured institution may not pay dividends while it is undercapitalized or if payment would cause it to become undercapitalized. Florida, Kansas and Oklahoma banking laws also prohibit the declaration of a dividend out of the capital and surplus of a bank.

*Community Reinvestment Act.* The Banks are subject to the Community Reinvestment Act (the "CRA") and implementing regulations. CRA regulations establish the framework and criteria by which the bank regulatory agencies assess an institution's record of helping to meet the credit needs of its community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. CRA ratings are taken into account by regulators in reviewing certain applications made by the Company and its banking subsidiaries.

*Limitations on Transactions with Affiliates.* The Company and its non-bank subsidiaries are "affiliates" within the meaning of the Federal Reserve Act. The amount of loans or extensions of credit which the banks may make to non-bank affiliates, or to third parties secured by securities or obligations of the non-bank affiliates, are substantially limited by the Federal Reserve Act and the FDIA. Such acts further restrict the range of permissible transactions between a bank and an affiliated company. A bank and subsidiaries of a bank may engage in certain transactions, including loans and purchases of assets, with an affiliated company only if the terms and conditions of the transaction, including credit



standards, are substantially the same as, or at least as favorable to the bank as, those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-affiliated companies or, in the absence of comparable transactions, on terms and conditions that would be offered to non-affiliated companies.

*Other Banking Activities.* The investments and activities of the Banks are also subject to regulation by federal banking agencies, regarding investments in subsidiaries, investments for their own account (including limitations on investments in junk bonds and equity securities), loans to officers, directors and their affiliates, security requirements, anti-tying limitations, anti-money laundering, financial privacy and customer identity verification requirements, truth-in-lending, the types of interest bearing deposit accounts which it can offer, trust department operations, brokered deposits, audit requirements, issuance of securities, branching and mergers and acquisitions.

#### Regulations Applicable to Non-bank Financial Service Subsidiaries.

*General.* The non-bank financial service subsidiaries of the Company are subject to the supervision of the FRB and may be subject to the supervision of other regulatory agencies including the SEC, the National Association of Securities Dealers (the "NASD"), state securities and insurance regulators and the Missouri Division of Finance.

*Securities Broker/Dealer and Investment Advisor.* As a securities broker/dealer, a registered investment advisor and member of the NASD, Gold Capital is subject to extensive regulation under federal and state securities laws. The SEC administers the federal securities laws, but has delegated to self-regulatory organizations, principally the NASD, and the national securities exchanges much of the regulation of securities broker/dealers. Securities broker/dealers and certain investment advisors are also subject to regulation by state securities commissions in the states in which they are registered.

Securities broker/dealers and investment advisors are subject to regulations covering all aspects of the securities business, including sales methods, trade practices among broker/dealers, capital structure of securities firms, uses and safekeeping of customers' funds and securities, recordkeeping, and the conduct of directors, officers and employees. The SEC and the self-regulatory organizations may conduct administrative proceedings that can result in censure, fines, suspension or expulsion of a broker/dealer, its directors, officers and employees. The principal purposes of regulation of securities broker/dealers and investment advisors is the protection of customers and the securities markets rather than the protection of stockholders of broker/dealers and investment advisors.

*Trust Company.* As a Missouri non-depository trust company, Gold Trust Company is subject to regulation and supervision by the FRB-KC and the Missouri Division of Finance. The purpose of such regulation is the protection of trust customers and beneficiaries, not the protection of stockholders of trust companies.

*Insurance Agency.* As licensed insurance agencies, Gold Capital, Gold Insurance Agency and Gold Title are subject to licensing, regulation and examination by the state insurance departments of each state in which they operate. State insurance regulations protect consumers and customers, not the stockholders of insurance agencies.

#### Changes in Laws and Monetary Policies

*Future Legislation.* Various legislation, including proposals to change substantially the financial institution regulatory system, is from time to time introduced in Congress. This legislation may change banking statutes and the operating environment of the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. If enacted, this legislation could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, credit unions and other financial institutions. The Company cannot predict whether any of this potential legislation

will be enacted and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, could have on the Company's business, results of operations or financial condition.

*Fiscal Monetary Policies.* The Company's business and earnings are effected significantly by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies. The Company is particularly affected by the policies of the FRB, which regulates the supply of money and credit in the United States. Among the instruments of monetary policy available to the FRB are:

- conducting open market operations in United States government securities;
- changing the discount rates of borrowings of depository institutions;
- imposing or changing reserve requirements against depository institutions' deposits; and
- imposing or changing reserve requirements against certain borrowings by banks and their affiliates.

These methods are used in varying degrees and combinations to directly effect the availability of bank loans and deposits, as well as the interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. The policies of the FRB have a material effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The references in the foregoing discussion to various aspects of statutes and regulations are merely summaries which do not purport to be complete and which are qualified in their entirety by reference to the actual statutes and regulations.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company and the Banks each own their banking facilities. Certain of the Banks' branch locations are in leased facilities. The financial services subsidiaries have entered into short-term leases for their properties. The Company believes each of the facilities is in good condition, adequately covered by insurance and sufficient to meet the needs at that location for the foreseeable future. The Company's headquarters and Gold Bank's Leawood, Kansas location are contained in a 25,000 square foot building that opened in 1996, all of which is occupied by the Company.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

### *Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries*

On March 14, 2003, the Company issued a press release and filed a Current Report on Form 8-K indicating that as of March 17, 2003, Malcolm M. Aslin would replace Michael W. Gullion as Chief Executive Officer and as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Gold Bank-Kansas. This replacement resulted from the improper conduct (summarized under "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements and Restatement of Financial Statements" above and described in more detail in "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below) that was discovered in an internal investigation which was conducted in close cooperation with the FRB-KC and the Commissioner as part of their regularly scheduled examinations of Gold Bank-Kansas.

On March 14, 2003, the Company also notified the SEC of the discoveries made during its internal investigation and pledged its full cooperation with any inquiry made by the SEC into these matters. The Company was subsequently notified by the SEC that it is conducting an informal investigation. In addition, the Nasdaq has submitted an informal request for information with respect to these matters.

The joint examination of Gold Bank-Kansas and the examination of the Company are complete, and the Company is awaiting the results of the final examination reports. On April 9, 2003, the Audit Committee met with the FRB-KC and the Commissioner to discuss the preliminary results of their joint

examination of Gold Bank-Kansas and the FRB-KC's examination of the Company. At the meeting, the Company was advised by the bank regulators of the violations of law and the CAMELS ratings that will likely be included in the forthcoming report of their joint examination. The regulators identified noncompliance or deficiencies in regard to Regulation H (information technology), Regulation O (loans to officers and directors), Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act (transactions with affiliates) and the Bank Secrecy Act. Based upon the discussions during this meeting, the Company expects that Gold Bank-Kansas will lose its "well-managed" rating and formal supervisory actions by the regulators will be taken against Gold Bank-Kansas and the Company. The formal supervisory actions may include formal written agreements with the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas, or cease and desist orders issued against the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas, intended to address and remediate the deficiencies identified by the regulatory authorities. These formal supervisory actions may include restrictions or prohibitions on the payment of dividends by Gold Bank-Kansas and the Company.

Written reports of examinations have not yet been issued. Although the Company anticipates the results of the examinations to be as described above, the decision of the regulatory authorities regarding the formal supervisory actions to be taken is not final, and we cannot predict with certainty what formal supervisory actions will be taken against the Company, Gold Bank-Kansas or any other person as a result of the examinations.

As a financial holding company under the BHC Act, each of the Company's depository institution subsidiaries must remain both "well-capitalized" and "well-managed" for the Company to retain its status as a financial holding company with authority to engage in the expanded financial activities described under "Item 1—Business—Supervision and Regulation—Limitation on Activities." If Gold Bank-Kansas loses its "well-managed" status as anticipated, the Company will not be in compliance with the requirements of the BHC Act regarding financial holding companies and will be required to take the following actions:

- promptly prepare and submit, within approximately 20 days after the receipt of notice from the FRB of the loss of its "well-managed" status, a written corrective action plan to the FRB detailing the specific actions, and a timetable for each action, that we will take to restore Gold Bank-Kansas to a well-managed condition within 180 days after receipt of such notice; and
- if the corrective action plan is acceptable to the FRB, within approximately 45 days after the receipt of such notice, the Company may sign a formal action with the FRB in which it will agree to take the action steps listed in the action plan to correct the management deficiencies.

As part of the examination process, the FRB will issue a formal notice (the "Notice") stating the conclusions of its examination and any conditions that must be met before Gold Bank-Kansas can regain the rating as "well-managed." Until the FRB determines that the Company has corrected the conditions described in the Notice, the Company may not, directly or indirectly, or through any of its subsidiaries that are not banks or subsidiaries of banks, engage in any financial activities other than those the Company was engaged in prior to the receipt of the Notice or activities authorized for bank holding companies without financial holding company status, without prior written approval of the FRB. The Company will not be considered to be in compliance with the statutes and regulations related to financial holding companies until the management rating of Gold Bank-Kansas has been upgraded to "well-managed" (which includes a "satisfactory" composite rating) by the FRB. After issuing the Notice and during any period of noncompliance, the FRB may also impose other limitations or conditions on the Company's conduct or activities, or the conduct or activities of our affiliates.

If Gold Bank-Kansas does not become "well-managed" within 180 days after receipt of the Notice, the FRB may take additional actions against the Company, including requiring the Company to divest its ownership of its subsidiary banks or cease engaging, directly or indirectly, in all activities other than those permissible for a bank holding company without financial holding company status. The Company does not expect to be required to divest ownership of its subsidiary banks.

As an alternative to proposing a plan and entering into an agreement to correct the management deficiencies, the Company may determine to forego any attempt to remedy the management deficiencies and instead voluntarily terminate any and all financial activities that are authorized only for financial holding companies ("Financial Holding Company Activities"). Financial Holding Company Activities include financial activities in the areas of merchant banking, securities underwriting and dealing, and insurance underwriting and insurance agency. However, the Company does not believe this alternative is a desirable course of action.

Either of the alternatives described above could involve divestiture of certain financial subsidiaries and certain assets related to our financial activities. Based on a preliminary evaluation, any such required or voluntary termination of Financial Holding Company Activities could involve the following:

- our subsidiary, Gold Merchant Banc, Inc., and other subsidiaries could be required to cease conducting merchant banking activities and divest existing merchant banking investments;
- our subsidiary, Gold Capital Management, could be required to cease underwriting and distributing mutual funds;
- our subsidiary, CompuNet Engineering, Inc., would be unable to become a subsidiary of Gold Merchant Banc, Inc., which would result in us having to divest of it (see "Item 7— Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations— Capital and Liquidity");
- our subsidiary, Gold Title Agency, LLC, could be required to cease conducting title insurance agent activities;
- our subsidiary, Gold Insurance Agency, Inc., and other subsidiaries that are not banks or subsidiaries of banks could be required to cease conducting other insurance agency activities, except that Gold Insurance Agency, Inc. could become a subsidiary of Gold Trust Company and exercise limited insurance agency activities; and
- we could be required to divest our ownership of subsidiaries that conduct Financial Holding Company Activities, including Gold Merchant Banc, Inc., Gold Title Agency, LLC, and, possibly, Gold Insurance Agency, Inc.

Management and the Board are evaluating the implications of the loss of the "well-managed" status of Gold Bank-Kansas and any consequential divestiture requirements if Gold Bank-Kansas cannot regain "well-managed" status (which includes a "satisfactory" composite rating). However, based on a preliminary review we have conducted, if we are required to sell these financial subsidiaries or assets, we do not believe it will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

#### CUNA Trademark Lawsuit

The Company filed suit against the Credit Union National Association, Inc. ("CUNA") on July 26, 2001, in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas to defend its "MORE THAN MONEY" service mark. Suit was filed to protect the Company's rights against infringement by CUNA and other infringers. The lawsuit alleges CUNA has infringed the Company's service mark MORE THAN MONEY by using the service mark WHERE PEOPLE ARE WORTH MORE THAN MONEY in its national brand campaign promoting credit unions throughout the country. The Complaint includes claims for (i) trademark infringement and unfair competition under federal and common law, and (ii) trademark dilution under federal and state law. Several types of relief are requested in the suit, including entry of a permanent injunction prohibiting CUNA and credit unions from using the service mark WHERE PEOPLE ARE WORTH MORE THAN MONEY, an order that CUNA's two registrations for its mark be cancelled, and money damages, including a sum to

compensate the Company for corrective advertising. CUNA filed its Answer to the Complaint on September 17, 2001. In March 2002, the Company participated in a court ordered mediation but the parties were unable to reach a resolution. The Company has agreed to revisit the possibility of settlement at a later date following additional discovery. Fact discovery has now closed and expert witness reports on liability issues have been produced by both sides. A summary judgment motion was filed by CUNA on June 28, 2002, and the Company filed its response on July 19, 2002. The court has not ruled on this motion. A pretrial conference took place on October 28, 2002. In conjunction with the pretrial conference, the parties submitted a proposed pretrial order on October 18, 2002. The case has been given a special trial setting on the July 8, 2003 trial docket of the presiding judge. The Company cannot predict with certainty the outcome of this litigation.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

No matters were submitted to a vote of the stockholders of the Company during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

**PART II**

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.**

Our common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, trades on the Nasdaq National Market tier of The Nasdaq Stock Market under the symbol "GLDB."

Information relating to market prices of common stock and cash dividends declared on our common stock is set forth in the table below.

	Market Price		Cash Dividends
	High	Low	
<b>2001 Quarters</b>			
First . . . . .	\$ 7.66	\$4.50	\$0.02
Second . . . . .	8.00	6.38	0.02
Third . . . . .	8.05	6.85	0.02
Fourth . . . . .	7.80	7.05	0.02
<b>2002 Quarters</b>			
First . . . . .	\$ 9.03	\$6.96	\$0.02
Second . . . . .	11.29	8.90	0.02
Third . . . . .	11.05	8.75	0.02
Fourth . . . . .	10.36	8.50	0.02

As of April 11, 2003, there were approximately 772 holders of record of our common stock.

In August 2001, the Company completed a common stock repurchase program whereby the Company acquired 1,839,000 shares of common stock, or approximately 5%, of the shares outstanding as of March 7, 2001. In September 2001, the Company announced the approval of another common stock repurchase program whereby the Company was authorized to acquire up to 1,750,336 additional shares of the Company's common stock, or approximately 5%, of the shares outstanding as of September 17, 2001. On July 24, 2002, the Company terminated the share repurchase program. The Company acquired 3,216,110 shares under these programs at prices ranging from \$6.55 to \$7.85 per share.

On October 21, 2002, the Company issued 5,000,000 shares of common stock in a public offering. On October 31, 2002, the underwriters exercised their option to acquire an additional 750,000 shares in order to cover over-allotments. All of these shares were sold at a price of \$8.75 before underwriting discounts and commissions. The net proceeds to the Company from the issuance of these shares after deducting underwriting discounts and aggregate offering expenses payable by the Company were approximately \$47.1 million. The net proceeds from the offering were used as follows: approximately \$18.0 million was contributed to the capital of the Banks to support their asset growth; approximately \$23.0 million was used to pay down the Company's line of credit (substantially all of the proceeds of which had been invested in the capital of the Banks); and the remaining net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

On March 14, 2003, we requested that the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. suspend trading of our common stock for the first one-half hour of trading. This action was taken in order to provide adequate dissemination to the marketplace of our announcement of the replacement of Michael W. Gullion as our Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Executive Officer of Gold Bank-Kansas due to an ongoing investigation into certain irregularities related to his personal bank accounts at Gold Bank-Kansas which is summarized in "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements—Recent Events" above described in more detail in "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below.

The FRB and state regulators have the authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by our banking subsidiaries to the Company. The FRB has the authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by the Company to its stockholders.

## PART II

### ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

This selected consolidated information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes, including Note 2 "Restatement and Impact on Earnings," included elsewhere in this Amended Report.

	At or for the Years Ended December 31				
	2002	2001(1)	2000(1)	1999	1998
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
<b>Earnings</b>					
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 103,907	\$ 89,078	\$ 93,460	\$ 88,185	\$ 78,800
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	19,420	15,314	4,673	11,586	5,111
Non-interest income . . . . .	63,542	44,982	28,837	29,449	17,731
Non-interest expense(2) . . . . .	110,085	91,172	117,350	83,373	63,962
Income taxes . . . . .	11,727	4,291	5,392	7,900	6,792
Net earnings (loss) . . . . .	26,217	23,281	(5,118)	14,775	20,666
<b>Financial Position</b>					
Total assets . . . . .	\$3,811,723	\$3,014,955	\$2,717,203	\$2,550,741	\$2,213,270
Loans receivable, net . . . . .	2,696,912	2,136,308	1,919,988	1,793,810	1,503,717
Allowance for loan losses . . . . .	33,439	26,097	26,180	26,038	20,141
Goodwill and other intangibles, net . . . . .	42,478	39,168	33,981	47,576	22,996
Investment securities . . . . .	736,085	588,778	525,981	455,162	432,634
Deposits . . . . .	2,716,569	2,163,866	2,133,877	2,006,154	1,824,557
Long-term borrowings . . . . .	548,848	416,413	200,561	89,753	97,283
Subordinated debt and guaranteed preferred beneficial interest in company debentures . .	113,137	111,749	82,549	83,319	44,999
Stockholders' equity . . . . .	227,774	164,540	169,211	167,048	163,637
<b>Per Share Data</b>					
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic and diluted . . . . .	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.56
Book value per share . . . . .	5.77	4.85	4.51	4.48	4.48
Cash dividends declared . . . . .	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.075
Average shares outstanding . . . . .	33,771	34,802	37,113	37,529	36,584
<b>Ratios</b>					
Return (loss) on average assets . . . . .	0.77%	0.82%	(0.19)%	0.63%	1.03%
Return (loss) on average equity . . . . .	14.25%	13.75%	(2.76)%	8.71%	13.35%
Stockholders' equity to total assets . . . . .	5.98%	5.46%	6.23%	6.55%	7.39%
Dividend payout(3) . . . . .	10.72%	12.15%	—	20.51%	13.39%
Net interest margin . . . . .	3.47%	3.57%	3.96%	4.18%	4.36%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans . . . . .	210.75%	113.42%	126.34%	331.65%	278.38%
Non-performing assets to total assets . . . . .	0.58%	1.08%	0.81%	0.42%	0.47%
Non-performing loans to total loans . . . . .	0.58%	1.06%	1.06%	0.43%	0.48%
Net loan charge-offs to average loans . . . . .	0.44%	0.78%	0.24%	0.48%	0.28%
Efficiency ratio(4) . . . . .	61.19%	64.84%	83.77%	74.40%	67.50%
<b>Capital Ratios</b>					
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio . . . . .	8.61%	7.76%	8.89%	9.76%	11.16%
Total risk-based capital ratio . . . . .	11.02%	11.35%	11.38%	12.20%	12.38%
Leverage ratio . . . . .	6.96%	6.20%	7.13%	7.55%	8.36%

(1) The information provided as of and for the periods ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 within the schedule above is derived from the restatement of our audited consolidated financial statements as included herein and is discussed in "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements."

(2) Includes losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds of \$136, \$1,099 and \$1,252 for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

(3) No dividend payout ratio was calculated for 2000 because of the net loss for the year.

- (4) Efficiency ratio is calculated as follows: Non interest expense, excluding expenses from CompuNet, divided by the sum of net interest income before provision for loan loss plus non interest fee income and excluding income from CompuNet.

**Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited.** The Company's 2002, 2001 and 2000 quarterly results have been restated. These restated numbers reflect the adjustments described in "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements" and Notes 2 and 23 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Amended Report.

<u>2002</u>	Three Months Ended			
	March 31 (Restated)	June 30 (Restated)	Sept. 30 (Restated)	Dec. 31
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 47,798	\$ 50,134	\$ 50,954	\$ 53,046
Interest expense . . . . .	23,838	24,701	24,775	24,711
Net interest income . . . . .	23,960	25,433	26,179	28,335
Net loans . . . . .	2,277,470	2,394,614	2,464,065	2,671,778
Non-performing loans . . . . .	20,956	18,100	18,242	15,867
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	5,035	4,920	3,165	6,300
Noninterest income . . . . .	13,830	16,868	15,840	17,004
Noninterest expense . . . . .	24,768	27,504	28,763	29,050
Income tax provision . . . . .	1,930	2,837	2,656	3,804
Net income . . . . .	6,057	7,040	7,435	5,685
Total assets . . . . .	3,234,970	3,323,012	3,648,881	3,811,723
Non-performing assets . . . . .	29,963	24,963	25,234	22,226

<u>2001 (Restated)</u>	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 52,986	\$ 51,116	\$ 50,724	\$ 51,975
Interest expense . . . . .	30,727	29,599	29,869	27,528
Net interest income . . . . .	22,259	21,517	20,855	24,447
Net loans . . . . .	1,819,004	1,867,255	2,019,144	2,124,973
Non-performing loans . . . . .	18,881	17,773	20,573	23,007
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	2,545	1,795	5,225	5,749
Noninterest income . . . . .	10,012	9,860	17,109	12,147
Noninterest expense . . . . .	20,461	21,556	25,848	27,453
Income tax provision . . . . .	3,202	2,333	638	(1,880)
Net income . . . . .	6,063	5,693	6,253	5,272
Total assets . . . . .	2,694,343	2,871,172	2,933,000	3,014,955
Non-performing assets . . . . .	22,576	23,556	30,022	32,512



2000 (Restated)	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 50,306	\$ 52,543	\$ 53,128	\$ 52,390
Interest expense . . . . .	26,089	29,242	29,939	29,637
Net interest income . . . . .	24,217	23,301	23,189	22,753
Net loans . . . . .	1,677,076	1,713,542	1,756,913	1,785,907
Non-performing loans . . . . .	5,691	19,836	21,848	20,722
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	661	1,014	978	2,020
Noninterest income . . . . .	8,304	8,259	4,963	5,534
Noninterest expense . . . . .	32,530	20,313	21,670	41,060
Income tax provision . . . . .	150	3,865	1,950	(573)
Net income . . . . .	(820)	6,368	3,554	(14,220)
Total assets . . . . .	2,559,157	2,674,998	2,655,761	2,717,203
Non-performing assets . . . . .	8,416	21,408	23,637	24,486

Per Share 2002	Three Months Ended			
	March 31 (Restated)	June 30 (Restated)	Sept. 30 (Restated)	Dec. 31
Net earnings—basic . . . . .	\$0.18	\$0.21	\$0.22	\$0.17
Net earnings—diluted . . . . .	0.18	0.21	0.22	0.17
Dividends . . . . .	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

Per Share 2001 (Restated)	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
Net earnings—basic . . . . .	\$0.17	\$0.16	\$0.18	\$0.16
Net earnings—diluted . . . . .	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.16
Dividends . . . . .	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

Per Share 2000 (Restated)	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
Net earnings (loss)—basic . . . . .	\$(0.02)	\$0.16	\$0.10	\$(0.38)
Net earnings (loss)—diluted . . . . .	(0.02)	0.16	0.10	(0.38)
Dividends . . . . .	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes to such consolidated financial statements, which are included elsewhere in this Amended Report. All comparisons and references in this Amended Report to the results of the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 are to the restated results. See "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements" and Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements contained in "Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

**General**

We provide community banking and related financial services at locations in Kansas, Oklahoma, Florida and Missouri as of December 31, 2002. Geographic markets include Johnson County, Kansas, an affluent suburb in the Kansas City metropolitan area, Tulsa and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, growing

cities with a strong small-business sector, Tampa /Bradenton/Sarasota, Florida, a high-growth area on the west coast of the state, and a number of smaller cities.

We have focused on growth of our Banks' lending and other services by cultivating relationships with small businesses and other clients. We have expanded through a strategy of internal growth supplemented by bank acquisitions that are believed to be accretive to earnings and stockholders' equity and consistent with our strategy and community banking style. We have taken steps to offer traditional and on-line banking, wealth and asset management and other financial services to customers of our Banks. During 2002, we acquired four branch locations from a banking institution and one trust company. Also, during 2002, we sold four rural Kansas branch locations. In 2001, we acquired a branch location from a savings institution, one technology services company, and one securities company specializing in public finance and advisory services. During 2000, we acquired three banks and created a financial services division. During 1999, we acquired one bank and two non-bank subsidiaries.

The following table lists our Banks' total assets (in millions of dollars) as of December 31, 2002.

<u>Bank</u>	<u>Total Assets</u>	<u>Main Location and Number of Offices</u>
Gold Bank-Kansas . . . . .	\$2,083.1	Leawood, Kansas—30
Gold Bank-Oklahoma . . . . .	\$1,015.3	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma—19
Gold Bank-Florida . . . . .	\$ 665.2	Bradenton, Florida—11

The following table lists our financial services subsidiaries which offer financial services to their own clients and to Bank customers through the offices of our Banks:

<u>Financial Service Subsidiary</u>	<u>Service Focus</u>	<u>Headquarters Location</u>
Gold Capital Management, Inc. . .	Broker/dealer and investment advisor	Overland Park, Kansas
Gold Investment Advisors, Inc. . .	Wealth management	Overland Park, Kansas
Gold Trust Company . . . . .	Trust accounts	St. Joseph, Missouri
Gold Insurance Agency, Inc. . . .	Insurance	Overland Park, Kansas
CompuNet Engineering, Inc. . . .	Information technology and e-commerce	Overland Park, Kansas
Gold Title Agency, LLC . . . . .	Title insurance	Overland Park, Kansas
Gold Merchant Banc, Inc. . . . .	Merchant banking	Overland Park, Kansas

### Markets

We are headquartered in Johnson County, Kansas, along with Gold Bank-Kansas, our lead bank. Johnson County is a suburban community near Kansas City. Johnson County has a more competitive banking environment than our smaller markets, but its robust economic growth has enabled Gold Bank to rapidly grow its loans and deposits in the county. Gold Bank has eleven offices in the growing areas of Johnson County and five offices in the remainder of the Kansas City metropolitan area. This presence in the Kansas City area accounted for approximately 55% of our total assets at year-end 2002.

We entered the Tulsa, Oklahoma, market in 1998 with the acquisition of Citizens Bank of Tulsa, which has subsequently been merged into Gold Bank-Oklahoma. The Tulsa location serves a rapidly growing area in southeastern Tulsa, a light-industrial district that is home to more than 5,000 small businesses, and is a mature residential area of Tulsa. A third office was opened in a developing residential area of south Tulsa during the second quarter of 1999.

We entered the Oklahoma City market and the communities in central and western Oklahoma with the acquisition of Country Banc in March 2000. Country Banc's two subsidiary banks, People First and American Heritage, were merged along with Citizens Bank of Tulsa to create Gold Bank-Oklahoma. Gold Bank-Oklahoma also serves a number of smaller, mature markets and rural

communities in central and western Oklahoma. The Gold Bank-Oklahoma market accounted for approximately 27% of our total assets at year-end 2002.

We entered the Bradenton and Sarasota, Florida market on the highly popular and rapidly growing west coast of the state between Tampa Bay and Naples with the acquisition of American Bank in March 2000. The American Bank acquisition provides us with access to a diversified market that has been one of the fastest growing population areas in the United States for the past ten years. The demographics and per capita income levels are believed to be very promising for the development of our wealth and asset management services. In 2002, we opened a new branch in Tampa Bay and a new branch in downtown Sarasota. In 2002, we changed the bank's name to Gold Bank-Florida. Gold Bank-Florida accounted for approximately 17% of our total assets at year-end 2002.

Other local markets where we operate generally did not experience the level of growth seen in the Johnson County, Tulsa, Oklahoma City and Tampa Bay/Sarasota/Bradenton markets, but their economies were sound with a mix of services, manufacturing and agriculture-related industries. Each of the local markets is generally a county seat and our Banks' locations serve both that city and the surrounding area.

Financial services, including traditional and on-line banking, brokerage, trust, mortgage and investments, are offered to customers of our Banks, either directly through representatives located in bank offices or through telecommunication links with the non-bank offices.

#### **Influences on Earnings**

Our net earnings depends upon the combined results of operations of our Banks, each of which conducts a commercial and consumer banking business by attracting deposits from the general public and deploying those funds to earning assets. In addition, the non-bank subsidiaries contribute income from management fees and commissions.

Each Bank's profitability depends primarily on net interest income which is interest income on interest-earning assets less interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Interest-earning assets include loans, investment securities and other earning assets such as Federal Funds sold. Interest-bearing liabilities include customer deposits, time and savings deposits and other borrowings such as Federal Funds purchased, short-term borrowings and long-term debt, including junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures. Besides the balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, net interest income is affected by each Bank's interest rate spread. This spread is the difference between the Bank's average yield on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread is affected by changes in interest rates, deposit flows and loan demand, among other factors.

The levels of non-interest income and non-interest expense also affect our profitability. A significant portion of our revenue is non-interest income of our Banks and non-bank subsidiaries consisting of investment trading fees and commissions, service fees, gains on the sale of mortgage loans and investment securities, and other fees. Non-interest expense consists of compensation and benefits, occupancy related expenses, deposit insurance premiums, expenses of opening bank offices, acquisition-related expenses and other operating expenses. Our profitability also is affected by our effective tax rate, our Banks' provision for loan losses, and various non-recurring items.

Our approach to management of the spread between interest income and interest expense is described below under "Results of Operations—Asset/Liability Management."

#### **Recent Events and Restatement**

The Company has restated its financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000. This Amended Report includes all of the adjustments relating to the restatement for such prior

periods including those required by SAB 99. The Company will also file amended Form 10-Qs with respect to the first three quarters of 2002 to reflect the restatement of the financial information for such periods. Based on discussions with the staff of the SEC, the Company does not plan to file amended Form 10-Ks or Form 10-Qs for 2001 and 2000. For financial information regarding such prior periods, investors should refer to "Item 6—Selected Consolidated Financial Data" of this Amended Report, the restatement of our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained in "Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Amended Report, including Note 2 "Restatement and Impact on Earnings" and Note 23 "Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited", and the amended and restated quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for 2002 that we will file with the SEC in the near future.

The restatement principally related to certain transactions totaling approximately \$136,000, \$1.1 million, and \$1.3 million in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, in which Michael W. Gullion, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, diverted funds of Gold Bank-Kansas for personal use, as well as the use of his Company credit card for personal use and improper reimbursement of personal expenses charged to his personal credit card. The transactions were discovered by an internal investigation conducted by the Company's Audit Committee, with assistance from its independent legal counsel and forensic accountants. For a detailed discussion of the internal investigation and the transactions discovered therefrom, see "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below.

Also included in the restatement are adjustments totaling \$487,000 and \$14,000 in 2001 and 2000, respectively, related to the following items: a mark-to-market adjustment related to certain investments held in the trading portfolio, an adjustment to increase the amount of goodwill recorded in the acquisition of the minority interest of First Business Bank (a wholly owned subsidiary of First Business Bancshares, which was acquired by the Company in March 2000), an adjustment for expenses associated with the 2000 closure of Gold Banc Mortgage, an adjustment to reduce the weighted average shares outstanding for unallocated shares owned by the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan, and an adjustment of income tax expense for the tax effect to reflect the adjustments described above.

The effect of the restatement (as described in Note 2 "Restatement and Impact on Earnings" of the consolidated financial statements) is as follows for the periods presented below:

Year Ended December 31:	Restatements to Net Earnings (Loss) as Previously Reported			
	Pre-Tax	Tax Effect	After Tax	% Change to Reported
	(Dollars in thousands)			
2001 .....	\$(1,599)	\$ 529	\$(1,070)	4.40%
2000 .....	(547)	(117)	(664)	14.91

The impact of these amounts (as described in Note 2 "Restatement and Impact on Earnings" to the consolidated financial statements) to reported basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share is as follows:

Year Ended December 31:	Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share		Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	
	As reported	As restated	As reported	As restated
2001 .....	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.67
2000 .....	(0.12)	(0.14)	(0.12)	(0.14)

## Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. Many of the Company's accounting policies require significant judgment regarding valuation of assets and liabilities. A summary of significant accounting policies is listed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Amended Report. Critical accounting policies are both important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

*Allowance for Loan Losses.* The Company's most critical accounting policy relates to the allowance for loan losses and involves significant management valuation judgments. The Company performs periodic and systematic detailed reviews of its lending portfolio to assess overall collectability. The level of the allowance for loan losses reflects the Company's estimate of the collectability of the loan portfolio. Further discussion of the methodologies used in establishing this reserve is contained in the Provision/Allowance for Loan Losses section of this Amended Report.

The Company makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of its loan portfolio and provides an allowance for losses based on a number of factors. If the Company's assumptions are wrong, its allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover loan losses. The Company may have to increase the allowance in the future. Material additions to the Company's allowance for loan losses would have a material adverse effect on its net earnings.

*Impairment of Goodwill Analysis.* As required by the provisions of SFAS 142, the Company completed its initial valuation analysis to determine whether the carrying amounts of the Company's reporting units were impaired. The Company's initial impairment review indicated that there was no impairment of goodwill as of December 31, 2001. The Company is required to review the goodwill for impairment at least annually or more frequently based upon facts and circumstances related to a particular reporting unit.

The fair value of the Company's non-bank financial subsidiaries (Gold Capital Management and CompuNet Engineering) fluctuates significantly based upon, among other factors, the net operating income of these subsidiaries. If these subsidiaries experience a sustained deterioration in their cash flow from operations, then the Company may have to record a goodwill impairment charge in the future.

During 2002, CompuNet Engineering did not earn a majority of its revenue from providing services to financial institutions. As a result, the Company may be required under the BHC Act to divest itself of CompuNet Engineering. See "*—Capital and Liquidity—CompuNet Activities*" below. In the event that CompuNet Engineering were sold for less than the carrying value of its associated assets and goodwill, the Company would be required to record a goodwill impairment charge. The goodwill associated with CompuNet Engineering was \$4.6 million at December 31, 2002.

In addition, if the Company were to lose its "well-managed" status or its composite rating is not "satisfactory", as discussed under "*Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries*", the Company may be required to cease engaging, directly or indirectly, in all activities other than those permissible for a bank holding company without financial holding company status. The Company currently does not have any goodwill recorded with respect to any of its subsidiaries that are conducting such activities. However, if the Company were required to cease engaging in such activities, the Company might incur a loss in connection with the liquidation or sale of the subsidiaries conducting such activities. The Company is unable at this time to estimate the magnitude of such potential losses. The carrying value of the assets in these businesses as of December 31, 2002 was approximately \$12.6 million.

*Deferred Income Taxes.* SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for the effect of income taxes. The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences could materially impact the Company's financial position or its results of operations.

#### Results of Operations

*Overview.* For the year ended December 31, 2002, we acquired four branch locations from a banking institution with deposits aggregating \$149 million. This purchase also resulted in the recording of an intangible asset of \$3.4 million for core deposit premium. The Company also purchased a trust company for a cash price of \$1.8 million. The Company sold four branches in rural Kansas locations with aggregate deposits of \$66.7 million and recorded a gain of \$2.4 million on the transaction.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, we acquired a branch of a savings institution, a technology services provider, and a securities company. The acquisitions were accounted for as purchase transactions. Total consideration paid for the 2001 acquisitions using the purchase method was \$6.5 million in excess of the fair value of the assets acquired in the purchases, which was accounted for as goodwill.

For the year ended December 31, 2000, we acquired three bank holding companies with assets aggregating \$1.1 billion. These acquisitions were accounted for as pooling-of-interests transactions.

Net earnings for 2002 totaled \$26.2 million, or \$0.78 per diluted share. Net earnings for 2001 totaled \$23.3 million, or \$0.67 per diluted share. In 2000, because of the pooling expenses associated with our acquisitions and expenses associated with the closing of our mortgage banking subsidiary, we reported a net loss of \$5.1 million, or \$0.14 per diluted share.

Net earnings for 2002, increased \$2.9 million over 2001 net earnings. This increase was the result of increased net interest income of \$14.8 million, partially offset by an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$4.1 million. The Company also had an increase in other income of \$18.6 million which was accompanied by an increase in non-interest expense of \$18.9 million. Income tax expense also increased by \$7.4 million.

Net earnings for 2001 increased \$28.4 million over the 2000 net loss of \$5.1 million. This increase was the result of a decrease of \$26.2 million in non-interest expense, an increase in other income of \$16.1 million, a decrease of income tax expense of \$1.1 million offset by a decrease in net interest income of \$4.4 million and an increase in provisions for loan losses of \$10.6 million.

Net earnings for 2000, excluding the nonrecurring expenses, increased \$6.7 million over 1999 net earnings, excluding nonrecurring expenses. This increase was the result of increased net interest income of \$5.3 million and decreased provisions for loan losses of \$6.9 million, and was offset by a decrease in other income of \$1.2 million and an increase in non-interest expense of \$4.6 million and a increase of income tax expense of \$1.4 million.

*Net Interest Income.* The following table presents our average balances, interest income and expense on a tax equivalent basis, and the related yields and rates on major categories of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2002			2001			2000		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average Rate Earned/Paid	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average Rate Earned/Paid	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average Rate Earned/Paid
	(Dollars in thousands)								
<b>Assets:</b>									
Loans, net(1) . . . . .	\$2,391,253	\$165,044	6.90%	\$1,965,761	\$167,296	8.51%	\$1,868,494	\$175,687	9.40%
Investment securities—									
taxable . . . . .	557,933	29,790	5.34%	461,852	30,042	6.50%	406,664	25,956	6.38%
Investment securities—									
nontaxable(2) . . .	68,834	8,497	12.34%	54,406	5,245	9.64%	65,703	4,949	7.53%
Other earning assets .	81,337	2,275	2.80%	64,434	6,054	9.40%	60,322	3,507	5.81%
Total earning assets .	<u>3,099,357</u>	<u>205,606</u>	<u>6.63%</u>	<u>2,546,453</u>	<u>208,637</u>	<u>8.19%</u>	<u>2,401,183</u>	<u>210,099</u>	<u>8.75%</u>
Noninterest-earning assets . . . . .	285,228			270,460			254,095		
Total assets . . . . .	<u>\$3,384,585</u>			<u>\$2,816,913</u>			<u>\$2,655,278</u>		
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:</b>									
Savings deposits and interest-bearing									
checking . . . . .	\$ 809,875	\$ 12,093	1.49%	\$ 693,265	\$ 17,999	2.60%	\$ 609,911	\$ 22,117	3.63%
Time deposits . . . . .	1,408,577	52,887	3.75%	1,178,995	67,584	5.73%	1,116,102	67,111	6.01%
Short-term borrowings . . . . .	133,400	2,451	1.84%	84,591	5,560	6.57%	182,856	9,223	5.04%
Long-term borrowings . . . . .	561,793	30,593	5.45%	424,138	26,580	6.27%	269,254	16,456	6.11%
Total interest-bearing liabilities .	<u>2,913,645</u>	<u>98,024</u>	<u>3.36%</u>	<u>2,380,989</u>	<u>117,723</u>	<u>4.94%</u>	<u>2,178,123</u>	<u>114,907</u>	<u>5.28%</u>
Non-interest-bearing liabilities . . . . .	287,386			266,563			291,540		
Stockholders' equity .	184,948			169,361			185,615		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity . . . . .	<u>\$3,384,585</u>			<u>\$2,816,913</u>			<u>\$2,655,278</u>		
Net interest income(3) . . . . .		<u>\$107,582</u>			<u>\$ 90,914</u>			<u>\$ 95,192</u>	
Net interest spread .			<u>3.27%</u>			<u>3.25%</u>			<u>3.47%</u>
Net interest margin(4) . . . . .			<u>3.47%</u>			<u>3.57%</u>			<u>3.96%</u>

(1) Non-accruing loans are included in the computation of average balance.

(2) Yield is adjusted for the tax effect of tax exempt securities and loans. The tax effects in 2002, 2001, and 2000 were \$3,674,000, \$1,836,000, and \$1,732,000, respectively.

(3) We include loan fees in interest income. Such fees totaled \$5,450,000, \$6,357,000 and \$4,443,000, in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

(4) The net interest margin on average earning assets is the net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

For 2002, total interest income decreased \$3.0 million, or 1.5%, on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The \$3.0 million decrease was primarily due to the 150 basis point decrease in the average rate earned on earning assets, such decrease was offset by the increase in the balance of earning assets. Interest income on loans decreased \$2.3 million, or 1.35%. For 2002, the average loan balance increased \$425.5 million, or 21.6%, and the yield earned on loans decreased from 8.51% in 2001 to 6.90% in 2002. Interest income on investments increased \$3.0 million, or 8.5%. For 2002, the average investment balance (taxable and non-taxable) increased \$80.5 million, or 14.7%. Interest income on non-taxable investments was positively impacted by the increase in the average balance outstanding, as well as the increase in the average rate earned by 270 basis points.

For 2001, total interest income decreased \$1.5 million, or 0.8%, on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The \$1.5 million decrease was primarily due to lower interest rates on outstanding loans which was partially offset by an increase in loan volume. Interest income on loans decreased \$8.4 million, or 4.8%. For 2001, the average loan balance increased \$97.3 million, or 5.2%, and the yield earned on loans decreased from 9.40% in 2000 to 8.51% in 2001. Interest income on investments increased \$4.3 million, or 14.2%. For 2001, the average investment balance (taxable and non-taxable) increased \$43.9 million, or 9.3%. Interest income on non-taxable investments was negatively impacted by the decrease in the average balance outstanding.

For 2000, total interest income increased \$30.1 million, or 16.9%, on a fully table equivalent basis. The \$30.1 million increase was primarily due to increased loan volume. Interest income on loans increased \$27.8 million, or 18.8%. For 2000, the average loan balance increased \$241.3 million, or 14.8%, and the yield earned on loans increased from 9.09% in 1999 to 9.40% in 2000. Interest income on investments increased \$2.7 million, or 10.3%. For 2000, the average investment balance (taxable and non-taxable) increased \$33.8 million, or 7.7%. Interest income on non-taxable investments was positively impacted by an increase in the yield earned of 7.53% in 2000 compared to 5.96% in 1999.

Total interest expense was \$98.0 million for 2002 compared to \$117.7 million for 2001, a 16.7% decrease. Interest expense on savings and interest-bearing checking for 2002 decreased \$5.9 million, or 32.80%, as a result of a decrease in the rate to 1.49% in 2002 compared to 2.60% in 2001. The decrease in the rate was partially offset by the volume increases related to the \$116.6 million increase in the average balance from 2001. Interest expense on time deposits decreased \$14.7 million, or 21.7%, primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of such deposits of \$229.6 million, or 19.5%, and a rate decrease to 3.75% compared to 5.73% for 2001. Interest expense on combined short-term and long-term borrowings increased \$904 thousand, or 2.8%, primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of such borrowings of \$161.8 million, or 30.2%, in 2002 compared to 2001.

Total interest expense was \$117.7 million for 2001 compared to \$114.9 million for 2000, a 2.45% increase. Interest expense on savings and interest-bearing checking for 2001 decreased \$4.1 million, or 18.6%, as a result of a decrease in the rate to 2.60% in 2001 compared to 3.63% in 2000. The decrease in the rate was partially offset by the volume increases related to the \$83.4 million increase in the average balance from 2000. Interest expense on time deposits increased \$0.5 million, or 0.7%, primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of such deposits of \$62.9 million, or 5.6% and a rate decrease to 5.73% compared to 6.01% for 2000. Interest expense on combined short-term and long-term borrowings increased \$6.5 million, or 25.2%, primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of such borrowings of \$111.7 million, or 24.7%, in 2001 compared to 2000.

Total interest expense was \$114.9 million for 2000 compared to \$90.0 million for 1999, a 27.7% increase. Interest expense on savings and interest-bearing checking for 2000 increased \$1.0 million, or 5.0%, as a result of an increase in the rate to 3.63% in 2000 compared to 3.19% in 1999. The increase in the rate more than offset the volume decreases related to the \$50.4 million decrease in the average



balance from 1999. Interest expense on time deposits increased \$14.5 million, or 27.6%, primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of such deposits of \$131.2 million, or 13.3%. Interest expense on combined short-term and long-term borrowings increased \$9.3 million, or 57.0%, primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of such borrowings of \$188.8 million, or 71.7%, in 2000 compared to 1999.

As a result of the changes described above on a fully taxable equivalent basis, net interest income increased \$16.7 million, or 18.3%, for 2002 compared on a fully taxable equivalent basis to 2001, decreased \$4.3 million, or 4.5%, for 2001 compared to 2000, and increased \$5.9 million, or 6.6%, for 2000 compared to 1999.

The following table summarizes the changes in net interest income on a tax equivalent basis, by major category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, identifying changes related to volumes and rates. Changes not solely due to volume or rate changes are allocated to rate. Management believes this allocation method, applied on a consistent basis, provides meaningful comparisons between periods.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2002 compared to 2001			2001 compared to 2000		
	Volume	Average Rate	Total Changes	Volume	Average Rate	Total Changes
	(Dollars in thousands)					
<b>Interest Income:</b>						
Loans(1)	\$36,212	\$(38,464)	\$ (2,252)	\$ 9,143	\$(17,534)	\$ (8,391)
Investment securities—taxable	4,113	(4,365)	(252)	3,586	500	4,086
Investment securities—non-taxable	1,259	1,993	3,252	(851)	1,147	296
Other earning assets	2,172	(5,950)	(3,779)	239	2,308	2,547
Total interest income	43,756	(46,787)	(3,031)	12,118	(13,580)	(1,462)
<b>Interest expense:</b>						
Savings deposits and interest-bearing checking	3,013	(8,918)	(5,905)	3,026	(7,144)	(4,118)
Time deposits	13,160	(27,857)	(14,697)	3,780	(3,308)	473
Short-term borrowings	1,107	(4,216)	(3,109)	(4,953)	1,290	(3,663)
Long-term borrowings	8,627	(4,614)	4,013	9,463	662	10,124
Total interest expense	25,907	(45,605)	(19,698)	11,316	(8,500)	2,816
Increase (decrease) in net interest income	\$17,849	\$ (1,182)	\$ 16,667	\$ 801	\$ (5,079)	\$ (4,278)

(1) We include loan fees in interest income. Such fees totaled \$5,450,000, \$6,357,000, and \$4,443,000 in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** An integral part of combining credit portfolios in our acquisitions requires converting the purchased banks' credit culture and credit standards to ours. Inherent with the implementation of these processes and procedures is a potential for increased credit risk. To prepare for this risk, we generally increase our provisions to prepare for any credit exposure. It is anticipated, that as these acquired banks adopt and implement these procedures, credit risk should decline, requiring lower provisions.

The provision for loan losses is a charge against income taken to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level consistent with management's assessment of anticipated losses inherent in the loan portfolio in light of economic conditions, market trends and other factors, at a given point in time. An integral part of combining credit portfolios in our acquisitions requires converting the purchased bank's

credit culture and credit standards into the Company's. The allowance for loan losses is based on a regular analysis of historical loss rates, specific reserves for loans separately identified and general reserves. The provision for loan losses was \$19.4 million for 2002 compared to \$15.3 million for 2001 or a 26.8% increase. These provisions were made to reflect management's assessment of the change in the risk of certain loans and loan categories and for significant growth in outstanding loans. As part of the internal investigation and the examination by bank regulatory authorities, the Company reviewed any loans made by the Banks in which Mr. Gullion had a role in initiating or approving. Based on an analysis of the creditworthiness of the borrowers on those loans and the value of any collateral securing those loans, the Company, upon the suggestion of the bank regulatory authorities, increased its provision for loan losses by \$2.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2002. See "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements" herein for additional discussion of this matter. Provisions for 2002 were also required to replenish the allowance after charge-offs of \$3.4 million attributable to three borrowers. Significant charge-offs related to the disposition of collateral related to the sale of a health related facility, a final settlement of a bankruptcy involving a company that provided temporary staffing, and final liquidation of a large farming and ranching operation. During 2002, gross loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased \$554.1 million or 25.8%. The majority of the loan growth occurred in the real estate and commercial loan portfolios. This loan growth accounted for more than one third of the increase in the provision for loan losses in 2002. Non-performing loans decreased to \$15.9 million as of December 31, 2002 as compared to \$23.0 million at December 31, 2001.

The provision for loan losses was \$15.3 million for 2001 compared to \$4.7 million for 2000, a 227.7% increase. Non-performing loans increased to \$23.0 million as of December 31, 2001 as compared to \$20.7 million at December 31, 2000. Part of the increase in provision for loan losses in 2001 was the result of charge-offs in our Oklahoma region with smaller increases in our Kansas and Florida markets. Approximately \$6.8 million of the charge-offs in Gold Bank-Oklahoma can be attributed primarily to five borrowers. This includes one borrower who had pledged publicly traded common stock as collateral to secure a \$3.0 million dollar loan. The common stock became worthless when it was delisted and the corporation subsequently declared bankruptcy in November 2001. We then charged off the outstanding loan balance on this loan. The second borrower was a distributor of sports apparel whose loan was placed on non-accrual in 2000 and was liquidated in 2001.

The provision for loan losses was \$4.7 million for 2000 compared to \$11.6 million for 1999, a 59.5% decrease. Non-performing loans increased to \$20.7 million as of December 31, 2000 as compared to \$8.1 million at December 31, 1999.

*Non-Interest Income.* The following table presents the components of our non-interest income for the years indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Service fees . . . . .	\$17,457	\$15,526	\$10,802
Investment trading fees and commissions . . . . .	5,649	6,017	2,697
Net gains on sales of mortgage loans . . . . .	2,201	1,848	5,335
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on securities . . . . .	9,500	1,651	(1,976)
Information technology services . . . . .	17,809	13,308	4,385
Trust fees . . . . .	2,414	2,297	2,345
Bank-owned life insurance . . . . .	3,463	3,038	—
Merchant fees . . . . .	—	—	1,243
Other income . . . . .	5,049	1,297	4,006
Total non-interest income . . . . .	<u>\$63,542</u>	<u>\$44,982</u>	<u>\$28,837</u>
Non-interest income as a percentage of average total assets . . . . .	1.88%	1.58%	1.09%

Non-interest income was \$63.5 million for 2002 compared to \$45.0 million for 2001 a 41.3% increase. Service fees increased \$1.9 million due primarily to fee income on the investments of the Company. Investment trading fees and commissions declined by \$368,000 due to declining activity in the stock and bond markets. Gains on the sales of mortgage loans increased \$353,000, or 19.1%, in 2002 due to the increased activity in mortgage refinancing and subsequent sales to the secondary market. Realized and unrealized gains on securities increased from \$1.7 million to \$9.5 million. Approximately \$2.9 million of such realized gains came from the sale of an equity security that was an investment of our merchant banking subsidiary. The remainder of such realized gains were primarily from the sale of a substantial portion of Gold Bank-Oklahoma's portfolio of mortgage-backed securities, which had an average yield of approximately 7.5%. Faced with increasing prepayments on these higher yielding mortgage-backed securities, we decided to liquidate them and capture our gain on these securities. Information technology services income increased from \$13.3 million to \$17.8 million which was primarily attributable to sales generated through a full year of sales from the acquisition of Information Products, Inc. ("IPI"), as opposed to a partial year of sales in 2001. Trust fees increased by \$117 thousand, due to increased marketing of trust services and the revenues derived from the George K. Baum acquisition. Other income increased by \$3.7 million primarily due to the sale of four rural Kansas branches.

Non-interest income was \$45.0 million for 2001 compared to \$28.8 million for 2000, a 56.2% increase. Investment trading fees and commissions increased from \$2.7 million to \$6.0 million, which reflects increased volume of the Company's brokerage operations.

*Non-Interest Expense.* The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for the years indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Salaries and employee benefits . . . . .	\$ 52,367	\$46,578	\$ 43,902
Information technology services cost of sales . . . . .	12,492	8,635	1,777
Net occupancy expense . . . . .	6,420	5,811	7,923
Depreciation expense . . . . .	6,392	5,853	6,199
Goodwill amortization expense . . . . .	—	2,003	2,488
Core deposit intangible amortization expense . . . . .	500	173	—
Consolidation/repositioning/pooling expense . . . . .	—	(4,569)	32,350
Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds . . . . .	136	1,099	1,252
Professional services . . . . .	6,067	4,540	3,102
Data processing expense . . . . .	6,843	4,558	2,740
Advertising . . . . .	2,748	2,045	2,154
Postage . . . . .	1,171	1,349	1,287
Supplies . . . . .	1,223	1,511	1,412
Telephone . . . . .	2,161	1,721	1,632
Other . . . . .	11,565	9,865	9,132
Total non-interest expense . . . . .	<u>\$110,085</u>	<u>\$91,172</u>	<u>\$117,350</u>
Efficiency Ratio . . . . .	<u>62.19%</u>	<u>64.84%</u>	<u>69.46%</u>

Total non-interest expense was \$110.1 million for 2002 compared to \$91.2 million for 2001, a 20.7% increase. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$5.8 million and occupancy expenses increased \$609 thousand. Both of these increases are primarily the result of opening new facilities and staffing them accordingly. Information technology services cost of sales increased from \$8.6 million to \$12.5 million due to cost of sales incurred with the increased sales of information technology services from \$13.3 million to \$18.1 million. These additional sales and the related cost of sales were primarily the result of a full year of activity from the acquisition of IPI as compared to a partial year in 2001. Other non-interest expense was lower in 2001 because consolidation/repositioning/ pooling/mortgage subsidiary closing expenses were a negative \$4.6 million in 2001. The Company also adopted the accounting standard relating to goodwill and did not record any goodwill amortization in 2002. The adoption of the new accounting standard decreased non-interest expense in 2002 by \$1.9 million. In 2002, the amount of improper credits and expenses related to the misconduct of Mr. Gullion and Mr. Rector with respect to improper credits to Mr. Gullion's bank account and personal expenses that were improperly paid or reimbursed by Gold Bank-Kansas totaled \$136,000 and are listed as "Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds." Professional services increased from \$4.5 million to \$6.1 million, or an increase of \$1.6 million. A significant portion of this increase was from the costs of litigation seeking protection of the Company's "More Than Money" trademark. Data processing expenses increased \$2.3 million due to adding facilities. Advertising expenses also increased \$703 thousand, which was a result of increased marketing efforts at the Bank locations.

Total non-interest expense was \$91.1 million for 2001 compared to \$117.4 million for 2000, a 22.3% decrease. The principal reason for this decrease was that other non-interest expense was lower in 2001 primarily because consolidation/repositioning/ pooling/mortgage subsidiary closing expenses were \$32.4 million in 2000 and a negative \$4.6 million in 2001. We also recorded a recovery related to the Regional Holding Company arbitration settlement award of \$4.6 million in 2001 in this expense category. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$2.7 million while occupancy expenses decreased

\$2.1 million. Information technology services cost of sales increased from \$1.7 million to \$8.6 million due to cost of sales incurred with the increased sales of information technology services from \$4.4 million to \$13.3 million. These additional sales and the related cost of sales were primarily the result of additional activity from the acquisition of IPI. In 2001, the "Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds" consists of \$199,000 of improper credits to Mr. Gullion's bank account and personal expenses that were improperly paid or reimbursed by Gold Bank-Kansas and \$900,000 related to the deposit of a check payable to Gold Bank-Kansas into Mr. Gullion's account that was part of a real estate transaction involving a business acquaintance of Mr. Gullion. See "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." The restated amounts in 2001 reflect the reclassification of these improper credits and expenses into this expense category.

Total non-interest expense was \$117.4 million for 2000 compared to \$83.4 million for 1999, a 40.7% increase. The primary reason for this increase was the increase in consolidation/repositioning/pooling expense from \$4.6 million in 1999 to \$32.4 million in 2000. Other non-interest expenses were similar in 2000 compared to 1999. In 2000, the "Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds" consists of \$252,000 of improper credits to Mr. Gullion's bank account and personal expenses that were improperly paid or reimbursed by Gold Bank-Kansas and \$1,000,000 related to the misappropriation of Gold Bank-Kansas funds by Mr. Gullion that were part of a real estate transaction involving a business acquaintance of Mr. Gullion. See "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." The restated amounts in 2000 reflect the reclassification of these improper credits and expenses into this expense category.

*Income Tax Expense.* Income tax expense was \$11.7 million for 2002 compared to \$4.3 million for 2001, a \$7.4 million, or 172.1%, increase. Income tax expense for 2001 was \$4.3 million, or \$1.1 million less than 2000. Income tax expense for 2000 was \$5.4 million compared to \$2.6 million for 1999, a 107.7% increase. The effective tax rates for 2002, 2001 and 2000 were 30.9%, 15.6% and 1,968%, respectively. The 2002, 2001 and 2000 effective tax rates differ from the expected rate of 35% due primarily to the non-taxable income recorded from the Company's investment in their bank-owned life insurance policies as well as the Company's investment in tax-exempt securities, the tax adjustments generated by the use of a capital loss carryforward, the recording of the Regional Holding Company arbitration settlement award as non-taxable and the non-deductible expenses associated with the closing of the mortgage company and pooling expenses associated with our acquisitions.

*Asset/Liability Management.* Asset/liability management refers to management's efforts to minimize fluctuations in net interest income caused by interest rate changes. This is accomplished by managing the repricing of interest rate sensitive interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. An interest rate sensitive balance sheet item is one that is able to reprice quickly through maturity or otherwise. Controlling the maturity or repricing of an institution's assets and liabilities in order to minimize interest rate risk is commonly referred to as gap management. Close matching of the repricing of assets and liabilities will normally result in little change in net interest income when interest rates change. A mismatched gap position will normally result in greater changes in net interest income as interest rates change.

Along with internal gap management reports, we and our Banks use an asset/liability modeling methodology to analyze each Bank's current gap position. That methodology simulates our Banks' asset and liability base and projects future net interest income under several interest rate assumptions. We strive to maintain an aggregate gap position, so that changes in interest rates will not negatively affect net interest income by more than 10% in any 12 month period.

The following table indicates that at December 31, 2002, in the event of a sudden and sustained increase in prevailing market rates, our net interest income would be expected to increase, while a decrease in rates would indicate a decrease in income.

Changes in Interest Rate	Net Interest Income	Change	Percent Change
200 basis point rise	\$140,479,000	\$11,509,000	8.9%
100 basis point rise	135,960,000	6,990,000	5.4%
Base rate scenario	128,970,000	—	—
50 basis point decline	127,275,000	(1,695,000)	(1.3)%
100 basis point decline	126,112,000	(2,858,000)	(2.2)%

The following table sets forth the maturities of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at December 31, 2002.

	Interest Rate Sensitivity				Total
	0-3 Months	4 Months to 12 Months	Over 1 to 5 Years	Over 5 Years	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
<b>Rate Sensitive Assets:</b>					
Loans	\$1,393,752	\$ 333,722	\$726,993	\$275,884	\$2,730,351
Investment securities	202,787	223,161	257,910	52,227	736,085
Other interest-bearing assets	193	—	—	—	193
Total rate sensitive assets	<u>\$1,596,732</u>	<u>\$ 556,883</u>	<u>\$984,903</u>	<u>\$328,111</u>	<u>\$3,466,629</u>
<b>Rate Sensitive Liabilities:</b>					
Savings deposits and interest-bearing checking	\$ 900,167	—	—	—	\$ 900,167
Time deposits	330,639	799,464	384,855	765	1,515,723
Short-term borrowings	179,253	—	—	—	179,253
Long-term borrowings	166,298	77,406	122,709	295,572	661,985
Total rate-sensitive liabilities	<u>\$1,576,357</u>	<u>\$ 876,870</u>	<u>\$507,564</u>	<u>\$296,337</u>	<u>\$3,257,128</u>
Net gap	<u>\$ 20,375</u>	<u>\$(319,987)</u>	<u>\$477,339</u>	<u>\$ 31,774</u>	<u>\$ 209,501</u>
Cumulative gap	\$ 20,375	\$(299,612)	\$177,727	\$209,501	
Cumulative ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	101.29%	84.92%	106.00%	106.43%	
Ratio of cumulative gap to interest-earning assets	1.28%	(13.91)%	5.66%	6.04%	

The cumulative gap value indicated above indicates that a small rise or fall in interest rates would not have a material effect on net interest income. Our ability to reprice rates on savings deposits and interest bearing checking accounts in line with our markets or need for deposits helps with the management of margins. Historically, rate changes on these deposits have not reflected the full effect of overall rate movements.

## Financial Condition

### *Lending Activities*

*Commercial Loans.* This category includes loans to service, retail, wholesale and light manufacturing businesses, including agricultural service businesses. Commercial loans were \$816.5 million as of December 31, 2002, or 29.9% of total loans, as compared to \$629.6 million as of December 31, 2001, or 29.1% of total loans. This increase of \$187.6 million, or 29.7%, can be attributable to a continued increase in market share in the Kansas City metro area, and contributions from our expansion into the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Sarasota and Tampa, Florida markets.

*Real Estate Construction.* Commercial real estate loans totaled \$357.4 million at December 31, 2002, compared to \$203.8 million at December 31, 2001, an increase of \$153.6 million, or 75.4%. This increase primarily reflects the continual increase in residential and commercial construction activity in Johnson County, Kansas, as well as an increased presence in our Florida market area.

*Real Estate Loans.* Real estate loans represent the largest class of our loans. We categorize real estate loans as follows:

- *Commercial.* Commercial real estate loans increased to \$959.3 million at December 31, 2002 compared to \$396.9 million at December 31, 2001, an increase of \$562.4 million, or 141.7%. This increase was the direct result of increased market share in the Kansas City metro area, continued expansion into the Oklahoma City marketplace, and new expansion into the Sarasota and Tampa Bay markets.
- *1 to 4 Family Residential.* Residential loans totaled \$260.3 million at December 31, 2002, compared to \$558.3 million at December 31, 2001, a decrease of \$298.0 million, or 53.4%. Loans in this category consist primarily of owner-occupied residential loans. This decrease is due to the sale of loans held in our Florida charter's portfolio, and due to significant refinancing due to all time record lows in the home mortgage market.
- *Agricultural.* This category consists of loans secured by agricultural real estate. Agricultural loans totaled \$81.2 million at December 31, 2002, compared to \$53.5 million at December 31, 2001, an increase of \$27.7 million, or 51.8%. This increase in loans corresponds with a similar decrease in agricultural loans as the bank is focusing on improving its portfolio by adding additional security in the form of real estate, and properly structuring the borrower's balance sheet to improve cash flow.
- *Mortgage Loans Held for Sale.* Mortgage loans held for sale represent residential loans intended to be sold to secondary investors and in the process of being delivered. Mortgage loans held for sale totaled \$25.1 million at December 31, 2002, compared to \$11.3 million at December 31, 2001, an increase of \$13.8 million, or 121.7%. This increase was the result of the timing of the sale of individual loans and the high volume of refinancing in 2002.

*Agricultural Loans.* Agricultural loans are typically made to farmers, small corporate farms, and feed and grain dealers. Agricultural loans were \$160.0 million as of December 31, 2002, as compared to \$196.6 million as of December 31, 2001, a decrease of \$36.7 million, or 18.6%. Agricultural loans as a percent of total loans decreased from 9.09% in 2001 to 5.86% in 2002. The decrease in agricultural loans was due to shift of debt from short term to long term to improve cash flow as described above in agricultural real estate loans.

*Consumer and Other Loans.* Loans classified as consumer and other loans include automobile, other personal loans and credit card loans. The majority of these are installment loans with fixed interest rates. Consumer and other loans were \$70.4 million as of December 31, 2002, compared to \$112.4 million as of December 31, 2001, a decrease of \$42.0 million, or 37.3%. Consumer and other

loans represented 2.58% of total loans as of December 31, 2002, a decrease from 5.20% as of December 31, 2001.

The following table presents the balance of each major category of our loans as of December 31 of each year.

	2002		2001		2000		1999		1998	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
(Dollars in thousands)										
Commercial . . . . .	\$ 816,542	29.92%	\$ 629,572	29.12%	\$ 535,258	27.50%	\$ 504,393	27.72%	\$ 549,348	36.05%
Real estate construction . . . . .	357,351	13.08%	203,785	9.42%	188,118	9.67%	114,425	6.29%	50,696	3.33%
Real estate(1) . . . . .	1,300,940	47.64%	1,008,694	46.65%	769,118	39.51%	700,497	38.49%	517,761	33.98%
Loans held for sale . . . . .	25,134	0.92%	11,335	0.52%	134,081	6.89%	149,560	8.22%	93,583	6.14%
Agricultural . . . . .	159,950	5.86%	196,612	9.09%	202,714	10.42%	210,985	11.59%	143,437	9.41%
Consumer and other loans . . . . .	70,434	2.58%	112,407	5.20%	116,879	6.01%	139,988	7.69%	169,033	11.09%
Total loans . . . . .	2,730,351	100.00%	2,162,405	100.00%	1,946,168	100.00%	1,819,848	100.00%	1,523,858	100.00%
Less allowance for loan losses . . . . .	33,439		26,097		26,180		26,038		20,141	
Total . . . . .	<u>\$2,696,912</u>		<u>\$2,136,308</u>		<u>\$1,919,988</u>		<u>\$1,793,810</u>		<u>\$1,503,717</u>	

(1) Includes commercial real estate loans, agriculture real estate loans and 1 to 4 family residential real estate loans.

The following table sets forth the repricing of portfolio loans and the amount of loans with predetermined interest rates and floating rates outstanding as of December 31, 2002.

Loan category:	0-3 Months	4 Months to 12 Months	Over 1 to 5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Commercial . . . . .	\$ 556,338	\$ 68,295	\$ 162,756	\$ 29,153	\$ 816,542
Real estate construction . . . . .	219,447	46,143	70,596	21,165	357,351
Real estate . . . . .	476,660	180,004	455,068	189,208	1,300,940
Loans held for sale . . . . .	1,277	—	—	23,857	25,134
Agricultural loans . . . . .	125,959	23,984	9,785	222	159,950
Consumer and other loans . . . . .	13,396	15,296	29,463	12,279	70,434
Total Loans . . . . .	<u>\$1,393,077</u>	<u>\$333,722</u>	<u>\$727,668</u>	<u>\$275,884</u>	<u>\$2,730,351</u>

As of December 31, 2002, loans repricing after one year includes approximately \$539 million in fixed rate loans and \$465 million in floating or adjustable rate loans.

*Asset Quality.* We follow regulatory guidelines in placing loans on a non-accrual basis and place loans with doubtful principal repayment on a non-accrual basis, whether current or past due. We consider non-performing assets to include all non-accrual loans, other loans 90 days or more past due as to principal and interest, other real estate owned ("OREO") and repossessed assets. We do not return a loan to accrual status until it is brought current with respect to both principal and interest and future principal payments are no longer in doubt. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, any previously accrued and uncollected interest income is reversed against current income. We would have recorded additional interest in the amounts of \$763,000, \$1,955,000, and \$1,381,000, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, if non-accrual loans had been current during these periods.

Restructured and impaired loans, other than non-accrual loans, are considered insignificant for all years presented.



Non-performing assets are summarized in the following table:

	December 31,				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
		(Restated)	(Restated)		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Loans:					
Loans past due 90 days or more still accruing . . .	\$ 790	\$ 5,270	\$ 869	\$ 1,757	\$ 2,214
Non-accrual loans . . . . .	<u>15,077</u>	<u>17,737</u>	<u>19,853</u>	<u>6,094</u>	<u>5,021</u>
Non-performing loans . . . . .	15,867	23,007	20,722	7,851	7,235
Other assets . . . . .	4,366	5,288	141	93	88
Foreclosed assets held for sale . . . . .	<u>1,993</u>	<u>4,217</u>	<u>3,573</u>	<u>2,749</u>	<u>3,035</u>
Non-performing assets . . . . .	<u>\$22,226</u>	<u>\$32,512</u>	<u>\$24,436</u>	<u>\$10,693</u>	<u>\$10,358</u>
Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans . . . . .	<u>0.58%</u>	<u>1.06%</u>	<u>1.06%</u>	<u>0.43%</u>	<u>0.48%</u>
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets . . . . .	<u>0.58%</u>	<u>1.08%</u>	<u>0.81%</u>	<u>0.42%</u>	<u>0.47%</u>
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total loans and OREO . . . . .	<u>0.81%</u>	<u>1.50%</u>	<u>1.25%</u>	<u>0.60%</u>	<u>0.68%</u>

The substantial decrease during 2002 in non-performing loans resulted primarily through the collection of five specific credits that were non-performing as of December 31, 2001. These non-performing loans can be summarized as follows:

- Collection in full of a \$1.3 million loan secured by a manufacturing facility in Oklahoma. As of December 31, 2001, the Bank maintained a specific allowance of \$133 thousand for this secured loan.
- Reduction of \$1.3 million through the collection and charge-off of a loan with a company involved in the manufacturing and sales of cooling towers in Oklahoma. As of December 31, 2001, the Bank maintained an allowance of \$203 thousand. During 2002, the Bank took a charge to the allowance of \$440 thousand.
- Collection of an \$892 thousand loan secured by a nursing home facility. As of December 31, 2001, the Bank maintained an allowance of \$161 thousand for this loan. Upon final settlement, the Bank took a charge to the allowance of \$192 thousand.
- Collection of a \$1.16 million loan to a manufacturing company that was enhanced with guarantees from the State of Kansas and the Small Business Administration. As of December 31, 2001, the Bank maintained an allowance of \$119 thousand for this credit, and upon final settlement in 2002 charged off \$167 thousand against the allowance for loan losses.
- Collection in full of a \$1.5 million commercial real estate construction loan that had experienced numerous construction delays. As of December 31, 2001, the Bank maintained an allowance of \$150 thousand for this credit.

The drop in non-performing assets in 2002 also can be attributed to the liquidation of two commercial properties during 2002 that were acquired through a settlement agreement in 2001 from a company involved in the distribution of sports apparel located in Oklahoma and \$1.1 million of condemnation proceeds related to the condemnation of land purchased as part of a real estate transaction involving a business acquaintance of Mr. Gullion for \$4.4 million. See "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below.

The increase in non-performing loans during 2001 primarily resulted from three loans. These loans can be summarized as follows:

- \$2.0 million of loans related to a company operating an export company and a nursing home. The assets and real estate of the two businesses secured this loan. We recorded a reserve of \$437 thousand related to these two loans based on management's assessment of the estimated liquidation value of collateral. The loan was charged down \$500 thousand in December 2001 due to the deteriorating collateral values caused by the loss of previously held guarantees securing the foreign accounts receivables.
- \$1.2 million loan to a manufacturing company. We recorded a reserve of only \$120 thousand during the year as this loan was being guaranteed by the State of Kansas and a small SBA guarantee.
- \$1.5 million commercial real estate construction loan. The borrower became delinquent in making payments during 2001 due to construction project delays. We specifically allocated a reserve of \$150 thousand due to the collateral value securing the loan.

This increase in non-performing loans during 2001 was partially offset by the liquidation and substantial charge-offs of certain loans that comprised the increase in non-performing loans in 2000.

Approximately \$5.1 million of the increase in non-performing assets during 2001 can be attributed to Gold Bank—Kansas classifying its interest in other real estate purchased as part of a real estate transaction involving a business acquaintance of Mr. Gullion as a non-performing asset. See "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

*Allowance for Loan Losses.* The success of a bank depends to a significant extent upon the quality of its assets, particularly loans. This is highlighted by the fact that net loans were 70.8% of our total assets as of December 31, 2002. Credit losses are inherent in the lending business. The risk of loss will vary with general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and the quality of the collateral in the case of a collateralized loan, among other things. Management maintains an allowance for loan losses based on industry standards, management's experience, historical experience, an evaluation of economic conditions and regular reviews of delinquencies and loan portfolio quality. Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectability of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for probable loan losses.

Each of the Banks, on a monthly basis, reviews its loan portfolio, and specifically analyzes loans which are internally classified as having above-average risk. Each Bank determines the level of reserves to be established for each type of loan based on historical losses, as adjusted for current economic conditions. For specific high risk loans, the Bank analyzes the collateral securing such loan to determine if adequate collateral value is available to cover the indebtedness in the event of default and liquidation of such collateral. If the Bank determines that the principal amount of the high risk loan minus the estimated liquidation value of the collateral is less than the specific loan loss reserve assigned to such loan, then the Bank records an additional specific loan loss reserve for such loan. In general, increasing or decreasing risks in a certain industry type, or changes in the collateral value of specifically identified high risk loans, will impact negatively or positively on the reserve for loan losses.

We actively manage our past due and non-performing loans in each Bank in an effort to minimize credit losses and monitor asset quality to maintain an adequate loan loss allowance. Although management believes its allowance for loan losses is adequate for each Bank and collectively, there can be no assurance that the allowance will prove sufficient to cover future loan losses. Further, although management uses the best information available to make determinations with respect to the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used, or adverse developments arise with respect to non-performing or performing loans.

Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover loan losses or that significant increases to the allowance will not be required in the future if economic conditions should worsen. Material additions to the allowance for loan losses would result in a decrease of our net income and capital and could result in the inability to pay dividends, among other adverse consequences.

The allowance for loan losses on December 31, 2002, totaled \$33.4 million, or 1.23% of outstanding loans, compared to \$26.1 million, or 1.21% of outstanding loans, at December 31, 2001. The increase in our allowance for loan losses during 2002 reflects the \$568 million or 20.8% increase in our loan portfolio. As part of the internal investigation and the examination by bank regulatory authorities, a careful review was made of loans made by Gold Bank-Kansas, Gold Bank-Oklahoma or Gold Bank-Florida in which Mr. Gullion had a role in initiating or approving the loans. Based on an analysis of the creditworthiness of the borrowers on those loans and the value of any collateral securing those loans, bank regulatory authorities indicated that Gold Bank-Kansas should increase its allowance for loan losses by \$2.0 million as of December 31, 2002. Our non-performing loans as a percentage of our total loans declined from 1.06% at December 31, 2001 to 0.63% at December 31, 2002. See discussion in the provision for loan losses section for additional detail on the provision for loan loss and charge-offs. Charge-offs were \$13.8 million, recoveries were \$1.8 million and provisions charged to expense were \$17.4 million in 2001.

The allowance for loan losses on December 31, 2001 totaled \$26.1 million, a \$100 thousand decrease from the prior year. Charge-offs were \$17.1 million, recoveries were \$1.7 million and provisions charged to expenses were \$15.3 million in 2001.

The allowance for loan losses on December 31, 2000, totaled \$26.2 million, a \$100 thousand increase from the prior year. Charge-offs were \$6.5 million, recoveries were \$1.9 million and provisions charged to expenses were \$4.7 million in 2000.

The following table sets forth activity in our allowance for loan losses during the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Total net loans outstanding at the end of the year . . . . .	\$2,696,587	\$2,136,308	\$1,919,988	\$1,793,810	\$1,503,717
Average net loans outstanding during the year . . . . .	2,391,261	1,965,761	1,868,494	1,627,212	1,353,397
Allowance for loan losses, beginning of the year . . . . .	26,097	26,180	26,038	20,141	16,455
Charge-offs:					
Commercial . . . . .	6,842	13,101	4,112	4,652	1,653
Real estate					
Commercial . . . . .	1,596	448	251	392	1,089
Construction . . . . .	1,202	16	—	—	80
1 to 4 family residential . . . . .	858	584	309	265	153
Agricultural . . . . .	1,212	817	186	141	—
Loans held for sale . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—
Total real estate . . . . .	4,868	1,865	746	798	1,322
Agricultural . . . . .	828	658	213	1,757	825
Consumer and other . . . . .	1,299	1,476	1,404	2,371	1,638
Total charge-offs . . . . .	13,837	17,100	6,475	9,578	5,438
Recoveries:					
Commercial . . . . .	801	798	774	794	580
Real estate					
Commercial . . . . .	309	55	54	68	159
Construction . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—
1 to 4 family residential . . . . .	15	105	91	202	31
Agricultural . . . . .	27	16	105	12	53
Loans held for sale . . . . .	—	—	—	5	—
Total real estate . . . . .	351	176	250	287	243
Agricultural . . . . .	203	210	391	115	531
Consumer and other . . . . .	404	519	529	567	293
Total recoveries . . . . .	1,759	1,703	1,944	1,763	1,647
Net charge-offs . . . . .	12,078	15,397	4,531	7,815	3,791
Provision charged to operations . . . . .	19,420	15,314	4,673	11,586	5,111
Adjustments due to acquired companies . . . . .	—	—	—	2,126	2,366
Allowance for loan losses, end of year . . . . .	\$ 33,439	\$ 26,097	\$ 26,180	\$ 26,038	\$ 20,141
Ratios:					
Allowance as a percentage of total loans . . . . .	1.23%	1.21%	1.35%	1.43%	1.32%
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding . . . . .	0.44%	0.78%	0.24%	0.48%	0.28%
Allowance as a percentage of non-performing loans . . . . .	210.75%	113.42%	126.34%	331.65%	278.38%

The following table sets forth the allocation of our allowances for loans losses among categories of loans as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:

	Dec. 31, 2002 Amount	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Dec. 31, 2001 Amount	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Dec. 31, 2000 Amount	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Commercial . . . . .	\$11,408	29.92%	\$ 7,598	29.12%	\$10,498	27.50%
Real estate construction . . . . .	4,114	13.08%	2,459	9.42%	1,463	9.67%
Real estate . . . . .	14,976	47.64%	12,173	46.65%	9,161	39.51%
Loans held for sale . . . . .	289	0.92%	137	0.52%	609	6.89%
Agricultural . . . . .	1,841	5.86%	2,373	9.09%	2,844	10.42%
Consumer and other . . . . .	811	2.58%	1,357	5.20%	1,605	6.01%
Total . . . . .	<u>\$33,439</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$26,097</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$26,180</u>	<u>100.00%</u>

The allocation percentages assigned to each category of loans have been developed based on an analysis of historical loss rates, specific reserves and general reserves. The portion of the allowance allocated to commercial loans at December 31, 2001 increased by \$2.9 million primarily as a result of the charge offs described above. In addition, the Company, upon the suggestion of the bank regulatory authorities, increased its allowance of loan losses allocated to commercial loans in 2002 to reflect those loans in which Mr. Gullion had a role in initiating or approving and either the creditworthiness of the borrower or the collateral securing such loan necessitated such additional provision. The portion of the allowance allocated to real estate and real estate construction loans increased by approximately \$2.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, primarily as a result of the increased loans in those categories.

*Investment Activities.* Our investment portfolio serves three important functions: first, it facilitates the adjustment of the balance sheet's sensitivity to changes in interest rate movements; second, it provides an outlet for investing excess funds; and third, it provides liquidity. The investment portfolio is structured to maximize the return on invested funds within conservative risk management guidelines.

The portfolio is comprised primarily of available for sale securities, which includes U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency obligations, state municipal obligations, Federal Reserve Bank stock, Fannie Mae stock, and Federal Home Loan Bank stock. The U.S. government agency obligations include Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC") notes, Fannie Mae notes and mortgage-backed securities, Federal Home Loan Bank notes and Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") mortgage-backed securities. As of December 31, 2002, the available for sale portfolio totaled \$531.0 million, including a net unrealized gain of \$5.6 million.

The investment portfolio increased \$147.3 million, or 25.0%, during 2002. We received \$148 million in cash in connection with our purchase of \$149 million in deposits at the four Encore Bank branches that we acquired. We invested this cash in U.S. Treasury securities, which have a risk-based capital weight of 0%. During 2001, the investment portfolio increased \$62.8 million, or 12.0%. The investment portfolio increased \$70.8 million, or 15.6%, during 2000. The increases in 2000 and 2001 were the result of increased activity at certain Banks.

The composition of the investment portfolio as of December 31, 2002 was 19.5% U.S. Treasury and agency securities, 10.5% state and municipal securities, 57.7% mortgage-backed securities, 0.5% trading securities and 11.8% other securities. The comparable distribution for December 31, 2001 was 9.6% U.S. Treasury and agency securities, 11.0% state and municipal securities, 67.5% mortgage-backed securities, 1.1% trading securities and 10.8% other securities. The estimated maturity of the investment portfolio on December 31, 2002 was three years. The decrease in mortgage-backed securities in 2002

was due to the sale in the fourth quarter of a substantial portion of Gold Bank-Oklahoma's portfolio of higher yielding mortgage-backed securities. The investment portfolio represented 19.3% and 19.4% of total assets at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The composition of the investment portfolio as of December 31, 2001 was 9.6% U.S. Treasury and agency securities, 11.0% state and municipal securities, 67.5% mortgage-backed securities, 1.1% trading securities and 10.8% other securities. The comparable distribution for December 31, 2000 was 56.3% U.S. Treasury and agency securities, 14.8% state and municipal securities, 22.1% mortgage-backed securities, 2.0% trading securities and 4.8% other securities. The estimated maturity of the investment portfolio on December 31, 2001 was three years. The increase in mortgage backed securities in 2001 was due to adding approximately \$130 million during the second quarter of 2001 to the portfolio of Gold Bank-Oklahoma and Gold Bank-Florida. The investment portfolio represented 19.5% and 19.4% of total assets at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The following table sets forth the composition of our investment portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Securities held to maturity:(1)			
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. agencies and corporations . . . . .	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,000
Other . . . . .	45,565	12,660	—
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	1,244	1,530	2,080
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	155,754	174	1,313
Total . . . . .	<u>\$201,563</u>	<u>\$ 14,364</u>	<u>\$ 4,393</u>
Securities available for sale:(2)			
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. agencies and corporations . . . . .	\$143,705	\$ 56,793	\$280,631
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	76,106	63,235	62,411
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	269,197	397,212	141,150
Held for trading(3) . . . . .	3,485	6,668	3,265
Other(4) . . . . .	42,029	50,506	34,131
Total investment securities . . . . .	<u>\$736,085</u>	<u>\$588,778</u>	<u>\$525,981</u>

(1) Held to maturity securities are carried at amortized cost.

(2) Available for sale securities are carried at fair value.

(3) Trading securities are carried at fair value.

(4) Includes Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve stock and Fannie Mae stock.

The following table sets forth a summary of maturities in the investment portfolio at December 31, 2002.

	One year or less		Over One Year Through 5 Years		Over 5 Years Through 10 Years		Over 10 years		Total	
	Amount	Weighted Yield	Amount	Weighted Yield	Amount	Weighted Yield	Amount	Weighted Yield	Amount	Weighted Yield
(At carrying value) (Dollars in thousands)										
U.S. Treasury and other										
U.S. agencies and corporations . . . . .	\$22,687	3.28%	\$121,108	3.50%	\$ —	—%	\$ —	—%	\$143,705	3.47%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	6,072	7.11%	31,492	6.88%	17,630	6.59%	20,912	25.25%	76,106	11.88%
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	15,846	3.06%	215,673	4.75%	76,775	5.10%	116,656	5.16%	424,951	4.86%
Other . . . . .	5,762	4.90%	5,232	8.39%	3,750	4.15%	41,658	6.88%	56,402	6.64%
Total . . . . .	<u>\$50,367</u>	<u>3.86%</u>	<u>\$373,415</u>	<u>4.58%</u>	<u>\$98,155</u>	<u>5.33%</u>	<u>\$179,226</u>	<u>7.90%</u>	<u>\$701,164</u>	<u>5.48%</u>

The above table does not include trading securities of \$3.5 million and investments without stated maturities of \$31.4 million, which consists principally of Federal Home Loan Bank stock.

**Leveraging Our Capital.** Because U.S. government agency securities have a risk-based capital weight of only 20% (compared to a 100% risk-based capital weight for commercial loans), we have leveraged our capital through investments in such securities. We have increased our investments in U.S. government agency issued mortgaged-backed securities financed by repurchase agreements and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank. We have matched the expected 3 to 5-year duration of these mortgage-backed securities with repurchase agreements and Federal Home Loan Bank advances with similar maturities to achieve a favorable interest rate spread. Because these mortgage-backed securities may prepay faster or slower than we anticipate, we may not achieve our expected interest rate spread on these securities.

**Deposit Activities.** Deposits are the major source of our Banks' funds for lending and other investment purposes. In addition to deposits, our Banks derive funds from interest payments, loan principal payments, loan and securities sales, and funds from operations. Scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds while deposit inflows are significantly influenced by general interest rates and money market conditions. Our Banks may use borrowings on a short-term basis, if necessary, to compensate for reductions in the availability of other sources of funds, or borrowings may be used on a longer-term basis for general business purposes.

Deposits are attracted principally from within our Banks' primary market area through the offering of a broad variety of deposit instruments including: checking accounts, money market accounts, savings accounts, certificates of deposit (including jumbo certificates in denominations of \$100 thousand or more), and retirement savings plans. Our Banks have aggressively attempted to obtain deposits in selected markets to increase market share or meet particular liquidity needs. We have used brokered deposits and have sought to attract deposits outside our market areas. On December 31, 2002, we had approximately \$249.6 million of deposits, compared with \$57.5 million on December 31, 2001, which were obtained through brokers. Brokered deposits represented 9.2% of our total deposits as of December 31, 2002.

Maturity terms, service fees and withdrawal penalties are established by our Banks on a periodic basis. The determination of rates and terms is predicated on funds transaction and liquidity requirements, rates paid by competitors, growth goals and federal obligations.

During 2002, average balances of non-interest bearing demand deposits increased \$21.3 million, or 9.0%; average balances of savings and interest bearing deposits increased \$116.6 million, or 16.8%; and average balances of time deposits increased \$229.6 million, or 19.5%. As of December 31, 2002, the balance of total deposits had increased \$552.7 million compared to December 31, 2001. This increase is due to increases of \$189.9 million in time deposits less than \$100 thousand, a \$153.9 million increase in time deposits greater than \$100 thousand, and a \$170.4 million increase in savings and now accounts. Approximately \$149 million of the increase was the direct result of purchasing four bank branches from Encore Bank in 2002.

During 2001, average balances of non-interest bearing demand deposits decreased \$21.6 million, or 8.3%; average balances of savings and interest bearing deposits increased \$83.4 million, or 13.7%; and average balances of time deposits increased \$62.9 million, or 5.6%. As of December 31, 2001, the balance of total deposits had increased \$30.0 million compared to December 31, 2000. This increase is primarily due to decreases of \$71.9 million in time deposits less than \$100 thousand and \$34.5 million increase in time deposits greater than \$100 thousand.

During 2000, average balances of non-interest bearing demand deposits increased \$17.3 million, or 7.2%; average balances of savings and interest bearing deposits decreased \$50.4 million, or 7.6%; and average balances of time deposits increased \$131.2 million, or 13.3%. As of December 31, 2000, the balance of total deposits had increased \$127.7 million compared to December 31, 1999. This increase is primarily due to increases of \$45.3 million in time deposits less than \$100,000 and \$87.7 million increase in time deposits greater than \$100,000.

The following table sets forth the average balances and weighted average rates for our categories of deposits at the dates indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2002			2001			2000		
	Average Balance	Average Rate	% of Total Deposits	Average Balance	Average Rate	% of Total Deposits	Average Balance	Average Rate	% of Total Deposits
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Non-interest bearing demand . . . . .	\$ 258,897	—	10.45%	\$ 237,571	—	11.26%	\$ 259,202	—	13.06%
Savings deposits and Interest-bearing demand . . . . .	809,875	1.49%	32.69%	693,265	2.60%	32.86%	609,911	3.63%	30.72%
Time deposits . . . . .	1,408,577	3.75%	56.86%	1,178,995	5.73%	55.88%	1,116,102	6.01%	56.22%
Total . . . . .	\$2,477,349		100.00%	\$2,109,831		100.00%	\$1,985,215		100.00%

The aggregate average balance of brokered time deposits was \$205.6 million and \$38.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The average balance of brokered time deposits for the year ended December 31, 2000 was not significant.

We do not have a concentration of deposits from any one source the loss of which would have a material adverse effect on our business.



The following table sets forth a summary of our deposits at the dates indicated.

	December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Non-interest-bearing	\$ 300,679	\$ 263,296	\$ 270,137
Interest-bearing:			
Savings and NOW accounts	905,167	728,590	654,385
Time deposits less than \$100,000			
Brokered	85,951	4,282	14,791
Retail	887,114	783,919	845,331
Time deposits greater than \$100,000			
Brokered	163,661	53,212	16,124
Retail	373,997	330,567	333,109
Total deposits	<u>\$2,716,569</u>	<u>\$2,163,866</u>	<u>\$2,133,877</u>

The following table summarizes at December 31, 2002, our certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more by time remaining until maturity (dollars in thousands).

Maturity Period:	
Less than three months	\$145,308
Over three months through six months	116,770
Over six months through twelve months	165,224
Over twelve months	<u>110,356</u>
Total	<u>\$537,658</u>

We have no other time deposits in excess of \$100,000.

#### Derivative Financial Instruments

While we have not historically used derivative instruments to manage our interest rate exposure, in August 2002 we entered into three interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of approximately \$82.6 million. These swap agreements assist the Company in the management of interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing of its liabilities under its outstanding trust preferred securities. The Company has entered into \$28.7 million, \$37.6 million, and \$16.3 million notional amount interest rate swap agreements that provide for the Company to receive a fixed rate of interest and pay an adjustable rate of interest equivalent to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate plus 2.36%, 2.83%, and 2.13%, respectively. The underlying hedged liabilities are the Company's fixed rate obligations related to the guaranteed preferred beneficial interests securities issued by GBCI Capital Trust, GBCI Capital Trust II, and ABI Capital Trust. The terms of the swap agreements provide for the Company to pay and receive interest on a quarterly basis. There were no amounts receivable or payable by the Company at December 31, 2002.

The maturity dates, notional amounts, interest rates paid and received and fair value of our interest rate swap agreements designated as fair value hedges as of December 31, 2002 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

<u>Maturity date</u>	<u>Notional amount</u>	<u>Interest rate paid</u>	<u>Interest rate received</u>	<u>Fair value</u>
December 31, 2027	\$28,750	4.16%	8.75%	\$(54)
June 30, 2029	\$37,550	4.63%	9.12%	\$621
June 30, 2008	\$16,250	3.93%	8.50%	\$ 21

The use of derivative financial instruments is intended to reduce the Company's interest rate exposure. Derivative financial instruments held by the Company for purposes of managing interest rate risk are summarized as follows:

	December 31			
	2002		2001	
	Notional amount	Credit exposure	Notional amount	Credit exposure
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Interest rate swaps . . . . .	\$82,550	—	—	—

The notional amounts of derivative financial instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a measure of the Company's credit exposure through its use of these instruments. The credit exposure represents the accounting loss the Company would incur in the event the counterparties failed completely to perform according to the terms of the derivative financial instruments and the collateral held to support the credit exposure was of no value.

During 2002, the Company received net cash flows on the interest rate swaps of \$1.3 million.

The \$28.7 million notional amount swap agreement was called by the counter-party and terminated on April 7, 2003. Under the swap agreement, no payments were due between the parties and no gain or loss was recognized by the Company. The Company does not expect to replace this terminated agreement. The remaining swap agreements are also callable by the counterparty prior to their respective maturity dates.

#### Capital and Liquidity

*Sources of Liquidity.* Liquidity defines our ability, and the ability of our Banks, to generate funds to support asset growth, satisfy other disbursement needs, meet deposit withdrawals and other fund reductions, maintain reserve requirements and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. The immediate liquidity needs of our Banks are met primarily by Federal Funds sold, short-term investments, deposits and the generally predictable cash flow (primarily repayments), from each Bank's assets. Intermediate term liquidity is provided by our Banks' investment portfolios. Our Banks also have established a credit facility with the Federal Home Loan Bank, under which our Banks are eligible for short-term advances and long-term borrowings secured by real estate loans or mortgage-related investments. Our liquidity needs and funding are provided through non-affiliated bank borrowing, cash dividends and tax payments from our subsidiary Banks. As described in Note 8 to the financial statements, we have a \$25 million line of credit with no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2002.

Cash provided by operating activities for 2002 was \$51.5 million, consisting primarily of net earnings adjusted for non-cash items, loan loss provision, which was offset by origination of loans held for sale, and an increase in other assets. Cash used in investing activities was \$709.6 million, consisting primarily of increased loans of approximately \$599.9 million, purchases of held-to-maturity securities of \$182.6 million offset by a net decrease in available for sale securities of \$24.9 million. Net activity in financing consisted of an increase in deposits of approximately \$470.3 million, proceeds from issuance of stock of \$47.3 million, increase in Fed funds of \$44.7 million, and an increase in long-term borrowings of \$123.5 million, which resulted in net cash provided of \$680.8 million.

*LaSalle Line of Credit.* Under our Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 1998 ("LaSalle Credit Line") with LaSalle Bank National Association ("LaSalle Bank"), we may borrow up to approximately \$25 million at a rate of interest equal to the greater of 3.5% per annum or LIBOR plus 1.25% per annum. Currently, we have nothing outstanding under this line of credit as we used \$23 million of the approximately \$47 million of net proceeds raised in our equity offering conducted in October 2002 to pay down the LaSalle Credit Line. We draw on the LaSalle

Credit Line from time to time to fund various corporate matters including making contributions to our bank subsidiaries to help them maintain their "well-capitalized" status.

As a result of the misappropriation of funds by Mr. Gullion and the requirement that we restate our financial statements, we may be in default under the LaSalle Credit Line. In addition, the pending formal supervisory actions against us and Gold Bank-Kansas, which are likely to result in loss of Gold Bank-Kansas's "well-managed" status (and a loss of its "satisfactory" composite rating) and the issuance of formal written agreements or cease and desist orders, may be further breaches under the LaSalle Credit Line. If the FRB or the Commissioner takes formal supervisory action against us or Gold Bank-Kansas, such action would be an additional default under the LaSalle Credit Line.

We have approached LaSalle Bank to request that it waive any existing defaults. Although we anticipate that we will be successful in securing such a waiver, a written agreement granting this waiver has not been obtained as of the date of this Amended Report. We may not be able to obtain a written waiver from LaSalle Bank until the FRB and the Commissioner have issued final reports regarding the results of the examinations of the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas. If LaSalle Bank does not waive these defaults or refuses to permit us to borrow additional funds under the LaSalle Credit Line, we anticipate that we will be able to find alternative financing on reasonable terms and conditions. If we are unable to replace this line of credit with alternative financing on reasonable terms or conditions, this could have a material adverse affect on our liquidity and restrict our ability to make contributions to our bank subsidiaries to fund additional loan growth while maintaining sufficient capital levels.

*ESOP Loan Agreement.* Under the Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of February 8, 2002 ("ESOP Loan Agreement") of our Employees' Stock Ownership Plan (the "ESOP") with LaSalle Bank, the ESOP may borrow up to \$15 million. Loans under the ESOP Loan Agreement bear interest, at the ESOP's option, at either LaSalle Bank's Prime Base Rate or LIBOR plus 1.75%. Currently, the ESOP has approximately \$13.5 million outstanding under the ESOP Loan Agreement, which it borrowed to purchase our common stock. We guarantee the ESOP's obligations under the ESOP Loan Agreement. We currently do not anticipate that the ESOP will need to borrow any further amounts under the ESOP Loan Agreement.

The ESOP may be in default under the ESOP Loan Agreement as a result of the misappropriation of funds by Mr. Gullion and the requirement that we restate our financial statements. The pending formal supervisory actions against us and Gold Bank-Kansas, which are likely to result in loss of Gold Bank-Kansas's "well-managed" status (and a loss of its "satisfactory" composite rating) and the issuance of formal written agreements or cease and desist orders, may be further breaches of the ESOP Loan Agreement. If the FRB or the Commissioner takes formal supervisory action against us or Gold Bank-Kansas, such action would be an additional default under the ESOP Loan Agreement.

The ESOP has approached LaSalle Bank to request that it waive any existing defaults. Although we anticipate that the ESOP will be successful in securing such a waiver, a written agreement granting this waiver has not been obtained as of the date of this Amended Report. The ESOP may not be able to obtain a written waiver from LaSalle Bank until the FRB and the Commissioner have issued final reports regarding the results of the examinations of the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas.

If LaSalle Bank does not waive these defaults, we anticipate that the ESOP will be able to find alternative financing on reasonable terms and conditions. In addition, at the current market price of our common stock, the ESOP owns 1,498,267 unallocated shares of our common stock which would be available to satisfy a substantial portion of the amount currently due under the ESOP Loan Agreement.

If the ESOP cannot sell the shares at a high enough price to repay the loan and the ESOP is unable to find alternative financing on reasonable terms or conditions, or at all, we would be required, as guarantor of the loan, to repay the loan. This could have a material adverse affect on our liquidity

and restrict our ability to make contributions to our bank subsidiaries to fund their additional loan growth while maintaining sufficient capital levels.

*Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines.* Currently, Gold Bank-Kansas has approximately \$16 million outstanding under the Agreement for Advances, Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of January 24, 1989, as amended (“FHLB-Des Moines Credit Line”) between Gold Bank-Kansas and Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (“FHLB-Des Moines”). As a result of the misappropriation of funds by Mr. Gullion and the requirement that we restate our financial statements, Gold Bank-Kansas may be in default under the FHLB-Des Moines Credit Line. In addition, the pending formal supervisory actions against us and Gold Bank-Kansas which are likely to result in loss of Gold Bank-Kansas’s “well-managed” status (and a loss of its “satisfactory” composite rating) and in the issuance of a formal written agreements or cease and desist orders, may be further breaches under the FHLB-Des Moines Credit Line.

We plan to approach FHLB-Des Moines to request that it waive the defaults. However, if FHLB-Des Moines does not waive these defaults or refuses to permit Gold Bank-Kansas to borrow additional funds under the FHLB-Des Moines Credit Line, we anticipate that Gold Bank-Kansas will be able to find alternative financing on reasonable terms and conditions. If Gold Bank-Kansas is unable to replace this line of credit with alternative financing on reasonable terms or conditions this could have a material adverse affect on its liquidity.

*Purchase of Gullion Stock.* We are in the process of negotiating through Mr. Gullion’s attorney the purchase of all or part of Mr. Gullion’s shares of the Company’s common stock at an appropriate price to partially satisfy his restitution obligations to the Company and to avoid the sale by his secured lender of his shares in order to satisfy his indebtedness to that lender. See “Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements—Recent Events” above. Although this purchase would use the Company’s capital resources, the Board believes having the Company purchase the shares is preferable to the alternative of Mr. Gullion, or his secured lender, liquidating a large block of shares by selling into the market and possibly depressing the Company’s stock price. The Company believes that it has adequate sources of internal capital to pay for such purchase.

*Capital.* We and our subsidiaries actively monitor the subsidiaries’ compliance with regulatory capital requirements. The elements of capital adequacy standards include strict definitions of core capital and total assets, which include off-balance sheet items such as commitments to extend credit. Under the risk-based capital method of capital measurement, the ratio computed is dependent on the amount and composition of assets recorded on the balance sheet and the amount and composition of off-balance sheet items, in addition to the level of capital. Historically, our Banks have increased core capital through retention of earnings or capital infusions. The primary source of funds available to us is dividends by our subsidiaries. Each Bank’s ability to pay dividends may be limited by regulatory requirements. At December 31, 2002, our subsidiaries could pay dividends of \$44.7 million without prior regulatory approval.

*BOLI Policies.* The Banks have purchased bank-owned life insurance (“BOLI”) policies with death benefits payable to the Banks on the lives of certain officers. These single premium, whole-life policies provide favorable tax benefits, but are illiquid investments. Federal guidelines limit a bank’s aggregate investment in BOLI to 25% of the banks’ capital and surplus, and its aggregate investment in BOLI policies from a single insurance company to 15% of the bank’s capital and surplus. All of the Banks’ BOLI investments comply with federal guidelines. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Bank-Kansas had \$28.8 million of BOLI (equal to 16.2% of its capital and surplus) compared to \$26.9 million (18.8% of its capital and surplus) as of December 31, 2001, an increase of \$1.9 million or 7.1%. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Bank-Oklahoma had \$16.6 million of BOLI (equal to 19.9% of its capital and surplus), compared to \$15.6 million (19.5% of its capital and surplus) as of December 31, 2001, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 6.4%. As of December 31, 2002, Gold Bank-Florida had \$11.1 million of

BOLI (equal to 23.0% of its capital and surplus), compared to \$10.4 million (24.5% of its capital and surplus) as of December 31, 2001, an increase of \$700 thousand, or 6.7%.

In January 2003, Gold Bank-Kansas, Gold Bank-Oklahoma and Gold Bank-Florida increased their BOLI investments by \$14 million, \$4 million and \$2 million, respectively. The aggregate BOLI investment of each Bank is now just below the maximum regulatory limit. The Banks monitor the financial condition and credit rating of each of the three life insurance companies that issued the BOLI policies. The Company believes that these BOLI investments will not have any significant impact on the capital or liquidity of the Banks.

*CompuNet Activities.* CompuNet Engineering, Inc., which was acquired in March 1999, provides information technology, e-commerce services and networking solutions for banks and other businesses. Under current Federal Reserve regulations, the data processing activities of a bank holding company and its subsidiaries must be done primarily for financial companies, and non-financial data processing activities must be limited to 30% of the bank holding company's total consolidated annual data processing revenues. When the Company acquired CompuNet, the aggregate data processing activities of the Company and CompuNet complied with this 30% limitation.

On December 21, 2000, the Federal Reserve published a proposed regulation that would permit a financial holding company to generate up to 80% of its consolidated data processing revenue from non-financial data processing activities. The proposed regulation limits the investment of a financial holding company in such data processing activities to 5% of the financial holding company's tier 1 capital. The comment period on the proposed regulation expired on February 16, 2001.

In 2001, CompuNet acquired the assets of Information Products, Inc., which provides technology services, including LAN, WAN, product support, telecommunication line monitoring, hardware, maintenance and systems design and installation across all industry sectors. This acquisition significantly increased the amount of CompuNet's non-financial data processing activities. For the year ended December 31, 2002 approximately 60% of CompuNet's revenues were non-financial in nature, and thus not in compliance with the Federal Reserve's current 30% limitation. This requires the Company to take corrective action to bring CompuNet's activities within federal regulatory requirements.

This could be accomplished by increasing CompuNet's revenues from financial data processing activities, decreasing CompuNet's revenues from non-financial data processing activities, converting CompuNet into a merchant banking investment (which may not be available if the Company is required to divest its merchant banking investments), or selling part or all of CompuNet's business to an unaffiliated third party, or other curative action. Due to the uncertainty involved in the Company's continued ownership of CompuNet, the Company currently intends to negotiate with potential buyers of ComputNet.

#### **Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices**

The primary impact of inflation on our operations is reflected in increased operating costs. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, changes in interest rates have a more significant impact on the performance of a financial institution than do changes in the general rate of inflation and changes in prices. Interest rate changes do not necessarily move in the same direction or have the same magnitude as changes in the prices of goods and services.

### Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Statement No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities". The provisions of this Statement are effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The Company does not believe that the adoption of Statement No. 146 will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 147, "Acquisitions of Certain Financial Institutions". This Statement provides guidance on the accounting for the acquisition of a financial institution and applies to all acquisitions except those between two or more mutual enterprises. Those transition provisions were effective on October 1, 2002. The scope of Statement No. 147 was amended to include long-term customer-relationship intangible assets such as depositor- and borrower-relationship intangible assets and credit cardholder intangible assets. The adoption of Statement No. 147 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Requirements for Guarantees Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others". This Interpretation elaborates on the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The disclosure requirements in this Interpretation are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. The Company does not believe the adoption of Interpretation No. 45 will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure". This Statement, which amends Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, it requires more prominent and more frequent disclosure in financial statements about the effects of stock-based compensation. The Company does not plan on changing its accounting for stock options issued.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities". This Interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, elaborates on the financial statement disclosures to be made by enterprises involved with variable interest entities, including requiring consolidation of entities in which an enterprise has a controlling financial interest that is not controlled through voting interests. The requirements in this Interpretation are effective for variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003 and the first fiscal or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003 for variable interest entities acquired before that date. The Company does not believe that the adoption of Interpretation No. 46 will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

SFAS No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment of Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2002. The Statement established a single accounting model for all long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, which is to measure a long-lived asset classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell and to cease depreciation. The Statements also establishes criteria to determine when a long-lived asset is held for sale and provides additional guidance on accounting for such specific circumstances. The adoption of the new Statement did not have a significant effect on earnings or the financial position of the Company.

### **Forward Looking Information and Statements**

The information included or incorporated by reference in this Amended Report contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future financial performance and business of our Company and its subsidiaries, including, without limitation:

- statements that are not historical in nature
- statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “intends” or similar expressions
- statements regarding the timing of the closing of the branch sales

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or results. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements due to, among others, the following factors:

- results of the joint regulatory examination of Gold Bank-Kansas and the examination of the Company
- inability to obtain waivers of defaults under our credit facilities or find alternative financing
- transition and strategies of new management
- changes in interest margins on loans
- changes in allowance for loan losses
- changes in the interest rate environment
- the effect of a change in the management rating of Gold Bank-Kansas
- competitive pressures among financial services companies may increase significantly
- general economic conditions, either nationally or in our markets, may be less favorable than expected
- legislative or regulatory changes may adversely affect the business in which our Company and its subsidiaries are engaged
- technological changes may be more difficult or expensive than anticipated
- changes may occur in the securities markets

We have described under “Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations, Financial Condition or Business” additional factors that could cause actual results of operations, business or financial condition to be materially different from those described in the forward-looking statements. Other factors that we have not identified in this Amended Report could also have this effect. You are cautioned not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statement which speaks only as of the date it was made.

### **Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations, Financial Condition or Business**

We have identified important risks and uncertainties that could affect the Company’s results of operations, business or financial condition and that could cause them to differ materially from the Company’s historical results of operations, financial condition or business, or those contemplated by forward-looking statements made herein or elsewhere, by, or on behalf of, the Company. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those factors described below.

*Internal Investigations and Regulatory Examinations.* On March 17, 2003, Malcolm M. Aslin replaced Michael W. Gullion as our Chief Executive Officer due to an on-going investigation into certain improper conduct that is summarized in “Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements” above and described more fully in “Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” below. The FRB-KC and the Commissioner have completed an investigation into these transactions as part of their regularly scheduled examinations. See “Item 3—Legal Proceedings—Internal Investigation and Regulatory Examination and Inquiries” above. In addition, the SEC is conducting an informal investigation and Nasdaq is conducting an inquiry into these matters.

On April 9, 2003, the Audit Committee met with the bank regulators to discuss the anticipated results of their examinations. At the meeting, the Company was advised by the bank regulators of the violations of law and the CAMELS ratings that will likely be included in the forthcoming reports of examinations. The regulators identified noncompliance or deficiencies in regard to Regulation H (information technology), Regulation O (loans to directors and officers), Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act (transactions with affiliates) and the Bank Secrecy Act. Based upon the discussions during this meeting, the Company expects that Gold Bank-Kansas will lose its “well-managed” status (and its “satisfactory” composite rating) and formal supervisory actions by the regulators will be taken against Gold Bank-Kansas and the Company. The formal supervisory actions may include formal written agreements with the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas, or cease and desist orders issued against the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas, intended to address and remediate the deficiencies identified by the regulators.

Until the FRB-KC determines that the Company has corrected the conditions that resulted in the loss of the its “well-managed” status, the Company may not, directly or indirectly, or through any of its subsidiaries that are not banks or subsidiaries of banks, engage in any additional financial activities other than those authorized for bank holding companies without financial holding company status, without prior written approval of the FRB-KC. If Gold Bank-Kansas does not become “well-managed” or its composite rating does not become “satisfactory” within 180 days after receipt of the Notice from the FRB-KC, the FRB-KC may take additional actions against the Company, including requiring the Company to divest its ownership of its subsidiary banks or cease engaging, directly or indirectly, in all activities other than those permissible for a bank holding company without financial holding company status. The Company does not expect to be required to divest ownership of its subsidiary banks.

*Loss of key personnel could have an adverse effect on our operations.* The loss of certain key personnel could adversely affect our operations. Our success depends in large part on the retention of a limited number of key persons, including: Malcolm M. Aslin, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Rick J. Tremblay, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. We will likely undergo a difficult transition period if we lose the services of these individuals. In recognition of this risk, we own, and are the beneficiary of, insurance policies on the lives of these key employees and have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Aslin.

We also place great value on the experience of the presidents of our subsidiary banks and the community bank presidents in each of our markets and on their relationships with the communities they serve. The loss of these key persons could negatively impact the affected banking locations. We may not be able to retain our current key personnel or attract additional qualified key persons as needed.

*We may not be able to maintain our growth rate.* It may be difficult for us to maintain our rapid rate of growth. The rural market areas we now serve offer more limited opportunities for growth than our metropolitan markets. We believe future growth in our revenues and net earnings will depend primarily on our internal growth in the metropolitan markets where we are located. Other financial



institutions in these metropolitan markets also compete intensely for assets and deposits. This competition may adversely affect our ability to profitably grow our asset and deposit base.

During the period from 1996 to 2000, we grew significantly through acquisitions. While in the future we may supplement our internal growth through future acquisitions in metropolitan markets, primarily in the Midwest and the west coast of Florida, there is great competition for such acquisition candidates. We may not be successful in identifying, or evaluating risks inherent in, any such acquisition candidates or be able to acquire such acquisition candidates on terms we feel are favorable.

Our objectives for earnings growth, return on equity and return on assets have been achieved primarily through extensive growth in loans in Kansas and Florida. Satisfying these objectives in the future will require increasing amounts of capital to meet regulatory requirements. We may not be able to obtain such capital in adequate amounts or on attractive terms.

*Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate.* Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan losses. As a lender, we are exposed to the risk that our customers will be unable to repay their loans according to their terms and that any collateral securing the payment of their loans may not be sufficient to cover repayment. Credit losses are inherent in the lending business and could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. Additionally, approximately 97.4% of our loan portfolio on December 31, 2002 consisted of construction loans, agricultural loans, loans secured by commercial real estate, and commercial business loans. These loans generally involve a greater degree of risk of nonpayment or late payment than home equity loans or residential mortgage loans and carry higher loan balances. The risk of loss will vary with general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and the quality and value of the collateral in the case of collateralized loans, among other things. Our credit risk with respect to our real estate and construction loan portfolio relates principally to the general creditworthiness of individuals and the value of real estate serving as security for the repayment of such loans. Our credit risk with respect to our commercial and consumer installment loan portfolio relates principally to the general creditworthiness of businesses and individuals within our local markets. Our credit risk with respect to our agricultural loan portfolio relates, among other factors, to commodity prices and weather patterns.

As we have completed numerous acquisitions from 1996 through 2000 that significantly enhanced our growth, a significant portion of our existing loan portfolio was not originally underwritten by us, but was added through these acquisitions. While we had the opportunity to review the loan portfolios of the banks we acquired before completing the transactions and have conformed the credit and underwriting policies and procedures of these banks to ours following the acquisitions, these loans may not have undergone the same level of rigorous analysis and review at inception as loans that we originate, and may not have the level and quality of supporting documentation in the loan files as our policies require. Therefore, these acquired loans are subject to greater risk than if we had originally underwritten these loans ourselves.

We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio and provide an allowance for losses based on a number of factors. If our assumptions are wrong, our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover our loan losses. We may have to increase the allowance in the future. Material additions to our allowance for loan losses would have a material adverse effect on our net earnings.

*Changes in interest rates could adversely affect profitability.* We may be unable to manage interest rate risk that could reduce our net interest income. Like other financial institutions, our results of operations are impacted principally by net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest expense paid on deposits and other borrowings. We cannot predict or control changes in interest rates. Regional and local economic conditions and the policies of regulatory authorities, including monetary policies of the Federal Reserve, affect interest

income and interest expense. Interest rate cuts by the Federal Reserve throughout 2002 and 2001 have generally reduced our net interest income. While we continually take measures intended to manage the risks from changes in market interest rates, including interest rate swap agreements, changes in interest rates can still have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Although this has not been the case to date, the recently discovered defalcations by Mr. Gullion may result in a reputational or credibility risk that could require our banks to pay higher rates for deposits.

*Funding our substantial cash requirements with dividends from our bank subsidiaries will reduce the capital levels of the banks and thus their ability to grow.* We are a separate legal entity from our subsidiaries and do not have significant operations of our own. We depend primarily on dividends we receive from our subsidiaries, which may be limited by statute and regulations, and our cash and liquid investments to pay dividends on our common stock and to pay our operating expenses. In addition, we had an aggregate outstanding amount of \$112.5 million in subordinated debt and trust preferred securities, as compared to total equity of \$227.8 million outstanding, as of December 31, 2002. Our annual interest payments due on these borrowings were approximately \$9.3 million as of December 31, 2002. In the current interest rate environment, the effect of our interest rate swap agreements will be to reduce such interest payments, although one of the swap agreements has been called and terminated by our counterparty. We are also dependent on dividends from our bank subsidiaries to service these borrowings, and ultimately for principal repayment at maturity, as well as to service our line of credit. The FRB and the Commissioner have the authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by the banking subsidiaries to the Company and the FRB has the authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by the Company to the holders of the common stock.

Even if our subsidiaries are able to generate sufficient earnings to pay dividends to us, their boards of directors may decide to retain a greater portion of their earnings to maintain existing capital or achieve additional capital necessary in light of the financial condition, asset quality or regulatory requirements of the subsidiaries or other business considerations. The extent to which our bank subsidiaries pay us a significant portion of their retained earnings as dividends to fund our substantial cash requirements may also reduce the ability of the bank subsidiaries to grow while maintaining regulatory capital ratios at "well capitalized" standards set by federal regulators.

*Loss of liquidity due to breaches under our credit facilities.* In connection with the pending formal supervisory actions against us and Gold Bank-Kansas and the requirement that we restate our financial statements as a result of misappropriation of funds by Mr. Gullion, we may be in default under our LaSalle Credit Line and our FHLB-Des Moines Credit Line. Gold Bank-Kansas owes approximately \$16 million under the FHLB-Des Moines Credit Line. Our ESOP may also be in default under its credit facility with LaSalle Bank, which we guarantee. The ESOP owes approximately \$13.5 million under its credit facility. See "—Capital and Liquidity."

If we are unable to replace these lines of credit with alternative financing on reasonable terms or conditions or if the unallocated shares of common stock owned by the ESOP are not sufficient to repay the ESOP's loan and we must pay off the ESOP's loan, this could have a material adverse affect on our liquidity and restrict our ability to make contributions to our bank subsidiaries to fund additional loan growth while maintaining sufficient capital levels.

*Local economic conditions could adversely affect our operations.* Changes in the local economic conditions could adversely affect our loan portfolio and results of operations. Our success depends to a certain extent upon the general economic conditions of the local markets that we serve. Unlike larger banks that are more geographically diversified, we provide banking and financial services to customers in those markets in Kansas, Oklahoma, Missouri and Florida, including a number of rural markets, where our subsidiary banks operate or are expected to operate. Our commercial, agricultural, real estate and construction loans, and the ability of the borrowers to repay these loans and the value of the

collateral securing these loans, are impacted by the local economic conditions. Favorable economic conditions may not continue in such markets.

*Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by the ability of our subsidiary banks to pay dividends under applicable law and by contracts relating to our trust preferred securities.* Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock largely depends on our receipt of dividends from our subsidiary banks. The amount of dividends that our subsidiary banks may pay to us is limited by federal and state banking laws and regulations. As a financial holding company, our subsidiary banks are required to maintain capital sufficient to meet the "well capitalized" standard set by the regulators and will be able to pay dividends to us only so long as their capital continues to exceed these levels. We or our banks may decide to limit the payment of dividends even when we or they have the legal ability to pay them in order to retain earnings for use in our or our banks' business. Under contracts relating to our trust preferred securities, we are prohibited from paying dividends on our common stock if we have not made required payments on, or have elected to defer payments of interest on, the junior subordinated debentures that support our trust preferred securities or if an event of default has occurred and is continuing with respect to such debentures. Substantially similar contractual provisions related to the trust preferred securities for Gold Bank-Florida limit the payment of dividends by our Florida intermediate holding company.

*Our shareholder rights plan and provisions in our articles of incorporation and our bylaws may delay or prevent an acquisition of us by a third party.* Our board of directors has implemented a shareholder rights plan. The rights, which are attached to our shares and trade together with our common stock, have certain anti-takeover effects. The plan may discourage or make it more difficult for another party to complete a merger or tender offer for our shares without negotiating with our board of directors or to launch a proxy contest or to acquire control of a larger block of our shares. If triggered, the rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us without approval of our board of directors, and under certain circumstances, the rights beneficially owned by the person or group may become void. In addition, our executive officers may be more likely to retain their positions with us as a result of the plan, even if their removal would be beneficial to shareholders generally.

Our articles of incorporation and our bylaws contain provisions, including a staggered board and advance notice of stockholder proposals and board nominees, that make it more difficult for a third party to gain control or acquire us without the consent of our board of directors. These provisions also could discourage proxy contests and may make it more difficult for dissident shareholders to elect representatives as directors and take other corporate actions. These provisions of our governing documents may also have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control that might be in the best interest of our shareholders.

## **ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

### **Asset/Liability Management**

Asset/liability management refers to management's efforts to minimize fluctuations in net interest income caused by interest rate changes. This is accomplished by managing the repricing of interest rate sensitive interest-earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. An interest rate sensitive balance sheet item is one that is able to reprice quickly through maturity or otherwise. Controlling the maturity or repricing of an institution's liabilities and assets in order to minimize interest rate risk is commonly referred to as gap management. Close matching of the repricing of assets and liabilities will normally result in little change in net interest income when interest rates change. A mismatched gap position will normally result in changes in net interest income as interest rates change.

Along with internal gap management reports, we and our Banks use an asset/liability modeling service to analyze each Bank's current gap position. The system simulates our Banks' asset and liability base and projects future net interest income results under several interest rate assumptions. We strive

to maintain an aggregate gap position such that changes in interest rates will not affect net interest income by more than 10% in any 12 month period. We have not engaged in derivatives transactions for its own account.

The following table indicates that, at December 31, 2002, if there had been a sudden and sustained increase in prevailing market interest rates, our 2003 net interest income would be expected to increase, while a decrease in rates would indicate a decrease in income.

<u>Changes in Interest Rate</u>	<u>Net Interest Income</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Percent Change</u>
	(Dollars in thousands)		
200 basis point rise .....	\$140,479	\$11,509	8.92%
100 basis point rise .....	135,960	6,990	5.42%
Base rate scenario .....	128,970	—	—
50 basis point decline .....	127,275	(1,695)	(1.31)%
100 basis point decline .....	126,112	(2,858)	(2.22)%

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

### Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Directors  
Gold Banc Corporation, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year-period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has restated its consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

As discussed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

/s/ KPMG LLP  
Kansas City, Missouri  
April 15, 2003

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

**December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000**

(Dollars in Thousands)

Assets

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Cash and due from banks . . . . .	\$ 96,215	\$ 73,675	\$ 82,423
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits . . . . .	193	98	36,468
Total cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	96,408	73,773	118,891
Investment securities:			
Available-for-sale . . . . .	531,037	567,746	518,323
Held-to-maturity . . . . .	201,563	14,364	4,393
Trading . . . . .	3,485	6,668	3,265
Total investment securities . . . . .	736,085	588,778	525,981
Mortgage loans held for sale, net . . . . .	25,134	11,335	134,081
Loans, net . . . . .	2,671,778	2,124,973	1,785,907
Premises and equipment, net . . . . .	69,587	57,738	60,626
Goodwill . . . . .	35,643	35,184	33,981
Other intangible assets, net . . . . .	6,835	3,984	—
Accrued interest and other assets . . . . .	113,752	66,152	57,736
Cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance . . . . .	56,501	53,038	—
Total assets . . . . .	\$3,811,723	\$3,014,955	\$2,717,203

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)  
December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000  
(Dollars in Thousands)

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
<b>Liabilities:</b>			
Deposits . . . . .	\$2,716,569	\$2,163,866	\$2,133,877
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase . . . . .	153,595	103,672	78,975
Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings . . .	25,658	30,908	24,654
Subordinated debt and guaranteed preferred beneficial interests in Company debentures . . . . .	113,137	111,749	82,549
Long-term borrowings . . . . .	548,848	416,413	200,561
Accrued interest and other liabilities . . . . .	26,142	23,807	27,376
Total liabilities . . . . .	<u>3,583,949</u>	<u>2,850,415</u>	<u>2,547,992</u>
<b>Stockholders' equity:</b>			
Preferred stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued . . . . .	—	—	—
Common stock, \$1 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized 44,188,384, 38,352,074 and 38,285,900 shares issued at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively . . . . .	44,188	38,352	38,286
Additional paid-in capital . . . . .	118,257	76,584	76,152
Retained earnings . . . . .	107,392	83,987	63,534
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net . . . . .	3,489	(8)	836
Unearned compensation . . . . .	(12,432)	(3,440)	(3,802)
	<u>260,894</u>	<u>195,475</u>	<u>175,006</u>
Less treasury stock (4,721,510, 4,417,010 and 800,000 shares at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively). . . . .	(33,120)	(30,935)	(5,795)
Total stockholders' equity . . . . .	<u>227,774</u>	<u>164,540</u>	<u>169,211</u>
<b>Commitments and contingent liabilities</b>			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity . . . . .	<u>\$3,811,723</u>	<u>\$3,014,955</u>	<u>\$2,717,203</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Year ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000

(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u> (Restated)	<u>2000</u> (Restated)
Interest income:			
Loans, including fees . . . . .	\$165,044	\$167,296	\$175,687
Investment securities . . . . .	34,613	33,451	29,173
Other . . . . .	2,275	6,054	3,507
Total interest income . . . . .	<u>201,932</u>	<u>206,801</u>	<u>208,367</u>
Interest expense:			
Deposits . . . . .	64,981	85,583	89,228
Borrowings and other . . . . .	33,044	32,140	25,679
Total interest expense . . . . .	<u>98,025</u>	<u>117,723</u>	<u>114,907</u>
Net interest income . . . . .	103,907	89,078	93,460
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	19,420	15,314	4,673
Net interest income after provision for loan losses . . . . .	<u>84,487</u>	<u>73,764</u>	<u>88,787</u>
Other income:			
Service fees . . . . .	17,457	15,526	10,802
Investment trading fees and commissions . . . . .	5,649	6,017	2,697
Net gains on sales of mortgage loans . . . . .	2,201	1,848	5,335
Unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities . . . . .	(42)	(51)	(49)
Realized gains (losses) on sale of securities . . . . .	9,542	1,702	(1,927)
Informational technology services . . . . .	17,809	13,308	4,385
Other . . . . .	10,926	6,632	7,594
Total other income . . . . .	<u>63,542</u>	<u>44,982</u>	<u>28,837</u>
Other expense:			
Salaries and employee benefits . . . . .	52,367	46,578	43,902
Net occupancy expense . . . . .	6,420	5,811	7,923
Depreciation expense . . . . .	6,392	5,853	6,199
Goodwill amortization expense . . . . .	—	2,003	2,488
Core deposit intangible amortization expense . . . . .	500	173	—
Consolidation/repositioning/pooling/mortgage subsidiary closing (income) expense . . . . .	—	(4,569)	32,350
Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds . . . . .	136	1,099	1,252
Other . . . . .	44,270	34,224	23,236
Total other expense . . . . .	<u>110,085</u>	<u>91,172</u>	<u>117,350</u>
Earnings before income taxes . . . . .	37,944	27,574	274
Income tax expense . . . . .	11,727	4,293	5,392
Net earnings (loss) . . . . .	<u>\$ 26,217</u>	<u>\$ 23,281</u>	<u>\$ (5,118)</u>
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic and diluted . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.78</u>	<u>\$ 0.67</u>	<u>\$ (0.14)</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income**  
**Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 (Restated), and 2000 (Restated)**  
**(Dollars in thousands)**

	Preferred stock	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Unearned compensation	Treasury stock	Total
Balance at December 31, 1999 . . . . .	\$—	37,302	72,942	71,218	(11,239)	(3,175)	—	\$167,048
Net loss for 2000 . . . . .	—	—	—	(5,118)	—	—	—	(5,118)
Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities . . . . .	—	—	—	—	12,075	—	—	12,075
Total comprehensive income for 2000 . . . . .	—	—	—	(5,118)	12,075	—	—	6,957
Issuance of 393,477 shares of common stock in purchase business combinations . . . . .	—	393	2,961	—	—	—	—	3,354
Issuance of 570,903 shares of common stock in extinguishment of Company debentures . . . . .	—	571	199	—	—	—	—	770
Exercise of 19,749 stock options . . . . .	—	20	50	—	—	—	—	70
Purchase of 800,000 shares of treasury stock . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	(5,795)	(5,795)
Increase in unearned compensation . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	(627)	—	(627)
Dividends paid (\$0.08 per common share) . . . . .	—	—	—	(2,566)	—	—	—	(2,566)
Balance at December 31, 2000 . . . . .	—	38,286	76,152	63,534	836	(3,802)	(5,795)	169,211
Net earnings for 2001 . . . . .	—	—	—	23,281	—	—	—	23,281
Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities . . . . .	—	—	—	—	(844)	—	—	(844)
Total comprehensive income for 2001 . . . . .	—	—	—	23,281	(844)	—	—	22,437
Exercise of 66,174 stock options . . . . .	—	66	432	—	—	—	—	498
Purchase of 3,617,010 shares of treasury stock . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	(25,140)	(25,140)
Decrease in unearned compensation . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	362	—	362
Dividends paid (\$0.08 per common share) . . . . .	—	—	—	(2,828)	—	—	—	(2,828)
Balance at December 31, 2001 . . . . .	—	38,352	76,584	83,987	(8)	(3,440)	(30,935)	\$164,540
Net earnings for 2002 . . . . .	—	—	—	26,217	—	—	—	26,217
Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities . . . . .	—	—	—	—	3,497	—	—	3,497
Total comprehensive income for 2002 . . . . .	—	—	—	26,217	3,497	—	—	29,714
Exercise of 86,310 stock options . . . . .	—	86	188	—	—	—	—	274
Issuance of 5,750,000 shares of common stock . . . . .	—	5,750	41,295	—	—	—	—	47,045
Allocation of 105,378 shares held by Employee Stock Ownership Plan . . . . .	—	—	190	—	—	568	—	758
Purchase of 304,500 shares of treasury stock . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2,185)	(2,185)
Increase in unearned compensation . . . . .	—	—	—	—	—	(9,560)	—	(9,560)
Dividends paid (\$0.08 per common share) . . . . .	—	—	—	(2,812)	—	—	—	(2,812)
Balance at December 31, 2002 . . . . .	<u>\$—</u>	<u>44,188</u>	<u>118,257</u>	<u>107,392</u>	<u>3,489</u>	<u>(12,432)</u>	<u>(33,120)</u>	<u>\$227,774</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000

(Dollars in thousands)

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings (loss) . . . . .	\$ 26,217	\$ 23,281	\$ (5,118)
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	19,420	15,314	4,673
Allocation of ESOP shares . . . . .	758	362	373
Losses (gains) on the sale of securities . . . . .	(9,542)	(1,702)	1,927
Extinguishment of notes payable . . . . .	—	(4,080)	—
Gains on the sale of loans . . . . .	(2,201)	(1,848)	(5,335)
Decrease in goodwill due to closure of separate mortgage subsidiary . . . . .	—	—	13,978
Depreciation and amortization . . . . .	6,892	8,029	8,687
Amortization of investment securities premium, net . . . . .	1,242	439	(118)
Gain on sale of branches . . . . .	(2,380)	—	—
Loss (gain) on the sale of assets, net . . . . .	—	24	516
Deferred income taxes . . . . .	7,806	(2,260)	(2,673)
Net decrease (increase) in trading securities . . . . .	3,225	(2,615)	5,931
Change in unrealized losses on trading securities . . . . .	42	51	49
Origination of loans held for sale, net of repayments . . . . .	(118,640)	(17,488)	(350,894)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale . . . . .	107,042	56,101	371,708
Other changes:			
Accrued interest and other assets . . . . .	21,990	(6,850)	229
Accrued interest and other liabilities . . . . .	6,913	4,088	2,800
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance . . . . .	(3,463)	(3,038)	—
Net cash provided by operating activities . . . . .	<u>\$ 51,496</u>	<u>\$ 59,632</u>	<u>\$ 46,733</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Net increase in loans . . . . .	\$ (599,915)	\$(309,501)	\$(149,604)
Principal collections and proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities . . . . .	1,127,759	634,808	240,533
Purchases of available-for-sale securities . . . . .	(1,152,681)	(643,991)	(303,516)
Principal collections and proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity securities . . . . .	6,644	2,727	4,466
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities . . . . .	(182,529)	(12,716)	(1,000)
Net additions to premises and equipment . . . . .	(26,002)	(1,939)	(3,865)
Proceeds from the sale of other assets . . . . .	—	985	1,907
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance . . . . .	—	(50,000)	—
Cash received, net of cash paid, in acquisitions . . . . .	117,110	42,539	—
Net cash used in investing activities . . . . .	<u>\$ (709,614)</u>	<u>\$(337,088)</u>	<u>\$(211,079)</u>

(continued)

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)**

**Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000**

**(Dollars in thousands)**

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Increase (decrease) in deposits . . . . .	\$ 470,295	\$ (21,437)	\$ 127,723
Increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase . . . . .	49,923	24,697	37,103
(Decrease) increase in federal funds purchased, advances, and other short-term borrowings . . . . .	(5,250)	6,254	(112,722)
Proceeds from the issuance of subordinated debt . . . . .	—	30,000	—
Proceeds from long-term debt . . . . .	692,339	335,500	151,577
Principal payments on long-term debt . . . . .	(568,876)	(115,206)	(40,769)
Purchase of treasury stock . . . . .	(2,185)	(25,140)	(5,795)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock . . . . .	47,319	498	70
Dividends paid . . . . .	(2,812)	(2,828)	(2,566)
Net cash provided by financing activities . . . . .	<u>\$ 680,753</u>	<u>\$ 232,338</u>	<u>\$ 154,621</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	22,635	(45,118)	(9,725)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year . . . . .	73,773	118,891	128,616
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year . . . . .	<u>\$ 96,408</u>	<u>\$ 73,773</u>	<u>\$ 118,891</u>
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest . . . . .	\$ 96,389	\$ 118,899	\$ 114,950
Income taxes paid . . . . .	5,715	3,628	1,662
Noncash financing activities:			
Issuance of common stock in extinguishment of Company debentures . . . . .	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 770
Issuance of common stock to acquire minority interests . . . . .	—	—	2,725
Securitization of mortgage loans held for sale . . . . .	—	41,119	—
Noncash activities related to purchase business combinations:			
Operating activities:			
Increase in investment securities . . . . .	—	839	—
Increase in loans and mortgage loans held for sale . . . . .	(39,070)	—	—
Increase in premises and equipment . . . . .	1,124	1,026	—
Financing activities:			
Increase in deposits . . . . .	82,408	51,426	—

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

*(a) Principles of Consolidation*

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and its subsidiary banks and companies, collectively referred to as the Company. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

*(b) Nature of Operations*

The Company is a multi-bank holding company that owns and operates community banks located in Kansas, Missouri, Florida, and Oklahoma. The banks provide a full range of commercial and consumer financial services. The Company owns and operates a full-service broker/dealer and investment firm, an information technology services organization, and a trust company. The Company has determined that its financial services businesses are a single operating segment.

*(c) Estimates*

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

*(d) Investment Securities*

The Company classifies investment securities in one of three categories: trading, available-for-sale, or held-to-maturity. Trading securities are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling in the near term. Held-to-maturity securities are those that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. All other securities are classified as available-for-sale.

Held-to-maturity securities are recorded at amortized cost. Trading and available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses on trading securities are included in earnings. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of related tax effect, on available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and are reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income until realized. Realized gains and losses upon disposition of investment securities are included in earnings using the specific identification method for determining the cost of the securities sold.

A decline in the fair value of any security below cost that is deemed other than temporary is charged to earnings, resulting in the establishment of a new cost basis for the security. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to interest income. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned.

*(e) Mortgage Loans Held for Sale*

Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, computed on the aggregate basis. Fair value is computed using the outstanding commitment price from investors. Loan origination and processing fees and related direct origination costs are deferred until the related loan is sold. Revenue from the sale of loans is recognized at the sale date when title passes.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

**(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

The Company generally does not retain mortgage servicing rights. Sales of mortgage servicing rights are recorded when title has passed, substantially all risks and rewards of ownership have irrevocably passed to the buyer, and any recourse is minor and can be reasonably estimated. Any gains or losses from such sales are recorded at the sale date.

**(f) Loans**

Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans and unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans.

Interest income on loans is accrued and credited to earnings based on the principal amount outstanding. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed against current period interest income. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received against current period interest income. Significant loan and commitment fee income and related costs are deferred and amortized over the term of the related loan or commitment.

**(g) Allowance for Loan Losses**

Provisions for losses on loans receivable are based upon management's estimate of the amount required to maintain an adequate allowance for losses, reflective of the risk in the loan portfolio. This estimate is based on reviews of the loan portfolio, including assessment of the estimated net realizable value of the related underlying collateral, and upon consideration of past loss experience, current economic conditions, and such other factors which, in the opinion of management, deserve current recognition. Loans are also subject to periodic examination by regulatory agencies. Such agencies may require charge-offs or additions to the allowance based upon their judgments about information available at the time of their examination. Impaired loans include all nonaccrual loans and loans ninety days delinquent and still accruing interest.

**(h) Premises and Equipment**

Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line and accelerated methods based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets.

**(i) Goodwill**

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, on January 1, 2002, which establishes new accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but rather tested at least annually for impairment. Intangible assets that have finite useful lives continue to be amortized over their useful lives.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 142 the excess of the purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired in purchase business combinations was amortized on a straight-line basis generally over twenty years, and assessed for recoverability by determining whether the amortization of the goodwill balance over its remaining life could be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows of the acquired business.

(j) Other Income and Other Expense

Significant items included in Other Income in the consolidated statements of operations:

	2002	2001	2000
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Bank-owned life insurance . . . . .	\$ 3,463	\$3,038	\$ —
Trust fees . . . . .	2,414	2,297	2,345
Gain on sale of branches . . . . .	2,380	—	—
Other income . . . . .	2,669	1,297	5,249
	<u>\$10,926</u>	<u>\$6,632</u>	<u>\$7,594</u>

Significant items included in Other Expense in the consolidated statements of operations:

	2002	2001	2000
	(Dollars in thousands)		
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Information technology services cost . . . . .	\$12,492	\$ 8,635	\$ 1,777
Data processing expense . . . . .	6,843	4,558	2,740
Professional services . . . . .	6,067	4,540	3,102
Marketing and advertising . . . . .	2,748	2,045	2,154
Postage and supplies . . . . .	2,394	2,860	2,699
Telephone . . . . .	2,161	1,721	1,632
Other . . . . .	11,565	9,865	9,132
	<u>\$44,270</u>	<u>\$34,224</u>	<u>\$23,236</u>

(k) Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established for deferred tax assets unless it is more likely than not that such deferred tax assets will be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities for subsequent changes in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the tax rate change.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(l) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, federal funds sold, and interest-bearing deposits.

(m) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted earnings per share include the effects of all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during each period. The shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted income per share are shown below (in thousands):

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Weighted average common shares outstanding . . . . .	33,588	34,752	37,601
Stock options . . . . .	183	50	30
	33,771	34,802	37,631

The Company accounts for stock-based awards to employees using the intrinsic value method of accounting in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. Under the intrinsic value method, because the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, compensation expense is not recognized. This method is employed for the Company's stock option plan. Had compensation cost for the Company's stock options granted been determined based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards under the plan consistent with the methodology prescribed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, the Company's net earnings and earnings per share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts shown below:

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)		
Net earnings (loss):			
As reported . . . . .	\$26,217	\$23,281	\$ (5,118)
Compensation cost, net of tax . . . . .	(299)	(162)	(151)
Pro forma . . . . .	25,918	23,119	(5,269)
Basic earnings (loss) per share:			
As reported . . . . .	0.78	0.67	(0.14)
Pro forma . . . . .	0.77	0.66	(0.14)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:			
As reported . . . . .	0.78	0.67	(0.14)
Pro forma . . . . .	0.77	0.66	(0.14)

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Below are the fair values of options granted using an option pricing model and the model assumptions:

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
Weighted per share average fair value at grant date . . . . .	\$ 4.47	\$ 3.24	\$ 1.54
Assumptions:			
Dividend yield . . . . .	0.86%	1.13%	8.45%
Volatility . . . . .	25.96%	31.02%	46.40%
Risk-free interest rate . . . . .	3.82%	5.14%	6.50%
Expected life . . . . .	10	10	10

(n) *Derivatives*

The Company is exposed to market risk, including changes in interest rates. To manage the volatility relating to this exposure, the Company utilizes certain derivative instruments. The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its amendments on January 1, 2001. The statement required that all derivative financial instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with the adjustment to fair value recorded in current earnings. Derivatives that qualify under the statement in a hedging relationship are designated, based on the exposure being hedged, as fair value or cash flow hedges. Under the fair value hedging model, gains or losses attributable to the change in fair value of the derivative, as well as gains and losses attributable to the change in fair value of the hedged item, are recognized in current earnings. Under the cash flow hedging model, the effective portion of the gain or loss related to the derivative is recognized as a component of other comprehensive income. The ineffective portion is recognized in current earnings.

(2) Restatement and Impact on Earnings

The Company has restated its consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000. These consolidated financial statements include all adjustments relating to the restatement for all such prior periods. The restatement principally relates to certain transactions totaling approximately \$2.5 million in which Michael W. Gullion, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, diverted funds of Gold Bank-Kansas to his account for personal use, as well as the use of his Company credit card for personal use, improper reimbursement of personal expenses charged to his personal credit card, and improper recording of such payments in various expense accounts of Gold Bank-Kansas.

Also included in the restatement are adjustments totaling approximately \$487,000 and \$14,000 in 2001 and 2000, respectively, related to the following items: a mark-to-market adjustment related to certain investments held in the trading portfolio, an adjustment to increase the amount of goodwill recorded in the acquisition of the minority interest of First Business Bank, (a wholly owned subsidiary of First Business Bancshares which was acquired by the Company in March 2000), an adjustment for expenses associated with the 2000 closure of Gold Banc Mortgage, an adjustment related to reduce the weighted average shares outstanding for the effect of the unallocated shares owned by the Company's



**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(2) Restatement and Impact on Earnings (Continued)**

Employee Stock Ownership Plan, and an adjustment of income tax expense for the tax effect of the adjustments described above.

The effect of the restatement is as follows for the periods presented below:

	2001		2000	
	As previously reported	As restated	As previously reported	As restated
	(In thousands, except for per share data)			
<b>Balance Sheet Components:</b>				
Investment securities—trading . . . . .	\$ 6,734	\$ 6,668		
Total investment securities . . . . .	588,844	588,778		
Goodwill . . . . .	34,666	35,184	\$ 33,376	\$ 33,981
Other intangible assets, net . . . . .	4,054	3,984		
Accrued interest and other assets . . . . .	68,051	66,152	58,736	57,736
Total assets . . . . .	3,016,472	3,014,955	2,717,598	2,717,203
Accrued interest and other liabilities . . . . .	24,219	23,807	27,736	27,376
Total liabilities . . . . .	2,850,827	2,850,415	2,548,352	2,547,992
Additional paid in capital . . . . .	75,955	76,584	75,523	76,152
Retained earnings . . . . .	85,721	83,987	64,198	63,534
Total stockholders' equity . . . . .	165,645	164,540	169,246	169,211
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity . . . . .	3,016,472	3,014,955	2,717,598	2,717,203
<b>Statement of Operations Components:</b>				
Unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities . . .	\$ 15	\$ (51)		
Total other income . . . . .	45,048	44,982		
Goodwill amortization expense . . . . .	1,916	2,003	\$ 2,464	\$ 2,488
Core deposit intangible amortization expense . . . .	104	173		
Consolidation/repositioning/pooling/ mortgage subsidiary closing expense . . . . .	(5,046)	(4,569)	32,827	32,350
Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds . . . . .	—	1,099	—	1,252
Other expense . . . . .	34,423	34,224	23,488	23,236
Total other expense . . . . .	89,639	91,172	116,803	117,350
Earnings before income taxes . . . . .	29,173	27,574	821	274
Income tax expense . . . . .	4,820	4,291	5,275	5,392
Net earnings (loss) . . . . .	24,353	23,281	(4,454)	(5,118)
Earnings (loss) per share—basic and diluted . . . .	0.69	0.67	(0.12)	(0.14)
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . .	35,470	34,752	37,623	37,601
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . .	35,520	34,802	37,653	37,631

The restatement had no impact on the Company's net cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(3) Acquisitions**

During 2002, 2001, and 2000, the Company completed the following acquisitions:

<u>Company</u>	<u>Date of acquisition</u>	<u>Total assets acquired</u> (Dollars in millions)	<u>Method of accounting</u>
George K. Baum Trust Company . . . . .	October 2002	\$ 1	Purchase
Encore Bank (Overland Park, Kansas branches) . . . .	September 2002	2	Purchase
Ott Financial Corporation . . . . .	March 2001	1	Purchase
Information Products, Inc. . . . .	April 2001	1	Purchase
North America Savings (Leawood, KS branch location) . . . . .	July 2001	5	Purchase
Country Bancshares . . . . .	March 2000	553	Pooling
American Bancshares . . . . .	March 2000	472	Pooling
First Business Bancshares . . . . .	March 2000	131	Pooling

On October 31, 2002, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of George K. Baum Trust Company "GKB". The operations of Gold Trust Company were merged into GKB, and the name of the surviving entity was changed to Gold Trust Company. The results of GKB's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date. The aggregate purchase price was \$1.8 million and was paid in cash. In connection with the acquisition goodwill of \$678,000 was recorded.

On September 30, 2002, the Company assumed from Encore Bank deposits of \$148.9 million and acquired certain physical assets at four branch locations located in the Overland Park, Kansas. In connection with the transaction a core deposit intangible asset of \$3.3 million was recorded.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition for the Company's 2002 acquisitions.

	<u>George K. Baum</u>	<u>Encore Bank Branches</u>
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$1,058	\$ —
Premises and equipment . . . . .	—	2,342
Core deposit intangible . . . . .	—	3,350
Other assets . . . . .	98	—
Goodwill . . . . .	678	—
Total assets . . . . .	<u>1,834</u>	<u>5,692</u>
Deposits . . . . .	—	(148,909)
Other liabilities . . . . .	(10)	—
Total liabilities . . . . .	<u>(10)</u>	<u>(148,909)</u>
Net assets (liabilities) . . . . .	<u>\$1,824</u>	<u>\$(143,217)</u>

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(3) Acquisitions (Continued)**

Total consideration paid for the financial services companies acquired in 2001 using the purchase method aggregated \$3,593,000. Operations of the companies acquired in 2001 have been included in consolidated net earnings of the Company since their date of acquisition with an insignificant effect on 2001 net earnings. During 2001, the Company entered into an agreement to purchase a deposit base of \$51.0 million and physical assets at the North American Savings Bank branch location in Leawood, Kansas. The excess of cost over fair value of the underlying assets acquired was approximately \$4.9 million.

The Company issued 19,411,000 shares in connection with the acquisition of banks in 2000 using the pooling method. The Company's results of operations and financial position were restated for all periods prior to these acquisitions to include the acquired companies' operating results and financial condition as if they had been combined with the Company for all periods presented.

**(4) Investment Securities**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair value of investment securities by major security category at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2002			Estimated fair value
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	
Available-for-sale:				
U. S. treasury and agency securities . . . . .	\$142,595	\$1,110	\$ —	\$143,705
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	74,787	1,478	159	76,106
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	265,370	3,896	69	269,197
Other . . . . .	42,647	157	775	42,029
Total . . . . .	<u>\$525,399</u>	<u>\$6,641</u>	<u>\$1,003</u>	<u>\$531,037</u>
Held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	\$ 1,244	\$ 44	\$ —	\$ 1,288
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	155,754	1,520	—	157,274
Other . . . . .	44,565	1,516	89	45,992
Total . . . . .	<u>\$201,563</u>	<u>\$3,080</u>	<u>\$ 89</u>	<u>\$204,554</u>

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(4) Investment Securities (Continued)

	2001			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
Available-for-sale:				
U. S. treasury and agency securities . . . . .	\$ 56,497	\$ 350	\$ 54	\$ 56,793
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	63,853	462	1,080	63,235
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	395,842	2,740	1,370	397,212
Other . . . . .	51,567	61	1,122	50,506
Total . . . . .	<u>\$567,759</u>	<u>\$3,613</u>	<u>\$3,626</u>	<u>\$567,746</u>
Held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	\$ 1,530	\$ 20	\$ 5	\$ 1,545
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	174	4	—	178
Other . . . . .	12,660	103	619	12,144
Total . . . . .	<u>\$ 14,364</u>	<u>\$ 127</u>	<u>\$ 624</u>	<u>\$ 13,867</u>
	2000			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
Available-for-sale:				
U. S. treasury and agency securities . . . . .	\$279,032	\$2,000	\$ 401	\$280,631
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	59,625	2,819	33	62,411
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	142,817	558	2,225	141,150
Other . . . . .	35,502	12	1,383	34,131
Total . . . . .	<u>\$516,976</u>	<u>\$5,389</u>	<u>\$4,042</u>	<u>\$518,323</u>
Held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions . . . . .	\$ 1,000	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 1,001
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	2,080	86	—	2,166
Other . . . . .	1,313	1	38	1,276
Total . . . . .	<u>\$ 4,393</u>	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 38</u>	<u>\$ 4,443</u>

The above table does not include trading securities. The Company's trading portfolio consists of Federal agency securities, tax-exempt bonds and equity securities.

Other securities classified as available-for-sale consist primarily of restricted stock in the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks that are required to be maintained by the Company, as well as certain other debt and equity securities.

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of investment securities at December 31, 2002 by contractual maturity, are shown below (dollars in thousands). Expected maturities will differ from

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(4) Investment Securities (Continued)

contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	2002			
	Heid-to-maturity		Available-for-sale	
	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value
Due in one year or less . . . . .	\$ 299	\$ 306	\$ 59,641	\$ 59,677
Due after one year through five years . . . . .	5,656	6,073	122,849	124,179
Due after five years through ten years . . . . .	—	—	17,186	17,631
Due after ten years . . . . .	39,854	40,901	21,348	21,348
Mortgage-backed securities . . . . .	155,754	157,274	265,370	269,197
Other . . . . .	—	—	39,005	39,005
Total . . . . .	<u>\$201,563</u>	<u>\$204,554</u>	<u>\$525,399</u>	<u>\$531,037</u>

The following table presents proceeds from sale of securities and the components of net securities gains (losses) (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2001	2000
Proceeds from sales . . . . .	<u>\$270,645</u>	<u>\$144,101</u>	<u>\$155,381</u>
Realized gains . . . . .	\$ 10,812	\$ 2,046	\$ 387
Realized losses . . . . .	(1,270)	(344)	(2,314)
Net realized gains (losses) . . . . .	<u>\$ 9,542</u>	<u>\$ 1,702</u>	<u>\$ (1,927)</u>

At December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, investment securities with fair values of approximately \$535,140,000, \$322,254,000 and \$328,708,000, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes.

(5) Loans

Loans are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2001	2000
Real estate—mortgage . . . . .	\$1,300,940	\$1,008,694	\$ 769,118
Real estate—construction . . . . .	357,351	203,785	188,118
Commercial . . . . .	816,542	629,572	535,258
Agricultural . . . . .	159,950	196,612	202,714
Consumer and other . . . . .	70,434	112,407	116,879
	<u>2,705,217</u>	<u>2,151,070</u>	<u>1,812,087</u>
Allowance for loan losses . . . . .	(33,439)	(26,097)	(26,180)
	<u>\$2,671,778</u>	<u>\$2,124,973</u>	<u>\$1,785,907</u>

Loans made to directors and executive officers of Gold Banc and its subsidiaries were \$54,750,000, \$41,162,000 and \$29,960,000 at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Except as discussed

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

(5) Loans (Continued)

below, such loans were made in the ordinary course of business on normal credit terms, including interest rate and collateralization considerations, and do not represent more than a normal risk of collection. None of the loans to the directors and executive officers of the Company have been classified by regulatory authorities. Changes in such loans for 2002 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2001 .....	\$ 41,162
Additions .....	67,825
Amounts collected .....	<u>(54,237)</u>
Balance at December 31, 2002 .....	<u>\$ 54,750</u>

In October, 2002, two of the Company's directors drew on lines of credit from Gold Bank-Kansas to purchase common stock in the Company's public offering. One of the directors drew \$625,000 on an existing line of credit. The other director drew \$546,000 on a new line of credit arranged by Mr. Gullion, former Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The Company's common stock was not pledged as collateral for either of these loans. The lines of credit were unsecured.

Although the directors were not aware of any regulatory violations when they drew on the lines of credit, bank regulators have taken the position that these transactions were subject to Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act because the proceeds of these loans were indirectly transferred to the Company, an affiliate of Gold Bank-Kansas, through the purchase of newly issued common stock. These transactions complied with Section 23A as to the dollar limitations that Gold Bank-Kansas could loan to the directors. However, because the loans were unsecured, the loans did not meet the applicable collateral requirements.

On November 28, 2002, one of the directors repaid in full the draw and all accrued interest on his line of credit. On April 10, 2003, the other director delivered and pledged marketable equity securities (other than Company common stock) in an amount sufficient to meet the applicable collateral requirements to secure his loan, which remains outstanding as of the date hereof.

Impaired loans include all nonaccrual loans and loans ninety days delinquent and still accruing interest. Impaired loans approximated \$15,867,000, \$23,007,000 and \$19,853,000 at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The interest income not recognized on impaired loans was approximately \$763,000, \$1,955,000, and \$1,381,000, in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

The following table shows the recorded investment in impaired loans, the amount of that recorded investment for which there is a related allowance for credit losses and the amount of that allowance, and the amount of that recorded investment for which there is no related allowance for credit losses as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Impaired loans for which an allowance has been established . . . .	\$15,077	\$17,737
Impaired loans for which no allowance has been established . . . .	<u>790</u>	<u>5,270</u>
Total recorded investment in impaired loans .....	<u>\$15,867</u>	<u>\$23,007</u>
Allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans .....	<u>\$ 2,334</u>	<u>\$ 1,938</u>

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(5) Loans (Continued)**

The average balance of impaired loans for 2002 and 2001 was \$19,602,000 and \$22,149,000 based on month-end balances, respectively. The net amount of interest recorded on such loans during their impairment period aggregated \$27,000 and \$344,000 in 2002 and 2001, respectively. Loans 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing interest amounted to \$790,000 and \$5,270,000 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, by the Company upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, equipment, and income-producing commercial properties. The Company's banking subsidiaries are located throughout the States of Kansas, Oklahoma, Missouri, and Florida; therefore, the Company's loan portfolio has no unusual geographic concentrations of credit risk beyond the markets it serves.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
Balance at beginning of year . . . . .	\$ 26,097	\$ 26,180	\$26,038
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	19,420	15,314	4,673
Charge-offs . . . . .	(13,837)	(17,100)	(6,475)
Recoveries . . . . .	1,759	1,703	1,944
Balance at end of year . . . . .	<u>\$ 33,439</u>	<u>\$ 26,097</u>	<u>\$26,180</u>

**(6) Premises and Equipment, net**

Premises and equipment are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Depreciable life</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
Land . . . . .	—	\$ 10,849	\$ 8,315	\$ 5,313
Buildings and leasehold improvements . . .	39 years	49,041	43,888	48,498
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment . . . . .	5-7 years	45,475	37,144	26,796
Automobiles . . . . .	5 years	1,010	976	374
		106,375	90,323	80,981
Accumulated depreciation . . . . .		<u>36,788</u>	<u>32,585</u>	<u>20,355</u>
		<u>\$ 69,587</u>	<u>\$57,738</u>	<u>\$60,626</u>

Depreciation expense totaled \$6,392,000, \$5,853,000, and \$6,199,000 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

The majority of the Company's operations are conducted in premises owned by the Company. In some cases, leases have been entered into for equipment and space with terms that generally do not exceed ten years. Following is a schedule, by year, of future minimum lease payments required under

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(6) Premises and Equipment, net (Continued)**

existing operating leases that have initial or remaining noncancelable terms in excess of one year as of December 31, 2002 (dollars in thousands):

<u>Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2003 .....	\$ 3,443
2004 .....	3,330
2005 .....	3,270
2006 .....	2,341
2007 .....	2,041
Thereafter .....	<u>19,869</u>
Total minimum payments required .....	<u>\$34,294</u>

The Company records rent expense over the term of the lease. Rent expense amounted to approximately \$2,112,000, \$1,954,000, and \$693,000 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, and is included in net occupancy expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

**(7) Deposits**

Deposits are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
Demand:			
Noninterest bearing .....	\$ 300,679	\$ 263,296	\$ 270,137
Interest-bearing:			
NOW .....	346,868	318,411	287,583
Money market .....	<u>450,908</u>	<u>283,785</u>	<u>258,577</u>
	<u>797,776</u>	<u>602,196</u>	<u>546,160</u>
Total demand .....	1,098,455	865,492	816,297
Savings .....	102,391	126,394	108,225
Time .....	<u>1,515,723</u>	<u>1,171,980</u>	<u>1,209,355</u>
	<u>\$2,716,569</u>	<u>\$2,163,866</u>	<u>\$2,133,877</u>

Time deposits include certificates of deposit of \$100,000 and greater totaling \$537,000,000, \$384,000,000 and \$349,233,000 at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.



**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(7) Deposits (Continued)**

Principal maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2002 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

<u>Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2003 .....	\$1,128,103
2004 .....	222,384
2005 .....	87,216
2006 .....	24,823
2007 .....	52,432
Thereafter .....	765
	<u>\$1,515,723</u>

**(8) Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase**

Data concerning securities sold under agreements to repurchase was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
Average monthly balance during the year .....	\$128,801	\$105,462	\$76,987
Maximum month-end balance during the year .....	171,838	126,024	95,335

At December 31, 2002, such agreements were secured by investment and mortgage-backed securities. Pledged securities are maintained by a safekeeping agent under the direction of the Company.

**(9) Federal Funds Purchased and Other Short-Term Borrowings**

Following is a summary of federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
Advances under a \$25 million line of credit from LaSalle National Bank, interest at LIBOR plus 1.25%, maturing on July 1, 2003, secured by subsidiary stock .....	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,000
Note payable, secured by certain trading securities weighted average interest rate of 8.65% on margin account .....	\$ —	—	3,090
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances secured by qualifying one-to-four family mortgage loans, weighted average interest rate of 1.47% and 6.32% at December 31, 2002 and 2001 .....	16,000	30,000	9,279
Federal funds purchased and other borrowings, weighted average interest rate of 1.81%, 3.58% and 3.99% at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 .....	8,500	908	483
Notes payable of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan, secured by Company stock .....	—	—	3,802
Treasury, Tax and Loan .....	1,158	—	—
	<u>\$25,658</u>	<u>\$30,908</u>	<u>\$24,654</u>

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(9) Federal Funds Purchased and Other Short-Term Borrowings (Continued)

In connection with the pending formal supervisory actions against the Company and the requirement that the Company restate its financial statements as a result of misappropriation of funds by Mr. Gullion, the Company may be in default under its lines of credit with LaSalle Bank and FHLB-Des Moines and the Company's ESOP (see Note 10) may be in default under its line of credit with LaSalle Bank. The Company anticipates that LaSalle Bank will waive these defaults. The Company plans to contact FHLB-Des Moines to request a similar waiver. If these lenders do not grant the waivers or refuse to extend additional credit, the Company anticipates that alternative financing will be available on reasonable terms and conditions. If the Company is unable to replace these lines of credit with alternative financing on reasonable terms or conditions, this could have a material adverse affect on the Company's liquidity.

(10) Long-Term Borrowings

Following is a summary of long-term borrowings at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
FHLB borrowings by subsidiary banks bearing weighted average fixed interest rates of 4.30%, 5.18% and 5.99% at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, secured by qualifying one-to-four family mortgage loans (see Note 14) . . . . .	\$536,391	\$412,887	\$190,383
Note payable of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan, weighted average interest rate of 3.51% and 3.75% at December 31, 2002 and 2001, secured by 1,498,267 shares of Company Stock as of December 31, 2002 . . . . .	12,433	3,440	—
Note payable to Union Bank and Trust Company at a fixed interest rate, maturing October 17, 2006 (paid in 2001) . . . . .	—	—	6,000
Other . . . . .	24	86	4,178
	<u>\$548,848</u>	<u>\$416,413</u>	<u>\$200,561</u>

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(10) Long-Term Borrowings (Continued)**

Principal maturities of long-term debt, including subordinated debt and preferred securities, at December 31, 2002 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

<u>Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2003 .....	\$ 95,319
2004 .....	13,737
2005 .....	40,310
2006 .....	27,933
2007 .....	75,681
Thereafter .....	295,868
	<u>\$548,848</u>

None of the Company borrowings have any related compensating balance requirements, which restrict the usage of Company assets. However, regulations of the Federal Reserve System require reserves to be maintained by all banking institutions according to the types and amounts of certain deposit liabilities. These requirements restrict usage of a portion of the amounts shown as consolidated "cash and due from banks" from everyday usage in operation of the banks.

**(11) Subordinated Debt and Trust Preferred Debentures**

On November 28, 2001, the Company completed the issuance of \$30.0 million in floating rate subordinated debt securities, due November 2031. Total expenses associated with the issuance approximated \$910,000 and are included in other assets and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the subordinated debt. The subordinated debt securities accrue and pay interest semiannually at a rate of 5.75% for the six-month period ending June 28, 2002 and a variable rate, adjusted semiannually, based upon the six month LIBOR plus 3.75%, not to exceed 12.5% through December 2011. The Company has the right to redeem the subordinated debt securities on or after November 2011 at par value plus any accrued but unpaid interest.

On December 15, 1997, GBCI Capital Trust (the Trust), a Delaware business trust formed by the Company, completed the sale of \$28.7 million of 8.75% Preferred Securities. The Trust used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of 8.75% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures of the Company. The Debentures are the sole assets of the Trust and are eliminated, along with the related income statement effects, in the consolidated financial statements. Total expenses associated with the offering approximated \$1.2 million and are included in "Other Assets" and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the debentures.

On June 9, 1999, GBCI Capital Trust II (Trust II), a Delaware business trust formed by the Company, completed the sale of \$37.6 million of 9.12% Preferred Securities. Trust II used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of 9.12% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures of the Company. The Debentures are the sole assets of Trust II and are eliminated, along with the related income statement effects, in the consolidated financial statements. Total expenses associated with the offering approximated \$1.5 million and are included in "Other Assets" and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the debentures.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(11) Subordinated Debt and Trust Preferred Debentures (Continued)

The Preferred Securities accrue and pay distributions quarterly at annual rates of 8.75% (Trust) and 9.12% (Trust II) of the stated liquidation amount of \$25 per Preferred Security. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the trusts. The guaranty covers the quarterly distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of the Preferred Securities.

The Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the Debentures on December 31, 2027 and June 30, 2029, respectively, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the Indentures. The Company has the right to redeem the Debentures, in whole or in part, on or after December 31, 2002 and June 30, 2004, respectively, at a redemption price specified in the Indentures plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

On July 17, 1998, ABI Capital Trust (ABI), a Delaware business trust acquired by the Company in the American Bancshares, Inc. acquisition, completed the sale of \$16.3 million of 8.50% Preferred Securities. ABI used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of 8.50% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures of the Company. The Debentures are the sole assets of ABI and are eliminated, along with the related income statement effects, in the consolidated financial statements.

The Preferred Securities of ABI accrue and pay distributions quarterly at the annual rate of 8.50% of the stated liquidation amount of \$10 per Preferred Security. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all the obligations of ABI. The guaranty covers the quarterly distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of the Preferred Securities.

The Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the Debentures on June 30, 2028. The Company has the right to redeem the Debentures, in whole or in part, on or after June 30, 2003, at a redemption price specified in the Indenture plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

(12) Consolidation/Repositioning/Pooling/Mortgage Subsidiary Closing Expense

During 1999, the Company consolidated its Kansas banks into a single statewide organization, and during 2000, the Company consolidated its Oklahoma banks into a single statewide organization. The plan for the consolidation was formed with the intention to reposition the Company to improve service to its customers, achieve higher profitability, and enhance its visibility in each state.

The plan primarily involved exiting certain duplicate branch banking facilities resulting in asset write-downs to estimated fair value, eliminating duplicate backroom functions, abandoning certain leases, and reducing the number of full-time employees. Accordingly, the Company recognized

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(12) Consolidation/Repositioning/Pooling/Mortgage Subsidiary Closing Expense (Continued)**

repositioning expenses of \$4,024,000 in 2000 and \$4,630,000 in 1999. Details of the Kansas and Oklahoma repositioning accrual are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Accrued at	2001		Accrued at	2002		Accrued at
	December 31, 2000	Expense	Paid	December 31, 2001	Expense	Paid	December 31, 2002
Salaries, benefits, and severance . . . . .	\$392	—	215	177	—	177	—
Lease abandonments . . . . .	24	—	24	—	—	—	—
Other repositioning expenses . . . . .	<u>227</u>	—	<u>227</u>	—	—	—	—
	<u>\$643</u>	—	<u>466</u>	<u>177</u>	—	<u>177</u>	—

During the first quarter of 2000, the Company acquired three financial institutions. Since these acquisitions were accounted for as pooling of interests, the expenses related to these acquisitions were charged to earnings in 2000. These expenses totaled \$9.0 million and were primarily comprised of legal, accounting, severance, lease terminations, asset write-downs, and data processing conversions.

During the fourth quarter of 2000, the Company announced it would close its separate mortgage banking subsidiary, Gold Banc Mortgage. As a result of this decision, the Company recorded expenses of \$19.3 million in 2000. Details of the mortgage banking subsidiary closing accrual are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Accrued at	2001		Accrued at	2002		Accrued at
	December 31, 2000	Expense	Paid	December 31, 2001	Expense	Paid	December 31, 2002
	(Restated)	(Restated)	(Restated)				
Salaries, benefits, and severance . . . . .	\$ 341	—	302	39	—	39	—
Lease abandonments . . . . .	174	—	174	—	—	—	—
Other closing expenses . . . . .	<u>1,095</u>	—	<u>1,095</u>	—	—	—	—
	<u>\$1,610</u>	—	<u>1,571</u>	<u>39</u>	—	<u>39</u>	—

On August 13, 2001, a three person arbitration panel ruled in the Company's favor on its claims against the sellers of Regional Holding Company to Gold Banc in 1999, and denied all counterclaims that the sellers made against the Company. The panel canceled promissory notes to the former owners totaling \$4,080,000, plus awarded monetary damages of \$489,000. The award of \$4,569,000 was recorded in the consolidated financial statements as a reduction of mortgage closing expenses in 2001.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

(13) Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) related to operations for 2002, 2001, and 2000 is summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Current</u>	<u>Deferred</u>	<u>Total</u>
2002:			
Federal .....	\$3,727	\$ 6,949	\$10,676
State .....	194	857	1,051
	<u>\$3,921</u>	<u>\$ 7,806</u>	<u>\$11,727</u>
2001 (Restated):			
Federal .....	\$4,687	\$(1,519)	\$ 3,168
State .....	1,866	(741)	1,125
	<u>\$6,553</u>	<u>\$(2,260)</u>	<u>\$ 4,293</u>
2000 (Restated):			
Federal .....	\$6,235	\$(1,175)	\$ 5,060
State .....	1,830	(1,498)	332
	<u>\$8,065</u>	<u>\$(2,673)</u>	<u>\$ 5,392</u>

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 are presented below (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Deferred tax assets:			
Allowance for loan losses .....	\$11,704	\$ 9,399	\$ 8,847
Unrealized loss on AFS securities .....	—	5	45
Net operating loss carryovers .....	—	33	837
Capital loss carryforward .....	718	3,614	—
Losses resulting from misapplication of bank funds .	665	665	350
Other .....	1,869	1,271	1,849
Total deferred tax assets .....	<u>14,956</u>	<u>14,987</u>	<u>11,928</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Unrealized gain on AFS securities .....	2,149	—	—
FHLB stock dividends .....	376	410	449
Premises and equipment .....	3,924	4,007	5,233
Deferred income .....	928	1,337	—
Real estate investment trust income .....	9,515	—	—
Other .....	1,404	1,903	953
Total deferred tax liabilities .....	<u>18,296</u>	<u>7,657</u>	<u>6,635</u>
Net deferred tax (liabilities) assets .....	<u>\$ (3,340)</u>	<u>\$ 7,330</u>	<u>\$ 5,293</u>

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(13) Income Taxes (Continued)

A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets was not necessary at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 due to the Company's past and expected profitability.

The Company has deferred income for tax purposes related to its investment in a Real Estate Investment Trust due to a different tax year for a subsidiary entity. As a result of a new regulation promulgated by the Treasury Department in 2002, this income will be included in taxable income ratably over a four year period beginning in 2002. As a result of this change in tax law, the Company has recorded a deferred income tax payable of \$9,515,000 as of December 31, 2002, which will be paid ratably in the years ending December 31, 2003, 2004, and 2005.

A reconciliation of expected income tax expense, based on the statutory rate of 35% for 2002, 2001, and 2000, to actual tax expense for 2002, 2001, and 2000 is summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Expected federal income tax expense . . . . .	\$13,280	\$ 9,650	\$ 96
Tax-exempt interest . . . . .	(1,929)	(2,014)	(1,192)
State taxes, net of federal tax benefit . . . . .	683	731	321
Goodwill amortization . . . . .	—	564	776
Capital loss carryforward . . . . .	—	(3,152)	—
Write-off of goodwill . . . . .	—	(1,599)	4,671
Acquisition and pooling expenses . . . . .	—	—	899
Bank-owned life insurance . . . . .	(1,092)	(686)	—
Other . . . . .	785	799	(179)
	<u>\$11,727</u>	<u>\$ 4,293</u>	<u>5,392</u>

(14) Employee Benefit Plans

The Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) was formed to acquire shares of Company common stock for the benefit of all eligible employees. At December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, the ESOP borrowings, used to acquire shares of the Company's common stock on the open market, totaled \$12,433,000, \$3,440,000 and \$3,802,000 and were secured by 1,498,267, 671,348 and 766,438 unallocated shares of Company common stock. The ESOP will repay the debt with contributions and dividends received from the Company. Accordingly, the Company has recorded the obligation with an offsetting amount of unearned compensation included in stockholders' equity in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The amount of annual contributions from the Company is determined by the board of directors. Contributions were approximately \$1,088,000, \$968,000, and \$795,000 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively. The 2002 contribution was used to make principal payments of \$568,000. The fair value of the unallocated shares at December 31, 2002 aggregated approximately \$14,907,000.

The Company has a 401(k) savings plan for the benefit of all eligible employees. The Company matched 50% of employee contributions up to 5% of base compensation, subject to certain Internal

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(14) Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

Revenue Service limitations. Contributions charged to salaries and employee benefits expense were \$717,218, \$648,000, and \$535,000 for 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively.

In 1996, the Company established a stock option plan. Under the stock option plan, options to acquire shares of the Company's common stock may be granted to certain officers, directors, and employees of the Company. The options will enable the recipient to purchase stock at an exercise price equal to or greater than the fair market value of the stock at the date of the grant. Those options vest at various annual rates and generally expire ten years from the grant date. A summary of stock option activity is as follows:

	2002		2001		2000	
	Shares	Weighted average price	Shares	Weighted average price	Shares	Weighted average price
Outstanding at beginning of year . . . .	1,134,009	\$8.00	953,712	\$ 8.09	806,287	\$8.71
Granted . . . . .	514,000	8.11	249,000	7.21	235,920	6.08
Forfeited . . . . .	—	—	(2,529)	10.85	(68,746)	3.53
Exercised . . . . .	(86,310)	4.71	(66,174)	5.16	(19,749)	9.72
Outstanding at end of year . . . . .	<u>1,561,699</u>	8.12	<u>1,134,009</u>	8.00	<u>953,712</u>	8.09
Options exercisable at year-end . . . . .	653,619	\$8.18	610,269	\$ 7.48	569,152	\$6.83

The following table summarizes information about the Plan's stock options at December 31, 2002:

Range of exercise price	Options outstanding			Options exercisable	
	Number outstanding	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Weighted average exercise price	Number exercisable	Weighted average exercise price
\$ 3.79-4.56	9,000	8.17	\$ 4.25	1,800	\$ 4.25
4.88-5.25	174,915	5.20	5.13	172,915	5.11
6.58-8.00	902,184	8.05	7.09	275,584	6.98
8.75-11.05	195,500	9.10	10.03	8,400	9.32
12.13-13.25	275,100	5.46	12.54	191,920	12.50
15.75-18.38	5,000	5.08	17.06	3,000	17.07
<u>\$ 3.79-18.38</u>	<u>1,561,699</u>	7.35	\$ 8.12	<u>653,619</u>	8.18

(15) Intangible Assets and Goodwill

The following table presents information about the Company's intangible assets.

	December 31, 2002		December 31, 2001		December 31, 2000	
	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	(Restated) Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	(Restated) Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization
(In thousands)						
Core deposit intangible . . . . .	\$7,508	\$673	\$4,158	\$173	\$—	\$—



GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(15) Intangible Assets and Goodwill (Continued)

As of December 31, 2002, the Company does not have any intangible assets that are not being amortized. Aggregate amortization expense on intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$500,000 and \$173,000, respectively. Estimated annual amortization expense for the years 2003 through 2007 is as follows (dollars in thousands).

2003 .....	\$751
2004 .....	751
2005 .....	751
2006 .....	751
2007 .....	751

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. SFAS 142 requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually. It also requires that intangible assets with estimable useful lives be amortized over their respective estimate useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment.

The Statement required the Company to perform an assessment of whether there was an indication that goodwill was impaired as of January 1, 2002. To accomplish this, the Company was first required to identify its reporting units, which have been determined to be at the individual subsidiary basis. The carrying value of each reporting unit was then established by assigning assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to those reporting units as of January 1, 2002. The fair value of each reporting unit was determined and compared to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. Because the fair value of each of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value of the unit, no impairment of reporting unit goodwill was indicated. As a result, no impairment loss was recognized as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle in the Company's 2002 consolidated statement of operations.

During 2002, CompuNet Engineering, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("CompuNet"), did not earn a majority of its revenue by providing services to financial institutions. As a result, the Company may be required under the BHC Act to divest itself of CompuNet Engineering. If CompuNet is sold for less than the carrying value of its associated net assets, including goodwill, the Company would be required to record a goodwill impairment charge. The goodwill associated with CompuNet was \$4.6 million at December 31, 2002.

In addition, the Company may be required to cease engaging, directly or indirectly, in all activities other than those permissible for a bank holding company without financial holding company status. The Company currently does not have any goodwill recorded with respect to any of its subsidiaries that are conducting such activities. However, if the Company is required to cease engaging in such activities, the Company may incur a loss in connection with the liquidation or sale of the subsidiaries conducting such activities. The Company is unable at this time to estimate the magnitude of such potential losses.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(15) Intangible Assets and Goodwill (Continued)**

As required by SFAS 142, the Company discontinued recording goodwill amortization effective January 1, 2002. The following table compares results of operations as if no goodwill amortization had been recorded in 2001 and 2000.

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)		
Reported net earnings: . . . . .	\$26,217	\$23,281	\$(5,118)
Add back goodwill amortization . . . . .	—	2,003	2,488
Adjusted net earnings . . . . .	<u>\$26,217</u>	<u>\$25,314</u>	<u>\$(2,630)</u>
Basic earnings (loss) per share:			
Reported net earnings . . . . .	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.14)
Add back goodwill amortization . . . . .	—	0.06	0.07
Adjusted basic earnings (loss) per share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.78</u>	<u>\$ 0.73</u>	<u>\$ (0.07)</u>
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:			
Reported net earnings . . . . .	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.12)
Add back goodwill amortization . . . . .	—	0.06	0.07
Adjusted diluted earnings (loss) per share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.78</u>	<u>\$ 0.73</u>	<u>\$ (0.05)</u>

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2002 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Balance as of January 1, 2002 . . . . .	\$35,184
Goodwill acquired during the year . . . . .	678
Impairment losses . . . . .	—
Reduction in goodwill due to sale of branches . . . . .	(219)
Balance as of December 31, 2002 . . . . .	<u>\$35,643</u>

**(16) Financial Instruments With Off-Balance Sheet Risk**

Financial instruments, which represent off-balance sheet credit risk, consist of open commitments to extend credit, irrevocable letters of credit, and loans sold with recourse. Open commitments to extend credit and irrevocable letters of credit amounted to approximately \$742,045,000 at December 31, 2002. Such agreements require the Company to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being fully drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained (if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit) is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(16) Financial Instruments With Off-Balance Sheet Risk (Continued)**

The Company processes residential home mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market. In conjunction with the sale of such loans, the Company has entered into agreements with the purchasers of the loans, setting forth certain provisions. Among those provisions is the right of the purchaser to return the loans to the Company in the event the borrower defaults within a stated period. This period ranges among the various purchasers from between one to twelve months. The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of default by the borrower and the return of the loan by the purchaser is represented by the difference in the amount of the loan and the recovery value of the underlying collateral.

**(17) Disclosures About the Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The following disclosures of the estimated fair value of financial instruments are made in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company and its subsidiaries using available market information and valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessarily required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company and its subsidiaries could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material impact on the estimated fair value amounts.

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2002		2001		2000	
	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 96,408	\$ 96,408	\$ 73,773	\$ 73,773	\$ 118,891	\$ 118,891
Investment securities . . . . .	736,085	739,076	588,778	588,347	525,981	526,031
Mortgage loans held for sale . . . . .	25,134	25,134	11,335	11,335	134,081	134,081
Loans . . . . .	2,671,778	2,727,616	2,124,973	2,171,926	1,785,907	1,781,114
Deposits . . . . .	2,716,569	2,727,933	2,163,866	2,200,438	2,133,877	2,133,877
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase . . . . .	153,595	153,595	103,672	103,672	78,975	78,975
Federal funds purchased, and other short-term borrowings . . . . .	25,658	25,658	30,908	30,908	24,654	24,654
Long-term borrowings . . . . .	661,985	703,391	528,162	536,158	283,110	287,584
Derivative instruments . . . . .	588	588	—	—	—	—

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

- *Cash and cash equivalents*—The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, and interest-bearing deposits approximate fair value. Federal funds sold generally mature in ninety days or less.

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(17) Disclosures About the Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

- *Investment securities*—Various methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value of the investment securities. For investment securities, excluding other securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted prices for similar securities. The carrying value of other securities approximates fair values.
- *Mortgage loans held for sale*—The fair value of mortgage and student loans held for sale equals the contractual sales price agreed-upon with third-party investors.
- *Loans*—For certain homogenous categories of loans, such as some Small Business Administration guaranteed loans, student loans, residential mortgages, and consumer loans, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar loans or securities backed by similar loans, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. The fair value of other types of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.
- *Deposits*—The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and money market deposits are the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.
- *Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings*—For these instruments, the current carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.
- *Long-term debt*—The fair value of long-term debt is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's and subsidiaries' current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.
- *Derivative instruments*—The fair value of derivative instruments is based on the estimated amounts that the Company would have to receive or pay to terminate the contracts at the reporting date (i.e., mark-to-market value). Fair market values are based on dealer quotes.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of the consolidated financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

(18) Capital Adequacy

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and its banking subsidiaries to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below on a consolidated basis, dollars in thousands) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. At December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, total risk-based and Tier 1 capital includes approximately \$747,762,000, \$55,173,000 and \$55,173,000 of Trust

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(18) Capital Adequacy (Continued)**

Preferred Debentures, which is permitted under regulatory guidelines. Management believes, as of December 31, 2002, that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

	2002		2001		2000					
	Actual	Minimum	Actual	Minimum	Actual	Minimum	Actual	Minimum	Actual	Minimum
	Amount	required	Amount	required	Amount	required	Amount	required	Amount	required
	Ratio	(A)	Ratio	(A)	Ratio	(A)	Ratio	(A)	Ratio	(A)
	(Restated)				(Restated)					
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Total capital										
(to risk-weighted assets):										
Gold Banc Corporation	\$327,399	11.02%	\$237,715	263,332	11.35%	\$185,659	\$241,848	11.38%	\$170,080	
Gold Bank—Oklahoma	81,867	10.56	62,049	70,168	10.13	55,390	70,913	10.94	51,842	
Gold Bank—Florida	52,233	10.25	40,785	42,682	11.08	30,828	39,199	11.53	27,205	
Gold Bank—Kansas	167,053	10.04	133,073	123,746	10.17	97,352	111,218	11.58	76,860	
Tier 1 capital										
(to risk-weighted assets):										
Gold Banc Corporation	\$255,980	8.61%	\$118,857	180,203	7.76%	\$ 92,830	\$188,954	8.89%	\$ 85,040	
Gold Bank—Oklahoma	72,422	9.34	31,024	61,723	8.91	27,695	62,791	9.69	25,921	
Gold Bank—Florida	47,528	9.32	20,393	38,809	10.07	15,414	36,842	10.33	13,603	
Gold Bank—Kansas	147,764	8.88	66,536	109,968	9.04	48,676	100,418	10.45	38,430	
Tier 1 capital										
(to adjusted quarterly average assets)										
(leverage ratio):										
Gold Banc Corporation	\$255,980	6.96%	\$147,063	180,203	6.20%	\$116,218	\$188,954	7.13%	\$106,003	
Gold Bank—Oklahoma	72,422	7.37	39,330	61,723	7.05	35,007	62,791	7.47	33,626	
Gold Bank—Florida	47,528	7.27	26,132	38,809	6.87	22,588	36,842	7.56	19,437	
Gold Bank—Kansas	147,764	7.28	81,153	109,968	7.52	58,524	100,418	8.08	49,686	

(A) Dollar amount required to meet guidelines for adequately capitalized institutions.

The Company is in the process of negotiating through Mr. Gullion's attorney the purchase of all or part of Mr. Gullion's shares of the Company's common stock to partially satisfy his restitution obligations to the Company and to avoid the sale by his secured lender of his shares in order to satisfy his indebtedness to that lender. This may require the use of the Company's capital resources.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

(19) Parent Company Condensed Financial Statements

Following is condensed financial information of the Company as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 and for the three years ended December 31, 2002 (dollars in thousands):

**Condensed Balance Sheets**  
**December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000**

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash .....	\$ 1,599	\$ 376	\$ 2,188
Investment securities .....	14,473	936	1,230
Investment in subsidiaries .....	317,549	256,190	239,202
Other .....	6,512	9,352	11,284
<b>Total assets .....</b>	<b>\$340,133</b>	<b>\$266,854</b>	<b>\$253,904</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>			
Subordinated debt and guaranteed preferred beneficial interests in			
Company debentures .....	\$ 96,300	\$ 96,300	\$ 66,300
Borrowings .....	12,433	4,701	17,933
Other .....	3,626	1,313	460
<b>Total liabilities .....</b>	<b>112,359</b>	<b>102,314</b>	<b>84,693</b>
Stockholders' equity .....	227,774	164,540	169,211
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity .....</b>	<b>\$340,133</b>	<b>\$266,854</b>	<b>\$253,904</b>

**Condensed Statements of Operations**  
**Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000**

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Dividends from subsidiaries .....	\$10,240	\$ 15,927	\$ 38,018
Management fees from subsidiaries .....	869	3,940	2,321
Interest income .....	78	364	291
Unrealized gain (losses) on trading securities .....	(18)	15	(49)
Other expense, net .....	(6,286)	(15,018)	(13,357)
Income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries .....	4,883	5,228	27,224
Equity in undistributed earnings (loss) of subsidiaries .....	27,494	14,325	(36,644)
Earnings (loss) before income tax (benefit) .....	32,377	19,553	(9,420)
Income tax (benefit) .....	6,160	(3,728)	(4,302)
<b>Net earnings (loss) .....</b>	<b>\$26,217</b>	<b>\$ 23,281</b>	<b>\$ (5,118)</b>

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(19) Parent Company Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)**

**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**  
**Years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000**

	2002	2001	2000
		(Restated)	(Restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings (loss) .....	\$ 26,217	\$ 23,281	\$ (5,118)
Equity in undistributed (earnings) loss of subsidiaries .....	(27,494)	(14,325)	36,644
Extinguishment of debt .....	—	(4,080)	—
Net change in trading securities .....	—	20	45
Other .....	5,833	2,801	(7,470)
Net cash provided by operating activities .....	4,556	7,697	24,101
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Net change in available for sale securities .....	(13,537)	274	1,542
Net change in loans .....	—	150	1,324
Net additions to premises and equipment .....	(595)	(166)	(875)
Capital contributions to subsidiaries .....	(30,453)	(3,505)	(24,724)
Net cash used in investing activities .....	(44,585)	(3,247)	(22,733)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net change in borrowings .....	(1,070)	(8,790)	3,627
Purchase of treasury stock .....	(2,185)	(25,140)	(5,795)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock .....	47,319	498	70
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated debt .....	—	30,000	—
Payment of dividends .....	(2,812)	(2,830)	(2,566)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities .....	41,252	(6,262)	(4,664)
Net increase (decrease) in Cash .....	1,223	(1,812)	(3,296)
Cash at beginning of year .....	376	2,188	5,484
Cash at end of year .....	\$ 1,599	\$ 376	\$ 2,188

The primary source of funds available to the Company is the payment of dividends by the subsidiaries and borrowings. Subject to maintaining certain minimum regulatory capital requirements, regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid without prior approval of the subsidiaries' regulatory agencies. At December 31, 2002, the subsidiaries could pay dividends of approximately \$44.7 million without prior regulatory approval. Banking regulatory authorities have the authority to prohibit or limit dividends paid by the Company's subsidiary banks to the Company and dividends paid by the Company to its shareholders.

**(20) Subsequent Events**

On January 7, 2003, the Company announced the signing of an agreement for the sale of its Guymon, Oklahoma branch location to The City National Bank of Guymon. The sale closed on April 11, 2003.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

(20) Subsequent Events (Continued)

On March 4, 2003, Gold Bank-Oklahoma entered into an agreement for the sale of its Helena and Wakita, Oklahoma branch locations to Farmers Exchange Bank of Cherokee, Oklahoma. The sale of these branches is expected to close in the second quarter of 2003 upon receipt of regulatory approvals.

On March 14, 2003, the Company announced that Malcolm M. Aslin would replace Michael W. Gullion as Chief Executive Officer of the Company and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Gold Bank-Kansas, effective March 17, 2003. Mr. Gullion was replaced due to improper conduct discovered during an internal investigation which was conducted in close cooperation with bank regulatory authorities as part of their regularly scheduled examination of Gold Bank-Kansas.

(21) Comprehensive Income

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130 requires the reporting of comprehensive income and its components. Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity from transactions and other events and circumstances from nonowner sources, and excludes investments by and distributions to owners. Comprehensive income includes net income and other items of comprehensive income meeting the above criteria. The components of other comprehensive income as shown below are unrealized holding gains and losses on available for sale securities.

The amount of income tax expense or benefit allocated to each component of other comprehensive income is as follows:

	<u>Unrealized before-tax amount</u>	<u>Tax (expense) or benefit</u>	<u>Net of tax amount</u>
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Year ended December 31, 2002:			
Unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) . . . . .	\$15,193	\$(5,791)	\$ 9,402
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income . . . . .	<u>(9,542)</u>	<u>3,637</u>	<u>(5,905)</u>
Other comprehensive income . . . . .	<u>\$ 5,651</u>	<u>\$(2,154)</u>	<u>\$ 3,497</u>
Year ended December 31, 2001			
Unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) . . . . .	\$ 342	\$ (129)	\$ 213
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income . . . . .	<u>(1,702)</u>	<u>645</u>	<u>(1,057)</u>
Other comprehensive income . . . . .	<u>\$(1,360)</u>	<u>\$ 516</u>	<u>\$ (844)</u>
Year ended December 31, 2000			
Unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) . . . . .	\$20,797	\$(7,489)	\$13,308
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income . . . . .	<u>(1,927)</u>	<u>694</u>	<u>(1,233)</u>
Other comprehensive income . . . . .	<u>\$18,870</u>	<u>\$(6,795)</u>	<u>\$12,075</u>



**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(22) Derivative Instruments**

The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to assist in the management of interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing of certain liabilities. The use of such derivative financial instruments is intended to reduce the Company's interest rate exposure. Derivative financial instruments held by the Company for purposes of managing interest rate risk are summarized as follows:

	December 31					
	2002		2001		2000	
	Notional Amount	Credit exposure	Notional amount	Credit exposure	Notional amount	Credit exposure
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Interest rate swaps . . . . .	\$82,550	—	—	—	—	—

The notional amounts of derivative financial instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a measure of the Company's credit exposure through its use of these instruments. The credit exposure represents the accounting loss the Company would incur in the event the counterparties failed completely to perform according to the terms of the derivative financial instruments and the collateral held to support the credit exposure was of no value.

During 2002, Gold Banc received net cash flows on derivative financial instruments of \$1,314,000.

During August 2002, the Company entered into \$28.7 million, \$37.6 million, and \$16.3 million notional amount of interest rate swap agreements that provide for the Company to receive a fixed rate of interest and pay an adjustable rate of interest equivalent to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate plus 2.36%, 2.83%, and 2.13%, respectively. The underlying hedged liabilities are the Company's guaranteed preferred beneficial interests in GBCI Capital Trust, GBCI Capital Trust II, and ABI Capital Trust subordinated debentures. The terms of the swap agreements provide for the Company to pay and receive interest on a quarterly basis. There were no amounts receivable or payable by the Company at December 31, 2002.

The maturity dates, notional amounts, interest rates paid and received and fair value of our interest rate swap agreements designated as fair value hedges as of December 31, 2002 were as follows:

Maturity date	Notional amount (Dollars in thousands)	Interest rate paid	Interest rate received	Fair value (Dollars in thousands)
December 31, 2027 . . . . .	\$28,750	4.16%	8.75%	(54)
June 30, 2029 . . . . .	37,550	4.63%	9.12%	621
June 30, 2008 . . . . .	16,250	3.93%	8.50%	21

On April 7, 2003, the \$28.75 million notional amount interest rate swap was terminated by the counterparty.

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited

2002	Three Months Ended			
	March 31 (Restated)	June 30 (Restated)	Sept. 30 (Restated)	Dec. 31
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 47,798	\$ 50,134	\$ 50,954	\$ 53,046
Interest expense . . . . .	23,838	24,701	24,775	24,711
Net interest income . . . . .	23,960	25,433	26,179	28,335
Net loans . . . . .	2,277,470	2,394,614	2,464,065	2,671,778
Non-performing loans . . . . .	20,956	18,100	18,242	15,867
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	5,035	4,920	3,165	6,300
Noninterest income . . . . .	13,830	16,868	15,840	17,004
Noninterest expense . . . . .	24,768	27,504	28,763	29,050
Income tax provision . . . . .	1,930	2,837	2,656	3,804
Net earnings . . . . .	6,057	7,040	7,435	5,685
Total assets . . . . .	3,234,970	3,323,012	3,648,881	3,811,723
Non-performing assets . . . . .	29,963	24,963	25,234	22,226

2001 (Restated)	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 52,986	\$ 51,116	\$ 50,724	\$ 51,975
Interest expense . . . . .	30,727	29,599	29,869	27,528
Net interest income . . . . .	22,259	21,517	20,855	24,447
Net loans . . . . .	1,819,004	1,867,255	2,019,144	2,124,973
Non-performing loans . . . . .	18,881	17,773	20,573	23,007
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	2,545	1,795	5,225	5,749
Noninterest income . . . . .	10,012	9,860	17,109	12,147
Noninterest expense . . . . .	20,461	21,556	25,848	27,453
Income tax provision . . . . .	3,202	2,333	638	(1,880)
Net earnings . . . . .	6,063	5,693	6,253	5,272
Total assets . . . . .	2,694,343	2,871,172	2,933,000	3,014,955
Non-performing assets . . . . .	22,576	23,556	30,022	32,512

2000 (Restated)	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 50,306	\$ 52,543	\$ 53,128	52,390
Interest expense . . . . .	26,089	29,242	29,939	29,637
Net interest income . . . . .	24,217	23,301	23,189	22,753
Net loans . . . . .	1,677,076	1,713,542	1,756,913	1,785,907
Non-performing loans . . . . .	5,691	19,836	21,848	20,722
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	661	1,014	978	2,020
Noninterest income . . . . .	8,304	8,259	4,963	5,534
Noninterest expense . . . . .	32,530	20,313	21,670	41,060
Income tax provision . . . . .	150	3,865	1,950	(573)
Net earnings . . . . .	(820)	6,368	3,554	(14,220)
Total assets . . . . .	2,559,157	2,674,998	2,655,761	2,717,203
Non-performing assets . . . . .	8,416	21,408	23,637	24,486

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)**

<u>Per Share 2002</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			
	<u>March 31</u> <u>(Restated)</u>	<u>June 30</u> <u>(Restated)</u>	<u>Sept. 30</u> <u>(Restated)</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u>
Net earnings—basic . . . . .	\$0.18	\$0.21	\$0.22	\$0.17
Net earnings—diluted . . . . .	0.18	0.21	0.22	0.17
Dividends . . . . .	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

<u>Per Share 2001 (Restated)</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			
	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>Sept. 30</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u>
Net earnings—basic . . . . .	\$0.17	\$0.16	\$0.18	\$0.16
Net earnings—diluted . . . . .	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.16
Dividends . . . . .	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

<u>Per Share 2000 (Restated)</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			
	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>Sept. 30</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u>
Net earnings (loss)—basic . . . . .	\$(0.02)	\$0.16	\$0.10	\$(0.38)
Net earnings (loss)—diluted . . . . .	(0.02)	0.16	0.10	(0.38)
Dividends . . . . .	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)

The following tables reconcile the Company's previously filed quarterly financial information to the quarterly financial information included herein for restatements described more fully in Note 2.

<u>Quarter ended March 31, 2002</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 47,967	\$ (169)	\$ 47,798
Interest expense . . . . .	23,838	—	23,838
Net interest income . . . . .	<u>\$ 24,129</u>	<u>\$ (169)</u>	<u>\$ 23,960</u>
Net loans . . . . .	\$2,277,470	—	\$2,277,470
Non-performing loans . . . . .	20,956	—	20,956
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	5,035	—	5,035
Noninterest income . . . . .	13,826	4	13,830
Noninterest expense . . . . .	24,800	(32)	24,768
Income tax expense . . . . .	2,113	(183)	1,930
Net earnings . . . . .	6,007	50	6,057
Total assets . . . . .	3,235,167	(197)	3,234,970
Non-performing assets . . . . .	24,930	5,033	29,963
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.18	—	0.18
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.18	—	0.18
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	33,723	(809)	32,914
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	33,798	(809)	32,989
<u>Quarter ended June 30, 2002</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 50,303	\$ (169)	\$ 50,134
Interest expense . . . . .	24,701	—	24,701
Net interest income . . . . .	<u>\$ 25,602</u>	<u>\$ (169)</u>	<u>\$ 25,433</u>
Net loans . . . . .	\$2,394,614	—	\$2,394,614
Non-performing loans . . . . .	18,100	—	18,100
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	4,920	—	4,920
Noninterest income . . . . .	16,929	(61)	16,868
Noninterest expense . . . . .	27,479	25	27,504
Income tax expense . . . . .	3,020	(183)	2,837
Net earnings . . . . .	7,112	(72)	7,040
Total assets . . . . .	3,323,414	(402)	3,323,012
Non-performing assets . . . . .	19,905	5,058	24,963
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.21	—	0.21
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.21	—	0.21
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	33,696	(947)	32,749
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	33,921	(947)	32,974

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)

<u>Quarter ended September 30, 2002</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
		(In thousands, except per share data)	
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 51,122	\$ (168)	\$ 50,954
Interest expense . . . . .	24,775	—	24,775
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 26,347	\$ (168)	\$ 26,179
Net loans . . . . .	\$2,464,065	—	\$2,464,065
Non-performing loans . . . . .	18,242	—	18,242
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	3,165	—	3,165
Noninterest income . . . . .	15,901	\$ (61)	15,840
Noninterest expense . . . . .	28,738	25	28,763
Income tax expense . . . . .	2,840	(184)	2,656
Net earnings . . . . .	7,505	(70)	7,435
Total assets . . . . .	3,649,487	(606)	3,648,881
Non-performing assets . . . . .	20,176	5,058	25,234
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.22	—	0.22
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.22	—	0.22
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	33,713	(1,085)	32,628
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	33,933	(1,085)	32,848

The amounts presented for 2002 above differ from the previously filed Form 10-Qs because of the effect of the adjustments described in Note 2 and other adjustments related to deferred loan fees

GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)

totaling \$675,000, elimination of intercompany profit on computer hardware sales totaling \$245,000 and a change in the estimated useful life of the core deposit intangible asset totaling \$100,000.

<u>Quarter ended March 31, 2001</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u> (In thousands, except per share data)	<u>As restated</u>
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 52,986	—	\$ 52,986
Interest expense . . . . .	<u>30,727</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>30,727</u>
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 22,259	—	\$ 22,259
Net loans . . . . .	\$1,819,004	—	\$1,819,004
Non-performing loans . . . . .	18,881	—	18,881
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	2,545	—	2,545
Noninterest income . . . . .	10,012	—	10,012
Noninterest expense . . . . .	20,422	\$ 39	20,461
Income tax expense . . . . .	3,334	(132)	3,202
Net earnings . . . . .	5,970	93	6,063
Total assets . . . . .	2,694,382	(39)	2,694,343
Non-performing assets . . . . .	22,526	50	22,576
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.16	0.01	0.17
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.16	0.01	0.17
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	37,000	(754)	36,246
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	37,038	(754)	36,284

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)**

<u>Quarter ended June 30, 2001</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 51,116	—	\$ 51,116
Interest expense . . . . .	29,599	—	29,599
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 21,517	—	\$ 21,517
Net loans . . . . .	\$1,867,255	—	\$1,867,255
Non-performing loans . . . . .	17,773	—	17,773
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	1,795	—	1,795
Noninterest income . . . . .	9,860	—	9,860
Noninterest expense . . . . .	21,040	\$ 516	21,556
Income tax expense . . . . .	2,465	(132)	2,333
Net earnings . . . . .	6,077	(384)	5,693
Total assets . . . . .	2,871,250	(78)	2,871,172
Non-performing assets . . . . .	21,046	2,510	23,556
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.17	(0.01)	0.16
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.17	(0.01)	0.16
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	35,488	(742)	34,746
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	35,536	(742)	34,794
<u>Quarter ended September 30, 2001</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 50,724	—	\$ 50,724
Interest expense . . . . .	29,869	—	29,869
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 20,855	—	\$ 20,855
Net loans . . . . .	\$2,019,144	—	\$2,019,144
Non-performing loans . . . . .	20,573	—	20,573
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	5,225	—	5,225
Noninterest income . . . . .	17,109	—	17,109
Noninterest expense . . . . .	25,809	\$ 39	25,848
Income tax expense . . . . .	770	(132)	638
Net earnings . . . . .	6,160	93	6,253
Total assets . . . . .	2,933,117	(117)	2,933,000
Non-performing assets . . . . .	24,989	5,033	30,022
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.18	—	0.18
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.18	—	0.18
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	35,050	(730)	34,320
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	35,112	(730)	34,382

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)**

<u>Quarter ended December 31, 2001</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 51,975	—	\$ 51,975
Interest expense . . . . .	27,528	—	27,528
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 24,447	—	\$ 24,447
Net loans . . . . .	\$2,124,973	—	\$2,124,973
Non-performing loans . . . . .	23,007	—	23,007
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	5,749	—	5,749
Noninterest income . . . . .	12,147	—	12,147
Noninterest expense . . . . .	26,448	\$ 1,005	27,453
Income tax expense . . . . .	(1,749)	(131)	(1,880)
Net earnings . . . . .	6,146	(874)	5,272
Total assets . . . . .	3,016,472	(1,517)	3,014,955
Non-performing assets . . . . .	27,479	5,033	32,512
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.17	(0.01)	0.16
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.17	(0.01)	0.16
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	35,470	(718)	34,752
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	35,520	(718)	34,802
<u>Quarter ended March 31, 2000</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 50,306	—	\$ 50,306
Interest expense . . . . .	26,089	—	26,089
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 24,217	—	\$ 24,217
Net loans . . . . .	\$1,677,076	—	\$1,677,076
Non-performing loans . . . . .	5,691	—	5,691
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	661	—	661
Noninterest income . . . . .	8,304	—	8,304
Noninterest expense . . . . .	32,524	\$ 6	32,530
Income tax expense . . . . .	121	29	150
Net earnings . . . . .	(785)	(35)	(820)
Total assets . . . . .	2,559,163	(6)	2,559,157
Non-performing assets . . . . .	8,416	—	8,416
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	(0.02)	—	(0.02)
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	(0.02)	—	(0.02)
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	37,829	(371)	37,458
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	37,880	(371)	37,509



**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)**

<u>Quarter ended June 30, 2000</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 52,543	—	\$ 52,543
Interest expense . . . . .	29,242	—	29,242
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 23,301	—	\$ 23,301
Net loans . . . . .	\$1,713,542	—	\$1,713,542
Non-performing loans . . . . .	19,836	—	19,836
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	1,014	—	1,014
Noninterest income . . . . .	8,259	—	8,259
Noninterest expense . . . . .	20,307	\$ 6	20,313
Income tax expense . . . . .	3,836	29	3,865
Net earnings . . . . .	6,403	(35)	6,368
Total assets . . . . .	2,675,010	(12)	2,674,998
Non-performing assets . . . . .	21,408	—	21,408
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.16	—	0.16
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.16	—	0.16
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	37,589	(428)	37,161
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	37,625	(428)	37,197
<u>Quarter ended September 30, 2000</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 53,128	—	\$ 53,128
Interest expense . . . . .	29,939	—	29,939
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 23,189	—	\$ 23,189
Net loans . . . . .	\$1,756,913	—	\$1,756,913
Non-performing loans . . . . .	21,848	—	21,848
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	978	—	978
Noninterest income . . . . .	4,963	—	4,963
Noninterest expense . . . . .	21,664	\$ 6	21,670
Income tax expense . . . . .	1,921	29	1,950
Net earnings . . . . .	3,589	(35)	3,554
Total assets . . . . .	2,655,800	(39)	2,655,761
Non-performing assets . . . . .	23,637	—	23,637
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	0.10	—	0.10
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	0.10	—	0.10
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	37,486	(485)	37,001
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	37,486	(485)	37,001

**GOLD BANC CORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000**

**(23) Summary of Operating Results by Quarter—Unaudited (Continued)**

<u>Quarter ended December 31, 2000</u>	<u>As previously reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As restated</u>
		(in thousands, except per share data)	
Interest income . . . . .	\$ 52,390	—	\$ 52,390
Interest expense . . . . .	29,637	—	29,637
Net interest income . . . . .	\$ 22,753	—	\$ 22,753
Net loans . . . . .	\$1,785,907	—	\$1,785,907
Non-performing loans . . . . .	20,722	—	20,722
Provision for loan losses . . . . .	2,020	—	2,020
Noninterest income . . . . .	5,534	—	5,534
Noninterest expense . . . . .	40,531	\$ 529	41,060
Income tax expense . . . . .	(603)	30	(573)
Net earnings (loss) . . . . .	(13,661)	(559)	(14,220)
Total assets . . . . .	2,717,598	(395)	2,717,203
Non-performing assets . . . . .	24,436	50	24,486
Earnings per share—basic . . . . .	(0.38)	—	(0.38)
Earnings per share—diluted . . . . .	(0.38)	—	(0.38)
Dividend . . . . .	0.02	—	0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic . . . . .	37,371	(542)	36,829
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted . . . . .	37,529	(542)	36,987

The amounts presented for 2001 and 2000 above differ from the previously filed Form 10-Qs because of the effect of the restatements described in Note 2.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.**

Not applicable.

**PART III**

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.**

The information required by this Item concerning the directors and executive officers of the Company is incorporated herein by reference, under the captions "Election of Directors" and "Executive Officers", from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the Company's last fiscal year.

The information required by this Item concerning beneficial ownership reporting compliance of the Company is incorporated herein by reference, under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance", from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the Company's last fiscal year.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.**

The information required by this Item concerning remuneration of the Company's officers and directors is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the Company's last fiscal year.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The information required by this Item concerning the stock ownership of management and five percent beneficial owners is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the Company's last fiscal year.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

In late October 2002, the Company's Audit Committee received information from the Company's Chief Financial Officer and Internal Auditor that a circumvention of the internal controls of Gold Bank-Kansas appeared to have occurred. Steven Rector, who was then serving as the cashier of Gold Bank-Kansas, credited the demand deposit account of Michael W. Gullion, who was then serving as the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and as the Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of Gold Bank-Kansas, in the amount of \$565,915 before an incoming wire transfer of funds was received by Gold Bank-Kansas to offset that credit. Mr. Gullion used the amount credited to his personal account to purchase common stock in the Company's October 2002 public offering. An internal investigation conducted by the Audit Committee, with assistance from its independent legal counsel, discovered that two additional transactions in the aggregate amount of \$225,000 had occurred in June and October of 2002 that also involved a credit to Mr. Gullion's demand deposit account before incoming wire transfers were received, which transfers appear to have been made at the direction of Mr. Gullion. Mr. Gullion has reimbursed Gold Bank-Kansas for these anticipatory credits, including interest at the prime rate of 4.5% from the dates of the original credits to his account.

As a result of this investigation, the Company notified bank regulatory authorities of these suspicious transactions. The FRB-KC and the Commissioner reviewed these transactions as part of

their regularly scheduled joint examination of Gold Bank-Kansas. During that examination, additional suspicious transactions were discovered which caused the Audit Committee and its independent counsel to expand the scope of the internal investigation.

The expanded investigation revealed that in November 2000, Gold Bank-Kansas issued a cashier's check for \$1.0 million to a broker-dealer account controlled by Mr. Gullion. Mr. Gullion subsequently received from that broker-dealer account three checks for \$100,000, \$400,000 and \$500,000, respectively. It also revealed that in May 2001, a \$900,000 check payable to Gold Bank-Kansas was deposited into Mr. Gullion's demand deposit account. These amounts were part of a real estate transaction in which a business acquaintance of Mr. Gullion purchased real estate for \$2.4 million and immediately sold it to Gold Bank-Kansas for \$4.4 million. This transaction was not presented to or approved by the Board of Directors of Gold Bank-Kansas or the Company. Mr. Gullion received \$1.9 million of bank funds in the transaction. Mr. Gullion has not reimbursed Gold Bank-Kansas for these funds. As a result of these revelations, the Company has recorded a pre-tax expense of \$1.0 million for 2000, which increased its previously reported 2000 loss per share from \$(0.12) to \$(0.14). The Company recorded an additional pre-tax expense of \$900,000 for 2001, which reduced its previously reported 2001 earnings per share from \$0.69 to \$0.67. The Company also reduced its investments in real estate by \$1.0 million in 2000 and \$900,000 in 2001 to reflect the bank funds diverted to Mr. Gullion. The Company has restated its previously reported financial statements for such years.

The expanded investigation also discovered improper credits to Mr. Gullion's personal bank account and improper expenses incurred for the personal benefit of Mr. Gullion and his family members, including purchases of automobiles for personal use. These funds were obtained through various means including the use of his Company credit card for personal use, improper reimbursement of personal expenses charged to his personal credit card, and improper recording of such payments in various expense accounts of Gold Bank-Kansas. The amount of these improper credits and expenses total approximately \$600,000. Mr. Gullion has not reimbursed Gold Bank-Kansas for these improper expenses. Since these amounts have been previously expensed, these losses do not adversely affect the Company's previously reported results of operations. These expenses, however, have been reclassified by the Company as "Loss resulting from misapplication of bank funds" in the financial statements included elsewhere in this Amended Report.

The expanded investigation also revealed that Mr. Rector made an unauthorized transfer of \$40,000 from Mr. Gullion's account to his own account.

Gold Bank-Kansas maintains various types of insurance policies covering defalcations by officers and employees that may cover most or all of the losses, subject to applicable deductibles. The Company is examining whether to submit an insurance claim in the future based on a determination as to whether the risk of increased premiums or difficulty in obtaining insurance coverage would more than offset that benefit. Mr. Gullion has offered, through his attorney, to make restitution of all amounts appropriately due us and to secure that obligation with his assets in an appropriate amount. Because Gold Bank-Kansas has recognized these losses, if in the future Mr. Gullion makes such restitution or Gold Bank-Kansas receives insurance proceeds, Gold Bank-Kansas will record those payments as income in the period received. See "Item 1—Business—Summary of Recent Events and Restatement of Financial Statements" above for a discussion of the status of our negotiations with Mr. Gullion regarding his restitution.

As a result of the aforementioned discoveries, the Boards of Directors of the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas terminated Mr. Gullion and Mr. Rector from all positions with the Company, Gold Bank-Kansas and the Company's other subsidiaries. They also obtained the resignation of Mr. Gullion from the Company's Board of Directors. The Company has taken significant additional actions to improve its internal controls, control environment, corporate governance and its standards for ethical

and honest conduct by all of its employees, as described under "Item 14—Controls and Procedures" below which is incorporated herein by reference.

In connection with the issuance of a March 14, 2003 press release announcing the replacement of Mr. Gullion with a new Chief Executive Officer due to improper conduct described more fully above, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K on the same date, notified the SEC of these discoveries and pledged its full cooperation with any inquiry made by the SEC into these matters. The SEC subsequently initiated an informal investigation of these matters. The Company and its directors and officers are cooperating fully with that investigation. Nasdaq also has made an informal request for information concerning these matters and the Company is cooperating fully with that request.

Additional information required by this Item concerning certain relationships and related transactions with respect to persons other than Mr. Gullion and Mr. Rector is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the Company's last fiscal year.

#### PART IV

#### ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Within the 90-day period prior to the filing of this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's current Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures, as amended by the recent actions taken by the Company's Board of Directors and Audit Committee to improve such controls and procedures as described below, were effective.

As described in more detail under "Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" above, during the fourth quarter of 2002 and the first quarter of 2003, the Company learned of instances of circumvention of certain internal controls that had been occurring since at least 2000 related to improper conduct by Michael W. Gullion, the former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of the Company, and by Steven Rector, the former cashier of Gold Bank-Kansas. The investigation conducted by the Company's Audit Committee, with assistance from independent counsel, forensic accountants, the Company's internal audit function, which was outsourced in March 2003 to Deloitte & Touche ("Internal Auditors"), and senior management, in close cooperation with the FRB-KC and the Commissioner, covered the time period from January 1, 1998 through April 12, 2003. However, the underlying documentation for some transactions in 1998 and early 1999 was incomplete.

In connection with that internal investigation, the Company has sought to examine the ways in which its internal controls were circumvented, how those internal controls can be strengthened and yet remain workable and cost-effective, and generally to improve the control environment in order to take all actions reasonably practicable to prevent such conduct from occurring in the future. This action was taken, however, in recognition of the fact that an internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of an internal control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of internal controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of internal controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company or its subsidiaries have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, internal controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by

collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of internal controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, any particular control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in an internal control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

The Company believes that strengthened internal controls are warranted in order to make circumvention more difficult and to improve the internal control environment by, among other things, becoming a model of corporate governance and a standard bearer in honest and ethical conduct and legal compliance. Our Board of Directors, Audit Committee and senior management are committed to these goals and therefore have taken the following actions:

- Malcolm M. Aslin replaced Mr. Gullion as our Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Aslin also replaced Mr. Gullion as President and Chief Executive Officer of Gold Bank-Kansas. Mr. Aslin is a very experienced, highly regarded banker. Mr. Aslin is committed to making ethical conduct, legal compliance and leadership in corporate governance one of his top management priorities.
- A decision was made to separate the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer at both the Company and Gold Bank-Kansas. The Board has not yet elected a new Chairman of the Board. The Company's Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has commenced a search for a new director who will satisfy the criteria for an "Audit Committee Financial Expert" as defined by the SEC to serve on the Audit Committee. All new directors will be provided with a comprehensive orientation program.
- The cashier of Gold Bank-Kansas has been replaced and a new cashier and assistant-cashier have been hired to provide further support.
- A Code of Business Conduct and Ethics was adopted by the Board of Directors. It serves as the linchpin of the enhanced control environment and will be reinforced by the Board of Directors and senior management to set the proper tone at the top. The Company's words and deeds will send a consistent message that nothing less than the highest standards of integrity and ethics will be tolerated regardless of an individual's position at the Company or its subsidiaries.
- A program has been established to ensure that the new policies and procedures are implemented and that the Company and its subsidiaries are operated with integrity and the highest ethical standards. This program includes orientation programs to acquaint all of the Company's and its subsidiaries' employees with the new policies and procedures and the standards of conduct that are expected of all directors, officers and employees under the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. All employees will be required to execute an agreement to comply with the Code on an annual basis.
- A financial matters complaint policy was adopted by the Audit Committee. This policy encourages employees who are aware of improprieties involving accounting, internal controls or auditing matters or violations of law or the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to report them to the Audit Committee. It also ensures compliance with the whistleblower protection provisions enacted by Section 806 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In addition, it provides a mechanism for the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of complaints regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters as well as the proper procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of such complaints.
- New procedures for conducting investigations of suspected violations of applicable laws or the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics were established by the Audit Committee. These procedures will ensure proper treatment of complaints by, among other things, providing

guidance as to when independent counsel must be retained to assist the Audit Committee as well as when notice to regulatory authorities should be given.

- Revised charters were adopted for the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. These charters reflect best practices by following the guidance in the proposed rules promulgated by the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) (even though the Company is only traded on Nasdaq) as well as charters adopted by companies that are regarded as leaders in corporate governance.
- New Corporate Governance Guidelines were adopted by the Board, including requirements for annual independent continuing education programs for directors and Audit Committee members. Again, these guidelines reflect the guidance in proposed rules promulgated by the NYSE and the best practices of leading companies in corporate governance.
- The internal audit function has been outsourced to Deloitte & Touche as of March 2003. Its recognized experience will substantially strengthen monitoring of internal controls. That firm can also provide assistance in designing, establishing and regularly updating such controls.
- Based on a preliminary review of the internal controls that were most closely related to the transactions involved in the ongoing investigation (e.g., wire transfers, loan authorization and teller procedures), the following internal controls were revised:
  1. All wire transfers going through the wire room for Gold Bank-Kansas must be documented, and signed by a second bank officer. The new cashier for Gold Bank-Kansas has instructed bank employees of the new policy and it is now in effect.
  2. The former cashier had authority to initiate wire transfers and also had certain “audit” responsibilities. In addition, the person who performed reconciliations reported to the cashier. Responsibility for all three of these duties has been separated and is now held by different individuals who are not in the same chain of command. The audit responsibility has been assigned to the internal audit department and reconciliations are being performed by someone who does not report to anyone who has authority to initiate wire transfers.
  3. Company travel and entertainment expenses must go through accounts payable; cash tickets may not be used to transfer funds between the bank’s accounts and to pay expenses. In extenuating circumstances when funds must be wired or a cash ticket is used to move funds, it must be counter initialed by a second officer.
  4. The Company has adopted a policy for purchasing authorization levels to increase efficiency and profitability while minimizing risk and optimizing cash flows. A delegated individual within each operating unit of the subsidiary banks must approve all of the expenditures. All expenses possible are to be paid through the accounts payable department within established accounts payable procedures. The accounts payable department will assign account numbers. The financial statements that reflect these entries will be the responsibility of the cashier and will be subject to review by the operating entities.
  5. The reconcilements of the miscellaneous prepaid accounts, other asset accounts, accrued expenses, and other liability accounts must be initialed by two officers at the end of each month who are responsible for reviewing them for appropriateness. The reconcilements will also be reviewed quarterly or semiannually by the Corporate Controller.
  6. The Company has implemented monthly reviews of bank accounts of executive officers and directors of the Company and its subsidiary banks by the Internal Auditors.

7. Senior management, and the Internal Auditors, have made enhanced monitoring and enforcement of loan origination and monitoring procedures a top priority with severe penalties imposed for violations, including termination of employment.
- The Audit Committee has instructed management and the Internal Auditors to complete a review of all of the Company's and its subsidiaries' internal controls and deliver a report to the Audit Committee on that review as soon as practicable. It is anticipated that the review will focus primarily on dual controls, segregation of duties, enhanced monitoring and other controls that could help ensure that the existing policies are followed.
  - In an effort to update our insider trading policies, the Board of Directors adopted a (i) Policy Applicable to Covered Persons Regarding Securities Trading and Handling of Nonpublic Information, and (ii) Policy Applicable to all Employees and Agents Regarding Securities Trading and Handling of Nonpublic Information, and updated and improved its Section 16 Reporting Policy. These policies reflect our desire to ensure that none of our employees, officers or directors trade in our common stock on the basis of material nonpublic information. In addition, the Section 16 Reporting Policy mandates that all transactions in our common stock by executive officers and directors are reported in filings with the SEC on a timely basis.
  - A Fair Disclosure Policy was adopted by the Board of Directors. This policy ensures that we maintain active and open communications with our shareholders, potential investors, the financial community and the media regarding our historical performance and future prospects. The policy will assist us in complying with federal securities laws, avoiding selective disclosure of material nonpublic information and promoting equal access to our information.
  - Disclosure Controls and Procedures adopted by management were ratified by the Board of Directors. These procedures update our current procedures and set forth the process and principles by which accurate and complete financial and non-financial information concerning us is included on a timely basis in documents filed or submitted by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
  - An Anti-Money Laundering Act Compliance Program was adopted by the Board of Directors. This program updates our current program and contains policies and procedures for us and our subsidiaries setting forth the methods for complying with the (i) Bank Secrecy Act and (ii) regulations of the Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"). The Bank Secrecy Act compliance procedures require that we assist the federal government in detecting money laundering activities, terrorist activities, federal criminal violations by directors, officers, employees or other of our institution-affiliated parties and our subsidiaries, and any other significant violations of criminal law. OFAC regulations require that United States' citizens and companies freeze the assets of certain individuals and companies engaged in transactions with countries that are subject to United States sanctions or with individuals or companies that act as agents for such countries.

The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, the Audit Committee Financial Matters Complaint Policy, the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Fair Disclosure Policy and the Charters of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will all be publicly disseminated by posting them to the Company's website.

In addition, the Company had previously undertaken a significant upgrade of its financial accounting software in late 2001. Management believes that this new software was a key factor in the initial detection of Mr. Gullion's improper activities and will be a key element in avoiding similar incidents in the future.

The Company and regulatory authorities have identified weaknesses with internal controls, firewalls and security protocols for the information technology system used by the subsidiary banks. The



Company has engaged consultants to evaluate and recommend improvements to the security features of the information technology system. The Company has hired a new information security officer and a new chief technology officer. The Company has also constructed a new wide area network and is in the process of adding and improving security protocols, firewalls and DMZs for the information technology system.

Although the framework has been put in place to materially improve the control structure and environment of the Company, it will take some time to realize all of the benefits from the Company's initiatives. Moreover, the Board of Directors and senior management recognize that this is a continuing and evolving process that will require regular updating and refinement to keep pace with final rules of the SEC and the NASD, evolving "best practices" in corporate governance and internal controls, and lessons learned from the ongoing internal investigation, the bank regulatory examination and the SEC's informal investigation. While there can be no assurance that new problems will not be found in the future, the Company expects to continue to improve its controls in the future.

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

*(a) Exhibits, Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules:*

**(1) Financial Statements:**

The following consolidated financial statements of our Company and reports of our Company's independent auditors are filed herewith:

Independent Auditors' Report .....	63
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002, 2001 (Restated) and 2000 (Restated) .....	64
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 (Restated) and 2000 (Restated) .....	66
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 (Restated) and 2000 (Restated) .....	67
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 (Restated) and 2000 (Restated) .....	68
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .....	70

**(2) Financial Statement Schedules:**

The following financial statement schedules of our Company, if any, are filed herewith:

None

**(3) Exhibits:**

- 3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation of Gold Banc. (Previously filed as Exhibit 3.(A) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation. (Previously filed as Exhibit 3.(A) (I) to our Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-28563) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Gold Banc. (Previously filed as Exhibit 3.3 to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-98579) filed with the SEC on October 7, 2002, and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)

- 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4 to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.2 Rights Agreement dated October 13, 1999, between Gold Banc and American Stock Transfer and Trust, as Rights Agent. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 15, 1999 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.3 Form of Federal Home Loan Bank Credit Agreement to which each of Gold Banc's banking subsidiaries is a party. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10. (F) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.4 Form of Junior Subordinated Indenture between Gold Banc and Bankers Trust Company as Trustee relating to GBCI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4. (A) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-39849) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.5 Form of Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Gold Banc, Bankers Trust Company, as Property Trustee, Bankers Trust (Delaware), as Delaware Trustee and various holders of Trust Securities relating to GBCI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4. (B) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-39849) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.6 Form of Guaranty Agreement between Gold Banc, as Guarantor, and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee relating to GBCI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4. (C) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-39849) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.7 Form of Junior Subordinated Indenture between Gold Banc and Bankers Trust Company as Trustee relating to GBCI Capital Trust II. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4. (A) to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-76623) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.8 Form of Amended and Restated Trust Agreement between Gold Banc, Bankers Trust Company, as Property Trustee, and Bankers Trust (Delaware), as Delaware Trustee, relating to GBCI Capital Trust II. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4. (C) to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-76623) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.9 Form of Guarantee Agreement between Gold Banc, as Guarantor, and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to GBCI Capital Trust II. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4. (E) to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-76623) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.10 Registration Rights Agreement among Gold Banc, Daniel Buford, Sam Buford, Sharon Buford, Stephen Buford, Dillard Enterprises, L.L.C., Eric M. Bohne Revocable Family Trust #1, and Eric M. Bohne Revocable Family Trust #2, dated as of December 10, 1998. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10. (M) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 1999 for the year ended December 31, 1998 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.11 Assignment and Assumption of Rights, Duties, and Obligations of Guarantor under the Amended and Restated Guarantee Agreement, dated March 20, 2000, among American Bancshares, Inc. and Gold Banc Acquisition Corporation XI, Inc. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)

- 4.12 Assignment and Assumption of Rights, Duties, and Obligations of Depositor under the Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated March 20, 2000, among American Bancshares, Inc. and Gold Banc Acquisition Corporation XI, Inc. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.13 First Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 20, 2000 to Junior Subordinated Indenture dated as of July 7, 1998, by Gold Banc Acquisition Corporation XI, Inc. as successor by merger to American Bancshares, Inc. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.14 Resignation of Administrator of ABI Capital Trust, dated March 20, 2000, by Brian M. Watterson. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.15 Resignation of Administrator of ABI Capital Trust, dated March 20, 2000, by Jerry L. Neff. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.5 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.16 Appointment of Administrators of ABI Capital Trust, dated March 20, 2000, by Keith E. Bouchey. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.6 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.17 Appointment of Administrators of ABI Capital Trust, dated March 20, 2000, by Steven E. Rector. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.7 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2000 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.18 Form of Junior Subordinated Indenture between American Bancshares, Inc. and Bankers Trust Company as Trustee relating to ABI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to ABI Capital Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-56095) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.19 Form of Trust Agreement between American Bancshares, Inc. and Bankers Trust (Delaware) as Trustee relating to ABI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4.3 to ABI Capital Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-56095) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.20 Form of Amended and Restated Trust Agreement between American Bancshares, Inc., Bankers Trust Company, as Property Trustee, and Bankers Trust (Delaware), as Delaware Trustee, relating to ABI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4.4 to ABI Capital Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-56095) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.21 Form of Amended and Restated Guarantee Agreement between American Bancshares, Inc., as Guarantor, and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to ABI Capital Trust. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4.6 to ABI Capital Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-56095) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.22 Indenture, dated as of November 28, 2001, by Gold Banc, as Issuer, to Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, Paying Agent, Calculation Agent and Securities Registrar. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.33 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 and filed with the SEC on March 25, 2002 (File No. 0-28936).)

- 4.23 Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 1998, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.36 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.24 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of April 26, 1999, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.37 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.25 Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2000, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.38 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.26 Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2000, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.39 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.27 Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2001, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.40 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.28 Fifth Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2001, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.41 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.29 Sixth Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of September 28, 2001, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.42 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.30 Seventh Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2002, between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed on Exhibit 10.43 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.31 Replacement Revolving Note, dated as of July 1, 2002, in favor of LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed on Exhibit 10.44 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4.32 Amended and Restated Third Party Pledge Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2002, between GBC Kansas, Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association. (Previously filed on Exhibit 10.45 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 13, 2002 for the period ended July 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)

- 9.1 Proxy Agreement/Stockholder Agreement between Michael W. Gullion and William Wallman, dated as of September 15, 1996. (Previously filed as Exhibit 9.A to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 9.2 Proxy Agreement/Stockholder Agreement between Michael W. Gullion, William F. Wright, and Allen D. Petersen dated as of September 15, 1996. (Previously filed as Exhibit 9.B to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 9.3 Accession of The Lifeboat Foundation to the Proxy Agreement/Stockholder Agreement among Michael W. Gullion, William F. Wright, and Allen D. Petersen, dated May 28, 1997. (Previously filed as Exhibit 9.(C) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-39849) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 9.4 Addendum to Proxy/Shareholder Agreement between Michael W. Gullion and William Wallman dated as of February 10, 1999. (Previously filed as Exhibit 9.(D) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 1999 for the year ended December 31, 1998 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 9.5 Addendum to Proxy/Shareholder Agreement among Michael W. Gullion, Allen D. Peterson, William F. Wright and The Lifeboat Foundation, dated as of February 10, 1999. (Previously filed as Exhibit 9.(C) to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 1999 for the year ended December 31, 1998 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- \*10.1 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. and Malcolm M. Aslin dated March 28, 2003. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2003 for the period ended December 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.2 [Reserved]
- \*10.3 Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. 1996 Equity Compensation Plan. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10. (C) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.4 Form of Tax Sharing Agreements between Gold Banc and Gold Banc's Subsidiaries. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10. (E) to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-12377) and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- \*10.5 1994 Key Employer Stock Option Plan. (Previously filed as Exhibit 4.3 to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-34152) and the same is incorporated herein by reference).
- \*10.6 Incentive Stock Option Plan, dated May 28, 1996, and Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the American Bancshares, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 1997, and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- \*10.7 1999 Stock Option and Equity Incentive Plan, dated March 22, 1999. (Previously filed as Exhibit A to the American Bancshares, Inc. Proxy Statement filed April 12, 1999, and the same is incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.8 ISDA Master Agreement (Multi-currency—Cross Border), dated August 14, 2002, between Citibank, N.A. and Gold Banc Corporation, Inc., including the Schedule to the ISDA Master Agreement. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.46 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)

- 10.9 ISDA Credit Support Annex (Bilateral Form), dated August 14, 2002, between Citibank, N.A. and Gold Banc Corporation, Inc., including the paragraph 13 attachment thereto. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.47 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.10 Amended Confirmation, dated August 28, 2002, from Citibank, N.A. to Gold Banc Corporation, Inc., relating to an interest rate swap transaction with a notional amount of USD 28,750,000 and a termination date of December 31, 2027. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.48 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.11 Amended Confirmation, dated August 28, 2002, from Citibank, N.A. to Gold Banc Corporation, Inc., relating to an interest rate swap transaction with a notional amount of USD 37,550,000 and a termination date of June 30, 2029. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.49 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.12 ISDA Master Agreement (Multi-currency—Cross Border), dated August 14, 2002, between Citibank, N.A. and GBC Florida, Inc., including the Schedule to the ISDA Master Agreement. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.50 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.13 ISDA Credit Support Annex (Bilateral Form), dated August 14, 2002, between Citibank, N.A. and GBC Florida, Inc., including the paragraph 13 attachment thereto. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.51 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.14 Amended Confirmation, dated August 28, 2002, from Citibank, N.A. to GBC Florida, Inc., relating to an interest rate swap transaction with a notional amount of USD 16,249,470 and a termination date of December 31, 2027. (Previously filed as Exhibit 10.52 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on October 14, 2002 for the period ended September 30, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. (Previously filed as Exhibit 21.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2003 for the year ended December 31, 2002 and the same is incorporated herein by reference.)
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP.
- 99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. dated April 15, 2003, which is accompanying this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2002 and is not treated as filed in reliance on the SEC's Interim Guidance Regarding Filing Procedures.
- 99.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. dated April 15, 2003, which is accompanying this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2002 and is not treated as filed in reliance on the SEC's Interim Guidance Regarding Filing Procedures.
- \* Management contracts or compensating plans or arrangements required to be identified by Item 15(a).

*(b) Reports on Form 8-K*

We filed the following Current Reports on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2002 and early 2003:

- On October 15, 2002, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K containing the certifications of the Company's CEO and CFO, as required under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that accompanied the filing of Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- On November 14, 2002, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K containing the certifications of the Company's CEO and CFO, as required under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that accompanied the filing of the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002.
- On March 5, 2003, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing the execution of an agreement for the sale of the two Gold Bank-Oklahoma branch locations.
- On March 14, 2003, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing that Malcolm M. Aslin replaced Michael W. Gullion as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, effective March 17, 2003 due to irregularities in his personal bank account.
- On April 4, 2003, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing the occurrence of a conference call held on April 4, 2003, at which the Company provided an earnings forecast and business outlook for the first quarter, as well as for the full fiscal year, of 2003.

*(c) Exhibits.*

See exhibits identified above under Item 15(a)3.

*(d) Financial Statement Schedules.*

See financial statement schedules identified above under Item 15(a)2, if any.





CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
RULE 13A-14 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Malcolm M. Aslin, Chief Executive Officer of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. (the "registrant"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2002 (this "annual report");
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Dated: April 15, 2003

By: /s/ MALCOLM M. ASLIN

Malcolm M. Aslin  
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
RULE 13A-14 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Rick J. Tremblay, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Gold Banc Corporation, Inc. (the "registrant"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2002 (this "annual report");
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b) fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Dated: April 15, 2003

By: /s/ RICK J. TREMBLAY

Rick J. Tremblay  
*Executive Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)*

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MALCOLM M. ASLIN

*President, Chief Executive Officer*

ALLEN D. PETERSEN

*Chairman*

*Draupnir, LLC*

*Chicago, Illinois*

WILLIAM RANDON

*Co-Chief Executive Officer*

*B2B Solutions, LLC*

DANIEL P. CONNEALY

*Former Chief Financial Officer*

*Stilwell Financial Inc.*

*Kansas City, Missouri*

WILLIAM R. HAGMAN Jr.

*President*

*Hagman Companies, Inc.*

*Naples, Florida*

D. PATRICK CURRAN

*Curran Companies, Inc.*

*Kansas City, Missouri*

DONALD C. McNEILL

*President and Director*

*Banc West, Inc.*

*Edmond, Oklahoma*

J. GARY RUSS

*Manager and General Partner*

*Russ Citrus Groves, Ltd.*

*Bradenton, Florida*

E. MILES PRENTICE

*Partner*

*Eaton & Van Winkle*

*New York, New York*

### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

MALCOLM M. ASLIN

*President, Chief Executive Officer*

RICK J. TREMBLAY

*Executive Vice President*

*Chief Financial Officer*

TED J. LISTER

*Senior Vice President*

*Human Resources*

### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF GOLD BANKS

MALCOLM M. ASLIN

*President*

*Gold Bank — Kansas*

D. STEVE HARMON

*President*

*Gold Bank — Oklahoma*

JERRY L. NEFF

*President*

*Gold Bank — Florida*

## SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

### CORPORATE OFFICE

Gold Banc

11301 Nall Avenue, Leawood, KS 66211

Phone (913) 451-8050

Fax (913) 451-8004

### INTERNET WEBSITE

Visit [www.goldbanc.com](http://www.goldbanc.com) to order publications or to find current news, financial data and bank locations. Visit [www.goldbank.com](http://www.goldbank.com) for Internet banking access.

### ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The annual meeting will be held at 9:00 a.m., May 19, 2003 at the Sheraton Overland Park Hotel, 6100 College Blvd., Overland Park, Kansas.

### PERIODIC REPORTS AND INVESTOR INQUIRIES

To request publications such as Form 10-K or to ask about other shareholder issues, please contact Rick J. Tremblay, CFO, at the corporate office.

### CORPORATE COUNSEL

Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP

2600 Grand Blvd.

Kansas City, MO 64108

### AUDITORS

KPMG LLP

1000 Walnut St., Suite 1600

Kansas City, MO 64106

### STOCK LISTING

Gold Banc common stock trades on the Nasdaq National Market under the ticker symbol GLDB. The Company's trust preferred securities trade on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbols GLDBP and GLDBO.

### TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company

59 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038

Phone (718) 921-8381

# Gold Banc

11301 Nall Avenue  
Leawood, Kansas 66211  
913.451.8050  
[www.goldbanc.com](http://www.goldbanc.com)