February 4, 2010

Via Fax & U.S. Mail

Mr. John D. Johnson, Chief Executive Officer CHS Inc. 5500 Cenex Drive Inner Grove Heights, Minnesota 55077

Re: CHS Inc.

Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2009

Filed November 10, 2009 File No. 000-50150

Dear Mr. Johnson:

We have reviewed your response letter dated January 12, 2010 and have the following comments. Unless otherwise indicated, we think you should revise your document in future filings in response to these comments. If you disagree, we will consider your explanation as to why our comments are inapplicable or a revision is unnecessary. Please be as detailed as necessary in your explanation. In some of our comments, we may ask you to provide us with information so we may better understand your disclosure. After reviewing this information, we may raise additional comments.

Please understand that the purpose of our review process is to assist you in your compliance with the applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall disclosure in your filing. We look forward to working with you in these respects. We welcome any questions you may have about our comments or any other aspect of our review. Feel free to call us at the telephone numbers listed at the end of this letter.

Please respond to confirm that such comments will be complied with, or, if certain of the comments are deemed inappropriate, advise the staff of your reason. Your response should be submitted in electronic form, under the label "corresp" with a copy to the staff. Please respond within ten (10) business days.

Mr. John D. Johnson, Chief Executive Officer CHS Inc. February 4, 2010 Page 2

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2009

<u>Financial Statements, page F-1</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, page F-6</u>
Note 4 Investments, page F-13

1. We note your response to prior comment 2 and require further information. Your response indicates that the arrangements do not meet the definition of a derivative under ASC Topic 815-10-15-83. Please provide us with a full and detailed analysis of each category listed in your response and explain in further detail why such contracts do not meet the definition of a liability. Furthermore, please include in your response a full analysis of each category of contract under ASC Topic 480. As part of your response, please specifically address whether if any of events specified in the contracts occur, the company is required to purchase the equity shares or if acquiring the shares is at the option of the company. We may have further comment upon review of your response.

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, page F-9
Property, Plant and Equipment, page F-9
Note 6 Other Assets, page F-17

- 2. We note your response to prior comment 3 but do not believe the revisions made to the financial statements were fully responsive to our prior comment. As requested in our prior comment, please revise the notes to your financial statements in your next amendment to the Form S-1 and in future filings to:
 - State that the selection of the deferral method, as opposed to expensing the
 cost when incurred, results in deferring recognition of these major
 maintenance expenditures and results in your classification of the related cash
 outflows as investing activities in your statement of cash flows whereas others
 that expense these costs as incurred, classify the cash outflows as operating
 cash flows; and
 - Include a roll-forward of the major maintenance expenditures, including opening balance, cost deferred, amortization, any write-offs, and ending balance in a tabular format.

Mr. John D. Johnson, Chief Executive Officer CHS Inc. February 4, 2010 Page 3

You may contact Heather Clark at 202-551-3624 or me at 202-551-3813 if you have questions regarding comments on the financial statements and related matters.

Sincerely,

Linda Cvrkel Branch Chief