

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

×	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1 For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009	13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
		OR
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1 For the transition period from to	13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	Commission File Number: 1-12911	
	GRANITE CONSTI	RUCTION INCORPORATED
	State of Incorporation: Delaware	I.R.S. Employer Identification Number: 77-0239383
	585 V Watsonvi	ate Administration: W. Beach Street Ille, California 95076 31) 724-1011
1934 dur		ts required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of at the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to
required		nically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data Filation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for est such files). □Yes □No
company		filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting er" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate	by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as	s defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). □ Yes 🗷 No
Indicate	the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's class	sses of common stock, as of July 23, 2009.
	Class	Outstanding
	Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	38,672,977 shares

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT 10.1

EXHIBIT 31.1

EXHIBIT 31.2

EXHIBIT 32

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited - in thousands, except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2009	De	cember 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
ASSETS				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 356,168	\$	460,843	\$ 286,648
Short-term marketable securities	24,878		38,320	88,230
Accounts receivable, net	281,432		314,733	418,657
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	50,891		13,295	51,047
Inventories, net	68,755		55,223	63,930
Real estate held for development and sale	131,169		75,089	50,308
Deferred income taxes	43,314		43,637	44,887
Equity in construction joint ventures	50,215		44,681	42,844
Other current assets	46,719		56,742	66,297
Total current assets	1,053,541		1,102,563	1,112,848
Property and equipment, net	529,805		517,678	526,383
Long-term marketable securities	53,328		21,239	29,706
Investments in affiliates	17,310		19,996	30,502
Other noncurrent assets	80,300		81,979	73,455
Total assets	\$ 1,734,284	\$	1,743,455	\$ 1,772,894
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Current liabilities				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 64,848	\$	39,692	\$ 35,039
Accounts payable	177,025		174,626	237,561
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	184,665		227,364	226,213
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	168,217		184,939	211,907
Total current liabilities	594,755		626,621	710,720
Long-term debt	233,675		250,687	246,493
Other long-term liabilities	46,686		43,604	46,956
Deferred income taxes	17,917		18,261	18,228
Commitments and contingencies				
Equity				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding	-		-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and				
outstanding 38,673,034 shares as of June 30, 2009, 38,266,791 shares as				
of December 31, 2008 and 38,274,588 shares as of June 30, 2008	387		383	383
Additional paid-in capital	89,142		85,035	81,358
Retained earnings	699,050		682,237	608,525
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	-		(146)	(941)
Total Granite Construction Inc. shareholders' equity	788,579		767,509	689,325
Noncontrolling interest	52,672		36,773	61,172
Total equity	841,251		804,282	750,497
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,734,284	\$	1,743,455	\$ 1,772,894

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited - in thousands, except per share data)

	Three	Three Months Ended June 30,		S	Six Months Ended .			
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Revenue								
Construction	\$	403,226	\$	580,943	\$	720,335	\$	983,516
Material sales		57,315		107,289		87,161		158,843
Real estate		534		6,100		951		6,773
Total revenue		461,075		694,332		808,447		1,149,132
Cost of revenue								
Construction		327,016		486,716		573,985		793,562
Material sales		49,280		89,835		81,463		138,891
Real estate		1,534		8,755		1,741		8,959
Total cost of revenue		377,830		585,306		657,189		941,412
Gross profit		83,245		109,026		151,258		207,720
General and administrative expenses		55,669		65,760		109,301		126,411
Gain on sales of property and equipment		2,808		2,155		5,329		2,556
Operating income		30,384		45,421		47,286		83,865
Other income (expense)								
Interest income		1,109		3,593		3,170		9,648
Interest expense		(2,853)		(3,058)		(6,341)		(7,568)
Equity in income (loss) of affiliates		783		528		339		(179)
Other income, net		1,431		184		5,216		8,647
Total other income		470		1,247		2,384		10,548
Income before provision for income taxes		30,854		46,668		49,670		94,413
Provision for income taxes		8,187		13,081		13,016		25,208
Net income		22,667		33,587		36,654		69,205
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest		(4,718)		(7,969)		(9,785)		(30,464)
Net income attributable to Granite Construction Inc.	\$	17,949	\$	25,618	\$	26,869	\$	38,741
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders (see	e Note 12	2)						
Basic	\$	0.46	\$	0.67	\$	0.70	\$	1.00
Diluted	\$	0.46	\$	0.67	\$	0.70	\$	1.00
Weighted average shares of common stock								
Basic		37,584		37,426		37,530		37,782
Diluted		37,699		37,552		37,650		37,862
Dividends per common share	\$	0.13	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	0.26
	Ψ	0.10	Ψ	0	Ψ	0.20	Ψ	0.20

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited - in thousands)

Six Months Ended June 30,		2009		2008
Operating Activities				
Net income	\$	36,654	\$	69,205
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by				
operating activities:				
Impairment of real estate held for development and sale		1,036		4,500
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		39,670		42,428
(Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts, net		(3,386)		1,383
Gain on sales of property and equipment		(5,329)		(2,556)
Change in deferred income taxes		(113)		419
Stock-based compensation		4,561		3,427
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation		(400)		(746)
Gain from trading securities		(187)		-
Equity in (income) loss of affiliates		(339)		179
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest		-		(16,616)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects of acquisition and consolidation	ons:			
Accounts receivable, net		28,679		(17,021)
Inventories, net		(13,532)		(6,671)
Real estate held for development and sale		(8,887)		(5,772)
Equity in construction joint ventures		(5,534)		(8,504)
Other assets, net		9,156		32,203
Accounts payable		2,294		25,939
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities, net		(10,483)		4,725
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		(80,295)		(82,726)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities		(6,435)		43,796
Investing Activities				
Purchases of marketable securities		(39,043)		(28,620)
Maturities of marketable securities		27,610		40,250
Release of funds for acquisition of noncontrolling interest		-		28,332
Additions to property and equipment		(55,659)		(62,528)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment		7,416		8,115
Acquisition of businesses		-		(14,022)
Contributions to affiliates		(4,971)		(4,420)
Other investing activities		439		676
Net cash used in investing activities		(64,208)		(32,217)
Financing Activities				
Proceeds from long-term debt		4,911		2,103
Long-term debt principal payments		(17,475)		(15,032)
Cash dividends paid		(10,003)		(10,103)
Purchase of common stock		(2,821)		(45,468)
Contributions from noncontrolling partners		203		4,744
Distributions to noncontrolling partners		(9,283)		(2,639)
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest		-		(11,716)
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation		400		746
Other financing		36		-
Net cash used in financing activities		(34,032)		(77,365)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(104,675)		(65,786)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		460,843		352,434
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	356,168	\$	286,648
Cash and Cash Equivalents at the Of period	φ	550,100	φ	200,048

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

(Unaudited - in thousands)

Six Months Ended June 30,	2	009	2008
Supplementary Information			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$	9,479	\$ 9,446
Income taxes		3,325	6,852
Non-cash investing and financing activity:			
Restricted stock issued for services, net	\$	19,127	\$ 6,835
Restricted stock units issued		31	3,208
Accrued cash dividends		5,028	4,976
Debt payments from sale of assets		-	2,652

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Granite Construction Incorporated ("we," "us," "our" or "Granite") without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted, although we believe the disclosures which are made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Further, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position at June 30, 2009 and 2008 and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. In preparing these financial statements, we have evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through July 30, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued. The December 31, 2008 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We prepared the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements on the same basis as our annual consolidated financial statements except for the adoptions in the first quarter of 2009 of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements ("SFAS 160"), and Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Staff Position ("FSP") Emerging Issues Task Force Issue ("EITF") No. 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). SFAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest, formerly minority interest, should be reported as equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and requires net income or loss attributable to both the parent and noncontrolling interest be disclosed separately on the face of the condensed consolidated statements of income. SFAS 160 became effective for us on January 1, 2009 and requires prior year amounts related to noncontrolling interest to be reclassified to conform to current year presentation. In addition, SFAS 160 requires a reconciliation of the carrying amount of equity attributable to Granite and the amount of equity attributable to the noncontrolling interest. FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarified that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards which contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends, whether paid or unpaid, shall be included in the number of shares outstanding in our basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") calculations (see Note 12).

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

(Unaudited)

2. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements:

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP 141(R)-1, Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies ("FSP 141(R)-1"). FSP 141(R)-1 amends and clarifies FASB Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations, to address application issues related to initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. FSP 141(R)-1 was effective for us in the first quarter of 2009. We had no business combinations during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The impact on the consolidated financial statements in future periods will be largely dependent upon the size and nature of any future business combinations that we may complete.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP 115-2 and 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* ("FSPs 115-2 and 124-2"), which were effective for us in the first quarter of 2009. The objectives of these FSPs are to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The FSPs do not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance. We did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment on our debt securities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and, therefore, the FSPs did not affect our financial statements or related footnote disclosures. If we recognize any other-than-temporary impairment on our debt securities in the future, these FSPs would provide guidance for footnote disclosures.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board ("APB") 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ("FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1"). FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 amend FASB Statement No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim and annual reporting periods of publicly traded companies. The FSP also amended APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require these disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. We adopted FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 in the second quarter of 2009 and additional disclosure about financial instruments is included in Note 5.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* ("SFAS 165"). SFAS 165 establishes the accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date, that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. The adoption of SFAS 165 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46R ("SFAS 167"). SFAS 167 amends FIN 46R to require ongoing analysis to determine whether a company holds a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity ("VIE"). The amendments include a new approach for determining who should consolidate a VIE, requiring a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis. SFAS 167 also changes when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a VIE. Previously an enterprise was required to reconsider whether it was the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. The new standard requires continuous reassessment of an enterprise's interest in the VIE to determine its primary beneficiary. This statement will be effective for us in 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* ("SFAS 168"). SFAS 168 establishes the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification* ("Codification"), which officially launched July 1, 2009, to become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. Subsequent issuances of new standards will be in the form of Accounting Standards Updates that will be included in the Codification. Generally, the Codification is not expected to change U.S. GAAP. All other accounting literature excluded from the Codification will be considered nonauthoritative. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 and will be effective for us in the third quarter of 2009. Adoption of this standard will change future authoritative accounting literature references included in our financial statements to be in accordance with the Codification.

(Unaudited)

3. Change in Accounting Estimates:

Our profit recognition related to construction contracts in any reporting period is derived from estimates of project revenue and costs. Variations in project profitability due to the impact of estimating project uncertainties are a normal part of our business, and in some cases the effect of these variations on our profitability may be significant. Our gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 includes the effects of significant changes in the estimates of the profitability of certain projects.

Granite West

The net impact of significant changes in the estimates of profitability on Granite West projects was to increase gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 as follows:

	Thi	ree Months Ende	d June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30			
(dollars in millions)		2009	2008		2009	2008	
Increase in gross profit	\$	11.7 \$	24.3	\$	27.5 \$	38.6	
Reduction in gross profit		(0.8)	(2.5)		(1.2)	(4.1)	
Net increase in gross profit	\$	10.9 \$	21.8	\$	26.3 \$	34.5	

Changes in estimates of project profitability on Granite West projects that individually affected gross profit by \$1.0 million or more are summarized as follows:

•	Th	ree Months I	End	ed June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30			
(dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2009	2008	
Number of projects with upward estimate changes		2		5		10	7	
Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net	\$	1.2 - 2.4	\$	1.1 - 10.2	\$	1.0 - 3.3 \$	1.1 - 13.3	
Number of projects with downward estimate changes		-		-		=	1	
Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	1.0	

The increased profitability estimates during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were due to the resolution of certain project uncertainties, higher productivity than originally estimated and the settlement of outstanding issues with contract owners.

(Unaudited)

Granite East

The net impact of significant changes in the estimates of profitability on Granite East gross profit was to increase gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 as follows:

	Thre	ee Months Ende	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(dollars in millions)		2009	2008		2009	2008
Increase in gross profit	\$	9.6 \$	12.1	\$	34.4 \$	56.6
Reduction in gross profit		(1.8)	(3.5)		(3.4)	(10.0)
Net increase in gross profit	\$	7.8 \$	8.6	\$	31.0 \$	46.6

Changes in estimates of project profitability on Granite East projects that individually affected gross profit by \$1.0 million or more are summarized as follows:

	Tł	ree Months	End	ed June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(dollars in millions)		2009		2008		2009		2008
Number of projects with upward estimate changes		4		4		6		5
Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net	\$	1.7 - 3.0	\$	1.6 - 3.0	\$	1.6 - 17.3	\$	1.3 - 30.3
Number of projects with downward estimate changes		-		2		1		3
Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net	\$	-	\$	1.2 - 1.3	\$	1.1	\$	1.4 - 1.8

The increased profitability estimates during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 included resolution of project uncertainties, the settlement of outstanding revenue issues with various contract owners and improved productivity on certain projects. Specifically included in gross profit in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is the results of negotiated claims settlements with contract owners of \$16.0 million and \$28.6 million, respectively.

(Unaudited)

4. Fair Value Measurement:

In 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ("SFAS 157") for financial instruments valued on a recurring basis. The following tables summarize financial assets we measure at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	 Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using							
June 30, 2009	Level 1 ¹	Level 2 ²	Level 3 ³		Total			
Money market funds	\$ 351,204 \$	-	\$ -	\$	351,204			
Trading securities	6,968	-	-		6,968			
Total	\$ 358,172	-	\$ -	\$	358,172			

	 Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using							
December 31, 2008	 Level 1 ¹	Level 2 ²	I	Level 3 ³	Total			
Money market funds	\$ 433,121	\$	- \$	- \$	433,121			
Available-for-sale securities	1,036		-	-	1,036			
Total	\$ 434,157	\$	- \$	- \$	434,157			

	 Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using								
June 30, 2008	Level 1 ¹	Level 2 ²	Level 3 ³		Total				
Money market funds	\$ 293,062 \$	-	\$	- \$	293,062				
Available-for-sale securities	31,219	-		-	31,219				
Total	\$ 324,281 \$	-	\$	- \$	324,281				

¹Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents. Included in short term investments on our condensed consolidated balance sheet are marketable securities for which we do not have the positive intent to hold to maturity and have been designated as trading or available-for-sale securities in accordance with SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. Trading securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in other income, net. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with the unrealized gains and losses, net of income taxes, reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income until realized.

Effective in the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we applied SFAS 157 as it relates to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a non-recurring basis. As of June 30, 2009, nonfinancial assets or liabilities measured at fair value consisted of our asset retirement obligations, which are initially measured at fair value using internal discounted cash flow calculations based upon our estimates of future retirement costs. The adoption of SFAS 157 did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

³Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

(Unaudited)

5. Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments:

We adopted FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 as of June 30, 2009. This guidance requires quarterly fair value disclosures for financial instruments in addition to the annual disclosure. We believe the carrying value of receivables, other current assets, and other current liabilities approximate their fair values.

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of senior notes payable were:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008		
Carrying amount:				
Senior notes payable (including current maturities)	\$ 240,000	\$	255,000	
Fair value:				
Senior notes payable (including current maturities)	\$ 231,692	\$	200,851	

The fair value of the senior notes payable was based on borrowing rates available to us for bank loans with similar terms, average maturities, and credit risk.

(Unaudited)

6. Inventories:

Inventories consist primarily of quarry products valued at the lower of average cost or market.

7. Construction and Line Item Joint Ventures:

We participate in various construction joint venture partnerships. We also participate in various "line item" joint venture agreements under which each partner is responsible for performing certain discrete items within the total scope of contracted work.

Our agreements with our joint venture partners for both construction joint ventures and line item joint ventures provide that each party will assume and pay its share of any losses resulting from a project. If one of our partners is unable to pay its share, we would be fully liable under our contract with the project owner. Circumstances that could lead to a loss under our joint venture arrangements beyond our stated ownership interest include a partner's inability to contribute additional funds to the venture in the event the project incurs a loss or additional costs that we could incur should a partner fail to provide the services and resources toward project completion that had been committed to in the joint venture agreement.

Construction Joint Ventures

Generally, each construction joint venture is formed to accomplish a specific project and is jointly controlled by the joint venture partners. The joint venture agreements typically provide that our interests in any profits and assets, and our respective share in any losses and liabilities that may result from the performance of the contract are limited to our stated percentage interest in the project. We have no significant commitments beyond completion of the contract.

We have determined that certain of these joint ventures are VIEs as defined by FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN 46(R)"), and related FSPs. Under our contractual arrangements, we provide capital to these joint ventures and in return we receive an ownership interest in these entities. Under the "by design model," as specified in FIN 46 (R), these entities' risks are designed to be passed along to the holders of variable interests. As we absorb these risks, our investments in these entities are exposed to potential returns and losses. Typically the determining factor in whether we are the primary beneficiary is the extent of our exposure to variability in the expected cash flows of the entity. Other important criteria that impact the outcome of the analysis are the relationship of activities of the VIE with each party, the significance of the VIE's activity to each of the parties and the amount of equity investment as a percentage of total capitalization.

If we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary, we have consolidated these joint ventures in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The construction joint ventures we have consolidated are engaged in four active projects with total contract values ranging from \$164.9 million to \$487.6 million. Our proportionate share of these consolidated joint ventures ranges from 52.5% to 99.0%.

Consistent with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-01, *Investor Balance Sheet and Income Statement Display under the Equity Method for Investments in Certain Partnerships and Other Ventures*, we account for our share of the operations of construction joint ventures in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary on a pro rata basis in the condensed consolidated statements of income and as a single line item in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The joint ventures in which we hold a significant interest but are not the primary beneficiary are engaged in four active construction projects with total contract values ranging from \$172.7 million to \$1.1 billion. Our proportionate share of equity in these joint ventures ranges from 20.0% to 25.0%.

Line Item Joint Ventures

The revenue for each line item joint venture partner's discrete items of work is defined in the contract with the project owner and each venture partner bearing the profitability risk associated with its own work. There is not a single set of books and records for a line item joint venture. Each partner accounts for its items of work individually as it would for any self-performed contract. We account for our portion of these contracts as project revenues and costs in our accounting system and include receivables and payables associated with our work in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

At June 30, 2009, approximately \$409.0 million of work representing our partners' share of unconsolidated construction joint ventures and line item joint venture contracts in progress had yet to be completed.

(Unaudited)

8. Real Estate Entities and Investments in Affiliates:

We are participants in real estate entities through our Granite Land Company ("GLC") subsidiary. Generally, each entity is formed to accomplish a specific real estate development project. We have determined that substantially all of these entities are VIEs as defined by FIN 46(R) and related FSPs. When we have determined we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, as described in Note 7, we consolidate that entity in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2009, we also had significant interests in VIEs of which we were not the primary beneficiary. We account for our share of the operating results of real estate entities in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary as investments in affiliates in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and in other income (expense) in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

Each quarter, we evaluate whether certain "reconsideration events" have occurred which cause us to reevaluate our conclusions as to whether an entity is a VIE and whether we are the primary beneficiary. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we determined that an entity we had previously accounted for under the equity method required additional capital contributions beyond what had previously been forecasted, and that our partner in that entity was unable to contribute its proportionate share of the additional capital required to complete the project. Consequently, we contributed \$0.6 million to the entity. The need to make this contribution constituted a reconsideration event that caused us to reevaluate our financial interest in the entity. As a result of our reconsideration, we concluded that we had become the primary beneficiary of the entity. Accordingly, we consolidated this entity in our condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2009. This consolidation resulted in an increase of \$44.5 million in current assets, primarily real estate held for development and sale, a decrease in investments in affiliates of \$7.9 million, an increase of \$21.5 million in liabilities, primarily current maturities of long-term debt, and an increase of \$15.1 million in noncontrolling interest.

GLC routinely assists its consolidated and equity-method real estate entities in securing debt financing from various sources. The amount of financial support to be provided by GLC to consolidated VIEs was increased by \$8.2 million in 2009 and by \$7.5 million in 2008. These amounts represent additional financial support as a result of changes in entities' business plans, in the form of current or future cash contributions to the consolidated entities, beyond what GLC had previously committed to provide. As of June 30, 2009, only \$7.5 million of the total increase of \$15.7 million had been contributed to the consolidated entities.

The carrying amounts of all real estate development assets are evaluated for recoverability in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*. Based on our evaluations, we recognized pretax, non-cash impairment charges of \$1.0 million and \$4.5 million during the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, on assets classified as real estate held for development and sale. We recorded the charge in cost of revenue in our condensed consolidated statements of income in our GLC segment.

Our agreements with our partners in our real estate entities define the management role of each partner and each partner's financial responsibility in a residential and commercial project. If one of our partners is unable to make its required contribution or fulfill its management role, we may assume full financial and management responsibility for the project. For entities that are currently accounted for under the equity method, this may result in their consolidation in our financial statements.

(Unaudited)

Consolidated Real Estate Entities

At June 30, 2009, the entities we have consolidated were engaged in residential and commercial development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$0.7 million to \$44.5 million.

The breakdown by type and location of our real estate held for development and sale is summarized below:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2008		
Residential	\$ 116,072	\$ 65,298	\$ 40,601		
Commercial	15,097	9,791	9,707		
Total	\$ 131,169	\$ 75,089	\$ 50,308		
Washington	\$ 77,118	\$ 30,126	\$ 27,973		
California	16,988	11,155	14,274		
Texas	8,306	8,004	8,061		
Oregon	28,757	25,804	-		
Total	\$ 131,169	\$ 75,089	\$ 50,308		

Additionally, at June 30, 2009 we had \$15.4 million in real estate held for use included in property and equipment on our condensed consolidated balance sheet related to consolidated real estate entities. Of the combined total of real estate held for development, sale and use of \$146.6 million, approximately \$140.5 million was pledged as collateral for the obligations of the real estate entities. This debt totaled \$58.0 million at June 30, 2009. Our proportionate share of the results of these entities varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the entities.

Investments in Affiliates

We account for entities where we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary as investments in affiliates. At June 30, 2009, these entities were engaged in real estate development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$6.5 million to \$50.8 million. Our proportionate share of the operating results of these entities varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the entities. At June 30, 2009 we had approximately \$13.4 million recorded on our condensed consolidated balance sheet related to our investment in these real estate entities.

Additionally, we have non-real estate investments in affiliates that are accounted for using the equity method. The most significant of these investments is a 50% interest in a limited liability company which owns and operates an asphalt terminal in Nevada. We have made advances to the asphalt terminal limited liability company of which \$5.0 million remained committed and outstanding at June 30, 2009.

(Unaudited)

Our investments in affiliates balance consists of the following:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009	Dec	ember 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
Equity method investments in real estate affiliates	\$ 13,375	\$	16,308	\$ 21,371
Equity method investments in other affiliates	3,935		3,688	4,960
Total equity method investments	17,310		19,996	26,331
Cost method investments	-		-	4,171
Total investments in affiliates	\$ 17,310	\$	19,996	\$ 30,502

The breakdown by type and location of our interests in real estate ventures is summarized below:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008		June 30, 2008	
Residential	\$ 8,780	\$	11,648	\$ 16,165	
Commercial	4,595		4,660	5,206	
Total	\$ 13,375	\$	16,308	\$ 21,371	
Texas	\$ 13,375	\$	12,283	\$ 12,497	
Oregon	-		-	3,978	
Washington	-		4,025	4,896	
Total	\$ 13,375	\$	16,308	\$ 21,371	

The following table provides summarized balance sheet information for our affiliates on a combined 100% basis, which primarily relate to our real estate affiliates accounted for under the equity method:

	,	June 30,	Dec	cember 31,		June 30,	
(in thousands)		2009		2008	2008		
Total assets	\$	153,525	\$	196,702	\$	214,818	
Net assets		71,102		90,867		102,415	
Granite's share of net assets		17,310		19,996		26,331	

Substantially all the assets of these real estate entities in which we are participants through GLC are classified as real estate held for sale or use. All outstanding debt of these entities is non-recourse to Granite. However, there is recourse to our real estate affiliates that incurred the debt, the limited partnership or limited liability company, of which we are a limited partner or shareholder.

9. Property and Equipment, net:

Balances of major classes of assets and allowances for depreciation and depletion are included in property and equipment, net on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008			June 30, 2008
Land and land improvements	\$ 124,744	\$	119,576	\$	110,592
Quarry property	142,744		141,638		143,185
Buildings and leasehold improvements	96,589		94,579		86,151
Equipment and vehicles	857,430		843,045		848,044
Office furniture and equipment	37,415		35,021		32,188
Property and equipment	1,258,922		1,233,859		1,220,160
Less: accumulated depreciation and depletion	(729,117)		(716,181)		(693,777)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 529,805	\$	517,678	\$	526,383

(Unaudited)

10. Intangible Assets:

The balances of the following intangible assets from our Granite West segment are included in other noncurrent assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets at carrying value:

(in thousands)	,		ecember 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	
Unamortized intangible assets:					
Goodwill	\$ 9,900	\$	9,900	\$	9,900
Use rights	2,954		2,954		2,954
Total unamortized intangible assets	\$ 12,854	\$	12,854		12,854

	_	June 30, 2009								
	_		Accur	nulated						
(in thousands)		Gross Value Amortization Net								
Amortized intangible assets:										
Permits	\$	36,070	\$	(4,593) \$	31,477					
Trade names		158		(43)	115					
Covenants not to compete		1,588		(901)	687					
Customer lists and other		3,122		(1,454)	1,668					
Total amortized intangible assets	\$	40,938	\$	(6,991) \$	33,947					

	December 31, 2008								
		Acc	cumulated						
(in thousands)	Gross Value Amortization Net								
Amortized intangible assets:									
Permits	\$ 36,070	\$	(3,698) \$	32,372					
Trade names	1,583		(1,352)	231					
Covenants not to compete	1,588		(695)	893					
Customer lists and other	3,725		(1,684)	2,041					
Total amortized intangible assets	\$ 42,966	\$	(7,429) \$	35,537					

	June 30, 2008								
		Ac	cumulated						
(in thousands)	Gross Value	An	nortization	Net '	Value				
Amortized intangible assets:									
Permits	\$ 35,570	\$	(2,807)	\$	32,763				
Trade names	1,583		(1,160)		423				
Covenants not to compete	1,588		(490)		1,098				
Customer lists and other	3,725		(1,220)		2,505				
Total amortized intangible assets	\$ 42,466	\$	(5,677)	\$	36,789				

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and approximately \$1.0 million and \$1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. Amortization expense expected to be recorded in the future is as follows: \$1.4 million for the balance of 2009, \$2.5 million in 2010, \$2.3 million in 2011, \$2.2 million in 2012, \$1.9 million in 2013 and \$23.6 million thereafter.

(Unaudited)

11. Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net income per share in the condensed consolidated statements of income is as follows:

	Three Months E	nded June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Weighted average shares outstanding:						
Weighted average common stock outstanding	38,675	38,276	38,503	38,594		
Less: weighted average unvested restricted stock outstanding	1,091	850	973	812		
Total basic weighted average shares outstanding	37,584	37,426	37,530	37,782		
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding:						
Weighted average common stock outstanding, basic	37,584	37,426	37,530	37,782		
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Common stock options and units	115	126	120	80		
Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	37,699	37,552	37,650	37,862		

12. Earnings Per Share:

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, which requires entities to apply the two-class method of computing basic and diluted EPS for awards that accrue cash dividends (whether paid or unpaid) and those dividends do not need to be returned to the entity if the employee forfeits the award. Awards of this nature are considered participating securities and are included in the computation of EPS. FSP EITF 03-6-1 became effective for us on January 1, 2009 and requires retroactive application to all prior period EPS. Unvested restricted stock issued under the Amended and Restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan carries nonforfeitable dividend rights.

EPS under the two-class method is calculated by dividing the sum of earnings allocated to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. In applying the two-class method, earnings are allocated to both common shares and unvested restricted stock, except when in a net loss position.

Diluted earnings per share is computed giving effect to all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and conversion of stock units. Prior to the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1, unvested restricted stock units were included in the calculation of diluted net income per share using the treasury stock method.

(Unaudited)

The following is a reconciliation of net income attributable to Granite and weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for calculating basic and diluted net income per share:

	Tł	ree Months	Ende	d June 30,	Si	x Months I	Ended June 30,	
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		2009		2008		2009		2008
Basic								
Numerator:								
Net income attributable to Granite	\$	17,949	\$	25,618	\$	26,869	\$	38,741
Less: net income allocated to participating securities		500		564		667		804
Net income allocated to common shareholders for basic								
calculation	\$	17,449	\$	25,054	\$	26,202	\$	37,937
Denominator:								
Weighted average common shares outstanding		37,584		37,426		37,530		37,782
Net income per share, basic	\$	0.46	\$	0.67	\$	0.70	\$	1.00
Diluted								
Numerator:								
Net income attributable to Granite	\$	17,949	\$	25,618	\$	26,869	\$	38,741
Less: net income allocated to participating securities		499		562		665		802
Net income allocated to common shareholders for diluted								
calculation	\$	17,450	\$	25,056	\$	26,204	\$	37,939
Denominator:								
Weighted average common shares outstanding		37,699		37,552		37,650		37,862
Net income per share, diluted	\$	0.46	\$	0.67	\$	0.70	\$	1.00

(Unaudited)

13. Equity and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):

The following tables summarize our equity activity for the periods presented, in accordance with the adoption of SFAS 160:

	(Granite		
	Co	nstruction	Noncontrolling	
(in thousands)		Inc.	Interest	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$	767,509 \$	36,773	\$ 804,282
Purchase of common stock ¹		(2,821)	-	(2,821)
Other transactions with shareholders		6,932	-	6,932
Transactions with noncontrolling interest, net		-	6,114	6,114
Comprehensive income:				
Net income		26,869	9,785	36,654
Other comprehensive income		146	-	146
Total comprehensive income		27,015	9,785	36,800
Dividends on common stock		(10,056)	-	(10,056)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$	788,579 \$	52,672	\$ 841,251

	(Granite		
	Co	nstruction	Noncontrolling	
(in thousands)		Inc.	Interest	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$	700,199	23,471	\$ 723,670
Purchase of common stock ²		(45,468)	-	(45,468)
Other transactions with shareholders		7,842	=	7,842
Transactions with noncontrolling interest, net		-	7,237	7,237
Comprehensive income:				
Net income		38,741	30,464	69,205
Other comprehensive (loss)		(2,039)	=	(2,039)
Total comprehensive income		36,702	30,464	67,166
Dividends on common stock		(9,950)	-	(9,950)
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$	689,325	61,172	\$ 750,497

¹Represents 77,683 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares vested.

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 3			
(in thousands)	200	09		2008		2009		2008	
Other comprehensive income (loss):									
Changes in unrealized gain (loss) on investments	\$	-	\$	(407)	\$	238	\$	(3,349)	
Tax (provision) benefit on unrealized gain (loss)		-		159		(92)		1,310	
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	-	\$	(248)	\$	146	\$	(2,039)	

² Includes 75,668 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares vested and 1,364,370 shares purchased under our share repurchase program.

(Unaudited)

14. Legal Proceedings:

Silica Litigation

Our wholly-owned subsidiary Granite Construction Company ("GCCO") is one of approximately 100 to 300 defendants in six active California Superior Court lawsuits. Of the six lawsuits, four were filed against GCCO in 2005 and two were filed against GCCO in 2006, in Alameda County (Dominguez vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.; Guido vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.; Williams vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.; Horne vs. Teichert & Son, Inc.; Kammer vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.; and Solis vs. The 3M Company et al.). Each lawsuit was brought by a single plaintiff who is seeking money damages by way of various causes of action, including strict product and market share liability, and alleges personal injuries caused by exposure to silica products and related materials during the plaintiffs' use or association with sand blasting or grinding concrete. The plaintiff in each lawsuit has categorized the defendants as equipment defendants, respirator defendants, premises defendants and sand defendants. We have been identified as a sand defendant, meaning a party that manufactured, supplied or distributed silica-containing products. Our investigation revealed that we have not knowingly sold or distributed abrasive silica sand for sandblasting, and therefore, we believe the probability of these lawsuits resulting in an incurrence of a material liability is remote. We have been dismissed from eighteen other similar lawsuits.

Hiawatha Project DBE Issues

The Hiawatha Light Rail Transit ("HLRT") project was performed by Minnesota Transit Constructors ("MnTC"), a joint venture that consisted of GCCO and other unrelated companies. GCCO was the managing partner of the joint venture, with a 56.5% interest. The Minnesota Department of Transportation ("MnDOT") is the contracting agency for this federally funded project. The Metropolitan Council is the local agency conduit for providing federal funds to MnDOT for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General ("OIG") each conducted a review of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise ("DBE") program maintained by MnTC for the HLRT project. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice ("USDOJ") is conducting an investigation into compliance issues with respect to MnTC's DBE Program for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the OIG (collectively, the "Agencies") have initially identified certain compliance issues in connection with MnTC's DBE Program and, as a result, have determined that MnTC failed to meet the DBE utilization criteria as represented by MnTC. Although there has been no formal administrative subpoena issued, nor has a civil complaint been filed in connection with the administrative reviews or the investigation, MnDOT has proposed a monetary sanction of \$4.3 million against MnTC and specified DBE training for personnel from the members of the MnTC joint venture as a condition of awarding future projects to joint venture members of MnTC on MnDOT and Metropolitan Council work. MnTC is fully cooperating with the Agencies and the USDOJ and, on July 2, 2007, presented its detailed written response to the initial determinations of the Agencies as well as the investigation by the USDOJ. We have yet to receive a formal reply from the Agencies or the USDOJ, those entities instead preferring to engage in informal discussions in an attempt to resolve the matter. We cannot, however, rule out the possibility of a civil or criminal actions being brought against MnTC or one or more of its members which could result in civil and criminal penalties.

US Highway 20 Project

GCCO and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Northwest, Inc. are the members of a joint venture known as Yaquina River Constructors ("YRC") which is currently constructing a new road alignment of US Highway 20 near Eddyville, Oregon under contract with the Oregon Department of Transportation ("ODOT"). The project involves constructing seven miles of new road through steep and forested terrain in the Coast Range Mountains. During the fall and winter of 2006, extraordinary rain events produced runoff that overwhelmed erosion control measures installed at the project and resulted in discharges to surface water in alleged violations of YRC's stormwater permit. In June 2009, YRC was informed that the USDOJ had assumed the criminal investigation that the Oregon Department of Justice had previously been conducting in connection with stormwater runoff from the project. YRC and its members are fully cooperating in the investigation, but we do not know whether criminal charges or civil lawsuits, if any, will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what if any criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

(Unaudited)

City of San Diego Fire Debris Cleanup

In the aftermath of the 2007 San Diego County wildfires, GCCO bid for and was awarded a fixed unit price, variable quantity contract with the City of San Diego ("the City") to perform specified debris cleanup work. GCCO began work in November 2007 and completed the work in April 2008. In August 2008, the City announced that it would conduct an independent audit of the project. In December 2008, the City's audit report was released with findings that, while some GCCO billings contained mistakes, rates paid to GCCO appear to be generally reasonable. GCCO has reimbursed the City the undisputed overbilled amount of less than \$3,000. The former San Diego City Attorney, after conducting a separate investigation of GCCO's work on the project, filed a civil lawsuit in California Superior Court, County of San Diego on October 17, 2008 against GCCO and the one other contractor that had been awarded a similar cleanup contract with the City. In the complaint, the City alleges that both contractors knowingly presented to the City false claims for payment in violation of the California False Claims Act. The City seeks trebled damages in an amount to be determined, and a civil penalty in the amount of \$10,000 for each false claim made. After the November 2008 election in which a new City Attorney was elected, GCCO and the new City Attorney agreed to suspend the lawsuit to allow the City Attorney time to complete its investigation. GCCO believes the allegations in the City's complaint to be without factual or legal basis and, therefore, the City's entitlement to relief sought under the California False Claims Act is remote.

Grand Avenue Project DBE Issues

On March 6, 2009, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Inspector General ("OIG") served upon our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Construction Northeast, Inc., ("Granite Northeast"), a United States District Court Eastern District of New York subpoena to testify before a grand jury by producing documents. The subpoena seeks all documents pertaining to a Granite Northeast Disadvantaged Business Enterprise ("DBE") subcontractor ("the Subcontractor"), and the Subcontractor's non-DBE lower tier subcontractor/consultant, relating to the Subcontractor's work on the Grand Avenue Bus Depot and Central Maintenance Facility for the Borough of Queens Project (the "Grand Avenue Project"). The subpoena also seeks all documents regarding Granite Northeast's use of the Subcontractor as a DBE on the Grand Avenue Project and all documents related to the Subcontractor as a DBE on any other contract including public works construction. We have complied with the subpoena and are fully cooperating with the OIG's investigation. Although it is now in its early stages, to date, Granite Northeast has not been notified that it is either a subject or target of the OIG's investigation. As a result, we do not know whether criminal charges or civil lawsuits, if any, will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what, if any, criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

Other Legal Proceedings/Government Inquiries

We are a party to a number of other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. From time to time, we also receive inquiries from public agencies seeking information concerning our compliance with government construction contracting requirements and related laws and regulations. We believe that the nature and number of these proceedings and compliance inquiries are typical for a construction firm of our size and scope. Our litigation typically involves claims regarding public liability or contract related issues. While management currently believes, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate outcome of such proceedings and compliance inquiries which are currently pending, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations, cash flows and/or financial position for the period in which the ruling occurs. While any one of our pending legal proceedings is subject to early resolution as a result of our ongoing efforts to settle, whether or when any legal proceeding will resolve through settlement is neither predictable nor guaranteed.

(Unaudited)

15. Business Segment Information:

Based on similar economic characteristics as defined in SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, our three reportable segments are Granite West, Granite East, and Granite Land Company.

Granite West has decentralized branch offices in the western United States that perform various heavy civil construction projects with a large portion of the work focused on new construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways, bridges and airports as well as site preparation for housing and commercial development. Although most Granite West projects are started and completed within a year, the segment also has the capability of constructing larger projects and at June 30, 2009 had six active projects, each with total contract revenue greater than \$50.0 million. All of our revenue from the sale of construction materials is generated by Granite West, which mines aggregates and operates plants that process aggregates into construction materials for internal use and for sale to others. These activities are vertically integrated into the Granite West business, providing both a source of profits and a competitive advantage to our construction business.

Granite East operates out of three regional offices in the eastern portion of the United States. Its focus is on large, complex infrastructure projects, primarily east of the Rocky Mountains, and includes major highways, large dams, mass transit facilities, bridges, pipelines, canals, waterway locks and dams, and airport infrastructure. Granite East construction contracts are typically greater than two years in duration.

GLC purchases, develops, operates, sells and otherwise invests in real estate developments as well as provides real estate services for other Granite operations. GLC's current portfolio consists of residential, retail and office site development projects for sale to home and commercial property developers or held for rental income in Washington, California, Texas, and Oregon.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies contained in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We evaluate performance based on operating profit or loss (excluding gain on sales of property and equipment), and do not include income taxes, interest income, interest expense or other income (expense). Unallocated other corporate expenses principally comprise corporate general and administrative expenses.

(Unaudited)

Summarized segment information is as follows:

			Thr	ee Months	End	ed June 30,	
	Granite			Granite	Gr	anite Land	
(in thousands)	West			East	(Company	Total
2009							
Revenue from external customers	\$	348,294	\$	112,247	\$	534 \$	461,075
Intersegment revenue transfer		10		(10)		-	-
Net revenue		348,304		112,237		534	461,075
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		15,731		1,189		125	17,045
Operating income (loss)		34,909		14,688		(2,240)	47,357
2008							
Revenue from external customers	\$	517,160	\$	171,072	\$	6,100 \$	694,332
Intersegment revenue transfer		303		(303)		-	-
Net revenue		517,463		170,769		6,100	694,332
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		18,039		2,005		7	20,051
Operating income (loss)		56,801		11,691		(3,154)	65,338

			Si	x Months E	nde	d June 30,		
(in thousands)	(Granite West		Granite East	Granite Land Company			Total
2009						1 ,		
Revenue from external customers	\$	545,326	\$	262,170	\$	951	\$	808,447
Intersegment revenue transfer		27		(27)		-		-
Net revenue		545,353		262,143		951		808,447
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		32,652		2,547		301		35,500
Operating income (loss)		41,774		43,084		(2,938)		81,920
Segment assets		478,051		14,827		146,766		639,644
2008								
Revenue from external customers	\$	755,130	\$	387,229	\$	6,773	\$	1,149,132
Intersegment revenue transfer		2,335		(2,335)		-		-
Net revenue		757,465		384,894		6,773		1,149,132
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		35,836		4,176		18		40,030
Operating income (loss)		61,114		63,377		(3,604)		120,887
Segment assets		462,825		22,467		65,664		550,956

A reconciliation of segment operating income to consolidated income before provision for tax is as follows:

	Т	hree Month	s End	ed June 30,	Six Months	ed June 30,	
(in thousands)	<u>-</u>	2009		2008	2009		2008
Total operating income for reportable segments	\$	47,357	\$	65,338	\$ 81,920	\$	120,887
Other income, net		470		1,247	2,384		10,548
Gain on sales of property and equipment		2,808		2,155	5,329		2,556
Unallocated other corporate expense		(19,781)		(22,072)	(39,963)		(39,578)
Income before provision for income taxes	\$	30,854	\$	46,668	\$ 49,670	\$	94,413

(Unaudited)

16. Acquisition:

In January 2008, we purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of a construction materials supplier in Nevada for cash consideration of approximately \$14.0 million. The results of the acquired business's operations are included in our condensed consolidated statement of operations and cash flows from the date of acquisition and were not material. The fair value of the assets acquired approximated the purchase price; therefore, no goodwill was recorded.

17. Share Purchase Authorization:

In 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a plan to purchase, at management's discretion, up to \$200.0 million of our common stock. We did not purchase shares under the share purchase program during the six months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we purchased 1.4 million shares at an average price per share of \$31.65 for a total of \$43.2 million. From the inception of this plan in 2007 through June 30, 2009, a total of 3.8 million shares of our common stock were purchased for an aggregate cost of \$135.9 million. All shares were retired upon acquisition. At June 30, 2009, \$64.1 million of the \$200.0 million authorization was available for additional share purchases.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Disclosure

From time to time, Granite makes certain comments and disclosures in reports and statements, including in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or statements made by its officers or directors that are not based on historical facts and which may be forward-looking in nature. Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, a "safe harbor" may be provided to us for certain of these forward-looking statements. Words such as "outlook," "believes," "expects," "appears," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates" or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, other written or oral statements which constitute forward-looking statements have been made and may in the future be made by or on behalf of Granite. These forward-looking statements are estimates reflecting the best judgment of senior management and are based on our current expectations and projections concerning future events, many of which are outside of our control, and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those more specifically described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Item 1A. Risk Factors." Granite undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason. As a result, the reader is cautioned not to rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are one of the largest heavy civil contractors and producers of construction materials in the United States. We are engaged in the construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways and bridges as well as dams, airport infrastructure, mass transit facilities and other infrastructure-related projects. We produce construction materials through the use of our extensive aggregate reserves and plant facilities. We also operate a real estate development company on a significantly smaller scale. We have three operating segments: Granite West, Granite East and Granite Land Company ("GLC"). Our offices are located in Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Oregon, Texas, Utah and Washington.

Our contracts are obtained primarily through competitive bidding in response to advertisements by both public agencies and private parties and to a lesser extent on a negotiated basis as a result of direct solicitation by private parties. Our bidding activity is affected by such factors as contract backlog, available personnel, current utilization of equipment and other resources, our ability to obtain necessary surety bonds and competitive considerations. Bidding activity, contract backlog and revenue resulting from the award of new contracts may vary significantly from period to period.

The three primary economic drivers of our business are (1) the overall health of the economy, (2) federal, state and local public funding levels, both nationally and locally and (3) population growth with the resulting private development. The level of demand for our services will have a direct correlation to these drivers. For example, a stagnant or declining economy will generally result in a reduced demand for construction in the private sector. This reduced demand increases competition for private sector projects and will ultimately also increase competition in the public sector as companies migrate from bidding on scarce private sector work to projects in the public sector. Greater competition can reduce our revenue growth and/or have a downward impact on gross profit margins. In addition, a stagnant or declining economy tends to produce less tax revenue, thereby decreasing a source of funds available for spending on public infrastructure improvements. There are funding sources that have been specifically earmarked for infrastructure spending, such as diesel and gasoline taxes, which are not as directly impacted by a stagnant or declining economy. However, even these funding sources can be temporarily at risk as state and local governments struggle to balance their budgets. Additionally, high fuel prices can have a dampening effect on consumption, resulting in overall lower tax revenue. Conversely, higher public funding as well as an expanding or robust economy will generally increase demand for our services and provide opportunities for revenue growth and margin improvement.

Our general and administrative costs include salaries and related expenses, incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing, provision for doubtful accounts and other costs to support our business. In general, these costs will increase in response to the growth and the related increased complexity of our business. These costs will vary depending on the number of projects in process in a particular area and the corresponding level of estimating activity. For example, as large projects are completed or if the level of work slows down in a particular area, we will often re-assign project employees to estimating and bidding activities until another project gets underway, temporarily allocating their salaries and related costs from cost of revenue to general and administrative expense. Additionally, our compensation strategy for selected management personnel is to rely heavily on a variable cash and restricted stock performance-based incentive element. The cash portion of these incentives is expensed when earned while the restricted stock portion is expensed over the vesting period of the restricted stock award (generally three to five years).

Results of Operations:

Comparative Financial Summary	T	hree Month	ıs En	ded June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
(in thousands)		2009		2008	2009	2008	
Total revenue	\$	461,075	\$	694,332	808,447	1,149,132	
Gross profit		83,245		109,026	151,258	207,720	
Operating income		30,384		45,421	47,286	83,865	
Other income, net		470		1,247	2,384	10,548	
Provision for income taxes		8,187		13,081	13,016	25,208	
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest		(4,718)		(7,969)	(9,785)	(30,464)	
Net income attributable to Granite		17,949		25,618	26,869	38,741	

Total Revenue		Th	ree Month	s Er	nded June	30,	Six Months Ended June 30,					
(in thousands)	2009 2008				2009			2008				
Revenue by Segment:												
Granite West	\$	348,304	75.6%	\$	517,463	74.5%	\$	545,353	67.5%	\$ 7	757,465	65.9%
Granite East		112,237	24.3		170,769	24.6		262,143	32.4	3	384,894	33.5
Granite Land Company		534	0.1		6,100	0.9		951	0.1		6,773	0.6
Total	\$	461,075	100.0%	\$	694,332	100.0%	\$	808,447	100.0%	\$1,1	49,132	100.0%

Granite West Revenue	Th	ree Month	s Er	ded June	30,	Six Months Ended June 30,					
(in thousands)	2009	9		200	8		2009)	2008		
California:											
Public sector	\$ 134,851	74.2%	\$	159,208	61.4%	\$	210,277	73.0%	\$	231,878	58.3%
Private sector	10,826	5.9		29,153	11.2		21,083	7.3		59,117	14.9
Material sales	36,174	19.9		71,149	27.4		56,737	19.7		106,588	26.8
Total	\$ 181,851	100.0%	\$	259,510	100.0%	\$	288,097	100.0%	\$	397,583	100.0%
West (excluding California):											
Public sector	\$ 134,766	81.0%	\$	190,086	73.7%	\$	211,505	82.2%	\$	261,256	72.6%
Private sector	10,546	6.3		31,727	12.3		15,327	6.0		46,371	12.9
Material sales	21,141	12.7		36,140	14.0		30,424	11.8		52,255	14.5
Total	\$ 166,453	100.0%	\$	257,953	100.0%	\$	257,256	100.0%	\$	359,882	100.0%
Total Revenue:											
Public sector	\$ 269,617	77.4%	\$	349,294	67.5%	\$	421,782	77.3%		493,134	65.1%
Private sector	21,372	6.1		60,880	11.8		36,410	6.7		105,488	13.9
Material sales	57,315	16.5		107,289	20.7		87,161	16.0		158,843	21.0
Total	\$ 348,304	100.0%	\$	517,463	100.0%	\$	545,353	100.0%	\$	757,465	100.0%

Granite West Revenue: Revenue from Granite West for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$169.2 million, or 32.7%, and \$212.1 million, or 28.0%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008. These decreases were primarily attributable to the contraction of residential construction and credit markets which had a direct impact on private sector revenue and the sale of construction materials. Additionally, there was an indirect impact on public sector revenue as competitors have migrated from the increasingly scarce private sector work and created more competition for bidders on public sector projects.

Granite East Revenue		Th	ree Month	s Er	ded June	30,		Six	Months E	ndeo	l June 30,	
(in thousands)		2009)		2008	3	2009				2008	3
Revenue by Geographic Are	ea:											
Midwest	\$	41,337	36.8%	\$	43,457	25.4%	\$	74,231	28.3%	\$	83,814	21.7%
Northeast		22,525	20.1		35,624	20.9		60,950	23.3		72,043	18.7
South		12,055	10.7		34,510	20.2		53,080	20.2		64,095	16.7
Southeast		36,126	32.2		52,443	30.7		73,368	28.0		123,452	32.1
West		194	0.2		4,735	2.8		514	0.2		41,490	10.8
Total	\$	112,237	100.0%	\$	170,769	100.0%	\$	262,143	100.0%	\$	384,894	100.0%
Revenue by Market Sector:												
Public sector	\$	111,527	99.4%	\$	163,161	95.5%	\$	259,993	99.2%	\$	372,423	96.8%
Private sector		710	0.6		7,608	4.5		2,150	0.8		12,471	3.2
Total	\$	112,237	100.0%	\$	170,769	100.0%	\$	262,143	100.0%	\$	384,894	100.0%

Granite East Revenue: Revenue from Granite East for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$58.5 million, or 34.3%, and by \$122.8 million, or 31.9%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008. These decreases were due primarily to our continued focus on improved execution and profitability, and large projects nearing completion. This was partially offset by the recognition of settlements related to outstanding issues on two separate projects, one in the first quarter of 2009 in the Northeast, and the other in the first quarter of 2008 in the West.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about revenue from our large projects for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

Large Project Revenue	Th	ree Months End	led June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(dollars in thousands)		2009	2008	2009	2008		
Granite West	\$	37,413 \$	69,114 \$	69,774 \$	99,260		
Number of projects*		4	6	6	7		
Granite East	\$	110,494 \$	147,898 \$	230,836 \$	352,627		
Number of projects*		11	15	13	17		
Total	\$	147,907 \$	217,012 \$	300,610 \$	451,887		
Number of projects*		15	21	19	24		

^{*} Includes only projects with a total contract value greater than \$50.0 million and over \$1.0 million of revenue in the respective periods.

Granite Land Company Revenue: Revenue from GLC for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$5.6 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008. GLC's revenue is dependent on the timing of real estate sales transactions, which are relatively few in number and can cause variability in the timing of revenue and profit recognition. The current real estate downturn and associated tightening of credit markets has had a direct impact on the anticipated timing of several GLC development projects.

Contract Backlog

Our contract backlog is comprised of the remaining unearned revenue of awarded contracts that have not been completed, including 100% of our consolidated joint ventures and our proportionate share of unconsolidated joint venture contracts. We include a construction project in our contract backlog at such time as a contract is awarded and funding is in place, with the exception of certain federal government contracts for which funding is appropriated on a periodic basis. Substantially all of the contracts in our contract backlog may be canceled or modified at the election of the customer; however, we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past.

The following tables illustrate our contract backlog as of the respective dates:

Total Contract Backlog						
(in thousands)	June 30, 2	009	March 31	, 2009	June 30, 20	008
Contract Backlog by Segment:						
Granite West	\$ 824,676	53.8%	\$ 743,219	47.3%	\$ 1,188,948	55.5%
Granite East	707,567	46.2	826,855	52.7	952,700	44.5
Total	\$ 1,532,243	100.0%	\$ 1,570,074	100.0%	\$ 2,141,648	100.0%

Granite West Contract Backlog						
(in thousands)	June 30,	2009	March 31	, 2009	June 30,	2008
California:						
Public sector	\$ 341,529	95.5%	\$ 395,608	95.3%	\$ 597,257	93.5%
Private sector	16,184	4.5	19,579	4.7	41,548	6.5
Total	\$ 357,713	100.0%	\$ 415,187	100.0%	\$ 638,805	100.0%
West (excluding California):						
Public sector	\$ 460,641	98.6%	\$ 320,065	97.6%	\$ 523,629	95.2%
Private sector	6,322	1.4	7,967	2.4	26,514	4.8
Total	\$ 466,963	100.0%	\$ 328,032	100.0%	\$ 550,143	100.0%
Total Contract Backlog:						
Public sector	\$ 802,170	97.3%	\$ 715,673	96.3%	\$ 1,120,886	94.3%
Private sector	22,506	2.7	27,546	3.7	68,062	5.7
Total	\$ 824,676	100.0%	\$ 743,219	100.0%	\$ 1,188,948	100.0%

Granite West Contract Backlog: Granite West contract backlog of \$824.7 million at June 30, 2009 was \$81.5 million, or 11.0%, higher than at March 31, 2009 and \$364.3 million, or 30.6%, lower than at June 30, 2008. The decrease from June 30, 2008 was primarily driven by projects nearing completion and the continued weak demand for residential construction. Additionally, there was an indirect impact on public sector contract backlog, as competitors migrated from the increasingly scarce private sector work, creating more competition for bidders on public sector projects. The increase in contract backlog from March 31, 2009 to June 30, 2009 was primarily attributable to new public sector awards in Utah, Washington and Alaska. This was offset by a lower volume of public sector work in California due to increased competition. Additions to Granite West contract backlog in the second quarter of 2009 included the awards of a \$20.4 million road reconstruction project, and a \$15.2 million taxiway reconstruction project, both in Utah.

Granite East Contract Backlog						
(in thousands)	June 30, 2009		March 31,	2009	June 30, 2008	
Contract Backlog by Geographic Area:						
Midwest	\$ 92,201	13.0% \$	131,896	15.9% \$	248,888	26.1%
Northeast	226,617	32.0	254,297	30.8	88,686	9.3
South	53,920	7.7	71,698	8.7	114,365	12.0
Southeast	332,629	47.0	366,568	44.3	495,007	52.0
West	2,200	0.3	2,396	0.3	5,754	0.6
Total	\$ 707,567	100.0% \$	826,855	100.0% \$	952,700	100.0%
Contract Backlog by Market Sector:						
Public sector	\$ 704,880	99.6% \$	823,859	99.6% \$	944,127	99.1%
Private sector	2,687	0.4	2,996	0.4	8,573	0.9
Total	\$ 707,567	100.0% \$	826,855	100.0% \$	952,700	100.0%

Granite East Contract Backlog: Granite East contract backlog of \$707.6 million at June 30, 2009 was \$119.3 million, or 14.4%, lower than at March 31, 2009, and \$245.1 million, or 25.7%, lower than at June 30, 2008. These decreases reflect progress on large construction projects and delays in the start of several large projects pending funding availability. In April 2009, a joint venture of which we are a party entered into a contract for the expansion of the Houston's light rail system. The total contract value is \$1.3 billion, of which our portion is 33.7%. In July 2009, we received the first notice to proceed in the amount of \$121.0 million, of which our share is 33.7%. Our share will be added to contract backlog in the third quarter of 2009.

The following tables provide information about our large project contract backlog at June 30, 2009, March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008:

Large Project Contract Backlog					
(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009		March 31, 2009		June 30, 2008
Granite West	\$	177,086	\$ 219,489	\$	369,673
Number of projects*		5	5		7
Granite East	\$	688,004	\$ 796,347	\$	911,570
Number of projects*		11	14		15
Total	\$	865,090	\$ 1,015,836	\$	1,281,243
Number of projects*		16	19		22

^{*}Includes only projects with total contract value greater than \$50.0 million and remaining contract backlog over \$1.0 million at the respective dates.

The following table presents gross profit by business segment for the respective periods:

Gross Profit	Tì	Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30,						June 30,	
(in thousands)		2009 2008		2008	2009			2008	
Granite West	\$	62,882	\$	92,924	\$	95,821	\$	132,553	
Percent of segment revenue		18.1%		18.0%		17.6%		17.5%	
Granite East	\$	21,363	\$	18,757	\$	56,227	\$	77,353	
Percent of segment revenue		19.0%		11.0%		21.4%		20.1%	
Granite Land Company	\$	(1,000)	\$	(2,655)	\$	(790)	\$	(2,186)	
Percent of segment revenue		-187.3%		-43.5%		-83.1%		-32.3%	
Total gross profit	\$	83,245	\$	109,026	\$	151,258	\$	207,720	
Percent of total revenue		18.1 %		15.7%		18.7%		18.1%	

Gross Profit: We recognize revenue only equal to cost, deferring profit recognition, until a project reaches 25% completion. In the case of large, complex design/build projects, we may continue to defer profit recognition beyond the point of 25% completion until such time as we believe we have enough information to make a reasonably dependable estimate of contract revenue and cost. Because we have a large number of projects at various stages of completion in Granite West, this policy generally has a lesser impact on Granite West's gross profit on a quarterly or annual basis. However, Granite East has fewer projects in process at any given time and those projects tend to be much larger than Granite West projects. As a result, Granite East gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary significantly in periods where one or several very large projects reach our percentage of completion threshold and the deferred profit is recognized or, conversely, in periods where contract backlog is growing rapidly and a higher percentage of projects are in their early stages with no associated gross profit recognition.

Revenue from projects that have not yet reached our profit recognition threshold is as follows:

Revenue from Contracts with Deferred Profit	Thre	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
(in thousands)		2009	2008		2009	2008	
Granite West	\$	15,413 \$	55,938	\$	16,064 \$	60,672	
Granite East		6,416	26,667		11,066	49,861	
Total revenue from contracts with deferred profit	\$	21,829 \$	82,605	\$	27,130 \$	110,533	

We do not recognize revenue from contract claims until we have a signed settlement agreement and payment is assured. We do not recognize revenue from contract change orders until the contract owner has agreed to the change order in writing. However, we do recognize the costs related to any contract claims or pending change orders in our forecasts when we are contractually obligated. As a result, our gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary depending on the magnitude and timing of settlement claims and change orders.

Table of Contents

Granite West gross profit as a percent of revenue remained relatively unchanged for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 at 18.1% and 17.6%, respectively, compared to 18.0% and 17.5%, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. Construction gross profit as a percent of construction revenue for the three months ended 2009 remained relatively unchanged from the same period in 2008 at 18.8% and 18.5%, respectively, and increased to 19.6% for the six months ended 2009 from 18.9% for the same period in 2008. This increase was primarily the result of the recognition of deferred profit on a large design/build project that reached the point of profit recognition during the first quarter of 2009. Materials gross profit as a percent of materials revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased to 14.0% and 6.5%, respectively, from 16.3% and 12.6%, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. Profit margins on our construction materials sales continue to be negatively impacted by lower demand from the private sector for our higher margin products and decreased production volume which resulted in increased cost per unit.

Granite East gross profit as a percent of revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 increased to 19.0% and 21.4%, respectively, from 11.0% and 20.1%, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. The increases are primarily related to the resolution of project uncertainties on projects nearing completion, and improved productivity. In the first six months of both 2009 and 2008 the results were favorably impacted by the negotiated settlements of claims with contract owners of \$16.0 million and \$28.6 million, respectively.

When we experience significant contract forecast changes, we undergo a process that includes reviewing the nature of the changes to ensure that there are no material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period rather than as a change in estimate for the current period. In our review of these changes, we did not identify any material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period.

GLC recorded gross losses of \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to gross losses of \$2.7 million and \$2.2 million for the same periods in 2008. Gross losses for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 include impairment charges of \$1.0 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. Gross losses in both periods were caused by the real estate downturn and the stages of development of our project portfolio, which led to very limited sales activity in 2009 and 2008. (See Note 8 of the "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements").

The following table presents the components of general and administrative expenses for the respective periods:

General and Administrative Expenses	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in thousands)		2009		2008		2009		2008	
Salaries and related expenses	\$	32,518	\$	35,171	\$	66,795	\$	70,594	
Incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing and									
other variable compensation		6,623		10,435		12,146		15,810	
Other general and administrative expenses		16,528		20,154		30,360		40,007	
Total	\$	55,669	\$	65,760	\$	109,301	\$	126,411	
Percent of revenue		12.1%		9.5%		13.5%		11.0%	

General and Administrative Expenses: Our general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$10.1 million, or 15.3%, and \$17.1 million, or 13.5%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008, primarily related to decreases in salaries and incentive compensation. Additionally, expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 included a recovery of approximately \$1.6 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, of previously reserved doubtful accounts.

The following table presents the components of other income (expense) for the respective periods:

Other Income (Expense)	Th	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)		2009		2008		2009		2008	
Interest income	\$	1,109	\$	3,593	\$	3,170	\$	9,648	
Interest expense		(2,853)		(3,058)		(6,341)		(7,568)	
Equity in income (loss) of affiliates		783		528		339		(179)	
Other income, net		1,431		184		5,216		8,647	
Total other income	\$	470	\$	1,247	\$	2,384	\$	10,548	

Other Income (Expense): Interest income decreased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with the same periods in 2008, primarily due to a decrease in investment interest income as we moved our marketable securities to more conservative investment instruments in the fourth quarter of 2008. Interest expense decreased due to a decrease in the associated notes payable as we paid down balances. The increase in other income, net during the three months ended June 30, 2009 was primarily due to gains earned on monies held in a Rabbi Trust related to the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan. The decrease in other income, net during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was primarily due to a gain of approximately \$9.3 million recognized in the six months ended June 30, 2008 on the sale of gold, a by-product of one of our aggregate extraction operations, compared with a gain of \$4.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The following table presents the components of the provision for income taxes for the respective periods:

Provision for Income Taxes	Three	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)	20	009		2008		2009	2008		
Provision for income taxes	\$	8,187	\$	13,081	\$	13,016 \$	25,208		
Effective tax rate		26.5%		28.0%		26.2%	26.7%		

Provision for Income Taxes: We calculate our income tax provision at the end of each interim period by estimating our annual effective tax rate and apply that rate to our year-to-date ordinary earnings. The effect of changes in enacted tax laws or rates or tax status is recognized in the interim period in which the change occurs.

Our effective tax rate decreased to 26.5% and 26.2%, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 from 28.0% and 26.7%, respectively, for the corresponding periods in 2008. The change in our effective tax rate was primarily the result of the change in the relationship that noncontrolling interest bears to income before provision for income taxes since noncontrolling interest is not subject to income taxes on a stand alone basis. We expect our 2009 effective tax rate to be approximately 26.9% for the year.

The following table presents the amount attributable to noncontrolling interest in consolidated subsidiaries for the respective periods:

Amount Attributable To Noncontrolling Interest	Thre	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)		2009		2008		2009		2008
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	\$	(4,718)	\$	(7,969)	\$	(9,785)	\$	(30,464)

Amount Attributable To Noncontrolling Interest: The amount attributable to noncontrolling interest represents the noncontrolling owners' share of the income of our consolidated construction joint ventures and real estate development entities. The decrease in noncontrolling interest in our consolidated subsidiaries for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the corresponding period in 2008 was due to the completion of certain large projects. The decrease in the amount due to noncontrolling interest for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period of the prior year was largely attributable to \$17.6 million we received in the first six months of 2008 as a settlement related to the resolution of revenue issues on a large project in Southern California.

Outlook

We expect 2009 will be a challenging year reflecting the current economic climate and its impact on many of our customers. However, we believe the diversity and resiliency of our business model will continue to be valuable as we confront these challenging times.

In the West, we have work available to bid partly due to the availability of stimulus funds and partly due to pent up demand in certain states that had previously put many projects on hold. Because competition remains strong, particularly for smaller projects, our focus is on targeting projects where we have a competitive advantage with our operational and financial strength. We believe we are well positioned in our markets with our versatile construction capabilities, aggregate reserves, key plant facilities and, most importantly, teams of experienced and dedicated people.

The outlook for our Granite East business continues to improve. We are pursuing a number of large projects and funding for these projects is coming from various sources. Our strategy for this business is to be very selective with regard to the projects we bid. We will continue to focus on projects where we can utilize our construction expertise, mitigate risk and deliver acceptable margins.

On the political front, stimulus funded work is out for bid. We expect to bid on more work during the balance of 2009, which will be either fully or partially funded by The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The legislation requires state transportation departments to allocate all stimulus funding by March 2010.

At the federal level, Congressional leaders are discussing the reauthorization of the surface transportation bill. Leaders are split between the push for a full six-year reauthorization of the program or an eighteen-month extension of the program at current funding levels. However, both the administration and Congress have acknowledged that the Highway Trust Fund will need another contribution from the general fund to keep the program solvent through the balance of the year.

In California, a budget amendment was signed this week to address the state's significant budget deficit. This amendment does not suspend transportation funding from local governments as was initially proposed. While this is good news, the delay in resolving the budget deficit has negatively affected transportation funding as the state has been unable to sell Proposition 1B bonds. The passage of the budget amendment should help the State Treasurer's office successfully re-enter the bond market. The Department of Transportation has indicated that it will continue to fund projects currently underway.

With regard to GLC, our strategy in 2009 is to be flexible and patient in managing our investments. Several of our development projects have long lead times, which afford us the ability to stage the timing of sales transactions. We will continue to work on entitlements and construct improvements. If there is a continued decline in the residential and commercial real estate markets in which we are operating, the expected profitability for certain development activities could continue to deteriorate to a point that could cause us to recognize impairments.

In summary, while we are actively engaged in the California and federal funding policy discussions, it is too early for us to know to what degree these will impact our business. Although we are cautiously approaching our outlook for the remainder of 2009, we have a positive long-term view of our markets. We continue to focus on cost cutting and improvement initiatives to develop more efficient business processes. Lastly, we are confident that our continued strategic investment in our construction materials business and the development of our people is important to increasing long-term shareholder value.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe our cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, cash generated from operations and amounts available under our existing committed credit facility will be sufficient to meet our expected working capital needs, capital expenditures, financial commitments, cash dividend payments, and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through the next twelve months. If we experience a significant change in our business operating results or make a significant acquisition, we may need to seek additional sources of financing, which, if available, may be limited by the terms of our existing debt covenants, or may require the amendment of our existing debt agreements.

Cash and Marketable Securities		June 30,		
(in thousands)	20	09	2008	
Cash and cash equivalents excluding consolidated joint ventures	\$ 2	35,228 \$	82,911	
Consolidated joint venture cash and cash equivalents	1	20,940	203,737	
Total consolidated cash and cash equivalents	3	56,168	286,648	
Short-term and long-term marketable securities		78,206	117,936	
Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 4	34,374 \$	404,584	

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. Our cash and cash equivalents consist of deposits and money market funds held with established national banks, and fixed income securities having remaining maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. Cash and cash equivalents held by our consolidated joint ventures is for the working capital needs of each joint venture's project. The decision to distribute cash must generally be made jointly by all of the partners and therefore these funds are not available for the working capital needs of Granite. Our marketable securities include United States government obligations and agencies and municipal bonds. Primarily in response to volatile credit markets, we have generally not reinvested the proceeds of maturing securities and have retained these funds in cash and cash equivalents.

Cash Flows	·-	Six Months Ended June 30,		
(in thousands)	2009	2008		
Net cash (used in) provided by:				
Operating activities	\$ (6,	435) \$ 43,796		
Investing activities	(64,	208) (32,217)		
Financing activities	(34,	032) (77,365)		
Capital expenditures	55,	659 62,528		

Cash used in operating activities of \$6.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, represents a \$50.2 million decrease from the amount provided during the same period in 2008. The increase in uses of cash during the six month period ended was the result of higher levels of liquid asphalt included in inventory, reduction of billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings primarily due to the progress on large projects, and an increase in the balance of other assets, net. Increases in uses of cash were offset by a reduction in accounts receivable.

Cash used in investing activities of \$64.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 represents a \$32.0 million increase from the amount used in the same period in 2008. The change was primarily due to an increase in purchases of marketable securities of \$10.0 million and a reduction in cash from the release of funds for acquisition of noncontrolling interest of \$28.0 million.

Cash used in financing activities was \$34.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, representing a \$43.3 million decrease from the amount used in the same period in 2008. The decrease in use of funds was primarily attributable to a \$42.6 million reduction in the purchase of shares of our common stock. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2008, \$11.7 million was used in the acquisition of noncontrolling interest and no similar transaction occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Capital Expenditures

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we had capital expenditures of \$55.7 million compared to \$62.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. We currently anticipate spending approximately \$110.0 million for capital expenditures in 2009, which includes amounts for aggregate and asphalt production facilities, aggregate reserves, construction equipment, buildings, and leasehold improvements. The timing and amount of such expenditures can vary based on the progress of planned capital projects, the type and size of construction projects, changes in business outlook, and other factors.

Debt and Capital

We have a \$150.0 million bank revolving line of credit ("LOC"), which allows for unsecured borrowings through June 24, 2011. Borrowings under the LOC bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin determined based upon certain financial ratios calculated quarterly. The margin was 0.70% at June 30, 2009. The unused and available portion of the LOC was \$145.8 million at June 30, 2009.

We had standby letters of credit ("Letters") totaling approximately \$4.2 million outstanding at June 30, 2009, which will expire between October 2009 and March 2010. We are generally required by the beneficiaries of these Letters to replace them upon expiration. Additionally, we are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security under certain public and private sector contracts. At June 30, 2009, approximately \$1.5 billion of our contract backlog was bonded. Approximately \$9.5 billion in performance bonds were outstanding as of June 30, 2009, which includes bonds for construction projects, both in process and completed contract awaiting final acceptance by the owner. Generally, performance bonds do not have stated expiration dates; rather, we are generally released from the bonds when each contract is accepted by the owner. The ability to maintain bonding capacity to support our current and future level of contracting requires that we maintain cash and working capital balances satisfactory to our sureties.

Covenants contained in our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and the maintenance of tangible net worth (as defined by the debt agreements). Our debt agreements define certain events of default such as the failure to observe certain covenants or the failure by us or one of our subsidiaries, which may include a real estate affiliate of GLC over which we exercise control, to pay its debts as they become due. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with these covenants and no event of default had occurred. Should we fail to comply with these covenants or should another event of default occur, our lenders could cause the amounts due under the debt agreements to become immediately payable and terminate their obligation to make further credit available.

Share Purchase Authorization

In 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a plan to purchase, at management's discretion, up to \$200.0 million of our common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we did not purchase shares under the share purchase program. From the inception of this plan in 2007 through June 30, 2009, we have purchased a total of 3.8 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate cost of \$135.9 million. All shares were retired upon acquisition. At June 30, 2009, \$64.1 million of the \$200.0 million authorization was available for additional share purchases.

Acquisitions

In December 2007, we deposited \$28.3 million with an exchange agent in connection with our purchase of the remaining minority shares of Wilder Construction Incorporated. In January 2008, the amount was paid to the Wilder minority shareholders. In 2008, this amount was reflected as an increase in cash from investing activities and a corresponding \$16.6 million decrease in cash from operating activities and an \$11.7 million decrease in cash from financing activities for the estimated amounts attributable to return on investment and return of investment, respectively.

In January 2008, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of a construction materials supplier in Nevada for a purchase price of approximately \$14.0 million in cash. The effect of the operating results of the acquired business on our consolidated operating results was not material. The estimated fair value of the assets acquired approximated the purchase price; therefore, no goodwill was recorded.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of the "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" for a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and effects on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, statements of income and statements of cash flows.

Website Access

Our website address is www.graniteconstruction.com. On our website we make available, free of charge, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated into, and is not part of, this report. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission, www.sec.gov.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There was no significant change in our exposure to market risk in our investment controls and procedures during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the second quarter of 2009, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 14 - Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A.RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

At our annual meeting of shareholders on May 15, 2009, the following members were elected to three-year terms to the Board of Directors:

	Vot	es
	Affirmative	Withhold
David H. Kelsey	32,106,692	468,674
James W. Bradford	32,087,396	487,970

The following proposals were approved at the annual meeting of shareholders:

	Votes		
	Affirmative	Against	Abstain
Proposal to amend the Granite Construction Incorporated Amended and Restated 1999	9		
Equity Incentive Plan.	29,934,176	2,264,641	376,549
Proposal to ratify the appointment by the Audit/Compliance Committee of			
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Granite's independent registered public accounting fir	m		
for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009.	31,641,097	904,507	29,762

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Item 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 † Granite Construction Incorporated Amended and Restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan as Amended and Restated Effective May 15, 2009
- 31.1 † Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 31.2 † Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- 32 †† Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
 - † Filed herewith
 - †† Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED

: July 30, 2009	By:	/s/ LeAnne M. Stewart
		LeAnne M. Stewart
		Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer