

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 74280 / February 17, 2015

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-16295

ALAMOSA (DELAWARE), INC.,
AMAZING INVESTMENTS, INC.,
AMERICAN FRONTIERS MARKETING CO.,
AMERICAN GROWTH FUND I LP,
AMERICAS GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC., and
MAMMOTH MINING CO.

NOTICE THAT INITIAL DECISION HAS BECOME FINAL

The time for filing a petition for review of the initial decision in this proceeding has expired. No such petition has been filed by Alamosa (Delaware), Inc., Amazing Investments, Inc., American Frontiers Marketing Co., American Growth Fund I LP, Americas Gaming International, Inc., or Mammoth Mining Co. and the Commission has not chosen to review the decision on its own initiative.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given, pursuant to Rule 360(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice,¹ that the initial decision of the administrative law judge,² has become the final decision of the Commission with respect to Alamosa (Delaware), Inc., Amazing Investments, Inc., American Frontiers Marketing Co., American Growth Fund I LP, Americas Gaming International, Inc., and Mammoth Mining Co. The order contained in that decision is hereby declared effective. The initial decision ordered that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities

¹ 17 C.F.R. § 201.360(d).

² *Alamosa (Delaware), Inc., Amazing Invs., Inc., Am. Frontiers Mktg. Co., Am. Growth Fund I LP, Americas Gaming Int'l, Inc., and Mammoth Mining Co.*, Initial Decision Rel. No. 730 (Jan. 2, 2015), 110 SEC Docket 11, 2015 SEC LEXIS 1. The Central Index Key numbers are: 1097722 for Alamosa (Delaware), Inc.; 1101353 for Amazing Investments, Inc.; 1101915 for American Frontiers Marketing Co.; 924977 for American Growth Fund I LP; 818475 for Americas Gaming International, Inc.; and 61796 for Mammoth Mining Co.

Exchange Act of 1934, the registrations of each class of registered securities of Alamosa (Delaware), Inc., Amazing Investments, Inc., American Frontiers Marketing Co., American Growth Fund I LP, Americas Gaming International, Inc., and Mammoth Mining Co. are hereby revoked.

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Brent J. Fields
Secretary

INITIAL DECISION RELEASE NO. 730
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-16295

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

In the Matter of

ALAMOSA (DELAWARE), INC.,
AMAZING INVESTMENTS, INC.,
AMERICAN FRONTIERS MARKETING CO.,
AMERICAN GROWTH FUND I LP,
AMERICAS GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC., and
MAMMOTH MINING CO.

INITIAL DECISION OF DEFAULT
January 2, 2015

APPEARANCE: Neil J. Welch, Jr., for the Division of Enforcement,
Securities and Exchange Commission

BEFORE: Jason S. Patil, Administrative Law Judge

SUMMARY

This Initial Decision revokes the registrations of Respondents' registered securities. The revocations are based on Respondents' failure to timely file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

INTRODUCTION

On December 3, 2014, the Commission issued an Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings (OIP) against Respondents, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The OIP alleges that Respondents each have a class of securities registered with the Commission and are delinquent in their periodic filings. Respondents were served with the OIP by December 6, 2014, and their Answers were due by December 19, 2014. *See Alamosa (Delaware), Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 2117, 2014 SEC LEXIS 4748 (Dec. 10, 2014). Moreover, Respondents were warned that “[a]ny Respondent that fails to file an Answer within the time provided will be deemed in default, the proceeding will be determined against it, and the registration of its securities will be revoked.” *Id.* To date, no Respondent has filed an Answer.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondents are in default for failing to file Answers or otherwise defend the proceeding. *See* OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f). Accordingly, as authorized by Rule of Practice

155(a), I find the following allegations in the OIP to be true. I take official notice of the Commission's public official records concerning Respondents. *See* 17 C.F.R. § 201.323.

Alamosa (Delaware), Inc., Central Index Key (CIK) No. 1097722, is a Delaware corporation located in Reston, Virginia, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Alamosa is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006.

Amazing Investments, Inc., CIK No. 1101353, is a Wyoming corporation located in Draper, Utah, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Amazing Investments is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended June 30, 2004.

American Frontiers Marketing Co., CIK No. 1101915, is a dissolved Wyoming corporation located in Tucson, Arizona, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). American Frontiers is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended March 31, 2005, which reported a net loss of \$12,906 from the company's October 20, 1999, inception to March 31, 2005.

American Growth Fund I LP, CIK No. 924977, is a California corporation located in Las Vegas, Nevada, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). American Growth Fund I is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10 registration statement on April 1, 1996.

Americas Gaming International, Inc., CIK No. 818475, is a void Delaware corporation located in Reno, Nevada, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Americas Gaming is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 1996, which reported a net loss of over \$1.68 million for the prior nine months.

Mammoth Mining Co., CIK No. 61796, is a Nevada corporation located in Salt Lake City, Utah, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Mammoth Mining is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10 registration statement on January 2, 2002.

In addition to their repeated failures to file timely periodic reports, Respondents failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Commission's Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission, did not receive such letters.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 require public corporations to file annual and quarterly reports with the Commission. Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports and Rule 13a-13 requires domestic issuers to file quarterly reports. *See* 17 C.F.R.

§§ 240.13a-1, .13a-13. “Compliance with those requirements is mandatory and may not be subject to conditions from the registrant.” *America’s Sports Voice, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 55511, 2007 SEC LEXIS 1241, at *12 (Mar. 22, 2007), *recons. denied*, Exchange Act Release No. 55867, 2007 SEC LEXIS 1239 (June 6, 2007). Scierter is not required to establish violations of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13. *See SEC v. McNulty*, 137 F.3d 732, 740-41 (2d Cir. 1998); *SEC v. Wills*, 472 F. Supp. 1250, 1268 (D.D.C. 1978). Respondents failed to timely file required periodic reports. As a result, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

SANCTIONS

Under Exchange Act Section 12(j), the Commission is authorized, “as it deems necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors,” to revoke the registration of a security or suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months if it finds, after notice and an opportunity for hearing, that the issuer of the security has failed to comply with any provision of the Exchange Act or rules thereunder. In determining the public interest or what is necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors, the Commission “consider[s], among other things, the seriousness of the issuer’s violations, the isolated or recurrent nature of the violations, the degree of culpability involved, the extent of the issuer’s efforts to remedy its past violations and ensure future compliance, and the credibility of its assurances, if any, against further violations.” *Gateway Int’l Holdings, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 53907, 2006 SEC LEXIS 1288, at *19-20 (May 31, 2006).

Respondents’ failure to file required periodic reports is serious because it violates a central provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of periodic reporting is “to supply investors with current and accurate financial information about an issuer so that they may make sound [investment] decisions.” *Id.* at *26. The reporting requirements are the primary tool that Congress fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of securities. *SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp.*, 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977). Respondents’ violations are also recurrent in that each repeatedly failed to file periodic reports. *See Nature’s Sunshine Prods., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 59268, 2009 SEC LEXIS 81, at *20 (Jan. 21, 2009) (respondent failed to file seven required periodic reports due over a two-year period); *Impax Labs., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 57864, 2008 SEC LEXIS 1197, at *25-26 (May 23, 2008) (respondent’s failure to make eight filings over an eighteen-month period considered recurrent).

Additionally, Respondents are culpable because they knew, or should have known, of their obligation to file periodic reports. *See* 17 C.F.R. §§ 249.308a, .310 (Commission Forms 10-Q, 10-K); *Robert L. Burns*, Investment Advisers Act of 1940 Release No. 3260, 2011 SEC LEXIS 2722, at *40 n.60 (Aug. 5, 2011) (stating that the Commission has “repeatedly held that ignorance of the securities laws is not a defense to liability thereunder”). In fact, Respondents failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters, and they were therefore on notice, even before the OIP issued, of their obligation to file periodic reports. *See China-Biotics, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 70800, 2013 SEC LEXIS 3451, at *37 & n.60 (Nov. 4, 2013) (holding that revocation may be warranted even without proof that a respondent was aware of its reporting obligations). Finally, Respondents have not answered the OIP or otherwise participated in the proceeding to address whether they have

made any efforts to remedy their past violations, and have made no assurances against further violations.

On these facts, it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to revoke the registrations of each class of the registered securities of Respondents.

ORDER

It is ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrations of each class of registered securities of Respondents Alamosa (Delaware), Inc., Amazing Investments, Inc., American Frontiers Marketing Co., American Growth Fund I LP, Americas Gaming International, Inc., and Mammoth Mining Co. are hereby REVOKED.

This Initial Decision shall become effective in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Rule of Practice 360. *See* 17 C.F.R. § 201.360. Pursuant to that Rule, a party may file a petition for review of this Initial Decision within twenty-one days after service of the Initial Decision. A party may also file a motion to correct a manifest error of fact within ten days of the Initial Decision, pursuant to Rule of Practice 111. 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(h). If a motion to correct a manifest error of fact is filed by a party, then that party shall have twenty-one days to file a petition for review from the date of the undersigned's order resolving such motion to correct a manifest error of fact.

This Initial Decision will not become final until the Commission enters an order of finality. The Commission will enter an order of finality unless a party files a petition for review or a motion to correct a manifest error of fact or the Commission determines on its own initiative to review the Initial Decision as to a party. If any of these events occur, the Initial Decision shall not become final as to that party.

Respondents are notified that they may move to set aside the default in this case. Rule of Practice 155(b) permits the Commission, at any time, to set aside a default for good cause, in order to prevent injustice and on such conditions as may be appropriate. 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b). A motion to set aside a default shall be made within a reasonable time, state the reasons for the failure to appear or defend, and specify the nature of the proposed defense in the proceeding. *Id.*

Jason S. Patil
Administrative Law Judge