

### **UNITED STATES**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

### FORM 10-Q

<u>N</u>	For the quarterly period		3 OK 15(d) OF THE SECURITIE	S EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
			OR		
		Γ PURSUANT TO SECTION 1 from to	3 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIE	S EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
	Commission File Number	er: 1-12911			
		GRANITE CONS	TRUCTION INCORPORATED		
	S	State of Incorporation: Delaware	1 7	dentification Number: 1239383	
		58 Watson	principal executive offices: 5 W. Beach Street wille, California 95076 (831) 724-1011		
Act o	of 1934 during the preceding		er period that the registrant was rec	ion 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange quired to file such reports), and (2) has been	
File r	required to be submitted ar	nd posted pursuant to Rule 405 of		orate Web site, if any, every Interactive D s chapter) during the preceding 12 months. No \(\sigma\)	
				on-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting g company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange	ţе
Larg	e accelerated filer 🗵	Accelerated filer □	Non-accelerated filer □	Smaller reporting company □	
Indic	ate by check mark whethe	r the registrant is a shell compar	ny (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the	Exchange Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No	
Indic	ate the number of shares o	outstanding of each of the issuer	's classes of common stock, as of J	Tuly 26, 2011.	
	(	Class		Outstanding	
	Common Stoc	k, \$0.01 par value		38,671,914 shares	
	Common Stoc	π, φο.οι pur vurue		50,071,717 SHares	

### **Index**

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. **Financial Statements** Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Item 3. Item 4. Controls and Procedures PART II. OTHER INFORMATION **Legal Proceedings** Item 1. Item 1A. Risk Factors Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Item 3. **Defaults Upon Senior Securities** Item 4. Reserved Other Information Item 5. Item 6. **Exhibits SIGNATURES** EXHIBIT 31.1 EXHIBIT 31.2 EXHIBIT 32 EXHIBIT 101.INS EXHIBIT 101.SCH EXHIBIT 101.CAL EXHIBIT 101.LAB EXHIBIT 101.PRE

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited - in thousands, except share and per share data)

Short-term marketable securities   78,255   109,447     Receivables, net   283,944   243,986   243,986   10,519     Inventories   51,739   10,519     Inventories   64,727   51,018     Real estate held for development and sale   78,725   75,716     Deferred income taxes   52,714   53,877     Equity in construction joint ventures   87,653   74,716     Other current assets   34,779   42,555     Total current assets   922,605   913,856     Property and equipment, net   446,616   473,607     Long-term marketable securities   49,580   34,259     Investments in affiliates   32,932   31,410     Other noncurrent assets   82,214   82,401     Total assets   \$1,551,947   \$1,535,533   \$1,9      LIABILITIES AND EQUITY     Current maturities of long-term debt   \$8,351   \$8,359   \$1,000     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   179,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,664   129,700     Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings   122,014   120,185     Accounts payable   199,	Current assets Cash and cash equivalents Short-term marketable securities	\$		\$			
Cash and cash equivalents         \$ 190,069         \$ 252,022         \$ 15           Short-term marketable securities         78,255         109,447         243,986         5           Receivables, net         283,944         243,986         5         10,319         5           Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings         51,739         10,51,519         10,51,519         10,51,519         10,51,51,716         10,51,51,716         10,51,51,716         10,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51,519         10,51,51	Cash and cash equivalents Short-term marketable securities	\$		\$			
Short-term marketable securities	Short-term marketable securities	\$		2			
Receivables, net			79 255	Ψ	252,022	\$	208,450
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings   1,739   10,519   10,1019   10,1	Pagaiyahlas nat		10,233		109,447		73,566
Inventories   64,727   51,018   Real estate held for development and sale   78,725   75,716   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,716   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,877   52,714   53,875   52,874	Necesyables, net		283,944		243,986		295,779
Real estate held for development and sale         78,725         75,716           Deferred income taxes         52,714         53,877           Equity in construction joint ventures         87,653         74,716           Other current assets         34,779         42,555           Total current assets         922,605         913,856         9           Property and equipment, net         464,616         473,607         1           Long-term marketable securities         49,580         34,259           Investments in affiliates         32,932         31,410           Other noncurrent assets         82,214         82,401           Total assets         1,551,947         1,535,533         1,4           Current instruities of long-term debt         8,151         8,859         8           Current maturities of long-term debt         8,851         8,859         8           Current maturities of one-recourse debt         16,454         29,760         29,760           Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings         122,014         120,185           Accounts payable         156,727         150,773         150,773           Total current liabilities         208,519         217,014         25,337           Long-term debt	Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings		51,739		10,519		56,665
Deferred income taxes	Inventories		64,727		51,018		48,529
Equity in construction joint ventures	Real estate held for development and sale		78,725		75,716		148,897
Other current assets         34,779         42,555           Total current assets         922,605         913,856         9           Property and equipment, net         464,616         473,607         3           Long-term marketable securities         49,580         34,259           Investments in affiliates         32,932         31,410           Other noncurrent assets         82,214         82,401           Total assets         1,551,947         1,535,533         1,3           LIABILITIES AND EQUITY         VIII.         VIII.         VIII.         VIII.         VIII.         VIII.         8,351         \$ 8,351         \$ 8,359	Deferred income taxes		52,714		53,877		31,870
Total current assets   922,605   913,856   Property and equipment, net   464,616   473,607   1.	Equity in construction joint ventures		87,653		74,716		72,571
Property and equipment, net	Other current assets		34,779		42,555		39,031
Long-term marketable securities         49,580         34,259           Investments in affiliates         32,932         31,410           Other noncurrent assets         82,214         82,401           Total assets         \$ 1,551,947         \$ 1,535,533         \$ 1,5           LIABILITIES AND EQUITY           Current liabilities           Current maturities of long-term debt         \$ 8,351         \$ 8,359         \$           Current maturities of non-recourse debt         16,454         29,760         29,760           Accounts payable         179,664         129,700         120,104         120,108         120,104         120,108         120,703         120,703         120,703         120,104         120,108         120,703 <td>Total current assets</td> <td></td> <td>922,605</td> <td></td> <td>913,856</td> <td></td> <td>975,358</td>	Total current assets		922,605		913,856		975,358
Investments in affiliates	Property and equipment, net		464,616		473,607		501,258
Other noncurrent assets         8,2,14         8,401           Total assets         1,551,947         1,535,533         1,7           LIABILITIES AND EQUITY           Current maturities of long-term debt         \$ 8,351         \$ 8,359         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259         \$ 8,259	Long-term marketable securities		49,580		34,259		68,291
Total assets   \$ 1,551,947 \$ 1,535,533 \$ 1,4	Investments in affiliates		32,932		31,410		31,210
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	Other noncurrent assets		82,214		82,401		79,060
Current liabilities         \$ 8,351         \$ 8,359         \$           Current maturities of long-term debt         16,454         29,760         29,760         29,760         20,760         20,760         20,760         20,760         20,760         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,700         20,701         20,701         20,701         20,701         20,703         20,701	Total assets	\$	1,551,947	\$	1,535,533	\$	1,655,177
Current maturities of non-recourse debt       16,454       29,760         Accounts payable       179,664       129,700         Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings       122,014       120,185         Accrued expenses and other current liabilities       156,727       150,773         Total current liabilities       483,210       438,777       150,773         Long-term debt       208,519       217,014       217,014       22,014       22,014       22,014       22,014       23,017       23,017       23,017       23,017       24,014       22,014       24,014	-						
Accounts payable 179,664 129,700  Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings 122,014 120,185  Accrued expenses and other current liabilities 156,727 150,773  Total current liabilities 483,210 438,777 1  Long-term debt 208,519 217,014 2  Long-term non-recourse debt 28,907 25,337 Other long-term liabilities 46,460 47,996 Deferred income taxes 10,983 10,774 Commitments and contingencies  Equity Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010 387 387 Additional paid-in capital 105,287 104,232 Retained earnings 642,228 656,412 67 Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity 747,902 761,031 Noncontrolling interests 25,966 34,604 Total equity 773,868 795,635	Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	8,351	\$	8,359	\$	8,538
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings       122,014       120,185         Accrued expenses and other current liabilities       156,727       150,773         Total current liabilities       483,210       438,777       3         Long-term debt       208,519       217,014       2         Long-term non-recourse debt       28,907       25,337         Other long-term liabilities       46,460       47,996         Deferred income taxes       10,983       10,774         Commitments and contingencies       10,983       10,774         Equity       Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       —       —         Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010       387       387         Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635	Current maturities of non-recourse debt		16,454		29,760		39,657
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities   156,727   150,773     Total current liabilities   483,210   438,777   150,773     Long-term debt   208,519   217,014   217,01	* *				129,700		173,637
Total current liabilities         483,210         433,777           Long-term debt         208,519         217,014           Long-term non-recourse debt         28,907         25,337           Other long-term liabilities         46,460         47,996           Deferred income taxes         10,983         10,774           Commitments and contingencies         Equity           Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding         —         —           Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010         387         387           Additional paid-in capital         105,287         104,232         656,412         6           Retained earnings         642,228         656,412         6           Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity         747,902         761,031           Noncontrolling interests         25,966         34,604           Total equity         773,868         795,635			122,014		120,185		144,935
Long-term debt       208,519       217,014       2         Long-term non-recourse debt       28,907       25,337         Other long-term liabilities       46,460       47,996         Deferred income taxes       10,983       10,774         Commitments and contingencies       Equity         Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       —       —         Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010       387       387         Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232       656,412       6         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412       6         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635	1						161,632
Long-term non-recourse debt       28,907       25,337         Other long-term liabilities       46,460       47,996         Deferred income taxes       10,983       10,774         Commitments and contingencies         Equity         Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       —       —         Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010       387       387         Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635	Total current liabilities		483,210		438,777		528,399
Other long-term liabilities       46,460       47,996         Deferred income taxes       10,983       10,774         Commitments and contingencies       Equity         Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	-		208,519		217,014		216,870
Deferred income taxes	<del>_</del>						16,615
Commitments and contingencies         Equity       Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       —       —       —         Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010       387       387         Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412       6         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031       701,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635       34,604	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				47,996		49,197
Equity       Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       —       —       —         Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010       387       387         Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635			10,983		10,774		27,905
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding       —       —       —         Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010       387       387         Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635	•						
issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity  Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity  Total equity	Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none		_		_		_
Additional paid-in capital       105,287       104,232         Retained earnings       642,228       656,412         Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity       747,902       761,031         Noncontrolling interests       25,966       34,604         Total equity       773,868       795,635	issued and outstanding 38,667,457 shares as of June 30, 2011, 38,745,542 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 38,788,581 shares		387		387		388
Retained earnings         642,228         656,412           Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity         747,902         761,031           Noncontrolling interests         25,966         34,604           Total equity         773,868         795,635							98,142
Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity 747,902 761,031  Noncontrolling interests 25,966 34,604  Total equity 773,868 795,635	· ·						677,873
Noncontrolling interests         25,966         34,604           Total equity         773,868         795,635							776,403
Total equity 773,868 795,635	•		*		*		39,788
							816,191
Total liabilities and equity \$ 1,551,947 \$ 1,535,533 \$ 1,		Φ	•	¢		¢.	1,655,177

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited - in thousands, except per share data)

	Thi	ree Months I	Ende		Six	Months Er	nde	d June 30,	
		2011		2010		2011		2010	
Revenue									
Construction	\$	260,600	\$	237,943	\$	353,292	\$	319,129	
Large project construction		162,338		153,328		300,158		259,653	
Construction materials		58,114		61,089		81,912		87,253	
Real estate		3,622		1,844		6,043		8,852	
Total revenue		484,674		454,204		741,405		674,887	
Cost of revenue									
Construction		237,211		215,042		324,350		294,382	
Large project construction		149,680		131,493		256,202		228,335	
Construction materials		49,644		56,609		80,712		89,898	
Real estate		3,183		1,362		5,197		6,860	
Total cost of revenue		439,718		404,506		666,461		619,475	
Gross profit		44,956		49,698		74,944		55,412	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		38,793		51,357		82,165		106,649	
Gain on sales of property and equipment		3,270		3,800		5,974		8,252	
Operating income (loss)		9,433		2,141		(1,247)		(42,985	
Other expense									
Interest income		575		1,098		1,819		2,037	
Interest expense		(879)		(3,013)		(4,235)		(6,747	
Equity in loss of affiliates		(181)		(387)		(438)		(706	
Other (expense) income, net		(688)		1,934		(118)		4,831	
Total other expense		(1,173)		(368)		(2,972)		(585	
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes		8,260		1,773		(4,219)		(43,570	
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes		2,087		4,406		(3,136)		(3,207	
Net income (loss)		6,173		(2,633)		(1,083)		(40,363	
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests		(1,227)		(4,058)		(2,978)		(7,282	
Net income (loss) attributable to Granite Construction Incorporated	\$	4,946	\$	(6,691)	\$	(4,061)	\$	(47,645	
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders (see Note 14)									
Basic	\$	0.13	\$	(0.18)	\$	(0.11)	\$	(1.26	
Diluted	\$	0.13	\$	(0.18)	\$	(0.11)	\$	(1.26	
Weighted average shares of common stock									
Basic		38,140		37,850		38,052		37,770	
Diluted		38,479		37,850		38,052		37,770	
Bilatea		50,175		57,050		50,052		2,,,,,	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(*Unaudited - in thousands*)

Operating activities  Net loss  Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:  Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$	(1,083) \$	(40.262)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	\$	(1,083) \$	(40.2(2)
· · ·			(40,363)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization			
		30,464	35,839
Gain on sales of property and equipment		(5,974)	(8,252)
Stock-based compensation		5,913	6,885
Gain on company owned life insurance		(536)	(1,748)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects of consolidations:			
Receivables		(36,910)	(16,396)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net		(39,391)	(57,152)
Inventories		(13,709)	(2,729)
Real estate held for development and sale		(1,159)	(6,245)
Equity in construction joint ventures		(12,937)	(5,491)
Other assets, net		5,680	12,691
Accounts payable		49,964	42,066
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities, net		2,942	1,977
Net cash used in operating activities		(16,736)	(38,918)
Investing activities			
Purchases of marketable securities		(65,287)	(60,073)
Maturities of marketable securities		58,375	24,900
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities		19,268	10,000
Proceeds from company owned life insurance		_	2,078
Additions to property and equipment		(27,542)	(21,809)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment		10,266	11,936
Purchase of private preferred stock		(50)	(6,400)
Other investing activities, net		170	(869)
Net cash used in investing activities		(4,800)	(40,237)
Financing activities			
Long-term debt principal payments		(16,151)	(18,155)
Cash dividends paid		(10,061)	(10,067)
Purchase of common stock		(3,662)	(3,434)
Distributions to noncontrolling partners, net		(11,616)	(19,797)
Other financing activities		1,073	102
Net cash used in financing activities		(40,417)	(51,351)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(61,953)	(130,506)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		252,022	338,956
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	190,069 \$	208,450
Net cash used in financing activities  Decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period Cash and cash equivalents at end of period  Supplementary Information	\$		(40,417) (61,953) 252,022
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$	8,812 \$	9,706
Income taxes		240	308
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
	\$	4,598 \$	6,908
Restricted stock/units issued, net of forfeitures	Φ	.,	
Restricted stock/units issued, net of forfeitures Accrued cash dividends	Ф	5,027	5,043

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

(Unaudited)

### 1. Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Granite Construction Incorporated ("we," "us," "our," "Company" or "Granite") without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted, although we believe the disclosures which are made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Further, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position at June 30, 2011 and 2010 and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The December 31, 2010 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP.

We prepared the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements on the same basis as our annual consolidated financial statements. Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

### 2. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). This ASU clarifies the application of certain existing fair value measurement guidance as well as expands the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs and for assets and liabilities disclosed but not recorded at fair value. This ASU will be effective for our fiscal year end 2011. We do not expect the adoption of this ASU to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. This ASU requires the presentation of comprehensive income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements rather than as a footnote to the condensed consolidated financial statements, where it is currently disclosed. This ASU will be effective for our quarter ending March 31, 2012. Except for the presentation requirement, the adoption of this ASU will not have an impact on our comprehensive income.

(Unaudited)

### 3. Revisions in Estimates

Our profit recognition related to construction contracts is based on estimates of costs to complete each project. These estimates can vary in the normal course of business as projects progress and uncertainties are resolved. We do not recognize revenue on contract change orders or claims until we have a signed agreement; however, we do recognize costs as incurred and revisions to estimated total costs as soon as the obligation to perform is determined. Approved change orders and claims, as well as changes in related estimates of costs to complete, are considered revisions in estimates. We use the cumulative catch-up method applicable to construction contract accounting to account for revisions in estimates. Under this option, revisions in estimates are accounted for in their entirety in the period of change. As of June 30, 2011, we had no revisions in estimates that are reasonably certain to impact future periods.

#### Construction

The net change in project profitability from revisions in estimates, both increases and decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit were net increases of \$1.4 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. There were no revisions in estimates, either increases or decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit during the three months ended June 30, 2010. The net change in project profitability from revisions in estimates, both increases and decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit was a net decrease of \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The projects are summarized as follows:

#### **Increases**

	Thi	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30				
(dollars in millions)		2011		2010		2011		2010		
Number of projects with upward estimate changes		1				2				
Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net	\$	1.4	\$	_	\$	1.4 - 1.5	\$	_		
Increase on project profitability	\$	1.4	\$	_	\$	2.9	\$	_		

The increases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 were due to the settlement of outstanding cost issues and owner directed scope changes.

#### **Decreases**

	Three N	Ionths En	ded June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(dollars in millions)	201	1	2010	2011	2010		
Number of projects with downward estimate changes	'	_		_	1		
Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net	\$	\$	_	\$ —	\$ 1.6		
Decrease on project profitability	\$	— \$	_	\$ —	\$ 1.6		

The decrease during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was due to rework costs to satisfy contract specifications.

(Unaudited)

### Large Project Construction

The net changes in project profitability from revisions in estimates, both increases and decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit was a net decrease of \$0.3 million and a net increase of \$5.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The net changes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 were net increases of \$6.3 million and \$5.9 million, respectively. Amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests were \$0.4 million of the net increase for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$0.5 million and \$1.9 million of the net increases for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. There were no amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The projects are summarized as follows:

#### **Increases**

	Th	ree Months	led June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30				
(dollars in millions)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Number of projects with upward estimate changes		1		3		4		4
Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net	\$	1.3	\$	1.1 - 4.0	\$	1.0 - 4.2	\$	1.0 - 4.2
Increase on project profitability	\$	1.3	\$	6.3	\$	11.0	\$	10.6

The increases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 were due to construction costs lower than anticipated and the resolution of a project claim. The increases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 were due to settlement of design issues with a subcontractor, construction costs lower than anticipated and improved productivity.

#### **Decreases**

	Three	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30				
(dollars in millions)	2	011		2010		2011		2010	
Number of projects with downward estimate changes		1		_	'	2		2	
Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net	\$	1.6	\$	_	\$	2.6 - 3.2	\$	1.8 - 2.9	
Decrease on project profitability	\$	1.6	\$	_	\$	5.8	\$	4.7	

The downward estimate changes during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 were due to lower productivity than originally anticipated. The decreases during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were due to owner directed scope changes as well as site conditions that were different than anticipated.

On a large highway project in mountainous terrain in Oregon, unanticipated ground movement was observed at several hillsides beginning in 2010. In some locations, the ground movements have caused damage to completed portions of bridge structures. Although work on the project is continuing, the corrective work required to complete the project has not yet been determined. The Company and the project owner (Oregon Department of Transportation) are engaged in the contractual dispute resolution process to determine the parties' responsibilities for design issues and which party bears the financial responsibility for the corrective work. At this time, the Company cannot predict the timing of the resolution or reasonably estimate the impact, if any, on the projected financial results for this project. If the required corrective work is determined to be substantial, and the Company is determined to bear the financial responsibility for the corrective work, the Company's results of operations and cash flows for one or more future periods could be materially and adversely affected. Due to the uncertainties described above, no revisions in estimates were made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 related to this project.

(Unaudited)

### 4. Marketable Securities

The carrying amounts of marketable securities were as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2011	Hel	d-to-Maturity		Trading		Total
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$	49,400	\$	_	\$	49,400
Commercial paper		19,986		<u> </u>		19,986
Municipal bonds		5,685		_		5,685
Corporate bonds		3,184				3,184
Total short-term marketable securities		78,255		_		78,255
U.S. Government and agency obligations		40,144				40,144
Municipal bonds		4,091		_		4,091
Corporate bonds		5,345				5,345
Total long-term marketable securities		49,580		_		49,580
Total marketable securities	\$	127,835	\$	_	\$	127,835
December 31, 2010						
	\$	40,047	Φ.		\$	40,047
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$		Þ	_	Þ	
Commercial paper		33,971		_		33,971
Municipal bonds		10,896		_		10,896
Corporate bonds		10,122		14 411		10,122
Equity securities - mutual funds  Total short-term marketable securities		05.026		14,411		14,411
		95,036		14,411		109,447
U.S. Government and agency obligations		30,618		_		30,618
Municipal bonds		3,641				3,641
Total long-term marketable securities	· ·	34,259	Φ.	14 411	¢	34,259
Total marketable securities	\$	129,295	\$	14,411	\$	143,706
June 30, 2010						
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$	30,388	\$	_	\$	30,388
Commercial paper		24,969				24,969
Municipal bonds		13,020		_		13,020
Equity securities - mutual funds		_		5,189		5,189
Total short-term marketable securities		68,377		5,189		73,566
U.S. Government and agency obligations		60,430				60,430
Municipal bonds		7,861		_		7,861
Total long-term marketable securities		68,291		_		68,291
Total marketable securities	\$	136,668	\$	5,189	\$	141,857
Scheduled maturities of held-to-maturity investm	ents were as fo	llows (in thousand	s):			
June 30, 2011						
Due within one year					\$	78,255
Due in one to five years						49,580
Total					\$	127,835

(Unaudited)

### 5. Fair Value Measurement

The following tables summarize each class of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

June 30, 2011 Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using									
(in thousands)		Level 1 <sup>1</sup>		Level 2 <sup>2</sup>	Level 3 <sup>3</sup>	3	Total		
Cash equivalents									
Money market funds	\$	163,058	\$		\$	\$	163,058		
Total	\$	163,058	\$	_	\$	<b>—</b> \$	163,058		

<b>December 31, 2010</b>	Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using											
(in thousands)	 Level 1 <sup>1</sup>	Level 2 <sup>2</sup>			Level 3 <sup>3</sup>	Total						
Cash equivalents												
Money market funds	\$ 226,009	\$	_	\$	— \$	226,009						
Trading securities												
Equity securities - mutual funds	14,411		_		_	14,411						
Total	\$ 240,420	\$	_	\$	<b>—</b> \$	240,420						

June 30, 2010	Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using										
(in thousands)	 Level 1 <sup>1</sup>	Level 2 <sup>2</sup>			Level 3 <sup>3</sup>	Total					
Cash equivalents											
Money market funds	\$ 176,139	\$	_	\$	— \$	176,139					
Trading securities											
Equity securities - mutual funds	5,189		_		<del></del>	5,189					
Total	\$ 181,328	\$		\$	— \$	181,328					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

A reconciliation of money market funds to consolidated cash and cash equivalents is as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Money market funds	\$	163,058	\$	226,009	\$	176,139
Held-to-maturity commercial paper				4,999		19,993
Cash		27,011		21,014		12,318
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	190,069	\$	252,022	\$	208,450

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

(Unaudited)

We believe the carrying values of receivables, other current assets, and other current liabilities approximate their fair values. The fair value of the senior notes payable was based on borrowing rates available to us for long-term loans with similar terms, average maturities, and credit risk. The carrying amount and estimated fair value of senior notes payable, including current maturities, were as follows:

(in thousands)	,	June 30, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010	June 30, 2010		
Carrying amount							
Senior notes payable	\$	216,667	\$	225,000	\$	225,000	
Fair value							
Senior notes payable	\$	240,442	\$	245,911	\$	246,088	

We measure certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we did not record any significant fair value adjustments related to nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

### 6. Receivables, Net

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 201	,
Construction contracts:				
Completed and in progress	\$ 155,807	\$ 121,664	\$	155,343
Retentions	79,598	96,333		88,973
Total construction contracts	235,405	217,997		244,316
Construction material sales	39,074	17,674		39,989
Other	12,605	11,612		15,106
Total gross receivables	287,084	247,283		299,411
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	3,140	3,297		3,632
Total net receivables	\$ 283,944	\$ 243,986	\$	295,779

Included in other receivables at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 were items such as notes receivable, interest receivable, fuel tax refunds and income tax refunds. No such receivables individually exceeded 10% of total net receivables at any of these dates.

Financing receivables consisted of long-term notes receivable and retentions receivable. As of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, long-term notes receivable outstanding were \$2.1 million, \$1.8 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, and primarily related to loans made to employees or unconsolidated affiliates and were included in other noncurrent assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(Unaudited)

We segregate our retention receivables into two categories: escrow and non-escrow and the balances in each category were as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011		cember 31, 2010	June 30, 2010		
Escrow	\$ 38,366	\$	43,841	\$	39,336	
Non-escrow	41,232		52,492		49,637	
Total retention receivables	\$ 79,598	\$	96,333	\$	88,973	

The escrow receivables include amounts due to Granite which have been deposited into an escrow account and bear interest. Typically, escrow retention receivables are held until work on a project is complete and has been accepted by the owner who then releases those funds, along with accrued interest, to us. There is minimal risk of not collecting on these amounts.

Non-escrow retention receivables are amounts that the project owner has contractually withheld that will be paid upon owner acceptance of contract completion. We evaluate our non-escrow retention receivables using certain customer information that includes the following:

- Federal includes federal agencies such as the Bureau of Reclamation, the Army Corp of Engineers, and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The obligations of these agencies are backed by the federal government. Consequently there is minimal risk of not collecting the amounts we are entitled to receive.
- State primarily state departments of transportation. The risk of not collecting on these accounts is small; however, we have experienced occasional delays in payment as states have struggled with budget issues.
- Local these customers include local agencies such as cities, counties and other local municipal agencies. The risk of not collecting on these accounts is small; however, we have experienced occasional delays in payment as some local agencies have struggled to deal with budget issues.
- Private includes individuals, developers and corporations. The majority of our collection risk is associated with these customers. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and generally do not require collateral, although the law provides us certain remedies, including, but not limited to, the ability to file mechanics' liens on real property improved for private customers in the event of non-payment by such customers.

The following table summarizes the amount of our non-escrow retention receivables within each category:

(in thousands)	June 30, December 31, 2011 2010				June 30, 2010		
Federal	\$ 3,421	\$	3,080	\$	1,471		
State	7,928		9,507		11,541		
Local	20,282		29,451		25,668		
Private	9,601		10,454		10,957		
Total	\$ 41,232	\$	52,492	\$	49,637		

(Unaudited)

We regularly review our accounts receivable, including past due amounts, to determine their probability of collection. If it is probable that an amount is uncollectible, it is charged to bad debt expense and a corresponding reserve is established in allowance for doubtful accounts. If it is deemed certain that an amount is uncollectible, the amount is written off. Based on contract terms, non-escrow retention receivables are typically due within 60 days of owner acceptance of contract completion. We consider retention amounts beyond 60 days of owner acceptance of contract completion to be past due. The following tables present the aging of our non-escrow retention receivables (in thousands):

June 30, 2011	Current	0 - 90 Days Past Due	Over 90 Days Past Due	Total
Federal	\$ 3,025	\$ —	\$ 396	\$ 3,421
State	6,951	29	948	7,928
Local	16,294	1,432	2,556	20,282
Private	9,028	222	351	9,601
Total	\$ 35,298	\$ 1,683	\$ 4,251	\$ 41,232

December 31, 2010	Current	0 - 90 Days Past Due	C	Over 90 Days Past Due	Total
Federal	\$ 2,587	\$ 174	\$	319	\$ 3,080
State	4,443	628		4,436	9,507
Local	22,641	2,800		4,010	29,451
Private	9,243	175		1,036	10,454
Total	\$ 38,914	\$ 3,777	\$	9,801	\$ 52,492

June 30, 2010	Current	0 - 90 Days Past Due	Over 90 Days Past Due	Total
Federal \$	738	\$ 311	\$ 422	\$ 1,471
State	9,472	544	1,525	11,541
Local	20,874	1,463	3,331	25,668
Private	9,537	465	955	10,957
Total \$	40,621	\$ 2,783	\$ 6,233	\$ 49,637

Federal, state and local agencies generally require several approvals to release payments, and these approvals often take over 90 days past contractual due dates to obtain. Amounts past due from government agencies primarily result from delays caused by paperwork processing and obtaining proper agency approvals rather than lack of funds. As of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 our allowance for doubtful accounts contained no material provision related to non-escrow retention receivables as we determined there were no significant collectibility issues.

(Unaudited)

### 7. Construction and Line Item Joint Ventures

We participate in various construction joint venture partnerships. We also participate in various "line item" joint venture agreements under which each partner is responsible for performing certain discrete items of the total scope of contracted work.

Our agreements with our joint venture partners for both construction joint ventures and line item joint ventures provide that each party will pay for any losses it is responsible for under the joint venture agreement. Circumstances that could lead to a loss under our joint venture arrangements beyond our stated ownership interest include the failure of a partner to contribute additional funds to the venture in the event the project incurs a loss or additional costs that we could incur should a partner fail to provide the services and resources that it had committed to provide in the joint venture agreement. Due to the joint and several nature of the obligations under our joint venture arrangements, if one of our joint venture partners fails to perform, we and the remaining joint venture partners would be responsible for performance of the outstanding work.

At June 30, 2011, there was approximately \$1.7 billion of construction revenue to be recognized on unconsolidated construction joint venture contracts of which \$0.6 billion represented our share and the remaining \$1.1 billion represented our partners' share. We are not able to estimate amounts that may be required beyond the remaining cost of the work to be performed. These costs could be offset by billings to the customer or by proceeds from our partners' corporate and/or other guarantees.

### **Construction Joint Ventures**

Generally, each construction joint venture is formed to complete a specific contract and is jointly controlled by the joint venture partners. The joint venture agreements typically provide that our interests in any profits and assets, and our respective share in any losses and liabilities resulting from the performance of the contract are limited to our stated percentage interest in the project. We have no significant commitments beyond completion of the contracts. Under our contractual arrangements, we provide capital to these joint ventures in return for an ownership interest. In addition, partners dedicate resources to the ventures necessary to complete the contracts and are reimbursed for their cost. The operational risks of each construction joint venture are passed along to the joint venture partners. As we absorb our share of these risks, our investment in each venture is exposed to potential losses.

We have determined that certain of these joint ventures are variable interest entities ("VIEs") as defined by Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 810, *Consolidation*, and related standards. To ascertain if we are required to consolidate the VIE, we continually evaluate whether we are the VIE's primary beneficiary. The factors we consider in determining whether we are a VIE's primary beneficiary include the decision authority of each partner, which partner manages the day-to-day operations of the project and the amount of our equity investment in relation to that of our partners.

The adoption of the new consolidation requirements under ASC Topic 810 resulted in the consolidation of one construction joint venture in our consolidated financial statements on March 31, 2010 that was previously reported on a pro rata basis. This consolidation resulted in increases of \$2.4 million in assets, \$1.7 million in liabilities and \$0.8 million in noncontrolling interests in our consolidated financial statements. Based on our ongoing primary beneficiary assessments, there were no other changes to our determinations of whether we are the VIE's primary beneficiary for existing construction joint ventures during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

(Unaudited)

### Consolidated Construction Joint Ventures

The carrying amounts and classification of assets and liabilities of construction joint ventures we are required to consolidate are included in our condensed consolidated financial statements as follows:

(in thousands)		June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010			June 30, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	89,666	\$	109,380	\$	105,690
Other current assets <sup>1</sup>		35,183		50,344		36,361
Total current assets	·	124,849		159,724		142,051
Noncurrent assets		11,012		2,561		829
Total assets <sup>2</sup>	\$	135,861	\$	162,285	\$	142,880
Accounts payable	\$	37,229	\$	33,078	\$	32,145
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		41,386		46,475		66,706
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		9,147		11,633		11,125
Total current liabilities	'	87,762		91,186		109,976
Noncurrent liabilities		_		3		505
Total liabilities <sup>2</sup>	\$	87,762	\$	91,189	\$	110,481

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Prior period amounts have been revised to conform to current year presentation. The revisions had no impact on the consolidated balances or on the accounting for consolidated construction joint ventures.

At June 30, 2011, we were engaged in three active consolidated construction joint venture projects with total contract values ranging from \$225.6 million to \$480.4 million. Our proportionate share of the equity in these joint ventures was between 45.0% and 60.0%.

### **Unconsolidated Construction Joint Ventures**

We account for our share of construction joint ventures that we are not required to consolidate on a pro rata basis in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and as a single line item on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of June 30, 2011, these unconsolidated joint ventures were engaged in seven active construction projects with total contract values ranging from \$57.6 million to \$975.4 million. Our proportionate share of the equity in these unconsolidated joint ventures was between 20.0% and 42.5%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The assets and liabilities of each joint venture relate solely to that joint venture. The decision to distribute joint venture cash and cash equivalents and assets must generally be made jointly by all of the partners and, accordingly, these cash and cash equivalents and assets generally are not available for the working capital needs of Granite.

(Unaudited)

Following is summary financial information related to unconsolidated construction joint ventures:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011				June 30, 2010
Assets:					
Total	\$ 588,152	\$	531,319	\$	412,165
Less partners' interest	357,929		324,485		253,234
Granite's interest	230,223		206,834		158,931
Liabilities:					
Total	385,084		364,253		250,868
Less partners' interest	242,514		232,135		164,508
Granite's interest	142,570		132,118		86,360
Equity in construction joint ventures	\$ 87,653	\$	74,716	\$	72,571

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in thousands)		2011		2010	2011			2010		
Revenue:										
Total	\$	224,498	\$	136,592	\$	424,266	\$	258,398		
Less partners' interest <sup>1</sup>		163,932		91,875		290,278		179,635		
Granite's interest		60,566		44,717		133,988		78,763		
Cost of revenue:										
Total		183,130		119,209		334,010		228,384		
Less partners' interest <sup>1</sup>		128,495		75,442		230,359		149,929		
Granite's interest		54,635		43,767		103,651		78,455		
Granite's interest in gross profit	\$	5,931	\$	950	\$	30,337	\$	308		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partners' interest represents amounts to reconcile total revenue and total cost of revenue as reported by our partners to Granite's interest adjusted to reflect our accounting policies.

### Line Item Joint Ventures

The revenue for each line item joint venture partner's discrete items of work is defined in the contract with the project owner and each venture partner bears the profitability risk associated with its own work. There is not a single set of books and records for a line item joint venture. Each partner accounts for its items of work individually as it would for any self-performed contract. We account for our portion of these contracts as project revenues and costs in our accounting system and include receivables and payables associated with our work in our condensed consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2011, we had four active line item joint venture construction projects with total contract values between \$51.9 million and \$152.7 million of which our portions were between \$21.0 million and \$70.0 million. As of June 30, 2011, we had between \$6.5 million and \$49.1 million of revenue per project remaining to be recognized on these line item joint ventures.

(Unaudited)

### 8. Real Estate Entities and Investments in Affiliates

The operations of our Real Estate segment are conducted through our wholly owned subsidiary, Granite Land Company ("GLC"). Generally, GLC participates with third-party partners in entities that are formed to accomplish specific real estate development projects. The agreements with GLC's partners in these real estate entities define each partner's management role and financial responsibility in the project. If one of GLC's partners is unable to fulfill its management role or make its required financial contribution, GLC may assume full management or financial responsibility for the project. This may result in the consolidation of entities that are accounted for under the equity method in our consolidated financial statements. The amount of GLC's exposure is limited to GLC's equity investment in the real estate joint venture.

Substantially all the assets of these real estate entities in which we are participants through our GLC subsidiary are classified as real estate held for development and sale or real estate held for use. All outstanding debt of these entities is non-recourse to Granite. However, there is recourse to our real estate affiliates that incurred the debt. Our real estate affiliates include limited partnerships or limited liability companies of which we are a limited partner or member. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we publicly announced our work in progress on our Enterprise Improvement Plan which includes business plans to orderly divest of our real estate investment business over the next three years subject to market conditions.

GLC receives authorization to provide additional financial support for certain of its real estate entities in increments as they achieve entitlement or development milestones, or to address changes in business plans. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, GLC was authorized to increase its financial support to consolidated land entities by a total of \$12.0 million on three separate projects. This compares to an increase of \$9.7 million on one project for the same period in 2010. The authorization will allow GLC entities to refinance debt and complete entitlements necessary to sell these projects in keeping with the Company's plans to orderly divest its real estate investment business. As of June 30, 2011, \$8.5 million of the total authorized investment had yet to be contributed to the consolidated entities.

We have determined that certain of the real estate joint ventures are VIEs as defined by ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*, and related standards. To ascertain if we are required to consolidate the VIE, we continually evaluate whether we are the VIE's primary beneficiary. The factors we consider in determining whether we are a VIE's primary beneficiary include the decision authority of each partner, which partner manages the day-to-day operations of the project and the amount of our equity investment in relation to that of our partners. Based on our ongoing primary beneficiary assessments, there were no changes to our determinations of whether we are the VIE's primary beneficiary for existing real estate entities during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

On a quarterly basis the carrying amount of each real estate development project is reviewed in accordance with ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, to determine if impairment charges should be recognized. The review of each project includes an evaluation of entitlement status, market conditions, existing offers to purchase, cost of construction, debt load, development schedule, status of joint venture partners and other factors specific to each project to determine if events or changes in circumstances indicate that a project's carrying amount may not be recoverable. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that a project's carrying amount may not be recoverable, the undiscounted future cash flows are estimated and compared to the project's carrying amount. In the event that the estimated undiscounted future cash flows are not sufficient to recover the carrying amount of a project, it is written down to its estimated fair value.

Based on our quarterly evaluations of each project's business plan and our review of each project in accordance with ASC Topic 360, we recorded no significant impairment charges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

(Unaudited)

### Consolidated Real Estate Entities

The carrying amounts and classification of assets and liabilities of real estate entities we are required to consolidate are included in our condensed consolidated financial statements as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011			December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010		
Real estate held for development and sale	\$	78,725	\$	75,716	\$ 148,897		
Other current assets		3,011		2,453	3,893		
Total current assets		81,736		78,169	152,790		
Property and equipment, net		203		3,771	7,894		
Other noncurrent assets		_		1,095	2,081		
Total assets	\$	81,939	\$	83,035	\$ 162,765		
Current maturities of non-recourse debt	\$	15,954	\$	29,760	\$ 39,657		
Other current liabilities		2,045		2,619	4,189		
Total current liabilities		17,999		32,379	43,846		
Long-term non-recourse debt		28,907		25,337	16,615		
Other noncurrent liabilities		313		404	451		
Total liabilities	\$	47,219	\$	58,120	\$ 60,912		

For our consolidated real estate entities, substantially all of the real estate held for development and sale as well as property and equipment are pledged as collateral for the debt of the real estate entities. All outstanding debt of the real estate entities is recourse only to the real estate affiliate that incurred the debt (i.e. the limited partnership or limited liability company of which we are a limited partner or member). Our proportionate share of the profits and losses of these entities depends on the ultimate operating results of the entities.

(Unaudited)

Included in current assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets is real estate held for development and sale. The breakdown by type and location of our real estate held for development and sale is summarized below:

	June 30	, 2011	December	31, 2010	June 30, 2010				
(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Number of Projects	Amount	Number of Projects					
Residential	\$ 55,433	5	\$ 55,289	5	\$ 127,111	6			
Commercial <sup>1</sup>	23,292	7	20,427	5	21,786	5			
Total	\$ 78,725	12	\$ 75,716	10	\$ 148,897	11			
		-				-			
Washington	\$ 46,184	2	\$ 44,598	2	\$ 85,500	2			
California <sup>1</sup>	16,335	8	13,437	6	24,901	7			
Texas	8,859	1	8,859	1	8,916	1			
Oregon	7,347	1	8,822	1	29,580	1			
Total	\$ 78,725	12	\$ 75,716	10	\$ 148,897	11			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The increase in the number of projects from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 is due to the reclassification of two projects from property and equipment to real estate held for development and sale. The reclassifications were due to a change in business plans for the projects in connection with our Enterprise Improvement Plan.

### Investments in Affiliates

We account for our share of unconsolidated real estate entities in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary in other income in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and as a single line item on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as investments in affiliates. At June 30, 2011, these entities were engaged in real estate development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$3.1 million to \$49.0 million. Our proportionate share of the profits and losses of these entities depends on the ultimate operating results of the entities.

Additionally, we have investments in non-real estate affiliates that are accounted for using the equity method. The most significant of these investments is a 50% interest in a limited liability company which owns and operates an asphalt terminal in Nevada.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we entered into an agreement with a corporation that designs and manufactures power generation equipment to create a limited liability company whose purpose is to develop and construct power generation facilities in the western United States. Our investment as of June 30, 2011 was \$1.5 million. Our share of profits and losses depends on the operating results of the company. Although the company is a VIE, we are not the primary beneficiary and, accordingly, we account for it as an equity method investment in other affiliates.

We also have a cost method investment of \$6.5 million as of June 30, 2011 in the preferred stock of a corporation that designs and manufactures power generation equipment.

(Unaudited)

Our investments in affiliates balance consists of the following:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	Г	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Equity method investments in real estate affiliates <sup>1</sup>	\$ 15,865	\$	12,128	\$ 13,798
Equity method investments in other affiliates <sup>1</sup>	10,617		12,882	11,012
Total equity method investments	26,482		25,010	24,810
Cost method investments	6,450		6,400	6,400
Total investments in affiliates	\$ 32,932	\$	31,410	\$ 31,210

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A reclassification of an investment between these categories has been made to the June 30, 2010 amounts to conform to current year presentation. This reclassification did not have a significant impact on our previously reported footnote disclosure.

The breakdown by type and location of our interests in real estate ventures is summarized below:

	June 30	, 2011		December	31, 2010		June 30, 2010				
(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Number of Projects		Amount	Number of Projects						
Residential	\$ 11,391	2	\$	9,029	2	\$	8,894	2			
Commercial	4,474	3		3,099	3		4,904	3			
Total	\$ 15,865	5	\$	12,128	5	\$	13,798	5			
Texas	\$ 15,865	5	\$	12,128	5	\$	13,798	5			
Total	\$ 15,865	5	\$	12,128	5	\$	13,798	5			

The following table provides summarized balance sheet information for our affiliates accounted for under the equity method on a 100% combined basis:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	D	ecember 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Total assets	\$ 152,358	\$	156,868	\$ 163,720
Net assets	79,666		84,368	87,027
Granite's share of net assets	26,482		25,010	24,810

### 9. Property and Equipment, net

Balances of major classes of assets and allowances for depreciation and depletion are included in property and equipment, net on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as follows:

(in thousands)	,	June 30, 2011	D	ecember 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Land and land improvements	\$	124,892	\$	120,342	\$ 124,621
Quarry property		173,055		174,231	172,140
Buildings and leasehold improvements		81,325		85,655	89,209
Equipment and vehicles		772,800		778,443	807,267
Office furniture and equipment		45,840		42,509	39,441
Property and equipment		1,197,912		1,201,180	1,232,678
Less: accumulated depreciation and depletion		733,296		727,573	731,420
Property and equipment, net	\$	464,616	\$	473,607	\$ 501,258

(Unaudited)

### 10. Intangible Assets

The balances of the following intangible assets are included in other noncurrent assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets:

### **Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets:**

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	]	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Goodwill <sup>1</sup>	\$ 9,900	\$	9,900	\$ 9,900
Use rights and other	1,319		1,319	1,319
Total unamortized intangible assets	\$ 11,219	\$	11,219	\$ 11,219

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Goodwill for all periods presented primarily relates to our Construction segment.

### **Amortized Intangible Assets:**

				ccumulated	
June 30, 2011					
(in thousands)	(	Gross Value	A	mortization	Net Value
Permits	\$	29,713	\$	(6,837) \$	22,876
Customer lists		2,198		(1,828)	370
Covenants not to compete		1,588		(1,401)	187
Other		871		(508)	363
Total amortized intangible assets	\$	34,370	\$	(10,574) \$	23,796
December 21, 2010					
December 31, 2010					
(in thousands)					
Permits	\$	29,713	\$	(6,100) \$	23,613
Customer lists		2,198		(1,715)	483
Covenants not to compete		1,588		(1,325)	263
Other		871		(432)	439
Total amortized intangible assets	\$	34,370	\$	(9,572) \$	24,798
June 30, 2010					
(in thousands)					
Permits	\$	33,582	\$	(5,984) \$	27,598
Customer lists		2,198		(1,601)	597
Covenants not to compete		1,588		(1,247)	341
Other		1,082		(567)	515
Total amortized intangible assets	\$	38,450	\$	(9,399) \$	29,051

Amortization expense related to these intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was approximately \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Based on the amortized intangible assets balance at June 30, 2011, amortization expense expected to be recorded in the future is as follows: \$1.0 million for the balance of 2011; \$1.9 million in 2012; \$1.6 million in 2013; \$1.5 million in 2014; \$1.5 million in 2015; and \$16.3 million thereafter.

(Unaudited)

### 11. Restructuring

We had no significant restructuring charges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. During 2011 and beyond, we expect to record between \$2.0 million and \$11.0 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to previously planned additional consolidation efforts and assets to be held-for-sale as part of our Enterprise Improvement Plan. The ultimate amount and timing of future restructuring charges is subject to our ability to negotiate sales of certain assets at prices acceptable to us.

### 12. Covenants and Events of Default

Our debt and credit agreements require us to comply with various affirmative, restrictive and financial covenants. Our failure to comply with any of these covenants, or to pay principal, interest or other amounts when due thereunder, would constitute an event of default under the applicable agreements. Under certain circumstances, the occurrence of an event of default under one of our debt or credit agreements (or the acceleration of the maturity of the indebtedness under one of our agreements) may constitute an event of default under one or more of our other debt or credit agreements. Default under our debt and credit agreements could result in (1) us no longer being entitled to borrow under the agreements, (2) termination of the agreements, (3) the requirement that any letters of credit under the agreements be cash collateralized, (4) acceleration of the maturity of outstanding indebtedness under the agreements and (5) foreclosure on any collateral securing the obligations under the agreements.

As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with the covenants contained in our senior note agreements and Credit Agreement.

Except as noted below, as of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with the covenants contained in our debt agreements related to our consolidated real estate entities, and we are not aware of any material non-compliance by any of our unconsolidated entities with the covenants contained in their debt agreements. As of June 30, 2011, one of our consolidated real estate entities was in default under a debt agreement as a result of their failure to make a timely required principal and interest payment. Subsequent to June 30, 2011, three of our unconsolidated real estate entities defaulted under certain debt agreements as a result of failure to make timely required principal and/or interest payments. Defaults under the debt agreements of one of our unconsolidated real estate entities has subsequently been cured. The affected loans are non-recourse to Granite and these defaults do not result in cross-defaults under other debt agreements under which Granite is the obligor; however, there is recourse to the real estate entity that incurred the debt. The real estate entities remaining in default are currently in discussions with lenders to revise the terms of the defaulted debt agreements.

(Unaudited)

### 13. Weighted Average Shares Outstanding

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net income (loss) per share in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations is as follows:

	Three Months E	nded June 30,	Six Months En	ded June 30,
(in thousands)	2011	2010	2011	2010
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Weighted average common stock outstanding	38,654	38,798	38,683	38,734
Less: weighted average unvested restricted stock outstanding	514	948	631	964
Total basic weighted average shares outstanding	38,140	37,850	38,052	37,770
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding:				
Weighted average common stock outstanding, basic	38,140	37,850	38,052	37,770
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Common stock options and restricted stock units <sup>1</sup>	339		<del></del>	_
Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	38,479	37,850	38,052	37,770

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Due to net losses, stock options and restricted stock units representing 291,000, 119,000 and 115,000 have been excluded from the number of shares used in calculating diluted loss per share, as their inclusion would be antidilutive for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

(Unaudited)

### 14. Earnings Per Share

We calculate earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method by allocating earnings to both common shares and unvested restricted stock which are considered participating securities. However, net losses are not allocated to participating securities for purposes of computing EPS under the two-class method. The following is a reconciliation of net income (loss) attributable to Granite and related weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for purposes of calculating basic and diluted net income (loss) per share using the two-class method:

	Th	ree Months 1	End	ed June 30,	Si	ix Months E	nde	d June 30,
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Basic								
Numerator:								
Net income (loss) attributable to Granite	\$	4,946	\$	(6,691)	\$	(4,061)	\$	(47,645)
Less: net income allocated to participating securities		65						
Net income (loss) allocated to common shareholders for basic calculation	\$	4,881	\$	(6,691)	\$	(4,061)	\$	(47,645)
Denominator:								
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic		38,140		37,850		38,052		37,770
Net income (loss) per share, basic	\$	0.13	\$	(0.18)	\$	(0.11)	\$	(1.26)
Diluted								
Numerator:								
Net income (loss) attributable to Granite	\$	4,946	\$	(6,691)	\$	(4,061)	\$	(47,645)
Less: net income allocated to participating securities		65						_
Net income (loss) allocated to common shareholders for diluted calculation	\$	4,881	\$	(6,691)	\$	(4,061)	\$	(47,645)
Denominator:								
Weighted average common shares outstanding, diluted		38,479		37,850		38,052		37,770
Net income (loss) per share, diluted	\$	0.13	\$	(0.18)	\$	(0.11)	\$	(1.26)

(Unaudited)

### 15. Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 25.3% and 74.3% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and was 248.5% and 7.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The changes from prior year were primarily due to the effect from noncontrolling interests as a percent of net income (loss), as noncontrolling interests are not subject to income taxes on a stand-alone basis. Included in the tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is the recognition and measurement of previously unrecognized tax benefits, partially offset by an immaterial adjustment related to prior periods, both of which are considered discrete items for tax provision purposes. The recognition and measurement of these tax benefits was the result of a favorable settlement of an income tax examination conducted by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was affected by non-taxable life insurance proceeds which were considered a discrete item for tax provision purposes.

### 16. Equity and Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The following tables summarize our equity activity for the periods presented:

(in thousands)	Con	Granite estruction orporated	N	oncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	761,031	\$	34,604	\$ 795,635
Purchase of common stock <sup>1</sup>		(3,662)		<del></del>	(3,662)
Other transactions with shareholders <sup>3</sup>		4,644		<del></del>	4,644
Transactions with noncontrolling interests, net <sup>4</sup>				(11,616)	(11,616)
Comprehensive (loss) income:					
Net (loss) income		(4,061)		2,978	(1,083)
Total comprehensive (loss) income		(4,061)		2,978	(1,083)
Dividends on common stock		(10,050)		<u>—</u>	(10,050)
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$	747,902	\$	25,966	\$ 773,868
(in thousands)					
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	830,651	\$	51,905	\$ 882,556
Purchase of common stock <sup>2</sup>		(3,434)		<u>—</u>	(3,434)
Other transactions with shareholders <sup>3</sup>		6,918		_	6,918
Transactions with noncontrolling interests, net <sup>4</sup>		<del></del>		(19,399)	(19,399)
Comprehensive (loss) income:					
Net (loss) income		(47,645)		7,282	(40,363)
Total comprehensive (loss) income		(47,645)		7,282	(40,363)
Dividends on common stock		(10,087)		_	(10,087)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$	776,403	\$	39,788	\$ 816,191

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Represents 129,415 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares/units granted under our Amended and Restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Represents 120,687 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares granted under our Amended and Restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Amount is comprised primarily of amortized restricted stock and units.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Amount is comprised primarily of distributions to noncontrolling partners.

(Unaudited)

### 17. Legal Proceedings

### Hiawatha Project DBE Issues

The Hiawatha Light Rail Transit ("HLRT") project was performed by Minnesota Transit Constructors ("MnTC"), a joint venture that consisted of our wholly owned subsidiary, Granite Construction Company ("GCCO"), and other unrelated companies. GCCO was the managing partner of the joint venture, with a 56.5% interest. The Minnesota Department of Transportation ("MnDOT") is the contracting agency for this federally funded project. The Metropolitan Council is the local agency conduit for providing federal funds to MnDOT for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General ("OIG") each conducted a review of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise ("DBE") program maintained by MnTC for the HLRT project. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice ("USDOJ") is conducting an investigation into compliance issues with respect to MnTC's DBE Program for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the OIG (collectively, the "Agencies") have initially identified certain compliance issues in connection with MnTC's DBE Program and, as a result, have determined that MnTC failed to meet the DBE utilization criteria as represented by MnTC. Although there has been no formal administrative subpoena issued, nor has a civil complaint been filed in connection with the administrative reviews or the investigation, MnDOT has proposed a monetary sanction of \$4.3 million against MnTC and specified DBE training for personnel from the members of the MnTC joint venture as a condition of awarding future projects to joint venture members of MnTC on MnDOT and Metropolitan Council work. MnTC and its members are fully cooperating with the Agencies and the USDOJ. MnTC has presented its detailed written responses to the initial determinations of the Agencies as well as the investigation by the USDOJ. MnTC, USDOJ, and the Agencies are continuing to engage in informal discussions in an attempt to resolve this matter. Such discussions, if successful, are expected to include resolution of issues with the USDOT and with the state agencies. We cannot, however, rule out the possibility of civil or criminal actions or administrative sanctions being brought against MnTC or one or more of its members which could result in civil and criminal penalties.

### US Highway 20 Project

GCCO and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Northwest, Inc., are the members of a joint venture known as Yaquina River Constructors ("YRC") which is contracted by the Oregon Department of Transportation ("ODOT") to construct a new road alignment of US Highway 20 near Eddyville, Oregon. The project involves constructing seven miles of new road through steep and forested terrain in the Coast Range Mountains. During the fall and winter of 2006, extraordinary rain events produced runoff that overwhelmed installed erosion control measures and resulted in discharges to surface water in alleged violations of YRC's stormwater permit. In June 2009, YRC was informed that the USDOJ had assumed the criminal investigation that the Oregon Department of Justice conducted in connection with stormwater runoff from the project. YRC and its members are fully cooperating in the investigation. We have communicated with the USDOJ about the agency's possible penalties against GCCO. The USDOJ and GCCO will communicate further about the USDOJ's investigation findings, GCCO's response to the findings, and range of possible penalties contemplated by the USDOJ. We do not know whether any criminal charges or civil lawsuits will be brought or against whom, as a result of the USDOJ's continuing investigation. While the amount of any penalties for which we may be responsible has not yet been determined, we expect that any criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment that may result from this investigation will not exceed \$1.0 million.

(Unaudited)

### City of San Diego Fire Debris Cleanup

In the aftermath of the 2007 San Diego County wildfires, GCCO bid for and was awarded a fixed unit price, variable quantity contract with the City of San Diego (the "City") to perform specified debris cleanup work. The City conducted an audit of the project which found that, while some GCCO billings contained mistakes, rates paid to GCCO appear to be generally reasonable. The former San Diego City Attorney later filed a civil lawsuit against GCCO and another contractor that had been awarded a similar cleanup contract with the City. In advance of litigating the claims, GCCO and the City reached a settlement in a mediation session consisting of payment by GCCO to the City made in May of 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement, the City requested dismissal of the lawsuit with prejudice which the court granted in May of 2011. While Granite believes the allegations in the City's complaint to be without factual or legal basis and denies liability to the City, GCCO agreed to settle the lawsuit to avoid protracted litigation. The settlement amount paid by GCCO to the City did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

### Grand Avenue Project DBE Issues

On March 6, 2009, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Inspector General ("OIG") served upon our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Construction Northeast, Inc. ("Granite Northeast"), a United States District Court Eastern District of New York subpoena to testify before a grand jury by producing documents. The subpoena seeks all documents pertaining to the use of a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise ("DBE") firm (the "Subcontractor"), and the Subcontractor's use of a non-DBE lower tier subcontractor/consultant, on the Grand Avenue Bus Depot and Central Maintenance Facility for the Borough of Queens Project (the "Grand Avenue Project"), a Granite Northeast project. The subpoena also seeks any documents regarding the use of the Subcontractor as a DBE on any other projects and any other documents related to the Subcontractor or to the lower-tier subcontractor/consultant. We have complied with the subpoena and are fully cooperating with the OIG's investigation. To date, Granite Northeast has not been notified that it is either a subject or target of the OIG's investigation. Accordingly, we do not know whether any criminal charges or civil lawsuits will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what, if any, criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

### Other Legal Proceedings/Government Inquiries

We are a party to a number of other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. From time to time, we also receive inquiries from public agencies seeking information concerning our compliance with government construction contracting requirements and related laws and regulations. We believe that the nature and number of these proceedings and compliance inquiries are typical for a construction firm of our size and scope. Our litigation typically involves claims regarding public liability or contract related issues. While management currently believes, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate outcome of pending proceedings and compliance inquiries, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse affect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were one or more unfavorable rulings to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and/or liquidity for the period in which the ruling occurs. In addition, our government contracts could be terminated, we could be suspended or debarred, or payment of our costs disallowed. While any one of our pending legal proceedings is subject to early resolution as a result of our ongoing efforts to settle, whether or when any legal proceeding will be resolved through settlement is neither predictable nor guaranteed.

(Unaudited)

### 18. Business Segment Information

Our reportable segments are: Construction, Large Project Construction, Construction Materials and Real Estate.

The Construction segment performs various heavy civil construction projects with a large portion of the work focused on new construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways, bridges, site work and other infrastructure projects. These projects are typically bid-build projects completed within two years with a contract value of less than \$75 million.

The Large Project Construction segment focuses on large, complex infrastructure projects which typically have longer duration than our Construction segment work. These projects include major highways, mass transit facilities, bridges, tunnels, waterway locks and dams, pipelines, canals and airport infrastructure. This segment primarily includes bid-build, design-build and construction management/general contractor contracts, generally with contract values in excess of \$75 million.

The Construction Materials segment mines and processes aggregates and operates plants that produce construction materials for internal use and for sale to third parties.

The Real Estate segment purchases, develops, operates, sells and invests in real estate related projects and provides real estate services for the Company's operations. The Real Estate segment's current portfolio consists of residential, retail and office site development projects for sale to home and commercial property developers or held for rental income in Washington, Oregon, California and Texas. In October 2010, we announced our Enterprise Improvement Plan that includes business plans to orderly divest of our real estate investment business consistent with our business strategy to focus on our core business.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Account Policies contained in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We evaluate segment performance based on gross profit or loss, and do not include overhead and non-operating income or expense. Segment assets include property and equipment, intangibles, inventory, equity in construction joint ventures and real estate held for development and sale.

(Unaudited)

Summarized segment information is as follows:

		Three Months Ended June 30,											
(in thousands)		Construction		Large Project Construction		onstruction Materials	Real Estate			Total			
2011													
Total revenue from reportable segments	\$	260,600	\$	162,338	\$	108,616	\$	3,622	\$	535,176			
Elimination of intersegment revenue		_		_		(50,502)		_		(50,502)			
Revenue from external customers		260,600		162,338		58,114		3,622		484,674			
Gross profit		23,389		12,658		8,470		439		44,956			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		3,847		1,289		7,000		8		12,144			
2010													
Total revenue from reportable segments	\$	237,943	\$	153,328	\$	108,598	\$	1,844	\$	501,713			
Elimination of intersegment revenue		_		_		(47,509)		_		(47,509)			
Revenue from external customers		237,943		153,328		61,089		1,844		454,204			
Gross profit		22,901		21,835		4,480		482		49,698			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		5,110		542		7,453		138		13,243			
				Six Mon	ths	Ended June	30,						

			Six Mon	ths	<b>Ended June</b>	30,		
(in thousands)	Co	nstruction	arge Project Construction	_	onstruction Materials	Real Estate		Total
2011								
Total revenue from reportable segments	\$	353,292	\$ 300,158	\$	139,272	\$	6,043	\$ 798,765
Elimination of intersegment revenue		_			(57,360)		_	(57,360)
Revenue from external customers		353,292	300,158		81,912		6,043	741,405
Gross profit		28,942	43,956		1,200		846	74,944
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		7,925	2,057		14,107		97	24,186
Segment assets		113,922	102,641		382,892		88,316	687,771
2010								
Total revenue from reportable segments	\$	319,129	\$ 259,653	\$	142,318	\$	8,852	\$ 729,952
Elimination of intersegment revenue		_			(55,065)		_	(55,065)
Revenue from external customers		319,129	259,653		87,253		8,852	674,887
Gross profit (loss)		24,747	31,318		(2,645)		1,992	55,412
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		10,626	1,469		15,552		329	27,976
Segment assets		138,207	81,565		378,256		165,081	763,109

A reconciliation of segment gross profit to consolidated income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					x Months E	d June 30,	
(in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Total gross profit from reportable segments	\$	44,956	\$	49,698	\$	74,944	\$	55,412
Selling, general and administrative expenses		38,793		51,357		82,165		106,649
Gain on sales of property and equipment		3,270		3,800		5,974		8,252
Other expense, net		(1,173)		(368)		(2,972)		(585)
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$	8,260	\$	1,773	\$	(4,219)	\$	(43,570)

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Forward-Looking Disclosure

From time to time, Granite makes certain comments and disclosures in reports and statements, including in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or statements made by its officers or directors, that are not based on historical facts, including statements regarding future events, occurrences, circumstances, activities, performance, outcomes and results, that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are identified by words such as "future," "outlook," "assumes," "believes," "expects," estimates," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "appears," "may," "will," "should," "could," "would," "continue," and the negatives thereof or other comparable terminology or by the context in which they are made. In addition, other written or oral statements which constitute forward-looking statements have been made and may in the future be made by or on behalf of Granite. These forward-looking statements are estimates reflecting the best judgment of senior management and are based on our current expectations regarding future events, occurrences, circumstances, activities, performance, outcomes and results. These expectations may or may not be realized. Some of these expectations may be based on beliefs, assumptions or estimates that may prove to be incorrect. In addition, our business and operations involve numerous risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which could result in our expectations not being realized or otherwise materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those more specifically described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and in "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties associated with our forward-looking statements, the reader is cautioned not to place reliance on them. The reader is also cautioned that the forward-looking statements contained herein speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and, except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

### Overview

We are one of the largest diversified heavy civil contractors and construction materials producers in the United States, engaged in the construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways, mass transit facilities, airport infrastructure, bridges, dams and other infrastructure-related projects. We own aggregate reserves and plant facilities to produce construction materials for use in our construction business and for sale to third parties. We also operate a real estate investment and development company. Our permanent offices are located in Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Texas, Utah and Washington. We have four reportable business segments: Construction, Large Project Construction, Construction Materials and Real Estate (see Note 18 of "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements"). In October 2010, we announced our Enterprise Improvement Plan that includes business plans to orderly divest of our real estate investment business consistent with our business strategy to focus on our core business.

Our construction contracts are obtained through competitive bidding in response to advertisements and other general solicitations by both public agencies and private parties and on a negotiated basis as a result of direct solicitation by private parties. Our bidding activity is affected by such factors as the nature and volume of advertising and other solicitations, contract backlog, available personnel, current utilization of equipment and other resources, our ability to obtain necessary surety bonds and competitive considerations. Our contract review process includes identifying risks and opportunities during the bidding process and managing these risks through mitigation efforts such as insurance and pricing. Contracts fitting certain criteria of size and complexity are reviewed by various levels of management and, in some cases, by the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors. Bidding activity, contract backlog and revenue resulting from the award of new contracts may vary significantly from period to period.

Our typical construction project begins with the preparation and submission of a bid to a customer. If selected as the successful bidder, we generally enter into a contract with the customer that provides for payment upon completion of specified work or units of work as identified in the contract. We usually invoice our customers on a monthly basis. Our contracts frequently call for retention that is a specified percentage withheld from each payment until the contract is completed and the work accepted by the customer. Additionally, we defer recognition of profit on projects until they reach at least 25% completion (see "Gross Profit (Loss)" section below) and our profit recognition is based on estimates that change over time. Our revenue, gross margin and cash flows can differ significantly from period to period due to a variety of factors including the projects' stage of completion, the mix of early and late stage projects, our estimates of contract costs and the payment terms of our contracts. The timing differences between our cash inflows and outflows require us to maintain adequate levels of working capital.

The three primary economic drivers of our business are (1) the overall health of the economy, (2) federal, state and local public funding levels, and (3) population growth resulting in public and private development. A stagnant or declining economy will generally result in reduced demand for construction and construction materials in the private sector. This reduced demand increases competition for private sector projects and will ultimately also increase competition in the public sector as companies migrate from bidding on scarce private sector work to projects in the public sector. Greater competition can reduce our revenues and/or have a downward impact on our gross profit margins. In addition, a stagnant or declining economy tends to produce less tax revenue for public agencies, thereby decreasing a source of funds available for spending on public infrastructure improvements. Some funding sources that have been specifically earmarked for infrastructure spending, such as diesel and gasoline taxes, are not as directly affected by a stagnant or declining economy, unless actual consumption is reduced. However, even these can be temporarily at risk as state and local governments struggle to balance their budgets. Additionally, high fuel prices can have a dampening effect on consumption, resulting in overall lower tax revenue. Conversely, increased levels of public funding as well as an expanding or robust economy will generally increase demand for our services and provide opportunities for revenue growth and margin improvement.

Our market sector information reflects three regions defined as follows: 1) California; 2) Northwest, which includes our offices in Alaska, Nevada, Utah and Washington; and 3) East which includes our offices in Arizona, Florida, New York and Texas. Each of these regions includes operations from our Construction, Large Project Construction, and Construction Materials lines of business.

### **Current Economic Environment and Outlook**

Our year-over-year results have improved for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. However, intense competition continues to have a negative impact on construction and large project construction gross margins. In addition, funding issues for public sector infrastructure projects coupled with weak demand for commercial and residential development in many of our markets has had a negative impact on sales of construction materials. While we continue to have a significant amount of work to bid across the country, lower tax revenues, budget deficits, financing constraints and competing priorities have impacted the timing and volume of public infrastructure projects. In addition, the number of new commercial and residential construction projects has been adversely affected by an oversupply of existing inventories of commercial and residential properties, declining property values and subsequent financing restrictions. We expect these challenging conditions to persist throughout 2011, and into 2012, pending improvement in the overall level of economic activity, the level of tax revenue collected by public agencies, the competitive landscape and the availability of financing.

In response to these challenges, we continue to seek opportunities in our traditional markets while leveraging our capabilities and further diversifying into rail, energy and federal government opportunities. Last fall, in response to these challenges, we implemented the Enterprise Improvement Plan to reduce our cost structure. The majority of restructuring charges associated with the Enterprise Improvement Plan were recorded in 2010. During 2011 and beyond we expect to record between \$2.0 million and \$11.0 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to previously planned additional consolidation efforts and assets to be held-for-sale as part of our Enterprise Improvement Plan. The ultimate amount and timing of future restructuring charges is subject to our ability to negotiate sales of certain assets at prices acceptable to us. We had no material restructuring charges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

### **Results of Operations**

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

<b>Comparative Financial Summary</b>	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010		
Total revenue	\$	484,674	\$	454,204	\$	741,405	\$	674,887		
Gross profit		44,956		49,698		74,944		55,412		
Selling, general and administrative expenses		38,793		51,357		82,165		106,649		
Net income (loss)		6,173		(2,633)		(1,083)		(40,363)		
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests		(1,227)		(4,058)		(2,978)		(7,282)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Granite Construction Incorporated		4,946		(6,691)		(4,061)		(47,645)		

### Revenue

<b>Total Revenue by Segment</b>	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,						
(dollars in thousands)	2011		2010	2010		2011		2010	,			
Construction	\$ 260,600	53.8%	\$ 237,943	52.4%	\$	353,292	47.7%	\$ 319,129	47.3%			
Large Project Construction	162,338	33.5	153,328	33.8		300,158	40.5	259,653	38.5			
Construction Materials	58,114	12.0	61,089	13.4		81,912	11.0	87,253	12.9			
Real Estate	3,622	0.7	1,844	0.4		6,043	0.8	8,852	1.3			
Total	\$ 484,674	100.0%	\$ 454,204	100.0%	\$	741,405	100.0%	\$ 674,887	100.0%			

<b>Construction Revenue</b>	Three	Months 1	Ended June 3	30,	Six I	Months End	ed June 30,		
(dollars in thousands)	2011	2011		)	2011		2010		
California:									
Public sector	\$ 112,107	43.0%	\$ 76,741	32.3% \$	155,512	44.1% \$	109,283	34.2%	
Private sector	12,567	4.8	9,791	4.1	22,043	6.2	17,023	5.3	
Northwest:									
Public sector	96,565	37.1	117,271	49.3	119,187	33.7	139,706	43.9	
Private sector	6,439	2.5	6,724	2.8	9,926	2.8	7,414	2.3	
East:									
Public sector	32,127	12.3	27,280	11.4	45,768	13.0	44,360	13.9	
Private sector	795	0.3	136	0.1	856	0.2	1,343	0.4	
Total	\$ 260,600	100.0%	\$ 237,943	100.0% \$	353,292	100.0% \$	319,129	100.0%	

Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$22.7 million, or 9.5%, and \$34.2 million, or 10.7%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010. These increases were due to improved construction activity in our California sector as a result of entering the year with greater contract backlog. An unusually wet spring and runoff from a heavy snowpack had a negative impact on construction revenue in the Northwest.

Large Project Construction Revenue <sup>1</sup>	Thre	Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months En									
(dollars in thousands)	201	1	201	0	2011		2010				
California	\$ 17,017	10.5%	\$ 14,812	9.7% \$	32,025	10.7% \$	26,798	10.3%			
Northwest	37,393	23.0	14,225	9.3	61,373	20.4	19,987	7.7			
East	107,928	66.5	124,291	81.0	206,760	68.9	212,868	82.0			
Total	\$ 162,338	100.0%	\$ 153,328	100.0% \$	300,158	100.0% \$	259,653	100.0%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For the periods presented, all Large Project Construction revenue was earned from the public sector.

Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$9.0 million, or 5.9%, and \$40.5 million, or 15.6%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010, primarily due to increases in revenue of our Northwest sector partially offset by decreases in our East sector. Revenue in the Northwest was significantly higher in 2011 as a result of work completed on two projects that were awarded in 2010. Revenue decreased in the East in 2011 when compared to 2010 primarily due to projects nearing completion.

Construction Materials Revenue	Three	Months Ende	d June 30,					
(dollars in thousands)	 2011	1	201	0	2011	[	2010	1
California	\$ 38,180	65.7% \$	39,784	65.1% \$	57,074	69.7% \$	60,246	69.0%
Northwest	14,660	25.2	15,491	25.4	16,785	20.5	18,141	20.8
East	5,274	9.1	5,814	9.5	8,053	9.8	8,866	10.2
Total	\$ 58,114	100.0% \$	61,089	100.0% \$	81,912	100.0% \$	87,253	100.0%

Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$3.0 million, or 4.9%, and \$5.3 million, or 6.1%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010. The decreases were primarily due to continued weakness in the commercial and residential development markets.

### **Real Estate Revenue**

Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$1.8 million and decreased by \$2.8 million, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2010. The increase during the three months was due to a land sale in California. The decrease during the six months was attributable to the sale of a commercial property in California during the first quarter of 2010.

### Contract Backlog

Our contract backlog consists of the remaining unearned revenue on awarded contracts, including 100% of our consolidated joint venture contracts and our proportionate share of unconsolidated joint venture contracts. We generally include a project in our contract backlog at the time it is awarded and funding is in place. Certain federal government contracts where funding is appropriated on a periodic basis are included in contract backlog at the time of the award. Substantially all of the contracts in our contract backlog may be canceled or modified at the election of the customer; however, we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past.

The following tables illustrate our contract backlog as of the respective dates:

<b>Total Contract Backlog by Seg</b>	ment						
(dollars in thousands)		June 30, 20	011	March 31, 2	2011	June 30, 2	010
Construction	\$	800,434	38.0% \$	696,055	34.7% \$	594,214	38.3%
Large Project Construction		1,306,961	62.0	1,307,622	65.3	957,080	61.7
Total	\$	2,107,395	100.0% \$	2,003,677	100.0% \$	1,551,294	100.0%

<b>Construction Contract Backlog</b>							
(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2011		March 31, 2	011	June 30, 2010		
California:							
Public sector	\$ 445,686	55.7% \$	383,032	55.0% \$	241,761	40.7%	
Private sector	8,334	1.0	15,295	2.2	10,043	1.7	
Northwest:							
Public sector	282,693	35.3	215,810	31.0	272,565	45.9	
Private sector	18,280	2.3	10,840	1.6	6,465	1.1	
East:							
Public sector	44,555	5.6	70,482	10.1	61,922	10.4	
Private sector	886	0.1	596	0.1	1,458	0.2	
Total	\$ 800,434	100.0% \$	696,055	100.0% \$	594,214	100.0%	

Contract backlog of \$800.4 million at June 30, 2011 was \$104.4 million, or 15.0%, higher than at March 31, 2011 and \$206.2 million, or 34.7%, higher than at June 30, 2010. The increases from March 31, 2011 and from June 30, 2010 were primarily due to improved success rate on bidding activity in California and the Northwest offset by progress on existing projects in the East. New awards during the three months ended June 30, 2011 included a \$27.4 million highway reconstruction project in Alaska and a \$22.6 million highway repaving project in California. The balance in inventory as of June 30, 2011 increased \$13.7 million since December 31, 2010 and \$16.2 million since June 30, 2010 as we have increased production and asphalt purchases to meet the needs of growing construction contract backlog.

Large Project Construction Contract Backlog <sup>1</sup>						
(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 20	011	March 31, 2	2011	June 30, 2	2010
California	\$ 170,203	13.0% \$	154,678	11.8% \$	32,568	3.4%
Northwest	520,367	39.8	478,301	36.6	53,868	5.6
East	616,391	47.2	674,643	51.6	870,644	91.0
Total	\$ 1,306,961	100.0% \$	1,307,622	100.0% \$	957,080	100.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For all dates presented, Large Project Construction contract backlog is related to contracts with public agencies.

Contract backlog of \$1.3 billion at June 30, 2011 was \$0.7 million, or 0.1%, lower than at March 31, 2011, and \$349.9 million, or 36.6%, higher than at June 30, 2010. The slight decrease in backlog from March 31, 2011 was primarily due to work completed during the quarter in the East offset by new awards during the second quarter of 2011, including a \$72.0 million design-build project in Nevada. The increase in contract backlog from June 30, 2010 was primarily due to improved success rate on bidding activity in the West, including awards of \$306.3 million for a highway expansion and reconstruction project in Washington, \$220.2 million for a new freeway, transit and trail system in Utah, \$125.9 million for an auxiliary spillway control structure in California and \$77.7 million for a rail project in California. These increases were offset by decreases in the East, primarily due to progress made on large construction projects without offsetting awards.

Noncontrolling interests included in contract backlog as of June 30, 2011, March 31, 2011, and June 30, 2010 is \$210.4 million, \$232.3 million and \$69.7 million, respectively.

### Gross Profit (Loss)

The following table presents gross profit (loss) by business segment for the respective periods:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Si	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(dollars in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010	
Construction	\$	23,389	\$	22,901	\$	28,942	\$	24,747	
Percent of segment revenue		9.0%		9.6%		8.2%		7.8%	
Large Project Construction		12,658		21,835		43,956		31,318	
Percent of segment revenue		7.8		14.2		14.6		12.1	
Construction Materials		8,470		4,480		1,200		(2,645)	
Percent of segment revenue		14.6		7.3		1.5		(3.0)	
Real Estate		439		482		846		1,992	
Percent of segment revenue		12.1		26.1		14.0		22.5	
Total gross profit	\$	44,956	\$	49,698	\$	74,944	\$	55,412	
Percent of total revenue		9.3%		10.9%		10.1%		8.2%	

We defer profit recognition until a project reaches at least 25% completion. In the case of large, complex design/build projects, we may defer profit recognition beyond the point of 25% completion until such time as we believe we have enough information to make a reasonably dependable estimate of contract revenue and cost. Because we have a large number of smaller projects at various stages of completion in our Construction segment, this policy generally does not impact gross profit significantly on a quarterly or annual basis. However, our Large Project Construction segment has fewer projects at any given time; therefore, gross profit can vary significantly in periods where one or more projects reach our percentage of completion threshold and the deferred profit is recognized or, conversely, in periods where contract backlog is growing rapidly and a higher percentage of projects are in their early stages with no associated gross profit recognition.

The following table presents revenue from projects that have not yet reached our profit recognition threshold:

	Th	ree Months	ed June 30,	Six Months Ended June 3				
(in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Construction	\$	35,999	\$	32,675	\$	38,775	\$	34,629
Large Project Construction		74,402		34,386		121,625		59,401
Total revenue from contracts with deferred profit	\$	110,401	\$	67,061	\$	160,400	\$	94,030

We do not recognize revenue from contract claims until we have a signed agreement and payment is assured, nor do we recognize revenue from contract change orders until the owner has agreed to the change order in writing. However, we do recognize the costs related to any contract claims or pending change orders in our forecasts when costs are incurred and revisions to estimated total costs are reflected as soon as the obligation to perform is determined. As a result, our gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary depending on the magnitude and timing of settlement claims and change orders.

When we experience significant contract forecast changes, we undergo a process that includes reviewing the nature of the changes to ensure that there are no material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period rather than as a change in estimate for the current period. In our review of these changes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we did not identify any material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period.

## **Table of Contents**

Construction gross profit remained relatively unchanged for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and increased \$4.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. The increase was due to increased revenues and the revision in estimate on two projects due to the settlement of outstanding cost issues and owner directed scope changes (see Note 3 of "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements").

Large Project Construction gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$9.2 million and increased \$12.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, \$74.4 million of revenue was recognized on projects that have not yet reached our profit recognition threshold compared to \$34.4 million during the same period in 2010. In addition, the decrease in gross profit was due to a net decrease of \$0.3 million from revisions in estimates for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to a net increase of \$6.3 million during the same period in 2010 (see Note 3 of "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements"). The increase in gross profit during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to the recognition of deferred profit on a project in the East that reached the profit recognition threshold in the first quarter of 2011. On a large highway project in mountainous terrain in Oregon, unanticipated ground movement was observed at several hillsides beginning in 2010. In some locations, the ground movements have caused damage to completed portions of bridge structures. Although work on the project is continuing, the corrective work required to complete the project has not yet been determined. The Company and the project owner (Oregon Department of Transportation) are engaged in the contractual dispute resolution process to determine the parties' responsibilities for design issues and which party bears the financial responsibility for the corrective work. At this time, the Company cannot predict the timing of the resolution or reasonably estimate the impact, if any, on the projected financial results for this project. If the required corrective work is determined to be substantial, and the Company is determined to bear the financial responsibility for the corrective work, the Company's results of operations and cash flows for one or more future periods could be materially and adversely affected. Due to the uncertainties described above, no revisions in estimates were made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 related to this project.

Construction Materials gross profit increased \$4.0 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. The increases were primarily due to increased production efficiencies of certain plants to meet growing Construction backlog.

Real Estate gross profit was \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to gross profit of \$0.5 million and \$2.0 million in the same periods of 2010. The decrease is primarily due to the sale of a commercial property in California during the first quarter of 2010 and limited sales activity through the first half of 2011.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table presents the components of selling, general and administrative expenses for the respective periods:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,				
(dollars in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Selling								
Salaries and related expenses	\$	7,986	\$	12,484	\$	18,776	\$	26,254
Other selling expenses		2,882		2,646		4,539		5,422
Total selling		10,868		15,130		23,315		31,676
General and administrative								
Salaries and related expenses		11,281		16,834		26,221		37,534
Restricted stock amortization		2,594		3,339		5,584		6,380
Other general and administrative expenses		14,050		16,054		27,045		31,059
Total general and administrative		27,925		36,227		58,850		74,973
Total selling, general and administrative	\$	38,793	\$	51,357	\$	82,165	\$	106,649
Percent of revenue		8.0%		11.3%		11.1%	)	15.8%

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$12.6 million, or 24.5%, and \$24.5 million, or 23.0%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010.

### Selling Expenses

Selling expenses include the costs of business and aggregate resource development, estimating and bidding. Selling compensation can vary depending on the volume of projects in process and the number of employees assigned to estimating and bidding activities. As projects are completed or the volume of work slows down, we temporarily redeploy project employees to bid on new projects, moving their salaries and related costs from cost of revenue to selling expenses.

Total selling expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$4.3 million, or 28.2%, and \$8.4 million, or 26.4%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010, primarily due to workforce reductions associated with our Enterprise Improvement Plan.

## General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include costs related to our operational offices that are not allocated to direct contract costs and expenses related to our corporate functions. These costs include variable cash and restricted stock performance-based incentives for select management personnel on which our compensation strategy heavily relies. The cash portion of these incentives is expensed when earned while the restricted stock portion is expensed over the vesting period of the restricted stock award (generally three years).

Total general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$8.3 million and \$16.1 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010. Salaries and related expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$5.6 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, when compared to the same periods of 2010 primarily due to the reduction in workforce associated with our Enterprise Improvement Plan, as well as other ongoing efforts to reduce our cost structure. Other general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$2.0 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, when compared to the same periods of 2010 due to our efforts to reduce our cost structure and discretionary spending, including a decrease of approximately \$2.6 million in travel expenses, consulting fees and occupancy during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Other general and administrative expenses include incentive compensation and discretionary profit sharing, information technology, occupancy, office supplies, depreciation, travel and entertainment, outside services, training and other miscellaneous expenses none of which individually exceeded 10% of total selling, general and administrative expenses.

#### Other Expense

The following table presents the components of other expense for the respective periods:

	Th	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Interest income	\$	575	\$	1,098	\$	1,819	\$	2,037
Interest expense		(879)		(3,013)		(4,235)		(6,747)
Equity in loss of affiliates		(181)		(387)		(438)		(706)
Other (expense) income, net		(688)		1,934		(118)		4,831
Total other expense	\$	(1,173)	\$	(368)	\$	(2,972)	\$	(585)

Interest expense decreased \$2.1 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 from the same periods in 2010, respectively, primarily due to an immaterial adjustment related to prior periods. Other (expense) income, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 consists primarily of \$2.9 million related to the sale of an investment in our affiliate and the six months ended June 30, 2010 included a \$1.7 million gain related to life insurance proceeds.

#### Income Taxes

The following table presents the provision for (benefit from) income taxes for the respective periods:

	Th	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(dollars in thousands)		2011		2010		2011		2010
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$	2,087	\$	4,406	\$	(3,136)	\$	(3,207)
Effective tax rate		25.3%	)	248.5%		74.3%		7.4%

We calculate our income tax provision (benefit) at the end of each interim period by estimating our annual effective tax rate and applying that rate to our year-to-date ordinary earnings. The effect of changes in enacted tax laws, tax rates or tax status is recognized in the interim period in which the change occurs.

Our effective tax rate decreased to 25.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from 248.5% for the same period in 2010 and increased to 74.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 7.4% for the same period in 2010. The changes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 from the corresponding periods in 2010 were primarily due to the effect of noncontrolling interests as a percent of net income (loss), as noncontrolling interests are not subject to income taxes on a stand-alone basis. Included in the tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is the recognition and measurement of previously unrecognized tax benefits, partially offset by an immaterial adjustment related to prior periods, both of which are considered discrete items for tax provision purposes. The recognition and measurement of these tax benefits was the result of a favorable settlement of an income tax examination conducted by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was affected by non-taxable life insurance proceeds which were considered a discrete item for tax provision purposes.

# Noncontrolling Interests

The following table presents the amount attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries for the respective periods:

	Thr	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)		2011	2010		2011	2010		
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$	(1,227) \$	(4,058)	\$	(2,978)	\$ (7,282)		

The amount attributable to noncontrolling interests represents the noncontrolling owners' share of the income or loss of our consolidated construction joint ventures and real estate development entities. The balance for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased compared to the same periods in 2010 as activity on joint venture projects neared completion and new consolidated joint ventures have not yet reached profit recognition.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We believe our cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our expected working capital needs, capital expenditures, financial commitments, cash dividend payments, and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through the next twelve months. We maintain a secured revolving credit facility of \$100.0 million primarily to provide capital needs to fund growth opportunities, either internally or generated through acquisition (see "Credit Agreement" section below for further discussion). We do not anticipate that this credit facility will be required to fund future operations. If we experience a prolonged change in our business operating results or make a significant acquisition, we may need to acquire additional sources of financing, which, if available, may be limited by the terms of our existing debt covenants, or may require the amendment of our existing debt agreements.

The following table presents our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, including amounts from our consolidated joint ventures, as of the respective dates:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	D	ecember 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents excluding consolidated joint ventures	\$ 100,403	\$	142,642	\$ 102,760
Consolidated construction joint venture cash and cash equivalents <sup>1</sup>	89,666		109,380	105,690
Total consolidated cash and cash equivalents	190,069		252,022	208,450
Short-term and long-term marketable securities <sup>2</sup>	127,835		143,706	141,857
Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 317,904	\$	395,728	\$ 350,307

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The decision to distribute joint venture cash and cash equivalents must generally be made jointly by all of the partners and, accordingly, such cash and cash equivalents generally are not available for the working capital needs of Granite.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities. We may also from time to time issue and sell equity, debt or hybrid securities or engage in other capital markets transactions.

Our cash and cash equivalents consisted of commercial paper, deposits and money market funds held with established national financial institutions. Marketable securities consist of U.S. government and agency obligations, commercial paper, municipal bonds and corporate bonds. Cash and cash equivalents held by our consolidated joint ventures represent the working capital needs of each joint venture's project. The decision to distribute joint venture cash must generally be made jointly by all of the partners and, accordingly, these funds generally are not available for the working capital or other liquidity needs of Granite.

Our principal uses of liquidity are paying the costs and expenses associated with our operations, servicing outstanding indebtedness, making capital expenditures and paying dividends on our capital stock. We may also from time to time prepay or repurchase outstanding indebtedness, and acquire assets or businesses that are complementary to our operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Note 4 of "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" for the composition of our marketable securities.

Cash Flows (in thousands)	Six Months Ended	l June 30,
	 2011	2010
Net cash used in:	·	
Operating activities	\$ (16,736) \$	(38,918)
Investing activities	(4,800)	(40,237)
Financing activities	(40,417)	(51,351)

Cash used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$22.2 million when compared to the same period in 2010. This decrease was primarily driven by the decrease in net loss and was partially offset by a less favorable change in working capital items in 2011 as compared to 2010.

Cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$35.4 million lower than the same period in 2010 primarily due to a \$37.5 million increase in net proceeds from marketable securities. This increase was partially offset by a \$6.4 million investment made during 2010 in a corporation that designs and manufactures power generation and equipment systems.

Cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$10.9 million compared to the same period in 2010. The primary reason for this change was an \$8.2 million decrease in net distributions to noncontrolling partners.

## **Capital Expenditures**

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we had capital expenditures of \$27.5 million compared to \$21.8 million during the same period in 2010. Major capital expenditures are typically for aggregate and asphalt production facilities, aggregate reserves, construction equipment, buildings and leasehold improvements and investments in our information technology systems. The timing and amount of such expenditures can vary based on the progress of planned capital projects, the type and size of construction projects, changes in business outlook and other factors. We currently anticipate investing up to \$45.0 million in capital expenditures during 2011.

#### **Credit Agreement**

We have a \$100.0 million committed secured revolving credit facility, with a sublimit for letters of credit of \$50.0 million ("Credit Agreement"), which expires on June 22, 2013. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin. LIBOR varies based on the applicable loan term. The applicable margin is based upon certain financial ratios calculated quarterly and was 2.75% at June 30, 2011. Accordingly, the effective interest rate was between 2.94% and 3.48% at June 30, 2011. Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain of our subsidiaries and are secured by first priority liens on substantially all of the assets of Granite Construction Incorporated and our subsidiaries that are guarantors or co-borrowers under the Credit Agreement, excluding any owned or leased real property subject to an existing mortgage. At June 30, 2011, there were no revolving loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement, but there were standby letters of credit totaling approximately \$3.2 million. The letters of credit will expire between October 2011 and March 2012. These letters of credit will be replaced upon expiration.

The most significant restrictive covenants under the terms of our Credit Agreement require the maintenance of a minimum Consolidated Tangible Net Worth, a minimum Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio and a maximum Adjusted Consolidated Leverage Ratio. The calculations and terms of such covenants are defined by Amendment No. 1 of the Credit Agreement filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed December 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2011 and pursuant to the definitions in the Credit Agreement, our Consolidated Tangible Net Worth was \$703.8 million, which exceeded the minimum of \$633.1 million, the Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio was 8.89, which exceeded the minimum of 4.00 and the Adjusted Consolidated Leverage Ratio was 1.79, which did not exceed the maximum of 4.00. The maximum Adjusted Consolidated Leverage Ratio remains at 4.00 through the quarter ending September 30, 2011 and subsequently decreases in 0.25 increments until reaching 3.00 for the quarter ending March 31, 2013.

## Senior Notes Payable

As of June 30, 2011, senior notes payable in the amount of \$16.7 million were due to a group of institutional holders in nine equal annual installments which began in 2005 and bear interest at 6.96% per annum. The most significant covenants under the terms of the related agreement require the maintenance of a minimum Consolidated Net Worth, the calculations and terms of which are defined by the related agreement filed as Exhibit 10.3 to our Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2001. As of June 30, 2011 and pursuant to the definitions in the note agreement, our Consolidated Net Worth was \$747.9 million, which exceeded the minimum of \$658.9 million.

In addition, as of June 30, 2011, senior notes payable in the amount of \$200.0 million were due to a second group of institutional holders in five equal annual installments beginning in 2015 and bear interest at 6.11% per annum. The most significant covenants under the terms of the related agreement require the maintenance of a minimum Consolidated Net Worth, the calculations and terms of which are defined by the related agreement filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed January 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2011 and pursuant to the definitions in the note agreement, our Consolidated Net Worth was \$747.9 million, which exceeded the minimum of \$669.8 million.

# **Surety Bonds and Real Estate Mortgages**

We are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security under certain public and private sector contracts. At June 30, 2011, approximately \$2.0 billion of our contract backlog was bonded. Performance bonds do not have stated expiration dates; rather, we are generally released from the bonds after the owner accepts the work performed under contract. The ability to maintain bonding capacity to support our current and future level of contracting requires that we maintain cash and working capital balances satisfactory to our sureties.

A significant portion of our real estate held for development and sale is subject to mortgage indebtedness. All of this indebtedness is non-recourse to Granite but is recourse to the real estate entities that incurred the indebtedness. The terms of this indebtedness are typically renegotiated to reflect the evolving nature of the real estate projects as they progress through acquisition, entitlement and development. Modification of these terms may include changes in loan-to-value ratios requiring the real estate entities to repay portions of the debt. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we provided funding of \$0.5 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, to our real estate entities to either pay off or refinance certain real estate loans. As of June 30, 2011, the principal amount of debt of our real estate entities secured by mortgages was \$44.9 million, of which \$16.0 million was included in current liabilities and \$28.9 million was included in long-term liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

#### **Covenants and Events of Default**

Our debt and credit agreements require us to comply with various affirmative, restrictive and financial covenants, including the financial covenants described above. Our failure to comply with any of these covenants, or to pay principal, interest or other amounts when due thereunder, would constitute an event of default under the applicable agreements. Under certain circumstances, the occurrence of an event of default under one of our debt or credit agreements (or the acceleration of the maturity of the indebtedness under one of our agreements) may constitute an event of default under one or more of our other debt or credit agreements. Default under our debt and credit agreements could result in (1) us no longer being entitled to borrow under the agreements, (2) termination of the agreements, (3) the requirement that any letters of credit under the agreements be cash collateralized, (4) acceleration of the maturity of outstanding indebtedness under the agreements and (5) foreclosure on any collateral securing the obligations under the agreements.

As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with the covenants contained in our senior note agreements and Credit Agreement.

Except as noted below, as of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with the covenants contained in our debt agreements related to our consolidated real estate entities, and we are not aware of any material non-compliance by any of our unconsolidated entities with the covenants contained in their debt agreements. As of June 30, 2011, one of our consolidated real estate entities was in default under a debt agreement as a result of their failure to make a timely required principal and interest payment. Subsequent to June 30, 2011, three of our unconsolidated real estate entities defaulted under certain debt agreements as a result of failure to make timely required principal and/or interest payments. Defaults under the debt agreements of one of our unconsolidated real estate entities has subsequently been cured. The affected loans are non-recourse to Granite and these defaults do not result in cross-defaults under other debt agreements under which Granite is the obligor; however, there is recourse to the real estate entity that incurred the debt. The real estate entities remaining in default are currently in discussions with lenders to revise the terms of the defaulted debt agreements.

### Share Purchase Program

In 2007, our Board of Directors authorized us to purchase up to \$200.0 million of our common stock at management's discretion. As of June 30, 2011, \$64.1 million was available for purchase. We did not purchase shares under the share purchase program in any of the periods presented.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 2 of the "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" for a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and effects on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, statements of operations and statements of cash flows.

#### **Website Access**

Our website address is www.graniteconstruction.com. On our website we make available, free of charge, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated into, and is not part of, this report. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the website of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, www.sec.gov.

# Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There has been no significant change in our exposure to market risks since December 31, 2010.

# Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management carried out, as of June 30, 2011, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms and that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During the second quarter of 2011, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The description of the matters set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Report under "Note 17 - Legal Proceedings" is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 except for the addition of the following:

Possible further deterioration of the United States economy could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Congress's inability to substantially lower United States debt could result in a downgrade of the United States credit rating and a decrease in government spending, which could negatively impact the ability of government agencies to fund existing or new infrastructure projects. In addition, such actions could have a material adverse effect on the financial markets and economic conditions in the United States as well as throughout the world, which may limit our ability and the ability of our customers to obtain financing. Deterioration in general economic activity and infrastructure spending, as well as other potential consequences of a credit downgrade or Congress's deficit reduction measures could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

# Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we did not sell any of our equity securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The following table sets forth information regarding the repurchase of shares of our common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2011:

Period	Total number of shares purchased <sup>1</sup>	Av	verage price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs <sup>2</sup>
April 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011	3,562	\$	27.88	_	\$ 64,065,401
May 1, 2011 through May 31, 2011	436	\$	27.24	_	\$ 64,065,401
June 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011	1,226	\$	26.54	<del>_</del>	\$ 64,065,401
	5,224	\$	27.51		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of shares purchased is in connection with employee tax withholding for shares/units granted under our Amended and Restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan.

# Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. RESERVED

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In October 2007, our Board of Directors authorized us to purchase, at management's discretion, up to \$200.0 million of our common stock. Under this purchase program, the Company may purchase shares from time to time on the open market or in private transactions. The specific timing and amount of purchases will vary based on market conditions, securities law limitations and other factors. The share purchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice.

## **Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Pursuant to Section 1503 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), operators of a coal or other mine that are also required to file reports under Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act must include in each periodic report filed with the SEC certain information regarding health and safety violations at its mines.

We operate surface mines in the western United States to produce construction aggregates. The operation of our mines is subject to regulation by the federal Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the "Mine Act"). MSHA conducted 17 inspections at 15 of our mines during the three months ended June 30, 2011. There were no reportable citations or orders following 14 of those inspections during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The chart below contains information regarding certain mining safety and health citations or orders that MSHA issued during the three months ended June 30, 2011 associated with our mining operations. With respect to this information, it should be noted that: (i) the number of citations and orders will vary depending on the size of the mine, (ii) the number of citations issued will vary from inspector to inspector and mine to mine, and (iii) citations and orders can be contested and appealed, and in that process, may be reduced in severity and amount or dismissed.

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months End	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011			
Name of Mine	Section 104 <sup>1</sup>	Proposed Assessments <sup>2</sup>			
Highway 175 Quarry	2	\$ 0.3			
Rosemary's Mountain	1	1.2			
Utah Portable Crusher #4	1	0.1			
Total	4	\$ 1.6			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The total number of violations of mandatory health or safety standards that could significantly and substantially contribute to the cause and effect of a coal or other mine safety or health hazard under Section 104 of the Mine Act for which the operator received a citation from the MSHA.

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, with respect to our mines, MSHA did not issue any orders requiring persons to be withdrawn from the areas affected by the alleged violations of mandatory health or safety standards under Section 104 (b) of the Mine Act, did not issue any citations or orders for unwarrantable failure of the mine operator to comply with mandatory health or safety standards under section104(d) of the Mine Act, did not identify any flagrant violations under Section 110(b)(2) of the Mine Act and did not issue any imminent danger orders requiring immediate withdrawal from the affected areas under Section 107(a) of the Mine Act. We did not experience any mining-related fatalities during the three months ended June 30, 2011. Furthermore, during the three months ended June 30, 2011, we did not receive written notice of a pattern of violations of mandatory health or safety standards from MSHA under Section 104(e) of the Mine Act or of the potential to have a pattern of violations of mandatory health or safety standards from MSHA. None of the citations issued during the three months ended June 30, 2011 are the subject of a formal appeal before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The total dollar value of proposed assessments from the MSHA under such Mine Act.

# Table of Contents

# Item 6. EXHIBITS

<u>31.1</u>	İ	Certification of Principal Executive Officer
<u>31.2</u>	<u> </u>	Certification of Principal Financial Officer
<u>32</u>	<u>††</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	††	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	††	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	††	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB	††	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	††	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
	†	Filed herewith

Furnished herewith

# Table of Contents

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

# GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED

Date: August 4, 2011 By: /s/ Laurel J. Krzeminski

Laurel J. Krzeminski Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)