UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C 20549 FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

Commission file number 1-14527 EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<u>Delaware</u>

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

22-3263609 (I.R.S Employer Identification No.)

477 Martinsville Road Post Office Box 830 Liberty Corner, New Jersey 07938-0830 (908) 604-3000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive office)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u> 5.40% Senior Notes Due 2014 6.60% Long Term Notes Due 2067 6.20% Trust Preferred Securities of Everest Re	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Register</u> NYSE NYSE	<u>ed</u>
Capital Trust II guaranteed by Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc.	NYSE	
Securities registered pursuant to Sect	tion 12(g) of the Act: None	
ndicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned	d issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.	
Yes X	No	
ndicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file rep	orts pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Ac	:t.
Yes	No <u>X</u>	
ndicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all r Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 mo required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such fi	nths (or for such shorter period that the registrant	
Yes X	No	
ndicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted el every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and post preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registra	ted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during	
Yes	No	
ndicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuar and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's kn ncorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any ame	nowledge, in definitive proxy or information statem	
Yes X	No	
ndicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelera smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large acce company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.		
Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	
Non-accelerated filer X (Do not check if smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	
ndicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company	y (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.)	
Yes	No <u>X</u>	
The aggregate market value on June 30, 2009, the last busines quarter, of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant		ond
At March 15, 2010, the number of shares outstanding of the	registrant common shares was 1,000, all of which	are

е owned by Everest Underwriting Group (Ireland) Limited, a wholly-owned direct subsidiary of Everest Re Group, Ltd.

The Registrant meets the conditions set forth in General Instruction I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is therefore filing this form with the reduced disclosure format permitted by General Instruction I of Form 10-K.

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

Table of Contents

PART I

ltem 1.	Business	1
ltem 1A.	Risk Factors	7
ltem 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	13
ltem 2.	Properties	13
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	13
ltem 4.	Reserved	13

PART II

ltem 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	14
	Purchases of Equity Securities	
ltem 6.	Selected Financial Data	14
ltem 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	14
	Operations	
ltem 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	31
ltem 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	34
ltem 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial	34
	Disclosure	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	34
ltem 9B.	Other Information	34

PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	35
ltem 11.	Executive Compensation	35
ltem 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	35
	Stockholder Matters	
ltem 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	35
ltem 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	35

PART IV

ltem 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	36

PART I

Unless otherwise indicated, all financial data in this document have been prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). As used in this document, "Holdings" means Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc.; "Group" means Everest Re Group, Ltd.; "Holdings Ireland" means Everest Underwriting Group (Ireland) Limited; "Everest Re" means Everest Reinsurance Company and its subsidiaries (unless the context otherwise requires) and the "Company", "we", "us", and "our" means Holdings and its subsidiaries (unless the context otherwise requires).

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company.

Holdings, a Delaware corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdings Ireland. On December 30, 2008, Group contributed Holdings to its recently established Irish holding company, Holdings Ireland. Holdings Ireland is a direct subsidiary of Group and was established to serve as a holding company for the U.S. and Irish reinsurance and insurance subsidiaries. Group is a Bermuda holding company whose common shares are publicly traded in the U.S. on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "RE". Group files an annual report on Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") with respect to its consolidated operations, including Holdings.

The Company's principal business, conducted through its operating segments, is the underwriting of reinsurance and insurance in the U.S. and international markets. The Company had gross written premiums, in 2009, of \$3.3 billion, with approximately 75% representing reinsurance and 25% representing insurance. Stockholder's equity at December 31, 2009 was \$2.9 billion. The Company underwrites reinsurance both through brokers and directly with ceding companies, giving it the flexibility to pursue business based on the ceding company's preferred reinsurance purchasing method. The Company underwrites insurance principally through general agent relationships, brokers and surplus lines brokers. Holdings' active operating subsidiaries, excluding Mt. McKinley Insurance Company ("Mt. McKinley"), which is in runoff, are each rated A+ ("Superior") by A.M. Best Company ("A.M. Best"), a leading provider of insurer ratings that assigns financial strength ratings to insurance companies based on their ability to meet their obligations to policyholders.

Following is a summary of the Company's operating subsidiaries:

- Everest Re, a Delaware insurance company and a direct subsidiary of Holdings, is a licensed property and casualty insurer and/or reinsurer in all states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico and is authorized to conduct reinsurance business in Canada, Singapore and Brazil. Everest Re underwrites property and casualty reinsurance for insurance and reinsurance companies in the U.S. and international markets. Everest Re engages in reinsurance transactions with Everest Reinsurance (Bermuda), Ltd. ("Bermuda Re") and Everest International Reinsurance, Ltd. ("Everest International"), affiliates, primarily driven by enterprise risk and capital management considerations under which business is ceded at market rates and terms ("the affiliated quota share agreement"). At December 31, 2009 Everest Re had statutory surplus of \$2.8 billion.
- Everest National Insurance Company ("Everest National"), a Delaware insurance company and a direct subsidiary of Everest Re, is licensed in 47 states and the District of Columbia and is authorized to write property and casualty insurance on an admitted basis in the jurisdictions in which it is licensed. The majority of Everest National's business is reinsured by its parent, Everest Re.

- Everest Indemnity Insurance Company ("Everest Indemnity"), a Delaware insurance company and a direct subsidiary of Everest Re, writes excess and surplus lines insurance business in the U.S. on a non-admitted basis. Excess and surplus lines insurance is specialty property and liability coverage that an insurer not licensed to write insurance in a particular jurisdiction is permitted to provide to insureds when the specific specialty coverage is unavailable from admitted basis in all other states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. The majority of Everest Indemnity's business is reinsured by its parent, Everest Re.
- Everest Security Insurance Company ("Everest Security"), a Georgia insurance company and a direct subsidiary of Everest Re, writes property and casualty insurance on an admitted basis in Georgia and Alabama. The majority of Everest Security's business is reinsured by its parent, Everest Re.
- Mt. McKinley, a Delaware insurance company and a direct subsidiary of Holdings, was acquired by Holdings in September 2000 from The Prudential Insurance Company of America ("The Prudential"). In 1985, Mt. McKinley ceased writing new and renewal insurance and commenced a run-off operation to service claims arising from its previously written business. Effective September 19, 2000, Mt. McKinley and Bermuda Re entered into a loss portfolio transfer reinsurance agreement, whereby Mt. McKinley transferred, for arm's length consideration, all of its net insurance exposures and reserves to Bermuda Re.

Reinsurance Industry Overview.

Reinsurance is an arrangement in which an insurance company, the reinsurer, agrees to indemnify another insurance or reinsurance company, the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance risks underwritten by the ceding company under one or more insurance contracts. Reinsurance can provide a ceding company with several benefits, including a reduction in its net liability on individual risks or classes of risks, catastrophe protection from large and/or multiple losses and/or a reduction in operating leverage as measured by the ratio of net premiums and reserves to capital. Reinsurance also provides a ceding company with additional underwriting capacity by permitting it to accept larger risks and write more business than would be acceptable relative to the ceding company's financial resources. Reinsurance does not discharge the ceding company from its liability to policyholders; rather, it reimburses the ceding company for covered losses.

There are two basic types of reinsurance arrangements: treaty and facultative. Treaty reinsurance obligates the ceding company to cede and the reinsurer to assume a specified portion of a type or category of risks insured by the ceding company. Treaty reinsurers do not separately evaluate each of the individual risks assumed under their treaties, instead, the reinsurer relies upon the pricing and underwriting decisions made by the ceding company. In facultative reinsurance, the ceding company cedes and the reinsurer assumes all or part of the risk under a single insurance contract. Facultative reinsurance is negotiated separately for each insurance contract that is reinsured. Facultative reinsurance, when purchased by ceding companies, usually is intended to cover individual risks not covered by their reinsurance treaties because of the dollar limits involved or because the risk is unusual.

Both treaty and facultative reinsurance can be written on either a pro rata basis or an excess of loss basis. Under pro rata reinsurance, the ceding company and the reinsurer share the premiums as well as the losses and expenses in an agreed proportion. Under excess of loss reinsurance, the reinsurer indemnifies the ceding company against all or a specified portion of losses and expenses in excess of a specified dollar amount, known as the ceding company's retention or reinsurer's attachment point, generally subject to a negotiated reinsurance contract limit. In pro rata reinsurance, the reinsurer generally pays the ceding company a ceding commission. The ceding commission generally is based on the ceding company's cost of acquiring the business being reinsured (commissions, premium taxes, assessments and miscellaneous administrative expense and may contain profit sharing provisions, whereby the ceding commission is adjusted based on loss experience). Premiums paid by the ceding company to a reinsurer for excess of loss reinsurance are not directly proportional to the premiums that the ceding company receives because the reinsurer does not assume a proportionate risk. There is usually no ceding commission on excess of loss reinsurance.

Reinsurers may purchase reinsurance to cover their own risk exposure. Reinsurance of a reinsurer's business is called a retrocession. Reinsurance companies cede risks under retrocessional agreements to other reinsurers, known as retrocessionaires, for reasons similar to those that cause insurers to purchase reinsurance: to reduce net liability on individual or classes of risks, protect against catastrophic losses, stabilize financial ratios and obtain additional underwriting capacity.

Reinsurance can be written through intermediaries, generally professional reinsurance brokers, or directly with ceding companies. From a ceding company's perspective, the broker and the direct distribution channels have advantages and disadvantages. A ceding company's decision to select one distribution channel over the other will be influenced by its perception of such advantages and disadvantages relative to the reinsurance coverage being placed.

Business Strategy.

The Company's business strategy is to sustain its leadership position within targeted reinsurance and insurance markets, provide effective management throughout the property and casualty underwriting cycle and thereby achieve an attractive return for its stockholder. The Company's underwriting strategies seek to capitalize on its i) financial strength and capacity, ii) stable and experienced management team, iii) diversified product and distribution offerings, iv) underwriting expertise and disciplined approach, v) efficient and low-cost operating structure and vi) effective enterprise risk management practices.

The Company offers treaty and facultative reinsurance and admitted and non-admitted insurance. The Company's products include the full range of property and casualty reinsurance and insurance coverages, including marine, aviation, surety, errors and omissions liability ("E&O"), directors' and officers' liability ("D&O"), medical malpractice, other specialty lines, accident and health ("A&H") and workers' compensation.

The Company's underwriting strategies emphasize underwriting profitability over premium volume. Key elements of this strategy include careful risk selection, appropriate pricing through strict underwriting discipline and adjustment of the Company's business mix in response to changing market conditions. The Company focuses on reinsuring companies that effectively manage the underwriting cycle through proper analysis and pricing of underlying risks and whose underwriting guidelines and performance are compatible with its objectives.

The Company's underwriting strategies emphasize flexibility and responsiveness to changing market conditions, such as increased demand or favorable pricing trends. The Company believes that its existing strengths, including its broad underwriting expertise, U.S. and international presence, strong financial ratings and substantial capital, facilitate adjustments to its mix of business geographically, by line of business and by type of coverage, allowing it to participate in those market opportunities that provide the greatest potential for underwriting profitability. The Company's insurance operations complement these strategies by accessing business that is not available on a reinsurance basis. The Company carefully monitors its mix of business across all operations to avoid unacceptable geographic or other risk concentrations.

Capital Transactions.

The Company's business operations are in part dependent on its financial strength and financial strength ratings, and the market's perception of its financial strength. The Company's stockholder's equity was \$2,858.8 million and \$2,203.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company possesses significant financial flexibility with access to the debt markets and, through its ultimate parent, equity markets, as a result of its perceived financial strength, as evidenced by the financial strength ratings as assigned by independent rating agencies. During the last six months of 2008 and into 2009, the capital markets were illiquid in reaction to the deepening credit crisis which led to bank and other financial institution failures and effective failures. Credit spreads widened and the equity markets declined significantly during this period making access to the capital markets, for even highly rated companies, difficult and costly. The Company's capital position remains strong, commensurate with its financial ratings and the Company has ample liquidity to meet its financial obligations for the foreseeable future.

On December 17, 2008, Group and Holdings renewed the shelf registration statement on Form S-3ASR with the SEC, as a Well Known Seasoned Issuer. This shelf registration statement can be used by Group to register common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, warrants, share purchase contracts and share purchase units; by Holdings to register debt securities and by Everest Re Capital Trust III ("Capital Trust III") to register trust preferred securities.

On April 26, 2007, Holdings completed a public offering of \$400.0 million principal amount of 6.6% fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes with a scheduled maturity date of May 15, 2037 and a final maturity date of May 1, 2067. The net proceeds were used to redeem the 7.85% junior subordinated debt securities of Holdings on November 15, 2007, and for general corporate purposes.

On March 19, 2009, Group announced the commencement of a cash tender offer for any and all of the 6.6% fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes. Upon expiration of the tender offer, the Company had reduced its outstanding debt by \$161.4 million, which resulted in a pre-tax gain on debt repurchase of \$78.3 million.

On March 15, 2010, the \$200.0 million principal amount of 8.75% senior notes matured, and was paid off in cash.

Financial Strength Ratings.

The following table shows the current financial strength ratings of the Company's operating subsidiaries as reported by A.M. Best, Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("Standard & Poor's") and Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's"). These ratings are based upon factors of concern to policyholders and should not be considered an indication of the degree or lack of risk involved in a direct or indirect equity investment in an insurance or reinsurance company.

All of the below-mentioned ratings are continually monitored and revised, if necessary, by each of the rating agencies. The ratings presented in the following table were in effect as of February 28, 2010.

The Company believes that its ratings, in general, are important to its operations because they provide the Company's customers and investors with an independent assessment of the Company's underlying financial strength using a scale that provides for relative comparisons. Strong financial ratings are particularly important for reinsurance companies. Ceding companies must rely on their reinsurers to pay covered losses well into the future. As a result, a highly rated reinsurer is generally preferred.

Operating Subsidiary:	A.M. Best	Standard & Poor's	Moody's
Everest Re	A+ (Superior)	A+ (Strong)	Aa3 (Excellent)
Everest National	A+ (Superior)	A+ (Strong)	Not Rated
Everest Indemnity	A+ (Superior)	Not Rated	Not Rated
Everest Security	A+ (Superior)	Not Rated	Not Rated
Mt. McKinley	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated

A.M. Best states that the "A+" ("Superior") rating is assigned to those companies which, in its opinion, have a superior ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders based on A.M. Best's comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. Standard & Poor's states that the "A+" rating is assigned to those insurance companies which, in its opinion, have strong financial security characteristics with respect to their ability to pay under its insurance policies and contracts in accordance with their terms. Moody's states that insurance companies rated "Aa" offer excellent financial security. Together with the Aaa rated companies, Aa rated companies constitute what are generally known as high-grade companies, with Aa rated companies generally having somewhat larger long-term risks.

Subsidiaries other than Everest Re may not be rated by some or any rating agencies because such ratings are not considered essential by the individual subsidiary's customers or because of the limited nature of the subsidiary's operations. In particular, Mt. McKinley is not rated because it is in run-off status.

Debt Ratings.

The following table shows the debt ratings by A.M. Best, Standard & Poor's and Moody's of the Company's senior notes due March 15, 2010 and October 15, 2014 and long term notes due May 1, 2067 and Everest Re Capital Trust II's ("Capital Trust II") trust preferred securities due March 29, 2034, all of which are considered investment grade. Debt ratings are the rating agencies' current assessment of the credit worthiness of an obligor with respect to a specific obligation.

		A.M. Best Standard & Poor's			Moody's	
Senior Notes	a-	(Strong)	BBB+	(Adequate)	A3	(Good)
Trust Preferred Securities	bbb+	(Adequate)	BBB-	(Adequate)	Baa1	(Adequate)
Long Term Notes	bbb	(Adequate)	BBB-	(Adequate)	Baa1	(Adequate)

A debt rating of "a-" is assigned by A.M. Best where the issuer, in A.M. Best's opinion, has a strong ability to meet the terms of the obligation. A.M. Best assigns a debt rating in the "bbb" range where the issuer, in A.M. Best's opinion, has adequate ability to meet the terms of the obligation. Standard & Poor's assigns a debt rating in the "BBB" range to issuers that exhibit adequate protection parameters although adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. According to Moody's, a debt rating of "A3" is assigned to issues that are considered upper-medium-grade obligations and subject to low credit risk. Obligations rated "Baa1" are subject to moderate credit risk and are considered medium-grade and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics.

Competition.

The worldwide reinsurance and insurance businesses are highly competitive, as well as cyclical by product and market. As such, financial results tend to fluctuate with periods of constrained availability, high rates and strong profits followed by periods of abundant capacity, low rates and constrained profitability. Competition in the types of reinsurance and insurance business that the Company underwrites is based on many factors, including the perceived overall financial strength of the reinsurer or insurer, ratings of the reinsurer or insurer by A.M. Best Company and/or Standard & Poor's, underwriting expertise, the jurisdictions where the reinsurer or insurer is licensed or otherwise authorized, capacity and coverages offered, premiums charged, other terms and conditions of the reinsurance and insurance business offered, services offered, speed of claims payment and reputation and experience in lines written. Furthermore, the market impact from these competitive factors related to reinsurance and insurance is generally not consistent across lines of business, domestic and international geographical areas and distribution channels. The Company competes in the U.S. and international reinsurance and insurance markets with numerous global competitors. The Company's competitors include independent reinsurance and insurance companies, subsidiaries or affiliates of established worldwide insurance companies, reinsurance departments of certain insurance companies and domestic and international underwriting operations, including underwriting syndicates at Lloyd's. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources than the Company does and have established long term and continuing business relationships, which can be a significant competitive advantage. In addition, the lack of strong barriers to entry into the reinsurance business and the potential for securitization of reinsurance and insurance risks through capital markets provide additional sources of potential reinsurance and insurance capacity and competition.

Starting in the latter part of 2007, throughout 2008 and into 2009, there has been a significant slowdown in the global economy, which has negatively impacted the financial resources of the industry. Excessive availability and use of credit, particularly by individuals, led to increased defaults on sub-prime mortgages in the U.S. and elsewhere, falling values for houses and many commodities and contracting consumer spending. The significant increase in default rates negatively impacted the value of asset-backed securities held by both foreign and domestic institutions. The defaults have led to a corresponding increase in foreclosures, which have driven down housing values, resulting in additional losses on asset-backed securities. During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, credit markets deteriorated dramatically, evidenced by widening credit spreads and dramatically reduced availability of credit. Many financial institutions, including some insurance entities, experienced liquidity crises due to immediate demands for funds for withdrawals or collateral, combined with falling asset values and their inability to sell assets to meet the increased demands. As a result, several financial institutions have failed or been acquired at distressed prices, while others have received loans from the U.S. government to continue operations. The liquidity crisis significantly increased the spreads on fixed maturity securities and, at the same time, had a dramatic and negative impact on the stock markets around the world. The combination of losses on securities from failed or impaired companies combined with the decline in values of fixed maturity and equity securities resulted in significant declines in the capital bases of most insurance and reinsurance companies. While there was significant improvement in the financial markets during 2009, it is too early to predict the timing and extent of impact the capital deterioration and subsequent partial recovery will have on insurance and reinsurance market conditions.

Worldwide insurance and reinsurance market conditions continued to be very competitive. Generally, there was ample insurance and reinsurance capacity relative to demand. The Company noted, however, that in many markets and lines during 2009, the rates of decline have slowed, pricing in some segments was relatively flat and there was upward movement in some others, particularly property catastrophe coverage. Competition and its effect on rates, terms and conditions vary widely by market and coverage yet continues to be most prevalent in the U.S. casualty insurance and reinsurance markets. The U.S. insurance markets in which the Company participates were extremely competitive as well, particularly in the workers' compensation, public entity and contractor sectors.

The reinsurance industry has experienced a period of falling rates and volume, particularly in the casualty lines of business. Profit opportunities have become generally less available over time; however, the unfavorable trends appear to have abated somewhat. The Company is now seeing smaller rate declines, pockets of stability and some increases in some markets and for some coverages. Both the primary insurers and reinsurers incurred very significant investment and catastrophe losses during 2008 resulting in capital depletion and increased rating agency scrutiny. Conversely in 2009, the financial markets partially rebounded and catastrophe losses were low, resulting in improved industry capital levels. It is too early to gauge the market impacts from these capital accumulations.

Rates in the international markets have generally been more adequate than in the U.S., and the Company has seen some increases, particularly for catastrophe exposed business. The Company has grown its business in the Middle East, Latin America and Asia. The Company is expanding its international reach with the opening of a new office in Brazil to capitalize on the recently expanded opportunity for professional reinsurers in that market and on the economic growth expected for Brazil in the future.

The 2009 renewal rates, particularly for property catastrophe and retrocessional covers and in international markets, were generally firmer compared to a year ago.

Overall, the Company believes that current marketplace conditions offer profit opportunities for it given the Company's strong ratings, distribution system, reputation and expertise. The Company continues to employ its strategy of targeting business that offers the greatest profit potential, while maintaining balance and diversification in its overall portfolio.

Employees.

As of February 1, 2010, the Company employed 543 persons. Management believes that employee relations are good. None of the Company's employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements, and the Company is not aware of any current efforts to implement such agreements.

Available Information.

The Company's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports are available free of charge through the Company's internet website at http://www.everestre.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with the SEC.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information provided in this report, the following risk factors should be considered when evaluating us. If the circumstances contemplated by the individual risk factors materialize, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected and our ability to service our debt, our debt ratings and our ability to issue new debt could decline significantly.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS

Fluctuations in the financial markets could result in investment losses.

Prolonged and severe disruptions in the public debt and equity markets, such as occurred during 2008, could result in significant realized and unrealized losses in our investment portfolio. For the year ended December 31, 2008 we incurred \$489.2 million of realized and \$276.5 million of unrealized investment losses. Although financial markets significantly improved during 2009, they could deteriorate in the future and again result in substantial realized and unrealized losses, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

Our results could be adversely affected by catastrophic events.

We are exposed to unpredictable catastrophic events, including weather-related and other natural catastrophes, as well as acts of terrorism. Any material reduction in our operating results caused by the occurrence of one or more catastrophes could inhibit our ability to pay dividends or to meet our interest and principal payment obligations. We define a catastrophe as an event that causes a loss on property exposures before reinsurance of at least \$5.0 million, before corporate level reinsurance and taxes. By way of illustration, during the past five calendar years, pre-tax catastrophe losses, net of contract specific reinsurance but before cessions under corporate reinsurance programs, were as follows:

Calendar year:	Pre-tax catastrophe los	sses
(Dollars in millions)		
2009	\$	23.9
2008		202.4
2007		73.3
2006		209.6
2005		833.0

Our losses from future catastrophic events could exceed our projections.

We use projections of possible losses from future catastrophic events of varying types and magnitudes as a strategic underwriting tool. We use these loss projections to estimate our potential catastrophe losses in certain geographic areas and decide on the purchase of retrocessional coverage or other actions to limit the extent of potential losses in a given geographic area. These loss projections are approximations, reliant on a mix of quantitative and qualitative processes, and actual losses may exceed the projections by a material amount, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

If our loss reserves are inadequate to meet our actual losses, net income would be reduced or we could incur a loss.

We are required to maintain reserves to cover our estimated ultimate liability of losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") for both reported and unreported claims incurred. These reserves are only estimates of what we believe the settlement and administration of claims will cost based on facts and circumstances known to us. In setting reserves for our reinsurance liabilities, we rely on claim data supplied by our ceding companies and brokers and we employ actuarial and statistical projections. The information received from our ceding companies is not always timely or accurate, which can contribute to inaccuracies in our loss projections. Because of the uncertainties that surround our estimates of loss and LAE reserves, we cannot be certain that ultimate loss and LAE payments will not exceed our estimates. If our reserves are deficient, we would be required to increase loss reserves in the period in which such deficiencies are identified which would cause a charge to our earnings and a reduction of capital. By way of illustration, during the past five calendar years, the reserve re-estimation process resulted in a decrease to our pre-tax net income in four of the years:

Calendar year:	Effect on pre-ta	Effect on pre-tax net income		
(Dollars in millions)				
2009	\$ 70.0	decrease		
2008	142.0	decrease		
2007	275.7	decrease		
2006	67.4	decrease		
2005	67.3	increase		

The difficulty in estimating our reserves is significantly more challenging as it relate to reserving for potential asbestos and environmental ("A&E") liabilities. At year end 2009, 8.7% of our gross reserves were comprised of A&E reserves. A&E liabilities are especially hard to estimate for many reasons, including the long delays between exposure and manifestation of any bodily injury or property damage, difficulty in identifying the source of the asbestos or environmental contamination, long reporting delays and difficulty in properly allocating liability for the asbestos or environmental damage. Legal tactics and judicial and legislative developments affecting the scope of insurers' liability, which can be difficult to predict, also contribute to uncertainties in estimating reserves for A&E liabilities.

The failure to accurately assess underwriting risk and establish adequate premium rates could reduce our net income or result in net loss.

Our success depends on our ability to accurately assess the risks associated with the businesses on which the risk is retained. If we fail to accurately assess the risks we retain, we may fail to establish adequate premium rates to cover our losses and LAE. This could reduce our net income and even result in a net loss.

In addition, losses may arise from events or exposures that are not anticipated when the coverage is priced. An example of an unanticipated event is the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. Neither the magnitude of loss on a single line of business nor the combined impact on several lines of business from an act of terrorism on such a large scale was contemplated when we priced our coverages. In addition to unanticipated events, we also face the unanticipated expansion of our exposures, particularly in long-tail liability lines. An example of this is the expansion over time of the scope of insurers' legal liability within the mass tort arena, particularly for A&E exposures discussed above.

Decreases in pricing for property and casualty reinsurance and insurance could reduce our net income.

The worldwide reinsurance and insurance businesses are highly competitive, as well as cyclical by product and market. These cycles, as well as other factors that influence aggregate supply and demand for property and casualty insurance and reinsurance products, are outside of our control. The supply of (re)insurance is driven by prevailing prices and levels of capacity that may fluctuate in response to a number of factors including large catastrophic losses and investment returns being realized in the insurance industry. Demand for (re)insurance is influenced by underwriting results of insurers and insureds, including catastrophe losses, and prevailing general economic conditions. If any of these factors were to result in a decline in the demand for (re)insurance or an overall increase in (re)insurance capacity, our net income could decrease.

If rating agencies downgrade the ratings of our insurance subsidiaries, future prospects for growth and profitability could be significantly and adversely affected.

Our active insurance company subsidiaries currently hold financial strength ratings assigned by third-party rating agencies which assess and rate the claims paying ability and financial strength of insurers and reinsurers. Our active subsidiaries carry an "A+" ("Superior") rating from A.M. Best. Everest Re and Everest National hold an "A+" ("Strong") rating from Standard & Poor's. Everest Re holds an "Aa3" ("Excellent") rating from Moody's. Financial strength ratings are used by client companies and agents and brokers that place the business as an important means of assessing the financial strength and quality of reinsurers. A downgrade or withdrawal of any of these ratings might adversely affect our ability to market our insurance products and could have a material and adverse effect on future prospects for growth and profitability.

On March 13, 2009, Everest Re's and Everest National's ratings were downgraded one level by Standard & Poor's to "A+". However, we cannot assure that a further downgrade will not occur in the future if we do not continue to meet the evolving criteria expected of our current rating. In that regard, several of the rating agencies are in the process of modifying their approaches to evaluating catastrophic risk relative to their capital and risk management requirements. Therefore, we cannot predict the outcome of this reassessment or its potential impact upon our ratings.

Consistent with market practice, much of our treaty reinsurance business allows the ceding company to terminate the contract or seek collateralization of our obligations in the event of a rating downgrade below a certain threshold. The termination provision would generally be triggered if a rating fell below A.M. Best's A-rating level, which is three levels below Everest Re's current rating of A+. To a lesser extent, Everest Re also has modest exposure to reinsurance contracts that contain provisions for obligatory funding of outstanding liabilities in the event of a rating agency downgrade. That provision would also generally be triggered if Everest Re's rating fell below A.M. Best's A-rating level.

The failure of our insureds, intermediaries and reinsurers to satisfy their obligations to us could reduce our net income.

In accordance with industry practice, we have uncollateralized receivables from insureds, agent and brokers and/or rely on agents and brokers to process our payments. We may not be able to collect amounts due from insureds, agents and brokers, resulting in a reduction to net income.

We are also subject to the credit risk of reinsurers in connection with retrocessional arrangements because the transfer of risk to a reinsurer does not relieve us of our liability to the insured. In addition, reinsurers may be unwilling to pay us even though they are able to do so. The failure of one or more of our reinsurers to honor their obligations to us in a timely fashion would impact our cash flow and reduce our net income and could cause us to incur a significant loss. If we are unable or choose not to purchase reinsurance and transfer risk to reinsurers, our net income could be reduced or we could incur a net loss in the event of an unusual loss experience.

We are generally less reliant on the purchase of reinsurance than many of our competitors, in part because of our strategic emphasis on underwriting discipline and management of the cycles inherent in our business. We try to separate our risk taking process from our risk mitigation process in order to avoid developing too great of a reliance on reinsurance. The bulk of these cessions are to captives of program managers, who thereby share in the results of the business they produce. We otherwise generally purchase reinsurance from other third parties only when we expect a net benefit. The percentage of business that we reinsure to other than captives of program managers, may vary considerably from year to year, depending on our view of the relationship between cost and the expected benefit for the contract period.

We have entered into affiliated whole account quota share reinsurance agreements for 2002 through 2009 and entered into a new quota share agreement for 2010 with Bermuda Re. We believe that the terms, conditions and pricing of the quota share agreements reflect arm's length market conditions. In addition, we entered into a loss portfolio transfer agreement with Bermuda Re on October 1, 2008. These affiliated reinsurance arrangements allow us to more effectively leverage our capital, expertise, distribution platform and market presence than our stand alone capital position would otherwise allow.

Percentage of ceded written premiums to gross written premiums	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Unaffiliated	6.0%	6.0%	5.0%	3.9%	4.0%
Affiliated	42.0%	36.2%	29.4%	24.2%	24.2%

Our affiliated quota share agreements reflect general reinsurance market terms and conditions and are negotiated on an arms' length basis. As a result, there can be no assurance that these arrangements will continue beyond 2010. If the quota shares are not renewed, we may have to reduce our premium volume and we may be more exposed to reductions in net income from large losses.

Our industry is highly competitive and we may not be able to compete successfully in the future.

Our industry is highly competitive and subject to pricing cycles that can be pronounced. We compete globally in the U.S. and international reinsurance and insurance markets with numerous competitors. Our competitors include independent reinsurance and insurance companies, subsidiaries or affiliates of established worldwide insurance companies, reinsurance departments of certain insurance companies and domestic and international underwriting operations, including underwriting syndicates at Lloyd's.

According to Standard & Poor's, we rank among the top ten global reinsurance groups, in which two-thirds of the market share is concentrated. The worldwide premium available to the reinsurance market, for both life and non-life business, was estimated to be \$160 billion in 2008 according to data compiled by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors. The top twenty groups in our industry represent close to 80% of these revenues. The leaders in this market are Munich Reinsurance Company, Swiss Re, Berkshire Hathaway Inc., Hannover Ruckversicherung AG, SCOR and syndicates at Lloyd's. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources than we do and have established long term and continuing business relationships throughout the industry, which can be a significant competitive advantage. In addition, the lack of strong barriers to entry into the reinsurance business and the potential for securitization of reinsurance and insurance risks through capital markets provide additional sources of potential reinsurance and insurance capacity and competition.

We are dependent on our key personnel.

Our success has been, and will continue to be, dependent on the ability to retain the services of existing key executive officers and to attract and retain additional qualified personnel in the future. The loss of the services of any key executive officer or the inability to hire and retain other highly qualified personnel in the future could adversely affect our ability to conduct business. Generally, we consider key executive officers to be those individuals who have the greatest influence in setting overall policy and controlling operations: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Joseph V. Taranto (age 61), President and Chief Operating Officer, Ralph E. Jones, III (age 53), and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Dominic J. Addesso (age 56). We currently have employment contracts with Mr. Taranto and Mr. Addesso. Mr. Taranto's contract was filed with the SEC and provides for terms of employment ending on December 31, 2010. Mr. Addesso's contract was filed with the SEC and provides for terms of employment ending on May 7, 2010.

Our investment values and investment income could decline because they are exposed to interest rate, credit and market risks.

A significant portion of our investment portfolio consists of fixed income securities and smaller portions consist of equity securities and other investments. Both the fair market value of our invested assets and associated investment income fluctuate depending on general economic and market conditions. For example, the fair market value of our predominant fixed income portfolio generally increases or decreases inversely to fluctuations in interest rates. The market value of our fixed income securities could also decrease as a result of a downturn in the business cycle, such as the downturn we are currently experiencing, that causes the credit quality of such securities to deteriorate. The net investment income that we realize from future investments in fixed income securities will generally increase or decrease with interest rates.

Interest rate fluctuations also can cause net investment income from fixed income investments that carry prepayment risk, such as mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities, to differ from the income anticipated from those securities at the time of purchase. In addition, if issuers of individual investments are unable to meet their obligations, investment income will be reduced and realized capital losses may arise.

The majority of our fixed income securities are classified as available for sale and temporary changes in the market value of these investments are reflected as changes to our stockholder's equity. Our actively managed equity security portfolio is fair valued and any changes in fair value are reflected as net realized capital gains or losses. As a result, a decline in the value of the securities in our portfolio reduces our capital or could cause us to incur a loss.

We have invested a portion of our investment portfolio in equity securities. The value of these assets fluctuate with changes in the markets. In times of economic weakness, the fair value of these assets may decline, and may negatively impact net income. We also invest in non-traditional investments which have different risk characteristics than traditional fixed income and equity securities. These alternative investments are comprised primarily of private equity limited partnerships. The changes in value and investment income (loss) for these partnerships are more volatile than over-the-counter securities.

The following table quantifies the portion of our investment portfolio that consists of fixed income securities, equity securities and asset-backed investments that carry prepayment risk.

(Dollars in millions)	Decem	December 31, 2009		
Mortgage-backed securities				
Commercial	\$	29.1	0.4%	
Agency residential		564.7	7.0%	
Non-agency residential		55.0	0.7%	
Other asset-backed		15.1	0.2%	
Total asset-backed		663.9	8.3%	
Other fixed income		5,799.3	72.2%	
Total fixed income, at market value		6,463.2	80.5%	
Fixed maturities, at fair value		50.5	0.6%	
Equity securities, at fair value		380.0	4.7%	
Other invested assets, at market value		386.3	4.8%	
Other invested assets, at fair value		382.6	4.8%	
Cash and short-term investments		368.9	4.6%	
Total investments and cash	\$	8,031.6	100.0%	

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

We may experience foreign currency exchange losses that reduce our net income and capital levels.

Through our international operations, we conduct business in a variety of foreign (non-U.S.) currencies, principally the Euro, the British pound, the Canadian dollar and the Singapore dollar. Assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses denominated in foreign currencies are exposed to changes in currency exchange rates. Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar, and exchange rate fluctuations relative to the U.S. dollar may materially impact our results and financial position. In 2009, we wrote 22.0% of our reinsurance and insurance coverages in non-U.S. currencies; as of December 31, 2009, we maintained 10.8% of our investment portfolio in investments denominated in non-U.S. currencies. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the impact on our quarterly pre-tax net income from exchange rate fluctuations ranged from a loss of \$23.3 million to a gain of \$54.6 million.

In addition to net income impacts, changes in foreign exchange rates resulted in pre-tax translation adjustments through other comprehensive income of \$43.2 million and \$46.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. On a cumulative after-tax basis, translation had increased equity by \$57.0 million and \$28.9 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

RISKS RELATING TO REGULATION

Insurance laws and regulations restrict our ability to operate and any failure to comply with those laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to extensive and increasing regulation under U.S., state and foreign insurance laws. These laws limit the amount of dividends that can be paid to us by our operating subsidiaries, impose restrictions on the amount and type of investments that we can hold, prescribe solvency, accounting and internal control standards that must be met and maintained and require us to maintain reserves. These laws also require disclosure of material inter-affiliate transactions and require prior approval of "extraordinary" transactions. Such "extraordinary" transactions include declaring dividends from operating subsidiaries that exceed statutory thresholds. These laws also generally require approval of changes of control of insurance companies. The application of these laws could affect our liquidity and ability to pay dividends, interest and other payments on securities, as applicable, and could restrict our ability to expand business operations through acquisitions of new insurance subsidiaries. We may not have or maintain all required licenses and approvals or fully comply with the wide variety of applicable laws and regulations or the relevant authority's interpretation of the laws and regulations. If we do not have the requisite licenses and approvals or do not

comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the insurance regulatory authorities could preclude or temporarily suspend us from carrying on some or all activities or monetarily penalize us. These types of actions could have a material adverse effect on our business. To date, no material fine, penalty or restriction has been imposed on us for failure to comply with any insurance law or regulation.

As a result of the recent dislocation of the financial market, Congress and the Presidential administration in the United States, are contemplating change in the way the financial services industry is regulated. It is possible that insurance regulation will be drawn into this process, and that federal regulatory initiatives in the insurance industry could emerge. The future impact of such initiatives, if any, on our operation, net income or financial condition cannot be determined at this time.

RISK RELATING TO OUR SECURITIES

Because of our holding company structure, our ability to pay dividends, interest and principal is dependent on our receipt of dividends, loan payments and other funds from our subsidiaries.

We are a holding company, whose most significant assets consist of the stock of our operating subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to pay dividends, interest or other payments on our securities in the future will depend on the earnings and cash flows of the operating subsidiaries and the ability of the subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us. This ability is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory and other factors beyond our control. Payment of dividends and advances and repayments from some of the operating subsidiaries are regulated by U.S., state and foreign insurance laws and regulatory restrictions, including minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds. Accordingly, the operating subsidiaries may not be able to pay dividends or advance or repay funds to us in the future, which could prevent us from paying dividends, interest or other payments on our securities.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Everest Re's corporate offices are located in approximately 230,500 square feet of leased office space in Liberty Corner, New Jersey. The Company's other twelve locations occupy a total of approximately 83,700 square feet, all of which are leased. Management believes that the above described office space is adequate for its current and anticipated needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in lawsuits, arbitrations and other formal and informal dispute resolution procedures, the outcomes of which will determine the Company's rights and obligations under insurance, reinsurance and other contractual agreements. In some disputes, the Company seeks to enforce its rights under an agreement or to collect funds owing to it. In other matters, the Company is resisting attempts by others to collect funds or enforce alleged rights. These disputes arise from time to time and are ultimately resolved through both informal and formal means, including negotiated resolution, arbitration and litigation. In all such matters, the Company believes that its positions are legally and commercially reasonable. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company does not believe that any of these matters, when finally resolved, will have a materially adverse effect on the Company's financial position or liquidity. However, an adverse resolution of one or more of these items in any one quarter or fiscal year could have a materially adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in that period.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information and Holder of Common Stock.

As of December 31, 2009, all of the Company's common stock was owned by Holdings Ireland and was not publicly traded.

Dividend History and Restrictions.

The Company did not pay any dividends in 2009 and 2007. In 2008, the Company paid a \$10.0 million dividend to Holdings Ireland. The declaration and payment of future dividends, if any, by the Company will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including the Company's earnings, financial condition, business needs and growth objectives, capital and surplus requirements of its operating subsidiaries, regulatory restrictions, rating agency considerations and other factors. As an insurance holding company, the Company is dependent on dividends and other permitted payments from its subsidiaries to pay cash dividends to its stockholder. The payment of dividends to Holdings by Everest Re is subject to limitations imposed by Delaware law. Generally, Everest Re may only pay dividends out of its statutory earned surplus, which was \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2009, and only after it has given 10 days prior notice to the Delaware Insurance Commissioner. During this 10-day period, the Commissioner may, by order, limit or disallow the payment of ordinary dividends if the Commissioner finds the insurer to be presently or potentially in financial distress. Further, the maximum amount of dividends that may be paid without the prior approval of the Delaware Insurance Commissioner in any twelve month period is the greater of (1) 10% of an insurer's statutory surplus as of the end of the prior calendar year or (2) the insurer's statutory net income, not including realized capital gains, for the prior calendar year. The maximum amount that is available for the payment of dividends by Everest Re in 2010 without prior regulatory approval is \$456.6 million.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Information for Item 6 is not required pursuant to General Instruction I(2) of Form 10-K.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following is a discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes thereto presented under ITEM 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data".

Industry Conditions.

The worldwide reinsurance and insurance businesses are highly competitive, as well as cyclical by product and market. As such, financial results tend to fluctuate with periods of constrained availability, high rates and strong profits followed by periods of abundant capacity, low rates and constrained profitability. Competition in the types of reinsurance and insurance business that we underwrite is based on many factors, including the perceived overall financial strength of the reinsurer or insurer, ratings of the reinsurer or insurer by A.M. Best and/or Standard & Poor's, underwriting expertise, the jurisdictions where the reinsurer or insurer is licensed or otherwise authorized, capacity and coverages offered, premiums charged, other terms and conditions of the reinsurance and insurance business offered, services offered, speed of claims payment and reputation and experience in lines written. Furthermore, the market impact from these competitive factors related to reinsurance and insurance is generally not consistent across lines of business, domestic and international geographical areas and distribution channels. We compete in the U.S. and international reinsurance and insurance markets with numerous global competitors. Our competitors include independent reinsurance and insurance companies, subsidiaries or affiliates of established worldwide insurance companies, reinsurance departments of certain insurance companies and domestic and international underwriting operations, including underwriting syndicates at Lloyd's. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources than we do and have established long term and continuing business relationships, which can be a significant competitive advantage. In addition, the lack of strong barriers to entry into the reinsurance business and the potential for securitization of reinsurance and insurance risks through capital markets provide additional sources of potential reinsurance and insurance capacity and competition.

Starting in the latter part of 2007, throughout 2008 and into 2009, there has been a significant slowdown in the global economy, which has negatively impacted the financial resources of the industry. Excessive availability and use of credit, particularly by individuals, led to increased defaults on sub-prime mortgages in the U.S. and elsewhere, falling values for houses and many commodities and contracting consumer spending. The significant increase in default rates negatively impacted the value of asset-backed securities held by both foreign and domestic institutions. The defaults have led to a corresponding increase in foreclosures, which have driven down housing values, resulting in additional losses on asset-backed securities. During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, credit markets deteriorated dramatically, evidenced by widening credit spreads and dramatically reduced availability of credit. Many financial institutions, including some insurance entities, experienced liquidity crises due to immediate demands for funds for withdrawals or collateral, combined with falling asset values and their inability to sell assets to meet the increased demands. As a result, several financial institutions have failed or been acquired at distressed prices, while others have received loans from the U.S. government to continue operations. The liquidity crisis significantly increased the spreads on fixed maturity securities and, at the same time, had a dramatic and negative impact on the stock markets around the world. The combination of losses on securities from failed or impaired companies combined with the decline in values of fixed maturity and equity securities resulted in significant declines in the capital bases of most insurance and reinsurance companies. While there was significant improvement in the financial markets during 2009, it is too early to predict the timing and extent of impact the capital deterioration and subsequent partial recovery will have on insurance and reinsurance market conditions.

Worldwide insurance and reinsurance market conditions continued to be very competitive. Generally, there was ample insurance and reinsurance capacity relative to demand. We noted, however, that in many markets and lines during 2009, the rates of decline have slowed, pricing in some segments was relatively flat and there was upward movement in some others, particularly property catastrophe coverage. Competition and its effect on rates, terms and conditions vary widely by market and coverage yet continues to be most prevalent in the U.S. casualty insurance and reinsurance markets. The U.S. insurance markets in which we participate were extremely competitive as well, particularly in the workers' compensation, public entity and contractor sectors.

The reinsurance industry has experienced a period of falling rates and volume, particularly in the casualty lines of business. Profit opportunities have become generally less available over time; however, the unfavorable trends appear to have abated somewhat. We are now seeing smaller rate declines, pockets of stability and some increases in some markets and for some coverages. Both the primary insurers and reinsurers incurred very significant investment and catastrophe losses during 2008 resulting in capital depletion and increased rating agency scrutiny. Conversely in 2009, the financial markets partially rebounded and catastrophe losses were low, resulting in improved industry capital levels. It is too early to gauge the market impacts from these capital accumulations.

Rates in the international markets have generally been more adequate than in the U.S., and we have seen some increases, particularly for catastrophe exposed business. We have grown our business in the Middle East, Latin America and Asia. We are expanding our international reach with the opening of a new office in Brazil to capitalize on the recently expanded opportunity for professional reinsurers in that market and on the economic growth expected for Brazil in the future.

The 2009 renewals rates, particularly for property catastrophe and retrocessional covers and in international markets, were generally firmer compared to a year ago.

Overall, we believe that current marketplace conditions offer profit opportunities for us given our strong ratings, distribution system, reputation and expertise. We continue to employ our strategy of targeting business that offers the greatest profit potential, while maintaining balance and diversification in our overall portfolio.

Financial Summary.

We monitor and evaluate our overall performance based upon financial results. The following table displays a summary of the consolidated net income (loss), ratios and stockholder's equity for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,						Percentage Increase/(Decrease)		
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2007	2009/2008	2008/2007	
Gross written premiums	\$	3,334.1	\$	2,894.8	\$	3,155.1	15.2%	-8.2%	
Net written premiums		1,735.3		1,675.4		2,072.9	3.6%	-19.2%	
REVENUES:									
Premiums earned	\$	1,785.1	\$	1,881.8	\$	2,178.9	-5.1%	-13.6%	
Net investment income		262.1		363.1		406.6	-27.8%	-10.7%	
Net realized capital gains (losses)		56.9		(489.2)		80.9	-111.6%	NM	
Realized gain on debt repurchase		78.3		-		-	NM	NA	
Other income (expense)		0.4		57.9		(73.6)	-99.4%	-178.7%	
Total revenues		2,182.7		1,813.6		2,592.7	20.4%	-30.1%	
CLAIMS AND EXPENSES:									
Incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses		1,091.7		1,465.6		1,507.6	-25.5%	-2.8%	
Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees		344.6		398.6		465.9	-13.6%	-14.4%	
Other underwriting expenses		150.3		129.9		123.9	15.7%	4.8%	
Interest, fee and bond issue cost amortization expense		70.9		79.0		91.1	-10.3%	-13.3%	
Total claims and expenses	_	1,657.5		2,073.1		2,188.5	-20.0%	-5.3%	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES		525.2		(259.5)		404.3	NM	-164.2%	
Income tax expense (benefit)		129.4		(134.7)		100.1	-196.0%	-234.6%	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	395.9	\$	(124.8)	\$	304.2	NM	-141.0%	
RATIOS:							Point C	hange	
Loss ratio		61.2%		77.9%		69.2%	(16.7)	8.7	
Commission and brokerage ratio		19.3%		21.2%		21.4%	(1.9)	(0.2)	
Other underwriting expense ratio		8.4%		6.9%		5.7%	1.5	1.2	
Combined ratio		88.9%	_	106.0%		96.3%	(17.1)	9.7	
			Δt D4	ecember 31,			Percentage Incre	asa/ (Decrease)	
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2007	2009/2008	2008/2007	
		2003		2000		2001	2003/2000	2000/2001	

(Dollars in millions)	2009	2008	2007	2009/2008	2008/2007
Balance sheet data:					
Total investments and cash	\$ 8,031.6	\$ 7,395.1	\$ 8,992.8	8.6%	-17.8%
Total assets	13,379.6	12,866.6	13,543.5	4.0%	-5.0%
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	7,300.1	7,420.0	7,538.7	-1.6%	-1.6%
Total debt	1,018.0	1,179.1	1,178.9	-13.7%	0.0%
Total liabilities	10,520.8	10,663.7	10,976.0	-1.3%	-2.8%
Stockholder's equity	2,858.8	2,203.0	2,567.5	29.8%	-14.2%

(NM, not meaningful)

(NA, not applicable)

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)

Revenues.

<u>Premiums.</u> Gross written premiums increased by \$439.3 million, or 15.2%, in 2009 compared to 2008, reflecting an increase of \$368.6 million in our reinsurance business and \$70.8 million in our insurance business. The increased reinsurance business was primarily attributable to increased rates on property business, in both the international and U.S. markets, new crop hail quota share treaty business, expanded participation on renewal contracts and new writings as ceding companies continued to favor reinsurers, such as Everest, with strong financial ratings. The increase in insurance premiums were primarily in the workers' compensation and financial institution D&O and E&O lines of business, which were new offerings for us in 2009. Net written premiums increased by \$59.9 million, or 3.6%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The disproportionate variance in net written premiums as compared to gross written premiums is primarily due to the increase in cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Premiums earned decreased \$96.7 million, or 5.1%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The change in net premiums earned relative to net written premiums are recorded at the initiation of the coverage period.

Gross written premiums decreased by \$260.3 million, or 8.2%, in 2008 compared to 2007, reflecting a decline of \$146.5 million in our reinsurance business and \$113.8 million in our insurance business. The decline in our reinsurance business was primarily attributable to continued competitive conditions in both the property and casualty sectors of the market, especially in the U.S., partially offset by strong renewals and higher rates in international markets. Insurance segment premiums were also lower, as conditions for workers' compensation, public entity and contractors business became increasingly competitive, which reduced the volume of business that met our underwriting and pricing criteria. Net written premiums decreased by \$397.6 million, or 19.2%, in 2008 compared to 2007. The decrease in gross written premiums in conjunction with the increase in cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement, contributed to the decline. Correspondingly, premiums earned decreased by \$297.1 million, or 13.6%, in 2008 compared to 2007.

<u>Net Investment Income.</u> Net investment income decreased by 27.8% in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily due to approximately \$747.0 million of transferred investments at the end of 2008 in conjunction with a loss portfolio transfer agreement with an affiliate, lower yields on new money and an increase in losses from our limited partnership investments that principally invest in public and non-public securities, both equity and debt. As a result, net pre-tax investment income, as a percentage of average invested assets, was 3.4% for 2009 compared to 4.5% for 2008.

Net investment income decreased by 10.7% in 2008 compared to 2007, primarily due to the decrease in short-term investment income from both lower rates and decreased holdings, diminished limited partnership investment income, particularly from limited partnerships which were principally invested in public equities and decreased income from reduced holdings in equity securities. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in income from fixed maturity securities. Pre-tax investment income as a percentage of average invested assets was 4.5% for 2008 compared to 4.9% for 2007.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses). We recorded net realized capital gains of \$56.9 million, net realized capital losses of \$489.2 million and net realized capital gains of \$80.9 million, in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In 2009, we had an \$80.3 million gain due to fair value re-measurements, which were partially offset by \$17.9 million of net realized capital losses from sales and \$5.5 million in other-than-temporary impairments on our available for sale fixed maturity securities. In 2008, we had \$193.5 million of net realized capital losses from the sale of fixed maturity and equity securities as we realigned our investment portfolios, \$221.2 million loss in fair value re-measurements and \$74.5 million in other-than-temporary impairments. In 2007, we had \$96.6 million in fair value re-measurements, partially offset by \$11.7 million of net realized capital losses from sales and \$4.0 million in other-than-temporary impairments on our fixed maturity securities.

<u>Realized Gain on Debt Repurchase.</u> On March 19, 2009, we announced the commencement of a cash tender offer for any and all of the 6.60% fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes due 2067. Upon expiration of the tender offer, we had reduced our outstanding debt by \$161.4 million, which resulted in a pre-tax gain on debt repurchase of \$78.3 million.

<u>Other Income (Expense)</u>. We recorded other income of \$0.4 million and \$57.9 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, and other expense of \$73.6 million in 2007. The variances were primarily due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and the deferrals on retroactive reinsurance agreements with affiliates for the corresponding periods.

Claims and Expenses.

Incurred Losses and LAE. The following table presents our incurred losses and LAE for the periods indicated.

	Years Ended December 31,								
		Current	Ratio %/		Prior	Ratio %/		Total	Ratio %/
(Dollars in millions)		Year	Pt Change		Years	Pt Change	I	ncurred	Pt Change
<u>2009</u>									
Attritional (a)	\$	999.6	56.0%	\$	67.7	3.8%	\$	1,067.3	59.8%
Catastrophes		22.1	1.2%		1.8	0.1%		23.9	1.3%
A&E		-	0.0%		0.4	0.0%		0.4	0.0%
Total	\$	1,021.7	57.2%	\$	70.0	3.9%	\$	1,091.7	61.2%
<u>2008</u>									
Attritional (a)	\$	1,134.8	60.3%	\$	128.4	6.8%	\$	1,263.1	67.1%
Catastrophes		188.7	10.0%		13.7	0.7%		202.4	10.8%
A&E		-	0.0%		-	0.0%		-	0.0%
Total	\$	1,323.5	70.3%	\$	142.0	7.5%	\$	1,465.6	77.9%
<u>2007</u>									
Attritional (a)	\$	1,174.9	53.9%	\$	(6.9)	-0.3%	\$	1,168.0	53.6%
Catastrophes		56.9	2.6%		16.3	0.8%		73.3	3.4%
A&E		-	0.0%		266.4	12.2%		266.4	12.2%
Total	\$	1,231.8	56.5%	\$	275.7	12.7%	\$	1,507.6	69.2%
Variance 2009/2008									
Attritional (a)	\$	(135.2)	(4.3) pts	\$	(60.6)	(3.0) pts	\$	(195.8)	(7.3) pts
Catastrophes		(166.6)	(8.8) pts		(11.9)	(0.6) pts		(178.5)	(9.5) pts
A&E		-	- pts		0.4	- pts		0.4	- pts
Total	\$	(301.8)	(13.1) pts	\$	(72.0)	(3.6) pts	\$	(373.9)	(16.7) pts
Variance 2008/2007									
Attritional (a)	\$	(40.1)	6.4 pts	\$	135.3	7.1 pts	\$	95.2	13.5 pts
Catastrophes		131.8	7.4 pts		(2.6)	- pts		129.2	7.4 pts
A&E		-	- pts		(266.4)	(12.2) pts		(266.4)	(12.2) pts
Total	\$	91.7	13.8 pts	\$	(133.7)	(5.1) pts	\$	(42.0)	8.7 pts

(a) Attritional losses exclude catastrophe and A&E losses.

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE were lower by \$373.9 million, or 25.5%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The absence, in 2009, of any large catastrophe losses as experienced in 2008, reduced the loss ratio 8.8 points, or \$166.6 million, period over period. The \$135.2 million decrease, period over period, in current year attritional losses was the result of a decrease in earned premiums; the shift to property business, which tends to have lower losses, as opposed to casualty business and changes in the ceding percentages under the affiliated quota share agreement. The \$72.0 million, 3.6 point decrease in prior years' unfavorable reserve development, primarily attritional losses, was principally due to no additional development on the run-off auto loan credit insurance program in 2009 compared to the \$85.3 million incurred in 2008.

Incurred losses and LAE were lower by \$42.0 million, or 2.8%, in 2008 compared to 2007 as increases in attritional prior years' and catastrophe losses were more than offset by a reduction in A&E reserve

development, period over period. Prior years' attritional losses increased \$135.3 million, principally as a result of \$85.3 million of development on loss reserves for a run-off auto loan credit insurance program.

Catastrophe losses in 2008, at \$202.4 million, were \$129.2 million higher than in 2007, driven by Hurricanes Gustav and Ike and a major snowstorm in China. While 2008 ranks as one of the costliest years on record for insured natural catastrophe losses, our losses were generally in line with our modeled expected annual aggregate catastrophe losses as developed through our enterprise risk and catastrophe exposure management processes.

<u>Commission, Brokerage, Taxes and Fees.</u> Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees decreased by \$54.0 million, or 13.6%, in 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. The change in this directly variable expense was influenced by the decline in net earned premiums, changes in the mix of business and increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement.

Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees decreased by \$67.3 million, or 14.4%, in 2008 compared to 2007. This directly variable expense was influenced by the decline in net earned premiums, lower contingent commissions and increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement, partially offset by higher commission rates on new insurance programs.

<u>Other Underwriting Expenses.</u> Other underwriting expenses were \$150.3 million in 2009 compared to \$129.9 million in 2008. The increase was primarily due to the increase in staff and staff related expenses as we continue to grow our direct book of business. In addition, other underwriting expenses included corporate expenses, which are expenses that are not allocated to segments, of \$7.7 million and \$5.6 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other underwriting expenses were \$129.9 million in 2008 compared to \$123.9 million in 2007. The increase is primarily due to higher compensation and benefits expense resulting from increased staff, primarily in the U.S. Insurance segment. Included in other underwriting expenses were corporate expenses of \$5.6 million and \$5.3 million for 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Interest, Fees and Bond Issue Cost Amortization Expense. Interest and other expense was \$70.9 million and \$79.0 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease, period over period, was primarily due to the partial repurchase of our long term subordinated notes in March 2009.

Interest and other expense was \$79.0 million and \$91.1 million for 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to the acceleration of amortization of the bond issue costs for the junior subordinated debt securities, which were retired in November, 2007, with no such expense in 2008. In addition, the interest reduction on the retired junior subordinated notes was partially offset by the interest on the new long term notes.

<u>Income Tax Expense (Benefit)</u>. We had an income tax expense of \$129.4 million in 2009 and an income tax benefit of \$134.7 million in 2008. The period over period variance was primarily due to the increase in pre-tax net income in 2009 versus pre-tax net losses in 2008. We had an income tax expense of \$100.1 million in 2007, primarily due to income from operations and net realized gains for the period. Our income tax is primarily a function of the statutory tax rate coupled with the impact from tax-preferenced investment income.

Net Income (Loss).

Our net income was \$395.9 million, in 2009, compared to a net loss of \$124.8 million, in 2008. This increase was primarily driven by after-tax net realized capital gains, in 2009, compared to after-tax net realized capital losses, in 2008. In addition, there were fewer catastrophe losses in 2009 compared to 2008.

Our net loss was \$124.8 million in 2008 compared to net income of \$304.2 million in 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by after-tax net realized capital losses and increased catastrophe losses in 2008 compared to after-tax net realized capital gains and fewer catastrophe losses in 2007.

Ratios.

Our combined ratio decreased by 17.1 points to 88.9% in 2009 compared to 106.0% in 2008. The loss ratio component decreased 16.7 points in 2009 compared to the same period last year, principally due to the significant decrease in catastrophe losses and lower current year attritional losses as a result of the mix and blend of business. The commission and brokerage ratio component decreased by 1.9 points in 2009 compared to the same period last year, due to mix of business, while the other underwriting expense ratio component increased by 1.5 points in 2009 compared to 2008.

Our combined ratio increased by 9.7 points to 106.0% in 2008 compared to 96.3% in 2007. Most of the increase was due to an 8.7 point increase in the 2008 loss ratio compared to 2007. Items affecting this ratio, period over period, were a higher current accident year attritional loss ratio, greater catastrophe losses and higher adverse development on attritional loss reserves, which were partially offset by the non-recurrence in 2008 of any A&E development.

Stockholder's Equity.

Stockholder's equity increased by \$655.8 million to \$2,858.8 million at December 31, 2009 from \$2,203.0 million at December 31, 2008, principally as a result of \$395.9 million of net income; \$219.0 million of unrealized appreciation on investments, net of tax; \$28.1 million of foreign currency translation adjustments; \$7.5 million of pension adjustments and \$5.4 million of share-based compensation transactions.

Stockholder's equity decreased by \$364.5 million to \$2,203.0 million at December 31, 2008 from \$2,567.5 million at December 31, 2007, due to \$179.7 million of unrealized depreciation, net of tax, on our investments, at market value; a net loss of \$124.8 million; \$30.5 million of foreign currency translation adjustments; \$25.2 million of pension adjustments and \$10.0 million of dividends, partially offset by \$5.6 million of share-based compensation transactions. The increase in unrealized depreciation was due to the financial market liquidity crisis that resulted in significantly increased credit spreads and dramatic decrease in corporate and municipal security values.

Consolidated Investment Results

Net Investment Income.

Net investment income decreased 27.8% to \$262.1 million in 2009 from \$363.1 million in 2008, primarily due to approximately \$747.0 million of transferred investments at the end of 2008 in conjunction with a loss portfolio transfer agreement with an affiliate, lower yields on new money and losses from our limited partnership investments that principally invest in public and non-public securities, both equity and debt.

Net investment income decreased 10.7% to \$363.1 million in 2008 from \$406.6 million in 2007, primarily due to the decrease in short-term investment income from both lower rates and decreased holdings, diminished limited partnerships' investment income, particularly from limited partnerships which were principally invested in public equity securities, and decreased dividend income from reduced holdings in equity securities. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in fixed maturity securities income.

The following table shows the components of net investment income for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,										
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2007					
Fixed maturities	\$	286.0	\$	313.7	\$	294.7					
Equity securities		3.6		6.0		15.7					
Short-term investments and cash		3.5		28.6		61.9					
Other invested assets											
Limited partnerships		(28.5)		13.2		35.5					
Other		8.1		9.5		7.1					
Total gross investment income		272.7		370.9		414.9					
Interest credited and other expense		(10.6)		(7.8)		(8.3)					
Total net investment income	\$	262.1	\$	363.1	\$	406.6					

The following table shows a comparison of various investment yields for the periods indicated:

	2009	2008	2007
Imbedded pre-tax yield of cash and invested assets at December 31	3.7%	4.3%	4.6%
Imbedded after-tax yield of cash and invested assets at December 31	3.1%	3.5%	3.6%
Annualized pre-tax yield on average cash and invested assets	3.4%	4.5%	4.9%
Annualized after-tax yield on average cash and invested assets	2.9%	3.6%	3.9%

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses).

The following table presents the composition of our net realized capital gains (losses) for the periods indicated:

	Years	s Ende	d Decemb	er 31.		200	09/2008	200	08/2007
(Dollars in millions)	 2009		2008		2007		ariance		ariance
(Losses) gains from sales:	 								
Fixed maturity securities, market value									
Gains	\$ 7.3	\$	7.0	\$	1.0	\$	0.3	\$	6.0
Losses	(41.4)		(94.4)	·	(2.0)	•	53.0	·	(92.4)
Total	 (34.1)		(87.4)		(1.0)		53.3		(86.4)
Fixed maturity securities, fair value									
Gains	0.8		-		-		0.8		-
Losses	(0.2)		-		-		(0.2)		-
Total	 0.7		-		-		0.6		-
Equity securities, market value									
Gains	8.0		-		-		8.0		-
Losses	-		-		-		-		-
Total	 8.0		-		-		8.0		-
Equity securities, fair value									
Gains	8.4		6.4		3.0		2.0		3.4
Losses	(0.9)		(112.3)		(13.7)		111.4		(98.6)
Total	 7.5		(105.9)		(10.7)		113.4		(95.2)
Short-term assets									
Gains	-		-		-		-		-
Losses	-		(0.2)		-		0.2		(0.2)
Total	 -		(0.2)		-		0.2		(0.2)
Total net realized (losses) gains from sales									
Gains	24.5		13.4		4.0		11.1		9.4
Losses	(42.5)		(206.9)		(15.7)		164.4		(191.2)
Total	 (17.9)		(193.5)		(11.7)		175.5		(181.8)
Other than temporary impairments:	(5.5)		(74.5)		(4.0)		69.0		(70.5)
Gains (losses) from fair value adjustments:									
Fixed maturities, fair value	9.3		1.5		-		7.8		1.5
Equity securities, fair value	30.9		(134.9)		84.4		165.8		(219.3)
Other invested assets, fair value	40.1		(87.8)		12.2		127.9		(100.0)
Total	 80.3		(221.2)		96.6		301.5		(317.8)
Total net realized gains (losses)	\$ 56.9	\$	(489.2)	\$	80.9	\$	546.0	\$	(570.1)
(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)									

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)

Net realized capital gains were \$56.9 million and net realized capital losses were \$489.2 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, compared to \$80.9 million of net realized capital gains in 2007. In 2009, we recorded an \$80.3 million gain in fair value re-measurements, partially offset by \$17.9 million of net realized capital losses from sales and \$5.5 million in other-than-temporary impairments on our available for sale fixed maturity securities. In contrast, in 2008, we recorded \$221.2 million in losses due to fair value re-measurements, primarily on equity securities as a result of the global financial markets credit crisis, \$193.5 million of losses from sales and \$74.5 million of other-than-temporary impairments. In 2007, net realized capital gains included \$96.6 million of fair value re-measurements, partially offset by \$11.7 million of net realized capital losses from sales and \$4.0 million in other-than-temporary impairments on our available for sale fixed maturity securities.

Segment Results.

Through our subsidiaries, we operate in four segments: U.S. Reinsurance, U.S. Insurance, Specialty Underwriting and International. The U.S. Reinsurance operation writes property and casualty reinsurance, on both a treaty and facultative basis, through reinsurance brokers, as well as directly with ceding companies within the U.S. The U.S. Insurance operation writes property and casualty insurance primarily through general agents, brokers and surplus lines brokers within the U.S. The Specialty Underwriting operation writes A&H, marine, aviation and surety business within the U.S. and worldwide through brokers and directly with ceding companies. The International operation writes non-U.S. property and casualty reinsurance through Everest Re's branches in Canada and Singapore and offices in Miami and New Jersey.

These segments are managed independently, but conform with corporate guidelines with respect to pricing, risk management, control of aggregate catastrophe exposures, capital, investments and support operations. Management generally monitors and evaluates the financial performance of these operating segments based upon their underwriting results.

Underwriting results include earned premium less losses and LAE incurred, commission and brokerage expenses and other underwriting expenses. We measure our underwriting results using ratios, in particular loss, commission and brokerage and other underwriting expense ratios, which respectively, divide incurred losses, commissions and brokerage and other underwriting expenses by premiums earned.

Our loss and LAE reserves are our best estimate of our ultimate liability for unpaid claims. We re-evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis, including all prior period reserves, taking into consideration all available information and, in particular, recently reported loss claim experience and trends related to prior periods. Such re-evaluations are recorded in incurred losses in the period in which the re-evaluation is made.

The following discusses the underwriting results for each of our segments for the periods indicated:

U.S. Reinsurance.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the U.S. Reinsurance segment for the periods indicated.

		Year	s Ende	d Decembe	r 31,			2009/2	2008	2008/2007			
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2007	V	ariance	% Change	Variance		% Change	
Gross written premiums	\$	1,172.3	\$	957.9	\$	1,193.5	\$	214.4	22.4%	\$	(235.6)	-19.7%	
Net written premiums		660.6		569.9		854.8		90.7	15.9%		(284.9)	-33.3%	
Premiums earned	\$	676.4	\$	685.1	\$	939.7	\$	(8.7)	-1.3%	\$	(254.6)	-27.1%	
Incurred losses and LAE		344.5		560.0		636.9		(215.5)	-38.5%		(76.9)	-12.1%	
Commission and brokerage		142.1		159.7		230.5		(17.6)	-11.0%		(70.9)	-30.7%	
Other underwriting expenses		36.2		32.2		33.3		4.0	12.4%		(1.1)	-3.3%	
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$	153.6	\$	(66.8)	\$	39.0	\$	220.4	NM	\$	(105.7)	NM	
									Point Chg			Point Chg	
Loss ratio		50.9%		81.7%		67.8%			(30.8)			14.0	
Commission and brokerage ratio		21.0%		23.3%		24.5%			(2.3)			(1.2)	
Other underwriting expense ratio		5.4%		4.7%		3.6%			0.7			1.1	
Combined ratio	_	77.3%		109.7%		95.9%			(32.4)			13.9	

(NM, not meaningful)

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)

<u>Premiums.</u> Gross written premiums increased by 22.4% to \$1,172.3 million in 2009 from \$957.9 million in 2008, primarily due to \$103.9 million (40.0%) increase in U.S. treaty casualty volume, \$84.6 million from the new crop hail quota share treaties, a \$15.8 million (2.6%) increase in treaty property volume and a \$10.5 million (12.0%) increase in facultative volume. Our treaty casualty premiums were higher as we wrote more quota share business as a replacement for business previously written on an excess of loss business. Net written premiums increased by 15.9% to \$660.6 million in 2009 compared to \$569.9 million in 2008, primarily due to the increase in gross written premiums combined with increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreements. Premiums earned decreased by 1.3% to \$676.4 million in 2009 compared to \$685.1 million in 2008. The change in premiums earned relative to net written premiums is primarily the result of timing; premiums, for proportionate contracts, are earned ratably over the coverage period whereas written premiums are recorded on the initiation of the coverage period.

Gross written premiums decreased by 19.7% to \$957.9 million in 2008 from \$1,193.5 million in 2007, primarily due to a \$104.9 million (14.7%) decrease in treaty property volume, a \$71.7 million (21.6%) decrease in treaty casualty volume and a \$57.9 million (39.9%) decrease in facultative volume. Property premiums were lower due to increased common account reinsurance protections, particularly on one Florida quota share account and two quota share non-renewals. Treaty casualty premium was lower than last year as this book was reduced to a group of core accounts in response to the then softer market conditions. Facultative volume decreased due to ceding companies retaining a greater portion of gross premiums and a marketplace that remained competitive. Net written premiums decreased 33.3% to \$569.9 million in 2008 compared to \$854.8 million in 2007, primarily due to the decrease in gross written premiums and increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreements. Correspondingly, premiums earned decreased 27.1% to \$685.1 million in 2008 compared to \$939.7 million for 2007, consistent with the change in net written premiums.

Incurred Losses and LAE. The following table presents the incurred losses and LAE for the U.S. Reinsurance segment for the periods indicated.

	Years Ended December 31,								
	C	Current	Ratio %/		Prior	Ratio %/		Total	Ratio %/
(Dollars in millions)		Year	Pt Change		Years	Pt Change	Ir	ncurred	Pt Change
<u>2009</u>									
Attritional	\$	314.4	46.5%	\$	34.9	5.2%	\$	349.3	51.6%
Catastrophes		-	0.0%		(5.2)	-0.8%		(5.2)	-0.8%
A&E		-	0.0%		0.4	0.1%		0.4	0.1%
Total segment	\$	314.4	46.5%	\$	30.1	4.4%	\$	344.5	50.9%
2008									
Attritional	\$	346.6	50.6%	\$	46.1	6.7%	\$	392.7	57.3%
Catastrophes		152.1	22.2%		15.2	2.2%		167.3	24.4%
A&E		-	0.0%		-	0.0%		-	0.0%
Total segment	\$	498.7	72.8%	\$	61.3	8.9%	\$	560.0	81.7%
2007									
Attritional	\$	423.4	45.1%	\$	(49.5)	-5.3%	\$	374.0	39.8%
Catastrophes		0.1	0.0%		(3.5)	-0.4%		(3.4)	-0.4%
A&E		-	0.0%		266.4	28.4%		266.4	28.3%
Total segment	\$	423.5	45.1%	\$	213.4	22.7%	\$	636.9	67.8%
Variance 2009/2008									
Attritional	\$	(32.2)	(4.1) pts	\$	(11.2)	(1.5) pts	\$	(43.4)	(5.6) pts
Catastrophes		(152.1)	(22.2) pts		(20.4)	(3.0) pts		(172.5)	(25.2) pts
A&E		-	- pts		0.4	0.1 pts		0.4	0.1 pts
Total segment	\$	(184.3)	(26.3) pts	\$	(31.2)	(4.4) pts	\$	(215.5)	(30.7) pts
Variance 2008/2007									
Attritional	\$	(76.9)	5.5 pts	\$	95.6	12.0 pts	\$	18.7	17.5 pts
Catastrophes		152.0	22.2 pts		18.7	2.6 pts		170.7	24.8 pts
A&E		-	- pts		(266.4)	(28.4) pts		(266.4)	(28.4) pts
Total segment	\$	75.2	27.7 pts	\$	(152.1)	(13.8) pts	\$	(76.9)	14.0 pts

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses were \$215.5 million (30.7 points) lower at \$344.5 million in 2009 compared to \$560.0 million in 2008, primarily as a result of the \$172.5 million (25.2 points) decrease in catastrophe losses due to the absence of current year catastrophes in 2009. Attritional losses were \$43.4 million (5.6 points) lower, primarily due to improved results on property business.

Incurred losses were \$76.9 million (14.0 points) lower in 2008 compared to 2007, primarily due to no reserve adjustments in 2008 for A&E losses, which experienced \$266.4 million adverse development in 2007. Partially offsetting the decrease were catastrophe losses, principally from Hurricanes Gustav and Ike and unfavorable reserve development on prior years' losses for 2008 compared to favorable development in 2007.

<u>Segment Expenses.</u> Commission and brokerage expenses decreased 11.0% to \$142.1 million in 2009 compared to \$159.7 million in 2008, primarily due to the change in the mix and type of business written and the increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Segment other underwriting expenses were \$36.2 million and \$32.2 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase was due to growth in staff and related benefits.

Commission and brokerage expenses decreased 30.7% to \$159.7 million in 2008 compared to \$230.5 million in 2007, generally in line with the decrease in premiums earned. Segment other underwriting expenses for 2008 decreased slightly to \$32.2 million from \$33.3 million for 2007.

U.S. Insurance.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the U.S. Insurance segment for the periods indicated.

		Year	s Ende	d Decembe	r 31,			2009/2	8008	2008/2007		
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2007	V	ariance	% Change	Variance		% Change
Gross written premiums	\$	842.6	\$	771.8	\$	885.6	\$	70.8	9.2%	\$	(113.8)	-12.9%
Net written premiums		352.1		398.7		479.8		(46.6)	-11.7%		(81.1)	-16.9%
Premiums earned	\$	382.8	\$	482.7	\$	496.2	\$	(99.9)	-20.7%	\$	(13.4)	-2.7%
Incurred losses and LAE		317.8		422.2		412.7		(104.4)	-24.7%		9.5	2.3%
Commission and brokerage		29.8		68.2		64.3		(38.4)	-56.3%		3.9	6.0%
Other underwriting expenses		74.6		64.3		58.2		10.3	16.0%		6.1	10.5%
Underwriting loss	\$	(39.4)	\$	(72.0)	\$	(39.1)	\$	32.6	-45.3%	\$	(32.9)	84.3%
									Point Chg			Point Chg
Loss ratio		83.0%		87.5%		83.2%			(4.5)			4.3
Commission and brokerage ratio		7.8%		14.1%		13.0%			(6.3)			1.1
Other underwriting expense ratio		19.5%		13.3%		11.7%			6.2			1.6
Combined ratio	_	110.3%		114.9%		107.9%			(4.6)			7.0

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)

<u>Premiums.</u> Gross written premiums increased by 9.2% to \$842.6 million in 2009 compared to \$771.8 million for 2008. Most of the new premium was derived from our entry into the financial institution D&O and E&O market and additional property insurance written in Florida, where rates to exposure remain attractive. Net written premiums decreased by 11.7% to \$352.1 million in 2009 compared to \$398.7 million for 2008, as the increase in gross written premiums was offset by an increase in ceded written premiums. Premiums earned decreased 20.7% to \$382.8 million in 2009 compared to \$482.7 million for 2008. The change in premiums earned relative to net written premiums is the result of timing; premiums are earned ratably over the coverage period whereas written premiums are recorded at the initiation of the coverage period, in addition to the impact of the affiliated quota share agreement.

Gross written premiums decreased by 12.9% to \$771.8 million in 2008 compared to \$885.6 million for 2007. Conditions for workers' compensation, contractors and public entity business were increasingly competitive, which reduced the volume of business that met our underwriting and pricing criteria. A little less than half of the shortfall compared to last year was from the C.V. Starr program, where we lost some public entity accounts because we did not match market pricing and terms. In addition, the \$76.3 million of gross written premium we assumed on a new program in 2007 did not recur in 2008. Net written premiums decreased by 16.9% to \$398.7 million in 2008 compared to \$479.8 million for 2007. The decrease in net written premiums was larger than the decline in gross written premiums primarily due to increased reinsurance purchases on select larger new programs and an increase in the ceding percentage for 2008 in the affiliated quota share agreement. Premiums earned decreased 2.7% to \$482.7 million in 2008 compared to \$496.2 million for 2007, as a result of timing.

	Years Ended December 31,									
	С	urrent	Ratio %/		Prior	Ratio %/		Total	Ratio %/	
(Dollars in millions)		Year	Pt Change	۱	'ears	Pt Change	Ir	ncurred	Pt Change	
<u>2009</u>										
Attritional	\$	291.8	76.2%	\$	26.0	6.8%	\$	317.8	83.0%	
Catastrophes		-	0.0%		-	0.0%		-	0.0%	
Total segment	\$	291.8	76.2%	\$	26.0	6.8%	\$	317.8	83.0%	
<u>2008</u>										
Attritional	\$	335.0	69.4%	\$	87.4	18.1%	\$	422.4	87.5%	
Catastrophes		-	0.0%		(0.2)	0.0%		(0.2)	0.0%	
Total segment	\$	335.0	69.4%	\$	87.2	18.1%	\$	422.2	87.5%	
<u>2007</u>										
Attritional	\$	360.6	72.7%	\$	52.4	10.6%	\$	413.0	83.2%	
Catastrophes		-	0.0%		(0.3)	-0.1%		(0.3)	-0.1%	
Total segment	\$	360.6	72.7%	\$	52.1	10.5%	\$	412.7	83.2%	
Variance 2009/2008										
Attritional	\$	(43.2)	6.8 pts	\$	(61.4)	(11.3) pts	\$	(104.6)	(4.5) pts	
Catastrophes		-	- pts		0.2	- pts		0.2	- pts	
Total segment	\$	(43.2)	6.8 pts	\$	(61.2)	(11.3) pts	\$	(104.4)	(4.5) pts	
Variance 2008/2007										
Attritional	\$	(25.6)	(3.3) pts	\$	35.0	7.5 pts	\$	9.4	4.3 pts	
Catastrophes		-	- pts		0.1	- pts		0.1	- pts	
Total segment	\$	(25.6)	(3.3) pts	\$	35.1	7.6 pts	\$	9.5	4.3 pts	

Incurred Losses and LAE. The following table presents the incurred losses and LAE for the U.S. Insurance segment for the periods indicated.

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased by 24.7% to \$317.8 million in 2009 compared to \$422.2 million in 2008. This decrease was due to the decrease in net earned premiums and less unfavorable prior years' attritional development. Unfavorable prior years' attritional reserve development was \$61.4 million less in 2009 compared to 2008. The 2009 unfavorable attritional reserve development of \$26.0 million was primarily the result of reserve strengthening on contractor's liability programs, package policy business and workers' compensation business. The 2008 unfavorable attritional reserve development of \$87.4 million was primarily the result of the \$85.3 million reserve strengthening for an auto loan credit insurance program.

Incurred losses and LAE increased by 2.3% to \$422.2 million in 2008 compared to \$412.7 million for 2007. In 2008, we strengthened our reserves for an auto loan credit insurance program by \$85.3 million as the deterioration in general economic conditions adversely impacted loan performance resulting in unforeseen increases in loan default rates and claim amounts. We had also strengthened the reserves for this program by \$64.7 million in 2007. In 2008, we commuted our remaining liability on this program with the largest policyholder representing approximately one third of the remaining loss exposure. Given the magnitude of our current reserves, the maturity of the remaining insured portfolio and the reduced principal exposure, we believe the future loss development, if any, related to this program will not be material. Other than as related to this program, the segment experienced negligible reserve development in 2008 and favorable reserve development in 2007.

<u>Segment Expenses.</u> Commission and brokerage expenses decreased by 56.3% to \$29.8 million in 2009 compared to \$68.2 million in 2008. The variance was primarily due to the change in the mix of business written and additional cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Segment other underwriting expenses were \$74.6 million and \$64.3 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase was primarily due to costs associated with the expanded infrastructure in support of expanding our direct lines of business.

Commission and brokerage expenses increased by 6.0% to \$68.2 million in 2008 compared to \$64.3 million in 2007, principally due to higher commissions on two new programs. Segment other underwriting expenses in 2008 increased to \$64.3 million compared to \$58.2 million for 2007, primarily due to increased compensation costs associated with increased staff.

Specialty Underwriting.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the Specialty Underwriting segment for the periods indicated.

		Year	s Ende	ed Decembe	r 31,			2009/2	2008	2008/2007			
(Dollars in millions)	_	2009		2008		2007	Va	riance	% Change	Variance		% Change	
Gross written premiums	\$	234.8	\$	260.4	\$	270.1	\$	(25.6)	-9.8%	\$	(9.7)	-3.6%	
Net written premiums		132.9		167.7		185.4		(34.8)	-20.7%		(17.7)	-9.5%	
Premiums earned	\$	139.1	\$	168.4	\$	184.9	\$	(29.3)	-17.4%	\$	(16.5)	-8.9%	
Incurred losses and LAE		96.3		116.3		118.3		(20.0)	-17.2%		(2.0)	-1.7%	
Commission and brokerage		40.9		40.9		44.3		-	0.0%		(3.3)	-7.5%	
Other underwriting expenses		8.7		8.1		8.5		0.7	8.2%		(0.4)	-4.8%	
Underwriting (loss) gain	\$	(6.8)	\$	3.1	\$	13.8	\$	(9.9)	NM	\$	(10.7)	-77.4%	
									Point Chg			Point Chg	
Loss ratio		69.2%		69.0%		64.0%			0.2			5.0	
Commission and brokerage ratio		29.4%		24.3%		23.9%			5.1			0.4	
Other underwriting expense ratio		6.3%		4.8%		4.6%			1.5			0.2	
Combined ratio		104.9%		98.1%		92.5%			6.8			5.6	

(NM, not meaningful)

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)

<u>Premiums.</u> Gross written premiums decreased by 9.8% to \$234.8 million in 2009 compared to \$260.4 million for 2008, primarily due to a \$33.7 million decrease in marine premiums and a \$5.9 million decrease in surety premiums, partially offset by a \$10.0 million increase in aviation premiums and a \$2.7 million increase in A&H premiums. Net written premiums decreased by 20.7% to \$132.9 million in 2009 compared to \$167.7 million in 2008 due to the decrease in gross writings coupled with the increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Premiums earned decreased to \$139.1 million in 2009 compared to \$168.4 million in 2008. The change in premiums earned relative to net written premiums is the result of timing; premiums are earned ratably over the coverage period whereas written premiums are recorded at the initiation of the coverage period.

Gross written premiums decreased by 3.6% to \$260.4 million in 2008 compared to \$270.1 million in 2007. Aviation premiums decreased by \$16.9 million (58.9%) owing to very competitive market conditions. A&H premiums decreased by \$15.4 million (16.1%) largely due to lower premiums under certain quota share contracts where the ceding companies have culled their books to improve their loss experience. Marine premiums increased \$19.8 million (19.8%) due to higher premiums on our quota share covers and improved rates across the book, while surety premiums were up \$2.8 million or 6.1%. Net written premiums decreased by 9.5% to \$167.7 million in 2008 compared to \$185.4 million in 2007, as a result of the decrease in gross written premiums combined with the increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Premiums earned decreased by 8.9% to \$168.4 million in 2008 compared to \$184.9 million in 2007 as a result of the decline in net written premiums.

<u>Incurred Losses and LAE.</u> The following table presents the incurred losses and LAE for the Specialty Underwriting segment for the periods indicated.

	Years Ended December 31,								
	С	urrent	Ratio %/		Prior	Ratio %/		Total	Ratio %/
(Dollars in millions)		Year	Pt Change	١	/ears	Pt Change	Ir	curred	Pt Change
<u>2009</u>									
Attritional	\$	97.0	69.7%	\$	(5.7)	-4.1%	\$	91.3	65.6%
Catastrophes		-	0.0%		4.9	3.5%		4.9	3.5%
Total segment	\$	97.0	69.7%	\$	(0.7)	-0.5%	\$	96.3	69.2%
<u>2008</u>									
Attritional	\$	103.2	61.3%	\$	(1.2)	-0.7%	\$	102.0	60.6%
Catastrophes		10.5	6.2%		3.8	2.2%		14.3	8.5%
Total segment	\$	113.7	67.5%	\$	2.6	1.5%	\$	116.3	69.0%
<u>2007</u>									
Attritional	\$	105.3	57.0%	\$	(4.7)	-2.6%	\$	100.6	54.4%
Catastrophes		0.3	0.2%		17.4	9.4%		17.7	9.6%
Total segment	\$	105.6	57.1%	\$	12.7	6.9%	\$	118.3	64.0%
Variance 2009/2008									
Attritional	\$	(6.2)	8.4 pts	\$	(4.5)	(3.4) pts	\$	(10.7)	5.0 pts
Catastrophes		(10.5)	(6.2) pts		1.2	1.3 pts		(9.3)	(4.9) pts
Total segment	\$	(16.7)	2.2 pts	\$	(3.3)	(2.1) pts	\$	(20.0)	0.1 pts
Variance 2008/2007									
Attritional	\$	(2.1)	4.3 pts	\$	3.5	1.8 pts	\$	1.4	6.2 pts
Catastrophes		10.2	6.1 pts		(13.7)	(7.2) pts		(3.5)	(1.1) pts
Total segment	\$	8.1	10.4 pts	\$	(10.1)	(5.4) pts	\$	(2.0)	5.1 pts

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased by 17.2% to \$96.3 million in 2009 compared to \$116.3 million in 2008, primarily as a result of the decline in earned premiums, a decrease in catastrophe losses on the marine book of business, period over period, in addition to more favorable reserve development on prior years' attritional losses for the surety and A&H lines.

Incurred losses and LAE decreased by 1.7% to \$116.3 million in 2008 compared to \$118.3 million in 2007, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses in 2008 as compared to 2007, partially offset by slightly higher attritional losses in 2008.

<u>Segment Expenses.</u> Commission and brokerage expenses remained flat at \$40.9 million for 2009 compared to 2008 despite the decline in earned premiums, primarily due to the mix in business, period over period. Segment other underwriting expenses were \$8.7 million and \$8.1 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Commission and brokerage expenses decreased 7.5% to \$40.9 million in 2008 compared to \$44.3 million in 2007 due primarily to the increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Segment other underwriting expenses decreased slightly to \$8.1 million in 2008 compared to \$8.5 million in 2007.

International.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the International segment for the periods indicated.

		Year	rs Ende	d Decembe	r 31,			2009/2	2008	2008/2007		
(Dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2007	Va	ariance	% Change	Variance		% Change
Gross written premiums	\$	1,084.5	\$	904.7	\$	805.9	\$	179.8	19.9%	\$	98.8	12.3%
Net written premiums		589.7		539.1		553.0		50.6	9.4%		(13.9)	-2.5%
Premiums earned	\$	586.7	\$	545.6	\$	558.2	\$	41.1	7.5%	\$	(12.6)	-2.3%
Incurred losses and LAE		333.2		367.1		339.7		(33.9)	-9.2%		27.4	8.1%
Commission and brokerage		131.7		129.7		126.7		1.9	1.5%		3.0	2.4%
Other underwriting expenses		23.1		19.8		18.6		3.3	16.7%		1.1	6.2%
Underwriting gain	\$	98.8	\$	28.9	\$	73.1	\$	69.8	241.4%	\$	(44.2)	-60.4%
									Point Chg			Point Chg
Loss ratio		56.8%		67.3%		60.9%			(10.5)			6.4
Commission and brokerage ratio		22.4%		23.8%		22.7%			(1.4)			1.1
Other underwriting expense ratio		4.0%		3.6%		3.3%			0.4			0.3
Combined ratio	_	83.2%		94.7%		86.9%			(11.5)			7.8

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding)

<u>Premiums.</u> Gross written premiums increased by 19.9% to \$1,084.5 million in 2009 compared to \$904.7 million in 2008. As a result of our strong financial strength ratings, we continue to see increased participations on treaties in most regions, new business writings and preferential signings, including preferential terms and conditions. In addition, rates, in some markets, also contributed to the increased written premiums. Premiums written through the Brazil, Miami and New Jersey offices increased by \$122.9 million (21.3%), the Asian branch increased by \$32.4 million (17.1%) and the Canadian branch premiums increased by \$24.5 million (17.7%). Net written premiums increased by 9.4% to \$589.7 million in 2009 compared to \$539.1 million in 2008, primarily due to the increase in gross written premiums coupled with the increase in cessions under the affiliated quota share. Premiums earned increased by 7.5% to \$586.7 million in 2009 compared to \$545.6 million in 2008, generally consistent with the increase in net written premiums.

Gross written premiums increased by 12.3% to \$904.7 million in 2008 compared to \$805.9 million in 2007. Due to the strong financial strength ratings in 2008, we obtained increased participations on treaties in most regions. As well, we benefited from new business writings as some insurers sought to increase the financial strength ratings of their reinsurance panels. In addition, we obtained some preferential signings including preferential terms and conditions, and benefited from higher rates in some markets. Premiums written through the Miami and New Jersey offices increased by \$106.0 million (22.5%), the Asian branch increased by \$24.2 million (14.6%), while premiums for the Canadian branch decreased by \$31.8 million (18.7%). Net written premiums decreased by 2.5% to \$539.1 million in 2008 compared to \$553.0 million in 2007, primarily due to the increased cessions under the affiliated quota share agreement. Premiums earned decreased by 2.3% to \$545.6 million in 2008 compared to \$558.2 million in 2007, generally consistent with the decrease in net written premiums.

	Years Ended December 31,												
	C	urrent	Ratio %/	F	Prior	Ratio %/		Total	Ratio %/				
(Dollars in millions)		Year	Pt Change		ears	Pt Change	In	curred	Pt Change				
<u>2009</u>													
Attritional	\$	296.4	50.5%	\$	12.5	2.1%	\$	309.0	52.7%				
Catastrophes		22.1	3.8%		2.1	0.4%		24.2	4.1%				
Total segment	\$	318.5	54.3%	\$	14.7	2.5%	\$	333.2	56.8%				
2008													
Attritional	\$	350.0	64.2%	\$	(3.9)	-0.7%	\$	346.1	63.4%				
Catastrophes		26.1	4.8%		(5.0)	-0.9%		21.1	3.9%				
Total segment	\$	376.1	68.9%	\$	(9.0)	-1.6%	\$	367.1	67.3%				
<u>2007</u>													
Attritional	\$	285.5	51.2%	\$	(5.1)	-0.9%	\$	280.4	50.2%				
Catastrophes		56.6	10.1%		2.7	0.5%		59.3	10.6%				
Total segment	\$	342.1	61.3%	\$	(2.4)	-0.4%	\$	339.7	60.9%				
Variance 2009/2008													
Attritional	\$	(53.6)	(13.7) pts	\$	16.5	2.8 pts	\$	(37.1)	(10.7) pts				
Catastrophes		(4.0)	(1.0) pts		7.2	1.3 pts		3.1	0.2 pts				
Total segment	\$	(57.6)	(14.6) pts	\$	23.6	4.1 pts	\$	(33.9)	(10.5) pts				
Variance 2008/2007													
Attritional	\$	64.5	13.0 pts	\$	1.2	0.2 pts	\$	65.7	13.2 pts				
Catastrophes		(30.5)	(5.3) pts		(7.8)	(1.4) pts		(38.2)	(6.8) pts				
Total segment	\$	34.0	7.7 pts	\$	(6.6)	(1.2) pts	\$	27.4	6.4 pts				

<u>Incurred Losses and LAE</u>. The following table presents the incurred losses and LAE for the International segment for the periods indicated.

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased by 9.2% to \$333.2 million in 2009 compared to \$367.1 million in 2008. Fluctuations in the current year attritional loss ratio between 2009 and 2008 were the result of changes in the mix of business written coupled with the changes in ceding percentages from the affiliated quota share agreements. In addition, the segment had lower 2009 current year catastrophe losses. Offsetting the decrease was unfavorable prior years' loss development in 2009 compared to favorable development in 2008, which had a 4.1 point impact on the loss ratio.

Incurred losses and LAE increased by 8.1% to \$367.1 million in 2008 compared to \$339.7 million in 2007. The segment loss ratio increased by 6.4 points for 2008 compared to 2007, primarily due to higher attritional losses in 2008 compared to 2007, partially offset by lower catastrophe losses.

<u>Segment Expenses.</u> Commission and brokerage expenses increased 1.5% to \$131.7 million in 2009 compared to \$129.7 million in 2008. The increase was primarily due to the growth in premiums earned in conjunction with the blend of business mix. Segment other underwriting expenses were \$23.1 million and \$19.8 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Commission and brokerage expenses increased slightly to \$129.7 million in 2008 compared to \$126.7 million in 2007 despite a slight decline in premiums earned. The commission and brokerage ratio increased principally due to increased contingent commissions emanating from the profitable results. Segment other underwriting expenses in 2008 was \$19.8 million compared to \$18.6 million in 2007.

SAFE HARBOR DISCLOSURE

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. federal securities laws. We intend these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements in the federal securities laws. In some cases, these statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "may", "will", "should", "could", "anticipate", "estimate", "expect", "plan", "believe", "predict", "potential" and "intend". Forward-looking statements contained in this report include information regarding our reserves for losses and LAE, the adequacy of our provision for uncollectible balances, estimates of our catastrophe exposure, the effects of catastrophic events on our financial statements and the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends. Forward-looking statements only reflect our expectations and are not guarantees of performance. These statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual events or results may differ materially from our expectations. Important factors that could cause our actual events or results to be materially different from our expectations include those discussed under the caption ITEM 1A, "Risk Factors". We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market Sensitive Instruments.

The SEC's Financial Reporting Release #48 requires registrants to clarify and expand upon the existing financial statement disclosure requirements for derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments and other financial instruments (collectively, "market sensitive instruments"). We do not hold market sensitive instruments for trading purposes.

Our current investment strategy seeks to maximize after-tax income through a high quality, diversified, taxable and tax-preferenced fixed maturity portfolio, while maintaining an adequate level of liquidity. Our mix of taxable and tax-preferenced investments is adjusted periodically, consistent with our current and projected operating results, market conditions and our tax position. The fixed maturity securities in the investment portfolio are comprised of non-trading available for sale securities. Additionally, we have invested in equity securities.

The overall investment strategy considers the scope of present and anticipated Company operations. In particular, estimates of the financial impact resulting from non-investment asset and liability transactions, together with our capital structure and other factors, are used to develop a net liability analysis. This analysis includes estimated payout characteristics for which our investments provide liquidity. This analysis is considered in the development of specific investment strategies for asset allocation, duration and credit quality. The change in overall market sensitive risk exposure principally reflects the asset changes that took place during the period.

<u>Interest Rate Risk.</u> Our \$8.0 billion investment portfolio, at December 31, 2009, is principally comprised of fixed maturity securities, which are generally subject to interest rate risk and of which 17.3% are exposed to foreign currency movements, and some equity securities, which are subject to price fluctuations. The impact of the foreign exchange movements on the investment portfolio is partially mitigated by changes in the dollar value of foreign currency denominated liabilities and their associated income statement impact.

Interest rate risk is the potential change in value of the fixed maturity portfolio, including short-term investments, from a change in market interest rates. In a declining interest rate environment, it includes prepayment risk on the \$648.7 million of mortgage-backed securities in the \$6,513.7 million fixed maturity portfolio. Prepayment risk results from potential accelerated principal payments that shorten the average life and thus the expected yield of the security.

The tables below display the potential impact of market value fluctuations and after-tax unrealized appreciation on our fixed maturity portfolio (including \$261.4 million of short-term investments) for the periods indicated based on upward and downward parallel and immediate 100 and 200 basis point shifts in interest rates. For legal entities with a U.S. dollar functional currency, this modeling was performed on each security individually. To generate appropriate price estimates for mortgage-backed securities, changes in prepayment expectations under different interest rate environments were taken into account. For legal entities with non-U.S. dollar functional currency, the effective duration of the involved portfolio of securities was used as a proxy for the market value change under the various interest rate change scenarios.

	Impact of Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points												
	At December 31, 2009												
(Dollars in millions)		-200		-100		0		100		200			
Total Market/Fair Value	\$	7,393.3	\$	7,105.3	\$	6,775.1	\$	6,418.1	\$	6,076.8			
Market/Fair Value Change from Base (%)		9.1%		4.9%		0.0%		-5.3%		-10.3%			
Change in Unrealized Appreciation													
After-tax from Base (\$)	\$	401.8	\$	214.6	\$	-	\$	(232.1)	\$	(453.9)			
	Impact of Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points							Points					
		At December 31, 2008											
(Dollars in millions)	-200			-100		0		100		200			
Total Market/Fair Value	\$	7,209.1	\$	6,855.1	\$	6,473.7	\$	6,083.4	\$	5,720.2			
Market/Fair Value Change from Base (%)		11.4%		5.9%		0.0%		-6.0%		-11.6%			
Change in Unrealized Appreciation													
After-tax from Base (\$)	\$	478.0	\$	247.9	\$	-	\$	(253.7)	\$	(489.7)			

We had \$7,300.1 million and \$7,420.0 million of gross reserves for losses and LAE as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These amounts are recorded at their nominal value, as opposed to present value, which would reflect a discount adjustment to reflect the time value of money. Since losses are paid out over a period of time, the present value of the reserves is less than the nominal value. As interest rates rise, the present value of the reserves decreases and, conversely, as interest rates decline, the present value increases. These movements are the opposite of the interest rate impacts on the fair value of investments. While the difference between present value and nominal value is not reflected in our financial statements, our financial results will include investment income over time from the investment portfolio until the claims are paid. Our loss and loss reserve obligations have an expected duration that is reasonably consistent with our fixed income portfolio.

<u>Equity Risk.</u> Equity risk is the potential change in fair and/or market value of the common stock and preferred stock portfolios arising from changing equity prices. Our equity investments consist of a diversified portfolio of individual securities and mutual funds, which invest principally in high quality common and preferred stocks that are traded on major exchanges. The primary objective of the equity portfolio is to obtain greater total return relative to bonds over time through market appreciation and income.

The tables below display the impact on fair/market value and after-tax appreciation/(depreciation) of a 10% and 20% change in equity prices up and down for the periods indicated.

	Impact of Percentage Change in Equity Fair/Market Values												
(Dollars in millions)	At December 31, 2009												
(Dollars in millions)		-20% -10%		0%		10%		20%					
Fair/Market Value of the Equity Portfolio	\$	304.0	\$	342.0	\$	380.0	\$	418.0	\$	456.0			
After-tax Change in Fair/Market Value		(49.4)		(24.7)		-		24.7		49.4			

	Impact of Percentage Change in Equity Fair/Market Values												
	At December 31, 2008												
(Dollars in millions)		-20%	-10%		0%			10%		20%			
Fair/Market Value of the Equity Portfolio	\$	95.9	\$	\$ 107.8		\$ 119.8		131.8	\$ 143.8				
After-tax Change in Fair/Market Value		(15.6)		(7.8)		-		7.8		15.6			

<u>Foreign Exchange Risk.</u> Foreign currency risk is the potential change in value, income and cash flow arising from adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Each of our non-U.S. ("foreign") operations maintains capital in the currency of the country of its geographic location consistent with local regulatory guidelines. Each foreign operation may conduct business in its local currency, as well as the currency of other countries in which it operates. The primary foreign currency exposures for these foreign operations are the Canadian Dollar, the British Pound Sterling and the Euro. We mitigate foreign exchange exposure by generally matching the currency and duration of our assets to our corresponding operating liabilities. In accordance with FASB guidance, we translate the assets, liabilities and income of non-U.S. dollar functional currency legal entities to the U.S. dollar. This translation amount is reported as a component of other comprehensive income. As of December 31, 2009 there has been no material change in exposure to foreign exchange rates as compared to December 31, 2008.

The tables below display the potential impact of a parallel and immediate 10% and 20% increase and decrease in foreign exchange rates on the valuation of invested assets subject to foreign currency exposure for the periods indicated. This analysis includes the after-tax impact of translation from transactional currency to functional currency as well as the after-tax impact of translation from functional currency to the U.S. dollar reporting currency.

	Change in Foreign Exchange Rates in Percent At December 31, 2009											
(Dollars in millions)	-20%		-10%		0%		10%			20%		
Total After-tax Foreign Exchange Exposure	\$	(63.2)	\$	(35.6)	\$	-	\$	41.5	\$	87.4		
	Change in Foreign Exchange Rates in Percent											
				At I	December 31, 2		2008					
(Dollars in millions)		-20%		-10%		0%		10%		20%		
Total After-tax Foreign Exchange Exposure	\$	(51.7)	\$	(29.4)	\$	-	\$	34.6	\$	73.2		

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and schedules listed in the accompanying Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1 are filed as part of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment we concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Exchange Act, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated our internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the fourth fiscal quarter covered by this annual report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there has been no such change during the fourth quarter.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information for Item 10 is not required pursuant to General Instruction I(2) of Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information for Item 11 is not required pursuant to General Instruction I(2) of Form 10-K.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information for Item 12 is not required pursuant to General Instruction I(2) of Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information for Item 13 is not required pursuant to General Instruction I(2) of Form 10-K.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (and its worldwide affiliates) fees incurred are as follows for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)		2009	2008		
(1)	Audit Fees	\$ 1,995.6	\$	1,946.0	
(2)	Audit-Related Fees	78.9		105.2	
(3)	Tax Fees	17.0		179.7	
(4)	All Other Fees	5.4		5.0	

Audit fees include the annual audit and quarterly financial statement reviews, subsidiary audits, and procedures required to be performed by the independent auditor to be able to form an opinion on our consolidated financial statements. These other procedures include information systems and procedural reviews and testing performed in order to understand and place reliance on the systems of internal control, and consultations relating to the audit or quarterly review. Audit fees may also include statutory audits or financial audits for our subsidiaries or affiliates and services associated with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

Audit-related fees include assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements, including due diligence services pertaining to potential business acquisitions/dispositions, accounting consultations related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters not classified as "audit services"; assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rule making authorities; financial audits of employee benefit plans; agreed-upon or expanded audit procedures related to accounting and/or billing records required to respond to or comply with financial, accounting or regulatory reporting matters and assistance with internal control reporting requirements.

Tax fees include tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice and is granted general pre-approval by Group's Audit Committee.

All other fees represent an accounting research subscription and software.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP used no leased employees on the Company's audit engagement.

Under its Charter and the "Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy" (the "Policy"), Group's Audit Committee or its delegate (one or more of its members) is required to pre-approve the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor. The Policy requires that any service that has not received a general pre-approval or that exceeds pre-approved cost levels or budgeted amounts requires specific approval by Group's Audit Committee or its delegate. For both specific and general pre-approval, Group's Audit Committee will consider whether such services are consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence. Group's Audit Committee will also consider whether the independent auditor is best positioned to provide the most effective and efficient service and whether the service might enhance our ability to manage or control risk or improve audit quality. Group's Audit Committee is also mindful of the relationship between fees for audit and non-audit services in deciding whether to pre-approve any such services and may determine, for each fiscal year, the appropriate ratio between the total amount of fees for audit, audit-related and tax fees and a total amount of fees for certain permissible non-audit services classified as "All Other Fees" above. All such factors will be considered as a whole and no one factor is determinative. Group's Audit Committee has considered whether the performance by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP of the services disclosed below is compatible with maintaining their independence.

No portion of the fees listed in (2) through (4) above was approved by Group's Audit Committee after the beginning of the engagement pursuant to the waiver of the pre-approval requirement for certain de minimis non-audit services described in section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and applicable regulations.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying Index to Exhibits on page E-1 are filed as part of this report except that the certifications in Exhibit 32 are being furnished to the SEC, rather than filed with the SEC, as permitted under applicable SEC rules.

Financial Statements and Schedules.

The financial statements and schedules listed in the accompanying Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1 are filed as part of this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 31, 2010.

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

By: <u>/S/ JOSEPH V. TARANTO</u> Joseph V. Taranto (Chairman and Chief Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	<u>Date</u>
/S/ JOSEPH V. TARANTO Joseph V. Taranto	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 31, 2010
/S/ RALPH E. JONES, III Ralph E. Jones, III	President and Chief Operating Officer	March 31, 2010
/S/ DOMINIC J. ADDESSO Dominic J. Addesso	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 31, 2010
/S/ KEITH T. SHOEMAKER Keith T. Shoemaker	Comptroller (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 31, 2010

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger among Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd. and Everest Re Merger Corporation, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-87361)
- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-05771)
- 3.2 By-Laws of Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000
- 4.1 Indenture, dated March 14, 2000, between Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2000
- 4.2 First Supplemental Indenture relating to the 8.5% Senior Notes due March 15, 2005, dated March 14, 2000, between Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2000
- 4.3 Second Supplemental Indenture relating to the 8.75% Senior Notes due March 15, 2010, dated March 14, 2000, between Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2000
- 4.4 Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated November 14, 2002, between Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-106595)
- 4.5 First Supplemental Indenture relating to Holdings 7.85% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities due November 15, 2032, dated as of November 14, 2002, among Holdings, Group and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 (the "second quarter 2003 10-Q")
- 4.6 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of Everest Re Capital Trust, dated as of November 14, 2002, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the second quarter 2003 10-Q
- 4.7 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of November 14, 2002, between Holdings and JPMorgan Chase Bank, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the second quarter 2003 10-Q
- 4.8 Expense Agreement, dated as of November 14, 2002, between Holdings and Everest Re Capital Trust, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the second quarter 2003 10-Q
- 4.9 Second Supplemental Indenture relating to Holdings 6.20% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities due March 29, 2034, dated as of March 29, 2004, among Holdings, Group and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2004 (the "March 30, 2004 8-K")

- 4.10 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of Everest Re Capital Trust II, dated as of March 29, 2004, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the March 30, 2004 8-K
- 4.11 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 29, 2004, between Holdings and JPMorgan Chase Bank, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the March 30, 2004 8-K
- 4.12 Expense Agreement, dated as of March 29, 2004, between Holdings and Everest Re Capital Trust II, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the March 30, 2004 8-K
- 4.13 Third Supplemental Indenture relating to Holdings 5.40% Senior Notes due October 15, 2014, dated as of October 12, 2004, among Holdings and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Form 8-K filed on October 12, 2004
- *10.1 Employment Agreement with Joseph V. Taranto executed on July 15, 1998, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998 (the "second quarter 1998 10-Q")
- *10.2 Amendment of Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd. and Joseph V. Taranto dated February 15, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (the "1999 10-K")
- *10.3 Change of Control Agreement with Joseph V. Taranto effective July 15, 1998, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the second quarter 1998 10-Q
- *10.4 Amendment of Change of Control Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd. and Joseph V. Taranto dated February 15, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the 1999 10-K
- 10.5 Stock Purchase Agreement between The Prudential Insurance Company of America and Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. for the sale of common stock of Gibraltar Casualty Company dated February 24, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the 1999 10-K
- 10.6 Amendment No. 1 to Stock Purchase Agreement between The Prudential Insurance Company of America and Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. for the sale of common stock of Gibraltar Casualty Company dated August 8, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Everest Re Group, Ltd. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000
- 10.7 Proportional Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement entered into between Gibraltar Casualty Company and Prudential Property and Casualty Insurance Company, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Everest Re Group, Ltd. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (the "2000 10-K")
- 10.8 Guarantee Agreement made by The Prudential Insurance Company of America in favor of Gibraltar Casualty Company, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the 2000 10-K
- 10.9 Lease, effective December 26, 2000 between OTR, an Ohio general partnership, and Everest Reinsurance Company, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the 2000 10-K

- *10.10 Amendment of Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services, Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated March 30, 2001, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001 (the "first quarter 2001 10-Q")
- *10.11 Amendment of Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services, Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated April 20, 2001, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the first quarter 2001 10-Q
- *10.12 Amendment of Change of Control Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services, Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated March 30, 2001, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the first quarter 2001 10-Q
- *10.13 Amendment of Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group Ltd., Everest Global Services Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated April 18, 2003, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on April 21, 2003
- *10.14 Amendment of Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services, Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated August 31, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. From 8-K filed on August 31, 2005
- 10.15 Credit Agreement, dated August 23, 2006, between Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., the lenders named therein and Citibank N.A., as administrative agent, providing for \$150.0 million five year senior revolving credit facility, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. This new agreement replaces the October 10, 2003 three year senior revolving credit facility which expired on October 10, 2006
- *10.16 Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services, Inc. and Craig E. Eisenacher, dated December 18, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on December 5, 2006
- *10.17 Amendment to Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated April 5, 2007, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on April 5, 2007
- *10.18 Amendment to Change of Control Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services and Joseph V. Taranto, dated April 5, 2007, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on April 5, 2007
- *10.19 Amendment to Change of Control Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd., Everest Global Services, Inc. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated January 1, 2009, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on January 2, 2009
- 10.20 Completion of Tender Offer relating to Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. 6.60% Fixed to Floating Rate Long Term Subordinated Notes (LoTSSM) dated March 19, 2009, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on March 31, 2009

- *10.21 Amendment of Employment Agreement by and among Everest Reinsurance Company, Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc., Everest Global Services, Inc., Everest Re Group, Ltd. and Joseph V. Taranto, dated September 25, 2009, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Everest Re Group, Ltd. Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2009
- 23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed herewith
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Joseph V. Taranto, filed herewith
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Dominic J. Addesso, filed herewith
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Joseph V. Taranto and Dominic J. Addesso, furnished herewith

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

		<u>Pages</u>
<u>Rep</u>	ort of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Cons	solidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008	F-3
<u>Con</u>	solidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	F-4
<u>Con</u>	solidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	F-5
<u>Con</u>	solidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	F-6
Note	es to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7
Sche	edules	
I	Summary of Investments Other Than Investments in Related Parties at December 31, 2009	S-1
II	Condensed Financial Information of Registrant:	
	Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008	S-2
	Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	S-3
	Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	S-4
III	Supplementary Insurance Information for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	S-5
IV	Reinsurance for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	S-6

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted for the reason that they are not applicable or the information is otherwise contained in the Financial Statements.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities in 2009.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP New York, New York March 31, 2010

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	Decem	iber 3	1,
(Dollars in thousands, except par value per share)	 2009		2008
ASSETS:			
Fixed maturities - available for sale, at market value	\$ 6,463,168	\$	5,511,856
(amortized cost: 2009, \$6,255,759; 2008, \$5,610,483)			
Fixed maturities - available for sale, at fair value	50,528		43,090
Equity securities - available for sale, at market value (cost: 2009, \$15; 2008, \$15)	13		16
Equity securities - available for sale, at fair value	380,025		119,815
Short-term investments	261,438		918,712
Other invested assets (cost: 2009, \$387,200; 2008, \$400,498)	386,326		392,589
Other invested assets, at fair value	382,639		316,750
Cash	 107,480		92,264
Total investments and cash	8,031,617		7,395,092
Accrued investment income	83,705		82,860
Premiums receivable	769,744		714,035
Reinsurance receivables - unaffiliated	618,081		637,890
Reinsurance receivables - affiliated	2,492,152		2,480,016
Funds held by reinsureds	156,223		147,287
Deferred acquisition costs	183,498		192,096
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	562,146		456,180
Deferred tax asset	210,493		518,042
Federal income tax recoverable	135,682		70,299
Other assets	 136,234		172,825
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 13,379,575	\$	12,866,622
LIABILITIES:			
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 7,300,139	\$	7,419,993
Unearned premium reserve	1,239,320		1,176,834
Funds held under reinsurance treaties	175,257		134,698
Losses in the course of payment	42,633		35,805
Commission reserves	50,897		45,531
Other net payable to reinsurers	444,535		378,800
8.75% Senior notes due 3/15/2010	199,970		199,821
5.4% Senior notes due 10/15/2014	249,769		249,728
6.6% Long term notes due 05/01/2067	238,348		399,643
Junior subordinated debt securities payable	329,897		329,897
Accrued interest on debt and borrowings	9,885		11,217
Other liabilities	 240,151		281,687
Total liabilities	 10,520,801		10,663,654
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 17)			
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY:			
Common stock, par value: \$0.01; 3,000 shares authorized;			
1,000 shares issued and outstanding (2009 and 2008)	-		-
Additional paid-in capital	321,185		315,771
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred income tax expense of			
\$89.9 million at 2009 and tax benefit of \$38.8 million at 2008	166,978		(72,063)
Retained earnings	 2,370,611		1,959,260
Total stockholder's equity	 2,858,774		2,202,968
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY	\$ 13,379,575	\$	12,866,622

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Yea	Years Ended December 31,								
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2007							
REVENUES:										
REVENUES: Premiums earned	\$ 1.785.060	\$ 1.881.782	\$ 2,178,900							
Net investment income	\$ 1,785,060 262,086	\$ 1,881,782 363.053	\$ 2,178,900 406,592							
	202,080	303,033	400,592							
Net realized capital gains (losses): Other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities	(5,510)	(74,500)	(4,014)							
	(5,510)	(74,500)	(4,014)							
Other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities										
transferred to other comprehensive income	-	-	-							
Other net realized capital gains (losses)	62,438	(414,686)	84,901							
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	56,928	(489,186)	80,887							
Realized gain on debt repurchase	78,271	-	-							
Other income (expense)	366	57,921	(73,641)							
Total revenues	2,182,711	1,813,570	2,592,738							
CLAIMS AND EXPENSES:										
Incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,091,676	1,465,560	1,507,574							
Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees	344,577	398,610	465,912							
Other underwriting expenses	150,332	129,926	123,916							
Interest, fee and bond issue cost amortization expense	70,883	78,979	91,059							
Total claims and expenses	1,657,468	2,073,075	2,188,461							
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	525,243	(259,505)	404,277							
Income tax expense (benefit)	129,392	(134,748)	100,086							
	120,001	(20 :): :0)								
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 395,851	\$ (124,757)	\$ 304,191							
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	254,541	(235,339)	35,482							
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 650,392	\$ (360,096)	\$ 339,673							

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

	Yea	ars End	ded December 3	31,		
(Dollars in thousands, except share amounts)	 2009		2008		2007	
COMMON STOCK (shares outstanding):						
Balance, beginning of period	 1,000		1,000		1,000	
Balance, end of period	 1,000		1,000		1,000	
ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL:						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 315,771	\$	310,206	\$	300,764	
Share-based compensation plans	 5,414		5,565		9,442	
Balance, end of period	 321,185		315,771		310,206	
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS).						
NET OF DEFERRED INCOME TAXES:						
Balance, beginning of period	(72,063)		163,276		332.578	
Cumulative adjustment of initial adoption ⁽¹⁾ , net of tax	(15,500)		100,210		002,010	
Cumulative adjustment of initial adoption ⁽²⁾ , net of tax	(10,000)		_		(204,784)	
Net increase (decrease) during the period	254,541		(235,339)		35,482	
Balance, end of period	 166,978		(72,063)		163,276	
Balance, end of period	 100,978		(12,003)		103,270	
RETAINED EARNINGS:						
Balance, beginning of period	1,959,260		2,094,017		1,585,042	
Cumulative adjustment of initial adoption ⁽¹⁾ , net of tax	15,500		-		-	
Cumulative adjustment of initial adoption ⁽²⁾ , net of tax	-		-		204,784	
Net income (loss)	395,851		(124,757)		304,191	
Dividends declared	-		(10,000)		-	
Balance, end of period	2,370,611		1,959,260		2,094,017	
TOTAL STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY, END OF PERIOD	\$ 2,858,774	\$	2,202,968	\$	2,567,499	

⁽¹⁾ The cumulative adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and retained earnings, net of deferred income taxes, represents the effect of initially adopting new guidance for other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities.

(2) The cumulative adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and retained earnings, net of deferred income taxes, represents the effect of initially adopting new guidance for fair value option for financial assets.

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year	r 31.	
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 395,851	\$ (124,757)	\$ 304,191
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
(Increase) decrease in premiums receivable	(51,103)	82,398	145,022
Decrease in funds held by reinsureds, net	31,915	2,426	6,808
Decrease (increase) in reinsurance receivables	15,207	(50,349)	(63,541)
Decrease (increase) in deferred tax asset	170,490	(112,021)	(50,191)
(Decrease) increase in reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	(175,589)	(42,354)	66,119
Increase (decrease) in unearned premiums	54,760	(181,240)	(66,483)
Change in equity adjustments in limited partnerships	28,467	30,985	(22,726)
Change in other assets and liabilities, net	(78,685)	(65,102)	(83,460)
Non-cash compensation expense	5,384	4,983	4,832
Non-cash loss portfolio transfer transaction	-	315	-
Amortization of bond premium/(accrual of bond discount)	11,665	9,257	(7,969)
Amortization of underwriting discount on senior notes	192	179	164
Realized gain on debt repurchase	(78,271)	-	-
Net realized capital (gains) losses	(56,928)	489,186	(80,887)
Net cash provided by operating activities	273,355	43,906	151,879
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from fixed maturities matured/called - available for sale, at market value	445,817	486,174	736,297
Proceeds from fixed maturities matured/called - available for sale, at fair value	15,358	1,900	-
Proceeds from fixed maturities sold - available for sale, at market value	102,396	140,139	38,504
Proceeds from fixed maturities sold - available for sale, at fair value	14,778	-	-
Proceeds from equity securities sold - available for sale, at market value	23,028	(15)	6,497
Proceeds from equity securities sold - available for sale, at fair value	43,483	777,250	760,729
Distributions from other invested assets	28,460	94,082	48,712
Cost of fixed maturities acquired - available for sale, at market value	(1,152,966)	(1,362,282)	(585,910)
Cost of fixed maturities acquired - available for sale, at fair value	(27,555)	(43,414)	-
Cost of equity securities acquired - available for sale, at fair value	(265,272)	(322,530)	(329,486)
Cost of other invested assets acquired	(43,628)	(76,384)	(135,357)
Cost of other invested assets acquired, at fair value	(25,840)	(150,744)	(241,584)
Net change in short-term investments	666,882	364,811	(628,453)
Net change in unsettled securities transactions	6,042	7,436	(5,609)
Net cash used in investing activities	(169,017)	(83,577)	(335,660)
	(100,011)	(00,011)	(000,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Tax benefit from share-based compensation	30	582	4,610
Net proceeds from issuance of long term notes	-	-	395,637
Redemption of junior subordinated debt securities	-	-	(216,496)
Net cost of debt repurchase	(83,026)	-	-
Dividends paid to stockholder	-	(10,000)	-
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(82,996)	(9,418)	183,751
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	(6,126)	(5,094)	9,942
	((0,000)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash	15,216	(54,183)	9,912
Cash, beginning of period	92,264	146,447	136,535
Cash, end of period	\$ 107,480	\$ 92,264	\$ 146,447
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash transactions:			
Income taxes paid (recovered)	\$ 30,859	\$ (46,666)	\$ 250,909
Interest paid (recovered)	\$ 30,859 \$ 71,256	\$ (40,000) \$ 77,948	\$ 250,909 \$ 82,635
	Ψ 11,200	Ψ 11,040	φ 02,000

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Business and Basis of Presentation.

Everest Reinsurance Holdings, Inc. ("Holdings"), a Delaware company and direct subsidiary of Everest Underwriting Group (Ireland) Limited ("Holdings Ireland"), which is a direct subsidiary of Everest Re Group, Ltd. ("Group"), through its subsidiaries, principally provides property and casualty reinsurance and insurance in the United States of America and internationally. As used in this document, "Company" means Holdings and its subsidiaries. On December 30, 2008, Group contributed the Company to its recently established Irish holding company, Holdings Ireland.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The statements include all of the following domestic and foreign direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company: Everest Reinsurance Company ("Everest Re"), Everest National Insurance Company ("Everest National"), Everest Indemnity Insurance Company ("Everest Indemnity"), Everest Security Insurance Company ("Everest Security"), Everest Security Insurance Company ("Everest Reinsurance Company of Canada ("Everest Canada"), Mt. Whitney Securities, Inc., Everest Reinsurance Company – Escritório de Representação No Brasil Ltda. ("Everest Brazil"), Mt. McKinley Managers, L.L.C., Workcare Southeast, Inc., Workcare Southeast of Georgia, Inc., Everest Specialty Underwriters, LLC ("Holdings Specialty") and Mt. McKinley Insurance Company ("Mt. McKinley"). All amounts are reported in U.S. dollars.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities (and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities) at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Ultimate actual results could differ, possibly materially, from those estimates.

All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Certain reclassifications and format changes have been made to prior years' amounts to conform to the 2009 presentation.

B. Investments.

Fixed maturity and market value equity security investments are all classified as available for sale. Unrealized appreciation and depreciation, as a result of temporary changes in market value during the period, are reflected in stockholder's equity, net of income taxes in "accumulated other comprehensive income" in the consolidated balance sheets. Equity securities and other invested assets carried at fair value reflect fair value re-measurements as net realized capital gains or losses in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Unrealized losses on fixed maturities, which are deemed other-thantemporary, are charged to net income as net realized capital losses. Short-term investments are stated at cost, which approximates market value. Realized gains or losses on sales of investments are determined on the basis of identified cost. For non-publicly traded securities, market prices are determined through the use of pricing models that evaluate securities relative to the U.S. Treasury yield curve, taking into account the issue type, credit quality and cash flow characteristics of each security. For publicly traded securities, market value is based on quoted market prices or valuation models that use observable market inputs. When a sector of the financial markets is inactive or illiquid, the Company may use its own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates to determine fair value. Retrospective adjustments are employed to recalculate the values of asset-backed securities. Each acquisition lot is reviewed to recalculate the effective yield. The recalculated effective yield is used to derive a book value as if the new vield were applied at the time of acquisition. Outstanding principal factors from the time of acquisition to the adjustment date are used to calculate the prepayment history for all applicable securities. Conditional prepayment rates, computed with life to date factor histories and weighted average maturities, are used to effect the calculation of projected and prepayments for pass-through security types. Other invested assets

include limited partnerships, rabbi trusts and an affiliated entity. Limited partnerships and the affiliated entity are accounted for under the equity method of accounting, which can be recorded on a monthly or quarterly lag.

C. Uncollectible Receivable Balances.

The Company provides reserves for uncollectible reinsurance recoverable and premium receivables balances based on management's assessment of the collectibility of the outstanding balances. Such reserves were \$65.6 million and \$263.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

D. Deferred Acquisition Costs.

Acquisition costs, consisting principally of commissions and brokerage expenses and certain premium taxes and fees incurred at the time a contract or policy is issued and that vary with and are directly related to the Company's reinsurance and insurance business, are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums are earned, which is generally one year. Deferred acquisition costs are limited to their estimated realizable value by line of business based on the related unearned premiums, anticipated claims and claim expenses and anticipated investment income. Deferred acquisition costs amortized to income were \$344.6 million, \$398.6 million and \$465.9 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

E. Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses.

The reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") is based on individual case estimates and reports received from ceding companies. A provision is included for losses and LAE incurred but not reported ("IBNR") based on past experience. A provision is also included for certain potential liabilities relating to asbestos and environmental ("A&E") exposures, which liabilities cannot be estimated using traditional reserving techniques. See also Note 3. The reserves are reviewed periodically and any changes in estimates are reflected in earnings in the period the adjustment is made. The Company's loss and LAE reserves are presented gross of reinsurance receivables and incurred losses and LAE are presented net of reinsurance.

Accruals for commissions are established for reinsurance contracts that provide for the stated commission percentage to increase or decrease based on the loss experience of the contract. Changes in estimates for such arrangements are recorded as commission expense. Commission accruals for contracts with adjustable features are estimated based on expected loss and LAE.

F. Premium Revenues.

Written premiums are earned ratably over the periods of the related insurance and reinsurance contracts. Unearned premium reserves are established relative to the unexpired contract period. Such reserves are established based upon reports received from ceding companies or estimated using pro rata methods based on statistical data. Reinstatement premiums represent additional premium received on reinsurance coverages, most prevalently catastrophe related, when limits have been depleted under the original reinsurance contract and additional coverage is granted. Written and earned premiums and the related costs, which have not yet been reported to the Company, are estimated and accrued. Premiums are net of ceded reinsurance.

G. Income Taxes.

The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Foreign branches of subsidiaries file local tax returns as required. Deferred income taxes have been recorded to recognize the tax effect of temporary differences between the financial reporting and income tax bases of assets and liabilities, which arise because of differences between GAAP and income tax accounting rules.

H. Foreign Currency.

Assets and liabilities relating to foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date; revenues and expenses are translated into U.S. dollars using average exchange rates in effect during the reporting period. Gains and losses resulting from translating foreign currency financial statements, net of deferred income taxes, are excluded from net income and accumulated in stockholder's equity. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions, other than debt securities available for sale, are recorded through the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) in other income (expense). Gains and losses resulting from changes in the

foreign currency exchange rates or debt securities, available for sale at market value, are recorded in the consolidated balance sheets in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as unrealized appreciation (depreciation).

I. Segmentation.

The Company, through its subsidiaries, operates in four segments: U.S. Reinsurance, U.S. Insurance, Specialty Underwriting and International. See also Note 19.

J. Retroactive Reinsurance.

Premiums on ceded retroactive contracts are earned when written with a corresponding reinsurance recoverable established for the amount of reserves ceded. The initial gain, if applicable, is deferred and amortized into income over an actuarially determined expected payout period. Any future loss is recognized immediately and charged against earnings.

K. Policyholder Dividends.

The Company issues certain insurance policies with dividend payment features. These policyholders share in the operating results of their respective policies in the form of dividends declared. Dividends to policyholders are accrued during the period in which the related premiums are earned and are determined based on the terms of the individual policies.

L. Application of Recently Issued Accounting Guidance.

Financial Accounting Standards Board Launched Accounting Codification. In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued authoritative guidance establishing the FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ ("Codification") as the single source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by non-governmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification supersedes all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative.

Following the Codification, the FASB will no longer issue new standards in the form of Statements, FASB Staff Positions or Emerging Issues Task Force Abstracts. Instead, the FASB will issue Accounting Standards Updates, which will serve to update the Codification, provide background information about the guidance and provide the basis for conclusions on the changes to the Codification.

GAAP is not intended to be changed as a result of the FASB's Codification, but it will change the way the guidance is organized and presented. As a result, these changes will have a significant impact on how companies reference GAAP in their financial statements and in the accounting policies for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this guidance impacts the way the Company references U.S. GAAP accounting standards in the financial statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Subsequent Events. In May 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for subsequent events, which was later modified in February 2010, that addresses the accounting for and disclosure of subsequent events not addressed in other applicable U.S. GAAP. The Company implemented the new disclosure requirement beginning with the second quarter of 2009 and included it in the Notes to Consolidated Interim Financial Statements.

Interim Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments. In April 2009, the FASB revised the authoritative guidance for disclosures about fair value of financial instruments. This new guidance requires quarterly disclosures on the qualitative and quantitative information about the fair value of all financial instruments including methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value during the period. These disclosures were previously only done annually. The Company adopted this disclosure beginning with the second quarter of 2009 and included it in the Notes to Consolidated Interim Financial Statements.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Investment Securities. In April 2009, the FASB revised the authoritative guidance for the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments. This new guidance amends the recognition guidance for other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities and expands the financial statement disclosures for other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities. For available for sale debt securities that the Company has no intent to sell and more likely than not will not be required to sell prior to recovery, only the credit loss component of the impairment would be recognized in earnings, while the rest of the fair value loss would be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company adopted this guidance effective April 1, 2009. Upon adoption the Company recognized a cumulative-effect adjustment increase in retained earnings and decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) of \$15.5 million, net of \$8.3 million of tax.

Measurement of Fair Value in Inactive Markets. In April 2009, the FASB revised the authoritative guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures, which reaffirms that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. It also reaffirms the need to use judgment in determining if a formerly active market has become inactive and in determining fair values when the market has become inactive. There was no impact to the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

Fair Value Disclosures about Pension Plan Assets. In December 2008, the FASB revised the authoritative guidance for employers' disclosures about pension plan assets. This new guidance requires additional disclosures about the components of plan assets, investment strategies for plan assets and significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The Company, in conjunction with fair value measurement of plan assets, will separate plan assets into the three fair value hierarchy levels and provide a roll forward of the changes in fair value of plan assets classified as Level 3 in the current 2009 annual consolidated financial statements. These disclosures have no effect on the Company's accounting for plan benefits and obligations.

2. INVESTMENTS

The amortized cost, market value and gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation of available for sale, fixed maturity and equity security investments, carried at market value, are as follows for the periods indicated:

At December 31, 2009										
	Amortized		Unrealized		nrealized		Market			
	Cost	Ар	preciation	De	preciation		Value			
\$	132,348	\$	3,614	\$	(1,671)	\$	134,291			
	3,694,267		183,848		(24,256)		3,853,859			
	618,507		30,298		(13,424)		635,381			
	16,597		460		(1,909)		15,148			
	24,213		4,956		(111)		29,058			
	556,032		10,366		(1,691)		564,707			
	61,098		916		(7,055)		54,959			
	638,204		27,700		(6,687)		659,217			
	514,493		17,184		(15,129)		516,548			
\$	6,255,759	\$	279,342	\$	(71,933)	\$	6,463,168			
\$	15	\$	-	\$	(2)	\$	13			
		Cost \$ 132,348 3,694,267 618,507 16,597 24,213 556,032 61,098 638,204 514,493 \$ 6,255,759	Cost Ap \$ 132,348 \$ 3,694,267 618,507 618,507 16,597 24,213 556,032 61,098 638,204 514,493 \$ \$ 6,255,759 \$	Amortized Cost Unrealized Appreciation \$ 132,348 \$ 3,614 3,694,267 183,848 618,507 30,298 16,597 460 24,213 4,956 556,032 10,366 61,098 916 638,204 27,700 514,493 17,184 \$ 6,255,759 \$ 279,342	Amortized Cost Unrealized Appreciation Unrealized De \$ 132,348 \$ 3,614 \$ \$ 132,348 \$ 3,614 \$ \$ 3,694,267 183,848 \$ 618,507 30,298 \$ 16,597 460 \$ 24,213 4,956 \$ 556,032 10,366 \$ 61,098 916 \$ 638,204 27,700 \$ \$ 6,255,759 \$ 279,342 \$	Amortized Cost Unrealized Appreciation Unrealized Depreciation \$ 132,348 \$ 3,614 \$ (1,671) 3,694,267 183,848 (24,256) 618,507 30,298 (13,424) 16,597 460 (1,909) 24,213 4,956 (111) 556,032 10,366 (1,691) 61,098 916 (7,055) 638,204 27,700 (6,687) 514,493 17,184 (15,129) \$ 6,255,759 \$ 279,342 \$ (71,933)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			

	At December 31, 2008										
		Amortized		Unrealized		Unrealized		Market			
(Dollars in thousands)		Cost	Ap	preciation	D	epreciation		Value			
Fixed maturity securities - available for sale											
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of											
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$	139,776	\$	15,456	\$	-	\$	155,232			
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions		3,846,754		113,885		(164,921)		3,795,718			
Corporate securities		482,533		18,404		(64,620)		436,317			
Asset-backed securities		13,795		7		(4,441)		9,361			
Mortgage-backed securities											
Commercial		6,516		-		(1,067)		5,449			
Agency residential		170,299		4,838		(33)		175,104			
Non-agency residential		54,816		-		(18,252)		36,564			
Foreign government securities		467,935		32,538		(7,776)		492,697			
Foreign corporate securities		428,059		6,602		(29,247)		405,414			
Total fixed maturity securities	\$	5,610,483	\$	191,730	\$	(290,357)	\$	5,511,856			
Equity securities	\$	15	\$	1	\$		\$	16			

In accordance with FASB guidance, the Company reclassified the previously recorded cumulative-effect of the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairments from retained earnings into accumulated other comprehensive income. The pre-tax amount of the reclassification was \$23.8 million, all of which were corporate securities. At December 31, 2009, the cumulative unrealized depreciation on these securities had improved, with the remaining unrealized depreciation for the corporate securities at \$2.0 million.

The amortized cost and market value of fixed maturity securities are shown in the following table by contractual maturity. Mortgage-backed securities are generally more likely to be prepaid than other fixed maturity securities. As the stated maturity of such securities may not be indicative of actual maturities, the totals for mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are shown separately.

		At Decemb	er 31,	2009	At December 31, 2008					
	Amortized		Market			Amortized		Market		
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost			Value		Cost		Value		
Fixed maturity securities – available for sale										
Due in one year or less	\$	334,054	\$	335,948	\$	293,575	\$	286,141		
Due after one year through five years		1,276,968		1,316,918		951,147		976,704		
Due after five years through ten years		1,224,457		1,282,470		1,152,818		1,161,999		
Due after ten years		2,762,340		2,863,960		2,967,517		2,860,534		
Asset-backed securities		16,597		15,148		13,795		9,361		
Mortgage-backed securities										
Commercial		24,213		29,058		6,516		5,449		
Agency residential		556,032		564,707		170,299		175,104		
Non-agency residential		61,098		54,959		54,816		36,564		
Total fixed maturity securities	\$	6,255,759	\$	6,463,168	\$	5,610,483	\$	5,511,856		

The changes in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) for the Company's investments are derived from the following sources for the periods as indicated:

		Years Ended I	Decer	cember 31,	
(Dollars in thousands)		2009	2008		
Increase (decrease) during the period between the market value and cost					
of investments carried at market value, and deferred taxes thereon:					
Fixed maturity securities	\$	329,881	\$	(266,108)	
Fixed maturity securities, cumulative other-than-temporary impairment adjustment		(23,846)		-	
Equity securities		(3)		-	
Other invested assets		7,035		(10,366)	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation), pre-tax		313,067		(276,474)	
Deferred tax (expense) benefit		(117,920)		96,766	
Deferred tax benefit, cumulative other-than-temporary impairment adjustment		8,346		-	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation),					
net of deferred taxes, included in stockholder's equity	\$	203,493	\$	(179,708)	

The Company frequently reviews its fixed maturity securities investment portfolio for declines in market value and focuses its attention on securities whose fair value has fallen below 80% of their amortized value at the time of review. The Company then assesses whether the decline in value is temporary or other-thantemporary. In making its assessment, the Company evaluates the current market and interest rate environment as well as specific issuer information. Generally, a change in a security's value caused by a change in the market or interest rate environment does not constitute an other-than-temporary impairment, but rather a temporary decline in market value. Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income. If the Company determines that the decline is other-than-temporary and the Company does not have the intent to sell the security; and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, the carrying value of the investment is written down to fair value. The fair value adjustment that is credit related is recorded in net realized capital gains (losses) in the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The fair value adjustment that is non-credit related is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, and is included in accumulated other comprehensive income in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company's assessments are based on the issuers current and expected future financial position, timeliness with respect to interest and/or principal payments, speed of repayments and any applicable credit enhancements or breakeven constant default rates on mortgagebacked and asset-backed securities, as well as relevant information provided by rating agencies, investment advisors and analysts.

Retrospective adjustments are employed to recalculate the values of asset-backed securities. All of the Company's asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities have a pass-through structure. Each acquisition lot is reviewed to recalculate the effective yield. The recalculated effective yield is used to derive a book value as if the new yield were applied at the time of acquisition. Outstanding principal factors from the time of acquisition to the adjustment date are used to calculate the prepayment history for all applicable securities. Conditional prepayment rates, computed with life to date factor histories and weighted average maturities, are used in the calculation of projected and prepayments for pass-through security types.

The tables below display the aggregate market value and gross unrealized depreciation of fixed maturity securities and equity securities, by security type and contractual maturity, in each case subdivided according to length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for the periods indicated:

	Duration by security type of unrealized loss at December 31, 2009												
		Less thar	n 12 m	onths	Greater than 12 months					Total			
				Gross Unrealized				Gross nrealized			U	Gross nrealized	
(Dollars in thousands)	Ma	arket Value	De	preciation	Ma	arket Value	De	preciation	М	arket Value	De	preciation	
Fixed maturity securities - available for sale													
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of													
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$	44,943	\$	(1,671)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	44,943	\$	(1,671)	
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions		559		(4)		452,018		(24,252)		452,577		(24,256)	
Corporate securities		45,045		(1,056)		118,153		(12,368)		163,198		(13,424)	
Asset-backed securities		366		(26)		8,233		(1,883)		8,599		(1,909)	
Mortgage-backed securities													
Commercial		959		(34)		3,312		(77)		4,271		(111)	
Agency residential		213,093		(1,691)		-		-		213,093		(1,691)	
Non-agency residential		1,272		(31)		47,202		(7,024)		48,474		(7,055)	
Foreign government securities		159,493		(2,158)		69,109		(4,529)		228,602		(6,687)	
Foreign corporate securities		124,325		(4,205)		98,772		(10,924)		223,097		(15,129)	
Total fixed maturity securities	\$	590,055	\$	(10,876)	\$	796,799	\$	(61,057)	\$	1,386,854	\$	(71,933)	
Equity securities		13		(2)		-		-		13		(2)	
Total	\$	590,068	\$	(10,878)	\$	796,799	\$	(61,057)	\$	1,386,867	\$	(71,935)	

	Duration by maturity of unrealized loss at December 31, 2009							
	Less that	n 12 months	Total					
		Gross		Gross		Gross		
		Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized		
(Dollars in thousands)	Market Value	Depreciation	Market Value	Depreciation	Market Value	Depreciation		
Fixed maturity securities								
Due in one year or less	\$-	\$-	\$ 58,010	\$ (4,887)	\$ 58,010	\$ (4,887)		
Due in one year through five years	192,929	(2,975)	140,349	(9,129)	333,278	(12,104)		
Due in five years through ten years	137,196	(2,934)	54,279	(3,401)	191,475	(6,335)		
Due after ten years	44,240	(3,185)	485,414	(34,656)	529,654	(37,841)		
Asset-backed securities	366	(26)	8,233	(1,883)	8,599	(1,909)		
Mortgage-backed securities	215,324	(1,756)	50,514	(7,101)	265,838	(8,857)		
Total fixed maturity securities	\$ 590,055	\$ (10,876)	\$ 796,799	\$ (61,057)	\$ 1,386,854	\$ (71,933)		
Equity securities	\$ 13	\$ (2)	\$-	\$-	\$ 13	\$ (2)		

The aggregate market value and gross unrealized losses related to investments in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2009 were \$1,386.9 million and \$71.9 million, respectively. There were no unrealized losses on a single security that exceeded 0.11% of the market value of the fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2009. In addition, as indicated on the above table, there was no significant concentration of unrealized losses in any one market sector. The \$10.9 million of unrealized losses related to fixed maturity and equity securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than one year were generally comprised of highly rated government, corporate and mortgage-backed securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$10.7 million were related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The \$61.1 million of unrealized losses related to securities in an unrealized loss position for more than one year also related primarily to highly rated municipal, corporate and mortgage-backed securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$50.5 million related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The non-investment grade securities with unrealized losses are mainly comprised of corporate and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The gross unrealized depreciation greater than 12 months for mortgage-backed securities included only \$0.07 million related to sub-prime and alt-A loans. In all instances, there were no projected cash flow shortfalls to recover the full book value of the investments and the related interest obligations. The mortgage-backed securities still have excess credit coverage and are current on interest and principal payments. Unrealized losses have decreased since December 31, 2008,

as a result of improved conditions in the overall financial market resulting from increased liquidity and lower interest rates.

The Company, given the size of its investment portfolio and capital position, does not have the intent to sell these securities; and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. In addition, all securities currently in an unrealized loss position are current with respect to principal and interest payments.

The tables below display the aggregate market value and gross unrealized depreciation of fixed maturity securities, by security type and contractual maturity, in each case subdivided according to length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for the periods indicated:

	Duration by security type of unrealized loss at December 31, 2008							
	Less thar	n 12 months	Greater that	an 12 months	Total			
(Dollars in thousands)	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation		
Fixed maturity securities - available for sale								
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of								
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	1,471,807	(146,292)	176,555	(18,629)	1,648,362	(164,921)		
Corporate securities	189,385	(42,278)	97,407	(22,342)	286,792	(64,620)		
Asset-backed securities	4,230	(62)	3,983	(4,379)	8,213	(4,441)		
Mortgage-backed securities								
Commercial	2,474	(450)	2,974	(617)	5,448	(1,067)		
Agency residential	3,291	(29)	466	(4)	3,757	(33)		
Non-agency residential	-	-	36,171	(18,252)	36,171	(18,252)		
Foreign government securities	79,063	(7,715)	2,759	(61)	81,822	(7,776)		
Foreign corporate securities	167,132	(13,702)	67,537	(15,545)	234,669	(29,247)		
Total fixed maturity securities	\$ 1,917,382	\$ (210,528)	\$ 387,852	\$ (79,829)	\$ 2,305,234	\$ (290,357)		

	Duration by maturity of unrealized loss at December 31, 2008											
		Less thar	n 12 n	nonths		Greater that	an 12	months		To	ital	
				Gross				Gross				Gross
			ι	Inrealized			U	nrealized			ι	Inrealized
(Dollars in thousands)	Ma	arket Value	De	epreciation	Ma	arket Value	De	preciation	N	larket Value	De	epreciation
Fixed maturity securities							_					
Due in one year or less	\$	87,124	\$	(8,412)	\$	22,024	\$	(1,516)	\$	109,148	\$	(9,928)
Due in one year through five years		198,004		(10,813)		52,705		(5,676)		250,709		(16,489)
Due in five years through ten years		145,943		(10,767)		85,396		(17,662)		231,339		(28,429)
Due after ten years		1,476,316		(179,995)		184,133		(31,723)		1,660,449		(211,718)
Asset-backed securities		4,230		(62)		3,983		(4,379)		8,213		(4,441)
Mortgage-backed securities		5,765		(479)		39,611		(18,873)		45,376		(19,352)
Total fixed maturity securities	\$	1,917,382	\$	(210,528)	\$	387,852	\$	(79,829)	\$	2,305,234	\$	(290,357)

The aggregate market value and gross unrealized losses related to investments in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2008 were \$2,305.2 million and \$290.4 million, respectively. There were no unrealized losses on a single security that exceeded 0.35% of the market value of the fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2008. In addition, as indicated on the above table, there was no significant concentration of unrealized losses in any one market sector. The \$210.5 million of unrealized losses related to fixed maturity securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than one year were generally comprised of highly rated government, municipal and corporate securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$206.9 million were related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The \$79.8 million of unrealized losses related to securities in an unrealized losses, \$65.2 million related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$65.2 million related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The \$79.8 million for more than one year also related primarily to highly rated municipal, corporate and asset-backed securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$65.2 million related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The gross unrealized losses unrealized to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The gross unrealized losses were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The gross unrealized losses unrealized losses were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The gross unrealized losses unrealized losses unrealized

depreciation greater than 12 months for mortgage-backed securities included only \$0.1 million related to sub-prime and alt-A loans.

The components of net investment income are presented in the table below for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,									
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007				
Fixed maturity securities	\$	286,031	\$	313,651	\$	294,707				
Equity securities		3,573		5,968		15,725				
Short-term investments and cash		3,484		28,553		61,940				
Other invested assets										
Limited partnerships		(28,467)		13,191		35,472				
Other		8,061		9,494		7,054				
Total gross investment income		272,682		370,857		414,898				
Interest credited and other expense		(10,596)		(7,804)		(8,306)				
Total net investment income	\$	262,086	\$	363,053	\$	406,592				

The Company reports results from limited partnership investments on the equity basis of accounting with changes in value reported through net investment income. Due to the timing of receiving financial information from these partnerships, the results are generally reported on a one month or quarter lag. If the Company determines there has been a significant decline in value of a limited partnership during this lag period, a loss will be recorded in the period in which the Company indentifies the decline.

The Company had contractual commitments to invest up to an additional \$136.6 million in limited partnerships at December 31, 2009. These commitments will be funded when called in accordance with the partnership agreements, which have investment periods that expire, unless extended, through 2014.

The components of net realized capital gains (losses) are presented in the table below for the periods indicated:

	Yea	ars Enc	led December 3	31,	
(Dollars in thousands)	 2009		2008	2007	
Fixed maturity securities, market value:					
Other-than-temporary impairments	\$ (5,510)	\$	(74,500)	\$	(4,014)
Losses from sales	(34,100)		(87,410)		(977)
Fixed maturity securities, fair value:					
Gain from sales	682		102		-
Gains from fair value adjustments	9,337		1,473		-
Equity securities, market value:					
Gains from sales	8,041		-		-
Equity securities, fair value:					
Gains (losses) from sales	7,513		(105,931)		(10,767)
Gains (losses) from fair value adjustments	30,908		(134,907)		84,434
Other invested assets, fair value:					
Gains (losses) from fair value adjustments	40,048		(87,786)		12,207
Short-term investment gains (losses)	9		(227)		4
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ 56,928	\$	(489,186)	\$	80,887

Proceeds from the sales of fixed maturity securities during, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$117.2 million, \$140.1 million and \$38.5 million, respectively. Gross gains of \$8.2 million, \$7.0 million and \$1.0 million and gross losses of \$41.6 million, \$94.4 million and \$2.0 million were realized on those fixed maturity securities sales during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Proceeds from sales of equity securities during 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$66.5 million, \$777.2 million and \$767.2 million, respectively. Gross gains of \$16.4 million, \$6.4 million and \$3.0 million and gross losses of \$0.9 million, \$112.3 million and \$13.8 million were realized on those equity sales during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Included in net realized capital gains (losses) for 2009, 2008 and 2007, was \$5.5 million, \$74.5 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, of write-downs in the value of securities deemed to be impaired on an other-than-temporary basis.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no other-than-temporary impaired securities where the impairment had both a credit and non-credit component.

Securities with a carrying value amount of \$1,326.5 million at December 31, 2009, were on deposit with various state or governmental insurance departments in compliance with insurance laws.

3. RESERVES FOR LOSSES AND LAE

Reserves for losses and LAE.

Activity in the reserve for losses and LAE is summarized for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,							
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2007					
Gross reserves at January 1	\$ 7,419,993	\$ 7,538,704	\$ 7,397,270					
Less reinsurance recoverables	(3,045,692)	(2,279,417)	(2,179,002)					
Net reserves at January 1	4,374,301	5,259,287	5,218,268					
Incurred related to:								
Current year	1,021,687	1,323,520	1,231,834					
Prior years	69,989	142,040	275,740					
Total incurred losses and LAE	1,091,676	1,465,560	1,507,574					
Paid related to:								
Current year	183,566	305,122	290,474					
Prior years	1,117,940	1,931,267	1,242,072					
Total paid losses and LAE	1,301,506	2,236,389	1,532,546					
Foreign exchange/translation adjustment	83,964	(114,157)	65,991					
Net reserves at December 31	4,248,435	4,374,301	5,259,287					
Plus reinsurance recoverables	3,051,704	3,045,692	2,279,417					
Gross reserves at December 31	\$ 7,300,139	\$ 7,419,993	\$ 7,538,704					

Prior years' reserves increased by \$70.0 million, \$142.0 million and \$275.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Prior years' reserve development for 2009 was the result of \$26.0 million increase in insurance reserves, primarily contractor liability exposures, and \$44.0 million in reinsurance reserves, in both domestic and international, as a result of losses from sub-prime exposures and property, partially offset by favorable development on other casualty lines.

The increase for 2008 was primarily attributable to the \$85.3 million reserve development for a run-off auto loan credit insurance program.

The 2007 prior years' reserves increase of \$275.7 million was primarily attributable to \$266.4 million of adverse development on A&E reserves. The increase in the A&E reserves was primarily due to an extensive in-house study by the Company's actuarial and claim units.

Reinsurance receivables for both paid and unpaid losses totaled \$3,110.2 million and \$3,117.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At December 31, 2009, \$2,327.9 million, or 74.8%, was receivable from Everest Reinsurance (Bermuda), Ltd. ("Bermuda Re") and is collateralized by a combination of letters of credit and trust agreements. No other retrocessionaire accounted for more than 5% of reinsurance receivables.

The Company continues to receive claims under expired insurance and reinsurance contract asserting injuries and/or damages relating to or resulting from environmental pollution and hazardous substances, including asbestos. Environmental claims typically assert liability for (a) the mitigation or remediation of environmental contamination or (b) bodily injury or property damage caused by the release of hazardous substances into the land, air or water. Asbestos claims typically assert liability for bodily injury from exposure to asbestos or for property damage resulting from asbestos or products containing asbestos.

The Company's reserves include an estimate of the Company's ultimate liability for A&E claims. The Company's A&E liabilities emanate from Mt. McKinley's direct insurance business and Everest Re's assumed reinsurance business. All of the contracts of insurance and reinsurance under which the Company has received claims during the past three years, expired more than 20 years ago. There are significant uncertainties surrounding the Company's reserves for its A&E losses.

A&E exposures represent a separate exposure group for monitoring and evaluating reserve adequacy. The following table summarizes incurred losses with respect to A&E reserves on both a gross and net of reinsurance basis for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,								
(Dollars in thousands)	2009			2008	2007				
Gross basis:									
Beginning of period reserves	\$	786,843	\$	922,843	\$	650,134			
Incurred losses		-		-		405,000			
Paid losses		(148,169)		(136,000)		(132,291)			
End of period reserves	\$	638,674	\$	786,843	\$	922,843			
Net basis:									
Beginning of period reserves	\$	485,296	\$	537,549	\$	313,308			
Incurred losses		(4,715)		-		266,362			
Paid losses		(50,160)		(52,253)		(42,121)			
End of period reserves	\$	430,421	\$	485,296	\$	537,549			

At December 31, 2009, the gross reserves for A&E losses were comprised of \$141.5 million representing case reserves reported by ceding companies, \$150.2 million representing additional case reserves established by the Company on assumed reinsurance claims, \$63.0 million representing case reserves established by the Company on direct excess insurance claims, including Mt. McKinley and \$283.9 million representing IBNR reserves.

With respect to asbestos only, at December 31, 2009, the Company had gross asbestos loss reserves of \$608.8 million, or 95.3%, of total A&E reserves, of which \$477.9 million was for assumed business and \$130.9 million was for direct business.

In 2007, the Company completed a detailed study of its experience and its cedants' exposures and also considered industry trends. The Company's Claims Department undertook a contract by contract analysis of its direct business and projected those findings to its assumed reinsurance business. The Company's actuaries utilized nine methodologies to project potential ultimate liabilities including projections based on internal data and assessments, extrapolations of non-public and publicly available data for the Company's cedants and benchmarking against industry data and experience. As a result of the study, the Company made changes to gross asbestos reserves. The Company has not experienced significant claims activity related to environmental exposures other than asbestos. The Company's A&E reserves represent management's best estimate of the ultimate liability, however, there can be no assurance that ultimate loss payments will not exceed such reserves, perhaps by a significant amount. No additional gross reserve strengthening was made in 2008 and 2009.

4. FAIR VALUE

The Company records fair value re-measurements as net realized capital gains or losses in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The Company recorded \$80.3 million in net realized capital gains due to fair value re-measurements on fixed maturity securities, equity securities and other invested assets, at fair value, for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company recorded \$221.2 million in net realized capital losses due to fair value re-measurements on fixed maturity securities, equity securities, equity securities and other invested assets, at fair value, for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company recorded \$221.2 million in net realized capital losses due to fair value re-measurements on fixed maturity securities, equity securities and other invested assets, at fair value, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Company's fixed maturity and equity securities are managed by third party investment asset managers. The investment asset managers obtain prices from nationally recognized pricing services. These services seek to utilize market data and observations in their evaluation process. They use pricing applications that vary by asset class and incorporate available market information and when fixed maturity securities do not trade on a daily basis the services will apply available information through processes such as benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing. In addition, they use model processes, such as the Option Adjusted Spread model to develop prepayment and interest rate scenarios for securities that have prepayment features.

In limited instances where prices are not provided by pricing services or in rare instances when a manager may not agree with the pricing service, price quotes on a non-binding basis are obtained from investment brokers. The investment asset managers do not make any changes to prices received from either the pricing services or the investment brokers. In addition, the investment asset managers have procedures in place to review the reasonableness of the prices from the service providers and may request verification of the prices. In addition, the Company tests the prices on a random basis to an independent pricing source. In limited situations, where financial markets are inactive or illiquid, the Company may use its own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates to determine fair value. The Company made no such adjustments at December 31, 2009.

Fixed maturity securities are generally categorized as Level 2, Significant Other Observable Inputs, since a particular security may not have traded but the pricing services are able to use valuation models with observable market inputs such as interest rate yield curves and prices for similar fixed maturity securities in terms of issuer, maturity and seniority. Valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable (including assumptions about risk) are categorized as Level 3, Significant Unobservable Inputs. These securities include broker priced securities and valuation of less liquid securities such as commercial mortgage-backed securities.

Equity securities in U.S. denominated currency are categorized as Level 1, Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets, since the securities are actively traded on an exchange and prices are based on quoted prices from the exchange. Equity securities traded on foreign exchanges are categorized as Level 2 due to potential foreign exchange adjustments to fair or market value.

Other invested assets, at fair value, are categorized as Level 1, Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets, since the securities are shares of the Company's parent, which are actively traded on an exchange and the price is based on a quoted price.

The following tables present the fair value measurement levels for all assets, which the Company has recorded at fair value as of the periods indicated:

		Fair	Value Measurement U	sing:
		Quoted Prices		
		in Active	Significant	
		Markets for	Other	Significant
		Identical	Observable	Unobservable
		Assets	Inputs	Inputs
(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2009		(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets:				
Fixed maturities, market value				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of	¢ 104.00	1 1	\$ 134,291	\$-
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 134,29			φ -
Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions	3,853,85		3,853,859	-
Corporate securities	635,38		628,451	6,930
Asset-backed securities	15,14	8 -	8,890	6,258
Mortgage-backed securities		-		
Commercial	29,05		29,058	-
Agency residential	564,70		564,707	-
Non-agency residential	54,95		54,533	426
Foreign government securities	659,21	7 -	659,217	-
Foreign corporate securities	516,54	8 -	516,548	-
Total fixed maturities, market value	6,463,16	8 -	6,449,554	13,614
Fixed maturities, fair value	50,52	8 -	50,528	-
Equity securities, market value		3 13	- -	-
Equity securities, fair value	380.02	5 379,058	967	-
Other invested assets, fair value	382,63	,	-	-
		Eni	r Value Macouromont I	loing
			r Value Measurement L	Jsing:
		Fai Quoted Prices in Active		Jsing:
		Quoted Prices	Significant	-
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for	Significant Other	Significant
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable
(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 200	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
(Dollars in thousands) Assets:	December 31, 200	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable
(Dollars in thousands) Assets: Fixed maturities, market value	December 31, 200	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value	December 31, 200	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 155,2	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 32 \$ -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232	Significant Unobservable Inputs
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions	\$ 155,2 3,795,7	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 32 \$ - .8 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$-
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3:	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 22 \$ - 8 - 7 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities	\$ 155,2 3,795,7	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 22 \$ - 8 - 7 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$-
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3 9,30	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 32 \$ - .8 - .7 - .51 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial	\$ 155,2 3,795,7 436,3 9,3 5,4	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential	\$ 155,2 3,795,7 436,3 9,3 5,4 175,1	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) 22 \$ - .8 - .7 - .51 - .99 - .4 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000 3,409 -
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential	\$ 155,2 3,795,7 436,3 9,3 5,4 175,1 36,5	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential Foreign government securities	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3: 9,3 5,4 175,1 36,5 492,6	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) 22 \$ - .8 - .7 - .51 - .99 - .64 - .54 - .7 - .54 - .7 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006 492,697	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000 3,409 -
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential Foreign government securities Foreign corporate securities	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3: 9,3 5,4 175,1 36,5 492,6 405,4:	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) 22 \$ - 8 - 7 - 51 - 99 - 54 - 54 - 54 - 54 - 54 - 54 - 54 - 54	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006 492,697 405,414	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$- 7,000 3,409 - 558 -
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential Foreign government securities Foreign corporate securities Total fixed maturities, market value	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3: 9,30 5,4 175,10 36,51 492,60 405,4: 5,511,80	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets3(Level 1)32\$4-44-56-	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006 492,697 405,414 5,500,889	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$ - 7,000 3,409 -
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential Foreign government securities Foreign corporate securities Total fixed maturities, market value Fixed maturities, fair value	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3: 9,30 5,4 175,10 36,50 492,60 405,4: 5,511,80 43,00	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets3(Level 1)32\$4-4-54-56-50-50-	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006 492,697 405,414	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$- 7,000 3,409 - 558 -
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential Foreign government securities Foreign corporate securities Total fixed maturities, market value Fixed maturities, fair value Equity securities, market value	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3: 9,30 5,4 175,10 36,50 492,60 405,4 5,511,80 43,00	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 42 \$ - 53 (Level 1) - 54 - - 56 - - 56 - - 56 - - 56 - - 56 - - 56 - - 56 - - 56 - -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006 492,697 405,414 5,500,889 43,090	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$- 7,000 3,409 - 558 -
Assets: Fixed maturities, market value U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions Corporate securities Asset-backed securities Mortgage-backed securities Commercial Agency residential Non-agency residential Foreign government securities Foreign corporate securities Total fixed maturities, market value Fixed maturities, fair value	\$ 155,2: 3,795,7 436,3: 9,30 5,4 175,10 36,50 492,60 405,4: 5,511,80 43,00	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets 3 (Level 1) 42 \$ - 54 - - 56 - - 66 - - 66 - - 65 119,092 -	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 155,232 3,795,718 429,317 5,952 5,449 175,104 36,006 492,697 405,414 5,500,889	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$- 7,000 3,409 - 558 -

The following table presents the activity under Level 3, fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs for fixed maturity investments, for the periods indicated:

	Ň	Years Ended I	Decem	ıber 31,
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008
Assets:				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	10,967	\$	78,709
Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized)				
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)		76		(13,550)
Included in other comprehensive income		2,446		(3,691)
Purchases, issuances and settlements		(241)		(9,732)
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3		366		(40,769)
Balance, end of period	\$	13,614	\$	10,967
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized				
gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$	(58)	\$	(1,585)

There was minimal movement in or out of Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

5. CREDIT LINE

Effective August 23, 2006, Holdings entered into a five year, \$150.0 million senior revolving credit facility with a syndicate of lenders, referred to as the "Holdings Credit Facility". Citibank N.A. is the administrative agent for the Holdings Credit Facility. The Holdings Credit Facility may be used for liquidity and general corporate purposes. The Holdings Credit Facility provides for the borrowing of up to \$150.0 million with interest at a rate selected by Holdings equal to either, (1) the Base Rate (as defined below) or (2) a periodic fixed rate equal to the Eurodollar Rate plus an applicable margin. The Base Rate means a fluctuating interest rate per annum in effect from time to time to be equal to the higher of (a) the rate of interest publicly announced by Citibank as its prime rate or (b) 0.5% per annum above the Federal Funds Rate, in each case plus the applicable margin. The amount of margin and the fees payable for the Holdings Credit Facility depends upon Holdings' senior unsecured debt rating.

The Holdings Credit Facility requires Holdings to maintain a debt to capital ratio of not greater than 0.35 to 1 and Everest Re to maintain its statutory surplus at \$1.5 billion plus 25% of future aggregate net income and 25% of future aggregate capital contributions after December 31, 2005, which at December 31, 2009, was \$1,933.2 million. As of December 31, 2009, Holdings was in compliance with all Holdings Credit Facility covenants.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Holdings Credit Facility had outstanding letters of credit of \$28.0 million.

Costs incurred in connection with the Holdings Credit Facility were \$226.5 thousand, \$145.3 thousand and \$106.9 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

6. SENIOR NOTES

On October 12, 2004, Holdings completed a public offering of \$250.0 million principal amount of 5.40% senior notes due October 15, 2014. On March 14, 2000, Holdings completed a public offering of \$200.0 million principal amount of 8.75% senior notes due March 15, 2010. On March 15, 2010, the \$200.0 million principal amount of 8.75% senior notes matured, and was paid off in cash.

Interest expense incurred in connection with these senior notes was \$31.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. Market value, which is based on quoted market price at December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$256.1 million and \$186.2 million, respectively, for the 5.40% senior notes and \$200.0 million and \$156.8 million, respectively, for the 8.75% senior notes.

7. LONG TERM SUBORDINATED NOTES

On April 26, 2007, Holdings completed a public offering of \$400.0 million principal amount of 6.6% fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes with a scheduled maturity date of May 15, 2037 and a final maturity date of May 1, 2067. During the fixed rate interest period from May 3, 2007 through May 14, 2017, interest will be at the annual rate of 6.6%, payable semi-annually in arrears on November 15 and May 15 of each year, commencing on November 15, 2007, subject to Holdings' right to defer interest on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years. During the floating rate interest period from May 15, 2017 through maturity, interest will be based on the 3 month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 238.5 basis points, reset quarterly, payable quarterly in arrears on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year, subject to Holdings' right to defer interest on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year, subject to Holdings' right to defer interest on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years. Deferred interest will accumulate interest at the applicable rate compounded semi-annually for periods prior to May 15, 2017, and compounded quarterly for periods from and including May 15, 2017.

Holdings can redeem the long term subordinated notes prior to May 15, 2017, in whole but not in part at the applicable redemption price, which will equal the greater of (a) 100% of the principal amount being redeemed and (b) the present value of the principal payment on May 15, 2017 and scheduled payments of interest that would have accrued from the redemption date to May 15, 2017 on the long term subordinated notes being redeemed, discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at a discount rate equal to the treasury rate plus an applicable spread of either 0.25% or 0.50%, in each case plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holdings may redeem the long term subordinated notes on or after May 15, 2017, in whole or in part at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest; however, redemption on or after the scheduled maturity date and prior to May 1, 2047 is subject to a replacement capital covenant. This covenant is for the benefit of certain senior note holders and it mandates that Holdings receive proceeds from the sale of another subordinated debt issue, of at least similar size, before it may redeem the subordinated notes.

On March 19, 2009, Group announced the commencement of a cash tender offer for any and all of the 6.6% fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes. Upon expiration of the tender offer, the Company had reduced its outstanding debt by \$161.4 million, which resulted in a pre-tax gain on debt repurchase of \$78.3 million.

Interest expense incurred in connection with these long term notes was \$18.3 million, \$26.4 million and \$17.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Market value, which is based on quoted market prices at December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$176.5 million on outstanding 6.6% long term subordinated notes of \$238.6 million and \$168.0 million on outstanding 6.6% long term subordinated notes of \$399.6 million, respectively.

8. JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBT SECURITIES PAYABLE

On March 29, 2004, Holdings issued \$329.9 million of 6.20% junior subordinated debt securities due March 29, 2034, to Everest Re Capital Trust II ("Capital Trust II"). Holdings may redeem the junior subordinated debt securities before their maturity at 100% of their principal amount plus accrued interest as of the date of redemption. The securities may be redeemed in whole or in part, on one or more occasions at any time on or after March 30, 2009; or at any time, in whole, but not in part, within 90 days of the occurrence and continuation of a determination that the Trust may become subject to tax or the Investment Company Act.

On November 14, 2002, Holdings issued \$216.5 million of 7.85% junior subordinated debt securities due November 15, 2032 to Everest Re Capital Trust ("Capital Trust"). Holdings redeemed all of the junior subordinated debt securities at 100% of their principal amount plus accrued interest on November 15, 2007.

Fair value, which is primarily based on the quoted market price of the related trust preferred securities was \$272.6 million and \$222.2 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, for the 6.20% junior subordinated debt securities.

Interest expense incurred in connection with these junior subordinated notes was \$20.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and \$35.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Capital Trust II is a wholly owned finance subsidiary of Holdings. Capital Trust was dissolved upon the completion of the redemption of the trust preferred securities on November 15, 2007.

Holdings considers that the mechanisms and obligations relating to the trust preferred securities, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Holdings of Capital Trust II's payment obligations with respect to the trust preferred securities.

Capital Trust II will redeem all of the outstanding trust preferred securities when the junior subordinated debt securities are paid at maturity on March 29, 2034. The Company may elect to redeem the junior subordinated debt securities, in whole or in part, at any time on or after March 30, 2009. If such an early redemption occurs, the outstanding trust preferred securities would also be proportionately redeemed.

There are certain regulatory and contractual restrictions on the ability of the Company's operating subsidiaries to transfer funds to the Company in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances. The insurance laws of the State of Delaware, where the Company's direct insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, require regulatory approval before those subsidiaries can pay dividends or make loans or advances to the Company that exceed certain statutory thresholds. In addition, the terms of the Holdings Credit Facility (discussed in Note 5) require Everest Re, the Company's principal insurance subsidiary, to maintain a certain statutory surplus level as measured at the end of each fiscal year. At December 31, 2009, \$2,352.0 million of the \$3,271.1 million in net assets of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries were subject to the foregoing regulatory restrictions.

9. LETTERS OF CREDIT

(Dollars in thousands)

The Citibank Holdings Credit Facility involves a syndicate of lenders (see Note 5), with Citibank acting as administrative agent. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, letters of credit for \$28.0 million, were issued and outstanding. The following table summarizes the Company's letters of credit at December 31, 2009.

Bank	Commitment	In Use	Date of Expiry	
Citibank Holdings Credit Facility	\$ 150,000	\$ 27,959	12/31/2010	
Total Citibank Holdings Credit Facility	\$ 150,000	\$ 27,959		

10. TRUST AGREEMENTS

A subsidiary of the Company, Everest Re, has established a trust agreement, which effectively uses Everest Re's investments as collateral, as security for assumed losses payable to a non-affiliated ceding company. At December 31, 2009, the total amount on deposit in the trust account was \$24.0 million.

11. OPERATING LEASE AGREEMENTS

The future minimum rental commitments, exclusive of cost escalation clauses, at December 31, 2009, for all of the Company's operating leases with remaining non-cancelable terms in excess of one year are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2010	\$ 9,018
2011	7,079
2012	9,770
2013	9,339
2014	7,814
Thereafter	 49,030
Net commitments	\$ 92,050

All of these leases, the expiration terms of which range from 2010 to 2020, are for the rental of office space. Rental expense was \$10.5 million, \$9.5 million and \$8.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

12. INCOME TAXES

All the income of Holdings' U.S. subsidiaries is subject to the applicable federal, foreign, state and local taxes on corporations. Additionally, the income of foreign branches of the Company's insurance operating companies is subject to various income taxes. The provision for income taxes in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) has been determined in accordance with the individual income of each entity and the respective applicable tax laws. The provision reflects the permanent differences between financial and taxable income relevant to each entity. The significant components of the provision are as follows for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,							
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007		
Current tax:								
U.S.	\$	(49,644)	\$	(48,382)	\$	131,021		
Foreign		8,184		26,081		19,899		
Total current tax (benefit) expense		(41,460)		(22,301)		150,920		
Total deferred U.S. tax expense (benefit)		170,852		(112,447)		(50,834)		
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$	129,392	\$	(134,748)	\$	100,086		

A reconciliation of the U.S. federal income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate is as follows for the periods indicated:

Years Ended December 31,					
2009	2008	2007			
35.0%	-35.0%	35.0%			
-15.5%	-23.8%	-15.0%			
-0.4%	-1.1%	-1.1%			
2.3%	3.6%	2.4%			
3.2%	4.4%	3.5%			
24.6%	-51.9%	24.8%			
	2009 35.0% -15.5% -0.4% 2.3% 3.2%	$\begin{array}{c ccc} 2009 & 2008 \\ \hline 35.0\% & -35.0\% \\ \hline -15.5\% & -23.8\% \\ -0.4\% & -1.1\% \\ 2.3\% & 3.6\% \\ \hline 3.2\% & 4.4\% \end{array}$			

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effect of the temporary differences between the value of assets and liabilities for financial statement purposes and such values as measured by the U.S. tax laws and regulations. The principal items making up the net deferred income tax asset are as follows for the periods indicated:

	At Dec	ember 31,
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:		
Reserve for losses and LAE	\$ 166,943	\$ 194,910
Unearned premium reserve	47,266	50,727
Investment impairments	5,528	26,997
Net unrealized depreciation of investments	-	63,245
Fair value adjustments	204	31,697
Deferred compensation	7,485	15,088
Deferred reinsurance	39,371	52,677
AMT credits	10,561	10,561
Foreign tax credits	46,472	38,353
Uncollectible reinsurance	16,175	84,898
Minimum pension	13,068	17,080
Other assets	34,503	38,961
Total deferred tax assets	387,576	625,194
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Deferred acquisition costs	63,531	67,069
Investment discounts	3,493	8,653
Net unrealized appreciation of investments	46,147	-
Foreign currency translation	30,675	16,561
Gain on debt repurchase	27,395	-
Other liabilities	5,842	14,869
Total deferred tax liabilities	177,083	107,152
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 210,493	\$ 518,042

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits, for the periods indicated, is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	 2009		2008	2007		
Balance at January 1	\$ \$ 34,366		29,132	\$	13,800	
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	6,997		5,234		4,423	
Additions for tax positions of prior years	-		-		10,909	
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	-		-		-	
Settlements with taxing authorities	(12,353)		-		-	
Lapses of applicable statutes of limitations	 -		-		-	
Balance at December 31	\$ 29,010	\$	34,366	\$	29,132	

The entire amount of the unrecognized tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate if recognized.

In 2007, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed its examination of the Company's consolidated U.S. income tax returns for 2003 and 2004 and issued an examination report proposing various adjustments. The Company has submitted a formal protest including requests for affirmative adjustments and believes that it has a strong chance of prevailing on the issues involved. With few exceptions, the Company no longer is subject to U.S. federal, state and local or foreign income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007 other than 2003 and 2004.

The Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties in income taxes. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company accrued and recognized approximately \$1.6 million, \$2.5 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, in interest and penalties.

The Company is not aware of any positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within twelve months of the reporting date unless the formal protest to the IRS for 2003 and 2004 is finally resolved. It is not possible to estimate the change that would be required as a result of such resolution.

For U.S. income tax purposes the Company has foreign tax credit carryforwards of \$46.5 million that begin to expire in 2017. In addition, for U.S. income tax purposes the Company has \$10.6 million of Alternative Minimum Tax credits that do not expire. Management believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of its net deferred tax assets and accordingly, no valuation allowance has been recorded for the periods presented.

Tax benefits of \$35.9 thousand and \$577.9 thousand related to share-based compensation deductions for 2009 and 2008, respectively, are reflected in additional paid-in capital in the stockholder's equity section of the consolidated balance sheets.

13. REINSURANCE

The Company utilizes reinsurance agreements to reduce its exposure to large claims and catastrophic loss occurrences. These agreements provide for recovery from reinsurers of a portion of losses and LAE under certain circumstances without relieving the ceding company of its obligations to the policyholders. Losses and LAE incurred and premiums earned are reported after deduction for reinsurance. In the event that one or more of the reinsurers were unable to meet their obligations under these reinsurance agreements, the Company would not realize the full value of the reinsurance recoverable balances. The Company may hold partial collateral, including letters of credit, trust accounts and funds held, under these agreements. See also Note 1C.

Premiums written and earned and incurred losses and LAE are comprised of the following for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,					
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2009 2008			2007
Written premiums:						
Direct	\$	824,267	\$	778,243	\$	838,406
Assumed		2,509,850		2,116,545		2,316,675
Ceded		(1,598,816)		(1,219,426)		(1,082,155)
Net written premiums	\$	1,735,301	\$	1,675,362	\$	2,072,926
Premiums earned:						
Direct	\$	808,634	\$	839,251	\$	899,328
Assumed		2,471,667		2,235,381		2,322,698
Ceded		(1,495,241)		(1,192,850)		(1,043,126)
Net premiums earned	\$	1,785,060	\$	1,881,782	\$	2,178,900
Incurred losses and LAE:						
Direct	\$	654,409	\$	655,964	\$	777,000
Assumed		1,348,581		1,439,019		1,427,019
Ceded		(911,314)		(629,423)		(696,445)
Net incurred losses and LAE	\$	1,091,676	\$	1,465,560	\$	1,507,574

The amounts deducted from losses and LAE incurred for net reinsurance recoveries were \$911.3 million, \$629.4 million and \$696.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See also Note 3.

The Company engages in reinsurance transactions with Bermuda Re and Everest International Reinsurance, Ltd. ("Everest International"), affiliates, primarily driven by enterprise risk and capital management considerations under which business is ceded at market rates and terms. These transactions include:

- Effective September 19, 2000, Mt. McKinley and Bermuda Re entered into a loss portfolio transfer reinsurance agreement, whereby Mt. McKinley transferred all of its net insurance exposures and reserves to Bermuda Re.
- Effective October 1, 2001, Everest Re and Bermuda Re entered into a loss portfolio reinsurance agreement, whereby Everest Re transferred all of its Belgium branch net insurance exposures and reserves to Bermuda Re.
- For premiums earned and losses incurred for the period January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002, Everest Re, Everest National and Everest Security entered into an Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement with Bermuda Re, covering workers' compensation losses occurring on and after January 1, 2002, as respects new, renewal and in force policies effective on that date through December 31, 2002. Bermuda Re is liable for any loss exceeding \$100,000 per occurrence, with its liability not to exceed \$150,000 per occurrence.
- Effective January 1, 2002 for the 2002 underwriting year, Everest Re ceded 20.0% of its net retained liability to Bermuda Re through a quota share reinsurance agreement ("whole account quota share"). This remained in effect through December 31, 2002.
- Effective January 1, 2003, Everest Re and Bermuda Re amended the whole account quota share, through which Everest Re previously ceded 20.0% of its business to Bermuda Re so that effective January 1, 2003 Everest Re ceded 25.0% to Bermuda Re of the net retained liability on all new and renewal policies underwritten during the term of this agreement. This amendment remained in effect through December 31, 2003.
- Effective January 1, 2003, Everest Re entered into a whole account quota share with Bermuda Re, whereby Everest Re's Canadian branch ceded to Bermuda Re 50.0% of its net retained liability on all new and renewal property business. This remained in effect through December 31, 2006.
- Effective January 1, 2004, Everest Re and Bermuda Re amended the whole account quota share through which Everest Re previously ceded 25.0% of its business to Bermuda Re so that effective January 1, 2004 Everest Re ceded 22.5% to Bermuda Re and 2.5% to Everest International of the net retained liability on all new and renewal covered business written during the term of this agreement. This amendment remained in effect through December 31, 2005.
- Effective January 1, 2006, Everest Re, Bermuda Re and Everest International amended the whole account quota share so that for all new and renewal business recorded on or after January 1, 2006, Everest Re ceded 31.5% and 3.5% of its casualty business to Bermuda Re and Everest International, respectively, and Everest Re ceded 18.0% and 2.0% of its property business to Bermuda Re and Everest International, respectively. However, in no event shall the loss cessions to Bermuda Re and Everest International relating to any one occurrence on the property business exceed \$125.0 million. The property portion of this amendment remained in effect through December 31, 2006. The casualty portion remained in effect through December 31, 2007.
- Effective January 1, 2007, Everest Re and Bermuda Re amended the whole account quota share so that for all new and renewal business recorded on or after January 1, 2007, Everest Re ceded 60.0% of its Canadian branch property business to Bermuda Re. This remained in effect through December 31, 2009.

- Effective January 1, 2007, Everest Re, Bermuda Re and Everest International amended the whole account quota share so that for all new and renewal property business recorded on or after January 1, 2007, Everest Re ceded 22.5% and 2.5% to Bermuda Re and Everest International, respectively. However, in no event shall the loss cessions to Bermuda Re and Everest International relating to any one occurrence on the property business exceed \$130.0 million. This amendment remained in effect through December 31, 2007.
- Effective January 1, 2008, Everest Re, Bermuda Re and Everest International amended the whole account quota share whereby, for all new and renewal casualty and property business recorded on or after January 1, 2008, Everest Re ceded 36.0% and 4.0% to Bermuda Re and Everest International, respectively. However, in no event shall the loss cessions to Bermuda Re and Everest International relating to any one catastrophe occurrence on the property business exceed \$130.0 million or in the aggregate for each underwriting year for all property catastrophes exceed \$275.0 million. This amendment remained in effect through December 31, 2008.
- Effective October 1, 2008, Everest Re and Bermuda Re entered into a loss portfolio transfer reinsurance agreement, whereby Everest Re transferred a percentage of its net loss reserves (\$747.0 million) corresponding to all existing open and future liabilities at December 31, 2007, arising from policies, insurance or reinsurance written or renewed by or on behalf of Everest Re during the period of January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2007, classified by Everest Re as casualty.
- Effective January 1, 2009, Everest Re, Bermuda Re and Everest International amended the whole account quota share whereby, for all new and renewal casualty and property business recorded on or after January 1, 2009, Everest Re ceded 36.0% and 8.0% to Bermuda Re and Everest International, respectively. However, in no event shall the loss cessions to Bermuda Re and Everest International relating to any one occurrence exceed \$150.0 million or in the aggregate for each underwriting year for all occurrences exceed \$325.0 million. This amendment remained in effect through December 31, 2009.
- Effective January 1, 2010, Everest Re entered into a whole account quota share with Bermuda Re, whereby Everest Re's Canadian branch cedes to Bermuda Re 60.0% of its net retained liability on all new and renewal property business. However, in no event shall the loss cessions to Bermuda Re relating to any one occurrence exceed \$350.0 million.
- Effective January 1, 2010, Everest Re entered into a whole account quota share with Bermuda Re, whereby for all new and renewal business recorded on or after January 1, 2010, Everest Re cedes 44.0% of its net retained liability to Bermuda Re. However, in no event shall the loss cessions to Bermuda Re relating to any one occurrence exceed \$150.0 million or in the aggregate for each underwriting year for all such occurrences exceed \$325.0 million.

The following tables summarize the premiums and losses ceded by the Company to Bermuda Re and Everest International, respectively, for the periods indicated:

Bermuda Re	Years Ended December 31,						
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007	
Ceded written premiums	\$ 1,181,875		\$ 947,344		\$	837,129	
Ceded earned premiums		1,142,223		915,706		820,400	
Ceded losses and LAE (a)		699,515		467,717		540,851	
Everest International	Years Ended December 31,						
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007	
Ceded written premiums	\$	217,708	\$	99,633	\$	86,980	
Ceded earned premiums		182,436		95,835		85,162	
Ceded losses and LAE		100,768		54,380		41,318	

(a) Ceded losses and LAE include the Mt. McKinley loss portfolio transfer that constitutes losses ceded under retroactive reinsurance and therefore, in accordance with accounting standards, a deferred gain on retroactive reinsurance is reflected in other expenses on the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

Everest Re sold net assets of its UK branch to Bermuda Re and provided Bermuda Re with a reserve indemnity agreement allowing for indemnity payments of up to 90% of ± 25.0 million of the excess of 2002 and prior reserves, provided that any recognition of profit from the reserves for 2002 and prior underwriting years is taken into account. The limit available under this agreement was fully exhausted at December 31, 2004.

14. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table presents the components of comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,					
(Dollars in thousands)	2009			2008		2007
Net income (loss)	\$ 395	5,851	\$	(124,757)	\$	304,191
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:						
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) ("URA(D)") on securities arising during the period						
URA(D) of investments - temporary	294	1,401		(438,383)		(14,194)
URA(D) of investments - non-credit OTTI	10),943		-		-
URA(D) on securities arising during the period	305	5,344		(438,383)	_	(14,194)
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized losses included in net income (loss)	32	L,569		161,910		4,991
Total URA(D) on securities arising during the period	336	5,913		(276,473)		(9,203)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	43	3,223		(46,872)		46,349
Pension adjustments	11	L,466		(38,714)		17,442
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	392	L,602		(362,059)		54,588
Income tax (expense) benefit related to items of other comprehensive income (loss):						
Tax (expense) benefit on URA(D) arising during the period						
Tax (expense) benefit on URA(D) of investments - temporary	(103	3,041)		153,434		4,968
Tax expense on URA(D) of investments - non-credit OTTI	(3	3,830)		-		-
Tax (expense) benefit on URA(D) on securities arising during the period	(106	6,871)		153,434		4,968
Tax reclassification due to realized losses included in net income (loss)	(11	L,049)		(56,669)		(1,747)
Total tax (expense) benefit from URA(D) arising during the period	(11	7,920)		96,765		3,221
Tax (expense) benefit from foreign currency translation	(15	5,128)		16,405		(16,222)
Tax (expense) benefit on pension	(4	1,013)		13,550		(6,105)
Total income tax (expense) benefit related to items of other comprehensive income (loss):	(13	7,061)		126,720		(19,106)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	254	1,541		(235,339)		35,482
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 650),392	\$	(360,096)	\$	339,673

The following table presents the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, in the consolidated balance sheets for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December			
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2008	
Beginning balance of URA (D) on securities	\$	(69,248)	\$	110,460
Current period change in URA (D) of investments - temporary		204,818		(179,708)
Current period change in URA (D) of investments - non-credit OTTI		(1,325)		-
Ending balance of URA (D) on securities		134,245		(69,248)
Beginning balance of foreign currency translation adjustments		28,906		59,373
Current period change in foreign currency translation adjustments		28,095		(30,467)
Ending balance of foreign currency translation adjustments		57,001	_	28,906
Beginning balance of pension		(31,721)		(6,557)
Current period change in pension		7,453		(25,164)
Ending balance of pension		(24,268)	_	(31,721)
Ending balance of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	166,978	\$	(72,063)

15. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Defined Benefit Pension Plans.

The Company maintains both qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans for its U.S. employees. Generally, the Company computes the benefits based on average earnings over a period prescribed by the plans and credited length of service. The Company's non-qualified defined benefit pension plan, affected in October 1995, provides compensating pension benefits for participants whose benefits have been curtailed under the qualified plan due to Internal Revenue Code limitations.

Although not required to make contributions under IRS regulations, the Company contributed \$5.2 million and \$20.6 million to the qualified plan in 2009 and 2008, respectively. Pension expense for the Company's plans for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$10.8 million, \$5.9 million and \$6.4 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the status of these defined benefit plans for U.S. employees for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,			
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2009 20	
Change in projected benefit obligation:				
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	102,907	\$	90,645
Service cost		6,015		5,174
Interest cost		6,385		5,916
Actuarial loss		6,808		5,650
Benefits paid		(3,949)		(4,478)
Projected benefit obligation at end of year		118,166		102,907
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year		75,798		82,963
Actual return on plan assets		21,113		(26,391)
Actual contributions during the year		7,851		23,843
Administrative expenses paid		(85)		(139)
Benefits paid		(3,949)		(4,478)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year		100,728		75,798
Funded status at end of year	\$	(17,438)	\$	(27,109)

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,					
(Dollars in thousands)		2009				
Other assets (due beyond one year)	\$	8,394	\$	-		
Other liabilities (due within one year)		(8,679)		(6,077)		
Other liabilities (due beyond one year)		(17,153)		(21,032)		
Net amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet	\$	(17,438)	\$	(27,109)		

Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic benefit cost and included in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,			31,
(Dollars in thousands)	2009			2008
Prior service cost	\$	(266)	\$	(315)
Accumulated loss		(33,708)		(46,252)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	(33,974)	\$	(46,567)

Other changes in other comprehensive loss for the periods indicated are as follows:

		Years Ended I	d December 31,			
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2009			2008
Other comprehensive loss at December 31, prior year	\$	(46,567)	\$	(9,240)		
Net gain (loss) arising during period		8,076		(38,763)		
Recognition of amortizations in net periodic benefit cost:						
Prior service cost		49		51		
Actuarial loss		4,468		1,385		
Other comprehensive loss at December 31, current year	\$	(33,974)	\$	(46,567)		

Net periodic benefit cost for U.S. employees included the following components for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,						
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007	
Service cost	\$ 6,015		\$	5,174	\$	5,096	
Interest cost		6,385		5,916		5,263	
Expected return on assets		(6,145)		(6,583)		(5,538)	
Amortization of actuarial loss from earlier periods		3,663		601		1,425	
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost		49		51		126	
Settlement		805		784		-	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	10,772	\$	5,943	\$	6,372	
Other changes recognized in other comprehensive income:							
Other comprehensive income attributable to change from prior year		(12,593)		37,327			
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other							
comprehensive income	\$	(1,821)	\$	43,270			

The estimated transition obligation, actuarial loss and prior service cost that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next year are \$0.0 million, \$2.0 million and \$0.0 million, respectively.

The weighted average discount rates used to determine net periodic benefit cost for 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 6.25%, 6.55% and 5.94%, respectively. The rate of compensation increase used to determine the net periodic benefit cost for 2009, 2008 and 2007 was 4.0%, 4.5% and 4.5%, respectively. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for 2009, 2008 and 2007 was 8.0% and was based on expected portfolio returns and allocations.

The weighted average discount rates used to determine the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation at year end 2009, 2008, and 2007 were 6.10%, 6.25% and 6.55%, respectively.

The following table summarizes the accumulated benefit obligation for the periods indicated:

	At Decei	mber 32	L,
(Dollars in thousands)	 2009		2008
Qualified Plan	\$ 73,969	\$	63,663
Non-qualified Plan	21,898		20,171
Total	\$ 95,867	\$	83,834

The following table displays the plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,				
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008	
Qualified Plan					
Projected benefit obligation		NA	\$	79,574	
Fair value of plan assets		NA		75,798	
Non-qualified Plan					
Projected benefit obligation	\$	25,831	\$	23,333	
Fair value of plan assets		-		-	

The following table displays the plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,				
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008	
Qualified Plan	-				
Accumulated benefit obligation		NA		NA	
Fair value of plan assets		NA		NA	
Non-qualified Plan					
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$	21,898	\$	20,171	
Fair value of plan assets		-		-	

The following table displays the expected benefit payments in the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2010	\$ 10,629
2011	4,311
2012	5,246
2013	5,607
2014	7,176
Next 5 years	37,659

Plan assets consist of shares in investment trusts with approximately 59%, 33% and 8% of the underlying assets consisting of equity securities, fixed maturities and cash, respectively. The Company manages the qualified plan investments for U.S. employees. The assets in the plan consist of debt and equity mutual funds. Due to the long term nature of the plan, the target asset allocation has historically been 70% equities and 30% bonds.

The following table presents the fair value measurement levels for the qualified plan assets at fair value for the periods indicated:

			Fair Value Measurement Using:									
			•	oted Prices	Cignit	ficant						
			in Active Significant Markets for Other Identical Observable Assets Inputs (Level 1) (Level 2)		Markets for Other Identical Observable				0		Sig	gnificant
							rvable	-				
(Dollars in thousands)	Decen	nber 31, 2009				(Level 3)						
Assets:												
Cash	\$	36	\$	36	\$	-	\$	-				
Short-term investments, which approximates fair value (a)		7,436		7,436		-		-				
Mutual funds, fair value												
Fixed income (b)		33,566		33,566		-		-				
Equities (c)		53,126		53,126		-		-				
Multi-strategy equity fund, fair value (d)		6,564		-		-		6,564				
Total	\$	100,728	\$	94,164	\$	-	\$	6,564				

(a) This category includes high quality, short-term money market instruments, which are issued and payable in U.S. dollars.

(b) This category includes three fixed income funds, which invest in investment grade securities of corporations, governments and government agencies with approximately half in U.S. securities and half in international securities.

(c) This category includes eight funds, which invest in small, mid and multi-cap equity securities including common stocks, securities convertible into common stock and securities with common stock characteristics, such as rights and warrants, with approximately two-thirds in U.S. equities and one-third in international equities.

(d) This category consists of a fund of U.S. and international equity funds and may include currency hedges for the foreign funds. The underlying equity funds are valued at their net asset value.

The following table presents the activity under Level 3, fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs for fixed maturity investments, for the period indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 20			
Assets:				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	-		
Actual return on plan assets:				
Relating to assets still held at the reporting date		564		
Relating to assets sold during the period		-		
Purchases, issuances and settlements		6,000		
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3		-		
Balance, end of period	\$	6,564		

The Company does not expect to make any contributions to the qualified plan in 2010.

Defined Contribution Plans.

The Company also maintains both qualified and non-qualified defined contribution plans ("Savings Plan" and "Non-Qualified Savings Plan", respectively) covering U.S. employees. Under the plans, the Company contributes up to a maximum 3% of the participants' compensation based on the contribution percentage of the employee. The Non-Qualified Savings Plan provides compensating savings plan benefits for participants whose benefits have been curtailed under the Savings Plan due to Internal Revenue Code limitations. The Company's incurred expenses related to these plans were \$1.6 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In addition, the Company maintains several defined contribution pension plans covering non-U.S. employees. Each non-U.S. office (Brazil, Canada and Singapore) maintains a separate plan for the non-U.S. employees working in that location. The Company contributes various amounts based on salary, age and/or years of service. The contributions as a percentage of salary for the branch offices range from 7.8% to 9.1%. The contributions are generally used to purchase pension benefits from local insurance providers. The Company's incurred expenses related to these plans were \$0.3 million for 2009 and \$0.2 million for 2008 and 2007.

Post-Retirement Plan.

The Company sponsors the Retiree Health Plan. This plan provides healthcare benefits for eligible retired employees (and their eligible dependants), who have elected coverage. The Company currently anticipates that most covered employees will become eligible for these benefits if they retire while working for the Company. The cost of these benefits is shared with the retiree. The Company accrues the post-retirement benefit expense during the period of the employee's service.

A healthcare inflation rate for pre-Medicare claims of 9% in 2009 was assumed to decrease gradually to 5% in 2018 and then remain at that level. A healthcare inflation rate for post-Medicare claims of 7% in 2009 was assumed to decrease gradually to 5% in 2018 then remain at that level.

Effective December 31, 2009, the healthcare inflation rate for pre-Medicare claims is 8.1% in 2010, decreasing gradually to 4.5% in 2027. The healthcare inflation rate for post-Medicare claims is 6.4% in 2010, decreasing gradually to 4.5% in 2027.

Changes in the assumed healthcare cost trend can have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the healthcare plans. A one percent change in the rate would have the following effects on:

	Percent	age	Percentage		
	Point In	Point Increase		Decrease	
(Dollars in thousands)	(\$ Impa	(\$ Impact)		act)	
a. Effect on total service and interest cost components	\$	358	\$	(281)	
 Effect on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation 		2,734		(2,195)	

Benefit expense for this plan for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$1.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the status of this plan for the periods indicated:

	At December 31,						
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008			
Change in projected benefit obligation:							
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	12,356	\$	9,832			
Service cost		902		732			
Interest cost		780		664			
Actuarial loss		1,213		1,401			
Benefits paid		(332)		(273)			
Benefit obligation at end of year		14,919		12,356			
Change in plan assets:							
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year		-		-			
Employer contributions		332		273			
Benefits paid		(332)		(273)			
Fair value of plan assets at end of year		-		-			
Funded status at end of year	\$	(14,919)	\$	(12,356)			

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for the periods indicated:

	 At December 31,			
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2008	
Other liabilities (due within one year)	\$ (323)	\$	(219)	
Other liabilities (due beyond one year)	(14,596)		(12,137)	
Net amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets	\$ (14,919)	\$	(12,356)	

Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic benefit cost and included in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the periods indicated:

		At December 31,				
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2009 200		
Accumulated loss	\$	(3,361)	\$	(2,234)		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	(3,361)	\$	(2,234)		

Other changes in other comprehensive loss for the periods indicated are as follows:

	Y	December 31,			
(Dollars in thousands)	2009			2008	
Other comprehensive loss at December 31, prior year	\$	(2,234)	\$	(848)	
Net loss arising during period		(1,213)		(1,401)	
Recognition of amortizations in net periodic benefit cost:					
Actuarial loss		86		15	
Other comprehensive loss at December 31, current year	\$	(3,361)	\$	(2,234)	

Net periodic benefit cost included the following components for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,					
(Dollars in thousands)		2009	2008			2007
Service cost	\$	902	\$	732	\$	663
Interest cost		780		664		536
Net loss recognition		87		15		18
Net periodic cost	\$	1,769	\$	1,411	\$	1,217
Other changes recognized in other comprehensive income: Other comprehensive gain attributable to change from prior year		1,127		1,386		
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$	2,896	\$	2,797		

The estimated transition obligation, actuarial loss and prior service cost that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are \$0.0 thousand, \$131.1 thousand and \$0.0 thousand, respectively.

The weighted average discount rates used to determine net periodic benefit cost for 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 6.25%, 6.55% and 5.94%, respectively.

The weighted average discount rates used to determine the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation at year end 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 6.10%, 6.25% and 6.55%, respectively.

The following table summarizes the benefit obligation for the post-retirement plan for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,				er 31,
(Dollars in thousands)	2009			2008	
Post-retirement Plan	\$ 14,919		_	\$	12,356

The following table displays the expected benefit payments in the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2010	\$ 323
2011	389
2012	458
2013	548
2014	643
Next 5 years	5,030

16. DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS AND STATUTORY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Dividend Restrictions.

Delaware law provides that an insurance company which is a member of an insurance holding company system and is domiciled in the state shall not pay dividends without giving prior notice to the Insurance Commissioner of Delaware and may not pay dividends without the approval of the Insurance Commissioner if the value of the proposed dividend, together with all other dividends and distributions made in the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of (1) 10% of statutory surplus or (2) net income, not including realized capital gains, each as reported in the prior year's statutory annual statement. In addition, no dividend may be paid in excess of unassigned earned surplus. At December 31, 2009, Everest Re has \$456.6 million available for payment of dividends in 2010 without the need for prior regulatory approval.

Statutory Financial Information.

Everest Re prepares its statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") and the Delaware Insurance Department. Prescribed statutory accounting practices are set forth in the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The capital and statutory surplus of Everest Re was \$2,789.7 million and \$2,342.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The statutory net income of Everest Re was \$442.7 million, \$74.4 million and \$673.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

17. CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in lawsuits, arbitrations and other formal and informal dispute resolution procedures, the outcomes of which will determine the Company's rights and obligations under insurance, reinsurance and other contractual agreements. In some disputes, the Company seeks to enforce its rights under an agreement or to collect funds owing to it. In other matters, the Company is resisting attempts by others to collect funds or enforce alleged rights. These disputes arise from time to time and are ultimately resolved through both informal and formal means, including negotiated resolution, arbitration and litigation. In all such matters, the Company believes that its positions are legally and commercially reasonable. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company does not believe that any of these matters, when finally resolved, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or liquidity. However, an adverse resolution of one or more of these items in any one quarter or fiscal year could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in that period.

In 1993 and prior, the Company had a business arrangement with The Prudential Insurance Company of America ("The Prudential") wherein, for a fee, the Company accepted settled claim payment obligations of certain property and casualty insurers, and, concurrently, became the owner of the annuity or assignee of the annuity proceeds funded by the property and casualty insurers specifically to fulfill these fully settled obligations. In these circumstances, the Company would be liable if The Prudential, which has an A+ (Superior) financial strength rating from A.M. Best Company ("A.M. Best"), was unable to make the annuity payments. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the estimated cost to replace all such annuities for which the Company was contingently liable was \$152.3 million and \$152.1 million, respectively.

Prior to its 1995 initial public offering, the Company had purchased annuities from an unaffiliated life insurance company with an A+ (Superior) financial strength rating from A.M. Best to settle certain claim liabilities of the company. Should the life insurance company become unable to make the annuity payments, the Company would be liable for those claim liabilities. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the estimated cost to replace such annuities was \$24.6 million and \$23.1 million, respectively.

18. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the normal course of business, the Company, through its affiliates, engages in reinsurance and brokerage and commission business transactions, with companies controlled by or affiliated with one or more of its outside directors. Such transactions, individually and in the aggregate, are not material to the Company's financial condition, results of operation and cash flows.

The Company engages in reinsurance transactions with Bermuda Re and Everest International under which business is ceded for what management believes to be arm's length consideration. See also Note 13.

19. SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company, through its subsidiaries, operates in four segments: U.S. Reinsurance, U.S. Insurance, Specialty Underwriting and International. The U.S. Reinsurance operation writes property and casualty reinsurance, on both a treaty and facultative basis, through reinsurance brokers, as well as directly with ceding companies within the U.S. The U.S. Insurance operation writes property and casualty insurance primarily through general agents, brokers and surplus lines brokers within the U.S. The Specialty Underwriting operation writes accident and health ("A&H"), marine, aviation and surety business within the U.S. and worldwide through brokers and directly with ceding companies. The International operation writes non-U.S. property and casualty reinsurance through Everest Re's branches in Canada and Singapore and offices in Miami and New Jersey.

These segments are managed independently, but conform with corporate guidelines with respect to pricing, risk management, control of aggregate catastrophe exposures, capital, investments and support operations. Management generally monitors and evaluates the financial performance of these operating segments based upon their underwriting results.

Underwriting results include earned premium less losses and LAE incurred, commission and brokerage expenses and other underwriting expenses. Underwriting results are measured using ratios, in particular loss, commission and brokerage and other underwriting expense ratios, which, respectively, divide incurred losses, commissions and brokerage and other underwriting expenses by premiums earned.

The Company does not maintain separate balance sheet data for its operating segments. Accordingly, the Company does not review and evaluate the financial results of its operating segments based upon balance sheet data.

U.S. Reinsurance	Years Ended December 31,					
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2008			2007
Gross written premiums	\$	1,172,304	\$	957,900	\$	1,193,524
Net written premiums		660,595		569,866		854,801
Premiums earned	\$	676,415	\$	685,075	\$	939,684
Incurred losses and LAE		344,481		559,985		636,895
Commission and brokerage		142,119		159,677		230,540
Other underwriting expenses		36,181		32,180		33,275
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$	153,634	\$	(66,767)	\$	38,974
U.S. Insurance		Yea	rs End	ed December	31,	
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007
Gross written premiums	\$	842,564	\$	771,798	\$	885,604
Net written premiums		352,077		398,723		479,812
Premiums earned	\$	382,802	\$	482,729	\$	496,166
Incurred losses and LAE		317,753		422,183		412,669
Commission and brokerage		29,848		68,238		64,349
Other underwriting expenses	_	74,627		64,324	_	58,216
Underwriting loss	\$	(39,426)	\$	(72,016)	\$	(39,068)
Specialty Underwriting		Yea	rs End	ed December	31,	
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007
Gross written premiums	\$	234,774	\$	260,422	\$	270,081
Net written premiums		132,915		167,677		185,350
Premiums earned	\$	139,140	\$	168,399	\$	184,894
Incurred losses and LAE		96,267		116,277		118,324
Commission and brokerage		40,946		40,948		44,278
Other underwriting expenses		8,719		8,055		8,464
Underwriting (loss) gain	\$	(6,792)	\$	3,119	\$	13,828
International		Yea	irs End	ed December	31,	
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007
Gross written premiums	\$	1,084,476	\$	904,668	\$	805,872
Net written premiums		589,714		539,096		552,963
Premiums earned	\$	586,703	\$	545,579	\$	558,156
Incurred losses and LAE		333,175		367,115		339,686
Commission and brokerage		131,664		129,747		126,745
Other underwriting expenses	_	23,083		19,780		18,633
Underwriting gain	\$	98,781	\$	28,937	\$	73,092

The following table reconciles the underwriting results for the operating segments to income (loss) before taxes as reported in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,					
(Dollars in thousands)	2009		2008			2007
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$	206,197	\$	(106,727)	\$	86,826
Net investment income		262,086		363,053		406,592
Net realized capital gains (losses)		56,928		(489,186)		80,887
Corporate expense		(7,722)		(5,587)		(5,328)
Interest, fee and bond issue cost amortization expense		(70,883)		(78,979)		(91,059)
Realized gain on debt repurchase		78,271		-		-
Other income (expense)		366		57,921		(73,641)
Income (loss) before taxes	\$	525,243	\$	(259,505)	\$	404,277

The Company produces business in the U.S. and internationally. The net income deriving from and assets residing in the individual foreign countries in which the Company writes business are not identifiable in the Company's financial records. Based on gross written premium, other than the U.S., no other country represented more than 5% of the Company's revenues.

Approximately 22.7%, 21.6% and 16.1% of the Company's gross written premiums in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were sourced through the Company's largest intermediary.

20. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 27, 2010, there was a major earthquake offshore of southern Chile and on February 28, 2010 a major wind storm in Europe. The Company provides property and casualty reinsurance coverage in Chile and Europe. Group announced in a news release on March 10, 2010, its preliminary loss estimates, net of tax and reinstatement premiums, of approximately \$225 million for the Chile earthquake and approximately \$25 million for the European windstorm Xynthia. The estimated net impact to the Company included in these estimates is approximately \$100 million for the Chile earthquake and no impact for the European windstorm. The Company does not have any other subsequent events to report.

21. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Summarized quarterly financial data for the periods indicated:

	2009							
(Dollars in thousands)	1	st Quarter	2r	nd Quarter	3	ord Quarter	4	th Quarter
Operating data:								
Gross written premiums	\$	778,721	\$	811,103	\$	916,276	\$	828,017
Net written premiums		428,545		447,199		452,655		406,902
Premiums earned		438,445		460,774		438,320		447,521
Net investment income		39,659		74,516		65,492		82,419
Net realized capital (loss) gain		(68,184)		22,941		101,394		777
Total claims and underwriting expenses		410,040		369,767		359,115		447,663
Net income		45,664		128,500		164,141		57,546
				20	08			
(Dollars in thousands)	1st Quarter		2n	2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter		rd Quarter	41	th Quarter
Operating data:								
Gross written premiums	\$	685,468	\$	694,511	\$	778,137	\$	736,672
Net written premiums		410,796		425,744		424,438		414,384
Premiums earned		500,030		471,414		449,892		460,446
Net investment income		87,977		106,981		97,305		70,790
Net realized capital losses		(101,900)		(50,795)		(108,652)		(227,839)
Total claims and underwriting expenses		451,869		501,427		553,581		487,219
Net income (loss)		4,640		13,652		(78,899)		(64,150)

SCHEDULE I - SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS -OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES DECEMBER 31, 2009

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
			Amount
			Shown in
		Market	Balance
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost	Value	Sheet
Fixed maturities-available for sale			
Bonds:			
U.S. government and government agencies	\$ 132,348	\$ 134,291	\$ 134,291
State, municipalities and political subdivisions	3,694,267	3,853,859	3,853,859
Foreign government securities	638,204	659,217	659,217
Foreign corporate securities	514,493	516,548	516,548
Public utilities	57,471	59,499	59,499
All other corporate bonds	557,343	573,747	573,747
Mortgage pass-through securities			
Commercial	24,213	29,058	29,058
Agency residential	556,032	564,707	564,707
Non-agency residential	61,098	54,959	54,959
Redeemable preferred stock	20,290	17,283	17,283
Total fixed maturities-available for sale	6,255,759	6,463,168	6,463,168
Fixed maturities - available for sale, at fair value ⁽¹⁾	42,769	50,528	50,528
Equity securities - available for sale, at market value	15	13	13
Equity securities - available for sale, at fair value $^{(1)}$	365,244	380,025	380,025
Short-term investments	261,438	261,438	261,438
Other invested assets	387,200	386,326	386,326
Other invested assets, at fair value ⁽¹⁾	418,169	382,639	382,639
Cash	107,480	107,480	107,480
Total investments and cash	\$ 7,838,074	\$ 8,031,617	\$ 8,031,617

⁽¹⁾ Original cost does not reflect adjustments, which have been realized through the statements of operations and comprehensive income.

SCHEDULE II - CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE REGISTRANT CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	At December 31,			
(Dollars in thousands, except par value per share)	2009			2008
ASSETS:				
Fixed maturities - available for sale, at market value	\$	131,961	\$	-
(amortized cost: 2009, \$133,295)				
Equity securities - available for sale, at fair value		19,945		-
Other invested assets, at market value		12,943		12,943
Other invested assets, at fair value		382,639		316,750
Short-term investments		7,049		271,830
Cash		1,259		284
Total investments and cash		555,796		601,807
Investment in subsidiaries, at equity in the underlying net assets		3,271,079		2,710,192
Accrued investment income		597		217
Deferred tax asset		-		22,093
Current federal income tax receivable		63,233		46,130
Other assets		15,164		14,397
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,905,869	\$	3,394,836
LIABILITIES:				
8.75% Senor notes due 3/15/2010	\$	199,970	\$	199,821
5.4% Senior notes due 10/15/2014		249,769		249,728
6.6% Long term notes due 5/1/2067		238,348		399,643
Junior subordinated debt securities		329,897		329,897
Accrued interest on debt and borrowings		9,885		11,217
Deferred tax liability		18,818		-
Due to subsidiaries		-		889
Other liabilities		408		673
Total liabilities		1,047,095		1,191,868
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY:				
Common stock, par value: \$0.01; 3,000 shares authorized;				
1,000 shares issued and outstanding (2009 and 2008)		-		-
Additional paid-in capital		321,185		315,771
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred income				
tax benefit of \$0.5 million at 2009 and \$0.0 million at 2008		166,978		(72,063)
Retained earnings		2,370,611		1,959,260
Total stockholder's equity		2,858,774		2,202,968
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY	\$	3,905,869	\$	3,394,836

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

SCHEDULE II - CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE REGISTRANT CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended December 31,								
(Dollars in thousands)	2009				2007				
REVENUES:									
Net investment income	\$	9,567	\$	11,863	\$	17,756			
Net realized capital gains (losses)		40,000		(87,786)		12,207			
Realized gain on debt repurchase		78,271		-		-			
Other expense		(207)		(186)		(148)			
Net income (loss) of subsidiaries		360,065		(23,542)		345,936			
Total revenues		487,696		(99,651)		375,751			
EXPENSES:									
Interest expense		70,855		78,979		91,059			
Other expense		3,609		3,219		3,456			
Total expenses		74,464		82,198		94,515			
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES		413,232		(181,849)		281,236			
Income tax expense (benefit)		17,381		(57,092)		(22,955)			
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	395,851	\$	(124,757)	\$	304,191			

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

SCHEDULE II - CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE REGISTRANT CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Years Ended December 31,						
(Dollars in thousands)	2009			2008		2007		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES								
Net income (loss)	\$	395,851	\$	(124,757)	\$	304,191		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:								
Equity in retained earnings of subsidiaries		(360,065)		23,542		(345,936)		
Dividends received from subsidiaries		60,000		285,000		245,000		
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest on debt and borrowings		(1,332)		-		1,176		
(Increase) decrease in federal income tax recoverable		(17,102)		8,110		(44,830)		
Decrease (increase) in deferred tax asset		41,377		(26,383)		4,290		
Change in other assets and liabilities		(2,301)		2,194		6,139		
Accrual of bond premium/(accrual of bond discount)		58		-		(7,255)		
Amortization of underwriting discount on senior notes		192		179		164		
Realized gain on debt repurchase		(78,271)		-		-		
Realized capital (gains) losses		(40,000)		87,786		(12,207)		
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	_	(1,593)	_	255,671	_	150,732		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES								
Cost of fixed maturities acquired - available for sale, at fair value		(133,353)		-		-		
Cost of equity securities acquired - available for sale, at fair value		(19,993)		-		6,496		
Cost to acquire other invested assets		(25,841)		(150,747)		(241,584)		
Net change of short-term investments		264,781		(95,556)		(94,229)		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	_	85,594	_	(246,303)	_	(329,317)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES								
Dividends to stockholder		-		(10,000)		-		
Redemption of junior subordinated debt securities		-		-		(216,496)		
Net proceeds from issuance of long term subordinated notes		-		-		395,637		
Net cost of debt repurchase		(83,026)		-		-		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(83,026)		(10,000)		179,141		
Net increase (decrease) in cash		975		(632)		556		
Cash, beginning of period		284		916		360		
Cash, end of period	\$	1,259	\$	284	\$	916		
Non-cash financing transaction:		_						
Non-cash contribution from parent	\$	5,414	\$	5,565	\$	9,442		
Non-cash contribution to subsidiaries	\$	(5,414)	\$	(5,565)	\$	(9,442)		

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

EVEREST REINSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. SCHEDULE III - SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION

Column A	(Column B		Column C	Column D	Column E	(Column F		Column G	(Column H		Column I		Column J
				Reserve						Incurred						
Geographic Area				for Losses						Loss and	Ar	nortization				
		Deferred		and Loss	Unearned			Net		Loss	of Deferred		Other			Net
	A	cquisition	I	Adjustment	Premium	Premiums	Ir	nvestment	Adjustment		Acquisition		Operating			Written
(Dollars in thousands)		Costs		Expenses	 Reserves	 Earned		Income	Expenses		Costs		Expenses		Premium	
December 31, 2009																
Domestic	\$	120,491	\$	5,952,679	\$ 988,901	\$ 1,198,357	\$	224,405	\$	758,501	\$	212,913	\$	127,249	\$	1,145,587
International		63,007		1,347,460	250,419	586,703		37,681		333,175		131,664		23,083		589,714
Total	\$	183,498	\$	7,300,139	\$ 1,239,320	\$ 1,785,060	\$	262,086	\$	1,091,676	\$	344,577	\$	150,332	\$	1,735,301
December 31, 2008																
Domestic	\$	137,021	\$	6,279,851	\$ 962,884	\$ 1,336,203	\$	323,896	\$	1,098,445	\$	268,863	\$	110,146	\$	1,136,266
International		55,075		1,140,142	213,950	545,579		39,157		367,115		129,747		19,780		539,096
Total	\$	192,096	\$	7,419,993	\$ 1,176,834	\$ 1,881,782	\$	363,053	\$	1,465,560	\$	398,610	\$	129,926	\$	1,675,362
December 31, 2007																
Domestic	\$	182,501	\$	6,383,401	\$ 1,159,409	\$ 1,620,744	\$	367,646	\$	1,167,888	\$	339,167	\$	105,283	\$	1,519,963
International		52,218		1,155,303	208,687	558,156		38,946		339,686		126,745		18,633		552,963
Total	\$	234,719	\$	7,538,704	\$ 1,368,096	\$ 2,178,900	\$	406,592	\$	1,507,574	\$	465,912	\$	123,916	\$	2,072,926

SCHEDULE IV - REINSURANCE

Column A	(Column B		Column C		Column D	Column E	Column F		
(Dollars in thousands)	Gross Amount			Ceded to Other Companies		Assumed from Other Companies	 Net Amount	Assumed to Net		
December 31, 2009 Total property and liability insurance premiums earned	\$	808,634	\$	1,495,241	\$	2,471,667	\$ 1,785,060	138.5%		
December 31, 2008 Total property and liability insurance premiums earned	\$	839,251	\$	1,192,850	\$	2,235,381	\$ 1,881,782	118.8%		
December 31, 2007 Total property and liability insurance premiums earned	\$	899,328	\$	1,043,126	\$	2,322,698	\$ 2,178,900	106.6%		