

901 ANNUAL REPORT

PROCESSED

APR 1 1 2002

THOMSON
FINANCIAL

CORPORATE PROFILE

Psychemedics Corporation is the world's largest provider of hair testing for the detection of drugs of abuse. The company's multi patented process is now used by over 2,200 corporations nationwide (including many Fortune 500 companies) for pre-employment and random drug testing. Major police departments, Federal Reserve Banks, schools, and other public entities also secure the safety and reliability of their activities from drug abusers by using our unique process. Our personal drug testing service, PDT-90, is available via our internet E-Commerce website, www.drugfreeteenagers.com. The Company's drug test provides for the detection of cocaine, marijuana, opiates, methamphetamine (including Ecstasy), and PCP. The domestic market for drug testing is estimated to be over \$800 Million, and growing. We strongly believe our drug testing method to be superior to any other product currently in use, including traditional urine testing facilities and other hair testing companies.

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

The past year has proven to be one of the most difficult for Psychemedics. The recession has affected all of our customers and new hiring was severely constrained. Since our primary business is pre-employment drug testing, we were directly and substantively impacted by the dramatic fall-off in new hires. In addition, this decline in testing volume was exacerbated by the tragic events of September 11th as corporate America generally put new hiring on hold while other less cyclical industries, such as casinos, virtually stopped. The result was a significant decline in revenue for our Company. However, despite this decrease, we managed to operate profitably in 2001 and believe we are in a strong position as the economy rebounds.

Although experiencing an unprecedented adverse business climate in 2001, we had a number of noteworthy accomplishments, demonstrating our continuing market and technological leadership and reinforcing our confidence in the future of Psychemetrics:

New customers added

We were pleased with the number and quality of new clients signed up during 2001, adding new clients at the same rate as in the previous two years. While no one can predict how long the current economic slowdown or the difficult employment picture will last, Psychemedics is well positioned for rapid growth in revenue and net income once our existing, as well as new customers, return to their more normal testing schedules. Psychemedics is poised to benefit greatly by any improvement in new hiring.

Cost reductions implemented

In response to the slowdown in testing volume, we reduced costs in late 2000 and into 2001, allowing us to operate profitably for the year. These reductions were implemented in an orderly manner, enabling us to both retain key skilled personnel and to continue to offer unparalleled customer service, while taking several of our tests through the elaborate and costly Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval process.

• FDA Clearances

We received additional 510(K) clearances from the FDA, most recently for our tests for the detection of cocaine and methamphetamine and MDMA (Ecstasy) in human hair. We believe that FDA clearance of our products will encourage the use of Psychemedics' hair analysis in a wider range of applications in the war against drugs.

UK and European Sales and Marketing Alliance initiated

We signed an exclusive sales and marketing agreement with SureScreen Diagnostics Ltd., Britain's largest supplier of drug screening products, substance abuse policy advice and drug awareness kits. SureScreen Diagnostics Ltd. will offer the Psychemetics patented hair test for drugs of abuse in the UK and Europe. We believe that the market for our services in that region is

experiencing dramatic growth and SureScreen offers an exciting opportunity for us to access this business. Employers in the UK and Europe now recognize that drug abuse in the workplace is a serious problem and we look forward to making a major effort with SureScreen to sell our testing services throughout the region.

• Ground-breaking work on Ecstasy detection reported

In July of 2000, Psychemedics became the first laboratory to begin testing for Ecstasy as part of its standard drug testing panel. We, therefore, have hard data on this drug. The results from over one and a half years of testing demonstrated that when Ecstasy screening was added to the normal testing panel, the number of positives in the methamphetamine category almost doubled. It is important to note that had these tests not included the Ecstasy screen, they would have been reported as negative. In addition, the overwhelming majority of these positives were found in pre-employment testing, indicating Ecstasy use in a broader population than previously anticipated. Our data also revealed that 41% of Ecstasy users abused one or more other illegal substances in addition to Ecstasy. As the leading laboratory testing for Ecstasy in the United States, we will continue to gather and monitor data on Ecstasy use. We are also pleased to share this unique data with appropriate government agencies to support their efforts in controlling this harmful drug.

We remain confident about our future and the significant growth potential of our Company once the economy and the employment picture improve. We also have world-class drug testing products, highly skilled scientists and a talented team that is committed to the success of Psychemedics. Further, we believe that one of the changes resulting from September 11th will be a higher priority placed on security. We feel this will result in more pre-employment screening, making our state-of-the-art drug testing services even more important to corporate customers.

Our balance sheet remains strong with over \$3 million of cash and no debt. The Company has a stock repurchase program that has bought back a total of over 1,300,000 shares since June of 1998. We continue to work with Downer & Company, an international investment-banking firm, and are continuing to explore and are considering all opportunities to provide greater shareholder value. We continue to pay a dividend, which demonstrates the Directors' confidence in the Company's future growth and their continued interest in rewarding shareholders.

It appears that 2002 will be another challenging year, however, I am confident that our team is capable of executing our business plan and delivering improved financial results for our shareholders. I would also like to take this opportunity to personally thank all of my teammates at Psychemedics for their commitment and dedication. I want to thank our Directors for their guidance and counsel, our clients for the contribution they are making to the war on drugs and our shareholders for their support.

Sincerely, Ray Kubachi

Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr.

President and Chief Executive Officer

U. S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

Commission file number: 1-13738

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION

(exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	<u>58-1701987</u>
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1280 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, M. (Address of principal executive offices	
, ·	including area code: 617-868-7455
	uant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Common Stock, \$.005 Par Value	American Stock Exchange
(Title of class)	name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES (X) NO ()

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. (X)

On March 15, 2002 the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (assuming for these purposes, but without conceding, that all executive officers, directors and 10% shareholders are "affiliates" of the Registrant) was \$41,725,711 and 21,036,895 shares of Common Stock, \$.005 par value, were outstanding at such date.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III - Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement relative to the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 9, 2002.

PART I

The information provided by the Company in this Report may contain "forward-looking" information which involves risks and uncertainties, such as statements of the Company's plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. The cautionary statements made in this report should be read as being applicable to all forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this report. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those discussed herein. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those discussed in Item 7 below, as well as those discussed elsewhere herein.

Item 1. Business

General

Psychemedics Corporation ("the Company") is a Delaware corporation organized on September 24, 1986 to provide testing services for the detection of abused substances through the analysis of hair samples. The Company's testing methods utilize a patented technology for performing immunoassays on enzymatically dissolved hair samples with confirmation testing by mass spectrometry.

The Company's first application of its patented technology is a testing service that screens for the presence of certain drugs of abuse in hair. The application of radioimmunoassay procedures using hair differs from the more widely used application of radioimmunoassay procedures using urine samples. The Company's tests provide quantitative information which indicates the approximate amount of drug ingested as well as historical data which can show a pattern of individual drug use over a period of time. This information is useful to employers in both applicant and employee testing, to physicians, treatment professionals, law enforcement agencies, to the insurance industry; and to parents concerned about drug use by their children and to other individuals and entities engaged in any business where drug use is an issue. The Company provides commercial testing and confirmation by mass spectrometry using industry-accepted practices for cocaine, marijuana, PCP, methamphetamine, and opiates. As part of its methamphetamine test, the Company also tests all hair samples it receives from both corporations and individuals for the presence of Ecstasy. (methylenedioxymethamphetamine/MDMA). The combination of sporadic use patterns and rapid clearance from the body make Ecstasy difficult to detect through available urine testing. In addition, the Company has developed a test for methadone for use in the drug treatment industry.

Testing services are currently performed at the Company's laboratory at 5832 Uplander Way, Culver City, California. The Company's services are marketed under the name RIAH (Radioimmunoassay of Hair), a registered service mark.

Development of Radioimmunoassay of Hair

The application of special radioimmunoassay procedures to the analysis of hair was initially developed in 1978 by the founders of the Company, Annette Baumgartner and Werner A. Baumgartner, Ph.D. The Baumgartners demonstrated that when certain chemical substances enter the bloodstream, the blood carries them to the hair where they become "entrapped" in the protein matrix in amounts roughly proportional to the

amount ingested. The Company's drugs of abuse testing procedure involves washing the hair sample to clean it of surface contaminants and then subjecting the cleansed hair sample to the Company's unique proprietary process which involves the direct analysis of liquefied hair samples by radioimmunoassay procedures utilizing special readents and antibodies. The antibodies detect the presence of a specific drug or metabolite in the liquefied hair sample by reacting with the drug present in the sample solution and an added radioactive analog of the drug. The resulting antibody-drug complex is precipitated and analyzed. The amount of drug present in the sample is inversely proportional to the amount of radioactive analog in the precipitate. Depending upon both the length of head hair and the hair growth rate (head hair grows approximately 1.3 centimeters per month), the Company is able to provide historical information on drug use by the person from whom the sample was obtained. Another testing option involves sectional analysis of the hair sample. In this procedure, the hair is sectioned lengthwise to approximately correspond to certain time periods. sections are then labeled by time period, which allows the Company to provide trend information on drug use.

Validation of the Company's Proprietary Testing Method

The process of analyzing human hair for the presence of drugs using the Company's patented method has been the subject of numerous scientific field studies. Results from the studies that have been published or accepted for publication in scientific journals are generally favorable to the Company's technology. These studies were performed with the following organizations: Citizens for a Better Community Court. Columbia University, Koba Associates-DC Initiative, Harvard Cocaine Recovery Project, Hutzel Hospital, ISA Associates (Interscience America)-NIDA Workplace Study. University of California-Sleep State Organization, Maternal/Child Substance Abuse Project, Matrix Center, National Public Services Research Institute, Narcotic and Drug Research Institute, San Diego State University-Chemical Dependency Center, Spectrum Inc., Stapleford Centre (London), Task Force on Violent Crime (Cleveland, Ohio); University of Miami-Department of Psychiatry, University of Miami-Division of Neonatology, University of South Florida-Operation Par Inc., University of Washington, VA Medical Center-Georgia, U.S. Probation Parole-Santa Ana. The above studies include research in the following areas: prenatal, treatment evaluation, workplace drug use, the criminal justice system and epidemiology. Many of the studies have been funded by the National Institute of Justice or the National Institute on Drug Abuse ("NIDA"). Over 400 research articles written by independent researchers have been published supporting the general validity and usefulness of hair analysis.

Additional independent evaluation of the technology, favorable to the Company's services, has been performed by submission of blind samples by Dr. Robert DuPont, President of the Institute of Behavior and Health, Inc., the first Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse and presently a scientific advisor to the Company. Some of the Company's customers have also completed their own testing to validate the Company's proprietary hair testing method as a prelude to utilizing the Company's services. These studies have consistently confirmed the Company's superior detection rate compared to urinalysis testing. When the results from utilizing the Company's patented hair testing method were compared to urine results in side-by-side evaluations, 3 to 10 times as many drug abusers were accurately identified with the Company's proprietary method. In addition to these studies, the Company's proprietary method is validated through the services it offers to over 2,200 clients for whom it has performed testing.

In 1998, the National Institute of Justice, utilizing Psychemedics hair testing, completed a Pennsylvania Prison study where hair analysis revealed an average prison use level in 1996 of approximately 7.9%. Comparatively, urinalysis revealed virtually no positives. After measures to curtail drug use were instituted, drug sniffing dogs, searches and scanners, the use level fell to approximately 2% according to the results of hair analysis in 1998. Again, the urine tests had virtually no positives. The study illustrated the usefulness of hair analysis to monitor populations and the weakness of urinalysis.

In July of 1999, the scientific validity of the Company's hair analysis for workplace testing was reported in an article published in the Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine by Benjamin Hoffman, M.D. The study reported hair analysis and urinalysis results on a group of 1,852 candidates for employment at a large metropolitan police department. The results demonstrated the superior capability of hair analysis over urinalysis in detecting drug users by a three to one ratio and also showed no evidence of a bias of hair analysis based on hair color or race.

The Company has received from the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") 510k clearance on several of its assays used to test human hair for drugs of abuse. Psychemedics is the only company to receive FDA clearance of any assay for testing hair samples for drugs of abuse. See Government Regulation.

Advantages of Using the Company's Patented Method

The Company asserts that hair testing using its patented method confers substantive advantages relative to existing means of drug detection through urinalysis. Although urinalysis testing can provide accurate drug use information, the scope of the information is short-term and is generally limited to the type of drug ingested within a few days of the test. Studies published in many scientific publications have indicated that most drugs disappear from urine within a few days.

In contrast to urinalysis testing, hair testing using the Company's patented method can provide long-term historical drug use information resulting in a significantly wider "window of detection." This "window" may be several months or longer depending on the length of the hair sample. The Company's standard test offering, however, uses a 3.9 centimeter length head hair sample cut close to the scalp; therefore, it measures use for approximately the previous 90 days.

This wider window of detection enhances the detection efficiency of hair analysis making it particularly useful in pre-employment testing. Hair testing not only identifies more drug users, but can also uncover patterns and severity of drug use, information most helpful in determining the scope of an individual's involvement with drugs and serves as a deterrent against the use of drugs. Hair testing using the Company's patented method greatly reduces the incidence of "false negatives" associated with evasive measures typically encountered with urinalysis testing. Urinalysis test results are impacted adversely by excessive fluid intake prior to testing as well as adulteration of the sample. Moreover, a drug user who abstains from use for a few days prior to urinalysis testing can usually escape detection. Hair testing is effectively free of these problems, as it cannot be thwarted by evasive measures typically encountered with urinalysis testing. It is also attractive to customers since sample collection is typically performed under close supervision yet is less intrusive and less embarrassing for test subjects.

Hair testing using the Company's patented method (with mass spectrometry confirmation) further reduces the prospects of error in conducting drug detection tests. Urinalysis testing is more susceptible to problems such as "evidentiary false positives" resulting from passive drug exposure (e.g. poppy seeds). To combat this problem, in federally mandated testing, the opiate cutoff levels for urine testing were raised 667% on December 1, 1998 and testing for the presence of a heroin metabolite, 6-AM, was required. These new requirements, however, effectively reduced the detection time frame for confirmed heroin in urine down to several hours post-use. In contrast, the metabolite 6-AM is stable in hair and can be detected for months.

In the event a positive urinalysis test result is challenged, a test on a newly collected sample is not a viable remedy. Depending on the drug usage of the forewarned individual prior to the date of the newly collected sample, a re-test may yield a negative result when using urinalysis testing because of temporary abstention. In contrast, when the Company's hair testing method is offered on a repeat hair sample the individual suspected of drug use cannot as easily affect the results because historical drug use data remain locked in the hair fiber.

Disadvantages of Hair Testing

There are some disadvantages of hair testing as compared to drug detection through urinalysis. Because hair starts growing below the skin surface, drug ingestion evidence does not appear in hair above the scalp until five to seven days after use.

Thus, hair testing is not suitable for determining impairment in "for cause" testing such as is done in connection with an accident investigation. It does, however, provide a drug history which can complement urinallysis information in "for cause" testing.

Currently, radioimmunoassay testing using hair samples under the Company's patented method is only practiced by Psychemedics Corporation. The absence of widespread familiarity and use of hair testing may adversely impact the Company's revenue growth.

The Company's prices for its tests are generally somewhat higher than prices for tests using urinalysis, but the Company believes that its superior detection rates provide more value to the customer. This pricing policy could, however, adversely impact the growth of the Company's sales volume.

Patents

In 1994, the Company was issued its first patent, U.S. Patent No. 5,324,642 (the "642 Patent"). This patent pertains to the Company's universal drug extraction procedure and immunoassay technology for the detection of drugs in hair specimens. Some of the research on the inventions covered by the 642 Patent was conducted at the Veteran's Administration Hospital ("VA"). Therefore, the U.S. government has been granted a nonexclusive, irrevocable, royalty-free license to use the basic invention covered by the 642 Patent, for all governmental purposes. In 1995, the Company was granted an additional patent pertaining to the immuno chernical screening assay for marijuana, which is the most difficult drug to detect.

In 1996, the Company was issued its first European patent on the base hair analysis method. The Company was also issued a European patent in 1996 on another aspect

of the Company's technology, related to the use of detergents to enhance the hair digestion portion of the methodology.

In October 1998, the Japanese Patent Office informed the Company that it had allowed the pending Japanese patent application containing broad claims to the Company's proprietary hair test for drugs of abuse.

In August 1999, the Canadian Patent Office issued the Company a patent containing broad claims to the Company's proprietary basic hair analysis method.

In December 1999, the Company was issued European patents related to the analysis of marijuana analyte in hair. As a result of the issuance of this patent, national patents are in effect in Germany, France, Italy, the United Kingdom and Spain.

In February 2000, a third U.S. patent was issued which extends protection to yet another aspect of the Company's methodology. This patent provides for the use of metal salt to deactivate certain reagents used in the method, thus enhancing efficiency.

In December 2001, a Japanese certificate of patent was issued related to the use of detergents in the Psychemedics hair analysis process.

In January 2002, a second Canadian patent was issued, which relates to the use of ion exchange resins in the marijuana assay.

In February 2002, a fourth U.S. Patent was issued which covers the base hair analysis method, and broadens considerably the scope of the original U.S. patent.

Certain aspects of the Company's hair analysis method are based on trade secrets owned by the Company. The Company's ability to protect the confidentiality of these trade secrets is dependent upon the Company's internal safeguards and upon the laws protecting trade secrets and unfair competition. In the event that patent protection or protection under the laws of trade secrets were not sufficient and the Company's competitors succeeded in duplicating the Company's products, the Company's business could be materially adversely affected.

Target Markets

1. Workplace

The Company focuses its primary marketing efforts on the private sector, with particular emphasis on job applicant and employee testing.

The number of businesses using drug testing to screen job applicants and employees has increased significantly in the last several years. The most recent American Management Association (AMA) survey from 1996 indicated that 81% of surveyed firms were engaged in some form of drug testing, a 277% increase since the initial AMA survey in 1987. The prevalence of drug screening programs reflects a growing concern that drug use contributes to employee health problems and costs (increased absenteeism, reduced productivity, etc.) and in certain industries, safety hazards. It has been estimated that the cost to industry in terms of health care costs and lost productivity is at least \$98.5 billion annually.

The principal criticism of employee drug screening programs centers on the effectiveness of the testing program. Most private sector screening programs use urinalysis. Such programs are susceptible to evasive maneuvers and the inability to obtain identical repeat samples in the event of a challenged result.

Moreover, many employers, to accommodate concerns of their employees and to avoid infringement of employee privacy rights, conduct their programs on a pre-announced schedule, thereby providing an opportunity for many drug users to simply abstain in order to escape detection.

The Company presents its patented hair analysis method to potential clients as a better technology well suited to employer needs. Field studies and actual client results support the accuracy and effectiveness of the Company's patented technology and its ability to detect even casual drug use. This information provides an employer with greater flexibility in assessing the scope of an applicant's or an employee's drug problem.

The Company performs a confirmation test of all positive results through mass spectrometry. The use of mass spectrometry is an industry accepted practice used to confirm positive drug test results of an initial screen. In an employment setting, mass spectrometry confirmation is typically used prior to the taking of any disciplinary action against an employee. The Company offers its clients a five-drug screen with mass spectrometry confirmation of cocaine, PCP, marijuana, methamphetamine, including Ecstasy, and opiates.

2. Parents

The Company also offers a personal drug testing service, "PDT-90"®, for parents concerned about drug use by their children. It allows parents to collect a small sample from their child in the privacy of the home, send it to the Company's laboratory and have it tested for drugs of abuse by the Company. The PDT-90 testing service uses the same patented method that is used with the Company's workplace testing service.

3. Research

The list of research clients includes National Development and Research Institute; The University of North Carolina Chapel Hill; The University of Pennsylvania; The Jefferson Medical College, Rehab Follow Up; UCLA Drugs of Abuse in Mentally III and Comorbid Parolees; The Boston University School of Public Health; The Pacific Institute for Substance-Abusing Women and their Children; The Research Institute of Addiction, Buffalo, NY; Mathematical Policy Research, Effectiveness of Intervention on Drug Use; The Texas Christian University, First Choice Women's Program; The Center for Substance Abuse Research; Columbia University, Cocaine Exposure in Fetal Neural Development; and The University of North Carolina, Antipsychotics in Schizophrenia.

Sales and Marketing

The Company markets its corporate drug testing services primarily through its own sales force. Sales offices are located in major cities throughout the United States in order to facilitate communications with corporate employers. The Company markets its home drug testing service, PDT-90, through retail distributors and the Internet.

Competition

The Company competes directly with numerous commercial laboratories that test for drugs through urinalysis testing. Most of these laboratories, such as Laboratory Corporation of America, have substantially greater financial resources, market identity, marketing organizations, facilities, and numbers of personnel than the Company. The Company has been steadily increasing its base of corporate customers and believes that future success with new customers is dependent on the Company's ability to communicate the advantages of implementing a drug program utilizing the Company's patented hair analysis method.

The Company's ability to compete is also a function of pricing. The Company's prices for its tests are generally somewhat higher than prices for tests using urinalysis. However, the Company believes that its superior detection rates, coupled with the customer's ability to test less frequently due to hair testing's wider window of detection (approximately 90 days versus approximately three days with urinalysis) provide more value to the customer. This pricing policy could, however, lead to slower sales growth for the Company.

The Company is not aware of any other laboratories with a hair analysis technology that is comparable in effectiveness to the Company's proprietary procedures. The Company is aware of several laboratories that purport to test hair samples using a method, which the Company presumes, includes the use of a form of immunoassay procedures. The Company, however, does not believe that immunoassay testing of hair samples is as effective on a commercial basis without using the Company's unique patented method, which allows for the efficient release of drugs from the hair through enzyme digestion without destroying the drugs.

Government Regulation

The Company is licensed as a clinical laboratory by the State of California as well as certain other states. All tests are performed according to the laboratory standards established by the Department of Health and Human Services, through the Clinical Laboratories Improvement Amendments ("CLIA"), and various state licensing statutes. Presently there are no other regulations required for the operation of a clinical laboratory in the State of California.

A substantial number of states regulate drug testing. The scope and nature of such regulations varies greatly from state to state and is subject to change from time to time. The Company addresses state law issues on an ongoing basis.

With regard to federal regulatory activity, shortly after the introduction of the Company's PDT-90 drug testing service in 1995, the FDA attempted to assert jurisdiction over the Company's PDT-90 service by claiming that the collection envelope distributed as part of the service was a "medical device" subject to regulation under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended (the "FDC Act"). This ultimately led to the Company filing suit against the FDA contesting the FDA's position. In March 1996, the FDA agreed to withdraw its claims against the Company in exchange for the Company's agreement to discontinue its lawsuit.

On March 5, 1998, the FDA issued a proposed rule applicable to companies that market "drugs of abuse test sample collection systems". Under the proposed rule,

companies engaged in the business of testing for drugs of abuse using a test (screening assay) previously recognized by the FDA would be able to market their test sample collection systems without pre-market approval or clearance by the FDA so long as the test is conducted at a laboratory that is recognized by laboratory certification agencies designated by the FDA and certain labeling and product information procedures are followed. To date, the FDA has recognized urine-screening assays that existed prior to the 1976 Medical Device Amendments to the FDC Act, as well as subsequent assays, which have demonstrated substantial equivalence to such previously existing assays. Under the FDA's proposed rule, companies engaged in the business of testing for drugs of abuse using assays not yet recognized by the FDA would be required to submit their assay to the FDA for recognition prior to marketing. In addition, the laboratory performing the tests would be required to be certified by a recognized agency. The proposed rule included a transitional period of one year following the publication of a final rule in order for companies not currently in compliance with the proposed requirements to obtain the necessary data they need for submission to the FDA.

The FDA rule became final on April 7, 2000, and the transition period expired in May 2001. In response to the adoption of the final rule, the Company filed a Petition for Review on May 5, 2000 in the United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, challenging the validity of the final rule and asserting that the FDA lacks jurisdiction over the Company's in-house proprietary assays, which are not intended to be used for medical purposes. While still contesting the legality of the final rule, and the FDA's jurisdiction, the Company submitted 510k applications for its assays for clearance by the FDA. The parties both agreed to hold the Petition for Review in abeyance while they attempt to settle the matter. As of March 29, 2002, the Company had received 510k clearance to market 4 of its 5 assays. The Company believes that the final assay will be cleared for market by the FDA at the conclusion of its review. If such clearance cannot be obtained, the FDA could seek to enforce the remedies available to it under the new rule and the FDC Act with respect to that assay. If the FDA were successful in such action, the Company's business could be adversely affected. However, the Company believes its Petition for Review presents a strong case against the enforceability of the new FDA rule. Therefore, while the Company continues to undergo the application process for clearance of its remaining assay, it has preserved its right to proceed with its Petition for Review.

The Drug Testing Advisory Board ("DTAB") of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration ("SAMHSA") is promulgating new guidelines for mandatory testing in federal workplace programs. SAMHSA has included a Hair Testing Working Group to advise DTAB. This group is comprised of representatives in the drug-testing arena, including representatives from the Company. In the draft Mandatory Guidelines, hair is included as a specimen which may be collected. Should the final version of the federal guidelines remain substantially unchanged from the draft version, the federal workplace market, previously limited to only urine testing, will be available to the Company.

Research and Development

The Company is continuously engaged in research and development activities. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, \$608,186, \$475,700, and \$526,212, respectively, were expended for research and development. The Company continues to perform research activities to develop new products and services and to

improve existing products and services utilizing the Company's proprietary technology. Additional research using the Company's proprietary technology is being conducted by outside research organizations through government-funded studies.

Some of the research was directed to find alternative ways to more accurately and reliably measure low concentrations of drugs present in hair, including the use of Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry, (LC/MS/MS). The Company has been the pioneer in this area and has developed three separate assays for the determination of opiates, amphetamines and cocaine using this technology. Some additional research has been conducted in the measurement of concentrations of marijuana by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry, (GC/MS/MS). This has been the most challenging, and requires the most sensitive of equipment for its accurate measurement and qualitative identification.

Additional research studies have been undertaken to facilitate medical researchers in quantifying Ecstasy use at actual Rave parties at various locations on the East Coast. The Company was asked to test saliva samples submitted from these studies. Using our recently developed LC/MS/MS test for Ecstasy, the Company was able to measure low concentrations of this drug in very small saliva samples.

Sources and Availability of Raw Materials

Since its inception, the Company has purchased raw materials for its laboratory services from outside suppliers. The most critical of these raw materials are the radio-labeled drugs which the Company purchases from a single supplier, although other suppliers of radio-labeled drugs exist. The Company has entered into an agreement with its principal supplier to purchase certain proprietary information regarding the manufacture of such radio-labeled drugs owned by the supplier in the event that the supplier ceases to be able to supply such radio-labeled drugs to the Company.

Employees

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had 105 full-time equivalent employees, of whom three full-time employees were in research and development. None of the Company's employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement.

Item 2. Properties.

The Company maintains its corporate office and northeast sales office at 1280 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts; the office is leased through September 2003.

The Company leases 18,000 square feet of space in Culver City, California, for laboratory purposes. This facility is leased through December 31, 2005 with an option to renew for an additional two years. The Company also leases an additional 5,400 square feet of space in Culver City, California for customer service and information technology purposes. This office space is leased through December 31, 2005.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The Company is involved in various suits and claims in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that the disposition of any such suits or claims will have a material adverse effect on the continuing operations or financial condition of the Company.

Item 4. Submission of Matters To a Vote of Security Holders.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters.

The Company's common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "PMD". As of March 15, 2002, there were 350 record holders of the Company's common stock. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the range of prices for the Company's common stock as reported by the American Stock Exchange and dividends declared by the Company.

Calendar Period	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>Dividends</u>
2001 Fourth Quarter Third Quarter Second Quarter First Quarter	\$ 4.10 \$ 4.46 \$ 4.68 \$ 5.08	\$ 3.20 \$ 2.83 \$ 3.94 \$ 3.65	\$ 0.02 \$ 0.02 \$ 0.02 \$ 0.04
2000 Fourth Quarter Third Quarter Second Quarter First Quarter	\$ 5.50 \$ 5.25 \$ 5.50 \$ 5.81	\$ 4.25 \$ 4.44 \$ 4.50 \$ 4.25	\$ 0.04 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.04

Future cash dividends may be declared at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data has been derived from the financial statements of the Company and should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the financial statements and related notes thereto.

	As of and for the Years Ended									
	December 31,									
	2001 2000 1999 1998 1								1997	
		(In t	ho	usands,	ex	cept for	pei	r share d	lata	a)
Revenue	\$	15,730	\$	19,220	\$	19,623	\$	17,670	\$	15,398
Gross profit		7,915		10,325		11,169		10,201	٠	9,341
Income from operations		323		2,430		3,547		3,307		3,056
Net income		233		1,699		2,326		2,397		2,501
Basic net income per share		0.01		0.08		0.11		0.11		0.11
Diluted net income per share		0.01		0.08		0.11		0.11		0.11
Total assets		9,108		11,058		14,191		19,083		18,855
Working capital		4,075		5,523		8,184		11,609		13,090
Shareholders' equity		6,772		8,726		11,806		15,883		16,733
Cash dividends declared per										
common share	\$	0.10	\$	0.16	\$	0.16	\$	0.11	\$	0.08

<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>

Factors That May Affect Future Results

From time to time, information provided by the Company or statements made by its employees may contain "forward-looking" information which involves risks and uncertainties. In particular, statements contained in this report which are not historical facts (including, but not limited to, the Company's expectations regarding revenues, business strategy, anticipated operating results, strategies with respect to governmental agencies and regulations, cash dividends and anticipated cash requirements) may be "forward-looking" statements. The Company's actual results may differ from those stated in any "forward-looking" statements. Factors that may cause such differences include, but are not limited to, employee hiring practices of the Company's principal customers, risks associated with the continued expansion of the Company's sales and marketing network, development of markets for new products and services offered by the Company, the economic health of principal customers of the Company, financial and operational risks associated with possible expansion of testing facilities used by the Company, government regulation (including, but not limited to, Food and Drug Administration regulations), competition and general economic conditions.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Management believes the most critical accounting policies include revenue recognition and income taxes.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from the Company's services are recognized upon reporting of drug test results to the customer. Revenues related to sample collection kits not returned for processing by customers are recognized when the likelihood of the Company performing any service obligation is deemed remote.

Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing the Company's financial statements, the Company is required to estimate income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates. This process involves the preparation of an estimate of the Company's actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within the balance sheet. The Company must then assess the likelihood that the deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent it believes that recovery is not likely, it must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent the Company establishes a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, it must include an expense within the tax provision in the statement of operations.

Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against net deferred tax assets. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or the Company adjusts these estimates in future periods, it may need to establish a

valuation allowance, which could materially impact the Company's financial position and results of operations.

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of the Company's accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

Results of Operations

Revenue was \$15.7 million in 2001, as compared to \$19.2 million in 2000 and \$19.6 million in 1999, representing decreases of 18% in 2001 and 2% in 2000 versus prior year levels. The decrease in revenue for 2001 was due primarily to a decrease in volume of hair samples processed from existing customers, while the average price per sample remained relatively constant. The Company believes that this lower volume in 2001 is due largely to the continued current economic downturn, as many clients experienced deep reductions in their new hires and the number of their drug tests. The decrease in revenue in 2000 was due to reduced revenue related to PDT-90 consumer test kits, offset in part by an increase of 1% in revenue derived from the processing of hair samples for all other customers. Gross margin was 50% of sales in 2001 as compared to 54% of sales in 2000 and 57% of sales in 1999. The decrease in gross margin in 2001 was caused by fixed and semi-variable direct costs being spread over a lower number of tests performed. Despite the 18% decrease in revenue, the Company's gross margin only decreased from 54% to 50% for the year ended December 31, 2001 as compared to December 31, 2000, as a result of the implementation of cost reduction measures at its laboratory during 2001. The decrease in gross margin in 2000 was due primarily to moderate increases in labor and material costs, while the Company's prices remained consistent with the prior year.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$161,000 to \$3.1 million from 2000 to 2001 and increased by \$222,000 to \$3.3 million from 1999 to 2000. The decrease in general and administrative expenses for 2001 as compared to 2000 was due to reduced professional fees related to legal services and investor relations along with a decrease in bad debt expense, partially offset by an increase in professional fees related to strategic corporate development, while all other general and administrative expenses remained relatively constant. Professional fees related to legal services, investor relations, consulting services related to computer services and strategic corporate development accounted for most of the increase in 2000 as compared to 1999, while all other general and administrative expenses remained relatively constant. General and administrative expenses represented 20% of revenue in 2001 as compared to 17% of revenue in 2000 and 16% of revenue in 1999. The increase in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales is primarily due to the factors previously discussed and a lower revenue base.

Marketing and selling expenses decreased by \$275,000 to \$3.9 million from 2000 to 2001 and increased by \$101,000 to \$4.1 million from 1999 to 2000. The decrease in marketing and selling expenses for 2001 as compared to 2000 was due to reduced expenses related to public relations, advertising and recruitment costs, which were offset to some extent by a slight increase in customer service costs. The increase in marketing and selling expenses for 2000 as compared to 1999 was due to expenses

pertaining to additions to the sales force and expanded marketing activities related to the corporate market which were partially offset by decreased customer service costs. The Company expects to continue to aggressively promote its drug testing services in future years in order to expand its client base. Marketing and selling expenses for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased to 25% of revenue versus 22% in 2000 and 21% in 1999. The increase in marketing and selling expenses as a percentage of sales is primarily due to the factors previously discussed and a lower revenue base.

Research and development expenses increased \$132,000 to \$608,000 from 2000 to 2001 and decreased by \$51,000 to \$476,000 from 1999 to 2000. This increase was primarily due to expenses related to applying for FDA 510k clearance for the Company's assays. Research and development expenses represented 4% of revenue in 2001 but remained constant as a percentage of revenue at 3% in 2000 and 1999. The increase in research and development expenses as a percentage of sales from 2000 to 2001 is primarily due to the factors previously discussed and a lower revenue base.

Other income decreased \$336,000 to \$125,000 from 2000 to 2001 and increased \$74,000 to \$462,000 from 1999 to 2000, largely as a result of a \$200,000 legal settlement received by the Company from a breach of contract dispute with a third party administrator in 2000. The remainder of other income represented interest earned on cash equivalents and short-term investments. Net interest income decreased by \$136,000 in 2001 as compared to 2000, due to lower average investment balances along with decreased yields on these investments. Although the yields on investment balances increased during 2000 as compared to 1999, interest income decreased by \$126,000 due to lower average investment balances. For 1999, other income consisted solely of net interest income.

During 2001, the Company recorded a tax provision of \$216,000, reflecting an effective tax rate of 48.2%, as compared to tax provisions of \$1,192,750 and \$1,609,000 and effective tax rates of 41.3% and 40.9% for the years ended December 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate in 2001 was due primarily to non-deductible expenses for tax purposes. The increase in the effective tax rate in 2000 resulted largely from the reduction in the utilization of tax credits that were primarily generated in 1998.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2001, the Company had \$3.1 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to \$3.4 million at December 31, 2000. The Company's operating activities generated net cash of \$2,466,000 in 2001, \$3,205,000 in 2000 and \$2,926,000 in 1999. Investing activities used \$591,000 in 2001, and generated \$4,139,000 in 2000 and \$3,654,000 in 1999. Financing activities used \$2,199,000 in 2001, \$4,809,000 in 2000 and \$6,406,000 in 1999.

Operating cash flows decreased by \$739,000 in 2001 as compared to 2000, due primarily to a decrease in net income in 2001 of \$1,466,000 as compared to 2000, and an increase in net deferred tax assets. Offsetting these amounts was a decrease in accounts receivable in 2001 of \$963,000 as compared to 2000, and an increase in deferred revenue. The non-cash effect of depreciation and amortization in 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$1,268,000, \$1,277,000, and \$1,323,000, respectively.

Capital expenditures in 2001 were \$580,000, a decrease of \$196,000 from 2000 expenditures of \$776,000. The expenditures related principally to new equipment, including laboratory and computer equipment. The Company currently plans to make capital expenditures of approximately \$700,000 in 2002, primarily in connection with the purchase of additional laboratory and computer equipment. The Company believes that within the next two to four years it may be required to expand its existing laboratory or develop a second laboratory, the cost of which is currently believed to range from \$2 million to \$4 million.

During 2001, the Company repurchased a total of 129,356 shares for treasury at an aggregate cost of \$505,000. During 2000, the Company repurchased a total of 286,600 shares for treasury at an aggregate cost of \$1,418,000. During 1999, the Company repurchased a total of 638,800 shares for treasury at an aggregate cost of \$2,935,000.

The Company distributed \$2,115,000, \$3,394,000 and \$3,486,000 of cash dividends to its shareholders in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

At December 31, 2001, the Company's principal sources of liquidity included \$3.1 million of cash and cash equivalents. Management currently believes that such funds, together with future operating profits, should be adequate to fund anticipated working capital requirements and capital expenditures in the near term. Depending upon the Company's results of operations, its future capital needs and available marketing opportunities, the Company may use various financing sources to raise additional funds. Such sources could include joint ventures, issuance of common stock or debt financing. At December 31, 2001, the Company had no debt.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The following discussion about the Company's market risk disclosures involves forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. The Company is exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. The Company maintains a short-term investment portfolio consisting principally of money market securities that are not sensitive to sudden interest rate changes.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements are included in this report on pages F-1 through F-16.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Following is a list that sets forth as of March 29, 2002 the names, ages and positions within the Company of all of the Executive Officers of the Company and the Directors of the Company. Each such director has been nominated for reelection at the Company's 2002 Annual Meeting, to be held on May 9, 2002 at 2:30 P.M. at the Charles Hotel, 1 Bennett Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

NAME	<u>AGE</u>	POSITION
Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr.	57	Chief Executive Officer, President, Director
A. Clinton Allen	58	Interim Chairman of the Board Director
Peter C. Monson	46	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer
William Thistle, Esq.	52	Senior Vice President, General Counsel
Michael I. Schaffer, Ph.D	57	Vice President, Laboratory Operations
William Dausey	51	Vice President, Sales
Donald F. Flynn	62	Director, Audit Committee member, Options Committee member
Walter S. Tomenson, Jr.	55	Director, Audit Committee member, Options Committee member
Fred J. Weinert	54	Director, Audit Committee member, Options Committee member

All Directors hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are elected. Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Mr. Kubacki has served as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a Director of the Company since 1991. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Vice President-National Accounts and Director of Sales and Marketing for Reliance COMM/TEC Corporation, a subsidiary of Reliance Electric Co.

Mr. Allen was elected interim Chairman of the Board on March 28, 2002 following the retirement of Werner A. Baumgartner on such date. Previously, he served as Vice Chairman. Mr. Allen has been a director of the Company since 1989. He is also Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of A.C. Allen & Company, Inc., an investment banking consulting firm located in Cambridge, Massachusetts. He is a director of Steinway Musical Instruments, Inc., Swiss Army Brands, Inc., Collector's Universe, Inc., and The DeWolfe Companies, Inc., where he serves as Vice Chairman.

Mr. Monson has been the Company's Chief Financial Officer since March 2000. He has served as a Vice President, Treasurer of the Company since 1998. From November 1996 until joining the Company, Mr. Monson was a financial consultant to several different companies, most recently with GTE Internetworking. From 1994 to 1996, Mr. Monson was Chief Financial Officer of Bet Systems, Inc. From 1991 to 1994, Mr. Monson was the Corporate Controller and Treasurer of Gamma International, Ltd., a publicly traded gaming company.

Mr. Thistle joined the Company in 1995 as Vice President and General Counsel and was made a Senior Vice President in September of 2001. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Associate General Counsel for MGM Grand in Las Vegas from 1993 to 1995. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Thistle was Associate General Counsel for Harrah's Casino Resorts. Mr. Thistle is on the Legal Advisory Board of the Institute for a Drug Free Workplace and is a board member of the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association ("DATIA").

Dr. Schaffer joined the Company in April 1999 as Vice President of Laboratory Operations. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Director of Toxicology, Technical Manager and Responsible Person for the Leesburg, Florida laboratory of SmithKline Beecham Clinical Laboratories, from 1990 to 1999. Dr. Schaffer has been an inspector for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's National Laboratory Certification Program since 1989. Dr. Schaffer was also a member of the Board of Directors of the American Board of Forensic Toxicologists from 1990 to 1999.

Mr. Dausey joined the Company in April, 2000 as Vice President of Sales. From 1996 until joining the Company, Mr. Dausey was Vice President of Sales for NorthWestern Corporation. Previous positions include Vice President of Sales for PTC Aerospace and various positions at BF Goodrich Company.

Mr. Flynn has been the sole stockholder of Flynn Enterprises, Inc., a venture capital, hedging and consulting firm based in Chicago, Illinois since its inception in 1988. He also served as Chairman of the Board of LKQ Corporation, a company engaged in the automobile recycling business, since 1999, and served as its sole director from 1998 to 1999. He was the Vice Chairman of the Blue Chip Casino, Inc., an owner and operator of a riverboat gaming vessel in Michigan City, Indiana from 1997 until 1999 when Blue Chip was sold to Boyd Gaming Corporation. Mr. Flynn also was Chairman of the Board from 1992 until 1996 and Chief Executive Officer from 1992 until 1995 of Discovery Zone, Inc., an operator of indoor entertainment and fitness facilities for children. From 1972 to 1990, Mr. Flynn served in various positions with Waste Management, Inc. including Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Flynn serves as a Director of Extended Stay America, Inc., an owner and operator of extended-stay lodging facilities. Mr. Flynn has been a director of the Company since 1989.

Mr. Tomenson has been Managing Director and Chairman of Client Development of Marsh, Inc. since 1998. From 1993 to 1998, he was chairman of FINPRO, the financial services division of Marsh, Inc. In addition, he is a member of the Board of Directors of Marsh, Inc. Mr. Tomenson is a Director of Ronald McDonald House and a Trustee of the Children's Oncology Society of New York, Inc. He is a Director of the Trinity College School Fund, Inc. He also serves on the Executive Council of the Inner-City Scholarship Fund. Mr. Tomenson has been a director of the Company since 1999.

Mr. Weinert is the majority shareholder and serves as CEO of San Telmo, Inc., Barrington Services Group, Inc. and H₂0 Plus, S.R.L. From 1989 to 1995, he was President of H₂0 Plus L.P., MW Partners, and Century Entertainment Ltd. Previous to that, he had a 16 year career with Waste Management, Inc. during which he served as a Vice President and also as President of Waste Management International, Inc. from 1983 to 1989. For the last 17 years he has served on the Business Advisory Council for the University of Dayton. He is a trustee of the Center for Excellence in Education based in Washington, DC. Mr. Weinert has been a director of the Company since 1991.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Based solely on its reviews of copies of reports filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or written representations from persons required to file such reports ("Reporting Persons"), the Company believes that all such filings required to be made by such Reporting Persons were timely made in accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement of the Company relating to the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 9, 2002 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement of the Company relating to the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 9, 2002 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement of the Company relating to the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 9, 2002 and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a)	(1)	Financial Statements:	Page
		Report of Independent Public Accountants	F-1
		Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2000	F-2
		Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	F-3
		Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	F-4
		Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	F-5
		Notes to Financial Statements	F-6
	(2)	Schedules	
		None	
	(3)	Exhibits (see the Index to Exhibits included elsewhere in this Rep	oort)
(b)		Reports on Form 8-K	
		None	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION

By:/s/ Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr.
Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 29, 2002

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr. Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 29, 2002
/s/ Peter C. Monson Peter C. Monson Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & Treas (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 29, 2002 surer
/s/ A. Clinton Allen A. Clinton Allen Director	March 29, 2002
/s/ Donald F. Flynn Donald F. Flynn Director	March 29, 2002
/s/ Walter S. Tomenson, Jr. Walter S. Tomenson, Jr. Director	March 29, 2002
/s/ Fred J. Weinert Fred J. Weinert Director	March 29, 2002

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To Psychemedics Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Psychemedics Corporation (a Delaware corporation) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and the related statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Psychemedics Corporation as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Boston, Massachusetts February 4, 2002

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION BALANCE SHEETS

Decer	nber 31,
2001	2000
ASSETS	
CURRENT ASSETS:	
Cash and cash equivalents \$ 3,110,700	\$ 3,434,593
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	
of \$544,244 and \$486,066 in 2001 and 2000, respectively 2,025,423	
Laboratory supplies 364,985	•
Deferred tax asset 471,028	•
Prepaid expenses and other current assets 439,200	
Total current assets 6,411,336	7,745,246
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, AT COST:	
Computer software 1,205,840	1,205,840
Office furniture and equipment 1,603,016	1,476,163
Laboratory equipment 5,406,950	5,007,103
Leasehold improvements 900,336	846,552
9,116,142	8,535,658
Less - Accumulated depreciation and amortization (6,753,733) _(5,552,558)
2,362,409	2,983,100
DEFERRED TAX ASSET 61,120	
OTHER ASSETS - NET 273,518	329,551
\$9,108,383	\$11,057,897
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	
CURRENT LIABILITIES:	
Accounts payable \$ 376,089	\$ 431,846
Accrued expenses 708,724	•
Accrued income taxes 498,308	475,604
Deferred revenue 753,283	636,304
Total current liabilities 2,336,404	2,222,295
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITY -	109,432
COMMITMENTS (Note 7)	
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:	
Preferred stock, \$0.005 par value; authorized 1,000,000	
shares; none outstanding -	-
Common stock, \$0.005 par value; authorized 50,000,000	
shares; issued 22,625,315 shares in 2001 and 22,612,440	
shares in 2000 113,127	113,062
Paid-in capital 24,486,747	24,445,386
Accumulated deficit (10,324,621)	(8,441,892)
Less - Treasury stock, at cost; 1,588,420 common shares	
in 2001 and 1,459,064 common shares in 2000 (7,503,274)	(6,998,767)
Less - Receivable from officer	(391,619)
Total shareholders' equity 6,771,979	8,726,170
\$9,108,383	\$11,057,897

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2001	2000	1999			
REVENUE	\$ 15,730,106	\$ 19,219,700	\$ 19,622,625			
COST OF REVENUE	7,815,019	8,895,148	8,453,261			
Gross profit	7,915,087	10,324,552	11,169,364			
OPERATING EXPENSES:						
General and administrative	3,128,280	3,288,951	3,067,062			
Marketing and selling	3,855,429	4,130,197	4,029,219			
Research and development	608,186	475,700	526,212			
	7,591,895	7,894,848	7,622,493			
Income from operations	323,192	2,429,704	3,546,871			
OTHER INCOME						
Interest income	125,333	261,644	387,693			
Other income	-	200,000	-			
Other income	125,333	461,644	387,693			
	•					
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION						
FOR INCOME TAXES	448,525	2,891,348	3,934,564			
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	216,000	1,192,750	1,609,000			
NET INCOME	\$ 232,525	\$ 1,698,598	\$ 2,325,564			
BASIC NET INCOME PER SHARE	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.11			
DILUTED NET INCOME PER SHARE	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.11			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING, BASIC	21,140,829	21,224,277	21,823,650			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING, ASSUMING DILUTION	21,346,286	21,496,870	22,055,990			

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock			Treasury Stoc		ury Stock		
	Shares	\$0.005 Par Value	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Shares	Cost	Receivable from Officer	Total
BALANCE, December 31, 1998	22,607,290	\$ 113,036 \$	24,403,949	\$ (5,585,453)	533,664	\$ (2,645,232)	\$ (403,791)	15,882,509
Exercise of stock options Tax benefits from the exercise of	5,150	26	7,575	-	-	-	-	7,601
stock options Payments on receivable from	-	-	3,461	-	-	-	-	3,461
officer Cash dividends declared (\$0.16 per share)	-	-	-	(3,486,268)	-	-	8,121	8,121 (3,486,268)
Acquisition of treasury stock	-	_	-	(3,480,200)	638,800	(2,935,061)	_	(2,935,061)
· ·	-	-	-	0.005.504	030,000	(2,935,001)	-	
Net income				2,325,564		<u>-</u>		2,325,564
BALANCE, December 31, 1999	22,612,440	113,062	24,414,985	(6,746,157)	1,172,464	(5,580,293)	(395,670)	11,805,927
Compensation expense from issuance of options Payments on receivable from	-	-	30,401	-	-	-	-	30,401
officer	-	-	-	_	-	-	4,051	4,051
Cash dividends declared (\$0.16				(2.204.222)			•	(2.204.222)
per share)	-	-	-	(3,394,333)	-	- 440.474	•	(3,394,333)
Acquisition of treasury stock	-	-	-		286,600	(1,418,474)	-	(1,418,474)
Net income		-	<u> </u>	1,698,598				1,698,598
BALANCE, December 31, 2000	22,612,440	113,062	24,445,386	(8,441,892)	1,459,064	(6,998,767)	(391,619)	8,726,170
Exercise of stock options Tax benefits from the exercise of	12,875	65	28,750	-	-	-	-	28,815
stock options	-	-	10,101	-	-	-	-	10,101
Compensation expense from issuance of options Reduction of receivable from	-	-	2,510	-	-	-	-	2,510
officer	-	-	-	•	-	-	391,619	391,619
Cash dividends declared (\$0.10 per share)	-	•	-	(2,115,254)	-	_	-	(2,115,254)
Acquisition of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	129,356	(504,507)	_	(504,507)
Net income	-	-	-	232,525		-	_	232,525
BALANCE, December 31, 2001	22,625,315	\$ 113.127 \$	24,486,747		1.588.420	\$ (7,503,274)	\$ - S	6,771,979
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- (-,	<u> </u>	,,

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended December 31,				31,	
		2001		2000		1999
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net income	\$	232,525	\$	1,698,598	\$	2,325,564
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net						
cash provided by operating activities:		4 007 507		4 070 004		4 000 444
Depreciation and amortization		1,267,507		1,276,631		1,323,411
Compensation expense from issuance of options Deferred income taxes		2,510 (170,241)		30,401 (191,675)		70,768
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		(170,241)		(191,073)		70,708
Accounts receivable		962,762		231,325		(143,892)
Laboratory supplies		31,512		52,606		60,913
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		15,432		102,644		(74,888)
Accounts payable		(55,757)		(80,734)		(162,492)
Accrued expenses		30,183		204,816		(109,029)
Accrued income taxes		22,704		106,539		206,708
Deferred revenue		116,979		(225,784)		(574,579)
Tax benefit associated with exercise of options		10,101				3,461
Net cash provided by operating activities		2,466,217		3,205,367		2,925,945
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Net sales of short-term investments				4,938,463		4,149,973
Purchases of property and equipment		(580,484)		(776,345)		(495,662)
Increase in other assets		(10,299)		(23,523)		(430,002)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		(590,783)		4,138,595	_	3,654,311
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		00.045				7.004
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options Proceeds from the receivable from officer		28,815		- 4,051		7,601 8,121
Dividends paid		(2,115,254)		(3,394,333)		(3,486,268)
Acquisition of treasury stock		(112,888)		(1,418,474)		(2,935,061)
Net cash used in financing activities		(2,199,327)		(4,808,756)		(6,405,607)
The sach does in manoning dominate		(=,,		(1,000,00)		
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(323,893)		2,535,206		174,649
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year		3,434,593	_	899,387		724,738
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$	3,110,700	\$	3,434,593	\$	899,387
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: Cash paid for income taxes	\$	371,332	\$	1,277,886	¢	1,334,985
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Transactions:	Ф	311,332	<u> </u>	1,417,000	φ	1,334,800
Retirement of fully depreciated fixed assets	\$	_	\$	813,173	\$	-
Treasury stock acquired as settlement of	 -		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
receivable from officer		391,619	\$	-	\$	-

PSYCHEMEDICS CORPORATION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2001

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

Psychemedics Corporation (the Company) was incorporated in 1986. The Company utilizes a patented hair analysis method involving radioimmunoassay technology to analyze human hair to detect abused substances. The founder of the Company has granted to the Company an exclusive license to all his rights in this hair analysis technology, including his rights to the drug extraction method (see Note 3).

The Company is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties similar to those of other companies, such as those associated with the continued expansion of the Company's sales and marketing network, development of markets for new products and services offered by the Company, the economic health of principal customers of the Company, financial and operational risks associated with possible expansion of testing facilities used by the Company, government regulation (including, but not limited to, Food and Drug Administration regulations), competition and general economic conditions.

Management Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of 90 days or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist principally of money market accounts at December 31, 2001, and money market accounts and commercial paper at December 31, 2000.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided over the estimated useful lives of the assets, using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives of the assets are as follows:

Computer software
Office furniture and equipment
Laboratory equipment

5 to 7 years 5 to 7 years

5 years

Leasehold improvements

Lesser of 5 years or life of lease

Other Assets

Other assets primarily consist of capitalized legal costs relating to patent applications on the Company's drug extraction method. The Company is amortizing the cost of these patents over 10 years from the date of grant. The Company recorded amortization of \$66,332, \$64,937 and \$63,960 in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. In accordance with SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of, the Company evaluates the realizability of its patents based on estimated cash flows to be generated from such assets as compared to the original estimates. To the extent an impairment is identified, the Company will recognize a write-down of the related assets. To date, no impairment has been identified.

Revenue Recognition

Except as described herein, revenues from the Company's services are recognized upon reporting of drug test results to the customer. Revenues related to sample collection kits not returned for processing by customers are recognized when the likelihood of the Company performing any service obligation is deemed remote. During 2000 and 1999, the Company recorded \$109,000 and \$625,000, respectively, of revenue related to test kits that were sold for which the Company's obligations to provide service was deemed remote. The Company did not record any such revenue during 2001. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had deferred revenue balances of approximately \$753,000 and \$636,000, respectively, reflecting payments for its personal drug testing service received prior to the performance of the related test.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This statement requires the Company to recognize a current tax liability or asset for current taxes payable or refundable and a deferred tax liability or asset for the estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the financial statement and tax reporting bases of assets and liabilities to the extent that they are realizable. Deferred tax expense (benefit) results from the net change in deferred tax assets and liabilities during the year. A deferred tax valuation allowance is required if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the recorded deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Research and Development Expenses

The Company charges all research and development expenses to operations as incurred.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company has no significant off-balance-sheet or concentration of credit risk. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are principally cash equivalents and accounts receivable. The Company places its investments in highly rated institutions. Concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited to certain customers to whom the Company makes substantial sales. To reduce risk, the Company routinely assesses the financial strength of its customers and, as a consequence, believes that its accounts receivable credit risk exposure is limited. The Company maintains an allowance for potential credit losses but historically has not experienced any significant losses related to individual customers or groups of customers in any particular industry or geographic area.

Significant Customers

No single customer accounted for greater than 10% of revenues in 2001, 2000 or 1999. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, no single customer accounted for greater than 10% of total accounts receivable.

Basic and Diluted Net Income per Share

In accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The number of dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period has been determined in accordance with the treasury-stock method. Common equivalent shares consist of common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options.

Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding are as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
Weighted average common shares			
outstanding	21,140,829	21,224,277	21,823,650
Dilutive common equivalent shares	205,457	272,593	232,340_
Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution	21,346,286	21,496,870	22,055,990

For the years ending December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, options to purchase 1,414,370, 1,086,070 and 752,570 common shares, respectively, were outstanding but

not included in the dilutive common equivalent share calculation as their effect would have been antidilutive.

Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, requires disclosure about the fair value of financial instruments. Financial instruments principally consist of cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable. The estimated fair values of these financial instruments approximates their carrying values due to short-term nature of these financial instruments and, except for accounts receivable and accounts payable, is based primarily on market quotes. The Company's cash equivalents are generally money market accounts and commercial paper.

Comprehensive Income

SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, requires disclosure of all components of comprehensive income on an annual and interim basis. Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from nonowner sources. The Company's comprehensive income was the same as reported net income for all periods presented.

Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, establishes standards for reporting information regarding operating segments in annual financial statements and requires selected information for those segments to be presented in interim financial reports issued to shareholders. SFAS No. 131 also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services and geographic areas. To date, the Company has managed its operations as one segment, drug testing services. As a result, the financial information disclosed herein materially represents all of the financial information related to the Company's principal operating segment. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are generated in the United States. All of the Company's assets are located in the United States.

Software Development Costs

Computer software costs associated with internal use software are charged to operations as incurred until certain capitalization criteria have been met. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, \$1,205,840 of software development costs have been capitalized. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, \$241,176, \$241,176 and \$240,848 of related amortization was charged to operations, respectively.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 138, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. It requires entities to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and to measure those instruments at fair value. The adoption of these statements did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. As of December 31, 2001, the Company did not have any derivatives or other financial instruments.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management believes the most critical accounting policies include revenue recognition and income taxes.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from the Company's services are recognized upon reporting of drug test results to the customer. Revenues related to sample collection kits not returned for processing by customers are recognized when the likelihood of the Company performing any service obligation is deemed remote.

Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing the Company's financial statements, the Company is required to estimate income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates. This process involves the preparation of an estimate of the Company's actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within the balance sheet. The Company must then assess the likelihood that the deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent it believes that recovery is not likely, it must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent the Company establishes a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, it must include an expense within the tax provision in the statement of operations.

Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against net deferred tax assets. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or the Company adjusts these estimates in future periods, it may need to establish a valuation allowance, which could materially impact the Company's financial position and results of operations.

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of the Company's accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in

which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. SFAS No. 142 eliminates the amortization of goodwill and certain other intangibles and instead subjects these assets to periodic impairment assessments. SFAS No. 142 is effective immediately for all goodwill and certain other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001 and shall commence on January 1, 2002 for all goodwill and certain other intangibles existing on June 30, 2001. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, which supercedes SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of. SFAS No. 144 further refines the requirements of SFAS No. 121 that companies (1) recognize an impairment loss only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable based on its undiscounted future cash flows and (2) measure an impairment loss as the difference between the carrying amount and fair value of the asset. In addition, SFAS No. 144 provides guidance on accounting and disclosure issues surrounding long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. The Company will be required to adopt SFAS No. 144 on January 1, 2002. Management does not expect the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

2. Receivable from Officer

The amounts receivable from officer represent loans made by the Company for the purchase of common stock by an officer. The notes were repaid during the fourth quarter of 2001 in a cashless transaction whereby the Company received 99,356 shares held by the officer at the then fair market value of the Company's stock.

3. Royalty Agreements

The Company has a royalty-free license from the founder for the proprietary rights to the patented hair analysis technology used by the Company in its drug testing services. The Company has two agreements to sublicense its technology, which have not generated significant royalties to date.

4. Income Taxes

The income tax provisions for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, consist approximately of the following:

, ,	,	2001	2000	1999
Current -				
Federal	\$	300,000	\$ 1,071,000	\$ 1,181,000
State		86,000	314,000	357,000
		386,000	1,385,000	1,538,000
Deferred -				
Federal		(132,000)	(149,000)	56,000
State		(38,000)	(43,000)	15,000
		(170,000)	(192,000)	71,000
	\$	216,000	\$ 1,193,000	\$ 1,609,000

The provisions for income taxes differ from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate as follows:

•	2001	2000	1999_
Federal statutory rate Increase (decrease) resulting from -	34.0%	34.0%	34.0%
State tax provision, net of federal benefit	6.0	6.0	6.0
Utilization of tax credits	-	(1.5)	(1.3)
Non-deductible expenses	8.2	2.8	2.2
Effective tax rate	48.2%	41.3%	40.9%

The components of the net deferred tax assets included in the accompanying balance sheets are as follows:

	2001			2000
Deferred revenue	\$	301,314	\$	297,674
Nondeductible reserves and accruals		169,714		173,665
Property basis differences		61,120		(109,432)
Net asset	\$	532,148	\$	361,907

The long-term deferred tax asset and long-term deferred tax liability on the Company's balance sheet as of December, 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, principally represents property basis differences.

5. Preferred Stock

The Company's bylaws provide for, and the Board of Directors and stockholders authorized, 1,000,000 shares of \$0.005 par value preferred stock. The Board of Directors has the authority to issue such shares in one or more series and to fix the relative rights and preferences without vote or action by the stockholders. The Board of Directors has no present plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

6. Stock Options

The Company has various stock option plans under which options to acquire shares of the Company's common stock may be granted to directors, officers and certain employees of the Company. Options granted under the plans are non-qualified or incentive stock options and are granted at a price that is not less than the fair market value of the common stock at the date of grant. These options have lives of five or ten years and vest over periods from zero to four years.

A summary of all stock option transactions for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		Weighted
		Average
	Number of	Exercise Price
·	Shares	Per Share
Outstanding, December 31, 1998	1,466	\$4.43
Granted	391	4.45
Exercised	(5)	2.82
Terminated	(110)	5.66
Outstanding, December 31, 1999	1,742	4.37
Granted	343	4.98
Terminated	(20)	4.72
Outstanding, December 31, 2000	2,065	4.46
Granted	75	4.81
Exercised	(13)	2.24
Terminated	(82)	6.60
Outstanding, December 31, 2001	2,045	\$4.40
Exercisable, December 31, 2001	1,590	\$4.29
Exercisable, December 31, 2000	1,382	\$4.26
Exercisable, December 31, 1999	1,122	\$4.07

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2001 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		ptions Outstandi	ng	Options E	xercisable
		Weighted Average Remaining	Weighted Average		Weighted Average
Exercise	Number	Contractual	Exercise	Number	Exercise
Price	of	Life	Price Per	of	Price Per
Range	Shares	(in years)	Share	Shares	Share
\$1.85	213	1.93	\$1.85	213	\$1.85
2.82 - 3.58	392	3.09	2.98	392	2.98
4.28 - 6.22	1,399	6.60	5.11	944	5.26
7.06	41	5.20	7.06	41	7.06
	2,045		\$4.40	1,590	\$4.29

SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, requires the measurement of the fair value of stock options or warrants to be included in the statement of income or disclosed in the notes to financial statements. The Company accounts for stock-based compensation for options granted to employees under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, electing the disclosure-only alternative under SFAS No. 123. The Company has computed the value of options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model prescribed by SFAS No. 123.

The assumptions used and the weighted average information for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, are as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
Risk-free interest rates	4.56%	6.15%	5.54%
Expected dividend yield	2.3%	3.3%	3.5%
Expected lives	5 years	5 years	5 years
Expected volatility	42.22%	44.40%	42.23%
Weighted average grant-date fair value of			
options granted during the period	\$1.74	\$1.88	\$1.62

Had compensation cost for the Company's stock option plans been determined consistent with SFAS No. 123, net (loss) income and basic and diluted net (loss) income per share would have been as follows:

	2001		2000		_	1999
As reported -						
Net income	\$	232,525	\$.	1,698,598	\$2	,325,564
Basic net income per share	\$	0.01	\$	0.08	\$	0.11
Diluted net income per share	\$	0.01	\$	0.08	\$	0.11
Pro forma -						
Net (loss) income	\$	(175,116)	\$	958,048	\$ 1	719,967
Basic net (loss) income per share	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.05	\$	0.08
Diluted net (loss) income per share	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.04	\$	0.08

In 2000, the Company granted options to purchase 20,000 common shares to a nonemployee that vested ratably over a year. The Company accounts for stock-based awards granted to nonemployees under Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Connection with Selling, Goods, or Services. Under EITF 96-18, the fair value of the stock options is charged to operations over the performance period (vesting period). The Company recognized \$2,510 and \$30,401 of stock-based compensation expense during the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, which was included in general and administrative expenses in the respective statements of income. The Company did not grant any stock options to nonemployees during 2001 or 1999.

7. Commitments

The Company leases certain of its facilities and equipment under operating lease agreements expiring on various dates through December 2005. Total minimum lease payments, including scheduled increases, are charged to operations on the straight-line basis over the life of the respective lease. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was approximately \$533,000, \$529,000 and \$473,000, respectively.

At December 31, 2001, minimum commitments remaining under lease agreements were approximately as follows:

		Amount
Years Ending December 31:		
2002	\$	555,000
2003		509,000
2004		376,000
2005		377,000
	<u>\$1</u>	,817,000

8. Employee Benefit Plan

On November 1, 1997, the Company adopted the Psychemedics Corporation 401(k) Savings and Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan). The 401(k) Plan is a qualified defined contribution plan in accordance with Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. All employees over the age of 21 who have completed one year of service are eligible to make pre-tax contributions up to a specified percentage of their compensation. Under the 401(k) Plan, the Company may, but is not obligated to, match a portion of the employees' contributions up to a defined maximum. A matching contribution of \$115,355, \$112,339 and \$99,683 was made in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Daggershar 24

9. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	December 31,			
•	2001	2000		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	\$ 397,662	\$ 453,364		
Other accrued expenses	311,062	225,177		
	<u>\$ 708,724</u>	<u>\$ 678,541</u>		

10. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following are selected quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000:

	Quarter Ended							
		March 31,	June 30,		September 30,		December 31,	
		2001		2001		2001		2001
Revenues	\$	4,064,323	\$	4,518,430	\$	3,955,117	\$	3,192,236
Gross profit		2,011,260		2,514,349		1,981,025		1,408,453
(Loss) income from operations		(17,802)		532,195		184,411		(375,612)
Net income (loss)		9,241		328,235		116,271		(221,222)
Basic net income (loss)				0.00		0.04		(0.04)
per share		-		0.02		0.01		(0.01)
Diluted net income (loss) per share		-		0.02		0.01		(0.01)

	Quarter Ended							
		March 31, 2000		June 30, 2000	Se	ptember 30, 2000	De	cember 31, 2000
Revenues	\$	4,682,027	\$	5,472,701	\$	5,021,437	\$	4,043,535
Gross profit		2,531,841		3,119,607		2,757,522		1,915,582
Income (loss) from operations		660,399		1,094,763		708,800		(34,528)
Net income		554,744		677,915		450,478		15,461
Basic net income per share		0.03		0.03		0.02		-
Diluted net income per share		0.03		0.03		0.02		-

11. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

A summary of the allowance for doubtful accounts is as follows:

<i>*</i>	2001	2000	1999
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 486,066	\$ 312,169	\$ 377,000
Provision for doubtful accounts	113,500	176,500	178,377
Write-offs	(55,322)	(2,603)	(243,208)
Balance, end of period	\$ 544,244	\$ 486,066	\$ 312,169

MANAGEMENT AND CORPORATE INFORMATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr. President and C.E.O.

A. Clinton Allen Chairman of the Board

Donald F. Flynn Private Investor

Walter S. Tomenson, Jr. Managing Director of Marsh, Inc.

Fred J. Weinert Private Investor

CORPORATE OFFICERS

Raymond C. Kubacki, Jr. President and C.E.O.

A. Clinton Allen Chairman of the Board

Peter C. Monson Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer

William Thistle Senior Vice President, General Counsel

William H. Dausey Vice President-Sales

Michael I. Schaffer, Ph.D. Vice President-Laboratory Operations

TRANSFER AGENT

c/o EquiServe
P.O. Box 43011
Providence, RI 02940-3010
Investor Relations Telephone
Number: 1-800-633-4236
Internet Address: http://www.equiserve.com

GENERAL COUNSEL

Lynch, Brewer, Hoffman & Sands, LLP Boston, Massachusetts

AUDITORS

Arthur Andersen LLP Boston, Massachusetts

CORPORATE OFFICES

Corporate Headquarters: 1280 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 200 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Laboratory Facilities: 5832 Uplander Way Culver City, California 90230

FORM 10-K

A copy of the Company's Form 10K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, may be obtained by any stockholder at our website: www.psychemedics.com

or by writing to:

Investor Relations
Psychemedics Corporation
1280 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 200
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

ANNUAL MEETING

The 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on May 9, 2002 at 2:30 p.m. at The Charles Hotel,
1 Bennett Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts

American Stock Exchange Symbol (PMD)

SYCHEMEDICS

SE-MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138