
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File No. 1-13300

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

1680 Capital One Drive,
McLean, Virginia
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

54-1719854
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

22102
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (703) 720-1000
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)
(Not applicable)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Emerging growth company	<input type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period of complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

As of April 28, 2017, there were 482,968,623 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	1
Item 1. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	63
Consolidated Statements of Income	64
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	65
Consolidated Balance Sheets	66
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	67
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	68
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	70
Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	70
Note 2—Discontinued Operations	73
Note 3—Investment Securities	74
Note 4—Loans	81
Note 5—Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments	95
Note 6—Variable Interest Entities and Securitizations	98
Note 7—Goodwill and Intangible Assets	103
Note 8—Deposits and Borrowings	105
Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities	108
Note 10—Stockholders' Equity	113
Note 11—Earnings Per Common Share	116
Note 12—Fair Value Measurement	117
Note 13—Business Segments	125
Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others	127
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A")	1
Introduction	1
Summary of Selected Financial Data	3
Executive Summary and Business Outlook	5
Consolidated Results of Operations	7
Consolidated Balance Sheets Analysis	14
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	17
Business Segment Financial Performance	18
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	28
Accounting Changes and Developments	29
Capital Management	30
Risk Management	34
Credit Risk Profile	34
Liquidity Risk Profile	46
Market Risk Profile	49
Supervision and Regulation	53
Forward-Looking Statements	53
Supplemental Table	55
Glossary and Acronyms	57

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	135
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	135
<u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u>	136
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	136
Item 1A. Risk Factors	136
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	136
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	136
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	136
Item 5. Other Information	136
Item 6. Exhibits	136
SIGNATURES	137
EXHIBIT INDEX	138

INDEX OF MD&A AND SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

MD&A Tables:	Page
1 Consolidated Financial Highlights	3
2 Average Balances, Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin	8
3 Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income	10
4 Non-Interest Income	10
5 Non-Interest Expense	12
6 Investment Securities	15
7 Non-Agency Investment Securities Credit Ratings	15
8 Loans Held for Investment	16
9 Business Segment Results	18
10 Credit Card Business Results	19
10.1 Domestic Card Business Results	21
11 Consumer Banking Business Results	22
12 Commercial Banking Business Results	25
13 Other Category Results	27
14 Capital Ratios under Basel III	31
15 Regulatory Capital Reconciliations between Basel III Transition to Fully Phased-in	32
16 Preferred Stock Dividends Paid Per Share	33
17 Loans Held for Investment Portfolio Composition	35
18 Commercial Loans by Industry	36
19 Home Loans—Risk Profile by Lien Priority	37
20 Sensitivity Analysis—PCI Home Loans	37
21 Credit Score Distribution	38
22 30+ Day Delinquencies	38
23 Aging and Geography of 30+ Day Delinquent Loans	40
24 90+ Day Delinquent Loans Accruing Interest	40
25 Nonperforming Loans and Other Nonperforming Assets	40
26 Net Charge-Offs (Recoveries)	42
27 Troubled Debt Restructurings	43
28 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments Activity	45
29 Allowance Coverage Ratios	46
30 Liquidity Reserves	46
31 Deposits Composition and Average Deposits Interest Rates	48
32 Senior Unsecured Long-Term Debt Credit Ratings	49
33 Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis	51
 Supplemental Table:	
A Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures	55

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”)

This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based upon management’s current expectations and are subject to significant uncertainties and changes in circumstances. Please review “MD&A—Forward-Looking Statements” for more information on the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (“this Report”). Our actual results may differ materially from those included in these forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to, those described in “Part II—Item 1A. Risk Factors” in this Report and in “Part I—Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K (“2016 Form 10-K”). Unless otherwise specified, references to notes to our consolidated financial statements refer to the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2017 included in this Report.

Management monitors a variety of key indicators to evaluate our business results and financial condition. The following MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes in this Report and the more detailed information contained in our 2016 Form 10-K.

INTRODUCTION

We are a diversified financial services holding company with banking and non-banking subsidiaries. Capital One Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (the “Company”) offer a broad array of financial products and services to consumers, small businesses and commercial clients through branches, the internet and other distribution channels. As of March 31, 2017, our principal subsidiaries included:

- Capital One Bank (USA), National Association (“COBNA”), which offers credit and debit card products, other lending products and deposit products; and
- Capital One, National Association (“CONA”), which offers a broad spectrum of banking products and financial services to consumers, small businesses and commercial clients.

The Company is hereafter collectively referred to as “we,” “us” or “our.” COBNA and CONA are collectively referred to as the “Banks.” Certain business terms used in this document are defined in the “MD&A—Glossary and Acronyms” and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in this Report.

Our consolidated total net revenues are derived primarily from lending to consumer and commercial customers net of funding costs associated with interest on deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. We also earn non-interest income which primarily consists of interchange income net of rewards expenses and service charges and other customer-related fees. Our expenses primarily consist of the provision for credit losses, operating expenses, marketing expenses and income taxes.

Our principal operations are currently organized for management reporting purposes into three major business segments, which are defined based on the products and services provided or the type of customer served: Credit Card, Consumer Banking and Commercial Banking. The operations of acquired businesses have been integrated into our existing business segments. Certain activities that are not part of a segment, such as management of our corporate investment portfolio and asset/liability management by our centralized Corporate Treasury group, are included in the Other category.

- *Credit Card:* Consists of our domestic consumer and small business card lending, and international card businesses in Canada and the United Kingdom (“U.K.”).
- *Consumer Banking:* Consists of our branch-based lending and deposit gathering activities for consumers and small businesses, national deposit gathering, auto lending and consumer home loan lending and servicing activities.
- *Commercial Banking:* Consists of our lending, deposit gathering and servicing activities provided to commercial real estate and commercial and industrial customers. Our commercial and industrial customers typically include companies with annual revenues between \$10 million and \$1 billion.

Recent Acquisitions and Dispositions

We regularly explore and evaluate opportunities to acquire financial services and financial assets, including credit card and other loan portfolios, and enter into strategic partnerships as part of our growth strategy. We also explore opportunities to acquire digital companies and related assets to improve our information technology infrastructure and to deliver on our digital strategy. We also regularly consider the potential disposition of certain of our assets, branches, partnership agreements or lines of business. We may issue equity or debt in connection with acquisitions, including public offerings, to fund such acquisitions.

On October 3, 2016, we announced that we entered into a 10-year program agreement (the “Program Agreement”) to become the exclusive issuing partner of co-branded credit cards to Cabela’s customers. In connection with this credit card program, we entered into a definitive agreement under which we would acquire the credit card operations from Cabela’s, including approximately \$5.2 billion in credit card receivables and other assets and approximately \$5.0 billion in associated funding liabilities. This transaction was subject to the satisfaction of customary closing conditions, including receipt of various regulatory approvals and the approval of the stockholders of Cabela’s. On January 28, 2017, Capital One withdrew its Bank Merger Act (“BMA”) application from the OCC, as we did not expect to receive regulatory approval of any BMA application for this transaction prior to October 3, 2017. This is the date when any of the parties involved in the agreement could terminate the agreement.

On April 17, 2017, we entered into agreements with subsidiaries of Synovus Financial Corp. (“Synovus”) and Cabela’s under which Synovus will acquire certain assets and assume certain liabilities of Cabela’s wholly-owned subsidiary, World’s Foremost Bank (“WFB”), including WFB’s deposits. Immediately following the completion of this acquisition, Synovus will sell WFB’s credit card assets and related liabilities to Capital One. Synovus will retain WFB’s deposits. Capital One is not required to file any regulatory applications to complete this acquisition from Synovus. These transactions are subject to customary closing conditions, including approvals by Synovus’s primary banking regulators and by Cabela’s stockholders. The closing of these transactions are also subject to the closing of the Agreement and Plan of Merger between Cabela’s and Bass Pro Group, LLC, entered into on October 3, 2016. We expect these transactions will close after all closing conditions have been satisfied. These agreements may be terminated by any of the parties if all closing conditions have not been satisfied by October 3, 2017. Upon closing of the acquisition of the credit card assets and related liabilities from Synovus, Capital One will become the exclusive issuing partner of co-branded credit cards to Cabela’s customers under the Program Agreement.

We had no significant acquisitions or dispositions in the first quarter of 2017.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected consolidated financial data and performance from our results of operations for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016 and selected comparative balance sheet data as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We also provide selected key metrics we use in evaluating our performance including certain metrics that are computed using non-GAAP measures. We believe these non-GAAP metrics provide useful insight to investors and users of our financial information in assessing the results of the Company.

Table 1: Consolidated Financial Highlights

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
<i>(Dollars in millions, except per share data and as noted)</i>			
Income statement			
Net interest income	\$ 5,474	\$ 5,056	8%
Non-interest income	1,061	1,164	(9)
Total net revenue	6,535	6,220	5
Provision for credit losses	1,992	1,527	30
Non-interest expense:			
Marketing	396	428	(7)
Amortization of intangibles	62	101	(39)
Operating expenses	2,976	2,694	10
Total non-interest expense	3,434	3,223	7
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	1,109	1,470	(25)
Income tax provision	314	452	(31)
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	795	1,018	(22)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	15	(5)	**
Net income	810	1,013	(20)
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(5)	(6)	(17)
Preferred stock dividends	(53)	(37)	43
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 752	\$ 970	(22)
Common share statistics			
Basic earnings per common share:			
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.86	(18)%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.03	(0.01)	**
Net income per basic common share	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.85	(16)
Diluted earnings per common share:			
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.85	(18)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.03	(0.01)	**
Net income per diluted common share	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.84	(16)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (in millions):			
Basic	482.3	523.5	(8)%
Diluted	487.9	528.0	(8)
Common shares outstanding (period-end, in millions)	482.8	514.5	(6)
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40	—
Tangible book value per common share (period-end) ⁽¹⁾	58.66	55.94	5
Balance sheet (average balances)			
Loans held for investment	\$ 241,505	\$ 226,736	7%
Interest-earning assets	318,358	299,456	6
Total assets	351,641	331,919	6
Interest-bearing deposits	212,973	194,125	10
Total deposits	238,550	219,180	9
Borrowings	53,357	53,761	(1)
Common equity	43,833	45,782	(4)
Total stockholders' equity	48,193	49,078	(2)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
<i>(Dollars in millions, except per share data and as noted)</i>			
Selected performance metrics			
Purchase volume ⁽²⁾	\$ 73,197	\$ 68,189	7%
Total net revenue margin ⁽³⁾	8.21%	8.31%	(10)bps
Net interest margin ⁽⁴⁾	6.88	6.75	13
Return on average assets	0.90	1.23	(33)
Return on average tangible assets ⁽⁵⁾	0.95	1.29	(34)
Return on average common equity ⁽⁶⁾	6.73	8.52	(179)
Return on average tangible common equity (“TCE”) ⁽⁷⁾	10.37	12.94	(257)
Equity-to-assets ratio ⁽⁸⁾	13.71	14.79	(108)
Non-interest expense as a percentage of average loans held for investment ⁽⁹⁾	5.69	5.69	—
Efficiency ratio ⁽¹⁰⁾	52.55	51.82	73
Effective income tax rate from continuing operations	28.3	30.7	(240)
Net charge-offs	\$ 1,510	\$ 1,178	28%
Net charge-off rate ⁽¹¹⁾	2.50%	2.08%	42bps

	March 31,		Change
	2017	2016	
<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>			
Balance sheet (period-end)			
Loans held for investment	\$ 240,588	\$ 245,586	(2)%
Interest-earning assets	316,712	321,807	(2)
Total assets	348,549	357,033	(2)
Interest-bearing deposits	214,818	211,266	2
Total deposits	241,182	236,768	2
Borrowings	48,439	60,460	(20)
Common equity	43,680	43,154	1
Total stockholders’ equity	48,040	47,514	1
Credit quality metrics			
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 6,984	\$ 6,503	7%
Allowance as a percentage of loans held for investment (“allowance coverage ratio”)	2.90%	2.65%	25bps
30+ day performing delinquency rate	2.61	2.93	(32)
30+ day delinquency rate	2.92	3.27	(35)
Capital ratios			
Common equity Tier 1 capital ⁽¹²⁾	10.4%	10.1%	30bps
Tier 1 capital ⁽¹²⁾	12.0	11.6	40
Total capital ⁽¹²⁾	14.7	14.3	40
Tier 1 leverage ⁽¹²⁾	9.9	9.9	—
Tangible common equity ⁽¹³⁾	8.5	8.1	40
Supplementary leverage ⁽¹²⁾	8.6	8.6	—
Other			
Employees (period end, in thousands)	48.4	47.3	2%

⁽¹⁾ Tangible book value per common share is a non-GAAP measure calculated based on tangible common equity divided by common shares outstanding. See “MD&A—Table A—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures” for additional information on non-GAAP measures.

⁽²⁾ Purchase volume consists of purchase transactions, net of returns, for the period for loans both classified as held for investment and held for sale. Excludes cash advance and balance transfer transactions.

⁽³⁾ Total net revenue margin is calculated based on annualized total net revenue for the period divided by average interest-earning assets for the period.

⁽⁴⁾ Net interest margin is calculated based on annualized net interest income for the period divided by average interest-earning assets for the period.

⁽⁵⁾ Return on average tangible assets is a non-GAAP measure calculated based on annualized income from continuing operations, net of tax, for the period divided by average tangible assets for the period. See “MD&A—Table A—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures” for additional information on non-GAAP measures.

⁽⁶⁾ Return on average common equity is calculated based on annualized (i) income from continuing operations, net of tax; (ii) less dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities; (iii) less preferred stock dividends, for the period, divided by average common equity. Our calculation of return on average common equity may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies.

- (7) Return on average tangible common equity is a non-GAAP measure calculated based on annualized (i) income from continuing operations, net of tax; (ii) less dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities; (iii) less preferred stock dividends, for the period, divided by average TCE. Our calculation of return on average TCE may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies. See “MD&A—Table A—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures” for additional information on non-GAAP measures.
- (8) Equity-to-assets ratio is calculated based on average stockholders’ equity for the period divided by average total assets for the period.
- (9) Non-interest expense as a percentage of average loans held for investment is calculated based on annualized non-interest expense for the period divided by average loans held for investment for the period.
- (10) Efficiency ratio is calculated based on non-interest expense for the period divided by total net revenue for the period.
- (11) Net charge-off rate is calculated based on annualized net charge-offs for the period divided by average loans held for investment for the period.
- (12) Capital ratios are calculated based on the Basel III Standardized Approach framework, subject to applicable transition provision. See “MD&A—Capital Management” for additional information.
- (13) Tangible common equity ratio is a non-GAAP measure calculated based on TCE divided by tangible assets. See “MD&A—Table A—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures” for the calculation of this measure and reconciliation to the comparative U.S. GAAP measure.
- ** Change is not meaningful.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND BUSINESS OUTLOOK

Financial Highlights

We reported net income of \$810 million (\$1.54 per diluted common share) on total net revenue of \$6.5 billion for the first quarter of 2017. In comparison, we reported net income of \$1.0 billion (\$1.84 per diluted common share) on total net revenue of \$6.2 billion for the first quarter of 2016.

Our common equity Tier 1 capital ratio as calculated under the Basel III Standardized Approach, including transition provisions, was 10.4% and 10.1% as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. See “MD&A—Capital Management” below for additional information.

On June 29, 2016, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.5 billion in shares of our common stock (“2016 Stock Repurchase Program”) from the third quarter of 2016 through the end of the second quarter of 2017. Through the end of the first quarter of 2017, we repurchased approximately \$2.2 billion of common stock as part of the 2016 Stock Repurchase Program. See “MD&A—Capital Management” below for additional information.

Below are additional highlights of our performance in the first quarter of 2017. These highlights are generally based on a comparison between the results of the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, except as otherwise noted. The changes in our financial condition and credit performance are generally based on our financial condition and credit performance as of March 31, 2017 compared to our financial condition and credit performance as of December 31, 2016. We provide a more detailed discussion of our financial performance in the sections following this “Executive Summary and Business Outlook.”

Total Company Performance

- *Earnings:* Our net income decreased by \$203 million to \$810 million in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016. The decrease was primarily due to:
 - higher provision for credit losses primarily driven by higher charge-offs and a larger allowance build in our domestic credit card loan portfolio; and
 - higher operating expenses associated with loan growth, as well as continued investments in technology and infrastructure.

These higher expenses were partially offset by:

- higher interest income due to growth in our credit card and auto loan portfolios; and
- lower income tax expense as a result of lower income before taxes and increased discrete tax benefits related to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation: *Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*.

- *Loans Held for Investment:*
 - Period-end loans held for investment decreased by \$5.0 billion to \$240.6 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to expected seasonal paydowns in our domestic credit card loan portfolio and run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio, partially offset by growth in our auto and commercial loan portfolios.
 - Average loans held for investment increased by \$14.8 billion to \$241.5 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by growth in our credit card, auto and commercial loan portfolios, partially offset by run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio.
- *Net Charge-Off and Delinquency Metrics:* Our net charge-off rate increased by 42 basis points to 2.50% in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016, primarily due to growth and seasoning of recent domestic credit card loan originations.

Our 30+ day delinquency rate decreased by 35 basis points to 2.92% as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to seasonally lower delinquency inventories.

We provide additional information on our credit quality metrics below under “MD&A—Business Segment Financial Performance” and “MD&A—Credit Risk Profile.”

- *Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses:* Our allowance for loan and lease losses increased by \$481 million to \$7.0 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016, and the allowance coverage ratio increased by 25 basis points to 2.90% as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016. The increases were primarily driven by:
 - an allowance build in our domestic credit card loan portfolio due to increasing loss expectations on recent originations; and
 - an allowance build in our auto loan portfolio due to higher loss rates associated with growth, as well as further expected declines in used car auction prices.

These increases were partially offset by:

- an allowance release in our Commercial Banking business, reflecting improved portfolio performance in our oil and gas portfolio.

Business Outlook

We discuss below our current expectations regarding our total company performance and the performance of each of our business segments over the near-term based on market conditions, the regulatory environment and our business strategies as of the time we filed this Report. The statements contained in this section are based on our current expectations regarding our outlook for our financial results and business strategies. Our expectations take into account, and should be read in conjunction with, our expectations regarding economic trends and analysis of our business as discussed in “Part I—Item 1. Business” and “MD&A” in our 2016 Form 10-K. Certain statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements. Except as otherwise disclosed, forward-looking statements do not reflect:

- any change in current dividend or repurchase strategies;
- the effect of any acquisitions, divestitures or similar transactions that have not been previously disclosed; or
- any changes in laws, regulations or regulatory interpretations, in each case after the date as of which such statements are made.

See “MD&A—Forward-Looking Statements” in this Report for more information on the forward-looking statements included in this Report and “Part I—Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our 2016 Form 10-K for factors that could materially influence our results.

Total Company Expectations

We expect annual efficiency ratio for 2017, excluding adjusting items, will be in the 51%, plus or minus a reasonable margin of volatility. Over the longer-term, we believe that we will be able to achieve additional efficiency improvement, driven by growth and digital productivity gains.

We expect our strong growth over the last two years puts us in a position to deliver earnings per share growth, excluding adjusting items, between 7% and 11% in 2017, assuming no substantial change in the broader credit and economic cycles.

We believe our actions have created a well-positioned balance sheet with strong capital and liquidity. We believe that we are currently at the destination capital ratios appropriate for our current balance sheet mix. Pursuant to our approved 2016 capital plan, our Board of Directors has authorized repurchases of up to \$2.5 billion of common stock through the end of the second quarter of 2017. Through the end of the first quarter of 2017, we repurchased approximately \$2.2 billion of common stock as part of the 2016 Stock Repurchase Program. The timing and exact amount of any common stock repurchases will depend on various factors, including market conditions, opportunities for growth, utilizing Rule 10b5-1 programs, and may be suspended at any time. See “MD&A—Capital Management—Dividend Policy and Stock Purchases” for more information.

Business Segment Expectations

Credit Card: In our Domestic Card business, we expect the full-year 2017 charge-off rate will be in the high 4% to around 5%, with quarterly variability.

Consumer Banking: In our Consumer Banking business, we expect that the charge-off rate in our auto finance business will increase gradually and the growth we have experienced in that business will moderate.

Commercial Banking: In our Commercial Banking business, we expect credit pressures will continue to be focused in our oil field service and taxi medallion lending portfolios.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The section below provides a comparative discussion of our consolidated financial performance for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016. We provide a discussion of our business segment results in the following section, “MD&A—Business Segment Financial Performance.” You should read this section together with our “MD&A—Executive Summary and Business Outlook,” where we discuss trends and other factors that we expect will affect our future results of operations.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the difference between the interest income, including certain fees, earned on our interest-earning assets and the interest expense on our interest-bearing liabilities. Interest-earning assets include loans, investment securities and other interest-earning assets, while our interest-bearing liabilities include interest-bearing deposits, securitized debt obligations, senior and subordinated notes, and other borrowings. Generally, we include in interest income any past due fees on loans that we deem collectible. Our net interest margin, based on our consolidated results, represents the difference between the yield on our interest-earning assets and the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities, including the notional impact of non-interest-bearing funding. We expect net interest income and our net interest margin to fluctuate based on changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Table 2 below presents, for each major category of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the average outstanding balance, interest income earned or interest expense incurred, and average yield for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016.

Table 2: Average Balances, Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2017			2016		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average Yield/Rate
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans: ⁽¹⁾						
Credit card	\$ 101,169	\$ 3,790	14.98%	\$ 93,158	\$ 3,394	14.57%
Consumer banking	73,510	1,190	6.48	70,441	1,088	6.18
Commercial banking ⁽²⁾	67,503	615	3.64	63,884	539	3.37
Other ⁽³⁾	67	31	185.07	90	64	284.44
Total loans, including loans held for sale	242,249	5,626	9.29	227,573	5,085	8.94
Investment securities	68,418	416	2.43	65,156	415	2.55
Cash equivalents and other interest-earning assets	7,691	28	1.46	6,727	17	1.01
Total interest-earning assets	318,358	6,070	7.63	299,456	5,517	7.37
Cash and due from banks	3,487			3,355		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(6,513)			(5,131)		
Premises and equipment, net	3,797			3,642		
Other assets	32,512			30,597		
Total assets	\$ 351,641			\$ 331,919		
Liabilities and stockholders' equity:						
Interest-bearing liabilities: ⁽³⁾						
Deposits	\$ 212,973	\$ 353	0.66%	\$ 194,125	\$ 283	0.58%
Securitized debt obligations	17,176	69	1.61	15,361	48	1.25
Senior and subordinated notes	24,804	149	2.40	21,993	106	1.93
Other borrowings and liabilities	12,356	25	0.81	17,176	24	0.56
Total interest-bearing liabilities	267,309	596	0.89	248,655	461	0.74
Non-interest-bearing deposits	25,577			25,055		
Other liabilities	10,562			9,131		
Total liabilities	303,448			282,841		
Stockholders' equity	48,193			49,078		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 351,641			\$ 331,919		
Net interest income/spread		\$ 5,474	6.74		\$ 5,056	6.63
Impact of non-interest-bearing funding			0.14			0.12
Net interest margin			6.88%			6.75%

⁽¹⁾ Past due fees included in interest income totaled approximately \$384 million and \$351 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Some of our tax-related commercial investments generate tax-exempt income or tax credits. Accordingly, we make certain reclassifications within our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues and yields on a taxable-equivalent basis, calculated assuming an effective tax rate approximately equal to our federal statutory rate of 35% with offsetting reclassifications to the Other category.

⁽³⁾ Interest income and interest expense and the calculation of average yields on interest-earning assets and average rates on interest-bearing liabilities include the impact of hedge accounting.

Net interest income increased by \$418 million to \$5.5 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by:

- growth in our domestic credit card and auto loan portfolios; and
- higher net interest margins.

These increases were partially offset by:

- an additional day in the first quarter of 2016.

Net interest margin increased by 13 basis points to 6.88% in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by:

- growth in our domestic credit card loan portfolio;
- higher yields as a result of higher interest rates; and
- run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio.

These increases were partially offset by:

- an additional day in the first quarter of 2016.

Table 3 displays the change in our net interest income between periods and the extent to which the variance is attributable to:

- changes in the volume of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; or
- changes in the interest rates related to these assets and liabilities.

Table 3: Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income⁽¹⁾

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017 vs. 2016		
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Total Variance	Volume	Rate
Interest income:			
Loans:			
Credit card	\$ 396	\$ 298	\$ 98
Consumer banking	102	48	54
Commercial banking ⁽²⁾	76	32	44
Other	(33)	(14)	(19)
Total loans, including loans held for sale	<u>541</u>	<u>364</u>	<u>177</u>
Investment securities	1	20	(19)
Cash equivalents and other interest-earning assets	11	3	8
Total interest income	<u>553</u>	<u>387</u>	<u>166</u>
Interest expense:			
Deposits	70	29	41
Securitized debt obligations	21	6	15
Senior and subordinated notes	43	15	28
Other borrowings and liabilities	1	(7)	8
Total interest expense	<u>135</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>92</u>
Net interest income	<u>\$ 418</u>	<u>\$ 344</u>	<u>\$ 74</u>

⁽¹⁾ We calculate the change in interest income and interest expense separately for each item. The portion of interest income or interest expense attributable to both volume and rate is allocated proportionately when the calculation results in a positive value. When the portion of interest income or interest expense attributable to both volume and rate results in a negative value, the total amount is allocated to volume or rate, depending on which amount is positive.

⁽²⁾ Some of our tax-related commercial investments generate tax-exempt income or tax credits. Accordingly, we make certain reclassifications within our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues and yields on a taxable-equivalent basis, calculated assuming an effective tax rate approximately equal to our federal statutory rate of 35% with offsetting reclassifications to the Other category.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income primarily consists of interchange fees net of rewards expense, service charges and other customer-related fees and other non-interest income. Other non-interest income includes the pre-tax net benefit (provision) for mortgage representation and warranty losses related to continuing operations, gains and losses on free-standing derivatives not accounted for in hedge accounting relationships and hedge ineffectiveness.

Table 4 displays the components of non-interest income for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016.

Table 4: Non-Interest Income

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016⁽¹⁾
Interchange fees, net	\$ 570	\$ 604
Service charges and other customer-related fees	371	423
Net securities gains (losses)	—	(8)
Other non-interest income:		
Benefit for mortgage representation and warranty losses ⁽²⁾	25	1
Net fair value gains on free-standing derivatives	17	30
Other	78	114
Total other non-interest income	120	145
Total non-interest income	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,164

⁽¹⁾ We made certain non-interest income reclassifications in the fourth quarter of 2016 to conform to the current period presentation. The primary net effects of the reclassifications for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to previously reported results were (i) an increase to Service charges and other customer-related fees of \$19 million; and (ii) a decrease to Other non-interest income of \$27 million. We have also consolidated the Non-interest income presentation of Other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) with net realized gains or losses from investment securities into a new Net securities gains(losses) line. See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information.

⁽²⁾ Represents the benefit for mortgage representation and warranty losses recorded in continuing operations.

Non-interest income decreased by \$103 million to \$1.1 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by:

- lower service charges and other customer-related fees primarily due to a build in our U.K. payment protection insurance customer refund reserve (“U.K. PPI Reserve”) in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the absence of a build in the first quarter of 2016, as well as the exit of our legacy payment protection products in our Domestic Card business during the first quarter of 2016; and
- lower net interchange fees, as an increase in gross interchange fees driven by higher purchase volume was more than offset by higher rewards expense from the continued expansion of our rewards franchise, as well as a customer rewards reserve release within our retail banking business in the first quarter of 2016 related to the discontinuation of certain debit card and deposit products.

These decreases were partially offset by:

- higher revenue in our capital markets and agency businesses in our Commercial Banking business; and
- a mortgage representation and warranty reserve release in our Consumer Banking business.

We provide additional information on our U.K. PPI Reserve in “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others.”

Provision for Credit Losses

Our provision for credit losses in each period is driven by net charge-offs, changes to the allowance for loan and lease losses and changes to the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. We recorded a provision for credit losses of \$2.0 billion and \$1.5 billion in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. The provision for credit losses as a percentage of net interest income was 36.4% and 30.2% in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Our provision for credit losses increased by \$465 million in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by:

- a larger allowance build in our domestic credit card loan portfolio due to increasing loss expectations on recent originations; and
- higher charge-offs in our domestic credit card loan portfolio due to seasoning of recent growth.

These increases were partially offset by:

- an allowance release in our Commercial Banking business in the first quarter of 2017 compared to a build in the first quarter of 2016, reflecting lower exposure in our oil and gas and taxi medallion lending portfolios.

We provide additional information on the provision for credit losses and changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses within “MD&A—Credit Risk Profile,” “Note 4—Loans” and “Note 5—Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments.” For information on the allowance methodology for each of our loan categories, see “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense consists of operating expenses related to continuing operations, such as salaries and associate benefits, occupancy and equipment costs, professional services, communications and data processing expenses and other non-interest expenses, as well as marketing costs and amortization of intangibles.

Table 5 displays the components of non-interest expense for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016.

Table 5: Non-Interest Expense

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016 ⁽¹⁾
Salaries and associate benefits	\$ 1,471	\$ 1,270
Occupancy and equipment	471	458
Marketing	396	428
Professional services	247	241
Communications and data processing	288	280
Amortization of intangibles	62	101
Other non-interest expense:		
Collections	85	81
Fraud losses	78	90
Bankcard, regulatory and other fee assessments	136	107
Other	200	167
Total other non-interest expense	499	445
Total non-interest expense	\$ 3,434	\$ 3,223

⁽¹⁾ We made certain non-interest expense reclassifications in the fourth quarter of 2016. The net effect of the reclassifications for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to previously reported results was an increase to Communications and data processing expense of \$37 million, with a corresponding decrease to Professional services. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information.

Non-interest expense increased by \$211 million to \$3.4 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily due to:

- higher operating expenses associated with loan growth, as well as continued investments in technology and infrastructure;
- higher other non-interest expense primarily driven by a build in our U.K. PPI Reserve in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the absence of a build in the first quarter of 2016; and

- higher Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) surcharges and premiums.

These increases are partially offset by:

- lower amortization of intangibles; and
- lower marketing expenses.

Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax

Income (loss) from discontinued operations consists of results from the discontinued mortgage origination operations of our wholesale mortgage banking unit, GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. (“GreenPoint”) and the discontinued manufactured housing operations of GreenPoint Credit, LLC, a subsidiary of GreenPoint, both of which were acquired as part of the North Fork Bancorporation, Inc. (“North Fork”) acquisition in December 2006. Income from discontinued operations, net of tax, was \$15 million in the first quarter of 2017 compared to a loss of \$5 million in the first quarter of 2016. We recorded a release related to our mortgage representation and warranty reserve, net of tax, of \$42 million (\$67 million before tax) in the first quarter of 2017, compared to a provision, net of tax, of \$2 million (\$3 million before tax) in the first quarter of 2016 as a result of favorable legal developments. This mortgage representation and warranty reserve release was partially offset by a pre-tax charge of \$40 million to record a liability related to our contingent obligation to exercise certain mandatory clean-up calls associated with manufactured housing securitizations undertaken by GreenPoint Credit, LLC.

We provide additional information on the discontinued operations in “Note 2—Discontinued Operations” and on the net benefit (provision) for mortgage representation and warranty losses and the related reserve for representation and warranty claims in “MD&A—Consolidated Balance Sheets Analysis—Mortgage Representation and Warranty Reserve” and “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others.”

Income Taxes

We recorded income tax provisions of \$314 million (28.3% effective income tax rate) and \$452 million (30.7% effective income tax rate) in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Our effective tax rate on income from continuing operations varies between periods due, in part, to fluctuations in our pre-tax earnings, which affects the relative tax benefit of tax-exempt income, tax credits and other permanent tax items.

The decrease in our effective income tax rate in the first quarter of 2017 from the first quarter of 2016 was primarily due to:

- increases in the relative benefit of tax exempt income and tax credits; and
- increased discrete tax benefits related to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation: *Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*.

This increase was partially offset by:

- reduced benefits associated with foreign earnings.

We provide additional information on items affecting our income taxes and effective tax rate under “Note 16—Income Taxes” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS ANALYSIS

Total assets decreased by \$8.5 billion to \$348.5 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to:

- a decrease in loans held for investment primarily due to expected seasonal paydowns in our domestic credit card loan portfolio and run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio, partially offset by growth in our auto and commercial loan portfolios.

Total liabilities decreased by \$9.0 billion to \$300.5 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily driven by:

- a decrease in other debt primarily attributable to a decrease in our FHLB advances outstanding, partially offset by an increase in our senior and subordinated notes.

Stockholders' equity increased by \$526 million to \$48.0 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to:

- our net income of \$810 million in the first quarter of 2017.

This increase was partially offset by:

- \$250 million of dividend payments to our common and preferred stockholders; and
- \$218 million of share repurchases.

The following is a discussion of material changes in the major components of our assets and liabilities during the first quarter of 2017. Period-end balance sheet amounts may vary from average balance sheet amounts due to liquidity and balance sheet management activities that are intended to ensure the adequacy of capital while managing the liquidity requirements of the Company and our customers and our market risk exposure in accordance with our risk appetite.

Investment Securities

Our investment portfolio consists primarily of the following: U.S. Treasury securities; U.S. government-sponsored enterprise or agency ("Agency") and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"); Agency and non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"); other asset-backed securities ("ABS"); and other securities. The carrying value of our investments in U.S. Treasury and Agency securities represented 91% of our total investment securities as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The fair value of our available for sale securities portfolio was \$41.3 billion as of March 31, 2017, an increase of \$523 million from December 31, 2016. The fair value of our held to maturity securities portfolio was \$26.7 billion as of March 31, 2017, an increase of \$461 million from December 31, 2016. The increase in the fair value of both of these portfolios was primarily driven by purchases outpacing sales and paydowns.

Gross unrealized gains on our available for sale securities portfolio increased slightly to \$550 million as of March 31, 2017 compared to \$539 million as of December 31, 2016 and gross unrealized losses on this portfolio decreased to \$501 million as of March 31, 2017 compared to \$535 million as of December 31, 2016. Of the \$501 million gross unrealized losses as of March 31, 2017, \$104 million was related to securities that had been in a loss position for 12 months or longer.

Table 6 presents the amortized cost, carrying value and fair value for the major categories of our investment securities portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 6: Investment Securities

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Investment securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 5,195	\$ 5,170	\$ 5,103	\$ 5,065
RMBS:				
Agency ⁽¹⁾	27,289	26,992	26,830	26,527
Non-agency	2,264	2,647	2,349	2,722
Total RMBS	29,553	29,639	29,179	29,249
CMBS:				
Agency ⁽¹⁾	3,159	3,132	3,335	3,304
Non-agency	1,712	1,730	1,676	1,684
Total CMBS	4,871	4,862	5,011	4,988
Other ABS ⁽²⁾	688	688	714	714
Other securities ⁽³⁾	904	901	726	721
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 41,211	\$ 41,260	\$ 40,733	\$ 40,737

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
	Investment securities held to maturity:			
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 199	\$ 199	\$ 199	\$ 199
Agency RMBS	22,486	22,932	22,125	22,573
Agency CMBS	3,485	3,526	3,388	3,424
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 26,170	\$ 26,657	\$ 25,712	\$ 26,196

⁽¹⁾ Includes securities guaranteed by Government National Mortgage Association (“Ginnie Mae”) and securities issued by Federal National Mortgage Association (“Fannie Mae”) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“Freddie Mac”).

⁽²⁾ ABS collateralized by credit card loans constituted approximately 54% and 57% of the other ABS portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and ABS collateralized by auto dealer floor plan inventory loans and leases constituted approximately 24% and 23% of the other ABS portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽³⁾ Includes supranational bonds, foreign government bonds, mutual funds and equity investments.

Credit Ratings

Our portfolio of investment securities continues to be concentrated in securities that generally have high credit ratings and low credit risk, such as securities issued and guaranteed by the U.S. Treasury and Agencies. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, approximately 96% and 95% of our total investment securities portfolio was rated AA+ or its equivalent, or better, respectively, while approximately 4% was below investment grade, as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We categorize the credit ratings of our investment securities based on the lowest credit rating as issued by the following rating agencies: Standard & Poor’s Ratings Services (“S&P”), Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) and Fitch Ratings (“Fitch”).

Table 7 provides information on the credit ratings of our non-agency RMBS, non-agency CMBS, other ABS and other securities in our portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 7: Non-Agency Investment Securities Credit Ratings

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017				December 31, 2016			
	Fair Value	AAA	Other Investment Grade	Below Investment Grade ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value	AAA	Other Investment Grade	Below Investment Grade ⁽¹⁾
Non-agency RMBS	\$2,647	—	2%	98%	\$2,722	—	3%	97%
Non-agency CMBS	1,730	100%	—	—	1,684	100%	—	—
Other ABS	688	99	1	—	714	99	1	—
Other securities	901	72	18	10	721	62	25	13

⁽¹⁾ Includes investment securities that were not rated.

For additional information on our investment securities, see “Note 3—Investment Securities.”

Loans Held for Investment

Total loans held for investment (“HFI”) consists of both unsecuritized loans and loans held in our consolidated trusts. Table 8 summarizes the carrying value of our portfolio of loans held for investment by portfolio segment, net of the allowance for loan and lease losses, as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 8: Loans Held for Investment

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Loans	Allowance	Net Loans	Loans	Allowance	Net Loans
Credit Card	\$ 99,213	\$ 5,058	\$ 94,155	\$ 105,552	\$ 4,606	\$ 100,946
Consumer Banking	73,982	1,163	72,819	73,054	1,102	71,952
Commercial Banking	67,320	761	66,559	66,916	793	66,123
Other	73	2	71	64	2	62
Total	\$ 240,588	\$ 6,984	\$ 233,604	\$ 245,586	\$ 6,503	\$ 239,083

Loans held for investment decreased by \$5.0 billion to \$240.6 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to expected seasonal paydowns in our domestic credit card loan portfolio and run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio, partially offset by growth in our auto and commercial loan portfolios.

We provide additional information on the composition of our loan portfolio and credit quality below in “MD&A—Credit Risk Profile,” “MD&A—Consolidated Results of Operations” and “Note 4—Loans.”

Deposits

Our deposits represent our largest source of funding for our operations and provide a consistent source of low-cost funds. Total deposits increased by \$4.4 billion to \$241.2 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily driven by growth in our Consumer Banking business. We provide information on the composition of our deposits, average outstanding balances, interest expense and yield in “MD&A—Liquidity Risk Profile.”

Securitized Debt Obligations

Securitized debt obligations decreased to \$18.5 billion as of March 31, 2017, from \$18.8 billion as of December 31, 2016, as debt maturities exceeded issuances during the first quarter of 2017. We provide additional information on our borrowings in “MD&A—Liquidity Risk Profile” and in “Note 8—Deposits and Borrowings.”

Other Debt

Other debt, which consists primarily of federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase, senior and subordinated notes, and Federal Home Loan Banks (“FHLB”) advances, totaled \$29.9 billion as of March 31, 2017, of which \$28.9 billion represented long-term debt and the remainder represented short-term borrowings. Other debt totaled \$41.6 billion as of December 31, 2016, of which \$40.6 billion represented long-term debt and the remainder represented short-term borrowings.

The decrease in other debt of \$11.7 billion in the first quarter of 2017 was primarily attributable to a decrease in our FHLB advances outstanding, partially offset by an increase in our senior and subordinated notes. We provide additional information on our borrowings in “MD&A—Liquidity Risk Profile” and in “Note 8—Deposits and Borrowings.”

Mortgage Representation and Warranty Reserve

We acquired three subsidiaries that originated residential mortgage loans and sold these loans to various purchasers, including purchasers who created securitization trusts. These subsidiaries are Capital One Home Loans, LLC, which was acquired in February 2005; GreenPoint, which was acquired in December 2006 as part of the North Fork acquisition; and Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B. (“CCB”), which was acquired in February 2009 and subsequently merged into CONA.

We have established representation and warranty reserves for losses associated with the mortgage loans sold by each subsidiary that we consider to be both probable and reasonably estimable, including both litigation and non-litigation liabilities. These reserves are reported on our consolidated balance sheets as a component of other liabilities. The reserve setting process relies heavily on estimates, which are inherently uncertain, and requires judgment. We evaluate these estimates on a quarterly basis. We build our representation and warranty reserves through the provision for mortgage representation and warranty losses, which we report in our consolidated statements of income as a component of non-interest income for loans originated and sold by CCB and Capital One Home Loans, LLC and as a component of discontinued operations for loans originated and sold by GreenPoint. The aggregate reserve for all three entities decreased to \$516 million as of March 31, 2017, compared to \$630 million as of December 31, 2016 primarily due to favorable legal developments.

As part of our business planning processes, we have considered various outcomes relating to the future representation and warranty liabilities of our subsidiaries that are possible but do not rise to the level of being both probable and reasonably estimable outcomes justifying an incremental reserve under applicable accounting standards. Our current best estimate of reasonably possible future losses from representation and warranty claims beyond what was in our reserve as of March 31, 2017 is approximately \$1.4 billion, a decrease from our estimate of \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2016.

We provide additional information related to the representation and warranty reserve, including factors that may impact the adequacy of the reserve and the ultimate amount of losses incurred by our subsidiaries, in “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others.”

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In the ordinary course of business, we engage in certain activities that are not reflected on our consolidated balance sheets, generally referred to as off-balance sheet arrangements. These activities typically involve transactions with unconsolidated variable interest entities (“VIEs”) as well as other arrangements, such as letter of credits, loan commitments and guarantees, to meet the financing needs of our customers and support their ongoing operations. We provide additional information regarding these types of activities in the “MD&A—Liquidity Risk Profile” as well as “Note 6—Variable Interest Entities and Securitizations” and “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others.”

BUSINESS SEGMENT FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Our principal operations are currently organized into three major business segments, which are defined based on the products and services provided or the type of customer served: Credit Card, Consumer Banking and Commercial Banking. The operations of acquired businesses have been integrated into our existing business segments. Certain activities that are not part of a segment, such as management of our corporate investment portfolio and asset/liability management by our centralized Corporate Treasury group, are included in the Other category.

The results of our individual businesses, which we report on a continuing operations basis, reflect the manner in which management evaluates performance and makes decisions about funding our operations and allocating resources. We provide additional information on the allocation methodologies used to derive our business segment results in “Note 18—Business Segments” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

We refer to the business segment results derived from our internal management accounting and reporting process as our “managed” presentation, which differs in some cases from our reported results prepared based on U.S. GAAP. There is no comprehensive authoritative body of guidance for management accounting equivalent to U.S. GAAP; therefore, the managed presentation of our business segment results may not be comparable to similar information provided by other financial services companies. In addition, our individual business segment results should not be used as a substitute for comparable results determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Below we summarize our business segment results for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016 and provide a comparative discussion of these results, as well as changes in our financial condition and credit performance metrics as of March 31, 2017 compared to December 31, 2016. We provide a reconciliation of our total business segment results to our reported consolidated results in “Note 13—Business Segments.” Additionally, we provide information on the outlook for each of our business segments as described above under “MD&A—Executive Summary and Business Outlook.”

Business Segment Financial Performance

Table 9 summarizes our business segment results, which we report based on revenue and income from continuing operations, for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016. We provide information on the allocation methodologies used to derive our business segment results in “Note 18—Business Segments” in our 2016 Form 10-K. We also provide a reconciliation of our total business segment results to our consolidated U.S. GAAP results in “Note 13—Business Segments” of this Report.

Table 9: Business Segment Results

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
	2017				2016			
	Total Net Revenue ⁽¹⁾		Net Income ⁽²⁾		Total Net Revenue ⁽¹⁾		Net Income ⁽²⁾	
(Dollars in millions)	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
Credit Card	\$ 4,084	63%	\$ 271	34%	\$ 3,880	62%	\$ 609	60%
Consumer Banking	1,712	26	248	31	1,611	26	249	24
Commercial Banking ⁽³⁾	724	11	213	27	655	11	67	7
Other ⁽⁴⁾	15	—	63	8	74	1	93	9
Total	\$ 6,535	100%	\$ 795	100%	\$ 6,220	100%	\$ 1,018	100%

⁽¹⁾ Total net revenue consists of net interest income and non-interest income.

⁽²⁾ Net income for our business segments and the Other category is based on income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax.

⁽³⁾ Some of our tax-related commercial investments generate tax-exempt income or tax credits. Accordingly, we make certain reclassifications within our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues and yields on a taxable-equivalent basis, calculated assuming an effective tax rate approximately equal to our federal statutory tax rate of 35% with offsetting reclassifications to the Other category.

⁽⁴⁾ The Other category includes the residual impact of the allocation of our centralized Corporate Treasury group activities, unallocated corporate expenses that do not directly support the operations of the business segments and other items as described in “Note 18—Business Segments” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Credit Card Business

The primary sources of revenue for our Credit Card business are interest income, net interchange income and fees collected from customers. Expenses primarily consist of the provision for credit losses, operating costs and marketing expenses.

Our Credit Card business generated net income from continuing operations of \$271 million and \$609 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table 10 summarizes the financial results of our Credit Card business and displays selected key metrics for the periods indicated.

Table 10: Credit Card Business Results

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
Selected income statement data:			
Net interest income	\$ 3,346	\$ 3,033	10%
Non-interest income	738	847	(13)
Total net revenue ⁽¹⁾	4,084	3,880	5
Provision for credit losses	1,717	1,071	60
Non-interest expense	1,929	1,863	4
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	438	946	(54)
Income tax provision	167	337	(50)
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 271	\$ 609	(56)
Selected performance metrics:			
Average loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	\$ 101,169	\$ 92,987	9
Average yield on loans held for investment ⁽³⁾	14.99%	14.60%	39bps
Total net revenue margin ⁽⁴⁾	16.14	16.69	(55)
Net charge-offs	\$ 1,271	\$ 950	34%
Net charge-off rate	5.02%	4.09%	93bps
Purchased credit card relationship (“PCCR”) intangible amortization	\$ 44	\$ 70	(37)%
Purchase volume ⁽⁵⁾	73,197	68,189	7

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	March 31,	December 31,	Change
	2017	2016	
Selected period-end data:			
Loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	\$ 99,213	\$ 105,552	(6)%
30+ day performing delinquency rate	3.68%	3.91%	(23)bps
30+ day delinquency rate	3.71	3.94	(23)
Nonperforming loan rate	0.04	0.04	—
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 5,058	\$ 4,606	10%
Allowance coverage ratio ⁽⁶⁾	5.10%	4.36%	74bps

⁽¹⁾ We recognize billed finance charges and fee income on open-ended loans in accordance with the contractual provisions of the credit arrangements and estimate the uncollectible amount on a quarterly basis. The estimated uncollectible amount of billed finance charges and fees is reflected as a reduction in revenue and is not included in our net charge-offs. Total net revenue was reduced by \$321 million and \$228 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, for the estimated uncollectible amount of billed finance charges and fees and related losses. The finance charge and fee reserve totaled \$398 million and \$402 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Period-end loans held for investment and average loans held for investment include billed finance charges and fees, net of the estimated uncollectible amount.

⁽³⁾ Average yield on loans held for investment is calculated by dividing annualized interest income for the period by average loans held for investment during the period. Interest income excludes various allocations including funds transfer pricing that assigns certain balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related revenue and expenses attributable to each business segment.

- (4) Total net revenue margin is calculated by dividing annualized total net revenue for the period by average loans held for investment during the period. Interest income also includes interest income on loans held for sale.
- (5) Purchase volume consists of purchase transactions, net of returns, for the period for loans both classified as held for investment and held for sale. Excludes cash advance and balance transfer transactions.
- (6) Allowance coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the period-end allowance for loan and lease losses by period-end loans held for investment.

Key factors affecting the results of our Credit Card business for the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016, and changes in financial condition and credit performance between March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 include the following:

- *Net Interest Income:* Net interest income increased by \$313 million to \$3.3 billion in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by loan growth and higher net interest margins in our Domestic Card business.
- *Non-Interest Income:* Non-interest income decreased by \$109 million to \$738 million in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by:
 - higher rewards expense from the continued expansion of our rewards franchise;
 - a build in our U.K. PPI Reserve in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the absence of a build in the first quarter of 2016; and
 - lower service charges and other customer-related fees primarily due to the exit of our legacy payment protection products in our Domestic Card business during the first quarter of 2016.

These decreases were partially offset by:

- an increase in gross interchange fees driven by higher purchase volume.
- *Provision for Credit Losses:* The provision for credit losses increased by \$646 million to \$1.7 billion in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by:
 - a larger allowance build in our domestic credit card loan portfolio due to increasing loss expectations on recent originations; and
 - higher charge-offs due to seasoning of recent growth.
- *Non-Interest Expense:* Non-interest expense increased by \$66 million to \$1.9 billion in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by:
 - higher operating expenses associated with loan growth and continued investments in technology; and
 - higher other non-interest expense primarily driven by a build in our U.K. PPI Reserve in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the absence of a build in the first quarter of 2016.

These increases were partially offset by:

- lower marketing expenses and operating efficiencies.
- *Loans Held for Investment:* Period-end loans held for investment decreased by \$6.3 billion to \$99.2 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to expected seasonal paydowns. Average loans held for investment increased by \$8.2 billion to \$101.2 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016, primarily due to growth in our domestic credit card loan portfolio.
- *Net Charge-Off and Delinquency Metrics:* The net charge-off rate increased by 93 basis points to 5.02% in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by growth and seasoning of recent domestic credit card loan originations, partially offset by growth in our domestic credit card loan portfolio. The 30+ day delinquency rate decreased by 23 basis points to 3.71% as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to seasonally lower delinquency inventories, partially offset by seasonally lower loan balances in our domestic credit card loan portfolio.

Domestic Card Business

Domestic Card generated net income from continuing operations of \$278 million and \$564 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. In the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, Domestic Card accounted for greater than 90% of both total net revenues and net income of our Credit Card business.

Table 10.1 summarizes the financial results for Domestic Card and displays selected key metrics for the periods indicated.

Table 10.1: Domestic Card Business Results

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
Selected income statement data:			
Net interest income	\$ 3,093	\$ 2,756	12%
Non-interest income	699	774	(10)
Total net revenue ⁽¹⁾	3,792	3,530	7
Provision for credit losses	1,637	972	68
Non-interest expense	1,717	1,671	3
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	438	887	(51)
Income tax provision	160	323	(50)
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 278	\$ 564	(51)
Selected performance metrics:			
Average loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	\$ 93,034	\$ 85,148	9
Average yield on loans held for investment ⁽³⁾	15.01%	14.43%	58bps
Total net revenue margin ⁽⁴⁾	16.30	16.58	(28)
Net charge-offs	\$ 1,196	\$ 887	35%
Net charge-off rate	5.14%	4.16%	98bps
PCCR intangible amortization	\$ 44	\$ 70	(37)%
Purchase volume ⁽⁵⁾	66,950	62,617	7

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	March 31,	December 31,	Change
	2017	2016	
Selected period-end data:			
Loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	\$ 91,092	\$ 97,120	(6)%
30+ day delinquency rate	3.71%	3.95%	(24)bps
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 4,670	\$ 4,229	10%
Allowance coverage ratio ⁽⁶⁾	5.13%	4.35%	78bps

⁽¹⁾ We recognize billed finance charges and fee income on open-ended loans in accordance with the contractual provisions of the credit arrangements and estimate the uncollectible amount on a quarterly basis. The estimated uncollectible amount of billed finance charges and fees is reflected as a reduction in revenue and is not included in our net charge-offs.

⁽²⁾ Period-end loans held for investment and average loans held for investment include billed finance charges and fees, net of the estimated uncollectible amount.

⁽³⁾ Average yield on loans held for investment is calculated by dividing annualized interest income for the period by average loans held for investment during the period. Interest income excludes various allocations including funds transfer pricing that assigns certain balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related revenue and expenses attributable to each business segment.

⁽⁴⁾ Total net revenue margin is calculated by dividing annualized total net revenue for the period by average loans held for investment during the period.

⁽⁵⁾ Purchase volume consists of purchase transactions, net of returns, for the period for loans both classified as held for investment and held for sale. Excludes cash advance and balance transfer transactions.

⁽⁶⁾ Allowance coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the period-end allowance for loan and lease losses by period-end loans held for investment.

Because our Domestic Card business accounts for the substantial majority of our Credit Card business, the key factors driving the results are similar to the key factors affecting our total Credit Card business. Net income for our Domestic Card business decreased in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 primarily driven by:

- higher provision for credit losses;
- lower non-interest income; and
- higher operating expenses associated with loan growth.

These drivers were partially offset by:

- higher net interest income resulting from loan growth and higher net interest margins; and
- lower marketing expenses and operating efficiencies.

Consumer Banking Business

The primary sources of revenue for our Consumer Banking business are net interest income from loans and deposits and non-interest income from service charges and customer-related fees. Expenses primarily consist of the provision for credit losses, operating costs and marketing expenses.

Our Consumer Banking business generated net income from continuing operations of \$248 million and \$249 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table 11 summarizes the financial results of our Consumer Banking business and displays selected key metrics for the periods indicated.

Table 11: Consumer Banking Business Results

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
Selected income statement data:			
Net interest income	\$ 1,517	\$ 1,420	7%
Non-interest income	195	191	2
Total net revenue	1,712	1,611	6
Provision for credit losses	279	230	21
Non-interest expense	1,042	990	5
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	391	391	—
Income tax provision	143	142	1
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	<u>\$ 248</u>	<u>\$ 249</u>	—
Selected performance metrics:			
Average loans held for investment: ⁽¹⁾			
Auto	\$ 48,673	\$ 41,962	16
Home loan	21,149	24,781	(15)
Retail banking	3,509	3,553	(1)
Total consumer banking	<u>\$ 73,331</u>	<u>\$ 70,296</u>	4
Average yield on loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	6.48%	6.18%	30bps
Average deposits	\$ 183,936	\$ 174,254	6%
Average deposits interest rate	0.57%	0.54%	3bps
Net charge-offs	\$ 218	\$ 183	19%
Net charge-off rate	1.19%	1.04%	15bps
Net charge-off rate (excluding PCI loans) ⁽³⁾	1.46	1.40	6
Auto loan originations	\$ 7,025	\$ 5,844	20%
	March 31,	December 31,	Change
	2017	2016	
Selected period-end data:			
Loans held for investment: ⁽¹⁾			
Auto	\$ 49,771	\$ 47,916	4%
Home loan	20,738	21,584	(4)
Retail banking	3,473	3,554	(2)
Total consumer banking	<u>\$ 73,982</u>	<u>\$ 73,054</u>	1
30+ day performing delinquency rate	3.45%	4.10%	(65)bps
30+ day performing delinquency rate (excluding PCI loans) ⁽³⁾	4.23	5.12	(89)
30+ day delinquency rate	3.93	4.67	(74)
30+ day delinquency rate (excluding PCI loans) ⁽³⁾	4.80	5.82	(102)
Nonperforming loan rate	0.64	0.72	(8)
Nonperforming loan rate (excluding PCI loans) ⁽³⁾	0.78	0.90	(12)
Nonperforming asset rate ⁽⁴⁾	0.92	1.09	(17)
Nonperforming asset rate (excluding PCI loans) ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	1.12	1.36	(24)
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,102	6%
Allowance coverage ratio ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	1.57%	1.51%	6bps
Deposits	\$ 188,216	\$ 181,917	3%
Loans serviced for others	8,462	8,258	2

⁽¹⁾ Average consumer banking loans held for investment includes purchased credit-impaired loans (“PCI loans”) of \$13.8 billion and \$18.0 billion in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Period-end consumer banking loans held for investment includes PCI loans with carrying values of \$13.5 billion and \$14.5 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. See “MD&A—Glossary and Acronyms” for the definition of “PCI loans.”

- (2) Average yield on loans held for investment is calculated by dividing annualized interest income for the period by average loans held for investment during the period. Interest income excludes various allocations including funds transfer pricing that assigns certain balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related revenue and expenses attributable to each business segment.
- (3) See “MD&A—Credit Risk Profile” and “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information on the impact of PCI loans on our credit quality metrics.
- (4) Nonperforming assets consist of nonperforming loans, real estate owned (“REO”) and other foreclosed assets. The total nonperforming asset rate is calculated based on total nonperforming assets divided by the combined period-end total loans held for investment, REO and other foreclosed assets.
- (5) Allowance coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the period-end allowance for loan and lease losses by period-end loans held for investment.
- (6) Excluding the impact of the PCI home loan amounts in footnote 1 above, the allowance coverage ratios for our home loan portfolio and total consumer banking were 0.42% and 1.87%, respectively, as of March 31, 2017, compared to 0.51% and 1.83%, respectively, as of December 31, 2016.

Key factors affecting the results of our Consumer Banking business for the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016, and changes in financial condition and credit performance between March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 include the following:

- *Net Interest Income:* Net interest income increased by \$97 million to \$1.5 billion in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by growth in our auto loan portfolio, partially offset by higher interest expense in our retail banking business due to higher deposit volumes and higher interest rates, as well as margin compression in our auto loan portfolio.
 - Consumer Banking loan yield increased by 30 basis points to 6.5% in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016. The increase was primarily driven by changes in the product mix in Consumer Banking as a result of run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio and growth in our auto loan portfolio, partially offset by margin compression in our auto loan portfolio.
 - Average yield on auto loans decreased by 16 basis points to 7.6% in the first quarter of 2017 primarily attributable to margin compression and changes in the product mix in our auto loan portfolio.
 - Average yield on our home loan portfolio increased by 49 basis points to 4.2% in the first quarter of 2017 primarily as a result of higher yield on our acquired home loan portfolio.
- *Non-Interest Income:* Non-interest income was substantially flat at \$195 million in the first quarter of 2017 as a mortgage representation and warranty reserve release in the first quarter of 2017 had a similar impact as the customer rewards reserve release within our retail banking business in the first quarter of 2016 related to the discontinuation of certain debit card and deposit products.
- *Provision for Credit Losses:* The provision for credit losses increased by \$49 million to \$279 million in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by:
 - higher charge-offs due to growth and seasoning in our auto loan portfolio; and
 - a larger allowance build in our auto loan portfolio due to higher loss rates associated with growth, as well as further expected declines in used car auction prices.
- *Non-Interest Expense:* Non-interest expense increased by \$52 million to \$1.0 billion in the first quarter of 2017 primarily due to higher operating expenses driven by growth in our auto loan portfolio.
- *Loans Held for Investment:* Period-end loans held for investment increased by \$928 million to \$74.0 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016, and average loans held for investment increased by \$3.0 billion to \$73.3 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016. The increases were primarily due to growth in our auto loan portfolio, partially offset by run-off of our acquired home loan portfolio.
- *Deposits:* Period-end deposits increased by \$6.3 billion to \$188.2 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 as a result of strong growth in our deposit products that are sold directly to both existing and new customers.
- *Net Charge-Off and Delinquency Metrics:* The net charge-off rate increased by 15 basis points to 1.19% in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016. The increase reflects the greater portion of auto loans in our total consumer banking loan portfolio, which generally have higher charge-off rates than other products within this portfolio. The 30+ day delinquency rate decreased by 74 basis points to 3.93% as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily attributable to seasonally lower auto delinquency inventories.

Commercial Banking Business

The primary sources of revenue for our Commercial Banking business are net interest income from loans and deposits and non-interest income from customer fees and other transactions. Because we have some investments that generate tax-exempt income or tax credits, we make certain reclassifications to our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues on a taxable-equivalent basis. Expenses primarily consist of the provision for credit losses, operating costs and marketing expenses.

Our Commercial Banking business generated net income from continuing operations of \$213 million and \$67 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Table 12 summarizes the financial results of our Commercial Banking business and displays selected key metrics for the periods indicated.

Table 12: Commercial Banking Business Results

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
Selected income statement data:			
Net interest income	\$ 566	\$ 537	5%
Non-interest income	158	118	34
Total net revenue ⁽¹⁾	724	655	11
Provision (benefit) for credit losses ⁽²⁾	(2)	228	**
Non-interest expense	391	322	21
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	335	105	219
Income tax provision	122	38	221
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	<u>\$ 213</u>	<u>\$ 67</u>	218
Selected performance metrics:			
Average loans held for investment: ⁽³⁾			
Commercial and multifamily real estate	\$ 26,587	\$ 25,015	6
Commercial and industrial	39,877	37,762	6
Total commercial lending	66,464	62,777	6
Small-ticket commercial real estate	474	598	(21)
Total commercial banking	<u>\$ 66,938</u>	<u>\$ 63,375</u>	6
Average yield on loans held for investment ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	3.65%	3.38%	27bps
Average deposits	\$ 34,219	\$ 34,076	—
Average deposits interest rate	0.31%	0.27%	4bps
Net charge-offs	\$ 23	\$ 46	(50)%
Net charge-off rate	0.14%	0.29%	(15)bps

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	Change
Selected period-end data:			
Loans held for investment: ⁽³⁾			
Commercial and multifamily real estate	\$ 27,218	\$ 26,609	2%
Commercial and industrial	39,638	39,824	—
Total commercial lending	66,856	66,433	1
Small-ticket commercial real estate	464	483	(4)
Total commercial banking	<u>\$ 67,320</u>	<u>\$ 66,916</u>	1
Nonperforming loan rate	1.25%	1.53%	(28)bps
Nonperforming asset rate ⁽⁵⁾	1.27	1.54	(27)
Allowance for loan and lease losses ⁽²⁾	\$ 761	\$ 793	(4)%
Allowance coverage ratio ⁽⁶⁾	1.13%	1.19%	(6)bps
Deposits	\$ 33,735	\$ 33,866	—
Loans serviced for others ⁽⁷⁾	23,557	22,321	6%

⁽¹⁾ Some of our tax-related commercial investments generate tax-exempt income or tax credits. Accordingly, we make certain reclassifications within our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues and yields on a taxable-equivalent basis, calculated assuming an effective tax rate approximately equal to our federal statutory tax rate of 35% with offsetting reclassifications to the Other category.

⁽²⁾ The provision for losses on unfunded lending commitments is included in the provision for credit losses in our consolidated statements of income and the related reserve for unfunded lending commitments is included in other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. Our reserve for unfunded lending commitments totaled \$133 million and \$129 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽³⁾ Average commercial banking loans held for investment includes PCI loans of \$607 million and \$926 million in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Period-end commercial banking loans held for investment includes PCI loans with carrying values of \$594 million and \$613 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. See “MD&A—Glossary and Acronyms” for the definition of “PCI loans.”

- (4) Average yield on loans held for investment is calculated by dividing annualized interest income for the period by average loans held for investment during the period. Interest income excludes various allocations including funds transfer pricing that assigns certain balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related revenue and expenses attributable to each business segment.
- (5) Nonperforming assets consist of nonperforming loans, real estate owned (“REO”) and other foreclosed assets. The total nonperforming asset rate is calculated based on total nonperforming assets divided by the combined period-end total loans held for investment, REO and other foreclosed assets.
- (6) Allowance coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the period-end allowance for loan and lease losses by period-end loans held for investment.
- (7) Loans serviced for others represents our portfolio of loans serviced for third parties related to our multifamily finance business.
- ** Change is not meaningful.

Key factors affecting the results of our Commercial Banking business for the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016, and changes in financial condition and credit performance between March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 include the following:

- *Net Interest Income:* Net interest income increased by \$29 million to \$566 million in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by loan growth and higher yields as a result of higher interest rates.
- *Non-Interest Income:* Non-interest income increased by \$40 million to \$158 million in the first quarter of 2017 primarily driven by higher revenue in our capital markets and agency businesses.
- *Provision for Credit Losses:* The provision for credit losses decreased by \$230 million primarily due to an allowance release in the first quarter of 2017 compared to a build in the first quarter of 2016, as well as lower charge-offs. The decreases reflect lower exposure in our oil and gas and taxi medallion lending portfolios.
- *Non-Interest Expense:* Non-interest expense increased by \$69 million to \$391 million in the first quarter of 2017 driven by higher operating expenses associated with loan growth and continued investments in technology.
- *Loans Held for Investment:* Period-end loans held for investment increased by \$404 million to \$67.3 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016, and average loans held for investment increased by \$3.6 billion to \$66.9 billion in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016, both driven by growth in our commercial loan portfolios.
- *Deposits:* Period-end deposits were stable at \$33.7 billion as of March 31, 2017.
- *Net Charge-Off and Nonperforming Metrics:* The net charge-off rate decreased by 15 basis points to 0.14% in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016 driven by lower charge-offs in our oil and gas and taxi medallion lending portfolios. The nonperforming loan rate decreased by 28 basis points to 1.25% as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016, reflecting improved portfolio performance in our oil and gas portfolio.

Other Category

Other includes unallocated amounts related to our centralized Corporate Treasury group activities, such as management of our corporate investment portfolio, asset/liability management and certain capital management activities. Other also includes:

- foreign exchange-rate fluctuations on foreign currency-denominated balances;
- unallocated corporate expenses that do not directly support the operations of the business segments or for which the business segments are not considered financially accountable in evaluating their performance, such as certain acquisition and restructuring charges;
- a portion of the net benefit (provision) for representation and warranty losses related to continuing operations; and
- offsets related to certain line-item reclassifications.

Table 13 summarizes the financial results of our Other category for the periods indicated.

Table 13: Other Category Results

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
Selected income statement data:			
Net interest income	\$ 45	\$ 66	(32)%
Non-interest income	(30)	8	**
Total net revenue ⁽¹⁾	15	74	(80)
Provision (benefit) for credit losses	(2)	(2)	—
Non-interest expense	72	48	50
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	(55)	28	**
Income tax provision (benefit)	(118)	(65)	82
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 63	\$ 93	(32)

⁽¹⁾ Some of our tax-related commercial investments generate tax-exempt income or tax credits. Accordingly, we make certain reclassifications within our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues and yields on a taxable-equivalent basis, calculated assuming an effective tax rate approximately equal to our federal statutory tax rate of 35% with offsetting reclassifications to the Other category.

** Change is not meaningful.

Net income from continuing operations recorded in the Other category was \$63 million in the first quarter of 2017 compared to \$93 million in the first quarter of 2016. The decrease in the first quarter of 2017 was primarily driven by:

- lower non-interest income primarily due to rate-driven hedge ineffectiveness losses in the first quarter of 2017 compared to gains in the first quarter of 2016;
- higher non-interest expense from restructuring charges for severance and related benefits pursuant to our ongoing benefit programs as a result of the realignment of our workforce, as well as higher bank optimization charges; and
- lower net interest income due to higher funding needs to support balance sheet growth.

These drivers were partially offset by:

- an increased income tax benefit as a result of lower income before taxes and increased discrete tax benefits related to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation: *Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make a number of judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the amount of assets, liabilities, income and expenses on the consolidated financial statements. Understanding our accounting policies and the extent to which we use management judgment and estimates in applying these policies is integral to understanding our financial statements. We provide a summary of our significant accounting policies under “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

We have identified the following accounting policies as critical because they require significant judgments and assumptions about highly complex and inherently uncertain matters and the use of reasonably different estimates and assumptions could have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies govern:

- Loan loss reserves
- Asset impairment
- Fair value of financial instruments
- Representation and warranty reserves

- Customer rewards reserve

We evaluate our critical accounting estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis and update them, as necessary, based on changing conditions. Management has discussed our critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. There have been no changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates since the 2016 Form 10-K.

We provide additional information on our critical accounting policies and estimates under “MD&A—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

ACCOUNTING CHANGES AND DEVELOPMENTS

See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” for information on accounting standards adopted in 2017, as well as recently issued accounting standards not yet required to be adopted and the expected impact of these changes in accounting standards.

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The level and composition of our capital are determined by multiple factors, including our consolidated regulatory capital requirements and internal risk-based capital assessments such as internal stress testing and economic capital. The level and composition of our capital may also be influenced by rating agency guidelines, subsidiary capital requirements, the business environment, conditions in the financial markets and assessments of potential future losses due to adverse changes in our business and market environments.

Capital Standards and Prompt Corrective Action

We are subject to capital adequacy standards adopted by the Federal Reserve, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”) and FDIC (collectively, the “Federal Banking Agencies”), including the capital rules that implemented the Basel III capital framework (“Basel III Capital Rule”) developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (“Basel Committee”). Moreover, the Banks, as insured depository institutions, are subject to prompt corrective action (“PCA”) capital regulations.

In July 2013, the Federal Banking Agencies adopted the Basel III Capital Rule, which, in addition to implementing the Basel III capital framework, also implemented certain Dodd-Frank Act and other capital provisions, and updated the PCA capital framework to reflect the new regulatory capital minimums. The Basel III Capital Rule amended both the Basel I and Basel II Advanced Approaches frameworks, established a new common equity Tier 1 capital requirement and set higher minimum capital ratio requirements. We refer to the amended Basel I framework as the “Basel III Standardized Approach,” and the amended Advanced Approaches framework as the “Basel III Advanced Approaches.”

At the end of 2012, we met one of the two independent eligibility criteria set by banking regulators for becoming subject to the Advanced Approaches capital rules. As a result, we have undertaken a multi-year process of implementing the Advanced Approaches regime for calculating risk-weighted assets and regulatory capital levels. We entered parallel run under Advanced Approaches on January 1, 2015, during which we are required to calculate capital ratios under both the Basel III Standardized Approach and the Basel III Advanced Approaches, though we continue to use the Standardized Approach for purposes of meeting regulatory capital requirements.

The Basel Committee has proposed, but has not finalized, changes to the Basel III capital framework. There is uncertainty around any final changes that the Basel Committee might adopt, which of those changes thereafter may be adopted in the United States, and how those changes may impact the Basel III Standardized Approach and the Basel III Advanced Approaches.

The Market Risk Rule supplements both the Basel III Standardized Approach and the Basel III Advanced Approaches by requiring institutions subject to the Market Risk Rule to adjust their risk-based capital ratios to reflect the market risk in their trading portfolios. The Market Risk Rule applies to institutions with aggregate trading assets and liabilities equal to the lesser of (i) 10% or more of total assets or (ii) \$1 billion or more. See “MD&A—Market Risk Profile” below for additional information. We began reporting risk-based capital ratios including market risk-weighted assets for the Company and CONA pursuant to the Market Risk Rule for positions covered by such rule in the third quarter of 2016. This change did not have a material impact on the risk-based capital ratios of these two entities. As of March 31, 2017, COBNA is not subject to the Market Risk Rule.

For additional information about the capital adequacy guidelines we are subject to, see “Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Table 14 provides a comparison of our regulatory capital ratios under the Basel III Standardized Approach subject to transition provisions, the regulatory minimum capital adequacy ratios and the PCA well-capitalized level for each ratio (where applicable) as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 14: Capital Ratios under Basel III⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

	March 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Capital Ratio	Minimum Capital Adequacy	Well-Capitalized	Capital Ratio	Minimum Capital Adequacy	Well-Capitalized
Capital One Financial Corp:						
Common equity Tier 1 capital ⁽³⁾	10.4%	4.5%	N/A	10.1%	4.5%	N/A
Tier 1 capital ⁽⁴⁾	12.0	6.0	6.0%	11.6	6.0	6.0%
Total capital ⁽⁵⁾	14.7	8.0	10.0	14.3	8.0	10.0
Tier 1 leverage ⁽⁶⁾	9.9	4.0	N/A	9.9	4.0	N/A
Supplementary leverage ⁽⁷⁾	8.6	N/A	N/A	8.6	N/A	N/A
Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.:						
Common equity Tier 1 capital ⁽³⁾	13.1%	4.5%	6.5%	12.0%	4.5%	6.5%
Tier 1 capital ⁽⁴⁾	13.1	6.0	8.0	12.0	6.0	8.0
Total capital ⁽⁵⁾	16.0	8.0	10.0	14.8	8.0	10.0
Tier 1 leverage ⁽⁶⁾	10.9	4.0	5.0	10.8	4.0	5.0
Supplementary leverage ⁽⁷⁾	9.1	N/A	N/A	8.9	N/A	N/A
Capital One, N.A.:						
Common equity Tier 1 capital ⁽³⁾	11.8%	4.5%	6.5%	10.6%	4.5%	6.5%
Tier 1 capital ⁽⁴⁾	11.8	6.0	8.0	10.6	6.0	8.0
Total capital ⁽⁵⁾	13.1	8.0	10.0	11.8	8.0	10.0
Tier 1 leverage ⁽⁶⁾	8.5	4.0	5.0	7.7	4.0	5.0
Supplementary leverage ⁽⁷⁾	7.7	N/A	N/A	6.9	N/A	N/A

⁽¹⁾ Capital ratios are calculated based on the Basel III Standardized Approach framework, subject to applicable transition provisions, such as the inclusion of the unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale included in accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”) and adjustments related to intangible assets other than goodwill. The inclusion of AOCI and the adjustments related to intangible assets are phased-in at 60% for 2016, 80% for 2017 and 100% for 2018.

⁽²⁾ Ratios as of March 31, 2017 are preliminary. As we continue to validate our data, the calculations are subject to change until we file our March 31, 2017 Form FR Y-9C—Consolidated Financial Statements for Holding Companies and Call Reports.

⁽³⁾ Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on common equity Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Tier 1 capital ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁵⁾ Total capital ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on total capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁶⁾ Tier 1 leverage ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted average assets.

⁽⁷⁾ Supplementary leverage ratio (“SLR”) is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on Tier 1 capital divided by total leverage exposure.

In addition to the above statutory capital ratios, we also disclose a non-GAAP TCE ratio in “MD&A—Summary of Selected Financial Data.” This capital measure is not necessarily comparable to similarly-titled capital measures reported by other companies. We provide information on the calculation of this ratio in “MD&A—Table A—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures.”

The Company exceeded the minimum capital requirements and each of the Banks exceeded the minimum regulatory requirements and were well capitalized under PCA requirements as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The Basel III Capital Rule requires banks to maintain a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% above the regulatory minimum ratios composed of common equity Tier 1 capital. The capital conservation buffer is being phased in over a transition period that commenced on January 1, 2016 and will be fully phased in on January 1, 2019. The capital conservation buffer is 1.25% in 2017.

For banks subject to the Advanced Approaches, including the Company and the Banks, the capital conservation buffer may be supplemented by an incremental countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5% (once fully phased-in) composed of common equity

Tier 1 capital and set at the discretion of the Federal Banking Agencies. As of March 31, 2017, the countercyclical capital buffer is zero percent in the United States. A determination to increase the countercyclical capital buffer generally would be effective twelve months after the announcement of such an increase, unless the Federal Banking Agencies set an earlier effective date. The countercyclical capital buffer, if set to an amount greater than zero percent, would be subject to the same transition period as the capital conservation buffer, which commenced on January 1, 2016.

For 2017, the minimum capital requirement plus capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer for common equity Tier 1 capital, Tier 1 capital and total capital ratios is 5.75%, 7.25% and 9.25%, respectively, for the Company and the Banks. A common equity Tier 1 capital ratio, Tier 1 capital ratio, or total capital ratio below the applicable regulatory minimum ratio plus the applicable capital conservation buffer and the applicable countercyclical buffer (if set to an amount greater than zero percent) might restrict a bank's ability to distribute capital and make discretionary bonus payments. As of March 31, 2017, the Company and each of the Banks are all above the applicable combined thresholds.

Additionally, banks designated as global systemically important banks ("G-SIBs") are subject to an additional regulatory capital surcharge above the combined capital conservation and countercyclical capital buffers established by the Basel III Capital Rule. We are currently not designated as a G-SIB and therefore not subject to this surcharge.

The following table compares our common equity Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets as of March 31, 2017, subject to applicable transition provisions, to our estimated fully phased-in common equity Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets, as it applies for Advanced Approaches banks such as ourselves that have not yet exited parallel run. Our estimated common equity Tier 1 capital, risk-weighted assets and common equity Tier 1 capital ratio under the fully phased-in Basel III Standardized Approach are non-GAAP financial measures that we believe provide useful information in evaluating compliance with regulatory capital requirements that are not effective yet. They are calculated based on our interpretations, expectations and assumptions of relevant regulations, as well as interpretations provided by our regulators, and are subject to change based on changes to future regulations and interpretations. As we continue to engage with our regulators, there could be further changes to the calculation.

Table 15: Regulatory Capital Reconciliations between Basel III Transition to Fully Phased-in⁽¹⁾

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017
Common equity Tier 1 capital under Basel III Standardized Approach	\$ 29,161
Adjustments related to AOCI ⁽²⁾	(127)
Adjustments related to intangibles ⁽²⁾	(116)
Other adjustments ⁽²⁾	(2)
Estimated common equity Tier 1 capital under fully phased-in Basel III Standardized Approach	\$ 28,916
Risk-weighted assets under Basel III Standardized Approach⁽³⁾	\$ 279,302
Adjustments for fully phased-in Basel III Standardized Approach ⁽⁴⁾	1,910
Estimated risk-weighted assets under fully phased-in Basel III Standardized Approach	\$ 281,212
Estimated common equity Tier 1 capital ratio under fully phased-in Basel III Standardized Approach⁽⁵⁾	10.3%

⁽¹⁾ Estimated common equity Tier 1 capital, risk-weighted assets, and common equity Tier 1 capital ratio under the fully phased-in Basel III Standardized Approach are non-GAAP financial measures.

⁽²⁾ Assumes adjustments are fully phased-in.

⁽³⁾ Includes credit and market risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Adjustments include higher risk weights for items that are included in capital based on the threshold deduction approach, such as mortgage servicing assets and deferred tax assets. The adjustments also include removal of risk weights for items that are deducted from common equity Tier 1 capital.

⁽⁵⁾ Calculated by dividing estimated common equity Tier 1 capital by estimated risk-weighted assets, which are both calculated under the Basel III Standardized Approach, as it applies when fully phased-in for Advanced Approaches banks that have not yet exited parallel run.

Under the Basel III Capital Rule, when we complete our parallel run for the Advanced Approaches, our minimum risk-based capital requirement will be determined by the greater of our risk-weighted assets under the Basel III Standardized Approach and the Basel III Advanced Approaches. See "Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation" in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information. Once we exit parallel run, based on clarification of the Basel III Capital Rule from our regulators, any amount by which our expected credit losses exceed eligible credit reserves, as each term is defined under the Basel III Capital Rule, will be deducted from our Basel III Standardized Approach numerator, subject to transition provisions. Inclusive of this impact, based on current capital rules and our business mix, we estimate that our Basel III Advanced Approaches ratios will be lower than our Basel

III Standardized Approach ratios. However, there is uncertainty whether this will remain the case in light of potential changes to the United States capital rules.

Capital Planning and Regulatory Stress Testing

On April 5, 2017, we submitted our capital plan to the Federal Reserve as part of the 2017 Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (“CCAR”) cycle. The stress testing results are expected to be released by the Federal Reserve before June 30, 2017.

On June 29, 2016, the Federal Reserve informed us that they had “no objection” to our CCAR 2016 Capital Plan submission. As a result of this non-objection to our capital plan, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.5 billion of shares of our common stock from the third quarter of 2016 through the end of the second quarter of 2017, in addition to share repurchases related to employee compensation. The Board of Directors also authorized the quarterly dividend on our common stock of \$0.40 per share. For the description of the regulatory capital planning rules we are subject to, see “Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Dividend Policy and Stock Purchases

We paid common stock dividends of \$0.40 per share in the first quarter of 2017. The following table summarizes the dividends paid per share on our various preferred stock series in the first quarter of 2017.

Table 16: Preferred Stock Dividends Paid Per Share

Series	Description	Issuance Date	Per Annum Dividend Rate	Dividend Frequency	2017 Q1
Series B	6.00% Non-Cumulative	August 20, 2012	6.00%	Quarterly	\$ 15.00
Series C	6.25% Non-Cumulative	June 12, 2014	6.25	Quarterly	15.63
Series D	6.70% Non-Cumulative	October 31, 2014	6.70	Quarterly	16.75
Series E	Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative	May 14, 2015	5.55% through 5/31/2020; 3-mo. LIBOR+ 380 bps thereafter	Semi-Annually through 5/31/2020; Quarterly thereafter	—
Series F	6.20% Non-Cumulative	August 24, 2015	6.20	Quarterly	15.50
Series G	5.20% Non-Cumulative	July 29, 2016	5.20	Quarterly	13.00
Series H	6.00% Non-Cumulative	November 29, 2016	6.00	Quarterly	15.33

The declaration and payment of dividends to our stockholders, as well as the amount thereof, are subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and depend upon our results of operations, financial condition, capital levels, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. As a bank holding company (“BHC”), our ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends or other payments from our subsidiaries. Regulatory restrictions exist that limit the ability of the Banks to transfer funds to our BHC. As of March 31, 2017, funds available for dividend payments from COBNA and CONA were \$3.7 billion and \$926 million, respectively. There can be no assurance that we will declare and pay any dividends to stockholders.

Consistent with our 2016 Stock Repurchase Program, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.5 billion of shares of common stock beginning in the third quarter of 2016 through the end of the second quarter of 2017. Through the end of the first quarter of 2017, we repurchased approximately \$2.2 billion of shares of common stock as part of the 2016 Stock Repurchase Program.

The timing and exact amount of any future common stock repurchases will depend on various factors, including market conditions, opportunities for growth, our capital position and the amount of retained earnings. Our stock repurchase program does not include specific price targets, may be executed through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions, including utilizing Rule 10b5-1 programs, and may be suspended at any time. For additional information on dividends and stock repurchases, see

“Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation—Dividends, Stock Repurchases and Transfer of Funds” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Framework

We use a risk framework to provide an overall enterprise-wide approach for effectively managing risk. We execute against our risk framework with the “Three Lines of Defense” risk management model to demonstrate and structure the roles, responsibilities and accountabilities in the organization for taking and managing risk.

The “First Line of Defense” is comprised of the business areas that through their day-to-day business activities take risk on our behalf. As the business owner, the first line is responsible for identifying, assessing, managing and controlling that risk. This principle places ultimate accountability for the management of risks and ownership of risk decisions with the CEO and business heads. The “Second Line of Defense” provides oversight of first line risk taking and management, and is primarily comprised of our Risk Management organization. The second line assists in determining risk appetite and the strategies, policies and structures for managing risks. The second line is both an “expert advisor” to the first line and an “effective challenger” of first line risk activities. The “Third Line of Defense” is comprised of our Internal Audit and Credit Review functions. The third line provides independent and objective assurance to senior management and to the Board of Directors that first and second line risk management and internal control systems and its governance processes are well-designed and working as intended.

The risk framework is also used to guide design of risk programs and performance of risk activity within each risk category and across the entire enterprise.

There are eight elements that comprise the risk framework:

- Establish Governance Processes, Accountabilities and Risk Appetites
- Identify and Assess Risks and Ownership
- Develop and Operate Controls, Monitoring and Mitigation Plans
- Test and Detect Control Gaps and Perform Corrective Action
- Escalate Key Risks and Gaps to Executive Management and, when Appropriate, the Board of Directors
- Calculate and Allocate Capital in Alignment with Risk Management and Measurement Processes (including Stress Testing)
- Support with the Right Culture, Talent and Skills
- Enabled by the Right Data, Infrastructure and Programs

We provide additional discussion of our risk management principles, roles and responsibilities, framework and risk appetite under “MD&A—Risk Management” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

CREDIT RISK PROFILE

Our loan portfolio accounts for the substantial majority of our credit risk exposure. Our lending activities are governed under our credit policy and are subject to independent review and approval. Below we provide information about the composition of our loan portfolio, key concentrations and credit performance metrics.

We also engage in certain non-lending activities that may give rise to credit and counterparty settlement risk, including the purchase of securities for our investment securities portfolio, entering into derivative transactions to manage our market risk exposure and to accommodate customers, short-term advances on syndication activity (including bridge financing transactions we have underwritten), certain operational cash balances in other financial institutions, foreign exchange transactions and customer

overdrafts. We provide additional information on credit risk related to our investment securities portfolio under “MD&A—Consolidated Balance Sheets Analysis—Investment Securities” and credit risk related to derivative transactions in “Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.”

Loans Held for Investment Portfolio Composition

We provide a variety of lending products. Our primary products include credit cards, auto loans, home loans and commercial lending products. For information on our lending policies and procedures, including our underwriting criteria for our primary loan products, see “MD&A—Credit Risk Profile” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Our loan portfolio consists of loans held for investment, including loans held in our consolidated trusts, and loans held for sale. Table 17 presents the composition of our portfolio of loans held for investment, including PCI loans, by portfolio segment as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Table 17 and the credit metrics presented in this section exclude loans held for sale, which are carried at lower of cost or fair value and totaled \$735 million and \$1.0 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Table 17: Loans Held for Investment Portfolio Composition

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Loans	% of Total	Loans	% of Total
Credit Card:				
Domestic credit card	\$ 91,092	37.8%	\$ 97,120	39.6%
International card businesses	8,121	3.4	8,432	3.4
Total credit card	99,213	41.2	105,552	43.0
Consumer Banking:				
Auto	49,771	20.7	47,916	19.5
Home loan	20,738	8.6	21,584	8.8
Retail banking	3,473	1.5	3,554	1.4
Total consumer banking	73,982	30.8	73,054	29.7
Commercial Banking:				
Commercial and multifamily real estate	27,218	11.3	26,609	10.9
Commercial and industrial	39,638	16.5	39,824	16.2
Total commercial lending	66,856	27.8	66,433	27.1
Small-ticket commercial real estate	464	0.2	483	0.2
Total commercial banking	67,320	28.0	66,916	27.3
Other loans	73	—	64	—
Total loans held for investment	\$ 240,588	100.0%	\$ 245,586	100.0%

Commercial Loans

For purposes of portfolio risk management, we aggregate our commercial loan portfolio according to market segmentation primarily based on standard industry codes. Table 18 summarizes our commercial loans held for investment portfolio by industry classification as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 18: Commercial Loans by Industry⁽¹⁾

<i>(Percentage of portfolio)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Real estate	40%	40%
Healthcare	14	14
Finance and insurance	13	13
Business services	5	5
Educational services	4	4
Public administration	4	4
Oil and gas ⁽²⁾	4	4
Retail trade	4	4
Construction and land	3	3
Transportation ⁽³⁾	2	2
Other	7	7
Total	100%	100%

⁽¹⁾ Industry categories are based on our interpretation of the North American Industry Classification System codes as they pertain to each individual loan.

⁽²⁾ In addition to loans outstanding, we also have unfunded lending commitments of approximately \$3.1 billion and \$2.9 billion to oil and gas companies as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. For information on our total unfunded lending commitments see “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others.”

⁽³⁾ Includes our taxi medallion lending portfolio among other portfolios.

Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans

Our portfolio of loans includes certain of our consumer and commercial loans acquired in business acquisitions that were recorded at fair value at acquisition and subsequently accounted for using the guidance for accounting for PCI loans and debt securities, which is based upon expected cash flows. These PCI loans totaled \$14.1 billion as of March 31, 2017 compared to \$15.1 billion as of December 31, 2016. See “MD&A—Glossary and Acronyms” for the definition of “PCI loans.”

The difference between the fair value at acquisition and expected cash flows represents the accretable yield, which is recognized in interest income over the life of the loans. The difference between the contractual payments on the loans and expected cash flows represents the nonaccretable difference or the amount of principal and interest not considered collectible, which incorporates future expected credit losses over the life of the loans. We regularly update our estimate of expected principal and interest to be collected from these loans and evaluate the results for each accounting pool that was established at acquisition based on loans with common risk characteristics. Probable decreases in expected cash flows would trigger the recognition of an allowance for loan and lease losses through our provision for credit losses. Probable and significant increases in expected cash flows would first reverse any previously recorded allowance for loan and lease losses established subsequent to acquisition, with any remaining increase in expected cash flows recognized prospectively in interest income over the remaining estimated life of the underlying loans. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information on PCI loans that are accounted for based on expected cash flows.

Home Loans

The majority of our home loan portfolio are PCI loans acquired from the ING Direct and CCB acquisitions, representing 65% and 67% of our total home loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. See “MD&A—Glossary and Acronyms” for the definition of ING Direct and CCB acquisitions. The expected cash flows for the PCI loans in our home loan portfolio are significantly impacted by future expectations of home prices and interest rates. Decreases in expected cash flows that result from declining conditions, particularly associated with these variables, could result in an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses and reduction in accretable yield. Charge-offs on these loans are not recorded until the expected credit losses within the nonaccretable difference are depleted. In addition, PCI loans are not classified as delinquent or nonperforming as we expect to collect our net investment in these loans and the nonaccretable difference is expected to absorb the majority of the losses associated with these loans.

Table 19 presents our total home loan portfolio and the break out of the PCI loans and remaining loans within our home loan portfolio, by lien priority.

Table 19: Home Loans—Risk Profile by Lien Priority

	March 31, 2017					
	Home Loans		PCI Loans		Total Home Loans	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
Lien type:						
1 st lien	\$ 6,291	30.3%	\$ 13,228	63.8%	\$ 19,519	94.1%
2 nd lien	963	4.7	256	1.2	1,219	5.9
Total	\$ 7,254	35.0%	\$ 13,484	65.0%	\$ 20,738	100.0%
	December 31, 2016					
	Home Loans		PCI Loans		Total Home Loans	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total

See “Note 4—Loans” in this Report for additional credit quality information. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for information on our accounting policies for PCI loans, delinquent loans, nonperforming loans, net charge-offs and troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”) for each of our loan categories.

Table 20 provides a sensitivity analysis of PCI loans in our home loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017. The analysis reflects a hypothetical decline of 10% in the home price index and its impact on lifetime future cash flow expectations, accretable yield and allowance for loan and lease losses. Any significant economic events or variables not considered could impact results that are presented below.

Table 20: Sensitivity Analysis—PCI Home Loans⁽¹⁾

	March 31, 2017	Estimated Impact Increase (Decrease)
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>		
Expected cash flows	\$ 16,209	\$ (50)
Accretable yield	2,755	63
Allowance for loan and lease losses	30	113

⁽¹⁾ Changes in the accretable yield would be recognized in interest income in our consolidated statements of income over the life of the loans. Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses would be recognized immediately in the provision for credit losses in the consolidated statements of income.

Credit Risk Measurement

We closely monitor economic conditions and loan performance trends to assess and manage our exposure to credit risk. Key metrics we track in evaluating the credit quality of our loan portfolio include delinquency and nonperforming asset rates, as well as net charge-off rates and our internal risk ratings of larger balance commercial loans. Trends in delinquency rates are a primary indicator of credit risk within our consumer loan portfolios, as changes in delinquency rates provide an early warning of changes in credit losses. The primary indicator of credit risk in our commercial loan portfolios is our internal risk ratings. Because we generally classify loans that have been delinquent for an extended period of time and other loans with significant risk of loss as nonperforming, the level of nonperforming assets represents another indicator of the potential for future credit losses. In addition to delinquency rates, the geographic distribution of our loans provides insight as to the exposure of the portfolio to regional economic conditions.

We underwrite most consumer loans using proprietary models, which are typically based on credit bureau data, including borrower credit scores, along with application information and, where applicable, collateral and deal structure data. We continuously adjust our management of credit lines and collection strategies based on customer behavior and risk profile changes. We also use borrower credit scores for subprime classification, for competitive benchmarking and, in some cases, to drive product segmentation decisions.

The following table provides details on the credit scores of our domestic credit card and auto loans held for investment portfolios as of March 31, 2017, December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2016.

Table 21: Credit Score Distribution

<i>(Percentage of portfolio)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	March 31, 2016
Domestic credit card—Refreshed FICO scores:⁽¹⁾			
Greater than 660	63%	64%	65%
660 or below	37	36	35
Total	100%	100%	100%
Auto—At origination FICO scores:⁽²⁾			
Greater than 660	51%	52%	51%
621 - 660	18	17	17
620 or below	31	31	32
Total	100%	100%	100%

⁽¹⁾ Domestic card credit scores generally represent FICO scores. These scores are obtained from one of the major credit bureaus at origination and are refreshed monthly thereafter. We approximate non-FICO credit scores to comparable FICO scores for consistency purposes. Balances for which no credit score is available or the credit score is invalid are included in the 660 or below category.

⁽²⁾ Auto credit scores generally represent average FICO scores obtained from three credit bureaus at the time of application and are not refreshed thereafter. Balances for which no credit score is available or the credit score is invalid are included in the 620 or below category.

We present information in the section below on the credit performance of our loan portfolio, including the key metrics we use in tracking changes in the credit quality of our loan portfolio.

See “Note 4—Loans” in this Report for additional credit quality information. Also, see “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for information on our accounting policies for delinquent and nonperforming loans, net charge-offs and TDRs for each of our loan categories.

Delinquency Rates

We consider the entire balance of an account to be delinquent if the minimum required payment is not received by the customer’s due date, measured at the reporting date. Our 30+ day delinquency metrics include all loans held for investment that are 30 or more days past due, whereas our 30+ day performing delinquency metrics include loans that are 30 or more days past due but are currently classified as performing and accruing interest. The 30+ day delinquency and 30+ day performing delinquency metrics are the same for domestic credit card loans, as we continue to classify loans as performing until the account is charged off, typically when the account is 180 days past due. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for information on our policies for classifying loans as nonperforming for each of our loan categories. We provide additional information on our credit quality metrics above under “MD&A—Business Segment Financial Performance.”

Table 22 presents our 30+ day performing delinquency rates and 30+ day delinquency rates of our portfolio of loans held for investment, including PCI loans, by portfolio segment, as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 22: 30+ Day Delinquencies

	March 31, 2017				December 31, 2016			
	30+ Day Performing Delinquencies		30+ Day Delinquencies		30+ Day Performing Delinquencies		30+ Day Delinquencies	
	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>								
Credit Card:								
Domestic credit card	\$ 3,376	3.71%	\$ 3,376	3.71%	\$ 3,839	3.95%	\$ 3,839	3.95%
International card businesses	275	3.39	305	3.75	283	3.36	317	3.76
Total credit card	<u>3,651</u>	<u>3.68</u>	<u>3,681</u>	<u>3.71</u>	<u>4,122</u>	<u>3.91</u>	<u>4,156</u>	<u>3.94</u>
Consumer Banking:								
Auto	2,504	5.03	2,679	5.38	2,931	6.12	3,154	6.58
Home loan ⁽²⁾	31	0.15	185	0.89	43	0.20	205	0.95
Retail banking	20	0.59	40	1.14	25	0.70	49	1.39
Total consumer banking ⁽²⁾	<u>2,555</u>	<u>3.45</u>	<u>2,904</u>	<u>3.93</u>	<u>2,999</u>	<u>4.10</u>	<u>3,408</u>	<u>4.67</u>
Commercial Banking:								
Commercial and multifamily real estate	9	0.03	35	0.13	20	0.07	45	0.17
Commercial and industrial	67	0.17	398	1.00	36	0.09	408	1.02
Total commercial lending	<u>76</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>433</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>0.08</u>	<u>453</u>	<u>0.68</u>
Small-ticket commercial real estate	1	0.16	8	1.78	6	1.31	10	2.14
Total commercial banking	<u>77</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>441</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>0.09</u>	<u>463</u>	<u>0.69</u>
Other loans	4	4.91	9	12.20	2	3.66	8	12.90
Total	<u>\$ 6,287</u>	<u>2.61</u>	<u>\$ 7,035</u>	<u>2.92</u>	<u>\$ 7,185</u>	<u>2.93</u>	<u>\$ 8,035</u>	<u>3.27</u>

⁽¹⁾ The 30+ day performing and 30+ day delinquency rates are calculated by loan category by dividing 30+ day delinquent loans as of the end of the period by period-end loans held for investment for the specified loan category, including PCI loans as applicable.

⁽²⁾ Excluding the impact of PCI loans, the 30+ day performing delinquency rate for our home loan and total consumer banking portfolios was 0.42% and 4.23%, respectively, as of March 31, 2017, and 0.59% and 5.12%, respectively, as of December 31, 2016. Excluding the impact of PCI loans, the 30+ day delinquency rate for our home loan and total consumer banking portfolios was 2.55% and 4.80%, respectively, as of March 31, 2017, and 2.86% and 5.82%, respectively, as of December 31, 2016.

Table 23 presents an aging and geography of 30+ day delinquent loans as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 23: Aging and Geography of 30+ Day Delinquent Loans

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total Loans ⁽¹⁾	Amount	% of Total Loans ⁽¹⁾
Delinquency status:				
30 – 59 days	\$ 3,036	1.26%	\$ 3,466	1.41%
60 – 89 days	1,484	0.62	1,920	0.78
≥ 90 days	2,515	1.04	2,649	1.08
Total	\$ 7,035	2.92%	\$ 8,035	3.27%
Geographic region:				
Domestic	\$ 6,730	2.79%	\$ 7,718	3.14%
International	305	0.13	317	0.13
Total	\$ 7,035	2.92%	\$ 8,035	3.27%
Total loans held for investment	\$ 240,588	100.00%	\$ 245,586	100.00%

⁽¹⁾ Delinquency rates are calculated by dividing loans in each delinquency status category or geographic region as of the end of the period by the total period-end loans held for investment, including PCI loans.

Table 24 summarizes loans that were 90+ days delinquent as to interest or principal, and still accruing interest as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. These loans consist primarily of credit card accounts between 90 days and 179 days past due. As permitted by regulatory guidance issued by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (“FFIEC”), we continue to accrue interest and fees on domestic credit card loans through the date of charge-off, which is typically in the period the account becomes 180 days past due. While domestic credit card loans typically remain on accrual status until the loan is charged off, we reduce the balance of our credit card receivables by the amount of finance charges and fees billed but not expected to be collected and exclude this amount from revenue.

Table 24: 90+ Day Delinquent Loans Accruing Interest

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total Loans ⁽¹⁾	Amount	% of Total Loans ⁽¹⁾
Loan category:				
Credit card	\$ 1,820	1.83%	\$ 1,936	1.83%
Consumer banking	—	—	—	—
Commercial banking	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 1,820	0.76	\$ 1,936	0.79
Geographic region:				
Domestic	\$ 1,720	0.74	\$ 1,840	0.78
International	100	1.22	96	1.14
Total	\$ 1,820	0.76	\$ 1,936	0.79

⁽¹⁾ Delinquency rates are calculated by dividing 90+ day delinquent loans accruing interest by period-end loans held for investment, including PCI loans, for the specified loan category.

Nonperforming Loans and Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets consist of nonperforming loans, foreclosed properties and repossessed assets and the net realizable value of auto loans that have been charged off as a result of a bankruptcy. Nonperforming loans include loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for information on our policies for classifying loans as nonperforming for each of our loan categories.

Table 25 presents comparative information on nonperforming loans, by portfolio segment, and other nonperforming assets as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Nonperforming loan rates are calculated based on nonperforming loans for each category divided by period-end total loans held for investment for each respective category. We do not classify loans held for sale as nonperforming, as they are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. We provide additional information on our credit quality metrics above under “MD&A—Business Segment Financial Performance.”

Table 25: Nonperforming Loans and Other Nonperforming Assets⁽¹⁾

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total Loans HFI	Amount	% of Total Loans HFI
Nonperforming loans held for investment:				
Credit Card:				
International card businesses	\$ 38	0.47%	\$ 42	0.50%
Total credit card	38	0.04	42	0.04
Consumer Banking:				
Auto	179	0.36	223	0.47
Home loan ⁽²⁾	264	1.27	273	1.26
Retail banking	28	0.82	31	0.86
Total consumer banking ⁽²⁾	471	0.64	527	0.72
Commercial Banking:				
Commercial and multifamily real estate	35	0.13	30	0.11
Commercial and industrial	801	2.02	988	2.48
Total commercial lending	836	1.25	1,018	1.53
Small-ticket commercial real estate	8	1.65	4	0.85
Total commercial banking	844	1.25	1,022	1.53
Other loans	9	11.88	8	13.10
Total nonperforming loans held for investment ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,362	0.57	\$ 1,599	0.65
Other nonperforming assets:⁽⁴⁾				
Foreclosed property	\$ 68	0.03	\$ 75	0.03
Other assets ⁽⁵⁾	154	0.06	205	0.08
Total other nonperforming assets	222	0.09	280	0.11
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 1,584	0.66	\$ 1,879	0.76

⁽¹⁾ We recognized interest income for loans classified as nonperforming of \$3 million and \$5 million in the first quarter of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Interest income foregone related to nonperforming loans was \$20 million and \$19 million in the first quarter of 2017 and 2016, respectively. Foregone interest income represents the amount of interest income that would have been recorded during the period for nonperforming loans as of the end of the period had the loans performed according to their contractual terms.

⁽²⁾ Excluding the impact of PCI loans, the nonperforming loan rates for our home loan and total consumer banking portfolios were 3.64% and 0.78%, respectively, as of March 31, 2017, compared to 3.81% and 0.90%, respectively, as of December 31, 2016.

⁽³⁾ Excluding the impact of domestic credit card loans, nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans held for investment was 0.91% and 1.08% as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽⁴⁾ The denominator used in calculating the nonperforming asset ratios consists of total loans held for investment and total other nonperforming assets.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes the net realizable value of auto loans that have been charged off as a result of a bankruptcy and repossessed assets obtained in satisfaction of auto loans.

Net Charge-Offs

Net charge-offs consist of the unpaid principal balance of loans held for investment that we determine to be uncollectible, net of recovered amounts. We charge off loans as a reduction to the allowance for loan and lease losses when we determine the loan is uncollectible and record subsequent recoveries of previously charged off amounts as increases to the allowance for loan and lease losses. Uncollectible finance charges and fees are reversed through revenue and certain fraud losses are recorded in other non-interest expense. Generally, costs to recover charged-off loans are recorded as collection expenses and included in our consolidated statements of income as a component of other non-interest expense as incurred. Our charge-off policy for loans varies based on the loan type. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for information on our charge-off policy for each of our loan categories.

Table 26 presents our net charge-off amounts and rates, by portfolio segment, in the first quarters of 2017 and 2016.

Table 26: Net Charge-Offs (Recoveries)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
(Dollars in millions)	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾
Credit Card:				
Domestic credit card	\$ 1,196	5.14%	\$ 887	4.16%
International card businesses	75	3.69	63	3.24
Total credit card	1,271	5.02	950	4.09
Consumer Banking:				
Auto	199	1.64	168	1.60
Home loan ⁽²⁾	2	0.03	3	0.05
Retail banking	17	1.92	12	1.36
Total consumer banking ⁽²⁾	218	1.19	183	1.04
Commercial Banking:				
Commercial and multifamily real estate	—	—	(1)	(0.01)
Commercial and industrial	22	0.22	47	0.49
Total commercial lending	22	0.13	46	0.29
Small-ticket commercial real estate	1	1.05	0	0.13
Total commercial banking	23	0.14	46	0.29
Other loans	(2)	(10.23)	(1)	(3.82)
Total net charge-offs	\$ 1,510	2.50	\$ 1,178	2.08
Average loans held for investment	\$241,505		\$226,736	

⁽¹⁾ Net charge-off (recovery) rates are calculated by dividing annualized net charge-offs by average loans held for investment for the period for each loan category.

⁽²⁾ Excluding the impact of PCI loans, the net charge-off rates for our home loan and total consumer banking portfolios were 0.08% and 1.46%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017, compared to 0.17% and 1.40%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

For information regarding management’s expectations of net charge-offs, see “MD&A—Executive Summary and Business Outlook.”

Troubled Debt Restructurings

As part of our loss mitigation efforts, we may provide short-term (three to twelve months) or long-term (greater than twelve months) modifications to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty to improve long-term collectability of the loan and to avoid the need for foreclosure or repossession of collateral.

Table 27 presents our recorded investment of loans modified in TDRs as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, which excludes loan modifications that do not meet the definition of a TDR, and PCI loans, which we track and report separately.

Table 27: Troubled Debt Restructurings

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total Modifications	Amount	% of Total Modifications
Credit card	\$ 735	30.7%	\$ 715	29.0%
Consumer banking:				
Auto	499	20.8	523	21.2
Home loan	237	9.9	241	9.8
Retail banking	35	1.5	43	1.7
Total consumer banking	771	32.2	807	32.7
Commercial banking	890	37.1	944	38.3
Total	\$ 2,396	100.0%	\$ 2,466	100.0%
Status of TDRs:				
Performing	\$ 1,661	69.3%	\$ 1,631	66.1%
Nonperforming	735	30.7	835	33.9
Total	\$ 2,396	100.0%	\$ 2,466	100.0%

In the Credit Card business, the majority of our credit card loans modified in TDRs involve reducing the interest rate on the account and placing the customer on a fixed payment plan not exceeding 60 months. The effective interest rate in effect immediately prior to the loan modification is used as the effective interest rate for purposes of measuring impairment using the present value of expected cash flows. In some cases, the interest rate on a credit card account automatically increases due to non-payment, late payment or similar events. In all cases, we cancel the customer's available line of credit on the credit card. If the customer does not comply with the modified payment terms, then the credit card loan agreement may revert to its original payment terms, likely resulting in any loan outstanding reflected in the appropriate delinquency category, and charged off in accordance with our standard charge-off policy.

In the Consumer Banking business, the majority of our loans modified in TDRs receive an extension, an interest rate reduction or principal reduction, or a combination of both. In addition, TDRs also occur in connection with bankruptcy of the borrower. In certain bankruptcy discharges, the loan is written down to the collateral value and the charged off amount is reported as principal reduction. Their impairment is determined using the present value of expected cash flows or a collateral evaluation for certain auto and home loans where the collateral value is lower than the recorded investment.

In the Commercial Banking business, the majority of loans modified in TDRs receive an extension, with a portion of these loans receiving an interest rate reduction. The impairment on modified commercial loans is generally determined based on the underlying collateral value. We provide additional information on modified loans accounted for as TDRs, including the performance of those loans subsequent to modification, in "Note 4—Loans."

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan. Generally, we report loans as impaired based on the method for measuring impairment in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. Loans defined as individually impaired include larger balance commercial nonperforming loans and TDRs. Loans held for sale are not reported as impaired, as these loans are recorded at lower of cost or fair value. Impaired loans also exclude PCI loans accounted for based on expected cash flows because this accounting methodology takes into consideration future credit losses expected to be incurred.

Impaired loans, including TDRs, totaled \$3.0 billion and \$3.2 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Modified TDR loans accounted for \$2.4 billion and \$2.5 billion of impaired loans as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We provide additional information on our impaired loans, including the allowance for loan and lease losses established for these loans, in “Note 4—Loans” and “Note 5—Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments.”

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

Our allowance for loan and lease losses represents management’s best estimate of incurred loan and lease credit losses inherent to our held for investment portfolio as of each balance sheet date. The allowance for loan and lease losses is increased through the provision for credit losses and reduced by net charge-offs. We provide additional information on the methodologies and key assumptions used in determining our allowance for loan and lease losses under “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Table 28 presents changes in our allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments for the first quarters of 2017 and 2016, and details by portfolio segment for the provision for credit losses, charge-offs and recoveries.

Table 28: Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments Activity

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Credit Card			Consumer Banking				Commercial Banking	Other ⁽¹⁾	Total
	Domestic Card	International Card Businesses	Total Credit Card	Auto	Home Loan	Retail Banking	Total Consumer Banking			
Allowance for loan and lease losses:										
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ 4,229	\$ 377	\$ 4,606	\$ 957	\$ 65	\$ 80	\$ 1,102	\$ 793	\$ 2	\$ 6,503
Charge-offs	(1,484)	(117)	(1,601)	(339)	(4)	(21)	(364)	(26)	—	(1,991)
Recoveries	288	42	330	140	2	4	146	3	2	481
Net charge-offs	(1,196)	(75)	(1,271)	(199)	(2)	(17)	(218)	(23)	2	(1,510)
Provision (benefit) for loan and lease losses	1,637	80	1,717	270	(3)	12	279	(6)	(2)	1,988
Allowance build (release) for loan and lease losses	441	5	446	71	(5)	(5)	61	(29)	—	478
Other changes ⁽²⁾	—	6	6	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	3
Balance as of March 31, 2017	4,670	388	5,058	1,028	60	75	1,163	761	2	6,984
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:										
Balance as of December 31, 2016	—	—	—	—	—	7	7	129	—	136
Provision (benefit) for losses on unfunded lending commitments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	—	4
Balance as of March 31, 2017	—	—	—	—	—	7	7	133	—	140
Combined allowance and reserve as of March 31, 2017	\$ 4,670	\$ 388	\$ 5,058	\$ 1,028	\$ 60	\$ 82	\$ 1,170	\$ 894	\$ 2	\$ 7,124

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Credit Card			Consumer Banking				Commercial Banking	Other ⁽¹⁾	Total
	Domestic Card	International Card Businesses	Total Credit Card	Auto	Home Loan	Retail Banking	Total Consumer Banking			
Allowance for loan and lease losses:										
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ 3,355	\$ 299	\$ 3,654	\$ 726	\$ 70	\$ 72	\$ 868	\$ 604	\$ 4	\$ 5,130
Charge-offs	(1,123)	(99)	(1,222)	(269)	(5)	(17)	(291)	(48)	(1)	(1,562)
Recoveries	236	36	272	101	2	5	108	2	2	384
Net charge-offs	(887)	(63)	(950)	(168)	(3)	(12)	(183)	(46)	1	(1,178)
Provision (benefit) for loan and lease losses	972	99	1,071	214	(3)	18	229	171	(2)	1,469
Allowance build (release) for loan and lease losses	85	36	121	46	(6)	6	46	125	(1)	291
Other changes ⁽²⁾	—	10	10	—	—	—	—	(15)	—	(5)
Balance as of March 31, 2016	3,440	345	3,785	772	64	78	914	714	3	5,416
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:										
Balance as of December 31, 2015	—	—	—	—	—	7	7	161	—	168
Provision (benefit) for losses on unfunded lending commitments	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	57	—	58
Balance as of March 31, 2016	—	—	—	—	—	8	8	218	—	226
Combined allowance and reserve as of March 31, 2016	\$ 3,440	\$ 345	\$ 3,785	\$ 772	\$ 64	\$ 86	\$ 922	\$ 932	\$ 3	\$ 5,642

⁽¹⁾ Primarily consists of the legacy loan portfolio of our discontinued GreenPoint mortgage operations.

⁽²⁾ Represents foreign currency translation adjustments and the net impact of loan transfers and sales.

Table 29 presents the allowance coverage ratios as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 29: Allowance Coverage Ratios

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Total allowance coverage ratio ⁽¹⁾	2.90%	2.65%
Allowance coverage ratios by loan category:⁽¹⁾		
Credit card (30+ day delinquent loans)	137.40	110.83
Consumer banking (30+ day delinquent loans)	40.05	32.32
Commercial banking (nonperforming loans)	90.17	77.58

⁽¹⁾ Allowance coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the period-end allowance for loan and lease losses by period-end loans held for investment within the specified loan category.

Our allowance for loan and lease losses increased by \$481 million to \$7.0 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016, and the allowance coverage ratio increased by 25 basis points to 2.90% as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016. The increases were primarily driven by:

- an allowance build in our domestic credit card loan portfolio due to increasing loss expectations on recent originations; and
- an allowance build in our auto loan portfolio due to higher loss rates associated with growth, as well as further expected declines in used car auction prices.

These increases were partially offset by:

- an allowance release in our Commercial Banking business in the first quarter of 2017, reflecting improved portfolio performance in our oil and gas portfolio.

LIQUIDITY RISK PROFILE

We have established liquidity practices that are intended to ensure that we have sufficient asset-based liquidity to withstand the potential impact of deposit attrition or diminished liquidity in the funding markets. Our practices are intended to maintain adequate liquidity reserves to cover our funding requirements as well as any potential deposit run-off and maintain access to diversified funding sources to avoid over-dependence on volatile, less reliable funding markets. Our liquidity reserves consist of readily-marketable or pledgable assets which can be used as a source of liquidity, if needed.

Table 30 below presents the composition of our liquidity reserves as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 30: Liquidity Reserves

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,315	\$ 9,976
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	41,260	40,737
Investment securities held to maturity, at fair value	26,657	26,196
Total investment securities portfolio ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	67,917	66,933
FHLB borrowing capacity secured by loans	22,553	24,078
Outstanding FHLB advances and letters of credit secured by loans	(2,879)	(17,646)
Investment securities encumbered for Public Funds and others	(9,330)	(9,265)
Total liquidity reserves	\$ 87,576	\$ 74,076

⁽¹⁾ The weighted-average life of our securities was approximately 6.1 years and 6.0 years as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽²⁾ As part of our liquidity management strategy, we pledge securities to secure borrowings from counterparties and to secure trust and public deposits and other purposes as required or permitted by law. We pledged securities available for sale with a fair value of \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We also pledged securities held to maturity with a carrying value of \$8.3 billion and \$8.1 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Our liquidity reserves increased by \$13.5 billion to \$87.6 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016 primarily due to a reduction in our outstanding FHLB advances. See “MD&A—Risk Management” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information on our management of liquidity risk.

We are subject to the Final Liquidity Coverage Ratio Rule (“Final LCR Rule”) issued by the Federal Banking Agencies. The Final LCR Rule came into effect in January 2015 and required us to calculate the LCR on a daily basis starting July 1, 2016. The minimum LCR standard was phased-in beginning January 1, 2015 and is at 100% as of January 1, 2017. At March 31, 2017, we exceeded the fully phased-in LCR requirement. The calculation and the underlying components are based on our interpretations, expectations and assumptions of relevant regulations, as well as interpretations provided by our regulators, and are subject to change based on changes to future regulations and interpretations. See “Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information.

Borrowing Capacity

We filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC on March 31, 2015, which expires in March 2018. Under this shelf registration, we may periodically offer and sell an indeterminate aggregate amount of senior or subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, depository shares, common stock, purchase contracts, warrants and units. There is no limit under this shelf registration to the amount or number of such securities that we may offer and sell, subject to market conditions. We also filed a new shelf registration statement with the SEC on January 12, 2016, which expires in January 2019 and allows us to periodically offer and sell up to \$23 billion of securitized debt obligations from our credit card loan securitization trust.

In addition to our issuance capacity under the shelf registration statements, we also have access to FHLB advances with a maximum borrowing capacity of \$23.4 billion as of March 31, 2017, of which \$20.5 billion was still available to us to borrow as of March 31, 2017. We pledged loan collateral with an outstanding balance of \$27.7 billion to secure this borrowing capacity. The ability to draw down funding is based on membership status and the amount is dependent upon the Banks’ ability to post collateral. Our FHLB membership is secured by our investment in FHLB stock of \$31 million and \$760 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, which was determined in part based on our outstanding advances. We also have access to the Federal Reserve Discount Window through which we had a borrowing capacity of \$7.2 billion as of March 31, 2017. Although available, we do not view this borrowing capacity as a primary source of liquidity and did not utilize it during 2016 or the first quarter of 2017.

Funding

The Company’s primary source of funding comes from deposits, which provide us with a stable and relatively low cost of funds. In addition to deposits, the Company raises funding through the issuance of senior and subordinated notes, FHLB advances secured by certain portions of our loan and securities portfolios, the issuance of securitized debt obligations, the issuance of brokered deposits, federal funds purchased and other borrowings. A key objective in our use of these markets is to maintain access to a diversified mix of wholesale funding sources.

Deposits

Table 31 provides the composition of deposits as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, as well as a comparison of average balances, interest expense and average deposits interest rates for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 31: Deposits Composition and Average Deposits Interest Rates

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31,		December 31,	
	2017		2016	
Non-interest-bearing deposits	\$	26,364	\$	25,502
Interest-bearing checking accounts ⁽¹⁾		46,010		45,820
Saving deposits ⁽²⁾		145,717		145,142
Time deposits less than \$100,000		19,340		16,949
Total core deposits		237,431		233,413
Time deposits of \$100,000 or more		3,313		2,875
Foreign deposits		438		480
Total deposits	\$	241,182	\$	236,768

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2017			2016		
	Average Balance	Interest Expense	Average Deposits Interest Rate	Average Balance	Interest Expense	Average Deposits Interest Rate
Interest-bearing checking accounts ⁽¹⁾	\$ 45,706	\$ 54	0.48%	\$ 45,978	\$ 55	0.48%
Saving deposits ⁽²⁾	145,496	225	0.63	134,677	191	0.57
Time deposits less than \$100,000	18,261	63	1.39	10,554	29	1.08
Total interest-bearing core deposits	209,463	342	0.66	191,209	275	0.51
Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	3,026	11	1.42	2,212	7	1.39
Foreign deposits	484	—	0.37	704	1	0.34
Total interest-bearing deposits	\$ 212,973	\$ 353	0.66	\$ 194,125	\$ 283	0.52

⁽¹⁾ Includes Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (“NOW”) accounts.

⁽²⁾ Includes Money Market Deposit Accounts (“MMDA”).

Our deposits include brokered deposits, which we obtained through the use of third-party intermediaries. Those brokered deposits are reported as interest-bearing checking, saving deposits and time deposits in the above table and totaled \$20.6 billion and \$22.5 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

The FDIC limits the acceptance of brokered deposits by well-capitalized insured depository institutions and, with a waiver from the FDIC, by adequately-capitalized institutions. COBNA and CONA were well-capitalized, as defined under the federal banking regulatory guidelines, as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. See “Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation” for additional information.

Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

We access the capital markets to meet our funding needs through the issuance of senior and subordinated notes, securitized debt obligations, and federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase. In addition, we may utilize short-term and long-term FHLB advances secured by our investment securities, residential home loans, multifamily real estate loans, commercial real estate loans and home equity lines of credit. Substantially all of our long-term FHLB advances are structured with either a one-month or a three-month call option at our discretion.

Our short-term borrowings include those borrowings with an original contractual maturity of one year or less and do not include the current portion of long-term debt. The short-term borrowings, which consist of federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase, increased by \$54 million to \$1.0 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016.

Our long-term debt, which primarily consists of securitized debt obligations, senior and subordinated notes, and long-term FHLB advances, decreased by \$12.1 billion to \$47.4 billion as of March 31, 2017 from December 31, 2016, primarily attributable to a decrease in our FHLB advances outstanding, partially offset by an increase in our senior and subordinated notes.

Credit Ratings

Our credit ratings impact our ability to access capital markets and our borrowing costs. Rating agencies base their ratings on numerous factors, including liquidity, capital adequacy, asset quality, quality of earnings and the probability of systemic support. Significant changes in these factors could result in different ratings. Such ratings help to support our cost effective unsecured funding as part of our overall financing programs.

Table 32 provides a summary of the credit ratings for the senior unsecured long-term debt of Capital One Financial Corporation, COBNA and CONA as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 32: Senior Unsecured Long-Term Debt Credit Ratings

	March 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Capital One Financial Corporation	COBNA	CONA	Capital One Financial Corporation	COBNA	CONA
Moody's	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1
S&P	BBB	BBB+	BBB+	BBB	BBB+	BBB+
Fitch	A-	A-	A-	A-	A-	A-

As of May 1, 2017, Moody's, S&P and Fitch have us on a stable outlook.

MARKET RISK PROFILE

Market risk is inherent in the financial instruments associated with our operations and activities, including loans, deposits, securities, short-term borrowings, long-term debt and derivatives. Below we provide additional information about our primary sources of market risk, our market risk management strategies and the measures we use to evaluate our market risk exposure.

Primary Market Risk Exposures

Our primary source of market risk is interest rate risk. We also have exposure to foreign exchange risk and customer-related trading risk, both of which we believe are minimal after considering the impact of our associated risk management activities discussed below.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk, which represents exposure to instruments whose yield or price varies with the volatility of interest rates, is our most significant source of market risk exposure. Banks are inevitably exposed to interest rate risk due to differences in the timing between the maturities or re-pricing of assets and liabilities.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Foreign exchange risk represents exposure to changes in the values of current holdings and future cash flows denominated in other currencies. Our primary exposure to foreign exchange risk is related to the operations of our international businesses in the U.K. and Canada. The largest foreign exchange exposure arising from these operations is the funding they are provided in the Great British pound ("GBP") and the Canadian dollar ("CAD"), respectively. We also have foreign exchange exposure through our net equity investments in these operations and through the dollar-denominated value of future earnings and cash flows they generate.

Our intercompany funding exposes our consolidated statements of income to foreign exchange transaction risk, while our equity investments in our foreign operations result in translation risk in AOCI and our capital ratios. We manage our transaction risk by entering into forward foreign currency derivative contracts to hedge our exposure to variability in cash flows related to foreign currency-denominated intercompany borrowings. We use foreign currency derivative contracts as net investment hedges to manage

our AOCI exposure. We apply hedge accounting to both our intercompany funding hedges and our net investment hedges, with the primary net investments subject to hedging those denominated in GBP.

The intercompany borrowings to our international businesses were 742 million GBP and 786 million GBP as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and 5.8 billion CAD and 6.2 billion CAD as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We hedge all the cash flows associated with these borrowings with forward foreign currency derivative contracts.

In regard to our non-dollar-denominated equity, we measure our total exposure by regularly tracking the value of net equity invested in our foreign operations, the largest of which is in our U.K. and Canadian operations. Our measurement of net equity includes the impact of net investment hedges where applicable. We apply a 30% U.S. dollar appreciation shock against these net investment exposures, which we believe approximates a significant adverse foreign exchange movement over a one-year time horizon. Our gross equity exposures in our U.K. and Canadian operations were 1.4 billion GBP and 1.5 billion GBP as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and 918 million CAD and 863 million CAD as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

As a result of our derivative management activities, we believe our net exposure to foreign exchange risk is minimal.

Customer-Related Trading Risk

We offer various interest rate, foreign exchange rate and commodity derivatives as an accommodation to our customers within our Commercial Banking business and offset the majority of these exposures through derivative transactions with other counterparties. These exposures are measured and monitored on a daily basis. As a result of offsetting our customer exposures with other counterparties, we believe our net exposure to customer-related trading risk is minimal.

We employ value-at-risk (“VaR”) as the primary method to both measure and monitor the market risk in our customer-related trading activities. VaR is a statistical-based risk measure used to estimate the potential loss from adverse market movements in a normal market environment. We employ a historical simulation approach using the most recent 500 business days and use a 99 percent confidence level and a holding period of 1 business day. We use internal models to produce a daily VaR measure of the market risk of all customer-related trading exposures.

For further information on our customer-related trading exposures, see “Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.”

Market Risk Management

We employ several techniques to manage our interest rate and foreign exchange risk, which include, but are not limited to, altering the duration and re-pricing characteristics of our various assets and liabilities through interest rate derivatives and mitigating the foreign exchange exposure of certain non-dollar-denominated equity or transactions through derivatives. Our current market risk management policies include the use of derivatives, which are one of the primary tools we use in managing interest rate and foreign exchange risk. We execute our derivative contracts in both over-the-counter (“OTC”) and exchange-traded derivative markets and have exposure to both bilateral and clearinghouse counterparties. Although the majority of our derivatives are interest rate swaps, we also use a variety of other derivative instruments, including caps, floors, options, futures and forward contracts, to manage both our interest rate and foreign currency risk. The outstanding notional amount of our derivative contracts increased to \$155.1 billion as of March 31, 2017 from \$142.9 billion as of December 31, 2016 primarily driven by an increase in our hedging activities.

Market Risk Measurement

We have risk management policies and limits established by our market risk management policies and approved by the Board of Directors. Our objective is to manage our asset and liability risk position and exposure to market risk in accordance with these policies and prescribed limits based on prevailing market conditions and long-term expectations. Because no single measure can reflect all aspects of market risk, we use various industry standard market risk measurement techniques and analysis to measure, assess and manage the impact of changes in interest rates on our net interest income and our economic value of equity and the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on our non-dollar-denominated earnings and non-dollar equity investments in foreign operations. We provide additional information below in “Economic Value of Equity.”

We consider the impact on both net interest income and economic value of equity in measuring and managing our interest rate risk. We use a 50 basis points decrease as our declining interest rate scenario, since a scenario where interest rates would decline by 200 basis points is unlikely. In scenarios where a 50 basis points decline would result in a rate less than 0%, we assume a rate of 0%. Below we discuss the assumptions used in calculating each of these measures.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity

This sensitivity measure estimates the impact on our projected 12-month base-line interest rate-sensitive revenue resulting from movements in interest rates. Interest rate-sensitive revenue consists of net interest income and certain components of other non-interest income significantly impacted by movements in interest rates, including changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights and free-standing interest rate swaps. Adjusted net interest income consists of net interest income and changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, including related derivative hedging activity, and changes in the fair value of free-standing interest rate swaps. In addition to our existing assets and liabilities, we incorporate expected future business growth assumptions, such as loan and deposit growth and pricing, and plans for projected changes in our funding mix in our baseline forecast. In measuring the sensitivity of interest rate movements on our projected interest rate-sensitive revenue, we assume a hypothetical instantaneous parallel shift in the level of interest rates of +200 basis points, +100 basis points, +50 basis points and -50 basis points to spot rates, with the lower rate scenario limited to zero as described above. At the current level of interest rates, we are asset sensitive in the +50 and +100 basis points scenarios and liability sensitive in the +200 basis points scenario. The switch to liability sensitivity in the +200 basis points scenario compared with the +100 basis points scenario is mainly driven by the assumption that deposit repricing increases with higher interest rates.

Economic Value of Equity

Our economic value of equity sensitivity measure estimates the impact on the net present value of our assets and liabilities, including derivative hedging activity, resulting from movements in interest rates. Our economic value of equity sensitivity measures are calculated based on our existing assets and liabilities, including derivatives, and do not incorporate business growth assumptions or projected plans for funding mix changes. In measuring the sensitivity of interest rate movements on our economic value of equity, we assume a hypothetical instantaneous parallel shift in the level of interest rates of +200 basis points, +100 basis points, +50 basis points and -50 basis points to spot rates, with the lower rate scenario limited to zero as described above.

Calculating our economic value of equity and its sensitivity to interest rates requires projecting cash flows for assets, liabilities and derivative instruments and discounting those cash flows at the appropriate discount rates. Key assumptions in our economic value of equity calculation include projecting rate sensitive prepayments for mortgage securities, loans and other assets, term structure modeling of interest rates, discount spreads, and deposit volume and pricing assumptions.

Our current economic value of equity sensitivity profile demonstrates that our economic value of equity generally decreases as interest rates increase indicating that the economic value of our assets and derivative positions is more sensitive to interest rate changes than our liabilities.

Table 33 shows the estimated percentage impact on our projected base-line net interest income and economic value of equity calculated under the methodology described above as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Our base-line net interest income sensitivity and economic value of equity were largely unchanged as compared to December 31, 2016.

Table 33: Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Estimated impact on projected base-line net interest income:		
+200 basis points	(0.4)%	(0.1)%
+100 basis points	0.3	0.5
+50 basis points	0.2	0.4
-50 basis points	(0.6)	(1.0)
Estimated impact on economic value of equity:		
+200 basis points	(9.7)	(9.6)
+100 basis points	(4.0)	(3.8)
+50 basis points	(1.6)	(1.5)
-50 basis points	0.6	0.5

In addition to these industry standard measures, we will continue to factor into our internal interest rate risk management decisions the potential impact of alternative interest rate scenarios, such as stressed rate shocks as well as steepening and flattening yield curve scenarios.

Limitations of Market Risk Measures

The interest rate risk models that we use in deriving these measures incorporate contractual information, internally-developed assumptions and proprietary modeling methodologies, which project borrower and depositor behavior patterns in certain interest rate environments. Other market inputs, such as interest rates, market prices and interest rate volatility, are also critical components of our interest rate risk measures. We regularly evaluate, update and enhance these assumptions, models and analytical tools as we believe appropriate to reflect our best assessment of the market environment and the expected behavior patterns of our existing assets and liabilities.

There are inherent limitations in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to changes in market interest rates. The sensitivity analysis described above contemplates only certain movements in interest rates and is performed at a particular point in time based on the existing balance sheet and, in some cases, expected future business growth and funding mix assumptions. The strategic actions that management may take to manage our balance sheet may differ significantly from our projections, which could cause our actual earnings and economic value of equity sensitivities to differ substantially from the above sensitivity analysis.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

We provide information on our Supervision and Regulation in our 2016 Form 10-K under “Part I—Item 1. Business—Supervision and Regulation.”

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

From time to time, we have made and will make forward-looking statements, including those that discuss, among other things, strategies, goals, outlook or other non-historical matters; projections, revenues, income, returns, expenses, capital measures, accruals for claims in litigation and for other claims against us; earnings per share or other financial measures for us; future financial and operating results; our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions; and the assumptions that underlie these matters.

To the extent that any such information is forward-looking, it is intended to fit within the safe harbor for forward-looking information provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Numerous factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those described in such forward-looking statements, including, among other things:

- general economic and business conditions in the U.S., the U.K., Canada or our local markets, including conditions affecting employment levels, interest rates, collateral values, consumer income, credit worthiness and confidence, spending and savings that may affect consumer bankruptcies, defaults, charge-offs and deposit activity;
- an increase or decrease in credit losses, including increases due to a worsening of general economic conditions in the credit environment and the impact of inaccurate estimates or inadequate reserves;
- financial, legal, regulatory, tax or accounting changes or actions, including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and other regulatory reforms and regulations governing bank capital and liquidity standards, including Basel-related initiatives and potential changes to financial accounting and reporting standards;
- developments, changes or actions relating to any litigation, governmental investigation or regulatory enforcement action or matter involving us;
- the inability to sustain revenue and earnings growth;
- increases or decreases in interest rates;
- our ability to access the capital markets at attractive rates and terms to capitalize and fund our operations and future growth;
- the success of our marketing efforts in attracting and retaining customers;
- increases or decreases in our aggregate loan balances or the number of customers and the growth rate and composition thereof, including increases or decreases resulting from factors such as shifting product mix, amount of actual marketing expenses we incur and attrition of loan balances;
- the level of future repurchase or indemnification requests we may receive, the actual future performance of mortgage loans relating to such requests, the success rates of claimants against us, any developments in litigation and the actual recoveries we may make on any collateral relating to claims against us;
- the amount and rate of deposit growth;
- changes in the reputation of, or expectations regarding, the financial services industry or us with respect to practices, products or financial condition;
- changes in retail distribution strategies and channels, including in the behavior and expectations of our customers;
- any significant disruption in our operations or technology platform, including security failures or breaches on our business;
- our ability to maintain a compliance and technology infrastructure suitable for the nature of our business;
- our ability to develop digital technology that addresses the needs of our customers, including the challenges relating to rapid significant technological changes;

[Table of Contents](#)

- our ability to control costs;
- the effectiveness of our risk management strategies;
- the amount of, and rate of growth in, our expenses as our business develops or changes or as it expands into new market areas;
- our ability to execute on our strategic and operational plans;
- the extensive use of models in our business, including those to aggregate and assess various risk exposures and estimate certain financial values;
- any significant disruption of, or loss of public confidence in, the United States mail service affecting our response rates and consumer payments;
- any significant disruption of, or loss of public confidence in, the internet affecting the ability of our customers to access their accounts and conduct banking transactions;
- our ability to recruit and retain talented and experienced personnel;
- changes in the labor and employment markets;
- fraud or misconduct by our customers, employees or business partners;
- competition from providers of products and services that compete with our businesses; and
- other risk factors listed from time to time in reports that we file with the SEC.

Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made or as of the date indicated, and we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should carefully consider the factors discussed above in evaluating these forward-looking statements. For additional information on factors that could materially influence forward-looking statements included in this Report, see the risk factors set forth under “Part I—Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE

We report certain non-GAAP measures that management uses in assessing its capital adequacy and the level of return generated. These non-GAAP measures are individually identified and calculations are explained in footnotes below the table. These metrics are considered key financial performance measures for the Company. We believe they provide useful insight to investors and users of our financial information in assessing the results of the Company.

The table below provides the details of the calculation of our non-GAAP and regulatory capital measures. While some of our non-GAAP measures are widely used by investors, analysts and bank regulatory agencies to assess the capital position of financial services companies, they may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies.

Table A—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures and Calculation of Regulatory Capital Measures

<i>(Dollars in millions, except as noted)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Tangible Common Equity (Period-End)		
Stockholders' equity	\$ 48,040	\$ 47,514
Goodwill and intangible assets ⁽¹⁾	(15,360)	(15,420)
Noncumulative perpetual preferred stock	(4,360)	(4,360)
Tangible common equity	<u>\$ 28,320</u>	<u>\$ 27,734</u>
Tangible Common Equity (Quarterly Average)		
Stockholders' equity	\$ 48,193	\$ 47,972
Goodwill and intangible assets ⁽¹⁾	(15,395)	(15,455)
Noncumulative perpetual preferred stock	(4,360)	(4,051)
Tangible common equity	<u>\$ 28,438</u>	<u>\$ 28,466</u>
Tangible Assets (Period-End)		
Total assets	\$ 348,549	\$ 357,033
Goodwill and intangible assets ⁽¹⁾	(15,360)	(15,420)
Tangible assets	<u>\$ 333,189</u>	<u>\$ 341,613</u>
Tangible Assets (Quarterly Average)		
Total assets	\$ 351,641	\$ 350,225
Goodwill and intangible assets ⁽¹⁾	(15,395)	(15,455)
Tangible assets	<u>\$ 336,246</u>	<u>\$ 334,770</u>
Non-GAAP Ratio		
TCE ⁽²⁾	8.5%	8.1%
Capital Ratios⁽³⁾		
Common equity Tier 1 capital ⁽⁴⁾	10.4%	10.1%
Tier 1 capital ⁽⁵⁾	12.0	11.6
Total capital ⁽⁶⁾	14.7	14.3
Tier 1 leverage ⁽⁷⁾	9.9	9.9
Supplementary leverage ⁽⁸⁾	8.6	8.6
Regulatory Capital Metrics		
Risk-weighted assets ⁽⁹⁾	\$ 279,302	\$ 285,756
Adjusted average assets ⁽⁷⁾	336,990	335,835
Total leverage exposure for supplementary leverage ratio	390,017	387,921

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Regulatory Capital Under Basel III Standardized Approach		
Common equity excluding AOCI	\$ 44,614	\$ 44,103
Adjustments:		
AOCI ⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾	(807)	(674)
Goodwill, net of related deferred tax liabilities	(14,302)	(14,307)
Intangible assets, net of related deferred tax liabilities ⁽¹¹⁾	(465)	(384)
Other	121	65
Common equity Tier 1 capital	29,161	28,803
Tier 1 capital instruments	4,360	4,359
Additional Tier 1 capital adjustments	(2)	—
Tier 1 capital	33,519	33,162
Tier 2 capital instruments	3,926	4,047
Qualifying allowance for loan and lease losses	3,534	3,608
Tier 2 capital	7,460	7,655
Total capital ⁽¹²⁾	\$ 40,979	\$ 40,817

⁽¹⁾ Includes impact of related deferred taxes.

⁽²⁾ TCE ratio is a non-GAAP measure calculated by dividing the period-end TCE by period-end tangible assets.

⁽³⁾ Ratios as of March 31, 2017 are preliminary. As we continue to validate our data, the calculations are subject to change until we file our March 31, 2017 Form FR Y-9C—Consolidated Financial Statements for Holding Companies and Call Reports.

⁽⁴⁾ Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on common equity Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁵⁾ Tier 1 capital ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁶⁾ Total capital ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on total capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽⁷⁾ Adjusted average assets, for the purpose of calculating our Tier 1 leverage ratio, represent total average assets adjusted for amounts that deducted from Tier 1 capital, predominately goodwill and intangible assets. Tier 1 leverage ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted average assets.

⁽⁸⁾ Supplementary leverage ratio is a regulatory capital measure calculated based on Tier 1 capital divided by total leverage exposure. See “MD&A—Capital Management” for additional information.

⁽⁹⁾ Includes credit and market risk weighted assets.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Amounts presented are net of tax.

⁽¹¹⁾ Amounts based on transition provisions for regulatory capital deductions and adjustments of 60% for 2016 and 80% for 2017.

⁽¹²⁾ Total capital equals the sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital.

Glossary and Acronyms

2016 Stock Repurchase Program: On June 29, 2016, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.5 billion of shares of our common stock from the third quarter of 2016 through the end of the second quarter of 2017.

Annual Report: References to our “2016 Form 10-K” or “2016 Annual Report” are to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Banks: Refers to COBNA and CONA.

Basel Committee: The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

Basel III Advanced Approaches: The Basel III Advanced Approaches is mandatory for those institutions with consolidated total assets of \$250 billion or more or consolidated total on-balance sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion or more. The Basel III Capital Rule modified the Advanced Approaches version of Basel II to create the Basel III Advanced Approaches.

Basel III Capital Rule: The Federal Banking Agencies issued a rule in July 2013 implementing the Basel III capital framework developed by the Basel Committee as well as certain Dodd-Frank Act and other capital provisions.

Basel III Standardized Approach: The Basel III Capital Rule modified Basel I to create the Basel III Standardized Approach, which requires for Basel III Advanced Approaches banking organizations that have yet to exit parallel run to use the Basel III Standardized Approach to calculate regulatory capital, including capital ratios, subject to transition provisions.

Capital One: Capital One Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Carrying value (with respect to loans): The amount at which a loan is recorded on the consolidated balance sheets. For loans recorded at amortized cost, carrying value is the unpaid principal balance net of unamortized deferred loan origination fees and costs, and unamortized purchase premium or discount. For loans that are or have been on nonaccrual status, the carrying value is also reduced by any net charge-offs that have been recorded and the amount of interest payments applied as a reduction of principal under the cost recovery method. For credit card loans, the carrying value also includes interest that has been billed to the customer. For loans classified as held for sale, carrying value is the lower of carrying value as described in the sentences above, or fair value. For PCI loans, carrying value represents the present value of all expected cash flows including interest that has not yet been accrued, discounted at the effective interest rate, including any valuation allowance for impaired loans.

CCB: Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B., which was acquired by the Company in 2009.

CECL: In June 2016, the FASB issued revised guidance for impairments on financial instruments. The guidance, which becomes effective on January 1, 2020 with early adoption permitted no earlier than January 1, 2019, requires use of a current expected credit loss (“CECL”) model that is based on expected rather than incurred losses, with an anticipated result of more timely loss recognition.

COBNA: Capital One Bank (USA), National Association, one of our fully owned subsidiaries, which offers credit and debit card products, other lending products and deposit products.

Common equity Tier 1 capital: Calculated as the sum of common equity, related surplus and retained earnings, and accumulated other comprehensive income net of applicable phase-ins, less goodwill and intangibles net of associated deferred tax liabilities and applicable phase-ins, less other deductions, as defined by regulators.

Company: Capital One Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries.

CONA: Capital One, National Association, one of our fully owned subsidiaries, which offers a broad spectrum of banking products and financial services to consumers, small businesses and commercial clients.

Credit risk: The risk of loss from an obligor’s failure to meet the terms of any contract or otherwise fail to perform as agreed.

Derivative: A contract or agreement whose value is derived from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, prices of securities or commodities, credit worthiness for credit default swaps or financial or commodity indices.

Discontinued operations: The operating results of a component of an entity, as defined by Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 205, that are removed from continuing operations when that component has been disposed of or it is management’s intention to sell the component.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”): Regulatory reform legislation signed into law on July 21, 2010. This law broadly affects the financial services industry and contains numerous provisions aimed at strengthening the sound operation of the financial services sector.

Exchange Act: The Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

eXtensible Business Reporting Language (“XBRL”): A language for the electronic communication of business and financial data.

Federal Banking Agencies: The Federal Reserve, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Federal Reserve: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

FICO score: A measure of consumer credit risk provided by credit bureaus, typically produced from statistical modeling software created by FICO (formerly known as “Fair Isaac Corporation”) utilizing data collected by the credit bureaus.

Final LCR Rule: In September 2014, the Federal Banking Agencies issued final rules implementing the Basel III Liquidity Coverage Ratio in the United States. The Final LCR Rule applies to institutions with \$250 billion or more in total consolidated assets or \$10 billion or more in total consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposure, and their respective consolidated subsidiary depository institutions with \$10 billion or more in total consolidated assets. The LCR is calculated by dividing the amount of an institution’s high quality, unencumbered liquid assets by its estimated net cash outflow, as defined and calculated in accordance with Final LCR Rule.

Foreign currency derivative contracts: An agreement to exchange contractual amounts of one currency for another currency at one or more future dates.

Foreign exchange contracts: Contracts that provide for the future receipt or delivery of foreign currency at previously agreed-upon terms.

GreenPoint: Refers to our wholesale mortgage banking unit, GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc., which was closed in 2007.

GSE or Agency: A government-sponsored enterprise or agency is a financial services corporation created by the United States Congress. Examples of U.S. government agencies include Federal National Mortgage Association (“Fannie Mae”), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“Freddie Mac”), Government National Mortgage Association (“Ginnie Mae”) and the Federal Home Loan Banks (“FHLB”).

HFS acquisition: On December 1, 2015, we acquired the Healthcare Financial Services business of General Electric Capital Corporation, which provides financing to companies in various healthcare sectors, including hospitals, senior housing, medical offices, pharmaceuticals, medical devices and healthcare technology.

Impaired loans: A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan.

Inactive Insured Securitizations: Securitizations as to which the monoline bond insurers have not made repurchase-related requests or loan file requests to one of our subsidiaries.

ING Direct acquisition: On February 17, 2012, we completed the acquisition of substantially all of the ING Direct business in the United States (“ING Direct”) from ING Groep N.V., ING Bank N.V., ING Direct N.V. and ING Direct Bancorp.

Insured securitizations: Securitizations supported by bond insurance.

Interest rate sensitivity: The exposure to interest rate movements.

Interest rate swaps: Contracts in which a series of interest rate flows in a single currency are exchanged over a prescribed period. Interest rate swaps are the most common type of derivative contract that we use in our asset/liability management activities.

Investment grade: Represents Moody’s long-term rating of Baa3 or better; and/or a Standard & Poor’s, Fitch or DBRS long-term rating of BBB- or better; or if unrated, an equivalent rating using our internal risk ratings. Instruments that fall below these levels are considered to be non-investment grade.

Investments in qualified affordable housing projects: Capital One invests in private investment funds that make equity investments in multifamily affordable housing properties that provide affordable housing tax credits for these investments. The activities of these entities are financed with a combination of invested equity capital and debt.

Investor entities: Entities that invest in community development entities (“CDE”) that provide debt financing to businesses and non-profit entities in low-income and rural communities.

Leverage ratio: Tier 1 capital divided by average assets after certain adjustments, as defined by the regulators.

Liquidity risk: The risk that the Company will not be able to meet its future financial obligations as they come due, or invest in future asset growth because of an inability to obtain funds at a reasonable price within a reasonable time period.

Loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratio: The relationship expressed as a percentage, between the principal amount of a loan and the appraised value of the collateral (i.e., residential real estate, autos, etc.) securing the loan.

Managed presentation: A non-GAAP presentation of financial results that includes reclassifications to present revenue on a fully taxable-equivalent basis. Management uses this non-GAAP financial measure at the segment level, because it believes this provides information to enable investors to understand the underlying operational performance and trends of the particular business segment and facilitates a comparison of the business segment with the performance of competitors.

Market risk: The risk that an institution’s earnings or the economic value of equity could be adversely impacted by changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates or other market factors.

Master netting agreement: An agreement between two counterparties that have multiple contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts through a single payment in the event of default or termination of any one contract.

Mortgage-backed security (“MBS”): An asset-backed security whose cash flows are backed by the principal and interest payments of a set of mortgage loans.

Mortgage servicing rights (“MSR”): The right to service a mortgage loan when the underlying loan is sold or securitized. Servicing includes collections for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to investors.

Net interest margin: The result of dividing net interest income by average interest-earning assets.

Nonperforming loans and leases: Loans and leases that have been placed on non-accrual status.

North Fork: North Fork Bancorporation, Inc., which was acquired by the Company in 2006.

Operational risk: The risk of loss, capital impairment, adverse customer experience or reputational impact resulting from failure to comply with policies and procedures, failed internal processes or systems, or from external events.

Option-ARM loans: The option-ARM real estate loan product is an adjustable-rate mortgage loan that initially provides the borrower with the monthly option to make a fully-amortizing, interest-only or minimum fixed payment. After the initial payment option period, usually five years, the recalculated minimum payment represents a fully-amortizing principal and interest payment that would effectively repay the loan by the end of its contractual term.

Other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”): An impairment charge taken on a security whose fair value has fallen below the carrying value on the balance sheet and whose value is not expected to recover through the holding period of the security.

Purchased credit-impaired (“PCI”) loans: Refers to the loans acquired in a business combination that were recorded at fair value at acquisition and subsequently accounted for based on cash flows expected to be collected in accordance with ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality* (formerly known as “Statement of Position 03-3, *Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer*,” commonly referred to as “SOP 03-3”). Acquired loans are considered PCI loans if they have a discount attributable, at least in part, to credit deterioration and they are not specifically scoped out of this guidance. Our PCI loans include a limited portion of commercial loans acquired in the HFS acquisition and the substantial majority of consumer and commercial loans acquired in the ING Direct and Chevy Chase acquisitions.

The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the estimated fair value of purchased loans represents the accretable yield, which is recognized into interest income over the life of the loans. The difference between total contractual payments on the loans and all expected cash flows represents the nonaccretable difference or the amount of principal and interest not considered collectible, which incorporates future expected credit losses over the life of the loans. Decreases in expected cash flows from credit deterioration subsequent to acquisition will generally result in an impairment charge recognized in our provision for credit losses and an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Charge-offs are not recorded until the expected credit losses within the nonaccretable difference are depleted. PCI loans are not classified as delinquent or nonperforming as we expect to collect our net investment in these loans and the nonaccretable difference will absorb the majority of the losses associated with these loans. In addition, PCI loans are excluded from impaired loans because the applicable accounting methodology takes into consideration expected future credit losses.

Public Fund deposits: Deposits that are derived from a variety of political subdivisions such as school districts and municipalities.

Purchase volume: Dollar amount of customer purchases, net of returns.

Rating agency: An independent agency that assesses the credit quality and likelihood of default of an issue or issuer and assigns a rating to that issue or issuer.

Recorded investment: The amount of the investment in a loan which includes any direct write-down of the investment.

Repurchase agreement: An instrument used to raise short-term funds whereby securities are sold with an agreement for the seller to buy back the securities at a later date.

Restructuring charges: Charges typically from the consolidation or relocation of operations, and reductions in work force.

Return on average assets: Calculated based on income from continuing operations, net of tax, for the period divided by average total assets for the period.

Return on average common equity: Calculated based on the sum of (i) income from continuing operations, net of tax; (ii) less dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities; (iii) less preferred stock dividends, for the period, divided by average common equity. Our calculation of return on average common equity may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies.

Return on average tangible common equity: A non-GAAP financial measure calculated based on the sum of (i) income from continuing operations, net of tax; (ii) less dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities; and (iii) less preferred stock dividends, for the period, divided by average tangible common equity. Our calculation of return on average tangible common equity may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies.

Risk-weighted assets: Consist of on- and off-balance sheet assets that are assigned to one of several broad risk categories and weighted by factors representing their risk and potential for default.

Securitized debt obligations: A type of asset-backed security and structured credit product constructed from a portfolio of fixed-income assets.

Small-ticket commercial real estate: Our small-ticket commercial real estate portfolio is predominantly low- or no-documentation loans with balances generally less than \$2 million. This portfolio was originated on a national basis through a broker network and is in a run-off mode.

Subprime: For purposes of lending in our Credit Card business we generally consider FICO scores of 660 or below, or other equivalent risk scores, to be subprime. For purposes of auto lending in our Consumer Banking business we generally consider FICO scores of 620 or below to be subprime.

Tangible common equity (“TCE”): A non-GAAP financial measure. Common equity less goodwill and intangible assets adjusted for deferred tax liabilities associated with non-tax deductible intangible assets and tax deductible goodwill.

Troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”): A TDR is deemed to occur when the Company modifies the contractual terms of a loan agreement by granting a concession to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty.

U.K. PPI Reserve: U.K. payment protection insurance customer refund reserve.

U.S. GAAP: Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accounting rules and conventions defining acceptable practices in preparing financial statements in the U.S.

Unfunded commitments: Legally binding agreements to provide a defined level of financing until a specified future date.

Variable interest entity (“VIE”): An entity that (i) lacks enough equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional financial support from other parties; (ii) has equity owners that lack the right to make significant decisions affecting the entity’s operations; and/or (iii) has equity owners that do not have an obligation to absorb or the right to receive the entity’s losses or return.

Acronyms

ABS: Asset-backed security
AFS: Available for sale
AML: Anti-money laundering
AOCI: Accumulated other comprehensive income
ARM: Adjustable rate mortgage
ASC: Accounting Standards Codification
ASU: Accounting Standards Update
BHC: Bank holding company
BMA: Bank Merger Act
bps: Basis points
CAD: Canadian dollar
CCAR: Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review
CCP: Central Counterparty Clearinghouse, or Central Clearinghouse
CDE: Community development entities
CECL: Current expected credit loss
CEO: Chief Executive Officer
CIFG: CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. (“U.S. Bank Litigation”)
CMBS: Commercial mortgage-backed securities
COEP: Capital One (Europe) plc
COF: Capital One Financial Corporation
Fannie Mae: Federal National Mortgage Association
FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board
FCA: Financial Conduct Authority
FCM: Future Commission Merchant
FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FFIEC: Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
FHFA: Federal Housing Finance Agency
FHLB: Federal Home Loan Banks
FIRREA: Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act
Fitch: Fitch Ratings
FOS: Financial Ombudsman Service
Freddie Mac: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
FVC: Fair Value Committee
GBP: Great British pound
GDP: Gross domestic product
Ginnie Mae: Government National Mortgage Association
GSE or Agency: Government-sponsored enterprise
GSIB: Globally systemically important bank
HELOCs: Home equity lines of credit
HFI: Held for investment
HFS: Healthcare Financial Services
LCR: Liquidity coverage ratio

LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate
MMDA: Money market deposit accounts
Moody's: Moody's Investors Service
MSR: Mortgage servicing rights
NOW: Negotiable order of withdrawal
OCC: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
OCI: Other comprehensive income
OTC: Over-the-counter
PCA: Prompt corrective action
PCI: Purchased credit-impaired
PCCR: Purchased credit card relationship
PPI: Payment protection insurance
REO: Real estate owned
RMBS: Residential mortgage-backed securities
S&P: Standard & Poor's
SEC: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
SLR: Supplementary leverage ratio
TARP: Troubled Asset Relief Program
TCE: Tangible common equity
TDR: Troubled debt restructuring
U.K.: United Kingdom
U.S.: United States of America
VAC: Valuations Advisory Committee

Item 1. Financial Statements and Notes

	Page
Consolidated Financial Statements	63
Consolidated Statements of Income	64
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	65
Consolidated Balance Sheets	66
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	67
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	68
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	70
Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	70
Note 2—Discontinued Operations	73
Note 3—Investment Securities	74
Note 4—Loans	81
Note 5—Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments	95
Note 6—Variable Interest Entities and Securitizations	98
Note 7—Goodwill and Intangible Assets	103
Note 8—Deposits and Borrowings	105
Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities	108
Note 10—Stockholders' Equity	113
Note 11—Earnings Per Common Share	116
Note 12—Fair Value Measurement	117
Note 13—Business Segments	125
Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others	127

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

<i>(Dollars in millions, except per share-related data)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Interest income:		
Loans, including loans held for sale	\$ 5,626	\$ 5,085
Investment securities	416	415
Other	28	17
Total interest income	6,070	5,517
Interest expense:		
Deposits	353	283
Securitized debt obligations	69	48
Senior and subordinated notes	149	106
Other borrowings	25	24
Total interest expense	596	461
Net interest income	5,474	5,056
Provision for credit losses	1,992	1,527
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	3,482	3,529
Non-interest income:		
Service charges and other customer-related fees	371	423
Interchange fees, net	570	604
Net securities gains (losses)	0	(8)
Other	120	145
Total non-interest income	1,061	1,164
Non-interest expense:		
Salaries and associate benefits	1,471	1,270
Occupancy and equipment	471	458
Marketing	396	428
Professional services	247	241
Communications and data processing	288	280
Amortization of intangibles	62	101
Other	499	445
Total non-interest expense	3,434	3,223
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	1,109	1,470
Income tax provision	314	452
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	795	1,018
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	15	(5)
Net income	810	1,013
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(5)	(6)
Preferred stock dividends	(53)	(37)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 752	\$ 970
Basic earnings per common share:		
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.86
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.03	(0.01)
Net income per basic common share	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.85
Diluted earnings per common share:		
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.85
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.03	(0.01)
Net income per diluted common share	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.84
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Net income	\$ 810	\$ 1,013
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	36	187
Net changes in securities held to maturity	23	21
Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges	(66)	377
Foreign currency translation adjustments	17	1
Other	5	(11)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	15	575
Comprehensive income	\$ 825	\$ 1,588

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

<i>(Dollars in millions, except per share data)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 3,489	\$ 4,185
Interest-bearing deposits and other short-term investments	5,826	5,791
Total cash and cash equivalents	9,315	9,976
Restricted cash for securitization investors	486	2,517
Securities available for sale, at fair value	41,260	40,737
Securities held to maturity, at carrying value	26,170	25,712
Loans held for investment:		
Unsecuritized loans held for investment	211,038	213,824
Loans held in consolidated trusts	29,550	31,762
Total loans held for investment	240,588	245,586
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(6,984)	(6,503)
Net loans held for investment	233,604	239,083
Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value	735	1,043
Premises and equipment, net	3,727	3,675
Interest receivable	1,368	1,351
Goodwill	14,521	14,519
Other assets	17,363	18,420
Total assets	\$ 348,549	\$ 357,033
Liabilities:		
Interest payable	\$ 260	\$ 327
Deposits:		
Non-interest-bearing deposits	26,364	25,502
Interest-bearing deposits	214,818	211,266
Total deposits	241,182	236,768
Securitized debt obligations	18,528	18,826
Other debt:		
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	1,046	992
Senior and subordinated notes	26,405	23,431
Other borrowings	2,460	17,211
Total other debt	29,911	41,634
Other liabilities	10,628	11,964
Total liabilities	300,509	309,519
Commitments, contingencies and guarantees (see Note 14)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock (par value \$.01 per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 4,475,000 shares issued and outstanding as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016)	0	0
Common stock (par value \$.01 per share; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 658,714,887 and 653,736,607 shares issued as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and 482,765,459 and 480,218,547 shares outstanding as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively)	7	7
Additional paid-in capital, net	31,326	31,157
Retained earnings	30,326	29,766
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(934)	(949)
Treasury stock, at cost (par value \$.01 per share; 175,949,428 and 173,518,060 shares as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively)	(12,685)	(12,467)
Total stockholders' equity	48,040	47,514
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 348,549	\$ 357,033

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount					
Balance as of December 31, 2016	4,475,000	\$ 0	653,736,607	\$ 7	\$ 31,157	\$ 29,766	\$ (949)	\$(12,467)	\$ 47,514
Comprehensive income (loss)						810	15		825
Dividends—common stock			24,812	0	2	(197)			(195)
Dividends—preferred stock						(53)			(53)
Purchases of treasury stock								(218)	(218)
Issuances of common stock and restricted stock, net of forfeitures			2,362,842	0	41				41
Exercises of stock options and warrants			2,590,626	0	65				65
Compensation expense for restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and stock options					61				61
Balance as of March 31, 2017	4,475,000	\$ 0	658,714,887	\$ 7	\$ 31,326	\$ 30,326	\$ (934)	\$(12,685)	\$ 48,040

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Operating activities:		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 795	\$ 1,018
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	15	(5)
Net income	<u>810</u>	<u>1,013</u>
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for credit losses	1,992	1,527
Depreciation and amortization, net	566	591
Deferred tax benefit	(137)	(139)
Impairment losses on securities available for sale	0	8
Gain on sales of loans held for sale	(10)	(45)
Stock plan compensation expense	77	44
Loans held for sale:		
Originations and purchases	(1,931)	(1,611)
Proceeds from sales and paydowns	2,250	1,573
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Changes in interest receivable	(17)	(33)
Changes in other assets	1,091	940
Changes in interest payable	(67)	(82)
Changes in other liabilities	(1,450)	303
Net change from discontinued operations	(11)	13
Net cash from operating activities	<u>3,163</u>	<u>4,102</u>
Investing activities:		
Securities available for sale:		
Purchases	(5,246)	(4,592)
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	1,832	1,902
Proceeds from sales	2,888	1,923
Securities held to maturity:		
Purchases	(1,047)	(917)
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	586	456
Loans:		
Net changes in loans held for investment	2,910	271
Principal recoveries of loans previously charged off	481	384
Purchases of premises and equipment	(222)	(134)
Net cash from other investing activities	(104)	(21)
Net cash from investing activities	<u>2,078</u>	<u>(728)</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Financing activities:		
Deposits and borrowings:		
Changes in deposits	\$ 4,407	\$ 4,055
Issuance of securitized debt obligations	2,992	0
Maturities and paydowns of securitized debt obligations	(3,283)	(1,325)
Issuance of senior and subordinated notes and long-term FHLB advances	3,984	6,350
Maturities and paydowns of senior and subordinated notes and long-term FHLB advances	(15,727)	(14,050)
Changes in other short-term borrowings	54	(64)
Common stock:		
Net proceeds from issuances	41	30
Dividends paid	(195)	(211)
Preferred stock:		
Dividends paid	(53)	(37)
Purchases of treasury stock	(218)	(970)
Proceeds from share-based payment activities	65	3
Net cash from financing activities	(7,933)	(6,219)
Changes in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash for securitization investors	(2,692)	(2,845)
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash for securitization investors, beginning of the period	12,493	9,040
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash for securitization investors, ending of the period	<u>\$ 9,801</u>	<u>\$ 6,195</u>
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Non-cash item:		
Net transfers from loans held for investment to loans held for sale	\$ 140	\$ 510
Interest paid	702	543
Income tax paid	34	55

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

NOTE 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company

Capital One Financial Corporation, a Delaware Corporation established in 1994 and headquartered in McLean, Virginia, is a diversified financial services holding company with banking and non-banking subsidiaries. Capital One Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (the “Company”) offer a broad array of financial products and services to consumers, small businesses and commercial clients through branches, the internet and other distribution channels. As of March 31, 2017, our principal subsidiaries included:

- Capital One Bank (USA), National Association (“COBNA”), which offers credit and debit card products, other lending products and deposit products; and
- Capital One, National Association (“CONA”), which offers a broad spectrum of banking products and financial services to consumers, small businesses and commercial clients.

The Company is hereafter collectively referred to as “we,” “us” or “our.” COBNA and CONA are collectively referred to as the “Banks.”

We also offer products outside of the United States of America (“U.S.”) principally through Capital One (Europe) plc (“COEP”), an indirect subsidiary of COBNA organized and located in the United Kingdom (“U.K.”), and through a branch of COBNA in Canada. COEP has authority, among other things, to provide credit card loans. Our branch of COBNA in Canada also has the authority to provide credit card loans.

Our principal operations are currently organized for management reporting purposes into three major business segments, which are defined based on the products and services provided or the type of customer served: Credit Card, Consumer Banking and Commercial Banking. We provide details on our business segments, the integration of recent acquisitions, if any, into our business segments and the allocation methodologies and accounting policies used to derive our business segment results in “Note 13—Business Segments.”

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. (“U.S. GAAP”). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and in the related disclosures. These estimates are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. While management makes its best judgment, actual amounts or results could differ from these estimates. In the opinion of management, all normal, recurring adjustments have been included for a fair statement of this interim financial information. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Capital One Financial Corporation and all other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest. We determine whether we have a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity (“VIE”). All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Newly Adopted Accounting Standards

Restricted Cash

In November 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued revised guidance that requires restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents to be included within beginning and ending total cash amounts reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows. Disclosure of the nature of the restrictions on cash balances is required under the guidance. We have elected to early adopt the guidance retrospectively effective as of January 1, 2017. Upon adoption, changes in restricted cash, which had previously

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

been presented as financing activities, are now included within beginning and ending Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash for securitization investors balance.

The Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash for securitization investors balances presented in the consolidated statements of cash flows are comprised of the amounts captioned on the consolidated balance sheets as Total cash and cash equivalents and Restricted cash for securitization investors.

Improvements to Employee Share-Based Accounting

In March 2016, the FASB issued revised guidance for accounting for employee share-based payments. The guidance requires that all excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies that pertain to employee stock-based incentive payments be recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the consolidated statements of income, rather than within additional paid-in capital; and that excess tax benefits be classified as an operating activity rather than financing activity in the consolidated statements of cash flows. The guidance also permits an accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. We adopted the guidance effective in the first quarter of 2017 on a prospective basis related to recognition of excess tax benefits and deficiencies in the consolidated statements of income and presentation of excess tax benefits in the consolidated statements of cash flows. In addition, we made an accounting policy election to account for forfeitures of awards as they occur and applied a modified retrospective transition method. Our adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards

Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities

In March 2017, the FASB issued revised guidance to shorten the amortization period to the earliest call date for certain purchased callable debt securities held at a premium. There is no change for accounting for securities held at a discount. Under the existing guidance, the premium is generally amortized as an adjustment to interest income over the contractual life of the debt security. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. This guidance is effective for us on January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted, through a modified retrospective method.

Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment

In January 2017, the FASB issued revised guidance which is intended to reduce the cost and complexity of goodwill for impairment by eliminating the second step from the current goodwill impairment test. Under the existing guidance, step one compares an entity's reporting unit's carrying value to its fair value. If the carrying value exceeds fair value, an entity then performs step two, which assigns the fair value across its assets and liabilities, including unrecognized assets and liabilities, following a procedure required in purchase accounting. Under the new guidance, the impairment to a reporting unit's goodwill is determined based on the amount by which the reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, limited to the amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. This impairment method applies to all reporting units, including those with zero or negative carrying amounts of net assets. The guidance is effective for us on January 1, 2020, with early adoption permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed after January 1, 2017. We are currently assessing whether, or when, we might early adopt the standard.

Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

In June 2016, the FASB issued revised guidance for impairments on financial instruments. The guidance requires an impairment model (known as the current expected credit loss ("CECL") model) that is based on expected rather than incurred losses, with an anticipated result of more timely loss recognition. The CECL model is applicable to loans held for investment, securities held to maturity, lease receivables, financial guarantee contracts and certain unconditional loan commitments. The CECL model will replace our current accounting for purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") and impaired loans. The guidance also amends the available for sale ("AFS") debt securities other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") model. Credit losses (and subsequent recoveries) on AFS debt securities will be recorded through an allowance approach, rather than the current U.S. GAAP practice of permanent write-downs for credit losses and accreting positive changes through interest income over time. This guidance will be effective for us on January 1, 2020, with early adoption permitted no earlier than January 1, 2019. We are currently assessing the potential impact on our consolidated financial statements; however, due to the significant differences in the revised guidance from existing GAAP, the implementation of this guidance may result in material changes in our accounting for credit losses on financial instruments.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued revised guidance for leases. The guidance requires lessees to recognize right of use assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclose key information about leasing arrangements for all leases, with certain practical expedients. This will be effective for us on January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted. We plan to adopt the standard on the effective date. We are currently assessing the potential impact on our consolidated financial statements; however, we expect our total assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet to increase.

Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In January 2016, the FASB issued revised guidance for the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial instruments. The main provisions of the guidance include, (i) most equity investments are to be measured at fair value and recorded through net income, except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, or those that do not have a readily determinable fair value (for which a practical expedient can be elected); (ii) the use of the exit price notion is required when valuing financial instruments for disclosure purposes; (iii) an entity shall present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability under fair value option resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk; (iv) the determination of the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale securities must be made in combination with other deferred tax assets. The guidance eliminates the current classifications of equity securities as trading or available-for-sale and will require separate presentation of financial assets and liabilities by category and form of the financial assets on the face of the consolidated balance sheets or within the accompanying notes. The guidance also eliminates the requirement to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet. The guidance will be effective January 1, 2018. Early adoption is only permitted for the requirement to present the portion of the total change in fair value attributable to a change in the instrument-specific credit risk in other comprehensive income. We plan on adopting the guidance effective January 1, 2018, and do not expect the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the FASB issued revised guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of revenue from contracts with customers. The original guidance has been amended through subsequent accounting standard updates that resulted in technical corrections, improvements, and a one-year deferral of the effective date to January 1, 2018. The guidance, as amended, is applicable to all entities and, once effective, will replace significant portions of existing industry and transaction-specific revenue recognition rules with a more principles-based recognition model. Most revenue associated with financial instruments, including interest income, loan origination fees and credit card fees, is outside the scope of the guidance. Gains and losses on investment securities, derivatives and sales of financial instruments are similarly excluded from the scope. Entities can elect to adopt the guidance either on a full or modified retrospective basis. Full retrospective adoption will require a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented. Modified retrospective adoption will require a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the reporting period in which the entity first applies the new guidance. We plan to adopt this guidance on the effective date, January 1, 2018. We do not expect the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated balance sheets, results of operations or cash flows. However, we are still assessing whether our current income statement presentation of certain credit card-related activities will be impacted by this new guidance.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

NOTE 2—DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Our discontinued operations consist of the mortgage origination operations of our wholesale mortgage banking unit, GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. (“GreenPoint”) and the manufactured housing operations of GreenPoint Credit, LLC, a subsidiary of GreenPoint, both of which were acquired as part of the North Fork Bancorporation, Inc. (“North Fork”) acquisition in December 2006. Although the manufactured housing operations were sold to a third party in 2004 prior to our acquisition of North Fork, we acquired certain retained interests and obligations related to those operations as part of the acquisition. Separately, in the third quarter of 2007 we closed the mortgage origination operations of the wholesale mortgage banking unit. The results of both the wholesale banking unit and the manufactured housing operations have been accounted for as discontinued operations and are reported as income or loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, on the consolidated statements of income. We have no significant continuing involvement in these operations.

The following table summarizes the results from discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016:

Table 2.1: Results of Discontinued Operations

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	\$ 24	\$ (8)
Income tax provision (benefit)	9	(3)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	<u>\$ 15</u>	<u>\$ (5)</u>

The discontinued mortgage origination operations of our wholesale mortgage banking unit had remaining assets primarily consisting of a deferred tax asset related to the reserve for representations and warranties on loans previously sold to third parties. We also have contingent obligations to exercise certain mandatory clean-up calls associated with securitization transactions undertaken by the discontinued GreenPoint Credit, LLC manufactured housing operations in the event the third party servicer does not fulfill its obligation to exercise these clean-up calls. See “Note 6—Variable Interest Entities and Securitizations” and “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others” for information on the reserve related to our retained interests and obligations associated with GreenPoint Credit, LLC manufactured housing operations and the reserves we have established for our mortgage representation and warranty exposure.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 3—INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Our investment portfolio consists primarily of the following: U.S. Treasury securities; U.S. government-sponsored enterprise or agency (“Agency”) and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities (“RMBS”); Agency and non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities (“CMBS”); other asset-backed securities (“ABS”); and other securities. The carrying value of our investments in U.S. Treasury and Agency securities represented 91% of our total investment securities as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The table below presents the overview of our investment securities portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 3.1: Overview of Investment Securities Portfolio

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Securities available for sale, at fair value	\$ 41,260	\$ 40,737
Securities held to maturity, at carrying value	26,170	25,712
Total investment securities	<u>\$ 67,430</u>	<u>\$ 66,449</u>

The table below presents the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of securities available for sale as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 3.2: Investment Securities Available for Sale

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value
Investment securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 5,195	\$ 17	\$ (42)	\$ 5,170
RMBS:				
Agency ⁽²⁾	27,289	102	(399)	26,992
Non-agency	2,264	389	(6)	2,647
Total RMBS	<u>29,553</u>	<u>491</u>	<u>(405)</u>	<u>29,639</u>
CMBS:				
Agency ⁽²⁾	3,159	15	(42)	3,132
Non-agency	1,712	24	(6)	1,730
Total CMBS	<u>4,871</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>(48)</u>	<u>4,862</u>
Other ABS ⁽³⁾	688	1	(1)	688
Other securities ⁽⁴⁾	904	2	(5)	901
Total investment securities available for sale	<u>\$ 41,211</u>	<u>\$ 550</u>	<u>\$ (501)</u>	<u>\$ 41,260</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	December 31, 2016			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
Investment securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 5,103	\$ 11	\$ (49)	\$ 5,065
RMBS:				
Agency ⁽²⁾	26,830	109	(412)	26,527
Non-agency	2,349	382	(9)	2,722
Total RMBS	29,179	491	(421)	29,249
CMBS:				
Agency ⁽²⁾	3,335	14	(45)	3,304
Non-agency	1,676	21	(13)	1,684
Total CMBS	5,011	35	(58)	4,988
Other ABS ⁽³⁾	714	1	(1)	714
Other securities ⁽⁴⁾	726	1	(6)	721
Total investment securities available for sale	<u>\$ 40,733</u>	<u>\$ 539</u>	<u>\$ (535)</u>	<u>\$ 40,737</u>

⁽¹⁾ Includes non-credit-related OTTI that is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") of \$7 million and \$9 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Substantially all of this amount is related to non-agency RMBS.

⁽²⁾ Includes Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") guaranteed securities, Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") issued securities.

⁽³⁾ ABS collateralized by credit card loans constituted approximately 54% and 57% of the other ABS portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and ABS collateralized by auto dealer floor plan inventory loans and leases constituted approximately 24% and 23% of the other ABS portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes supranational bonds, foreign government bonds, mutual funds and equity investments.

The table below presents the amortized cost, carrying value, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of securities held to maturity as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 3.3: Investment Securities Held to Maturity

	March 31, 2017					
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses Recorded in AOCI ⁽¹⁾	Carrying Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 199	\$ 0	\$ 199	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 199
Agency RMBS	23,351	(865)	22,486	594	(148)	22,932
Agency CMBS	3,573	(88)	3,485	78	(37)	3,526
Total investment securities held to maturity	<u>\$ 27,123</u>	<u>\$ (953)</u>	<u>\$ 26,170</u>	<u>\$ 672</u>	<u>\$ (185)</u>	<u>\$ 26,657</u>
	December 31, 2016					
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses Recorded in AOCI ⁽¹⁾	Carrying Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 199	\$ 0	\$ 199	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 199
Agency RMBS	23,022	(897)	22,125	606	(158)	22,573
Agency CMBS	3,480	(92)	3,388	77	(41)	3,424
Total investment securities held to maturity	<u>\$ 26,701</u>	<u>\$ (989)</u>	<u>\$ 25,712</u>	<u>\$ 683</u>	<u>\$ (199)</u>	<u>\$ 26,196</u>

⁽¹⁾ Certain investment securities were transferred from the available for sale category to the held to maturity category in 2013. This amount represents the unrealized holding gain or loss at the date of transfer, net of any subsequent accretion. Any bonds purchased into the securities held to maturity portfolio rather than transferred, will not have unrealized losses recognized in AOCI.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Investment Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position

The table below provides, by major security type, information about our securities available for sale in a gross unrealized loss position and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 3.4: Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Investment securities available for sale:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 1,067	\$ (42)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,067	\$ (42)
RMBS:						
Agency	17,055	(323)	4,458	(76)	21,513	(399)
Non-agency	50	(1)	114	(5)	164	(6)
Total RMBS	17,105	(324)	4,572	(81)	21,677	(405)
CMBS:						
Agency	1,354	(20)	715	(22)	2,069	(42)
Non-agency	612	(6)	90	0	702	(6)
Total CMBS	1,966	(26)	805	(22)	2,771	(48)
Other ABS	199	(1)	19	0	218	(1)
Other securities	488	(4)	1	(1)	489	(5)
Total investment securities available for sale in a gross unrealized loss position	\$ 20,825	\$ (397)	\$ 5,397	\$ (104)	\$ 26,222	\$ (501)

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	December 31, 2016					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Investment securities available for sale:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 1,060	\$ (49)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,060	\$ (49)
RMBS:						
Agency	16,899	(329)	4,865	(83)	21,764	(412)
Non-agency	128	(2)	145	(7)	273	(9)
Total RMBS	17,027	(331)	5,010	(90)	22,037	(421)
CMBS:						
Agency	1,624	(21)	745	(24)	2,369	(45)
Non-agency	826	(11)	129	(2)	955	(13)
Total CMBS	2,450	(32)	874	(26)	3,324	(58)
Other ABS	187	(1)	21	0	208	(1)
Other securities	417	(6)	0	0	417	(6)
Total investment securities available for sale in a gross unrealized loss position	\$ 21,141	\$ (419)	\$ 5,905	\$ (116)	\$ 27,046	\$ (535)

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of March 31, 2017, the amortized cost of approximately 840 securities available for sale exceeded their fair value by \$501 million, of which \$104 million related to securities that had been in a loss position for 12 months or longer. As of March 31, 2017, our investments in non-agency RMBS and CMBS, other ABS and other securities accounted for \$18 million, or 4%, of total gross unrealized losses on securities available for sale. As of March 31, 2017, the carrying value of approximately 170 securities classified as held to maturity exceeded their fair value by \$185 million.

Gross unrealized losses on our investment securities have decreased since December 31, 2016. The unrealized losses related to investment securities for which we have not recognized credit impairment were primarily attributable to changes in market interest rates. As discussed in more detail below, we conduct periodic reviews of all investment securities with unrealized losses to assess whether impairment is other-than-temporary.

Maturities and Yields of Investment Securities

The following tables summarize the remaining scheduled contractual maturities, assuming no prepayments, of our investment securities as of March 31, 2017.

Table 3.5: Contractual Maturities of Securities Available for Sale

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in 1 year or less	\$ 646	\$ 647
Due after 1 year through 5 years	3,112	3,132
Due after 5 years through 10 years	6,602	6,589
Due after 10 years ⁽¹⁾	30,851	30,892
Total	\$ 41,211	\$ 41,260

⁽¹⁾ Investments with no stated maturities, which consist of equity securities, are included with contractual maturities due after 10 years.

Table 3.6: Contractual Maturities of Securities Held to Maturity

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Due after 1 year through 5 years	\$ 343	\$ 349
Due after 5 years through 10 years	1,217	1,270
Due after 10 years	24,610	25,038
Total	\$ 26,170	\$ 26,657

Because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay certain obligations, the expected maturities of our securities are likely to differ from the scheduled contractual maturities presented above.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The table below summarizes, by major security type, the expected maturities and weighted-average yields of our investment securities as of March 31, 2017.

Table 3.7: Expected Maturities and Weighted-Average Yields of Securities

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017				
	Due in 1 Year or Less	Due > 1 Year through 5 Years	Due > 5 Years through 10 Years	Due > 10 Years	Total
Fair value of securities available for sale:					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 1	\$ 948	\$ 4,221	\$ 0	\$ 5,170
RMBS:					
Agency	132	10,425	16,435	0	26,992
Non-agency	24	977	1,402	244	2,647
Total RMBS	156	11,402	17,837	244	29,639
CMBS:					
Agency	153	1,549	1,430	0	3,132
Non-agency	165	833	732	0	1,730
Total CMBS	318	2,382	2,162	0	4,862
Other ABS	414	267	7	0	688
Other securities	208	521	77	95	901
Total securities available for sale	<u>\$ 1,097</u>	<u>\$ 15,520</u>	<u>\$ 24,304</u>	<u>\$ 339</u>	<u>\$ 41,260</u>
Amortized cost of securities available for sale	<u>\$ 1,098</u>	<u>\$ 15,385</u>	<u>\$ 24,425</u>	<u>\$ 303</u>	<u>\$ 41,211</u>
Weighted-average yield for securities available for sale⁽¹⁾	0.98%	2.44%	2.39%	6.73%	2.40%
Carrying value of securities held to maturity:					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 0	\$ 199	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 199
Agency RMBS	0	1,442	16,731	4,313	22,486
Agency CMBS	0	456	2,122	907	3,485
Total securities held to maturity	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 2,097</u>	<u>\$ 18,853</u>	<u>\$ 5,220</u>	<u>\$ 26,170</u>
Fair value of securities held to maturity	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 2,133</u>	<u>\$ 19,243</u>	<u>\$ 5,281</u>	<u>\$ 26,657</u>
Weighted-average yield for securities held to maturity⁽¹⁾	0.00%	2.37%	2.66%	3.36%	2.77%

⁽¹⁾ The weighted-average yield represents the effective yield for the investment securities and is calculated based on the amortized cost of each security.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

We evaluate all securities in an unrealized loss position at least on a quarterly basis, and more often as market conditions require, to assess whether the impairment is other-than-temporary. Our OTTI assessment is based on a discounted cash flow analysis which requires careful use of judgments and assumptions. A number of qualitative and quantitative criteria may be considered in our assessment as applicable, including the size and the nature of the portfolio; historical and projected performance such as prepayment, default and loss severity for the RMBS portfolio; recent credit events specific to the issuer and/or industry to which the issuer belongs; the payment structure of the security; external credit ratings of the issuer and any failure or delay of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments; the value of underlying collateral; our intent and ability to hold the security; and current and projected market and macro-economic conditions.

If we intend to sell a security in an unrealized loss position or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, the entire difference between the amortized cost basis of the security and its fair value is recognized in earnings. As of March 31, 2017, for any securities with unrealized losses recorded in AOCI, we do not intend to sell, nor believe that we will be required to sell, these securities prior to recovery of their amortized cost.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For those securities that we do not intend to sell nor expect to be required to sell, an analysis is performed to determine if any of the impairment is due to credit-related factors or whether it is due to other factors, such as interest rates. Credit-related impairment is recognized in earnings, with the remaining unrealized non-credit-related impairment recorded in AOCI. We determine the credit component based on the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected cash flows, discounted based on the effective yield.

The table below presents a rollforward of the credit-related OTTI recognized in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016 on investment securities for which we had no intent to sell.

Table 3.8: Credit Impairment Rollforward

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Credit loss component, beginning of period	\$ 207	\$ 199
Additions:		
Subsequent credit impairment	0	6
Total additions	0	6
Reductions due to payoffs, disposals, transfers and other	(1)	(1)
Credit loss component, end of period	<u>\$ 206</u>	<u>\$ 204</u>

Realized Gains and Losses on Securities and OTTI Recognized in Earnings

The following table presents the gross realized gains and losses on the sale and redemption of securities available for sale, and the OTTI losses recognized in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016. We also present the proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale for the periods presented. We did not sell any investment securities that are classified as held to maturity.

Table 3.9: Realized Gains and Losses and OTTI Recognized in Earnings

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Realized gains (losses):		
Gross realized gains	\$ 5	\$ 3
Gross realized losses	(5)	(3)
Net realized gains (losses)	0	0
OTTI recognized in earnings:		
Credit-related OTTI	0	(6)
Intent-to-sell OTTI	0	(2)
Total OTTI recognized in earnings	0	(8)
Net securities gains (losses)	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ (8)</u>
Total proceeds from sales	<u>\$ 2,888</u>	<u>\$ 1,923</u>

Securities Pledged and Received

As part of our liquidity management strategy, we pledge securities to secure borrowings from counterparties including the Federal Home Loan Banks ("FHLB"). We also pledge securities to secure trust and public deposits and for other purposes as required or permitted by law. We pledged securities available for sale with a fair value of \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We also pledged securities held to maturity with a carrying value of \$8.3 billion and \$8.1 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Of the total securities pledged as collateral, we have encumbered a fair value of \$9.3 billion as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, primarily related to Public Fund deposits. We accepted pledges of securities with a fair value of \$1 million and \$16 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, primarily related to our derivative transactions.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Purchased Credit-Impaired Debt Securities

The table below presents the outstanding balance and carrying value of the purchased credit-impaired debt securities as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 3.10: Outstanding Balance and Carrying Value of Acquired Credit-Impaired Debt Securities

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Outstanding balance	\$ 2,790	\$ 2,899
Carrying value	2,226	2,277

Changes in Accretable Yield of Purchased Credit-Impaired Debt Securities

The following table presents changes in the accretable yield related to the purchased credit-impaired debt securities for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

Table 3.11: Changes in the Accretable Yield of Purchased Credit-Impaired Debt Securities

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31, 2017
Accretable yield as of December 31, 2016	\$ 1,173
Accretion recognized in earnings	(49)
Reduction due to payoffs, disposals, transfers and other	(4)
Net reclassifications from nonaccretable difference	(2)
Accretable yield as of March 31, 2017	<u>\$ 1,118</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 4—LOANS

Loan Portfolio Composition

Our loan portfolio consists of loans held for investment, including loans held in our consolidated trusts, and loans held for sale, and is divided into three portfolio segments: credit card, consumer banking and commercial banking. Credit card loans consist of domestic and international credit card loans. Consumer banking loans consist of auto, home and retail banking loans. Commercial banking loans consist of commercial and multifamily real estate, commercial and industrial, and small-ticket commercial real estate loans.

Our portfolio of loans held for investment also includes certain consumer and commercial loans acquired through business combinations that were recorded at fair value at acquisition and subsequently accounted for based on cash flows expected to be collected, which are referred to as PCI loans. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information on the accounting guidance for these loans.

Credit Quality

We closely monitor economic conditions and loan performance trends to manage and evaluate our exposure to credit risk. Trends in delinquency rates are an indicator, among other considerations, of credit risk within our loan portfolio. The level of nonperforming loans represents another indicator of the potential for future credit losses. Accordingly, key metrics we track and use in evaluating the credit quality of our loan portfolio include delinquency and nonperforming loan rates, as well as net charge-off rates and our internal risk ratings of larger balance commercial loans.

The table below presents the composition and an aging analysis of our loans held for investment portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The delinquency aging includes all past due loans, both performing and nonperforming.

Table 4.1: Loan Portfolio Composition and Aging Analysis

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017						
	Current	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	≥ 90 Days	Total Delinquent Loans	PCI Loans	Total Loans
Credit Card:							
Domestic credit card	\$ 87,716	\$ 945	\$ 711	\$ 1,720	\$ 3,376	\$ 0	\$ 91,092
International card businesses	7,816	114	70	121	305	0	8,121
Total credit card	95,532	1,059	781	1,841	3,681	0	99,213
Consumer Banking:							
Auto	47,092	1,846	662	171	2,679	0	49,771
Home loan	7,069	33	16	136	185	13,484	20,738
Retail banking	3,409	15	8	17	40	24	3,473
Total consumer banking	57,570	1,894	686	324	2,904	13,508	73,982
Commercial Banking:							
Commercial and multifamily real estate	27,152	9	0	26	35	31	27,218
Commercial and industrial	38,677	70	14	314	398	563	39,638
Total commercial lending	65,829	79	14	340	433	594	66,856
Small-ticket commercial real estate	456	1	1	6	8	0	464
Total commercial banking	66,285	80	15	346	441	594	67,320
Other loans	64	3	2	4	9	0	73
Total loans ⁽¹⁾	\$219,451	\$ 3,036	\$ 1,484	\$ 2,515	\$ 7,035	\$ 14,102	\$240,588
% of Total loans	91.21%	1.26%	0.62%	1.04%	2.92%	5.87%	100.00%

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2016

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Current	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	≥ 90 Days	Total Delinquent Loans	PCI Loans	Total Loans
Credit Card:							
Domestic credit card	\$ 93,279	\$ 1,153	\$ 846	\$ 1,840	\$ 3,839	\$ 2	\$ 97,120
International card businesses	8,115	124	72	121	317	0	8,432
Total credit card	101,394	1,277	918	1,961	4,156	2	105,552
Consumer Banking:							
Auto	44,762	2,041	890	223	3,154	0	47,916
Home loan	6,951	44	20	141	205	14,428	21,584
Retail banking	3,477	22	7	20	49	28	3,554
Total consumer banking	55,190	2,107	917	384	3,408	14,456	73,054
Commercial Banking:							
Commercial and multifamily real estate	26,536	45	0	0	45	28	26,609
Commercial and industrial	38,831	27	84	297	408	585	39,824
Total commercial lending	65,367	72	84	297	453	613	66,433
Small-ticket commercial real estate	473	7	1	2	10	0	483
Total commercial banking	65,840	79	85	299	463	613	66,916
Other loans	56	3	0	5	8	0	64
Total loans ⁽¹⁾	\$222,480	\$ 3,466	\$ 1,920	\$ 2,649	\$ 8,035	\$ 15,071	\$245,586
% of Total loans	90.59%	1.41%	0.78%	1.08%	3.27%	6.14%	100.00%

⁽¹⁾ Loans (other than PCI loans) include unearned income, unamortized premiums and discounts, and unamortized deferred fees and costs totaling \$598 million and \$558 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

We pledge loan collateral at the FHLB to secure borrowing capacity. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we pledged loan collateral of \$27.7 billion and \$29.3 billion to secure borrowing capacity of \$23.4 billion and \$24.9 billion, respectively.

The following table presents the outstanding balance of loans 90 days or more past due that continue to accrue interest and loans classified as nonperforming as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 4.2: 90+ Day Delinquent Loans Accruing Interest and Nonperforming Loans⁽¹⁾

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	≥ 90 Days and Accruing	Nonperforming Loans	≥ 90 Days and Accruing	Nonperforming Loans
Credit Card:				
Domestic credit card	\$ 1,720	N/A	\$ 1,840	N/A
International card businesses	100	\$ 38	96	\$ 42
Total credit card	1,820	38	1,936	42
Consumer Banking:				
Auto	0	179	0	223
Home loan	0	264	0	273
Retail banking	0	28	0	31
Total consumer banking	0	471	0	527

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	≥ 90 Days and Accruing	Nonperforming Loans	≥ 90 Days and Accruing	Nonperforming Loans
Commercial Banking:				
Commercial and multifamily real estate	\$ 0	\$ 35	\$ 0	\$ 30
Commercial and industrial	0	801	0	988
Total commercial lending	0	836	0	1,018
Small-ticket commercial real estate	0	8	0	4
Total commercial banking	0	844	0	1,022
Other loans	0	9	0	8
Total	\$ 1,820	\$ 1,362	\$ 1,936	\$ 1,599
% of Total loans	0.76%	0.57%	0.79%	0.65%

⁽¹⁾ Nonperforming loans generally include loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status. PCI loans are excluded from loans reported as 90 days or more past due and accruing interest as well as nonperforming loans. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for additional information on our policies for nonperforming loans.

Credit Card

Our credit card loan portfolio is highly diversified across millions of accounts and numerous geographies without significant individual exposure. We therefore generally manage credit risk on a portfolio basis. The risk in our credit card loan portfolio correlates to broad economic trends, such as unemployment rates and home values, as well as consumers’ financial condition, all of which can have a material effect on credit performance. The primary indicators we assess in monitoring the credit quality and risk of our credit card portfolio are delinquency and charge-off trends, including an analysis of loan migration between delinquency categories over time.

The table below displays the geographic profile of our credit card loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 4.3: Credit Card Risk Profile by Geographic Region

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽¹⁾
Domestic credit card:				
California	\$ 10,480	10.6%	\$ 11,068	10.5%
Texas	6,875	6.9	7,227	6.8
New York	6,623	6.7	7,090	6.7
Florida	6,191	6.2	6,540	6.2
Illinois	4,178	4.2	4,492	4.3
Pennsylvania	3,747	3.8	4,048	3.8
Ohio	3,368	3.4	3,654	3.5
New Jersey	3,246	3.3	3,488	3.3
Michigan	2,924	2.9	3,164	3.0
Other	43,460	43.8	46,349	43.9
Total domestic credit card	91,092	91.8	97,120	92.0
International card businesses:				
Canada	5,283	5.3	5,594	5.3
United Kingdom	2,838	2.9	2,838	2.7
Total international card businesses	8,121	8.2	8,432	8.0
Total credit card	\$ 99,213	100.0%	\$ 105,552	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Percentages by geographic region are calculated based on period-end amounts.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below presents net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 4.4: Credit Card Net Charge-Offs

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾
Net charge-offs:⁽¹⁾				
Domestic credit card	\$ 1,196	5.14%	\$ 887	4.16%
International card businesses	75	3.69	63	3.24
Total credit card	<u>\$ 1,271</u>	<u>5.02</u>	<u>\$ 950</u>	<u>4.09</u>

⁽¹⁾ Net charge-offs consist of the unpaid principal balance that we determine to be uncollectible, net of recovered amounts. The net charge-off rate is calculated by dividing annualized net charge-offs by average balance of loans held for investment for the period for each loan category. Net charge-offs and the net charge-off rate are impacted periodically by fluctuations in recoveries, including loan sales.

Consumer Banking

Our consumer banking loan portfolio consists of auto, home and retail banking loans. Similar to our credit card loan portfolio, the risk in our consumer banking loan portfolio correlates to broad economic trends, such as unemployment rates, gross domestic product (“GDP”) and home values, as well as consumers’ financial condition, all of which can have a material effect on credit performance. Delinquency, nonperforming loans and charge-off trends are key indicators we assess in monitoring the credit quality and risk of our consumer banking loan portfolio.

The table below displays the geographic profile of our consumer banking loan portfolio, including PCI loans as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 4.5: Consumer Banking Risk Profile by Geographic Region

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽¹⁾
	Auto:			
Texas	\$ 6,549	8.9%	\$ 6,304	8.6%
California	5,659	7.6	5,448	7.5
Florida	4,175	5.6	3,985	5.5
Georgia	2,581	3.5	2,506	3.4
Louisiana	2,219	3.0	2,159	3.0
Illinois	2,116	2.9	2,065	2.8
Ohio	2,097	2.8	2,017	2.8
Other	24,375	33.0	23,432	32.0
Total auto	<u>49,771</u>	<u>67.3</u>	<u>47,916</u>	<u>65.6</u>
Home loan:				
California	4,622	6.2	4,993	6.8
New York	2,075	2.8	2,036	2.8
Maryland	1,363	1.8	1,409	1.9
Illinois	1,199	1.6	1,218	1.7
Virginia	1,191	1.6	1,204	1.7
New Jersey	1,114	1.5	1,112	1.5
Louisiana	942	1.3	985	1.3
Other	8,232	11.2	8,627	11.8
Total home loan	<u>20,738</u>	<u>28.0</u>	<u>21,584</u>	<u>29.5</u>

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽¹⁾
Retail banking:				
Louisiana	\$ 979	1.3%	\$ 1,010	1.4%
New York	928	1.2	941	1.3
Texas	741	1.0	756	1.0
New Jersey	226	0.3	238	0.3
Maryland	186	0.3	190	0.3
Virginia	152	0.2	156	0.2
Other	261	0.4	263	0.4
Total retail banking	3,473	4.7	3,554	4.9
Total consumer banking	\$ 73,982	100.0%	\$ 73,054	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Percentages by geographic region are calculated based on period-end amounts.

The table below presents nonperforming loans in our consumer banking loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, as well as net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 4.6: Consumer Banking Net Charge-Offs and Nonperforming Loans

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽¹⁾
Net charge-offs:				
Auto	\$ 199	1.64%	\$ 168	1.60%
Home loan ⁽²⁾	2	0.03	3	0.05
Retail banking	17	1.92	12	1.36
Total consumer banking ⁽²⁾	\$ 218	1.19	\$ 183	1.04

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	Rate ⁽³⁾	Amount	Rate ⁽³⁾
Nonperforming loans:				
Auto	\$ 179	0.36%	\$ 223	0.47%
Home loan ⁽⁴⁾	264	1.27	273	1.26
Retail banking	28	0.82	31	0.86
Total consumer banking ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 471	0.64	\$ 527	0.72

⁽¹⁾ The net charge-off rate is calculated by dividing annualized net charge-offs by average balance of loans held for investment for the period for each loan category.

⁽²⁾ Excluding the impact of PCI loans, the net charge-off rates for our home loan and total consumer banking portfolios were 0.08% and 1.46%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017, compared to 0.17% and 1.40%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

⁽³⁾ Nonperforming loan rates are calculated based on nonperforming loans for each category divided by period-end total loans held for investment for each respective category.

⁽⁴⁾ Excluding the impact of PCI loans, the nonperforming loan rates for our home loan and total consumer banking portfolios were 3.64% and 0.78%, respectively, as of March 31, 2017, compared to 3.81% and 0.90%, respectively, as of December 31, 2016.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Home Loan

Our home loan portfolio consists of both first-lien and second-lien residential mortgage loans. In evaluating the credit quality and risk of our home loan portfolio, we continually monitor a variety of mortgage loan characteristics that may affect the default experience on this loan portfolio, such as vintage, geographic concentrations, lien priority and product type. Certain loan concentrations have experienced higher delinquency rates as a result of the significant decline in home prices after the peak in 2006 and subsequent rise in unemployment. These loan concentrations include loans originated between 2006 and 2008 in an environment of decreasing home sales, broadly declining home prices and more relaxed underwriting standards.

The following table presents the distribution of our home loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, based on selected key risk characteristics.

Table 4.7: Home Loan Risk Profile by Vintage, Geography, Lien Priority and Interest Rate Type

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017					
	Loans		PCI Loans ⁽¹⁾		Total Home Loans	
	Amount	% of Total ⁽²⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽²⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽²⁾
Origination year:⁽³⁾						
<= 2008	\$ 2,023	9.8%	\$ 9,117	44.0%	\$ 11,140	53.8%
2009	76	0.4	1,006	4.8	1,082	5.2
2010	76	0.4	1,442	7.0	1,518	7.4
2011	132	0.6	1,535	7.4	1,667	8.0
2012	884	4.2	242	1.2	1,126	5.4
2013	442	2.1	55	0.3	497	2.4
2014	536	2.6	30	0.1	566	2.7
2015	996	4.8	30	0.1	1,026	4.9
2016	1,701	8.2	23	0.1	1,724	8.3
2017	388	1.9	4	0.0	392	1.9
Total	<u>\$ 7,254</u>	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>\$ 13,484</u>	<u>65.0%</u>	<u>\$ 20,738</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Geographic concentration:⁽⁴⁾						
California	\$ 1,004	4.8%	\$ 3,618	17.4%	\$ 4,622	22.2%
New York	1,370	6.6	705	3.4	2,075	10.0
Maryland	593	2.9	770	3.7	1,363	6.6
Illinois	116	0.6	1,083	5.2	1,199	5.8
Virginia	506	2.4	685	3.3	1,191	5.7
New Jersey	379	1.9	735	3.5	1,114	5.4
Louisiana	921	4.4	21	0.1	942	4.5
Florida	164	0.8	739	3.6	903	4.4
Texas	752	3.6	100	0.5	852	4.1
Arizona	92	0.4	718	3.5	810	3.9
Other	1,357	6.6	4,310	20.8	\$ 5,667	27.4
Total	<u>\$ 7,254</u>	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>\$ 13,484</u>	<u>65.0%</u>	<u>\$ 20,738</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Lien type:						
1 st lien	\$ 6,291	30.3%	\$ 13,228	63.8%	\$ 19,519	94.1%
2 nd lien	963	4.7	256	1.2	1,219	5.9
Total	<u>\$ 7,254</u>	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>\$ 13,484</u>	<u>65.0%</u>	<u>\$ 20,738</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Interest rate type:						
Fixed rate	\$ 3,538	17.1%	\$ 1,580	7.6%	\$ 5,118	24.7%
Adjustable rate	3,716	17.9	11,904	57.4	15,620	75.3
Total	<u>\$ 7,254</u>	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>\$ 13,484</u>	<u>65.0%</u>	<u>\$ 20,738</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	December 31, 2016					
	Loans		PCI Loans ⁽¹⁾		Total Home Loans	
	Amount	% of Total ⁽²⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽²⁾	Amount	% of Total ⁽²⁾
Origination year:⁽³⁾						
< = 2008	\$ 2,166	10.0%	\$ 9,684	44.9%	\$ 11,850	54.9%
2009	80	0.4	1,088	5.0	1,168	5.4
2010	82	0.4	1,562	7.2	1,644	7.6
2011	139	0.6	1,683	7.8	1,822	8.4
2012	969	4.5	268	1.2	1,237	5.7
2013	465	2.2	59	0.2	524	2.4
2014	557	2.6	31	0.2	588	2.8
2015	1,024	4.7	30	0.2	1,054	4.9
2016	1,674	7.8	23	0.1	1,697	7.9
Total	\$ 7,156	33.2%	\$ 14,428	66.8%	\$ 21,584	100.0%
Geographic concentration:⁽⁴⁾						
California	\$ 976	4.5%	\$ 4,017	18.6%	\$ 4,993	23.1%
New York	1,343	6.2	693	3.2	2,036	9.4
Maryland	585	2.7	824	3.9	1,409	6.6
Illinois	108	0.5	1,110	5.1	1,218	5.6
Virginia	490	2.3	714	3.3	1,204	5.6
New Jersey	379	1.8	733	3.4	1,112	5.2
Louisiana	962	4.5	23	0.1	985	4.6
Florida	159	0.7	772	3.6	931	4.3
Arizona	89	0.4	799	3.7	888	4.1
Texas	725	3.4	98	0.4	823	3.8
Other	1,340	6.2	4,645	21.5	5,985	27.7
Total	\$ 7,156	33.2%	\$ 14,428	66.8%	\$ 21,584	100.0%
Lien type:						
1 st lien	\$ 6,182	28.7%	\$ 14,159	65.5%	\$ 20,341	94.2%
2 nd lien	974	4.5	269	1.3	1,243	5.8
Total	\$ 7,156	33.2%	\$ 14,428	66.8%	\$ 21,584	100.0%
Interest rate type:						
Fixed rate	\$ 3,394	15.8%	\$ 1,822	8.4%	\$ 5,216	24.2%
Adjustable rate	3,762	17.4	12,606	58.4	16,368	75.8
Total	\$ 7,156	33.2%	\$ 14,428	66.8%	\$ 21,584	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ The PCI loan balances with an origination date in the years subsequent to 2012 represent refinancing of previously acquired home loans.

⁽²⁾ Percentages within each risk category are calculated based on period-end amounts.

⁽³⁾ Modified loans are reported in the origination year of the initial borrowing.

⁽⁴⁾ States listed represent those that have the highest individual concentration of home loans.

Our recorded investment in home loans that are in process of foreclosure was \$505 million and \$382 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We commence the foreclosure process on home loans when a borrower becomes at least 120 days delinquent in accordance with Consumer Financial Protection Bureau regulations. Foreclosure procedures and timelines vary according to state laws. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the carrying value of the foreclosed residential real estate properties we hold and report as other assets on our consolidated balance sheets totaled \$56 million and \$69 million, respectively.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Commercial Banking

We evaluate the credit risk of commercial loans using a dual risk rating system. We assign internal risk ratings to loans based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to repay their debt. In determining the risk rating of a particular loan, some of the factors considered are the borrower’s current financial condition, historical and projected future credit performance, prospects for support from financially responsible guarantors, the estimated realizable value of any collateral and current economic trends. The scale based on our internal risk rating system is as follows:

- *Noncriticized:* Loans that have not been designated as criticized, frequently referred to as “pass” loans.
- *Criticized performing:* Loans in which the financial condition of the obligor is stressed, affecting earnings, cash flows or collateral values. The borrower currently has adequate capacity to meet near-term obligations; however, the stress, left unabated, may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects at some future date.
- *Criticized nonperforming:* Loans that are not adequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as criticized nonperforming have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, which jeopardize the full repayment of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain a credit loss if the deficiencies are not corrected and are generally placed on nonaccrual status.

We use our internal risk rating system for regulatory reporting, determining the frequency of credit exposure reviews, and evaluating and determining the allowance for loan and lease losses for commercial loans. Loans of \$1 million or more that are designated as criticized performing and criticized nonperforming are reviewed quarterly by management to determine if they are appropriately classified/rated and whether any impairment exists. Noncriticized loans greater than \$1 million are specifically reviewed, at least annually, to determine the appropriate risk rating. In addition, we evaluate the risk rating during the renewal process of any loan or if a loan becomes past due.

The following table presents the geographic distribution and internal risk ratings of our commercial loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 4.8: Commercial Banking Risk Profile by Geographic Region and Internal Risk Rating

	March 31, 2017							
	Commercial and Multifamily Real Estate	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Commercial and Industrial	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Small-ticket Commercial Real Estate	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Total Commercial Banking	% of Total ⁽¹⁾
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>								
Geographic concentration:⁽²⁾								
Northeast	\$ 15,580	57.2%	\$ 9,444	23.8%	\$ 286	61.6%	\$ 25,310	37.6%
Mid-Atlantic	3,260	12.0	3,800	9.6	16	3.5	7,076	10.5
South	3,917	14.4	14,934	37.7	32	6.9	18,883	28.1
Other	4,461	16.4	11,460	28.9	130	28.0	16,051	23.8
Total	<u>\$ 27,218</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 39,638</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 464</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 67,320</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Internal risk rating:⁽³⁾								
Noncriticized	\$ 26,881	98.8%	\$ 36,054	91.0%	\$ 455	98.1%	\$ 63,390	94.2%
Criticized performing	271	1.0	2,220	5.6	1	0.2	2,492	3.7
Criticized nonperforming	35	0.1	801	2.0	8	1.7	844	1.2
PCI loans	31	0.1	563	1.4	0	0.0	594	0.9
Total	<u>\$ 27,218</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 39,638</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 464</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 67,320</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	December 31, 2016							
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Commercial and Multifamily Real Estate	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Commercial and Industrial	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Small-ticket Commercial Real Estate	% of Total ⁽¹⁾	Total Commercial Banking	% of Total ⁽¹⁾
Geographic concentration:⁽²⁾								
Northeast	\$ 15,714	59.0%	\$ 9,628	24.2%	\$ 298	61.7%	\$ 25,640	38.3%
Mid-Atlantic	3,024	11.4	3,450	8.7	16	3.3	6,490	9.7
South	4,032	15.2	15,193	38.1	34	7.0	19,259	28.8
Other	3,839	14.4	11,553	29.0	135	28.0	15,527	23.2
Total	<u>\$ 26,609</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 39,824</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 483</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 66,916</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Internal risk rating:⁽³⁾								
Noncriticized	\$ 26,309	98.9%	\$ 36,046	90.5%	\$ 473	97.9%	\$ 62,828	93.9%
Criticized performing	242	0.9	2,205	5.5	6	1.3	2,453	3.7
Criticized nonperforming	30	0.1	988	2.5	4	0.8	1,022	1.5
PCI loans	28	0.1	585	1.5	0	0.0	613	0.9
Total	<u>\$ 26,609</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 39,824</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 483</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 66,916</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

⁽¹⁾ Percentages calculated based on total loans held for investment in each respective loan category using period-end amounts.

⁽²⁾ Geographic concentration is generally determined by the location of the borrower's business or the location of the collateral associated with the loan. Northeast consists of CT, MA, ME, NH, NJ, NY, PA and VT. Mid-Atlantic consists of DC, DE, MD, VA and WV. South consists of AL, AR, FL, GA, KY, LA, MO, MS, NC, SC, TN and TX.

⁽³⁾ Criticized exposures correspond to the "Special Mention," "Substandard" and "Doubtful" asset categories defined by banking regulatory authorities.

Impaired Loans

The following table presents information about our impaired loans, excluding PCI loans, which are reported separately as of March 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016, and for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 4.9: Impaired Loans⁽¹⁾

	March 31, 2017					
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	With an Allowance	Without an Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Net Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance
Credit Card:						
Domestic credit card	\$ 588	\$ 0	\$ 588	\$ 192	\$ 396	\$ 573
International card businesses	147	0	147	70	77	142
Total credit card ⁽²⁾	<u>735</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>735</u>	<u>262</u>	<u>473</u>	<u>715</u>
Consumer Banking:						
Auto ⁽³⁾	321	178	499	29	470	768
Home loan	237	94	331	17	314	415
Retail banking	45	10	55	11	44	59
Total consumer banking	<u>603</u>	<u>282</u>	<u>885</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>828</u>	<u>1,242</u>
Commercial Banking:						
Commercial and multifamily real estate	86	28	114	5	109	114
Commercial and industrial	1,027	199	1,226	151	1,075	1,298
Total commercial lending	<u>1,113</u>	<u>227</u>	<u>1,340</u>	<u>156</u>	<u>1,184</u>	<u>1,412</u>
Small-ticket commercial real estate	7	0	7	0	7	8
Total commercial banking	<u>1,120</u>	<u>227</u>	<u>1,347</u>	<u>156</u>	<u>1,191</u>	<u>1,420</u>
Total	<u>\$ 2,458</u>	<u>\$ 509</u>	<u>\$ 2,967</u>	<u>\$ 475</u>	<u>\$ 2,492</u>	<u>\$ 3,377</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	December 31, 2016					
	With an Allowance	Without an Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Net Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
Credit Card:						
Domestic credit card	\$ 581	\$ 0	\$ 581	\$ 174	\$ 407	\$ 566
International card businesses	134	0	134	65	69	129
Total credit card ⁽²⁾	715	0	715	239	476	695
Consumer Banking:						
Auto ⁽³⁾	316	207	523	24	499	807
Home loan	241	117	358	19	339	464
Retail banking	52	10	62	14	48	65
Total consumer banking	609	334	943	57	886	1,336
Commercial Banking:						
Commercial and multifamily real estate	83	29	112	7	105	112
Commercial and industrial	1,249	144	1,393	162	1,231	1,444
Total commercial lending	1,332	173	1,505	169	1,336	1,556
Small-ticket commercial real estate	4	0	4	0	4	4
Total commercial banking	1,336	173	1,509	169	1,340	1,560
Total	\$ 2,660	\$ 507	\$ 3,167	\$ 465	\$ 2,702	\$ 3,591

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
Credit Card:				
Domestic credit card	\$ 585	\$ 15	\$ 533	\$ 14
International card businesses	141	3	129	3
Total credit card ⁽²⁾	726	18	662	17
Consumer Banking:				
Auto ⁽³⁾	511	15	491	22
Home loan	344	1	366	1
Retail banking	58	1	60	0
Total consumer banking	913	17	917	23
Commercial Banking:				
Commercial and multifamily real estate	113	1	109	1
Commercial and industrial	1,309	3	1,004	2
Total commercial lending	1,422	4	1,113	3
Small-ticket commercial real estate	6	0	6	0
Total commercial banking	1,428	4	1,119	3
Total	\$ 3,067	\$ 39	\$ 2,698	\$ 43

⁽¹⁾ Impaired loans include loans modified in troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”), all nonperforming commercial loans and nonperforming home loans with a specific impairment. Impaired loans without an allowance generally represent loans that have been charged down to the fair value of the underlying collateral for which we believe no additional losses have been incurred, or where the fair value of the underlying collateral meets or exceeds the loan’s amortized cost.

⁽²⁾ The period-end and average recorded investments of credit card loans include finance charges and fees.

⁽³⁾ Although certain assets from loan recovery inventory are not reported in our loans held for investment, they are included as impaired loans above since they are reported as TDRs.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The total recorded investment of loans modified in TDRs represents \$2.4 billion and \$2.5 billion of the impaired loans presented above as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Consumer TDRs classified as performing totaled \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Commercial TDRs classified as performing totaled \$497 million and \$487 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Commitments to lend additional funds on loans modified in TDRs totaled \$271 million and \$208 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

As part of our loan modification programs to borrowers experiencing financial difficulty, we may provide multiple concessions to minimize our economic loss and improve long-term loan performance and collectability. The following tables present the major modification types, recorded investment amounts and financial effects of loans modified in TDRs during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 4.10: Troubled Debt Restructurings

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31, 2017						
	Total Loans Modified ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Reduced Interest Rate		Term Extension		Balance Reduction	
		% of TDR Activity ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Average Rate Reduction ⁽⁵⁾	% of TDR Activity ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	Average Term Extension (Months) ⁽⁷⁾	% of TDR Activity ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁸⁾	Gross Balance Reduction ⁽⁹⁾
Credit Card:							
Domestic credit card	\$ 97	100%	13.85%	0%	0	0%	\$ 0
International card businesses	44	100	26.18	0	0	0	0
Total credit card	<u>141</u>	100	17.74	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
Consumer Banking:							
Auto	75	52	4.02	89	7	10	7
Home loan	8	60	2.01	80	224	0	0
Retail banking	2	50	3.00	65	7	0	0
Total consumer banking	<u>85</u>	53	3.78	87	25	9	<u>7</u>
Commercial Banking:							
Commercial and multifamily real estate	2	100	0.25	100	12	0	0
Commercial and industrial	147	1	0.31	19	26	0	0
Total commercial lending	<u>149</u>	2	0.27	20	25	0	<u>0</u>
Small-ticket commercial real estate	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0
Total commercial banking	<u>149</u>	2	0.27	20	25	0	<u>0</u>
Total	<u>\$ 375</u>	50	14.14	28	25	2	<u>\$ 7</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Total Loans Modified⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Reduced Interest Rate		Term Extension		Balance Reduction	
		% of TDR Activity ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Average Rate Reduction ⁽⁵⁾	% of TDR Activity ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	Average Term Extension (Months) ⁽⁷⁾	% of TDR Activity ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁸⁾	Gross Balance Reduction ⁽⁹⁾
Credit Card:							
Domestic credit card	\$ 62	100%	12.85%	0%	0	0%	\$ 0
International card businesses	36	100	25.66	0	0	0	0
Total credit card	98	100	17.52	0	0	0	0
Consumer Banking:							
Auto	86	42	3.93	73	7	27	21
Home loan	13	62	2.63	75	249	1	0
Retail banking	3	21	6.30	87	11	0	0
Total consumer banking	102	44	3.72	74	39	23	21
Commercial Banking:							
Commercial and multifamily real estate	25	0	0.00	100	8	0	0
Commercial and industrial	47	0	0.00	30	12	0	0
Total commercial lending	72	0	0.00	54	10	0	0
Small-ticket commercial real estate	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0
Total commercial banking	72	0	0.00	54	10	0	0
Total	\$ 272	52	13.21	42	29	8	\$ 21

⁽¹⁾ Represents the recorded investment of total loans modified in TDRs at the end of the quarter in which they were modified.

⁽²⁾ We present the modification types utilized most prevalently across our loan portfolios. As not every modification type is included in the table above, the total % of TDR activity may not add up to 100%. Some loans may receive more than one type of concession as part of the modification.

⁽³⁾ Represents percentage of loans modified in TDRs during the period that were granted a reduced interest rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Due to multiple concessions granted to some troubled borrowers, percentages may total more than 100% for certain loan types.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents weighted average interest rate reduction for those loans that received an interest rate concession.

⁽⁶⁾ Represents percentage of loans modified in TDRs during the period that were granted a maturity date extension.

⁽⁷⁾ Represents weighted average change in maturity date for those loans that received a maturity date extension.

⁽⁸⁾ Represents percentage of loans modified in TDRs during the period that were granted forgiveness or forbearance of a portion of their balance.

⁽⁹⁾ Represents the gross balance forgiven. For loans modified in bankruptcy, the gross balance reduction represents collateral value write-downs associated with the discharge of the borrower's obligations.

TDR—Subsequent Defaults of Completed TDR Modifications

The following table presents the type, number and recorded investment amount of loans modified in TDRs that experienced a default during the period and had completed a modification event in the twelve months prior to the default. A default occurs if the loan is either 90 days or more delinquent, has been charged off as of the end of the period presented or has been reclassified from accrual to nonaccrual status.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Table 4.11: TDR—Subsequent Defaults

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Number of Contracts	Amount	Number of Contracts	Amount
Credit Card:				
Domestic credit card	12,805	\$ 26	10,594	\$ 18
International card businesses ⁽¹⁾	11,425	16	8,813	20
Total credit card	24,230	42	19,407	38
Consumer Banking:				
Auto	2,179	25	1,852	21
Home loan	11	3	10	1
Retail banking	11	1	15	2
Total consumer banking	2,201	29	1,877	24
Commercial Banking:				
Commercial and multifamily real estate	0	0	0	0
Commercial and industrial	14	19	17	23
Total commercial lending	14	19	17	23
Small-ticket commercial real estate	1	1	0	0
Total commercial banking	15	20	17	23
Total	26,446	\$ 91	21,301	\$ 85

⁽¹⁾ In the U.K., regulators require the acceptance of payment plan proposals in which the modified payments may be less than the contractual minimum amount. As a result, loans entering long-term TDR payment programs in the U.K. typically continue to age and ultimately charge off even when fully in compliance with the TDR program terms.

PCI Loans

Outstanding Balance and Carrying Value of PCI Loans

The table below presents the outstanding balance and the carrying value of PCI loans as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The table also displays loans which would have otherwise been considered impaired at acquisition based on our applicable accounting policies. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for information related to our accounting policies for impaired loans.

Table 4.12: PCI Loans

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Total PCI Loans	Impaired Loans	Non-Impaired Loans	Total PCI Loans	Impaired Loans	Non-Impaired Loans
Outstanding balance	\$ 15,443	\$ 3,119	\$ 12,324	\$ 16,506	\$ 3,272	\$ 13,234
Carrying value ⁽¹⁾	14,108	2,180	11,928	15,074	2,263	12,811

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$32 million and \$31 million of allowance for loan and lease losses for these loans as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We recorded a \$1 million provision and a \$2 million release for credit losses for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, for PCI loans.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Changes in Accretable Yield

The following table presents changes in the accretable yield on PCI loans for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

Table 4.13: Changes in Accretable Yield on PCI Loans

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Total PCI Loans	Impaired Loans	Non-Impaired Loans
Accretable yield as of December 31, 2016	\$ 3,177	\$ 1,064	\$ 2,113
Accretion recognized in earnings	(166)	(56)	(110)
Reclassifications from/(to) nonaccretable differences ⁽¹⁾	6	(4)	10
Changes in accretable yield for non-credit related changes in expected cash flows ⁽²⁾	(114)	(16)	(98)
Accretable yield as of March 31, 2017	\$ 2,903	\$ 988	\$ 1,915

⁽¹⁾ Represents changes in accretable yield for those loans in pools that are driven primarily by credit performance.

⁽²⁾ Represents changes in accretable yield for those loans in pools that are driven primarily by actual prepayments and changes in estimated prepayments.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 5—ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR UNFUNDED LENDING COMMITMENTS

Our allowance for loan and lease losses represents management’s best estimate of incurred loan and lease losses inherent in our loans held for investment portfolio as of each balance sheet date. In addition to the allowance for loan and lease losses, we also estimate probable losses related to unfunded lending commitments, such as letters of credit, financial guarantees and binding unfunded loan commitments. The provision for losses on unfunded lending commitments is included in the provision for credit losses in our consolidated statements of income and the related reserve for unfunded lending commitments is included in other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for further discussion on the methodology and policy for determining our allowance for loan and lease losses for each of our loan portfolio segments, as well as information on our reserve for unfunded lending commitments.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments Activity

The table below summarizes changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded lending commitments by portfolio segment for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 5.1: Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments Activity

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Credit Card	Consumer Banking	Commercial Banking	Other ⁽¹⁾	Total
Allowance for loan and lease losses:					
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ 4,606	\$ 1,102	\$ 793	\$ 2	\$ 6,503
Charge-offs	(1,601)	(364)	(26)	0	(1,991)
Recoveries	330	146	3	2	481
Net charge-offs	(1,271)	(218)	(23)	2	(1,510)
Provision (benefit) for loan and lease losses	1,717	279	(6)	(2)	1,988
Allowance build (release) for loan and lease losses	446	61	(29)	0	478
Other changes ⁽²⁾	6	0	(3)	0	3
Balance as of March 31, 2017	<u>5,058</u>	<u>1,163</u>	<u>761</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6,984</u>
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:					
Balance as of December 31, 2016	0	7	129	0	136
Provision (benefit) for losses on unfunded lending commitments	0	0	4	0	4
Balance as of March 31, 2017	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>133</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>140</u>
Combined allowance and reserve as of March 31, 2017	<u>\$ 5,058</u>	<u>\$ 1,170</u>	<u>\$ 894</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 7,124</u>
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>					
Allowance for loan and lease losses:					
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ 3,654	\$ 868	\$ 604	\$ 4	\$ 5,130
Charge-offs	(1,222)	(291)	(48)	(1)	(1,562)
Recoveries	272	108	2	2	384
Net charge-offs	(950)	(183)	(46)	1	(1,178)
Provision (benefit) for loan and lease losses	1,071	229	171	(2)	1,469
Allowance build (release) for loan and lease losses	121	46	125	(1)	291
Other changes ⁽²⁾	10	0	(15)	0	(5)
Balance as of March 31, 2016	<u>3,785</u>	<u>914</u>	<u>714</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5,416</u>
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:					
Balance as of December 31, 2015	0	7	161	0	168
Provision (benefit) for losses on unfunded lending commitments	0	1	57	0	58
Balance as of March 31, 2016	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>218</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>226</u>
Combined allowance and reserve as of March 31, 2016	<u>\$ 3,785</u>	<u>\$ 922</u>	<u>\$ 932</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 5,642</u>

⁽¹⁾ Primarily consists of the legacy loan portfolio of our discontinued GreenPoint mortgage operations.

⁽²⁾ Represents foreign currency translation adjustments and the net impact of loan transfers and sales.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Components of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses by Impairment Methodology

The table below presents the components of our allowance for loan and lease losses by portfolio segment and impairment methodology with the recorded investment of the related loans as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 5.2: Components of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses by Impairment Methodology

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017				
	Credit Card	Consumer Banking	Commercial Banking	Other	Total
Allowance for loan and lease losses:					
Collectively evaluated ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,796	\$ 1,076	\$ 603	\$ 2	\$ 6,477
Asset-specific ⁽²⁾	262	57	156	0	475
PCI loans ⁽³⁾	0	30	2	0	32
Total allowance for loan and lease losses	<u>\$ 5,058</u>	<u>\$ 1,163</u>	<u>\$ 761</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 6,984</u>
Loans held for investment:					
Collectively evaluated ⁽¹⁾	\$ 98,478	\$ 59,760	\$ 65,379	\$ 73	\$ 223,690
Asset-specific ⁽²⁾	735	714	1,347	0	2,796
PCI loans ⁽³⁾	0	13,508	594	0	14,102
Total loans held for investment	<u>\$ 99,213</u>	<u>\$ 73,982</u>	<u>\$ 67,320</u>	<u>\$ 73</u>	<u>\$ 240,588</u>
Allowance coverage ratio ⁽⁴⁾	5.10%	1.57%	1.13%	2.74%	2.90%

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	December 31, 2016				
	Credit Card	Consumer Banking	Commercial Banking	Other	Total
Allowance for loan and lease losses:					
Collectively evaluated ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,367	\$ 1,016	\$ 622	\$ 2	\$ 6,007
Asset-specific ⁽²⁾	239	57	169	0	465
PCI loans ⁽³⁾	0	29	2	0	31
Total allowance for loan and lease losses	<u>\$ 4,606</u>	<u>\$ 1,102</u>	<u>\$ 793</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 6,503</u>
Loans held for investment:					
Collectively evaluated ⁽¹⁾	\$ 104,835	\$ 57,862	\$ 64,794	\$ 64	\$ 227,555
Asset-specific ⁽²⁾	715	736	1,509	0	2,960
PCI loans ⁽³⁾	2	14,456	613	0	15,071
Total loans held for investment	<u>\$ 105,552</u>	<u>\$ 73,054</u>	<u>\$ 66,916</u>	<u>\$ 64</u>	<u>\$ 245,586</u>
Allowance coverage ratio ⁽⁴⁾	4.36%	1.51%	1.19%	3.13%	2.65%

⁽¹⁾ The component of the allowance for loan and lease losses for credit card and other consumer loans that we collectively evaluate for impairment is based on a statistical calculation supplemented by management judgment and interpretation. The component of the allowance for loan and lease losses for commercial loans that we collectively evaluate for impairment is based on historical loss experience for loans with similar characteristics and consideration of credit quality supplemented by management judgment and interpretation.

⁽²⁾ The asset-specific component of the allowance for loan and lease losses for smaller-balance impaired loans is calculated on a pool basis using historical loss experience for the respective class of assets. The asset-specific component of the allowance for loan and lease losses for larger-balance commercial loans is individually calculated for each loan.

⁽³⁾ The PCI loans component of the allowance for loan and lease losses is accounted for based on expected cash flows. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for details on these loans.

⁽⁴⁾ Allowance coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the period-end allowance for loan and lease losses by period-end loans held for investment within the specified loan category.

We have certain credit card partnership arrangements in which our partner agrees to share a portion of the credit losses associated with the partnership that qualify for net accounting treatment. The expected reimbursements from these partners, which are netted against our allowance for loan and lease losses, result in reductions to reported net charge-offs and provision for credit losses. See “Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in our 2016 Form 10-K for further discussion on our card partnership agreements.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below summarizes the changes in the expected reimbursements from these partners for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 5.3: Summary of Loss Sharing Arrangements Impacts

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Expected reimbursements from loss sharing partners:		
Balance as of beginning of the period	\$ 228	\$ 194
Impact to net charge-offs	(65)	(52)
Impact to provision for credit losses	72	55
Balance as of end of the period	<u>\$ 235</u>	<u>\$ 197</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 6—VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES AND SECURITIZATIONS

In the normal course of business, we enter into various types of transactions with entities that are considered to be VIEs. Our primary involvement with VIEs has been related to our securitization transactions in which we transferred assets from our balance sheet to securitization trusts. We have primarily securitized credit card and home loans, which have provided a source of funding for us and enabled us to transfer a certain portion of the economic risk of the loans or related debt securities to third parties.

The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and is required to consolidate the VIE. The majority of the VIEs in which we are involved have been consolidated in our financial statements.

Summary of Consolidated and Unconsolidated VIEs

The assets of our consolidated VIEs primarily consist of cash, credit card loan receivables and the related allowance for loan and lease losses, which we report on our consolidated balance sheets under restricted cash, loans held in consolidated trust, and allowance for loan and lease losses, respectively. The assets of a particular VIE are the primary source of funding to settle its obligations. The creditors of the VIEs typically do not have recourse to the general credit of the Company. The liabilities primarily consist of debt securities issued by the VIEs, which we report under securitized debt obligations. For unconsolidated VIEs, we present the carrying amount of assets and liabilities reflected on our consolidated balance sheets and our maximum exposure to loss. Our maximum exposure to loss is estimated based on the unlikely event that all of the assets in the VIEs become worthless and we are required to meet our maximum remaining funding obligations.

The table below presents a summary of certain VIEs in which we had continuing involvement or held a variable interest, aggregated based on VIEs with similar characteristics as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We separately present information for consolidated and unconsolidated VIEs.

Table 6.1: Carrying Amount of Consolidated and Unconsolidated VIEs

	March 31, 2017				
	Consolidated		Unconsolidated		
	Carrying Amount of Assets	Carrying Amount of Liabilities	Carrying Amount of Assets	Carrying Amount of Liabilities	Maximum Exposure to Loss
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>					
Securitization-Related VIEs:					
Credit card loan securitizations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 29,257	\$ 18,699	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Home loan securitizations ⁽²⁾	0	0	195	67	1,262
Total securitization-related VIEs	29,257	18,699	195	67	1,262
Other VIEs:⁽³⁾					
Affordable housing entities	175	9	3,896	1,109	3,896
Entities that provide capital to low-income and rural communities	955	127	0	0	0
Other	0	0	219	0	219
Total other VIEs	1,130	136	4,115	1,109	4,115
Total VIEs	<u>\$ 30,387</u>	<u>\$ 18,835</u>	<u>\$ 4,310</u>	<u>\$ 1,176</u>	<u>\$ 5,377</u>

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2016

	Consolidated		Unconsolidated		
	Carrying Amount of Assets	Carrying Amount of Liabilities	Carrying Amount of Assets	Carrying Amount of Liabilities	Maximum Exposure to Loss
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>					
Securitization-Related VIEs:					
Credit card loan securitizations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 33,550	\$ 19,662	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Home loan securitizations ⁽²⁾	0	0	201	27	1,276
Total securitization-related VIEs	33,550	19,662	201	27	1,276
Other VIEs:⁽³⁾					
Affordable housing entities	174	9	3,862	1,093	3,862
Entities that provide capital to low-income and rural communities	927	127	0	0	0
Other	0	0	187	0	187
Total other VIEs	1,101	136	4,049	1,093	4,049
Total VIEs	<u>\$ 34,651</u>	<u>\$ 19,798</u>	<u>\$ 4,250</u>	<u>\$ 1,120</u>	<u>\$ 5,325</u>

⁽¹⁾ Represents the carrying amount of assets and liabilities owned by the VIE, which includes the seller's interest and repurchased notes held by other related parties.

⁽²⁾ The carrying amount of assets of unconsolidated securitization-related VIEs consists of retained interests associated with the securitization of option-adjustable rate mortgage ("option-ARM") loans and letters of credit related to manufactured housing securitizations. These are reported on our consolidated balance sheets within other assets. The carrying amount of liabilities of unconsolidated securitization-related VIEs is comprised of obligations on certain swap agreements associated with the securitizations of manufactured housing loans and other obligations. These are reported on our consolidated balance sheets within other liabilities.

⁽³⁾ In certain investment structures, we consolidate a VIE which in turn holds as its primary asset an investment in an unconsolidated VIE. In these instances, we disclose the carrying amount of assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets in the unconsolidated VIEs to avoid duplicating our exposure, as the unconsolidated VIEs are generally the operating entities generating the exposure. The carrying amount of assets and liabilities included in the unconsolidated VIE columns above related to these investment structures were \$1.9 billion of assets and \$642 million of liabilities as of March 31, 2017 and \$1.9 billion of assets and \$618 million of liabilities as of December 31, 2016.

Securitization-Related VIEs

In a securitization transaction, assets from our balance sheet are transferred to a trust, which generally meets the definition of a VIE. Our primary securitization activity is in the form of credit card securitizations, conducted through securitization trusts which we consolidate. Our continuing involvement in these securitization transactions mainly consists of acting as the primary servicer and holding certain retained interests.

We transfer residential home loans and multifamily commercial loans that we originate to the government-sponsored enterprises ("GSEs") and retain the right to service the transferred loans pursuant to the guidelines set forth by the GSEs. Subsequent to such transfers, these loans are commonly securitized into RMBS or CMBS by the GSEs. We also hold RMBS, CMBS and ABS in our investment portfolio, which represent an interest in the respective securitization trusts employed in the transactions under which those securities were issued. We do not consolidate the securitization trusts employed in these transactions as we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these securitization trusts. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with these VIEs is the carrying value of the mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") and investment securities on our consolidated balance sheets. See "Note 7—Goodwill and Intangible Assets" for information related to our MSRs associated with these residential home loan and multifamily commercial loan securitizations and "Note 3—Investment Securities" for more information on the securities held in our investment securities portfolio. We exclude these VIEs from the tables within this note because we do not consider our continuing involvement with these VIEs to be significant; we either invest in securities issued by the VIE and were not involved in the design of the VIE, or no transfers have occurred between the VIE and us. In addition, where we have certain lending arrangements in the normal course of business with entities that could be VIEs, we have also excluded these VIEs from the tables presented in this note. See "Note 4—Loans" for additional information regarding our lending arrangements in the normal course of business.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We also may have exposure associated with contractual obligations to repurchase previously transferred loans due to breaches of representations and warranties. See “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others” for information related to reserves we have established for our mortgage representation and warranty exposure.

The table below presents our continuing involvement in certain securitization-related VIEs as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 6.2: Continuing Involvement in Securitization-Related VIEs

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Mortgage			
	Credit Card	Option-ARM	GreenPoint HELOCs	GreenPoint Manufactured Housing
March 31, 2017:				
Securities held by third-party investors	\$ 18,528	\$ 1,437	\$ 52	\$ 674
Receivables in the trust	29,550	1,485	46	679
Cash balance of spread or reserve accounts	0	8	N/A	127
Retained interests	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Servicing retained	Yes	Yes ⁽¹⁾	No	No ⁽²⁾
Amortization event ⁽³⁾	No	No	No	No
December 31, 2016:				
Securities held by third-party investors	\$ 18,826	\$ 1,499	\$ 56	\$ 697
Receivables in the trust	31,762	1,549	50	702
Cash balance of spread or reserve accounts	0	8	N/A	130
Retained interests	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Servicing retained	Yes	Yes ⁽¹⁾	No	No ⁽²⁾
Amortization event ⁽³⁾	No	No	No	No

⁽¹⁾ We continue to service only certain option-ARM securitizations.

⁽²⁾ The core servicing activities for the manufactured housing securitizations are completed by a third party.

⁽³⁾ Amortization events vary according to each specific trust agreement but generally are triggered by declines in performance or credit metrics of the underlying assets, such as net charge-off rates or delinquency rates, beyond certain predetermined thresholds. Generally, the occurrence of an amortization event changes the sequencing and amount of trust-related cash flows to the benefit of more senior interest holders.

Credit Card Securitizations

We hold certain retained interests in our credit card securitizations and continue to service the receivables in these trusts. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we were deemed to be the primary beneficiary, and accordingly, all of these trusts have been consolidated in our financial statements.

Mortgage Securitizations

Option-ARM Loans

We had previously securitized option-ARM loans by transferring these loans to securitization trusts that had issued mortgage-backed securities to investors. The outstanding balance of debt securities held by third-party investors related to these mortgage loan securitization trusts was \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

We continue to service a portion of the remaining mortgage loans in these securitizations. We also retain rights to future cash flows arising from these securitizations, the most significant being certificated interest-only bonds issued by the trusts. We generally estimate the fair value of these retained interests based on the estimated present value of expected future cash flows, using our best estimates of the key assumptions which include credit losses, prepayment speeds and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. For the mortgage loans that we continue to service, we do not consolidate the related trusts because we do not have the right to receive benefits nor the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the trusts. For the remaining

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

trusts, for which we no longer service the underlying mortgage loans, we do not consolidate these entities since we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts.

In connection with the securitization of certain option-ARM loans, a third party is obligated to advance a portion of any “negative amortization” resulting from monthly payments that are less than the interest accrued for that payment period. We have an agreement in place with the third party that mirrors this advance requirement. The amount advanced is tracked through mortgage-backed securities retained as part of the securitization transaction. As advances occur, we record an asset in the form of negative amortization bonds, which are held at fair value in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. Our maximum exposure is affected by rate caps and monthly payment change caps, but the funding obligation cannot exceed the difference between the original loan balance multiplied by a preset negative amortization cap and the current unpaid principal balance.

We have also entered into certain derivative contracts related to the securitization activities. These are classified as free-standing derivatives, with fair value adjustments recorded in non-interest income in our consolidated statements of income. See “Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” for further details on these derivatives.

GreenPoint Mortgage Home Equity Lines of Credit (“HELOCs”)

Our discontinued wholesale mortgage banking unit, GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. (“GreenPoint”), previously sold HELOCs in whole loan sales that were subsequently securitized by third parties. GreenPoint acquired residual interests in certain of those securitization trusts. We do not consolidate these trusts because we either lack the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts or because we do not have the right to receive benefits or the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to the trusts. As the residual interest holder, GreenPoint is required to fund advances on the HELOCs when certain performance triggers are met due to deterioration in asset performance. On behalf of GreenPoint, we have funded cumulative advances of \$30 million as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We also have unfunded commitments of \$5 million related to those interests for our non-consolidated VIEs as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

GreenPoint Credit Manufactured Housing

We have retained certain interests and obligations related to the discontinued manufactured housing operations of GreenPoint Credit, LLC, a subsidiary of GreenPoint. Such discontinued operations, including the related recourse obligations, servicing rights and the primary obligation to execute mandatory clean-up calls in certain securitization transactions were sold to a third party in 2004. We do not consolidate these securitization trusts because we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts as we no longer service the loans.

The unpaid principal balance of manufactured housing securitization transactions where we are the residual interest holder was \$679 million and \$702 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. In the event the third party servicer does not fulfill its obligation to exercise the clean-up calls on certain securitizations, the obligation reverts to us and we would be required to acquire a maximum of approximately \$420 million of loan receivables and other assets upon our execution of these clean-up calls with the requirement to absorb any losses on the loan receivables and other assets. See “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others” for information related to these obligations.

We were required to fund letters of credit to cover losses on certain manufactured housing securitizations. We have the right to receive any funds remaining in the letters of credit after the securities are released. The fair value of these letters of credit are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets and totaled \$88 million and \$85 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We also have credit exposure on agreements that we entered into to absorb a portion of the risk of loss on certain manufactured housing securitizations not subject to the funded letters of credit. Our maximum credit exposure related to these agreements totaled \$12 million as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. These agreements are included in other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets, and our obligation under these agreements was \$8 million as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other VIEs***Affordable Housing Entities***

As part of our community reinvestment initiatives, we invest in private investment funds that make equity investments in multi-family affordable housing properties. We receive affordable housing tax credits for these investments. The activities of these entities are financed with a combination of invested equity capital and debt. We account for certain of our investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain criteria are met. The proportional amortization method amortizes the cost of the investment over the period in which the investor expects to receive tax credits and other tax benefits, and the resulting amortization is recognized as a component of income tax expense attributable to continuing operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, we recognized amortization of \$115 million and \$98 million, respectively, and tax credits of \$114 million and \$113 million, respectively, associated with these investments within income tax provision. The carrying value of our equity investments in these qualified affordable housing projects was \$3.8 billion as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We are periodically required to provide additional financial or other support during the period of the investments. We had recorded liabilities of \$1.3 billion and \$1.2 billion for these unfunded commitments as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, which is expected to be paid from 2017 to 2019.

For those investment funds considered to be VIEs, we are not required to consolidate them if we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of those entities. We record our interests in these unconsolidated VIEs in loans held for investment, other assets and other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. Our interests consisted of assets of approximately \$3.9 billion as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Our maximum exposure to these entities is limited to our variable interests in the entities of \$3.9 billion as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The creditors of the VIEs have no recourse to our general credit and we do not provide additional financial or other support other than during the period that we are contractually required to provide it. The total assets of the unconsolidated VIE investment funds were approximately \$11.0 billion and \$11.5 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Entities that Provide Capital to Low-Income and Rural Communities

We hold variable interests in entities (“Investor Entities”) that invest in community development entities (“CDEs”) that provide debt financing to businesses and non-profit entities in low-income and rural communities. Variable interests in the CDEs held by the consolidated Investor Entities are also our variable interests. The activities of the Investor Entities are financed with a combination of invested equity capital and debt. The activities of the CDEs are financed solely with invested equity capital. We receive federal and state tax credits for these investments. We consolidate the VIEs in which we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance and where we have the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. We have also consolidated other investments and CDEs that are not considered to be VIEs, but where we hold a controlling financial interest. The assets of the VIEs that we consolidated, which totaled approximately \$955 million and \$927 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, are reflected on our consolidated balance sheets in cash, loans held for investment, interest receivable and other assets. The liabilities are reflected in other liabilities. The creditors of the VIEs have no recourse to our general credit. We have not provided additional financial or other support other than during the period that we are contractually required to provide it.

Other

Other VIEs include variable interests that we hold in companies that promote renewable energy sources and other equity method investments. We were not required to consolidate these entities because we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact their economic performance. Our maximum exposure to these entities is limited to the investment on our consolidated balance sheets of \$219 million and \$187 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The creditors of the other VIEs have no recourse to our general credit. We have not provided additional financial or other support other than during the period that we are contractually required to provide it.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 7—GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The table below displays the components of goodwill, intangible assets and MSRs as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Goodwill is presented separately on our consolidated balance sheets. Intangible assets and MSRs are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table 7.1: Components of Goodwill, Intangible Assets and MSRs

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		
	Carrying Amount of Assets ⁽¹⁾	Accumulated Amortization ⁽¹⁾	Net Carrying Amount
Goodwill	\$ 14,521	N/A	\$ 14,521
Intangible assets:			
Purchased credit card relationship (“PCCR”) intangibles	2,151	\$ (1,758)	393
Core deposit intangibles	1,391	(1,353)	38
Other ⁽²⁾	314	(142)	172
Total intangible assets	3,856	(3,253)	603
Total goodwill and intangible assets	\$ 18,377	\$ (3,253)	\$ 15,124
MSRs:			
Consumer MSRs ⁽³⁾	\$ 86	N/A	\$ 86
Commercial MSRs ⁽⁴⁾	296	\$ (91)	205
Total MSRs	\$ 382	\$ (91)	\$ 291

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	December 31, 2016		
	Carrying Amount of Assets ⁽¹⁾	Accumulated Amortization ⁽¹⁾	Net Carrying Amount
Goodwill	\$ 14,519	N/A	\$ 14,519
Intangible assets:			
PCCR intangibles	2,151	\$ (1,715)	436
Core deposit intangibles	1,391	(1,345)	46
Other ⁽²⁾	314	(131)	183
Total intangible assets	3,856	(3,191)	665
Total goodwill and intangible assets	\$ 18,375	\$ (3,191)	\$ 15,184
MSRs:			
Consumer MSRs ⁽³⁾	\$ 80	N/A	\$ 80
Commercial MSRs ⁽⁴⁾	276	\$ (82)	194
Total MSRs	\$ 356	\$ (82)	\$ 274

⁽¹⁾ Certain intangible assets that were fully amortized in prior periods were removed from our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽²⁾ Primarily consists of intangibles for sponsorship relationships, brokerage relationship intangibles, partnership and other contract intangibles and trade name intangibles.

⁽³⁾ Represents MSRs related to our Consumer Banking business that are carried at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents MSRs related to our Commercial Banking business that are subsequently accounted for under the amortization method and periodically assessed for impairment.

Amortization expense for amortizable intangible assets, which is presented separately in our consolidated statements of income, totaled \$62 million and \$101 million for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Goodwill

The following table presents changes in the carrying amount of goodwill as well as goodwill attributable to each of our business segments as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 7.2: Goodwill Attributable to Business Segments

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Credit Card	Consumer Banking	Commercial Banking	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ 5,018	\$ 4,600	\$ 4,901	\$ 14,519
Other adjustments ⁽¹⁾	2	0	0	2
Balance as of March 31, 2017	<u>\$ 5,020</u>	<u>\$ 4,600</u>	<u>\$ 4,901</u>	<u>\$ 14,521</u>

⁽¹⁾ Represent foreign currency translation adjustments.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 8—DEPOSITS AND BORROWINGS

Deposits

Our deposits, which are our largest source of funding for our assets and operations, consist of non-interest-bearing and interest-bearing deposits, which include checking accounts, money market deposit accounts, negotiable order of withdrawals, savings deposits and time deposits.

Securitized and Unsecured Debt Obligations

In addition to our deposits, which serve as our primary funding source, we use a variety of other funding sources including short-term borrowings, the issuance of senior and subordinated notes and other borrowings, and securitization transactions. In addition, we utilize FHLB advances, which are secured by certain portions of our loan and investment securities portfolios, for our funding needs. The securitized debt obligations are separately presented on our consolidated balance sheets as they represent obligations of consolidated securitization trusts, while federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase, senior and subordinated notes and other borrowings, including FHLB advances, are included in other debt on our consolidated balance sheets.

Securitized Debt Obligations

Our outstanding borrowings due to securitization investors decreased to \$18.5 billion as of March 31, 2017, from \$18.8 billion as of December 31, 2016. During the first quarter of 2017, \$3.0 billion of new debt was issued to third-party investors from our credit card loan securitization trust offset by \$3.3 billion of maturities.

Senior and Subordinated Notes

As of March 31, 2017, we had \$26.4 billion of senior and subordinated notes outstanding, inclusive of fair value hedging adjustments of \$304 million. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$23.4 billion of senior and subordinated notes outstanding, inclusive of fair value hedging adjustments of \$280 million. During the first quarter of 2017, we issued \$4.0 billion of long-term senior and subordinated debt comprised of \$900 million of floating-rate notes and \$3.1 billion of fixed-rate notes. During the first quarter of 2017, \$1.0 billion of senior and subordinated notes were matured. See “Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” for information about our fair value hedging activities.

FHLB Advances and Other

We have access to funding through the FHLB system and the Federal Reserve Discount Window. Our FHLB and Federal Reserve memberships require us to hold FHLB and Federal Reserve stock which totaled \$1.2 billion and \$1.9 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

Our FHLB advances and lines of credit are secured by our investment securities, residential home loans, multifamily real estate loans, commercial real estate loans and HELOCs. Outstanding FHLB advances totaled \$2.4 billion and \$17.2 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 2016, respectively, substantially all of which represented long-term advances generally callable on either a one-month or a three-month basis. We did not access the Federal Reserve Discount Window for funding during 2016 or the first quarter of 2017.

Composition of Deposits, Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

The table below summarizes the components of our deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Our total short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase. Our long-term debt consists of borrowings with an original contractual maturity of greater than one year. The amounts presented for outstanding borrowings include unamortized debt premiums and discounts, net of debt issuance costs and fair value hedge accounting adjustments.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Table 8.1: Components of Deposits, Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Deposits:		
Non-interest-bearing deposits	\$ 26,364	\$ 25,502
Interest-bearing deposits	214,818	211,266
Total deposits	<u>\$ 241,182</u>	<u>\$ 236,768</u>
Short-term borrowings:		
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 1,046	\$ 992
Total short-term borrowings	<u>\$ 1,046</u>	<u>\$ 992</u>

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017			Outstanding Amount	December 31, 2016
	Maturity Dates	Interest Rates	Weighted- Average Interest Rate		
Long-term debt:					
Securitized debt obligations ⁽¹⁾	2017 - 2025	0.95 - 5.75%	1.83%	\$ 18,528	\$ 18,826
Senior and subordinated notes: ⁽¹⁾					
Fixed unsecured senior debt	2017 - 2027	1.30 - 6.75	2.71	19,628	17,546
Floating unsecured senior debt	2018 - 2023	1.71 - 2.19	1.98	2,249	1,353
Total unsecured senior debt			2.64	21,877	18,899
Fixed unsecured subordinated debt	2019 - 2026	3.38 - 8.80	4.09	4,528	4,532
Total senior and subordinated notes				26,405	23,431
Other long-term borrowings:					
FHLB advances	2017 - 2023	0.70 - 6.41	0.75	2,428	17,179
Capital lease obligations	2024 - 2035	3.09 - 12.86	4.16	32	32
Total other long-term borrowings				2,460	17,211
Total long-term debt				<u>\$ 47,393</u>	<u>\$ 59,468</u>
Total short-term borrowings and long-term debt				<u>\$ 48,439</u>	<u>\$ 60,460</u>

⁽¹⁾ Outstanding amount includes fair value hedge accounting adjustments.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Components of Interest Expense

The following table displays interest expense attributable to short-term borrowings and long-term debt for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016:

Table 8.2: Components of Interest Expense on Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Short-term borrowings:		
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 1	\$ 1
Total short-term borrowings	1	1
Long-term debt:		
Securitized debt obligations ⁽¹⁾	69	48
Senior and subordinated notes ⁽¹⁾	149	106
Other long-term borrowings	24	23
Total long-term debt	242	177
Total interest expense on short-term borrowings and long-term debt	\$ 243	\$ 178

⁽¹⁾ Interest expense includes the impact from qualifying hedge accounting relationships.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 9—DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

Use of Derivatives

We manage asset and liability positions and market risk exposure and limits in accordance with market risk management policies that are approved by our Board of Directors. Our primary market risks stem from the impact on our earnings and economic value of equity from changes in interest rates and, to a lesser extent, changes in foreign exchange rates. We employ several techniques to manage our interest rate sensitivity, which include changing the duration and re-pricing characteristics of various assets and liabilities by using interest rate derivatives. Our current policies also include the use of derivatives to hedge exposures denominated in foreign currency so we may limit our earnings and capital ratio exposures to foreign exchange risk. We execute our derivative contracts in both the over-the-counter (“OTC”) and exchange-traded derivative markets, and clear eligible derivative transactions through Central Counterparty Clearinghouses (“CCPs”), often referred to as “central clearinghouses,” as required under the Dodd-Frank Act. The majority of our derivatives are interest rate swaps. In addition, we may use a variety of other derivative instruments, including caps, floors, options, futures and forward contracts, to manage our interest rate and foreign exchange risks. We offer various interest rate, foreign exchange rate and commodity derivatives as an accommodation to our customers within our Commercial Banking business, and usually offset our exposure through derivative transactions with other counterparties.

Accounting for Derivatives

Our derivatives are designated as either qualifying accounting hedges or free-standing derivatives. Qualifying accounting hedges are designated as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or net investment hedges. Free-standing derivatives primarily consist of customer-accommodation derivatives and economic hedges that do not qualify for hedge accounting.

- *Fair Value Hedges:* We designate derivatives as fair value hedges when they are used to manage our exposure to changes in the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, which fluctuate in value as a result of movements in interest rates. Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as fair value hedges are recorded in earnings together with offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged item and any resulting ineffectiveness. Our fair value hedges consist of interest rate swaps that are intended to modify our exposure to interest rate risk on various fixed-rate assets and liabilities.
- *Cash Flow Hedges:* We designate derivatives as cash flow hedges when they are used to manage our exposure to variability in cash flows related to forecasted transactions. Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as a component of AOCI, to the extent that the hedge relationships are effective, and amounts are reclassified from AOCI to earnings as the forecasted transactions impact earnings. To the extent that any ineffectiveness exists in the hedge relationships, the amounts are recorded in current period earnings. Our cash flow hedges use interest rate swaps and floors that are intended to hedge the variability in interest receipts or interest payments on some of our variable-rate assets or liabilities. We also enter into foreign currency forward derivative contracts to hedge our exposure to variability in cash flows related to intercompany borrowings denominated in foreign currency.
- *Net Investment Hedges:* We use net investment hedges to manage the foreign currency exposure related to our net investments in foreign operations that have functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Changes in the fair value of net investment hedges are recorded in the translation adjustment component of AOCI, offsetting the translation gain or loss from those foreign operations. We execute net investment hedges using foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge the translation exposure of the net investment in our foreign operations.
- *Free-Standing Derivatives:* We use free-standing derivatives to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of residential MSRs, mortgage loan origination and purchase commitments and other interests held. We also categorize our customer accommodation derivatives and the related offsetting contracts as free-standing derivatives. Changes in the fair value of free-standing derivatives are recorded in earnings as a component of other non-interest income.

Balance Sheet Presentation

The following table summarizes the notional and fair values of our derivative instruments on a gross basis as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, which are segregated by derivatives that are designated as accounting hedges and those that are not, and are further segregated by type of contract within those two categories. The total derivative assets and liabilities are adjusted on an aggregate basis to take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements and any associated cash collateral received or paid.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Table 9.1: Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Notional or Contractual Amount	Derivative ⁽¹⁾		Notional or Contractual Amount	Derivative ⁽¹⁾	
		Assets	Liabilities		Assets	Liabilities
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges:						
Interest rate contracts:						
Fair value hedges	\$ 48,009	\$ 246	\$ 637	\$ 40,480	\$ 295	\$ 569
Cash flow hedges	52,200	60	369	50,400	151	287
Total interest rate contracts	100,209	306	1,006	90,880	446	856
Foreign exchange contracts:						
Cash flow hedges	5,307	27	34	5,620	108	9
Net investment hedges	2,535	62	24	2,396	163	0
Total foreign exchange contracts	7,842	89	58	8,016	271	9
Total derivatives designated as accounting hedges	108,051	395	1,064	98,896	717	865
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:						
Interest rate contracts covering:						
MSRs ⁽²⁾	1,551	20	13	1,696	17	21
Customer accommodation	41,220	571	435	39,474	670	530
Other interest rate exposures ⁽³⁾	2,509	32	12	1,105	33	8
Total interest rate contracts	45,280	623	460	42,275	720	559
Other contracts	1,752	51	7	1,767	57	14
Total derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	47,032	674	467	44,042	777	573
Total derivatives	\$ 155,083	\$ 1,069	\$ 1,531	\$ 142,938	\$ 1,494	\$ 1,438
Less: netting adjustment ⁽⁴⁾		(375)	(246)		(539)	(336)
Total derivative assets/liabilities		\$ 694	\$ 1,285		\$ 955	\$ 1,102

⁽¹⁾ Derivative assets and liabilities include interest accruals and exclude valuation adjustments related to non-performance risk.

⁽²⁾ Includes interest rate swaps and to-be-announced contracts.

⁽³⁾ Includes mortgage-related derivatives.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents balance sheet netting of derivative assets and liabilities, and related payables and receivables for cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparty. See Table 9.2 for further information.

Offsetting of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Derivative contracts and repurchase agreements that we execute bilaterally in the OTC market are governed by enforceable master netting arrangements where we generally have the right to offset exposure with the same counterparty. Either counterparty can generally request to net settle all contracts through a single payment upon default on, or termination of, any one contract. We elect to offset the derivative assets and liabilities under netting arrangements for balance sheet presentation where a right of setoff exists. Derivative contracts that are cleared with central clearinghouses through our Future Commission Merchants (“FCMs”) are not subject to offsetting due to the uncertainty existing around an end-user’s ability to setoff these derivative contracts. Therefore, as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we did not offset our derivative positions cleared through clearinghouses.

We also maintain collateral agreements with certain derivative counterparties. For bilateral derivatives, we review our collateral positions on a daily basis and exchange collateral with our counterparties in accordance with standard International Swaps and Derivatives Association documentation and other related agreements. Agreements with certain bilateral counterparties require both parties to maintain collateral in the event the fair values of derivative instruments exceed established exposure thresholds. For centrally cleared derivatives, we are subject to initial margin posting and daily variation margin exchange with the central clearinghouses. Acceptable types of collateral are typically in the form of cash or high quality liquid securities.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The exchange of collateral is dependent upon the fair value of the derivative instruments as well as the fair value of the pledged collateral. When valuing collateral, an estimate of the variation in price and liquidity over time is subtracted in the form of a “haircut” to discount the value of the collateral pledged.

The following table presents as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 the gross and net fair values of our derivative assets and liabilities and repurchase agreements, as well as the related offsetting amounts permitted under U.S. GAAP. The table also includes cash and non-cash collateral received or pledged associated with such arrangements. The collateral amounts shown are limited to the extent of the related net derivative fair values or outstanding balances, thus instances of over-collateralization are not shown.

Table 9.2: Offsetting of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Gross Amounts	Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet		Net Amounts as Recognized	Securities Collateral Held Under Master Netting Agreements	Net Exposure
		Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received			
As of March 31, 2017						
Derivatives assets ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 1,069	\$ (194)	\$ (181)	\$ 694	\$ 0	\$ 694
As of December 31, 2016						
Derivatives assets ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	1,494	(152)	(387)	955	(11)	944

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Gross Amounts	Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet		Net Amounts as Recognized	Securities Collateral Pledged Under Master Netting Agreements	Net Exposure
		Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Pledged			
As of March 31, 2017						
Derivatives liabilities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 1,531	\$ (194)	\$ (52)	\$ 1,285	\$ 0	\$ 1,285
Repurchase agreements ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	1,046	0	0	1,046	(1,046)	0
As of December 31, 2016						
Derivatives liabilities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	1,438	(152)	(184)	1,102	0	1,102
Repurchase agreements ⁽³⁾	992	0	0	992	(992)	0

⁽¹⁾ The gross balances include derivative assets and derivative liabilities as of March 31, 2017 that totaled \$400 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively, related to the centrally cleared derivative contracts. The comparable amounts as of December 31, 2016 totaled \$491 million and \$908 million, respectively. These contracts were not subject to offsetting as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

⁽²⁾ We received cash collateral from derivative counterparties totaling \$208 million and \$448 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We also received securities from derivative counterparties with a fair value of \$1 million and \$16 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, which we have the ability to re-pledge. We posted \$1.4 billion and \$1.5 billion of cash collateral as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽³⁾ As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we only had repurchase obligations outstanding and did not have any reverse repurchase receivables.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents customer repurchase agreements that mature the next business day. As of March 31, 2017, we pledged collateral with a fair value of \$1.1 billion under these customer repurchase agreements, which were primarily agency RMBS securities.

Derivatives Counterparty Credit Risk

Derivative instruments contain an element of credit risk that arises from the potential failure of a counterparty to perform according to the terms of the contract. Our exposure to derivative counterparty credit risk, at any point in time, is represented by the fair value of derivatives in a gain position, or derivative asset position, assuming no recoveries of underlying collateral. We also engage in certain foreign exchange derivatives that may give rise to counterparty settlement risk.

To mitigate the risk of counterparty default, we enter into legally enforceable master netting agreements and collateral agreements, where possible, with certain derivative counterparties. We generally enter into these agreements on a bilateral basis with our counterparties. These bilateral agreements typically provide the right to offset exposures and require one counterparty to post collateral on derivative instruments in a net liability position to the other counterparty. Certain of these bilateral agreements include provisions requiring that our debt maintain a credit rating of investment grade or above by each of the major credit rating agencies. In the event of a downgrade of our debt credit rating below investment grade, some of our counterparties would have the right to

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

terminate the derivative contract and close out the existing positions.

We also clear eligible OTC derivatives with central clearinghouses through FCMs as part of the regulatory requirement. The use of the central clearinghouses and the FCMs reduces our bilateral counterparty credit exposures while it increases our credit exposures to CCPs and FCMs. Our FCM agreements governing these derivative transactions generally include provisions that may require us to post more collateral or otherwise change terms in our agreements under certain circumstances.

We record counterparty credit risk valuation adjustments on our OTC derivative contracts to properly reflect the credit quality of the counterparty. We consider collateral and legally enforceable master netting agreements that mitigate our credit exposure to each counterparty in determining the counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment, which may be adjusted in future periods due to changes in the fair value of the derivative contracts, collateral and creditworthiness of the counterparty. The cumulative counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment recorded on our consolidated balance sheets as a reduction to the derivative asset balance was \$5 million and \$6 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We also adjust the fair value of our derivative liabilities to reflect the impact of our own credit quality. We calculate this adjustment by comparing the spreads on our credit default swaps to the discount benchmark curve. The cumulative credit risk valuation adjustment related to our credit quality recorded on our consolidated balance sheets as a reduction in the derivative liability balance was less than \$1 million as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Income Statement Presentation and AOCI

Fair Value Hedges and Free-Standing Derivatives

The net gains (losses) recognized in earnings related to derivatives in fair value hedging relationships and free-standing derivatives are presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 9.3: Gains and Losses on Fair Value Hedges and Free-Standing Derivatives

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges:⁽¹⁾		
Fair value interest rate contracts:		
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings on derivatives	\$ (45)	\$ 208
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings on hedged items	39	(192)
Net fair value hedge ineffectiveness gains (losses)	<u>(6)</u>	<u>16</u>
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:⁽¹⁾		
Interest rate contracts covering:		
MSRs	0	10
Customer accommodation	10	5
Other interest rate exposures	7	15
Total interest rate contracts	<u>17</u>	<u>30</u>
Foreign exchange contracts	0	0
Other contracts	0	0
Total gains on derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	<u>17</u>	<u>30</u>
Net derivative gains recognized in earnings	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>\$ 46</u>

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are recorded in our consolidated statements of income in other non-interest income.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash Flow and Net Investment Hedges

The table below shows the net gains (losses) related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges and net investment hedges for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 9.4: Gains and Losses on Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges and Net Investment Hedges

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Gains (losses) recorded in AOCI:		
Cash flow hedges:		
Interest rate contracts	\$ (30)	\$ 426
Foreign exchange contracts	4	0
Subtotal	(26)	426
Net investment hedges:		
Foreign exchange contracts	(22)	41
Net derivatives gains (losses) recognized in AOCI	\$ (48)	\$ 467
Gains (losses) recorded in earnings:		
Cash flow hedges:		
Gains (losses) reclassified from AOCI into earnings:		
Interest rate contracts ⁽¹⁾	\$ 37	\$ 50
Foreign exchange contracts ⁽²⁾	3	(1)
Subtotal	40	49
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings due to ineffectiveness:		
Interest rate contracts ⁽²⁾	(1)	3
Net derivative gains (losses) recognized in earnings	\$ 39	\$ 52

⁽¹⁾ Amounts reclassified are recorded in our consolidated statements of income in interest income or interest expense.

⁽²⁾ Amounts are recorded in our consolidated statements of income in other non-interest income or other interest income.

In the next 12 months, we expect to reclassify to earnings net after-tax gains of \$67 million currently recorded in AOCI as of March 31, 2017. These amounts will offset the cash flows associated with the hedged forecasted transactions. The maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions were hedged was approximately six years as of March 31, 2017. The amount we expect to reclassify into earnings may change as a result of changes in market conditions and ongoing actions taken as part of our overall risk management strategy.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 10—STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

The following table summarizes the Company's preferred stock issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 10.1: Preferred Stock Issued and Outstanding⁽¹⁾

Series	Description	Issuance Date	Redeemable by Issuer Beginning	Per Annum Dividend Rate	Dividend Frequency	Liquidation Preference per Share	Total Shares Outstanding	Carrying Value (in millions)	
								March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Series B	6.00% Non-Cumulative	August 20, 2012	September 1, 2017	6.00%	Quarterly	\$ 1,000	875,000	\$ 853	\$ 853
Series C	6.25% Non-Cumulative	June 12, 2014	September 1, 2019	6.25	Quarterly	1,000	500,000	484	484
Series D	6.70% Non-Cumulative	October 31, 2014	December 1, 2019	6.70	Quarterly	1,000	500,000	485	485
Series E	Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative	May 14, 2015	June 1, 2020	5.55% through 5/31/2020; 3-mo. LIBOR + 380 bps thereafter	Semi-Annually through 5/31/2020; Quarterly thereafter	1,000	1,000,000	988	988
Series F	6.20% Non-Cumulative	August 24, 2015	December 1, 2020	6.20	Quarterly	1,000	500,000	484	484
Series G	5.20% Non-Cumulative	July 29, 2016	December 1, 2021	5.20	Quarterly	1,000	600,000	583	583
Series H	6.00% Non-Cumulative	November 29, 2016	December 1, 2021	6.00	Quarterly	1,000	500,000	483	483
Total								\$ 4,360	\$ 4,360

⁽¹⁾ With the exception of Series E, ownership is held in the form of depositary shares, each representing a 1/40th interest in a share of fixed-rate non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the changes in AOCI by component for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 10.2: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in millions)	Securities Available for Sale	Securities Held to Maturity ⁽¹⁾	Cash Flow Hedges	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments ⁽²⁾	Other	Total
AOCI as of December 31, 2016	\$ (4)	\$ (621)	\$ (78)	\$ (222)	\$ (24)	\$ (949)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	36	0	(26)	17	7	34
Amounts reclassified from AOCI into earnings	0	23	(40)	0	(2)	(19)
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	36	23	(66)	17	5	15
AOCI as of March 31, 2017	\$ 32	\$ (598)	\$ (144)	\$ (205)	\$ (19)	\$ (934)

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Securities Available for Sale	Securities Held to Maturity ⁽¹⁾	Cash Flow Hedges	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments ⁽²⁾	Other	Total
AOCI as of December 31, 2015	\$ 162	\$ (725)	\$ 120	\$ (143)	\$ (30)	\$ (616)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	182	0	426	1	(13)	596
Amounts reclassified from AOCI into earnings	5	21	(49)	0	2	(21)
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	187	21	377	1	(11)	575
AOCI as of March 31, 2016	<u>\$ 349</u>	<u>\$ (704)</u>	<u>\$ 497</u>	<u>\$ (142)</u>	<u>\$ (41)</u>	<u>\$ (41)</u>

⁽¹⁾ The amortization of unrealized holding gains or losses reported in AOCI for securities held to maturity will be offset by the amortization of premium or discount created from the transfer of securities from available for sale to held to maturity, which occurred at fair value. These unrealized gains or losses will be amortized over the remaining life of the security with no expected impact on future net income.

⁽²⁾ Includes the impact from hedging instruments designated as net investment hedges.

The following table presents the impacts on net income of amounts reclassified from each component of AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 10.3: Reclassifications from AOCI

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>		Amount Reclassified from AOCI	
AOCI Components	Affected Income Statement Line Item	Three Months Ended March 31,	
		2017	2016
Securities available for sale:			
	Non-interest income	\$ 0	\$ (8)
	Income tax provision (benefit)	0	(3)
	Net income (loss)	0	(5)
Securities held to maturity:⁽¹⁾			
	Interest income	(36)	(33)
	Income tax provision (benefit)	(13)	(12)
	Net income (loss)	(23)	(21)
Cash flow hedges:			
Interest rate contracts:	Interest income	58	79
Foreign exchange contracts:	Interest income	6	(1)
	Non-interest income	0	(1)
	Income from continuing operations before income taxes	64	77
	Income tax provision	24	28
	Net income	40	49
Other:			
	Various (pension and other)	2	(2)
	Income tax provision	0	0
	Net income	2	(2)
Total reclassifications		<u>\$ 19</u>	<u>\$ 21</u>

⁽¹⁾ The amortization of unrealized holding gains or losses reported in AOCI for securities held to maturity will be offset by the amortization of premium or discount created from the transfer of securities from available for sale to held to maturity, which occurred at fair value. These unrealized gains or losses will be amortized over the remaining life of the security with no expected impact on future net income.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below summarizes other comprehensive income activity and the related tax impact for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 10.4: Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2017			2016		
	Before Tax	Provision (Benefit)	After Tax	Before Tax	Provision (Benefit)	After Tax
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	\$ 46	\$ 10	\$ 36	\$ 296	\$ 109	\$ 187
Net changes in securities held to maturity	36	13	23	33	12	21
Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges	(104)	(38)	(66)	600	223	377
Foreign currency translation adjustments ⁽¹⁾	4	(13)	17	26	25	1
Other	7	2	5	(17)	(6)	(11)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (11)	\$ (26)	\$ 15	\$ 938	\$ 363	\$ 575

⁽¹⁾ Includes the impact from hedging instruments designated as net investment hedges.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 11—EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share.

Table 11.1: Computation of Basic and Diluted Earnings per Common Share

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
<i>(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)</i>		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 795	\$ 1,018
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	15	(5)
Net income	810	1,013
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities ⁽¹⁾	(5)	(6)
Preferred stock dividends	(53)	(37)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 752	\$ 970
Total weighted-average basic shares outstanding	482.3	523.5
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Stock options	2.9	1.8
Other contingently issuable shares	1.4	1.2
Warrants ⁽²⁾	1.3	1.5
Total effect of dilutive securities	5.6	4.5
Total weighted-average diluted shares outstanding	487.9	528.0
Basic earnings per common share:		
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.86
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.03	(0.01)
Net income per basic common share	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.85
Diluted earnings per common share:⁽³⁾		
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.85
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.03	(0.01)
Net income per diluted common share	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.84

⁽¹⁾ Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities includes undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities using the two-class method under the accounting guidance for computing earnings per share.

⁽²⁾ Represents warrants issued as part of the U.S. Department of Treasury's Troubled Assets Relief Program ("TARP"). There were 1.5 million and 4.1 million warrants to purchase common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2017 and March 31, 2016, respectively.

⁽³⁾ Excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share were 222 thousand shares related to options with an exercise price of \$86.34 and 2.9 million shares related to options with exercise prices ranging from \$63.73 to \$88.81 for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 12—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

Fair value, also referred to as an exit price, is defined as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value accounting guidance provides a three-level fair value hierarchy for classifying financial instruments. This hierarchy is based on the markets in which the assets or liabilities trade and whether the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value are observable or unobservable. The fair value measurement of a financial asset or liability is assigned a level based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1: Valuation is based on quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Valuation is based on observable market-based inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or models using inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data of substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3: Valuation is generated from techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. Valuation techniques include pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques.

The accounting guidance for fair value measurements requires that we maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs in determining fair value. The accounting guidance provides for the irrevocable option to elect, on a contract-by-contract basis, to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value at inception of the contract and record any subsequent changes in fair value in earnings. We have not made any material fair value option elections as of or for the periods disclosed herein.

The determination and classification of financial instruments in the fair value hierarchy is performed at the end of each reporting period. We consider all available information, including observable market data, indications of market liquidity and orderliness, and our understanding of the valuation techniques and significant inputs. For additional information on the valuation techniques used in estimating the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities on a recurring or nonrecurring basis and for estimating the fair value for financial instruments that are not recorded at fair value, see “Note 17—Fair Value Measurement” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Fair Value Governance and Control

We have a governance framework and a number of key controls that are intended to ensure that our fair value measurements are appropriate and reliable. Our governance framework provides for independent oversight and segregation of duties. Our control processes include review and approval of new transaction types, price verification and review of valuation judgments, methods, models, process controls and results.

Groups independent of our trading and investing functions participate in the review and validation process. Tasks performed by these groups include periodic verification of fair value measurements to determine if assigned fair values are reasonable, including comparing prices from third-party pricing services to other available market information.

Our Fair Value Committee (“FVC”), which includes representation from business areas, Risk Management and Finance divisions, provides guidance and oversight to ensure an appropriate valuation control environment. The FVC regularly reviews and approves our fair valuations to ensure that our valuation practices are consistent with industry standards and adhere to regulatory and accounting guidance.

We have a model policy, established by an independent Model Risk Office, which governs the validation of models and related supporting documentation to ensure the appropriate use of models for pricing and fair value measurements. The Model Risk Office validates all models and provides ongoing monitoring of their performance.

The fair valuation governance process is set up in a manner that allows the Chairperson of the FVC to escalate valuation disputes that cannot be resolved by the FVC to a more senior committee called the Valuations Advisory Committee (“VAC”) for resolution. The VAC is chaired by the Chief Financial Officer and includes other members of senior management. The VAC is only required to convene to review escalated valuation disputes

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table displays our assets and liabilities measured on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. During the three months ended March 31, 2017, we had minimal movements between Levels 1 and 2.

Table 12.1: Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017			
	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 5,170	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 5,170
RMBS	0	29,190	449	29,639
CMBS	0	4,784	78	4,862
Other ABS	0	688	0	688
Other securities	274	618	9	901
Total securities available for sale	5,444	35,280	536	41,260
Other assets:				
Derivative assets ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	2	1,014	53	1,069
Other ⁽³⁾	248	0	281	529
Total assets	\$ 5,694	\$ 36,294	\$ 870	\$ 42,858
Liabilities:				
Other liabilities:				
Derivative liabilities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 2	\$ 1,498	\$ 31	\$ 1,531
Total liabilities	\$ 2	\$ 1,498	\$ 31	\$ 1,531
December 31, 2016				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 5,065	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 5,065
RMBS	0	28,731	518	29,249
CMBS	0	4,937	51	4,988
Other ABS	0	714	0	714
Other securities	295	417	9	721
Total securities available for sale	5,360	34,799	578	40,737
Other assets:				
Derivative assets ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	7	1,440	47	1,494
Other ⁽³⁾	219	0	281	500
Total assets	\$ 5,586	\$ 36,239	\$ 906	\$ 42,731
Liabilities:				
Other liabilities:				
Derivative liabilities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 12	\$ 1,397	\$ 29	\$ 1,438
Total liabilities	\$ 12	\$ 1,397	\$ 29	\$ 1,438

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

- (1) The balances represent gross derivative amounts and are not reduced by the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to net positive and negative positions and the related payables and receivables for cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparty. The net derivative assets were \$694 million and \$955 million, and the net derivative liabilities were \$1.3 billion and \$1.1 billion as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. See “Note 9—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” for further information, including further disaggregation of the balance composition.
- (2) Does not reflect \$4 million and \$5 million recognized as a net valuation allowance on derivative assets and liabilities for non-performance risk as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Non-performance risk is included in the derivative assets and liabilities which are part of other assets and liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and offset through non-interest income in the consolidated statements of income.
- (3) Other includes consumer MSRs of \$86 million and \$80 million, retained interests in securitizations of \$195 million and \$201 million and deferred compensation plan assets of \$248 million and \$219 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Rollforward

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured and recognized at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016. When assets and liabilities are transferred between levels, we recognize the transfer as of the end of the period.

Table 12.2: Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Rollforward

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)										Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Net Income Related to Assets and Liabilities Still Held as of March 31, 2017 ⁽³⁾
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2017										
	Balance, January 1, 2017	Total Gains (Losses) (Realized/Unrealized)		Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers Into Level 3 ⁽²⁾	Transfers Out of Level 3 ⁽²⁾	Balance, March 31, 2017	
	Included in Net Income ⁽¹⁾	Included in OCI									
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>											
Assets:											
Securities available for sale:											
RMBS	\$ 518	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (22)	\$ 53	\$ (117)	\$ 449	\$ 0
CMBS	51	0	0	60	0	0	(1)	0	(32)	78	9
Other securities	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Total securities available for sale	578	9	8	60	0	0	(23)	53	(149)	536	9
Other assets:											
Derivative assets ⁽⁴⁾	47	(1)	0	0	0	18	(10)	0	(1)	53	(1)
Consumer MSRs	80	1	0	0	0	7	(2)	0	0	86	1
Retained interest in securitizations	201	(6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	195	(6)
Liabilities:											
Other liabilities:											
Derivative liabilities ⁽⁴⁾	\$ (29)	\$ 1	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (6)	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (31)	\$ 1

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Balance, January 1, 2016	Total Gains (Losses) (Realized/Unrealized)		Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers Into Level 3 ⁽²⁾	Transfers Out of Level 3 ⁽²⁾	Balance, March 31, 2016	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Net Income Related to Assets and Liabilities Still Held as of March 31, 2016 ⁽³⁾
		Included in Net Income ⁽¹⁾	Included in OCI								
Assets:											
Securities available for sale:											
RMBS	\$ 504	\$ 6	\$ (5)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (17)	\$ 127	\$ (110)	\$ 505	\$ 6
CMBS	97	0	1	93	0	0	(4)	64	0	251	0
Other ABS	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	30	0
Other securities	14	0	0	0	0	0	(3)	0	0	11	0
Total securities available for sale	615	6	(4)	123	0	0	(24)	191	(110)	797	6
Other assets:											
Derivative assets ⁽⁴⁾	57	19	0	0	0	12	(11)	0	(6)	71	19
Consumer MSRs	68	(12)	0	0	0	4	(1)	0	0	59	(12)
Retained interest in securitizations	211	(10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	201	(10)
Liabilities:											
Other liabilities:											
Derivative liabilities ⁽⁴⁾	\$ (27)	\$ (14)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (7)	\$ 3	\$ 0	\$ 5	\$ (40)	\$ (14)

⁽¹⁾ Gains (losses) related to Level 3 Consumer MSRs, derivative assets and derivative liabilities, and retained interests in securitizations are reported in other non-interest income, which is a component of non-interest income, in our consolidated statements of income.

⁽²⁾ During the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the transfers into Level 3 were primarily driven by less consistency among vendor pricing on individual securities, while the transfers out of Level 3 were primarily driven by greater consistency among multiple pricing sources.

⁽³⁾ The amount presented for unrealized gains (losses) for assets still held as of the reporting date primarily represents impairments of securities available for sale, accretion on certain fixed maturity securities, changes in fair value of derivative instruments and mortgage servicing rights transactions.

⁽⁴⁾ All Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities are presented on a gross basis and are not reduced by the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to net positive and negative positions and the related payables and receivables for cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparty.

Significant Level 3 Fair Value Asset and Liability Input Sensitivity

Changes in unobservable inputs may have a significant impact on fair value. Certain of these unobservable inputs will, in isolation, have a directionally consistent impact on the fair value of the instrument for a given change in that input. Alternatively, the fair value of the instrument may move in an opposite direction for a given change in another input. In general, an increase in the discount rate, default rates, loss severity and credit spreads, in isolation, would result in a decrease in the fair value measurement. In addition, an increase in default rates would generally be accompanied by a decrease in recovery rates, slower prepayment rates and an increase in liquidity spreads.

Techniques and Inputs for Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the significant unobservable inputs used to determine the fair values of our Level 3 financial instruments on a recurring basis. We utilize multiple third-party pricing services to obtain fair value for our securities. Several of our third-party pricing services are only able to provide unobservable input information for a limited number of securities due to software licensing restrictions. Other third-party pricing services are able to provide unobservable input information for all securities for which they provide a valuation. As a result, the unobservable input information for the securities available for sale presented below represents a composite summary of all information we are able to obtain. The unobservable input information for all other Level 3 financial instruments is based on the assumptions used in our internal valuation models.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Table 12.3: Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements					
(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value at March 31, 2017	Significant Valuation Techniques	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range	Weighted Average
Assets:					
Securities available for sale:					
RMBS	\$ 449	Discounted cash flows (3rd party pricing)	Yield Constant prepayment rate Default rate Loss severity	1-7% 0-30% 0-17% 3-85%	5% 4% 4% 54%
CMBS	78	Discounted cash flows (3rd party pricing)	Yield Constant prepayment rate	2% 0%	2% 0%
Other securities	9	Discounted cash flows	Yield	1-2%	1%
Other assets:					
Derivative assets ⁽¹⁾	53	Discounted cash flows	Swap rates	2-3%	2%
Consumer MSRs	86	Discounted cash flows	Total prepayment rate Discount rate Option-adjusted spread rate Servicing cost (\$ per loan)	7-20% 16% 500-1500 bps \$75-\$100	15% 16% 584 bps \$76
Retained interests in securitization ⁽²⁾	195	Discounted cash flows	Life of receivables (months) Constant prepayment rate Discount rate Default rate Loss severity	2-79 2-13% 4-12% 1-5% 8-104%	N/A
Liabilities:					
Derivative liabilities ⁽¹⁾	\$ 31	Discounted cash flows	Swap rates	2-3%	2%

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements					
(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value at December 31, 2016	Significant Valuation Techniques	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range	Weighted Average
Assets:					
Securities available for sale:					
RMBS	\$ 518	Discounted cash flows (3rd party pricing)	Yield Constant prepayment rate Default rate Loss severity	0-15% 0-30% 0-16% 9-87%	5% 4% 4% 57%
CMBS	51	Discounted cash flows (3rd party pricing)	Yield Constant prepayment rate	2% 0%	2% 0%
Other securities	9	Discounted cash flows	Yield	1-2%	1%
Other assets:					
Derivative assets ⁽¹⁾	47	Discounted cash flows	Swap rates	2%	2%
Consumer MSRs	80	Discounted cash flows	Total prepayment rate Discount rate Option-adjusted spread rate Servicing cost (\$ per loan)	8-20% 15% 580-1,500 bps \$75-\$100	15% 15% 636 bps \$76
Retained interests in securitization ⁽²⁾	201	Discounted cash flows	Life of receivables (months) Constant prepayment rate Discount rate Default rate Loss severity	6-87 2-11% 4-11% 1-6% 7-102%	N/A
Liabilities:					
Derivative liabilities ⁽¹⁾	\$ 29	Discounted cash flows	Swap rates	2%	2%

⁽¹⁾ All Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities are presented on a gross basis and are not reduced by the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to net positive and negative positions and the related payables and receivables for cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparty.

⁽²⁾ Due to the nature of the various mortgage securitization structures in which we have retained interests, it is not meaningful to present a consolidated weighted average for the significant unobservable inputs.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

We are required to measure and recognize certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis on the consolidated balance sheets. These assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, from the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting or when we evaluate for impairment).

The following table presents the carrying amount of the assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and still held as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, and for which a nonrecurring fair value measurement was recorded during the three and twelve months then ended:

Table 12.4: Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017		
	Estimated Fair Value Hierarchy		
	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Loans held for investment	\$ 0	\$ 265	\$ 265
Loans held for sale	246	2	248
Other assets ⁽¹⁾	0	35	35
Total	\$ 246	\$ 302	\$ 548
	December 31, 2016		
	Estimated Fair Value Hierarchy		
	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Loans held for investment	\$ 0	\$ 587	\$ 587
Loans held for sale	157	0	157
Other assets ⁽¹⁾	0	83	83
Total	\$ 157	\$ 670	\$ 827

⁽¹⁾ Other assets includes foreclosed property and repossessed assets of \$29 million and long-lived assets held for sale of \$6 million as of March 31, 2017, compared to foreclosed property and repossessed assets of \$43 million and long-lived assets held for sale of \$40 million as of December 31, 2016.

In the above table, loans held for investment primarily include nonperforming loans for which specific reserves or charge-offs have been recognized. These loans are classified as Level 3, as they are valued based in part on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral and the non-recoverable rate, which is considered to be a significant unobservable input. Collateral fair value sources include the appraisal value obtained from independent appraisers, broker pricing opinions or other available market information. The non-recoverable rate ranged from 0% to 66%, with a weighted average of 21%, and from 0% to 73%, with a weighted average of 16%, as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The fair value of the loans held for sale and the other assets classified as Level 3 is determined based on appraisal value or listing price which involves significant judgment; the significant unobservable inputs and related quantitative information are not meaningful to disclose as they vary significantly across properties and collateral.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents total nonrecurring fair value measurements for the period, included in earnings, attributable to the change in fair value relating to assets that are still held at March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 12.5: Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements Included in Earnings

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Total Gains (Losses)	
	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Loans held for investment	\$ (38)	\$ (71)
Loans held for sale	0	0
Other assets ⁽¹⁾	(5)	(4)
Total	\$ (43)	\$ (75)

⁽¹⁾ Other assets includes losses related to foreclosed property, repossessed assets and long-lived assets held for sale.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair value, including the level within the fair value hierarchy, of our financial instruments that are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis on our consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 12.6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	March 31, 2017				
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Estimated Fair Value Hierarchy		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,315	\$ 9,315	\$ 3,489	\$ 5,826	\$ 0
Restricted cash for securitization investors	486	486	486	0	0
Securities held to maturity	26,170	26,657	199	26,409	49
Net loans held for investment	233,604	237,406	0	0	237,406
Loans held for sale	735	742	0	740	2
Interest receivable	1,368	1,368	0	1,368	0
Other investments ⁽¹⁾	1,306	1,306	0	1,297	9
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 241,182	\$ 241,599	\$ 26,364	\$ 215,235	\$ 0
Securitized debt obligations	18,528	18,647	0	18,647	0
Senior and subordinated notes	26,405	26,817	0	26,817	0
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	1,046	1,046	0	1,046	0
Other borrowings	2,460	2,428	0	2,428	0
Interest payable	260	260	0	260	0

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	December 31, 2016				
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Estimated Fair Value Hierarchy		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,976	\$ 9,976	\$ 4,185	\$ 5,791	\$ 0
Restricted cash for securitization investors	2,517	2,517	2,517	0	0
Securities held to maturity	25,712	26,196	199	25,962	35
Net loans held for investment	239,083	242,935	0	0	242,935
Loans held for sale	1,043	1,038	0	1,038	0
Interest receivable	1,351	1,351	0	1,351	0
Other investments ⁽¹⁾	2,029	2,029	0	2,020	9
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 236,768	\$ 237,082	\$ 25,502	\$ 211,580	\$ 0
Securitized debt obligations	18,826	18,920	0	18,920	0
Senior and subordinated notes	23,431	23,774	0	23,774	0
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase	992	992	0	992	0
Other borrowings	17,211	17,180	0	17,180	0
Interest payable	327	327	0	327	0

⁽¹⁾ Other investments includes FHLB, Federal Reserve stock and cost method investments. These investments are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 13—BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Our principal operations are currently organized into three major business segments, which are defined based on the products and services provided or the type of customer served: Credit Card, Consumer Banking and Commercial Banking. The operations of acquired businesses have been integrated into our existing business segments. Certain activities that are not part of a segment, such as management of our corporate investment portfolio and asset/liability management by our centralized Corporate Treasury group, are included in the Other category.

Basis of Presentation

We report the results of each of our business segments on a continuing operations basis. See “Note 2—Discontinued Operations” for a discussion of our discontinued operations. The results of our individual businesses reflect the manner in which management evaluates performance and makes decisions about funding our operations and allocating resources.

Business Segment Reporting Methodology

The results of our business segments are intended to present each segment as if it were a stand-alone business. Our internal management and reporting process used to derive our segment results employs various allocation methodologies, including funds transfer pricing, to assign certain balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related revenue and expenses directly or indirectly attributable to each business segment. Our funds transfer pricing process provides a funds credit for sources of funds, such as deposits generated by our Consumer Banking and Commercial Banking businesses, and a funds charge for the use of funds by each segment. Due to the integrated nature of our business segments, estimates and judgments have been made in allocating certain revenue and expense items. Transactions between segments are based on specific criteria or approximate third-party rates. We regularly assess the assumptions, methodologies and reporting classifications used for segment reporting, which may result in the implementation of refinements or changes in future periods. We provide additional information on the allocation methodologies used to derive our business segment results in “Note 18—Business Segments” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Segment Results and Reconciliation

We may periodically change our business segments or reclassify business segment results based on modifications to our management reporting methodologies and changes in organizational alignment. The following tables present our business segment results for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, selected balance sheet data as of March 31, 2017 and 2016, and a reconciliation of our total business segment results to our reported consolidated income from continuing operations, loans held for investment and deposits.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Table 13.1: Segment Results and Reconciliation

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31, 2017				
	Credit Card	Consumer Banking	Commercial Banking ⁽¹⁾	Other ⁽¹⁾	Consolidated Total
Net interest income	\$ 3,346	\$ 1,517	\$ 566	\$ 45	\$ 5,474
Non-interest income	738	195	158	(30)	1,061
Total net revenue	4,084	1,712	724	15	6,535
Provision (benefit) for credit losses	1,717	279	(2)	(2)	1,992
Non-interest expense	1,929	1,042	391	72	3,434
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	438	391	335	(55)	1,109
Income tax provision (benefit)	167	143	122	(118)	314
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 271	\$ 248	\$ 213	\$ 63	\$ 795
Loans held for investment	\$ 99,213	\$ 73,982	\$ 67,320	\$ 73	\$ 240,588
Deposits	0	188,216	33,735	19,231	241,182

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016				
	Credit Card	Consumer Banking	Commercial Banking ⁽¹⁾	Other ⁽¹⁾	Consolidated Total
Net interest income	\$ 3,033	\$ 1,420	\$ 537	\$ 66	\$ 5,056
Non-interest income	847	191	118	8	1,164
Total net revenue	3,880	1,611	655	74	6,220
Provision (benefit) for credit losses	1,071	230	228	(2)	1,527
Non-interest expense	1,863	990	322	48	3,223
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	946	391	105	28	1,470
Income tax provision (benefit)	337	142	38	(65)	452
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 609	\$ 249	\$ 67	\$ 93	\$ 1,018
Loans held for investment	\$ 92,699	\$ 70,591	\$ 64,241	\$ 82	\$ 227,613
Deposits	0	177,803	33,383	10,593	221,779

⁽¹⁾ Some of our tax-related commercial investments generate tax-exempt income or tax credits. Accordingly, we make certain reclassifications within our Commercial Banking business results to present revenues and yields on a taxable-equivalent basis, calculated assuming an effective tax rate approximately equal to our federal statutory tax rate of 35% with offsetting reclassifications to the Other category.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

NOTE 14—COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES, GUARANTEES AND OTHERS

Commitments to Lend

Our unfunded lending commitments primarily consist of credit card lines, loan commitments to customers of both our Commercial Banking and Consumer Banking businesses, as well as standby and commercial letters of credit. These commitments, other than credit card lines, are legally binding conditional agreements that have fixed expirations or termination dates and specified interest rates and purposes. The contractual amount of these commitments represents the maximum possible credit risk to us should the counterparty draw upon the commitment. We generally manage the potential risk of unfunded lending commitments by limiting the total amount of arrangements, monitoring the size and maturity structure of these portfolios and applying the same credit standards for all of our credit activities.

For unused credit card lines, we have not experienced and do not anticipate that all of our customers will access their entire available line at any given point in time. Commitments to extend credit other than credit card lines generally require customers to maintain certain credit standards. Collateral requirements and loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratios are the same as those for funded transactions and are established based on management’s credit assessment of the customer. These commitments may expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future funding requirements.

We also issue letters of credit, such as financial standby, performance standby and commercial letters of credit, to meet the financing needs of our customers. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party in a borrowing arrangement. Commercial letters of credit are short-term commitments issued primarily to facilitate trade finance activities for customers and are generally collateralized by the goods being shipped to the client. These collateral requirements are similar to those for funded transactions and are established based on management’s credit assessment of the customer. Management conducts regular reviews of all outstanding letters of credit and the results of these reviews are considered in assessing the adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses.

The following table presents contractual amount and carrying value of our unfunded lending commitments as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The carrying value represents our reserve and deferred revenue on legally binding commitments.

Table 14.1: Unfunded Lending Commitments: Contractual Amount and Carrying Value

	Contractual Amount		Carrying Value	
	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
Standby letter of credit and commercial letter of credit ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,953	\$ 1,936	\$ 49	\$ 42
Credit card lines	320,446	312,864	N/A	N/A
Other loan commitments ⁽²⁾	28,350	28,402	95	98
Total unfunded lending commitments	<u>\$ 350,749</u>	<u>\$ 343,202</u>	<u>\$ 144</u>	<u>\$ 140</u>

⁽¹⁾ These financial guarantees have expiration dates ranging from 2017 to 2025 as of March 31, 2017.

⁽²⁾ Includes \$667 million and \$699 million of advised lines of credit as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Loss Sharing Agreements and Other Obligations

Within our Commercial Banking business, we originate multifamily commercial real estate loans with the intent to sell them to the GSEs. We enter into loss sharing agreements with the GSEs upon the sale of the loans. At inception, we record a liability representing the fair value of our obligation which is subsequently amortized as we are released from risk of payment under the loss sharing agreement. If payment under the loss sharing agreement becomes probable and estimable, an additional liability may be recorded on the consolidated balance sheets and a non-interest expense may be recognized in the consolidated statements of income. The amount of liability recognized on our consolidated balance sheets for our loss sharing agreements was \$49 million and \$48 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

In certain securitizations in connection with the discontinued manufactured housing operations of GreenPoint Credit, LLC, the third party servicer has an obligation to exercise mandatory clean-up calls. In the event the third party servicer does not fulfill its obligation to exercise these clean-up calls, the obligation reverts to us. The amount of loan receivables and other assets subject to

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

these clean-up calls is approximately \$420 million. Based on our current projections, we expect these securitizations to reach their individual clean-up call thresholds beginning in 2017 and continuing through 2019. According to current information and estimates, we also expect the fair value of the loan receivables and other assets to be less than the contractual amount required to exercise the clean-up calls. We monitor the underlying assets for trends in delinquencies and related losses and review the third party servicer's financial strength. As of March 31, 2017, we recorded a liability of \$40 million associated with these clean-up call obligations. Our best estimate is that any reasonably possible future losses associated with these clean-up call obligations in excess of the liability recorded are not significant to the Company's financial position.

U.K. Payment Protection Insurance

In the U.K., we previously sold payment protection insurance ("PPI") and other ancillary cross sell products. In response to an elevated level of customer complaints across the industry, heightened media coverage and pressure from consumer advocacy groups, the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA"), formerly the Financial Services Authority, investigated and raised concerns about the way the industry has handled complaints related to the sale of these insurance policies. For the past several years, the U.K.'s Financial Ombudsman Service ("FOS") has been adjudicating customer complaints relating to PPI, escalated to it by consumers who disagree with the rejection of their complaint by firms, leading to customer remediation payments by us and others within the industry. On October 2, 2015, the FCA issued a Statement on PPI ("FCA Proposal") announcing it has decided to consult on the introduction of a time bar for PPI complaints and on new rules and guidance about how banks should handle unfair relationship PPI complaints covered by s.140A of the Consumer Credit Act of 1974 ("Consumer Credit Act"). Following feedback on the FCA Proposal, the FCA issued a further Consultation Paper on August 2, 2016, suggesting some amendments to its proposed rules on how banks should handle unfair relationship PPI complaints and indicating an expectation that the complaint deadline will fall by the end of June 2019. This timetable was based on making a decision about whether to proceed, and making rules and guidance, by the end of December 2016. On December 9, 2016, the FCA issued a statement delaying any further announcement until the first quarter of 2017. On March 2, 2017, the FCA further issued a statement that sets out final rules and guidance on the PPI complaints deadline, which has been set as August 29, 2019. The statement also provides clarity on how to handle PPI complaints under s.140A of the Consumer Credit Act, including guidance on how redress for such complaints should be calculated.

In determining our best estimate of incurred losses for future remediation payments, management considers numerous factors, including (i) the number of customer complaints we expect in the future; (ii) our expectation of upholding those complaints; (iii) the expected number of complaints customers escalate to the FOS; (iv) our expectation of the FOS upholding such escalated complaints; (v) the number of complaints that fall under the s.140A of the Consumer Credit Act; and (vi) the estimated remediation payout to customers. We monitor these factors each quarter and adjust our reserves to reflect the latest data.

Management's best estimate of incurred losses related to U.K. PPI totaled \$324 million and \$238 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2017, we added \$99 million to our reserve in response to the above FCA statement made on March 2, 2017. Other movements to the reserve were a combination of utilization of the reserve through customer refund payments and foreign exchange movements. Our best estimate of reasonably possible future losses beyond our reserve as of March 31, 2017 is approximately \$200 million. The decrease in this estimate from the prior quarter is due to the publication of the FCA rules on March 2, 2017 which resulted in a number of factors previously considered reasonably possible becoming probable and therefore shifting into our reserve estimate.

Mortgage Representation and Warranty Liabilities

We acquired three subsidiaries that originated residential mortgage loans and sold these loans to various purchasers, including purchasers who created securitization trusts. These subsidiaries are Capital One Home Loans, LLC, which was acquired in February 2005; GreenPoint, which was acquired in December 2006 as part of the North Fork acquisition; and Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B. ("CCB"), which was acquired in February 2009 and subsequently merged into CONA (collectively, the "subsidiaries").

In connection with their sales of mortgage loans, the subsidiaries entered into agreements containing varying representations and warranties about, among other things, the ownership of the loan, the validity of the lien securing the loan, the loan's compliance with any applicable loan criteria established by the purchaser, including underwriting guidelines and the existence of mortgage insurance, and the loan's compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws. The representations and warranties do not address the credit performance of the mortgage loans, but mortgage loan performance often influences whether a claim for breach of representation and warranty will be asserted and has an effect on the amount of any loss in the event of a breach of a representation or warranty.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Each of these subsidiaries may be required to repurchase mortgage loans in the event of certain breaches of these representations and warranties. In the event of a repurchase, the subsidiary is typically required to pay the unpaid principal balance of the loan together with interest and certain expenses (including, in certain cases, legal costs incurred by the purchaser and/or others). The subsidiary then recovers the loan or, if the loan has been foreclosed, the underlying collateral. The subsidiary is exposed to any losses on the repurchased loans, taking into account any recoveries on the collateral. In some instances, rather than repurchase the loans, a subsidiary may agree to make cash payments to make a purchaser whole on losses or to settle repurchase claims, possibly including claims for attorneys’ fees and interest. In addition, our subsidiaries may be required to indemnify certain purchasers and others against losses they incur as a result of certain breaches of representations and warranties.

These subsidiaries, in total, originated and sold to non-affiliates approximately \$111 billion original principal balance of mortgage loans between 2005 and 2008, which are the years (or “vintages”) with respect to which our subsidiaries have received the vast majority of the repurchase-related requests and other related claims.

The following table presents the original principal balance of mortgage loan originations for the three general categories of purchasers of mortgage loans and the estimated unpaid principal balance as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Table 14.2: Unpaid Principal Balance of Mortgage Loans Originated and Sold to Third Parties Based on Category of Purchaser

	Estimated Unpaid Principal Balance		Original Principal Balance
	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	2005-2008
<i>(Dollars in billions)</i>			
GSEs	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 11
Insured Securitizations	3	3	20
Uninsured Securitizations and Other	11	12	80
Total	<u>\$ 16</u>	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$ 111</u>

Of the \$20 billion in original principal balance of mortgage loans sold directly by our subsidiaries to private-label purchasers who placed the loans into securitizations supported by bond insurance (“Insured Securitizations”), approximately 48% of the original principal balance was covered by bond insurance. Further, approximately \$16 billion original principal balance was placed in securitizations as to which the monoline bond insurers have made repurchase-related requests or loan file requests to one of our subsidiaries (“Active Insured Securitizations”) and the remaining approximately \$4 billion original principal balance was placed in securitizations as to which the monoline bond insurers have not made repurchase-related requests or loan file requests to one of our subsidiaries (“Inactive Insured Securitizations”). Insured Securitizations often allow the monoline bond insurer to act independently of the investors. Bond insurers typically have indemnity agreements directly with both the mortgage originators and the securitizers, and they often have super-majority rights within the trust documentation that allow them to direct trustees to pursue mortgage repurchase-related requests without coordination with other investors.

Because we do not service most of the loans our subsidiaries sold to others, we do not have complete information about the current ownership of a portion of the \$80 billion in original principal balance of mortgage loans not sold directly to GSEs or placed in Insured Securitizations. We have determined based on information obtained from third-party databases that about \$48 billion original principal balance of these mortgage loans was placed in private-label publicly issued securitizations not supported by bond insurance (“Uninsured Securitizations”). An additional approximately \$22 billion original principal balance of mortgage loans were initially sold to private investors as whole loans. Various known and unknown investors purchased the remaining \$10 billion original principal balance of mortgage loans.

With respect to the \$111 billion in original principal balance of mortgage loans originated and sold to others between 2005 and 2008, we estimate that approximately \$16 billion in unpaid principal balance remains outstanding as of March 31, 2017, of which approximately \$3 billion in unpaid principal balance is at least 90 days delinquent. Approximately \$23 billion in losses have been realized by third parties. Because we do not service most of the loans we sold to others, we do not have complete information about the underlying credit performance levels for some of these mortgage loans. These amounts reflect our best estimates, including extrapolations of underlying credit performance where necessary. These estimates are dependent on the availability of performance data.

The subsidiaries had open repurchase-related requests with regard to approximately \$653 million original principal balance of mortgage loans as of March 31, 2017, a decrease of \$716 million from \$1.4 billion as of December 31, 2016.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The substantial majority of remaining repurchase requests are related to ongoing litigation. The repurchase-related requests reflect the original principal balance amounts of the mortgage loans at issue and do not correspond to the losses our subsidiary would incur upon the repurchase of these loans.

Total repurchase-related requests include all timely requests ever received by our subsidiaries where the requesting party has not formally rescinded the repurchase-related requests or our subsidiary has not agreed to either repurchase the loan at issue or make the requesting party whole with respect to its losses. We have received relatively few repurchase-related requests subsequent to the New York's highest court ruling in 2015 that the statute of limitations for repurchase claims begins when the relevant representations and warranties were made, as opposed to some later date during the life of the loan. Additionally, we have received relatively few repurchase-related demands for vintages after 2007, mostly because GreenPoint ceased originating mortgages in August 2007.

We established reserves for the \$11 billion original principal balance of GSE loans, based on open claims and historic repurchase rates. We have entered into and completed repurchase or settlement agreements with respect to the majority of our repurchase exposure to the GSEs.

Our reserves could also be impacted by any claims which may be brought by governmental agencies under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act ("FIRREA"), the False Claims Act or other federal or state statutes. For example, GreenPoint and Capital One have received requests for information and/or subpoenas from various governmental regulators and law enforcement authorities, including members of the Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities ("RMBS") Working Group, relating to the origination of loans for sale to the GSEs and to RMBS participants. We are cooperating with these regulators and other authorities in responding to such requests.

For the \$16 billion original principal balance in Active Insured Securitizations, our reserving approach is based upon the expected resolution of litigation directed by the monoline bond insurers. Accordingly, our representation and warranty reserves related to Active Insured Securitizations are litigation reserves. In establishing these litigation reserves, we consider the current and future monoline insurer losses inherent within the securitization and apply legal judgment to the developing factual and legal record to estimate the liability for each securitization. We consider as factors within the analysis our own past monoline settlements in addition to publicly available industry monoline settlements. Our reserves with respect to the U.S. Bank Litigation, referenced below, are related to Active Insured Securitizations. Further, to the extent we have litigation reserves with respect to indemnification risks from certain representation and warranty lawsuits brought by monoline bond insurers against third-party securitizations sponsors, where one of our subsidiaries provided some or all of the mortgage collateral within the securitization but is not a defendant in the litigation, such reserves are also contained within this category.

For the \$4 billion original principal balance of mortgage loans in Inactive Insured Securitizations and the \$48 billion original principal balance of mortgage loans in Uninsured Securitizations, we establish reserves based on an assessment of probable and estimable legal liability, if any, utilizing both our own experience and publicly available industry settlement information to estimate lifetime liability. In contrast with the bond insurers in the Insured Securitizations, investors in Uninsured Securitizations often face a number of legal and logistical hurdles before they can force a securitization trustee to pursue mortgage repurchases, including the need to coordinate with a certain percentage of investors holding the securities and to indemnify the trustee for any litigation it undertakes. Accordingly, we only reserve for such exposures when a trustee or investor with standing brings claims and it is probable we have incurred a loss. Some Uninsured Securitization investors are currently suing investment banks and securitization sponsors under federal and/or state securities laws. Although we face some indirect indemnity risks from these litigations, we generally have not established reserves with respect to these indemnity risks because we do not consider them to be both probable and reasonably estimable liabilities. In addition, to the extent we have litigation reserves with respect to indemnification risks from certain representation and warranty lawsuits brought by parties who purchased loans from our subsidiaries and subsequently resold the loans into securitizations, such reserves are also contained within this category.

For the \$22 billion original principal balance of mortgage loans sold to private investors as whole loans, we establish reserves based on open claims and historical repurchase rates.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The aggregate reserve for all three subsidiaries totaled \$516 million as of March 31, 2017 compared to \$630 million as of December 31, 2016. The decrease was primarily due to favorable legal developments. The table below summarizes changes in our representation and warranty reserve for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Table 14.3: Changes in Representation and Warranty Reserve⁽¹⁾

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Representation and warranty reserve, beginning of period	\$ 630	\$ 610
Provision (benefit) for mortgage representation and warranty losses:		
Recorded in continuing operations	(25)	(1)
Recorded in discontinued operations	(67)	3
Total provision (benefit) for mortgage representation and warranty losses	(92)	2
Net realized recoveries (losses)	(22)	1
Representation and warranty reserve, end of period	\$ 516	\$ 613

⁽¹⁾ Reported on our consolidated balance sheets as a component of other liabilities.

As part of our business planning processes, we have considered various outcomes relating to the future representation and warranty liabilities of our subsidiaries that are possible but do not rise to the level of being both probable and reasonably estimable outcomes justifying an incremental accrual under applicable accounting standards. Our current best estimate of reasonably possible future losses from representation and warranty claims beyond our reserves as of March 31, 2017 is approximately \$1.4 billion, a decrease from our \$1.5 billion estimate at December 31, 2016. The estimate as of March 31, 2017 covers all reasonably possible losses relating to representation and warranty claim activity, including those relating to the cases more specifically described below in Mortgage Repurchase Litigation.

In estimating reasonably possible future losses in excess of our current reserves, for Active Insured Securitizations, we assume loss rates on the high end of those observed in monoline settlements or court rulings. For our remaining GSE exposures, Uninsured Securitizations and whole loan exposures, our reasonably possible risk estimates assume lifetime loss rates and claims rates at the highest levels of our past experience and also consider the limited instances of observed settlements. We do not however, based on industry precedent, assume claim rates or loss rates for these risk categories will be as high as those assumed for the Active Insured Securitizations. Should the number of claims or the loss rates on these claims increase significantly, our estimate of reasonably possible risk would increase materially. We also assume that repurchase-related requests will be resolved at discounts reflecting the nature of the claims, the vintage of the underlying loans and evolving legal precedents.

Notwithstanding our ongoing attempts to estimate a reasonably possible amount of future losses beyond our current accrual levels based on current information, it is possible that actual future losses will exceed both the current accrual level and our current estimate of the amount of reasonably possible losses. Our reserve and reasonably possible loss estimates involve considerable judgment and reflect that there is still significant uncertainty regarding numerous factors that may impact the ultimate loss levels, including, but not limited to: litigation outcomes; court rulings; governmental enforcement decisions; future repurchase and indemnification claim levels; securitization trustees pursuing mortgage repurchase litigation unilaterally or in coordination with investors; investors successfully pursuing repurchase litigation independently and without the involvement of the trustee as a party; ultimate repurchase and indemnification rates; future mortgage loan performance levels; actual recoveries on the collateral; and macroeconomic conditions (including unemployment levels and housing prices). In light of the significant uncertainty as to the ultimate liability our subsidiaries may incur from these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to our results of operations or cash flows for any particular reporting period.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Litigation

In accordance with the current accounting standards for loss contingencies, we establish reserves for litigation related matters that arise from the ordinary course of our business activities when it is probable that a loss associated with a claim or proceeding has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. None of the amounts we currently have recorded individually or in the aggregate are considered to be material to our financial condition. Litigation claims and proceedings of all types are subject to many uncertain factors that generally cannot be predicted with assurance. Below we provide a description of potentially material legal proceedings and claims.

For some of the matters disclosed below, we are able to determine estimates of potential future outcomes that are not probable and reasonably estimable outcomes justifying either the establishment of a reserve or an incremental reserve build, but which are reasonably possible outcomes. For other disclosed matters, such an estimate is not possible at this time. For those matters below where an estimate is possible (excluding the reasonably possible future losses relating to the Mortgage Repurchase Litigation described below, which are included within the estimate of reasonably possible representation and warranty losses discussed above), management currently estimates the reasonably possible future losses beyond our reserves as of March 31, 2017 is approximately \$200 million. Notwithstanding our attempt to estimate a reasonably possible range of loss beyond our current accrual levels for some litigation matters based on current information, it is possible that actual future losses will exceed both the current accrual level and the range of reasonably possible losses disclosed here. Given the inherent uncertainties involved in these matters, especially those involving governmental agencies, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, there is significant uncertainty as to the ultimate liability we may incur from these litigation matters and an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to our results of operations or cash flows for any particular reporting period.

Interchange Litigation

In 2005, a number of entities, each purporting to represent a class of retail merchants, filed antitrust lawsuits against MasterCard and Visa and several member banks, including our subsidiaries and us, alleging among other things, that the defendants conspired to fix the level of interchange fees. The complaints seek injunctive relief and civil monetary damages, which could be trebled. Separately, a number of large merchants have asserted similar claims against Visa and MasterCard only (together with the lawsuits described above, “Interchange Lawsuits”). In October 2005, the class and merchant Interchange Lawsuits were consolidated before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York for certain purposes, including discovery. In July 2012, the parties executed and filed with the court a Memorandum of Understanding agreeing to resolve the litigation on certain terms set forth in a settlement agreement attached to the Memorandum. The class settlement provides for, among other things, (i) payments by defendants to the class and individual plaintiffs totaling approximately \$6.6 billion; (ii) a distribution to the class merchants of an amount equal to 10 basis points of certain interchange transactions for a period of eight months; and (iii) modifications to certain Visa and MasterCard rules regarding point of sale practices. In December 2013, the district court granted final approval of the proposed class settlement, which was appealed to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals in January 2014. On June 30, 2016, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the district court’s certification of the class, reversed approval of the proposed class settlement, and remanded the litigation to the district court for further proceedings, ruling that some of the merchants that were part of the proposed class settlement were not adequately represented. Because the Second Circuit ruling remands the litigation to the district court for further proceedings, the ultimate outcome in this matter is uncertain. Several merchant plaintiffs also opted out of the class settlement before it was overturned, and some of those plaintiffs have sued MasterCard, Visa and various member banks, including Capital One. The opt-out cases are consolidated before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York for certain purposes, including discovery. Visa and MasterCard have settled a number of individual opt-out cases, requiring non-material payments from all banks, including Capital One. Separate settlement and judgment sharing agreements between Capital One, MasterCard and Visa allocate the liabilities of any judgment or settlement arising from the Interchange Lawsuits and associated opt-out cases. Visa created a litigation escrow account following its IPO of stock in 2008, which funds any settlements for its member banks, and any settlements related to MasterCard allocated losses are reflected in Capital One’s reserves.

Mortgage Repurchase Litigation

In February 2009, GreenPoint was named as a defendant in a lawsuit commenced in the New York County Supreme Court, by U.S. Bank, N. A., Syncora Guarantee Inc. and CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. (“U.S. Bank Litigation”). Plaintiffs allege, among other things, that GreenPoint breached certain representations and warranties in two contracts pursuant to which GreenPoint sold approximately 30,000 mortgage loans having an aggregate original principal balance of approximately \$1.8 billion to a purchaser that ultimately transferred most of these mortgage loans to a securitization trust. Some of the securities issued by the

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

trust were insured by Syncora and CIFG. Plaintiffs seek unspecified damages and an order compelling GreenPoint to repurchase the entire portfolio of 30,000 mortgage loans based on alleged breaches of representations and warranties relating to a limited sampling of loans in the portfolio, or, alternatively, the repurchase of specific mortgage loans to which the alleged breaches of representations and warranties relate. In March 2010, the court granted GreenPoint's motion to dismiss with respect to plaintiffs Syncora and CIFG but denied the motion with respect to U.S. Bank. GreenPoint subsequently answered the complaint with respect to U.S. Bank, denying the allegations, and filed a counterclaim against U.S. Bank alleging breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing. In February 2012, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an amended complaint and dismissed Syncora and CIFG from the case. Syncora and CIFG appealed their dismissal to the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department ("First Department"), which affirmed the dismissal in April 2013. The New York Court of Appeals denied Syncora's and CIFG's motion for leave to appeal the First Department's decision in February 2014. Therefore, the case is now proceeding with U.S. Bank as the sole plaintiff.

In May, June and July 2012, FHFA (acting as conservator for Freddie Mac) filed three summonses with notice in the New York state court against GreenPoint, on behalf of the trustees for three RMBS trusts backed by loans originated by GreenPoint with an aggregate original principal balance of \$3.4 billion. In January 2013, the plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint in the name of the three trusts, acting by the respective trustees, alleging breaches of contractual representations and warranties regarding compliance with GreenPoint underwriting guidelines relating to certain loans ("FHFA Litigation"). Plaintiffs seek specific performance of the repurchase obligations with respect to the loans for which they have provided notice of alleged breaches as well as all other allegedly breaching loans, rescissory damages, indemnification, costs and interest. On March 29, 2017, the trial court granted GreenPoint's motion for summary judgment and dismissed plaintiff's claims as untimely.

As noted above in the section entitled Mortgage Representation and Warranty Liabilities, the Company's subsidiaries establish reserves with respect to representation and warranty litigation matters, where appropriate, within the Company's overall representation and warranty reserves.

Anti-Money Laundering

Capital One is being investigated by the New York District Attorney's Office ("NYDA"), the Department of Justice and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN") of the U.S. Department of Treasury with respect to certain former check casher clients of the Commercial Banking business and Capital One's anti-money laundering ("AML") program. Capital One is cooperating with all agencies involved in the investigation.

In addition, Capital One is subject to an open consent order with the OCC dated July 10, 2015 concerning regulatory deficiencies in our AML program.

Intellectual Ventures Corp., et al.

In June 2013, Intellectual Ventures I, LLC and Intellectual Ventures II, LLC (collectively "IV") sued Capital One Financial Corp., Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. and Capital One, N.A. (collectively "Capital One") for patent infringement in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. In the Complaint, IV alleged infringement of patents related to various business processes across the Capital One enterprise. IV simultaneously filed patent infringement actions against numerous other financial institutions on the same and other patents in several other federal courts. Capital One filed an answer and counterclaim alleging antitrust violations. In December 2013, the court dismissed Capital One's counterclaim and decided the parties' arguments on claim construction. IV agreed to dismiss two patents in suit, and following claim construction, asked for a stipulation of non-infringement for one patent with an opportunity to appeal the court's decision regarding claim construction. In April 2014, the court granted Capital One's motion for summary judgment and found that the two remaining patents were either unpatentable or indefinite. In May 2014, IV appealed to the Federal Circuit, which affirmed the district court's dismissal of all three remaining patents in July 2015.

In January 2014, IV filed a second suit against Capital One for patent infringement in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland. In the complaint, IV again alleges infringement of patents related to various business practices across the Capital One enterprise. In March 2015, the court granted Capital One's motion for leave to add a counterclaim for antitrust violations. IV voluntarily dismissed one of the patents against Capital One and in September 2015, the court granted summary judgment in favor of Capital One on the remaining four patents and dismissed IV's claims. IV appealed the dismissal of three of its claims to the Federal Circuit, which affirmed the district court's dismissal in March 2017. The only claims remaining in the trial court are Capital One's counterclaims for antitrust violations by IV. The parties are engaged in discovery related to the counterclaims.

**CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Other Pending and Threatened Litigation

In addition, we are commonly subject to various pending and threatened legal actions relating to the conduct of our normal business activities. In the opinion of management, the ultimate aggregate liability, if any, arising out of all such other pending or threatened legal actions will not be material to our consolidated financial position or our results of operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

For a discussion of the quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Market Risk Management” and “MD&A—Market Risk Profile.”

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Overview

We are required under applicable laws and regulations to maintain controls and procedures, which include disclosure controls and procedures as well as internal control over financial reporting, as further described below.

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures refer to controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our financial reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding our required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and we must apply judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”), our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2017, the end of the period covered by this Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2017, at a reasonable level of assurance, in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting information required to be disclosed within the time periods specified by the SEC rules and forms.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We regularly review our disclosure controls and procedures and make changes intended to ensure the quality of our financial reporting. There were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred in the first quarter of 2017 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The information required by Item 103 of Regulation S-K is included in “Note 14—Commitments, Contingencies, Guarantees and Others.”

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We are not aware of any material changes from the risk factors set forth under “Part I—Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our 2016 Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table presents information related to repurchases of shares of our common stock for each calendar month in the first quarter of 2017.

<i>(Dollars in millions, except per share information)</i>	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share ⁽²⁾	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Amount That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan or Program ⁽²⁾
January	722,680	\$ 88.13	722,680	\$ 380
February	1,386,772	89.83	798,474	309
March	321,916	92.62	121,050	297
Total	<u>2,431,368</u>	<u>89.69</u>	<u>1,642,204</u>	

⁽¹⁾ Comprises repurchases of shares of common stock under the 2016 Stock Repurchase Program. Also includes 588,298 shares and 200,866 shares purchased in February and March, respectively, related to the withholding of shares to cover taxes on restricted stock awards whose restrictions have lapsed. There were no shares purchased in January related to the withholding of shares to cover taxes on restricted awards and units.

⁽²⁾ Amounts exclude commission costs.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

An index to exhibits has been filed as part of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: May 3, 2017

By: /s/ R. SCOTT BLACKLEY

R. Scott Blackley
Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX
CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
DATED MARCH 31, 2017
Commission File No. 1-13300

The following exhibits are incorporated by reference or filed herewith. References to the “2003 Form 10-K” are to the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 5, 2004.

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Capital One Financial Corporation (as restated April 30, 2015) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 4, 2015).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Capital One Financial Corporation, dated October 5, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 5, 2015).
3.3.1	Certificate of Designations of Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, dated August 16, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 20, 2012).
3.3.2	Certificate of Designations of Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, dated June 11, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 12, 2014).
3.3.3	Certificate of Designations of Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D, dated October 29, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 31, 2014).
3.3.4	Certificate of Designations of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series E, dated May 12, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 14, 2015).
3.3.5	Certificate of Designations of Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series F, dated August 20, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed August 24, 2015).
3.3.6	Certificate of Designations of Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G, dated July 28, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed July 29, 2016).
3.3.7	Certificate of Designations of Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series H, dated November 28, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 29, 2016).
4.1.1	Specimen certificate representing the common stock of Capital One Financial Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the 2003 Form 10-K).
4.1.2	Warrant Agreement, dated December 3, 2009, between Capital One Financial Corporation and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to the Exhibit 4.1 of the Form 8-A, filed on December 4, 2009).
4.1.3	Deposit Agreement, dated August 20, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 20, 2012).
4.2	Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, copies of instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt are not filed. The Company agrees to furnish a copy thereof to the SEC upon request.
10.1.1*	Non-Competition Agreement between Capital One Financial Corporation and R. Scott Blackley.
10.1.2*	Non-Competition Agreement between Capital One Financial Corporation and Noelle K. Eder.
10.1.3*	Non-Competition Agreement between Capital One Financial Corporation and Michael J. Wassmer.
12.1*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.
31.1*	Certification of Richard D. Fairbank.
31.2*	Certification of R. Scott Blackley.
32.1*	Certification** of Richard D. Fairbank.
32.2*	Certification** of R. Scott Blackley.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

-
- * Indicates a document being filed with this Form 10-Q.
 - ** Information in this Form 10-Q furnished herewith shall not be deemed to be “filed” for the purposes of Section 18 of the 1934 Act or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section.