## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE [X]**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2009 OR TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE [ ] **SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ Commission File Number: 000-17573 REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VII, a California Limited Partnership (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) California 94-3094928 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation (I.R.S. Employer or organization) Identification No.) 900 Veterans Blvd., Suite 500, Redwood City, CA 94063-1743 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) (650) 365-5341 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) NOT APPLICABLE (Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes XX No \_\_\_\_\_ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

No \_\_\_\_

•	mpany. Se	e the definitions of	accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, f "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer,"	
(Check one):				
Large accelerated		[]	Accelerated filer	[]
Non-accelerated from the Non-accelerated from Non-a		porting company)	Smaller reporting company	[X]
Indicate by check mark w	hether the r	registrant is a shell	company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of	the Exchange Act).
Yes	No	XX		

## Part I – Item I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VII (A California Limited Partnership) BALANCE SHEETS

## JUNE 30, 2009 (unaudited) AND DECEMBER 31, 2008 (audited)

## **ASSETS**

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 373,968	\$ 183,779
Loans		
Loans, secured by deeds of trust	5,859,064	6,425,871
Loans, unsecured, net discount of \$77,843 and \$84,037 for June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	250,740	275,181
Allowance for loan losses	(247,724)	(236,893)
Net loans	5,862,080	6,464,159
Interest and other receivables		
Receivable from affiliate	97	£ 020
		5,838
Accrued interest and late fees Advances on loans	132,345 7,989	106,322 4,593
Total interest and other receivables	140,431	116,753
	<u></u>	
Investment in limited liability company Real estate held, net	1,164,418 2,138,393	1,069,877 1,942,067
Total assets	\$ 9,679,290	\$ 9,776,635
<u>LIABILITIES AND PARTNER</u>	RS' CAPITAL	
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 25,827	\$ 16,230
Mortgage payable	500,000	500,000
Payable to affiliate	80,536	74,830
Total liabilities	606,363	591,060
Partners' capital		
Limited partners' capital, subject to redemption	9,061,209	9,173,602
General partners' capital  Total partners' capital	<u>11,718</u> 9,072,927	9,185,575
•		
Total liabilities and partners' capital	\$ 9,679,290	\$ 9,776,635

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VII

## (A California Limited Partnership) STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008 (unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,					SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			
		2009		2008		2009		2008	
Revenues									
Interest on loans	\$	132,553	\$	148,171	\$	278,053	\$	291,941	
Other interest		1,192		643		1,845		2,468	
Late fees		528		193		984		888	
Other		1,221		980		1,982		2,462	
Total revenues		135,494		149,987		282,864		297,759	
Expenses									
Mortgage servicing fees		13,903				28,517		_	
Clerical costs through Redwood Mortgage Corp.		3,060		2,402		6,105		2,402	
Asset management fees		2,866				5,741		_	
Provision for (recovery of) losses on loans and									
real estate owned		31,448		(2,174)		32,479		(2,174)	
Professional services		19,504		21,133		35,443		39,597	
Other		10,423		11,424		23,794		18,826	
Total expenses		81,204		32,785		132,079		58,651	
Net income	\$	54,290	\$	117,202	\$	150,785	\$	239,108	
Net income: general partners (1%)	\$	798	\$	1,172	\$	1,763	\$	2,401	
limited partners (99%)		53,492		116,030		149,022		236,707	
	\$	54,290	\$	117,202	\$	150,785	\$	239,108	
Net income per \$1,000 invested by limited partners for entire period									
-where income is compounded and retained	\$	6	\$	13	\$	16	\$	26	
-where partner receives income in monthly distributions	\$	6	\$	13	\$	16	\$	26	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VII

## (A California Limited Partnership) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008 (unaudited)

	2009		2008
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$	150,785	\$ 239,108
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided			
by operating activities			
Provision for (recovery of) losses on loans and real estate		32,479	(2,174)
Early withdrawal penalties credited to income		(1,115)	(1,862)
Amortization of discount on unsecured loans		(6,194)	(4,113)
Change in operating assets and liabilities			
Loans, unsecured		26,635	(18,100)
Accrued interest and late fees		(49,015)	(6,539)
Advances on loan		(3,564)	(1,503)
Receivable from affiliate		5,741	(372)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		9,597	_
Payable to affiliate		5,706	 100,662
Net cash provided by operating activities		171,055	 305,107
Cash flows from investing activities			
Principal collected on loans		377,364	193,541
Loans originated			(351,616)
Payments for development of real estate		(1,371)	
Payments on investment in limited liability company		(94,541)	(67,432)
Proceeds from investment in limited liability company			 2,386
Net cash provided by investing activities		281,452	 (223,121)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Partners' withdrawals		(262,318)	 (292,556)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		190,189	(210,570)
Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of period		183,779	 351,129
Cash and cash equivalents – end of period	\$	373,968	\$ 140,559
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Cash paid for interest	\$	6,000	\$ 

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

#### NOTE 1 - GENERAL

In the opinion of the management of the partnership, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal, recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information included therein. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the partnership's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Cash and cash equivalents

The partnership places its cash and temporary cash investments with high credit quality institutions. Periodically, such investments may be in excess of federally insured limits.

#### Loans secured by deeds of trust

The partnership generally funds loans with a fixed interest rate and a five year term. Approximately half of all loans outstanding provide for monthly payments of interest, with the principal due in full at maturity. The other loans require monthly payments of principal and interest, typically calculated on a 30 year amortization, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity.

Loans generally are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balance with interest thereon being accrued as earned.

If the probable ultimate recovery of the carrying amount of a loan, with due consideration for the fair value of collateral, is less than amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, and the shortfall in the amounts due is significant, the carrying amount of the loan is reduced to the present value of future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. If a loan is collateral dependent, it is valued at the estimated fair value of the related collateral.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the partnership had five and four loans respectively, past maturity or more than 90 days past due in interest payments or principal, with aggregate principal balances of \$1,458,436 and \$691,864, respectively. In addition, accrued interest, late charges and advances totaled \$66,754 and \$37,031, respectively. At June 30, 2009, two loans with an aggregate principal balance of \$514,908 with filed notices of default, were included in the more than 90 days past due totals. At December 31, 2008, there were two loans totaling \$323,865 with a filed notice of default. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, as presented in Note 8, the average loan to appraised value of security based upon appraised values and prior liens at the time the loans were consummated was 59.00% and 58.60%, respectively.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

As of June 30,

## Loans secured by deeds of trust (continued)

If events or changes in circumstances cause management to have serious doubts about the collectibility of the contractual payments, a loan may be categorized as impaired and interest is no longer accrued. Any subsequent payments on impaired loans are applied to reduce the outstanding loan balances, including accrued interest and advances. When loans are considered impaired the allowance for loan loss is updated to reflect the change in the valuation of collateral security. The tables below summarize the impaired loans and their activity for the periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Number		Total		Total	Impaired				
	of		Impaired		Investment	Loans				
	Impaired		Loan		Impaired	Loss				
	Loans		Balance		Loans	Reserve				
2009	1	\$	153,000	\$	160,124	\$ 39,299				
2008	_	\$	_	\$		\$ _				
	For the t	hree	months ended J	une	30,	For the	six n	nonths ended.	June 3	30,
	Average				Interest	 Average				Interest
	Investment		Interest		Income	Investment		Interest		Income
	Impaired		Income		Received	Impaired		Income		Received
	Loans		Accrued		In Cash	Loans		Accrued		In Cash
2009	\$ 160,462	\$		\$	750	\$ 160.833	\$		\$	750

#### Loans unsecured by deeds of trust

The partnership had four unsecured loans, net of a discount, totaling \$250,740 and \$275,181 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Interest is not being accrued as these loans are considered impaired; however, monthly payments are being received on each loan.

#### Allowance for loan losses

2008

Loans and the related accrued interest, late fees and advances are analyzed on a periodic basis for recoverability. Delinquencies are identified and followed as part of the loan system. Delinquencies are determined in accordance with contractual terms of the loan agreements. A provision is made for loan losses to adjust the allowance for loan losses to an amount considered by management to be adequate, with due consideration to collateral values, to loans and receivables, including impaired loans, other loans, accrued interest, late fees and advances on loans and other accounts receivable (unsecured). The partnership charges off uncollectible loans and related receivables directly to the allowance account once it is determined the full amount is not collectible.

## NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## Allowance for loan losses (continued)

The composition of the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was as follows:

	 June 30,	2009	 December 3	1, 2008	
		Percent of loans in each category		Percent of loans in each category	
		to total		to total	
	 Amount	loans	Amount	loans	
Balance at end of period applicable to:					
Domestic					
Real estate – mortgage					
Single family	\$ 97,891	65%	\$ 90,876	59%	
Apartments	738	2	10,744	2	
Commercial	22,865	24	30,792	28	
Land	22,010	9	29,253	11	
Total real estate – mortgage	\$ 143,504	100 %	\$ 161,665	100%	
Total unsecured loans	\$ 104,220	100%	\$ 75,228	100%	
Total allowance for losses	\$ 247,724	<u>100</u> %	\$ 236,893	100%	

### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## Allowance for loan losses (continued)

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

	2009	2008		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 236,893	\$	386,658	
Charge-offs				
Domestic				
Real estate - mortgage				
Single family	(4,000)		_	
Apartments	_		_	
Commercial	_		(188)	
Land	 			
	 (4,000)		(188)	
Recoveries				
Domestic				
Real estate - mortgage				
Single family	_		_	
Apartments	_		_	
Commercial	_			
Land	 			
Not aborgo offe	 (4,000)		(188)	
Net charge-offs Additions (recovery) charged to operations	(4,000) 32,479		(2,174)	
Transfer to real estate held reserve	(17,648)		(2,174)	
Transfer to fear estate field reserve	 (17,040)	-		
Balance at end of period	\$ 247,724	\$	384,296	
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average				
secured loans outstanding during the period	0.07%		0.00%	

#### Real estate held

Real estate held includes real estate acquired through foreclosure and is stated at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan, plus any senior indebtedness, or at the property's estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell.

The partnership periodically compares the carrying value of real estate to expected future undiscounted cash flows for the purpose of assessing the recoverability of the recorded amounts. If the carrying value exceeds future undiscounted cash flows, the assets are reduced to fair value.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### **Income taxes**

No provision for federal and state income taxes (other than an \$800 state minimum tax) is made in the financial statements since income taxes are the obligation of the limited partners if and when income taxes apply.

#### Net income per \$1,000 invested

Amounts reflected in the statements of income as net income per \$1,000 invested by limited partners for the entire period are amounts allocated to limited partners who held their investment throughout the period and have elected to either leave their earnings to compound or have elected to receive periodic distributions of their net income. Individual income is allocated each month based on the limited partners' pro rata share of partners' capital. Because the net income percentage varies from month to month, amounts per \$1,000 will vary for those individuals who made or withdrew investments during the period, or select other options.

#### **Management estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions about the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. Such estimates relate principally to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, including the valuation of impaired loans and the valuation of real estate held. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

## Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications, not affecting previously reported net income or total partners' capital, may have been made to the previously issued financial statements to conform to the current year classification.

#### Late fee revenue

Late fees are generally charged at 6% of the monthly installment payment past due.

#### **Profits and losses**

Profits and losses are allocated among the limited partners according to their respective capital accounts monthly after 1% of the profits and losses are allocated to the general partners.

## Subsequent events

The partnership has evaluated subsequent events through July 31, 2009, the date of issuance of the financial statements.

#### NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### **Recently issued accounting pronouncements**

On January 1, 2008, the partnership adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The partnership deferred the application of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as provided for by FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*. FSP FAS 157-2 deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in an entity's financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material effect on the partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. SFAS 162 became effective on November 15, 2008. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the partnership's financial condition and results of operation.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is Not Active*, with an immediate effective date. The purpose of this release was to provide further clarification regarding Level 3 inputs and the assumptions management may make when the market for the asset is not active. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-3 did not have a material effect on the partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, with an effective date for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption being permitted. The purpose of this release was to provide additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS 157 (see above), when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. This release also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The adoption of this release did not have a material impact on the partnership's financial condition and results of operation.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, with an effective date for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption being permitted if one also early adopts FSP FAS 157-4. The purpose of this release is to require disclosure about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements and to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. The adoption of this release did not have a material impact on the partnership's disclosures.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165). SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events occurring after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. This statement is effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2009 and did not have a material impact on the partnership's accounting or disclosures.

## NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### **Recently issued accounting pronouncements** (continued)

In June 2009 the FASB approved the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Codification was issued on July 1, 2009 and will be effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Upon the Codification issuance only one level of authoritative GAAP exists, other than guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. All other accounting literature excluded from the Codification is considered non-authoritative. The Codification is not expected to have a material impact on the partnership's accounting polices.

#### NOTE 3 – GENERAL PARTNERS AND RELATED PARTIES

The following are commissions and fees that are paid to the general partners and affiliates.

#### Mortgage brokerage commissions

For fees in connection with the review, selection, evaluation, negotiation and extension of loans, Redwood Mortgage Corp., an affiliate of the general partners, may collect an amount equivalent to 12% of the loaned amount until 6 months after the termination date of the offering. Thereafter, loan brokerage commissions (points) will be limited to an amount not to exceed 4% of the total partnership assets per year. The loan brokerage commissions are paid by the borrowers, and thus, are not an expense of the partnership.

#### **Mortgage servicing fees**

Redwood Mortgage Corp., an affiliate of the general partners, receives monthly mortgage servicing fees of up to 1/8 of 1% (1.5% annual) of the unpaid principal balance of the loan portfolio, or such lesser amount as is reasonable and customary in the geographic area where the property securing the mortgage is located. Historically, Redwood Mortgage Corp. has charged 1.0% annually, and on occasion has waived additional amounts to enhance the partnership's earnings. Redwood Mortgage Corp. does not use any specific criteria in determining the exact amount of fees to be waived. Once a loan is categorized as impaired, mortgage servicing fees are no longer accrued. Additional servicing fees are recorded upon the receipt of any subsequent payments on impaired loans. The table below summarizes the mortgage servicing fees paid to Redwood Mortgage Corp. for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

	Th	ree months	l June 30,	Six months ended June 30,				
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Maximum chargeable	\$	20,855	\$	23,354	\$	42,777	\$	46,148
Waived		(6,952)		(23,354)		(14,260)		(46,148)
Net charged	\$	13,903	\$		\$	28,517	\$	_

## NOTE 3 – GENERAL PARTNERS AND RELATED PARTIES (continued)

#### Asset management fee

The general partners receive monthly fees for managing the partnership's loan portfolio and operations of up to 1/32 of 1% of the "net asset value" (3/8 of 1% annually). At times, to enhance the earnings to the partnership, the general partners have charged less than the maximum allowable rate. The general partners do not use any specific criteria in determining the exact amount of fees to be waived. The table below summarizes the asset management fees paid to the general partners for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

	Th	ree months	June 30,	Six months ended June 30,				
	<u>-</u>	2009		2008		2009		2008
Maximum chargeable	\$	8,598	\$	8,673	\$	17,223	\$	17,381
Waived		(5,732)		(8,673)		(11,482)		(17,381)
Net charged	\$	2,866	\$	_	\$	5,741	\$	

#### Other fees

The partnership agreement provides for other fees such as reconveyance, mortgage assumption and mortgage extension fees. These fees are incurred by the borrowers and paid to the general partners or their affiliates.

#### **Operating expenses**

The general partners or their affiliate, Redwood Mortgage Corp., are reimbursed by the partnership for all operating expenses incurred by them on behalf of the partnership, including without limitation, out-of-pocket general and administration expenses of the partnership, accounting and audit fees, legal fees and expenses, postage and preparation of reports to limited partners.

#### NOTE 4 – REAL ESTATE HELD

Periodically, management reviews the status of the owned properties to evaluate among other things, their asset classification. Properties are purchased or acquired through foreclosure. Several factors are considered in determining the classification of owned properties as "real estate held" or "real estate held for sale". These factors include, but are not limited to, real estate market conditions, status of any required permits, repair, improvement or development work to be completed, rental and lease income and investment potential. Real estate owned is classified as held for sale in the period in which the criteria of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Ling-Lived Assets, are met. As a property's status changes, reclassifications may occur.

In March 2009, the partnership acquired a parcel of land through foreclosure. The partnership's investment at the time of acquisition and June 30, 2009 is \$212,603. Secured loans declined by \$212,435 and advances declined by \$168. The partnership is currently evaluating plans for disposition of this property.

In August 2008, the partnership acquired through foreclosure, a commercial-agricultural property encumbered by a first deed of trust securing a loan in the amount of \$500,000 held by a third-party lender (see Note 6). As of June 30, 2009, the partnership's investment in this property was \$634,756, less a reserve of \$10,000. This property is not currently being leased and is not generating any revenue. The partnership is currently evaluating plans for the disposition or use of this property.

#### NOTE 4 – REAL ESTATE HELD (continued)

In December 2004, the partnership acquired land through a deed in lieu of foreclosure. At the date of acquisition, the partnership's investment totaled \$1,752,836 including accrued interest and advances. During 2006, management established a \$190,310 reserve against this property to reduce the carrying amount to management's estimate of the ultimate net realizable value of the property. One of the parcels comprising the property was sold during 2006 for \$336,652. The partnership incurred a loss of \$28,336 on this sale, which was fully reserved. In March 2009, the reserve was increased by \$7,647. At June 30, 2009, the partnership's total investment in this property was \$1,470,655, less a reserve of \$169,621.

The following schedule reflects the costs of real estate acquired through foreclosure and the recorded reductions to estimated fair values, including estimated costs to sell as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

	June 30,	De	ecember 31,	
	2009	2008		
Costs of properties	\$ 2,318,014	\$	2,104,041	
Reduction in value	(179,621)		(161,974)	
Real estate held for sale, net	\$ 2,138,393	\$	1,942,067	

#### NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT IN LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

In February 2005, the partnership acquired through foreclosure a multi-unit property located in an upscale neighborhood in San Francisco. At the time the partnership took ownership of the property, the partnership's investment totaled \$836,702 including accrued interest and advances. This property is jointly owned with three other affiliated partnerships. Upon acquisition the partnership transferred its interest (principally land and building) to Larkin Property Company, LLC ("Larkin"). The partnership owns 8% of the interests in Larkin and 92% is owned by three other affiliates of the partnership. No allowance for loss has been set aside as management believes the fair value of the property exceeds the combined partnerships' investment in the property. The property has not been leased or occupied since its acquisition in 2005 and has not generated any revenues. The partnership and the other affiliated owners have made and are continuing to make additional improvements to the property in preparation for the planned sale of the property. As of June 30, 2009, the partnership has capitalized \$327,716 in costs, net of recovery in 2006 from the guarantors of the original loan, related to this property. At June 30, 2009, the partnership's investment in Larkin totaled \$1,164,418.

#### NOTE 6 – MORTGAGE PAYABLE

In August 2008, the partnership obtained through foreclosure a property subject to an interest only first lien of \$500,000 with a 7.20% interest rate and a maturity date of April 1, 2011.

#### **NOTE 7 – FAIR VALUE**

SFAS 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The partnership determines the fair values of its assets and liabilities based on the fair value hierarchy established in SFAS 157. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value (Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3). Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the partnership has the ability to access at the measurement date. An active market is a market in which transactions occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs reflect the partnership's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the partnership's own data.

The partnership does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following table reflects assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2009:

	Fair Value Measurement at Report Date Using										
	Quoted	Prices	Si	gnificant							
	in Ac	tive		Other	S	ignificant					
	Market	s for	Oł	servable	Un	observable		Total			
	Identical Assets		Inputs		Inputs		as of				
Item	(Leve	11)	(1	(Level 2)		(Level 3)		June 30, 2009			
Impaired secured loans	\$	_	\$	_	\$	120,825	\$	120,825			
Unsecured loans	\$	_	\$	_	\$	146,521	\$	146,521			
Real estate owned	\$		\$	_	\$	2,138,393	\$	2,138,393			

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value:

- (a) Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amount equals fair value. All amounts, including interest bearing, are subject to immediate withdrawal.
- (b) Secured loans (Level 2). The fair value of the non-impaired loans of \$5,833,969 and \$6,393,000 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, was estimated based upon projected cash flows discounted at the estimated current interest rates at which similar loans would be made. The applicable amount of the allowance for loan losses along with accrued interest and advances related thereto should also be considered in evaluating the fair value versus the carrying value. For loans in which a specific allowance is established based on the fair value of the collateral, the partnership records the loan as nonrecurring Level 2 if the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value. If an appraised value is not available or the fair value of the collateral is considered impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the partnership records the loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

#### **NOTE 7 – FAIR VALUE** (continued)

- (c) Unsecured loans (Level 3). The carrying amount equals fair value. Unsecured loans are valued at their principal less any discount or loss reserves established by management after taking into account the borrower's creditworthiness and ability to repay the loan.
- (d) Real estate owned (Level 3). At the time of foreclosure, real estate owned is recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan, plus any senior indebtedness, or at the property's estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell, as applicable. The partnership periodically compares the carrying value of real estate to expected undiscounted future cash flows for the purpose of assessing the recoverability of the recorded amounts. If the carrying value exceeds future undiscounted cash flows, the assets are reduced to estimated fair value.

## NOTE 8 – ASSET CONCENTRATIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the loans secured by recorded deeds of trust had the following characteristics:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008		
Number of secured loans outstanding	 27		29	
Total secured loans outstanding	\$ 5,859,064	\$	6,425,871	
Average secured loan outstanding	\$ 217,002	\$	221,582	
Average secured loan as percent of total secured loans	3.70%		3.45%	
Average secured loan as percent of partners' capital	2.39%		2.41%	
Largest secured loan outstanding	\$ 500,000	\$	500,000	
Largest secured loan as percent of total secured loans	8.53%		7.78%	
Largest secured loan as percent of partners' capital	5.51%		5.44%	
Largest secured loan as percent of total assets	5.17%		5.11%	
Number of counties where security is located (all California)	17		18	
Largest percentage of secured loans in one county	17.26%		15.73%	
Number of secured loans in foreclosure status	2		2	
Amounts of secured loans in foreclosure	\$ 514,908	\$	323,865	

At times, a specific loan may increase above 10% of the secured loan portfolio or partnership assets as the loan portfolio and assets of the partnership decrease due to limited partner withdrawals and/or loan payoffs.

## NOTE 8 – ASSET CONCENTRATIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS (continued)

The following categories of secured loans were held at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

		June 30, 2009	D	ecember 31, 2008	
First trust deeds	\$	4,714,297	\$	5,279,823	
Second trust deeds		1,056,048		1,056,999	
Third trust deeds		88,719		89,049	
Total loans	·	5,859,064		6,425,871	
Prior liens due other lenders at time of loan		1,666,711	_	1,666,711	
Total debt	\$	7,525,775	\$	8,092,582	
Appraised property value at time of loan	\$	12,754,561	\$	13,809,106	
Total loans as percent of appraisals based on appraised values and prior liens at time loan was consummated		59.00%		58.60%	
Loans by type of property					
Single family	\$	3,800,987	\$	3,811,235	
Apartments		98,426		99,233	
Commercial		1,430,486		1,793,846	
Land		529,165		721,557	
	\$	5,859,064	\$	6,425,871	

Scheduled maturity dates of secured loans as of June 30, 2009 are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2009	\$ 443,528
2010	1,456,094
2011	865,875
2012	1,394,987
2013	668,161
Thereafter	 1,030,419
	\$ 5,859,064

One loan totaling \$214,992 was past maturity at June 30, 2009.

#### **NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

#### **Workout agreements**

From time to time, the partnership negotiates and enters into contractual workout agreements with borrowers. Under the terms of these workout agreements, the partnership is not obligated to make any additional monetary advances for the maintenance or repair of the collateral securing the loans. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there were four and one loans, respectively, in workout agreements.

#### Legal proceedings

The partnership is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, such matters will not have a material effect upon the financial position of the partnership.

#### Part I - Item 2.

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE PARTNERSHIP

#### Forward-Looking Statements.

Certain statements in this Report on Form 10-Q which are not historical facts may be considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements regarding the partnership's expectations, hopes, intentions, beliefs and strategies regarding the future. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding future interest rates and economic conditions and their effect on the partnership and its assets, trends in the California real estate market, estimates as to the allowance for loan losses, estimates of future limited partner withdrawals, 2009 annualized yield estimates, additional foreclosures in 2009, expectations regarding the levels of loan delinquencies and loan repayments, expectations regarding the numbers of loan extensions, workouts and foreclosures, beliefs relating to the impact on the partnership from current economic conditions and trends in the financial and credit markets, beliefs regarding the partnership's ability to recover its investment in certain properties, expectations regarding the partnership's plans to hold or sell properties which it forecloses, beliefs regarding the effect of borrower foreclosures on liquidity, the use of excess cash flow and the intention not to sell the partnership's loan portfolio. Actual results may be materially different from what is projected by such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include unexpected changes in economic conditions and interest rates, the impact of competition and competitive pricing and downturns in the real estate markets in which the Company has made loans. All forward-looking statements and reasons why results may differ included in this Form 10-O are made as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statement or reason why actual results may differ.

#### Critical Accounting Policies.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates based on the information available that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet dates and revenues and expenses for the reporting periods. Such estimates relate principally to the determination of (1) the allowance for loan losses (i.e. the amount of allowance established against loans receivable as an estimate of potential loan losses) including the accrued interest and advances that are estimated to be unrecoverable based on estimates of amounts to be collected plus estimates of the value of the property as collateral and (2) the valuation of real estate held. At June 30, 2009, the partnership owned four parcels of real property.

Loans and the related accrued interest, late fees and advances are analyzed on a periodic basis for recoverability. Delinquencies are identified and followed as part of the loan system. A provision is made for loan losses to adjust the allowance for loan losses to an amount considered by management to be adequate, with due consideration to collateral values, and to provide for unrecoverable loans and receivables, including impaired loans, other loans, accrued interest, late fees and advances on loans and other accounts receivable (unsecured). The partnership charges off uncollectible loans and related receivables directly to the allowance account once it is determined that the full amount is not collectible.

If the probable ultimate recovery of the carrying amount of a loan, with due consideration for the fair value of collateral, is less than amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement and the shortfall in the amounts due is not insignificant, the carrying amount of the investment will be reduced to the present value of future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. If a loan is collateral dependent, it is valued at the estimated fair value of the related collateral. If events and or changes in circumstances cause management to have serious doubts about the further collectibility of the contractual payments, a loan may be categorized as impaired and interest is no longer accrued. Any subsequent payments on impaired loans are applied to reduce the outstanding loan balances including accrued interest and advances.

Recent trends in the economy, particularly the downward trend in real estate values, have been taken into consideration in the aforementioned process of arriving at the allowance for loan losses. Actual results could vary from the aforementioned provisions for losses.

#### Related Parties.

The general partners of the partnership are Gymno Corporation and Michael R. Burwell. Most partnership business is conducted through Redwood Mortgage Corp., an affiliate of the general partners, which arranges, services and maintains the loan portfolio for the benefit of the partnership. The fees received by the affiliate and the general partners are paid pursuant to the partnership agreement and are determined at the sole discretion of the general partners, subject to limitations imposed by the partnership agreement. In the past the general partners have elected not to take the maximum compensation. The following is a list of various partnership activities for which related parties are compensated.

- *Mortgage Brokerage Commissions* For fees in connection with the review, selection, evaluation, negotiation and extension of loans, Redwood Mortgage Corp. may collect an amount equivalent to 12% of the loaned amount until 6 months after the termination date of the offering. Thereafter, the loan brokerage commissions (points) will be limited to an amount not to exceed 4% of the total partnership assets per year. The loan brokerage commissions are paid by the borrowers, and thus, are not an expense of the partnership. Loan brokerage commissions paid by the borrowers were \$0 and \$11,500 for the three month periods and \$0 and \$18,305 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- Mortgage Servicing Fees Redwood Mortgage Corp. receives monthly mortgage servicing fees of up to 1/8 of 1% (1.5% on an annual basis) of the unpaid principal of the partnership's loans or such lesser amount as is reasonable and customary in the geographic area where the property securing the mortgage is located. Historically, Redwood Mortgage Corp. has charged 1.0% annually, and on occasion has waived additional amounts to enhance the partnership's earnings and thereby increase returns to the limited partners. Such fee waivers were not made for the purpose of providing the partnership with sufficient funds to satisfy withdrawal requests, nor were such waivers made in order to meet any required level of distributions, as the partnership has no such required level of distributions. Redwood Mortgage Corp. does not use any specific criteria when determining the exact amount of fees to be waived. The decision to waive fees and the amount, if any, to be waived, is made by Redwood Mortgage Corp. in its sole discretion. There is no assurance that Redwood Mortgage Corp. will waive fees at similar levels, or at all, in the future. The table below summarizes the mortgage servicing fees paid to Redwood Mortgage Corp. for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

	Th	ree months	ended	l June 30,	S	ix months en	nded June 30,		
	·	2009		2008		2009	2008		
Maximum chargeable	\$	20,855	\$	23,354	\$	42,777	\$	46,148	
Waived		(6,952)		(23,354)		(14,260)		(46,148)	
Net charged	\$	13,903	\$		\$	28,517	\$	_	

• Asset Management Fees The general partners receive monthly fees for managing the partnership's portfolio and operations of up to 1/32 of 1% of the 'net asset value' (3/8 of 1% on an annual basis). At times, to enhance the earnings to the partnership, the general partners have charged less than the maximum allowable rate. Such fee waivers were not made with the purpose of providing the partnership with sufficient funds to satisfy withdrawal requests, nor to meet any required level of distributions, as the partnership has no such required level of distributions. The general partners do not use any specific criteria when determining the exact amount of fees to be waived. The decision to waive fees and the amount, if any, to be waived, is made by the general partners in their sole discretion. There is no assurance that the general partners will waive fees at similar levels, or at all, in the future. The table below summarizes the asset management fees paid to the general partners for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

	Th	ree months e	ended	June 30,	S	Six months en	nded June 30,		
		2009		2008		2009	2008		
Maximum chargeable	\$	8,598	\$	8,673	\$	17,223	\$	17,381	
Waived		(5,732)		(8,673)		(11,482)		(17,381)	
Net charged	\$	2,866	\$		\$	5,741	\$		

- Other Fees The partnership agreement provides the general partners may receive other fees such as processing and escrow, reconveyance, mortgage assumption and mortgage extension fees. Such fees are incurred by the borrowers and are paid to the general partners. Such fees aggregated \$0 and \$692 for the three month periods, and \$15 and \$1,172 for the six month periods, ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- *Income and Losses* All income and losses are credited or charged to partners in relation to their respective partnership interests. The allocation to the general partners (combined) is a total of 1%, which was \$798 and \$1,172 for the three month periods and \$1,763 and \$2,401 for the six month periods, ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- Operating Expenses An affiliate of the partnership, Redwood Mortgage Corp. is reimbursed by the partnership for all operating expenses actually incurred by it on behalf of the partnership, including without limitation, out-of-pocket general and administration expenses of the partnership, accounting and audit fees, legal fees and expenses, postage and preparation of reports to limited partners. Such reimbursement was \$3,060 and \$2,402 for the three month periods and \$6,105 and \$2,402 for the six month periods, ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the first quarter of 2008, Redwood Mortgage Corp. waived \$3,052 of costs.
- Contributed Capital The general partners jointly and severally are required to contribute an amount equal to 1/10 of 1% in cash contributions as proceeds from the partnership's offering of units received from the limited partners. As of September 30, 1992, the date the offering closed, Gymno Corporation, a general partner, had contributed \$11,998 as capital in accordance with the partnership agreement. After adjusting for capital and earnings liquidations, the general partners' capital was \$11,718 and \$11,973 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

## **Results of Operations.**

Changes in the partnership's operating results are tabulated for reference and discussed below:

		hanges during months ended 2009 versu	June 30,	Changes during the six months ended June 30, 2009 versus 2008		
	Dollars Percent			Dollars		Percent
Revenue		<u> </u>				
Interest on loans	\$	(15,618)	(11)%	\$	(13,888)	(5)%
Other interest		549	85		(623)	(25)
Late fees		335	174		96	11
Other		241	25		(480)	(19)
Total revenues		(14,493)	(10)		(14,895)	(5)
Expenses						
Mortgage servicing fees		13,903	_		28,517	_
Clerical costs through Redwood Mortgage Corp.		658	27		3,703	154
Asset management fees		2,866	_		5,741	_
Provision for losses on loans and real estate		33,622	(1,547)		34,653	(1,594)
Professional services		(1,629)	(8)		(4,154)	(10)
Other		(1,001)	(9)		4,968	26
Total expenses		48,419	148		73,428	125
Net income	\$	(62,912)	(54)%	\$	(88,323)	(37)%

Please refer to the above table throughout the discussions of Results of Operations

The decrease in interest on loans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was due to a decrease in the average loan portfolio balance and a decrease in the effective average interest rate primarily due to increased loan delinquencies. Each period includes income gained through amortization of discount on unsecured notes, which has been eliminated in the calculation of the average interest rate. The table below recaps these averages.

	Three Months en	nded June 30,	Six Months ended June 30,					
		Average		Average				
		Interest		Interest				
	Average	Rate	Average	Rate				
	Secured	Adjusted	Secured	Adjusted				
	Loan	For	Loan	For				
Year	Balance	Discount	Balance	Discount				
2008	\$ 6,261,059	9.33%	\$ 6,182,762	9.31%				
2009	\$ 5,862,904	8.76%	\$ 6.092.506	8.92%				

The increase in other interest for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 2008 was due to a higher average balance of deposits in the interest-bearing accounts offset by a lower average rate of interest earned. The decrease for the six month period was due to lower average rate of interest earned, offset by a slightly higher average balance of deposits. The table below summarizes the components in this area.

	Three	Three Months ended June 30,				Six Months ended June 30,				
	Av	erage			1	Average				
	Int	Interest		age		Interest	Average			
	Be	aring	Inter	est	Bearing		Interest			
Year	Ba	lance	ance Rate		]	Balance	Rate			
2008	\$	195,448		1.32%	\$	301,214		1.64%		
2009	\$	479,182		1.00%	\$	362,023		1.02%		

Mortgage servicing fees increased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. For 2009, Redwood Mortgage Corp. waived one-third of these fees, and for 2008 had waived all of these fees.

The increase in clerical costs through Redwood Mortgage Corp. for the three and six month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was due to Redwood Mortgage Corp. waiving \$3,052 of fees in the first quarter of 2008.

Asset management fees increased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, due to Redwood Mortgage Corp. waiving 100% of the fees in 2008 and two-thirds of the fees in 2009.

The increase in the provision for losses on loans and real estate held for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 2008 was due to management's decision to increase the allowance for losses to reflect the current market conditions.

The decrease in professional services for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, was due to general decreases in professional costs for legal services, audits, tax return processing and the timing of billing.

The decrease in other expenses for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, was due to expenses related to an owned property, which was sold in late 2008. The increase in other expenses for the six month period was due to expenses related to the two newest pieces of real estate held, which were not owned at this time in 2008.

Partnership capital decreased from \$9,185,575 at December 31, 2008 to \$9,072,927 at June 30, 2009. The decrease is attributable to capital liquidations exceeding earnings reinvested. The partnership's net income for the periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$150,785 and \$239,108, respectively. Distributions to compounding and distributing limited partners totaled \$174,510 and \$237,700, respectively. The partnership capital declined \$81,321 in 2008.

The partnership began funding loans in December 1989. The aggregate principal balance of the partnership's secured loans outstanding as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$5,859,064 and \$6,425,871, respectively.

Delinquencies on partnership loans are within the normal historical range of the general partners' expectations, based upon their experience in managing similar partnerships over the last 28 years. Foreclosures are a normal aspect of partnership operations and the general partners anticipate they will not have a material effect on liquidity. As of June 30, 2009, there were two loans with a filed notice of default.

Cash is generated from borrower payments of interest, principal, loan payoffs and from the partnership's sale of real estate owned properties. Currently the credit and financial markets are facing a significant and prolonged disruption. As a result, loans are not readily available to borrowers or purchasers of real estate. These credit constraints may impact the partnership and our borrowers' ability to sell properties or refinance their loans in the event they have difficulty making loan payments or their loan matures. A slow down or reduction in loan repayments would likely reduce the partnership's cash flows and restrict the partnership's ability to invest in new loans or provide earnings and capital distributions. Excess cash flow is invested in new loan opportunities, when available, and used for other partnership business.

#### Allowance for Losses.

The general partners periodically review the loan portfolio, examining the status of delinquencies, the underlying collateral securing these loans, real estate held expenses, sales activities, and borrower's payment records and other data relating to the loan portfolio. Data on the local real estate market and on the national and local economy are studied. Based upon this and other information, the allowance for loan losses is increased or decreased. Borrower foreclosures are a normal aspect of partnership operations. The partnership is not a credit based lender and hence while it reviews the credit history and income of borrowers, and if applicable, the income from income producing properties, the general partners expect the partnership will on occasion take back real estate security.

At June 30, 2009, the partnership's loan portfolio had four loans 90 days or more past due in interest payments, with an aggregate principal balance of \$1,243,444 and a weighted average interest rate of 8.52%. Three of these loans are secured by a first deed of trust and one is secured by a second deed of trust. At such date, the partnership also had one loan past maturity with a principal balance of \$214,992 bearing an interest rate of 8.50%. This loan is secured by a second deed of trust. With respect to the loans that have matured or are past due in interest payments, the partnership will attempt to collect such amounts in full and, if collection is not successful, the partnership may consider entering into a workout agreement, restructuring the loan or foreclosing on the property as the general partners deem appropriate based on their evaluation of each individual loan.

The partnership had two filed notices of default totaling \$514,908, at June 30, 2009, both of which are included in the five delinquent loans noted above.

The partnership occasionally enters into workout agreements with borrowers who are past maturity or delinquent in their regular payments. Four of the partnership's loans totaling \$763,495 were subject to workout agreements as of June 30, 2009, one of which is included in the five delinquent loans noted above and also has a filed notice of default. Typically, a workout agreement allows the borrower to extend the maturity date of the balloon payment and/or allows the borrower to make current monthly payments while deferring for periods of time, past due payments, or allows time to pay the loan in full. By deferring maturity dates of balloon payments or deferring past due payments, workout agreements may adversely affect the partnership's cash flow.

Workout agreements and foreclosures generally exist within our loan portfolio to greater or lesser degrees, depending primarily on the health of the economy. The general partners expect the number of foreclosures and workout agreements will generally rise during economic downturns and conversely fall during good economic times. These workouts and delinquencies have been considered when the general partners arrive at an appropriate allowance for loan losses based on their experience, and are reflective of the partnership's loan marketplace segment. Because of the number of variables involved, the magnitude of possible swings and the general partners' inability to control many of these factors, actual results may and do sometimes differ significantly from estimates made by the general partners.

The partnership has three unsecured loans totaling \$250,740 net of a discount. These unsecured loans are considered impaired; however, regular payments are being received on all three loans.

The partnership made a provision of \$32,479 and recovery of (\$2,174) to the loan loss reserve during the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The allowance for loan loss reserve of \$247,724 and \$236,893 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, is considered to be adequate for the total net loan portfolio balances of \$6,109,804 and \$6,701,052, respectively.

#### **PORTFOLIO REVIEW**

#### Loan Portfolio

The partnership's loan portfolio consists primarily of short-term (one to five years), fixed rate loans secured by real estate. The majority of the real estate is located in the nine San Francisco Bay Area counties (San Mateo, Santa Clara, Alameda, San Francisco, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Marin and Contra Costa).

As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, the partnership held 27 secured loans in the following locations and categories:

		June	e 30,				
	 2009			2008			
	Dollars	Percent	Dollars		Percent		
Location							
San Francisco Bay Area	\$ 3,649,354	62%	\$	4,768,959	75%		
Other Northern California counties	1,359,141	23		962,216	15		
Southern California counties	850,569	15		629,422	10		
Total	\$ 5,859,064	100%	\$	6,360,597	100 %		
Property type							
Single Family	\$ 3,800,987	65%	\$	2,852,703	44 %		
Apartments	98,426	2		1,195,500	19		
Commercial	1,430,486	24		1,894,415	30		
Land	529,165	9		417,979	7		
Total	\$ 5,859,064	100%	\$	6,360,597	100 %		

The following table sets forth the priorities, asset concentrations and maturities of the secured loans held by the partnership as of June 30, 2009:

	# of Loans	 Amount	Percent
First trust deeds	22	\$ 4,714,297	80%
Second trust deeds	4	1,056,048	18%
Third trust deeds	1	88,719	2%
Total	27	\$ 5,859,064	100%
Maturing prior to 12/31/09	2	\$ 443,528	7%
Maturing during 2010	5	1,456,094	25%
Maturing during 2011	4	865,875	15%
Maturing after 12/31/11	16	3,093,567	53%
Total	27	\$ 5,859,064	100%
Average loan		\$ 217,002	3.70%
Largest loan		500,000	8.53%
Smallest loan		88,719	1.51%
Average loan-to-value, based upon appraisals and senior liens at date of inception of loan			59.00%

The partnership's largest loan in the principal amount of \$500,000 represents 8.53% of outstanding secured loans and 5.17% of partnership assets. At times, loans may increase above 10% of the secured loan portfolio or partnership assets as the loan portfolio and assets of the partnership decrease due to loan payoffs and/or limited partner withdrawals.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The partnership relies upon loan payoffs, borrowers' mortgage payments and the sale of real estate owned for the source of funds for loans. Recently, mortgage interest rates have decreased somewhat from those available at the inception of the partnership. If interest rates were to increase substantially, the yield of the partnership's loans may provide lower yields than other comparable debt-related investments. Additionally, since the partnership has made primarily fixed rate loans, if interest rates were to rise, the likely result would be a slower prepayment rate for the partnership. This could cause a lower degree of liquidity as well as a slowdown in the ability of the partnership to invest in loans at the then current interest rates. Conversely, in the event interest rates were to decline, the partnership could experience significant borrower prepayments, which, if the partnership can only obtain the then existing lower rates of interest may cause a dilution of the partnership's yield on loans, thereby lowering the partnership's overall yield to the limited partners. Cash is generated from borrower payments of interest, principal, loan payoffs and from the partnership's sale of real estate owned properties. Currently the credit and financial markets are facing a significant and prolonged disruption. As a result, loans are not readily available to borrowers or purchasers of real estate. These credit constraints may impact the partnership and our borrowers' ability to sell properties or refinance their loans in the event they have difficulty making loan payments or their loan matures. A slow down or reduction in loan repayments would likely reduce the partnership's cash flows and restrict the partnership's ability to invest in new loans or provide earnings and capital distributions. Excess cash flow is invested in new loan opportunities, when available, and used for other partnership business.

At the time of subscription to the partnership, limited partners made a decision to either take distributions of earnings monthly, quarterly or annually or to compound earnings in their capital account. The table below summarizes these allocation elections. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, limited partners electing to withdraw earnings represented 40% and 39%, respectively.

	TI	nree months	ended	l June 30,		Six months e	nded	ded June 30,		
		2009		2008		2009	2008			
Distributing	\$	32,106	\$	44,845	\$	69,106	\$	91,917		
Compounding	\$	46,874	\$	71,185	\$	105,404	\$	145,783		

The partnership also allows the limited partners to withdraw their capital account subject to certain limitations and penalties (see liquidation provisions of partnership agreement). These withdrawals are within the normally anticipated range the general partners would expect in their experience in this and other partnerships. The general partners expect a small percentage of limited partners will elect to liquidate their capital accounts over one year with a 10% and/or 8% early withdrawal penalty. In originally conceiving the partnership, the general partners wanted to provide limited partners needing their capital returned a degree of liquidity. Generally, limited partners electing to withdraw over one year need to liquidate investments to raise cash. The demand the partnership is experiencing in withdrawals by limited partners electing a one year liquidation program represents a small percentage of limited partner capital as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and is expected by the general partners to commonly occur at these levels.

After a five year hold period has passed, limited partners may withdraw their capital account without penalty. To date, the partnership has satisfied all withdrawal requests by limited partners. The table below sets forth actual liquidations for the past three months:

	-	Three months en	ded Ju	une 30,	5	Six months ended June 30			0,	
	2009			2008		2009	2008			
Capital liquidations-without penalty	\$	99,776	\$	80,086	\$	178,948	\$	177,447		
Capital liquidations-subject to penalty	\$	9,006	\$	9,122	\$	13,941	\$	23,273		

In some cases in order to satisfy broker-dealers and other reporting requirements, the general partners have valued the limited partners' interest in the partnership on a basis which utilizes a per Unit system of calculation, rather than based upon the investors' capital account. This information has been reported in this manner in order to allow the partnership to integrate with certain software used by the broker-dealers and other reporting entities

In those cases, the partnership will report to broker-dealers, trust companies and others a "reporting" number of Units based upon a \$1.00 per Unit calculation. The number of reporting Units provided will be calculated based upon the limited partner's capital account value divided by \$1.00. Each investor's capital account balance is set forth periodically on the partnership account statement provided to investors. The reporting Units are solely for broker-dealers requiring such information for their software programs and do not reflect actual Units owned by a limited partner or the limited partners' right or interest in cash flow or any other economic benefit in the partnership. Each investor's capital account balance is set forth periodically on the partnership account statement provided to investors. The amount of partnership earnings each investor is entitled to receive is determined by the ratio each investor's capital account bears to the total amount of all investor capital accounts then outstanding. The capital account balance of each investor should be included on any FINRA member client account statement in providing a per Unit estimated value of the client's investment in the partnership in accordance with NASD Rule 2340.

While the general partners have set an estimated value for the Units, such determination may not be representative of the ultimate price realized by an investor for such Units upon sale. No public trading market exists for the Units and none is likely to develop. Thus, there is no certainty the Units can be sold at a price equal to the stated value of the capital account. Furthermore, the ability of an investor to liquidate his or her investment is limited subject to certain liquidation rights provided by the partnership, which may include early withdrawal penalties (See the section of the Prospectus entitled "Risk Factors - Purchase of Units is a long term investment").

#### **Current Economic Conditions.**

The partnership makes mortgage loans primarily secured by deeds of trust on California real estate. The majority of its lending is concentrated in the San Francisco Bay Area and the outlying communities. The economic health of California and in particular, the San Francisco Bay Area, plays a significant role in the performance of the real estate industry, and property values which provide the underlying collateral for our loans. In December 2007, California along with the rest of the United States began to experience what has turned out to be the most severe and prolonged economic recession in more than 50 years. The downward trend in economic productivity and the depth of the recession accelerated significantly in the fourth quarter of 2008 when the national gross domestic product declined at an annual rate of 5.4% and continued to decline in the first and second quarters of 2009; at an annual rate of 6.4% and 1.0%, respectively.

During this recession many events have buffeted the United States economy, particularly the financial system and the business sector. These events include, among others: the failure of brokerage firm Lehman Brothers; the forced merger of the brokerage firm Bear Stearns; the governmental bailout of insurance giant AIG; the government takeover of both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the largest holders of residential mortgages in the United States); the merger of Bank of America with Countrywide (the third largest holder of residential mortgages in the United States); the forced merger of Wachovia Bank; the takeovers of over 69 banks by the FDIC in 2009; governmental financial assistance provided to United States automakers; the bankruptcies of Chrysler Corporation and General Motors; and historic write downs of mortgages held by banks. These factors have exposed the financial system to increased risks and decreased consumer confidence.

In response to the turmoil in the financial markets and to help bolster the financial system and the economy, the United States government, through the Federal Reserve and Treasury, has adopted many measures. These measures include among others, two financial stimulus packages, enactment of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) to provide capital to financial institutions, reduction of the Federal Funds Rate to a range of 0.00% to 0.25%, and enactment of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act. The impact of these actions and future actions will take time to produce positive results.

In response to the reduced economic activity businesses have made significant reductions in their workforces, which have caused an increase in unemployment. Since January of 2008, the national unemployment rate has risen dramatically from 4.9% to 9.5% as of June 2009. Likewise, California's unemployment rate has increased from 6.1% in January 2008 to 11.6% as of June 2009. The escalation of unemployment has caused borrowers losing jobs to have a difficult time meeting their financial obligations and caused concerns among workers regarding their job security. Both of these factors have lowered overall confidence, particularly as it relates to one's own financial circumstances.

The rise in residential real estate values to all-time highs from 2003 to 2006, and the subsequent steep value declines in many residential real estate markets, have furthered the downturn in consumer confidence and has been a leading cause of the current recession. As residential real estate values declined, borrowers that had obtained subprime and high loan-to-value mortgages began to default in high percentages on their mortgage obligations. These defaults were due to a variety of reasons, including borrowers' inability to manage their mortgage payments, dramatic increases in mortgage payments from adjustable rate mortgage loans, rising unemployment and reduced or negative equity. As borrowers defaulted upon their loans in record numbers and property values fell, real estate lenders have sought to minimize risk and became more cautious in their real estate lending activities.

In California, loan defaults and the subsequent filings of notices of default to enforce lenders' remedies against defaulted borrowers rose throughout most of 2007 and 2008. However in the second quarter of 2009, the number of notices of default filed decreased by 8.0% from the first quarter of 2009, but was 2.5% higher than the second quarter of 2008. Likewise, trustee's deeds issued at the foreclosure sale of a property decreased in San Francisco County from 142 during the second quarter of 2008 to 136, or 1.1 per 1,000 homes, during the second quarter of 2009. That number also decreased in Los Angeles County from 9,568 during the second quarter 2008 to 6,922, or 1.0 per 1,000 homes, during the second quarter of 2009.

Increased defaults, declining real estate values and losses on some loans have led to more restrictive loan to value requirements, more stringent underwriting standards and the elimination of a wide variety of lending programs, which in turn has significantly reduced the number of potential buyers and borrowers for both residential and commercial property. Lenders' aversion to real estate secured lending has left FHA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and large, well-capitalized portfolio lenders as the primary sources of capital, and even these sources are tightening their lending guidelines. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have created two types of maximum loan amounts they are willing to purchase. For most single family properties there are "Conforming" loans, which are loans up to \$417,000 and in some of the higher-priced regions of California, such as the San Francisco Bay Area, "High Cost" loans which are up to \$729,750. Many of the properties the partnership has lent upon have loans exceeding these amounts and would not be eligible for purchase by these lenders. In all respects, money available for real estate lending has been greatly curtailed. Access to borrowed monies is the lifeblood to a functioning real estate market and the recent restrictions and reductions have led to an overall reduction in real estate activity.

Mortgage interest rates are a key factor in the affordability of real estate. The higher the interest rate, the less affordable real estate becomes. Interest rates are currently near historic lows. Freddie Mac reports for July 2009, the 30-year fixed-rate mortgage interest rate averaged 5.22% with an average cost of 0.7 points. Last year at this time, the interest rate on the same loan averaged 6.43% and cost 0.6 points. The lower interest rates have helped homeowners qualify for mortgages and provided those seeking to purchase residential property with lower payment rates and increased affordability. This improved affordability is one likely reason why residential real estate sales volumes have been increasing since July 2008. In June 2009, California sales volumes of new and resale houses and condominiums rose 25.5% from June 2008.

Median home prices have declined from their highs in 2005 and 2006. The median sales price of an existing California home, as reported by Dataquick, was \$246,000 in June 2009, a 10.0% increase from March 2009 but a 25.0% drop from \$328,000 in June 2008. These value declines from 2008 have caused great difficulties for residential owners and their lenders. Owners desiring to sell property often must face the prospect of selling at prices less than their acquisition cost, resulting in a loss to the owner. Lenders on secured properties often find the decline in values has tightened their lendable equity or in some instances resulted in their loan being larger than the collateral property is worth. In the current environment, borrowers owning residential properties may find it difficult to refinance or sell their properties. This situation may cause or even force borrowers to hold their existing financing longer than they normally would choose or originally anticipated due to the lack of other financing alternatives or the inability to sell the property and pay off the existing debt through property sale.

Should a borrower encounter difficulty in making their mortgage payments or paying off a loan at its maturity, the lender must decide whether to work with the borrowers to assist them through a period of financial difficulty or proceed with remedies provided in the loan documents, often resulting in a foreclosure of the property. Slow and longer sales periods and often lower property values, coupled with a general lack of financing alternatives and stringent underwriting standards have forced many borrowers and lenders to make these difficult choices.

In light of the current economic conditions, the partnership has been increasing the allowance for loan losses, and has taken other actions to protect the capital of its investors. Nevertheless, the partnership is expecting increased loan delinquencies, lower levels of loan repayments, increased numbers of loan extensions, workouts and foreclosures as some borrowers are unable to meet their financial obligations. In some instances, the partnership anticipates realizing losses should it take back the real estate securing these loans and choose to immediately sell the property. The partnership may also consider accepting less than the amount owed on a mortgage to facilitate the sale of real estate at its current market value, commonly known as a short sale. The partnership believes it may be beneficial, in some cases to hold a property as an investment if the property has the potential to generate rental income or the value of the property can be enhanced through improvements. Given the difficult economic environment, the partnership anticipates holding many of the properties it forecloses upon for periods of time rather than immediately selling the properties. In the interim, this will result in the partnership being a holder of both debt and equity on California real estate.

#### Part I – Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Under the reporting requirements of Smaller Reporting Companies, the partnership has elected not to report on this item.

#### Part I – Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of June 30, 2009, the partnership carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the general partners of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the partnership's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, the general partners concluded as of the end of the period covered by this report, that the partnership's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the partnership in the reports that it files or submits under that Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to the general partners as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in the partnership's internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time the partnership is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, such matters will not have a material effect upon the financial position of the partnership.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not Applicable

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

#### Item 3. **Defaults upon Senior Securities**

Not Applicable.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not Applicable.

## Item 5. **Other Information**

Not Applicable.

#### Item 6. **Exhibits**

- 31.1 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

## **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized on the 14th day of August, 2009.

## REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VII, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: /S/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, General Partner

By: Gymno Corporation, General Partner

By: /S/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, President,

Secretary/Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer

#### GENERAL PARTNER CERTIFICATION

#### I, Michael R. Burwell, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Redwood Mortgage Investors VII, a California Limited Partnership (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15-d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's forth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, General Partner August 14, 2009

#### PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

#### I, Michael R. Burwell, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Redwood Mortgage Investors VII, a California Limited Partnership (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15-d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's forth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, President, Secretary/Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, of Gymno Corporation, General Partner August 14, 2009

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Redwood Mortgage Investors VII, a California Limited Partnership (the "Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, I, Michael R. Burwell, certify, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Partnership at the dates and for the periods indicated.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Partnership and will be retained by the Partnership and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, General Partner August 14, 2009

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Redwood Mortgage Investors VII, a California Limited Partnership (the "Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, I, Michael R. Burwell, certify, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Partnership at the dates and for the periods indicated.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Partnership and will be retained by the Partnership and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, President, Secretary/Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer of Gymno Corporation, General Partner August 14, 2009