UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE [X]**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2009 OR TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE [] **SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** For the transition period from ______ to _____ Commission File Number: 000-17573 REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VI, a California Limited Partnership (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) California 94-3031211 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation (I.R.S. Employer or organization) Identification No.) 900 Veterans Blvd., Suite 500, Redwood City, CA 94063-1743 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) (650) 365-5341 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) NOT APPLICABLE (Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. XX No _____ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

No ____

| • | ompany. Se | e the definitions | e accelerated filer, an accelerated of "large accelerated filer" "accet. | |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| (Check one): | | | | |
| Large accelerated | | [] | Accelerated filer Smaller reporting com | [] pany [X] |
| Tron decentrated | 1101 | porting company) | Smaller reporting com | pany [21] |
| Indicate by check mark v | whether the r | egistrant is a shell | company (as defined in Rule 12 | b-2 of the Exchange Act). |
| Yes | No | XX | | |

Part I – Item I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VI (A California Limited Partnership) BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2009 (unaudited) AND DECEMBER 31, 2008 (audited)

ASSETS

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 675,516 | \$ 333,800 |
| Loans | | |
| Loans, secured by deeds of trust Loans, unsecured, net discount of \$99,883 and \$108,080 for | 5,396,100 | 5,777,110 |
| June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively | 256,853 | 277,126 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (518,368) | (489,913) |
| Net loans | 5,134,585 | 5,564,323 |
| Interest and other receivables | | |
| Accrued interest and late fees | 99,357 | 82,450 |
| Advances on loans | 5,326 | 2,306 |
| Total interest and other receivables | 104,683 | 84,756 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,914,784 | \$ 5,982,879 |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS | S' CAPITAL | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 34,236 | \$ — |
| Payable to affiliate | 12,447 | 9,640 |
| Total liabilities | 46,683 | 9,640 |
| Partners' capital | | |
| Limited partners' capital, subject to redemption | 5,858,340 | 5,963,478 |
| General partners' capital | 9,761 | 9,761 |
| Total partners' capital | 5,868,101 | 5,973,239 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ 5,914,784 | \$ 5,982,879 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VI

(A California Limited Partnership) STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008 (unaudited)

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, | | | SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|----|------------------------------|---------------|----|---------|
| | | 2009 | | 2008 | 2009 | | 2008 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Interest on loans | \$ | 118,074 | \$ | 124,936 | \$ 249,437 | \$ | 245,264 |
| Other interest | | 1,622 | | 917 | 2,477 | | 3,448 |
| Late charges, prepayment penalties and fees | | 2,057 | | 1,653 | 2,824 | | 3,635 |
| Total revenues | | 121,753 | | 127,506 | 254,738 | | 252,347 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage servicing fees | | 12,081 | | | 24,144 | | |
| Asset management fees | | 5,567 | | 1,906 | 11,176 | | 3,822 |
| Clerical costs through Redwood Mortgage Corp. | | 1,984 | | 1,587 | 3,970 | | 3,224 |
| Provision for losses on loans | | 14,289 | | 8,934 | 33,846 | | 20,126 |
| Professional services | | 18,330 | | 19,757 | 32,650 | | 37,655 |
| Other | | 3,361 | | 6,322 | 4,144 | | 7,591 |
| Total expenses | | 55,612 | | 38,506 | 109,930 | | 72,418 |
| Net income | \$ | 66,141 | \$ | 89,000 | \$ 144,808 | \$ | 179,929 |
| Net income: general partners (1%) | \$ | 661 | \$ | 890 | \$ 1,448 | \$ | 1,799 |
| limited partners (99%) | | 65,480 | | 88,110 | 143,360 | | 178,130 |
| | \$ | 66,141 | \$ | 89,000 | \$ 144,808 | \$ | 179,929 |
| Net income per \$1,000 invested by limited partners for entire period | | | | | | | |
| -where income is compounded and retained | \$ | 11 | \$ | 14 | \$ 24 | \$ | 29 |
| -where partner receives income in monthly distributions | \$ | 11 | \$ | 14 | \$ 24 | \$ | 29 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VI

(A California Limited Partnership) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2008 (unaudited)

| | 2009 | | 2008 | | |
|--|------|-----------|------|-------------|--|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 144,808 | \$ | 179,929 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided | | | | | |
| by operating activities | | | | | |
| Provision for losses on loans | | 33,846 | | 20,126 | |
| Early withdrawal penalties credited to income | | (1,372) | | (1,415) | |
| Amortization of discount on unsecured loans | | (8,197) | | (6,116) | |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities | | | | | |
| Loans, unsecured | | 23,079 | | (17,183) | |
| Accrued interest and late fees | | (16,907) | | 15,059 | |
| Advances on loan | | (3,020) | | (526) | |
| Receivable from affiliate | | | | (480) | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | 34,236 | | _ | |
| Payable to affiliate | | 2,807 | | (1,780) | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 209,280 | | 187,614 | |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | |
| Principal collected on loans | | 628,010 | | 896,794 | |
| Loans originated | | (247,000) | | (1,177,614) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | 381,010 | | (280,820) | |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | |
| Partners' withdrawals | | (248,574) | | (247,600) | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 341,716 | | (340,806) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of period | | 333,800 | | 515,852 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents – end of period | \$ | 675,516 | \$ | 175,046 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTE 1 – GENERAL

In the opinion of the management of the partnership, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal, recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information included therein. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the partnership's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Cash and cash equivalents

The partnership places its cash and temporary cash investments with high credit quality institutions. Periodically, such investments may be in excess of federally insured limits.

Loans secured by deeds of trust

The partnership generally funds loans with a fixed interest rate and a five-year term. Approximately half of all currently outstanding loans require monthly payments of interest, with the principal due in full at maturity. The other loans require monthly payments of principal and interest, typically calculated on a 30 year amortization, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity.

Loans generally are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balance with interest thereon being accrued as earned.

If the probable ultimate recovery of the carrying amount of a loan, with due consideration for the fair value of collateral, is less than amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, and the shortfall in the amounts due are significant, the carrying amount of the loan is reduced to the present value of future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. If a loan is collateral dependent, it is valued at the estimated fair value of the related collateral.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the partnership had four loans past maturity or more than 90 days past due in interest payments or principal, with aggregate principal balances of \$674,634 and \$428,578, respectively. In addition, accrued interest, late charges and advances totaled \$28,517 and \$23,491, respectively. At June 30, 2009, the partnership had filed notices of default on two loans totaling \$387,452, one of which was included in the more than 90 days past due totals. At December 31, 2008, there was one loan totaling \$318,587 with a filed notice of default. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, as presented in Note 5, the average loan to appraised value of security, based upon appraised values and prior liens at the time the loans were consummated, was 57.34% and 58.19%, respectively.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Loans secured by deeds of trust (continued)

If events or changes in circumstances cause management to have serious doubts about the collectibility of the contractual payments, a loan may be categorized as impaired and interest is no longer accrued. Any subsequent payments on impaired loans are applied to reduce the outstanding loan balances, including accrued interest and advances. When loans are considered impaired the allowance for loan loss is updated to reflect the change in the valuation of collateral security. The tables below summarize the impaired loans and their activity for the periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| _ | As of June 30, | | | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------|----|----------|----|------------|----|----------|--|--|--|
| _ | | | Total | | Total | | Impaired | | | |
| | Number of | | Impaired | | Investment | | Loans | | | |
| | Impaired | | Loan | | Impaired | | Loss | | | |
| | Loans | | Balance | | Loans | | Reserve | | | |
| 2009 | 4 | \$ | 676,123 | \$ | 712,471 | \$ | 305,101 | | | |
| 2008 | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | | | |

| | For the t | three | months ended | June | 30, | For the six months ended | | | months ended | June 30, | | |
|------|---------------|-------|--------------|------|----------|--------------------------|------------|----|--------------|----------|----------|--|
| | Average | | | | Interest | | Average | | | | Interest | |
| | Investment | | Interest | | Income | | Investment | | Interest | | Income | |
| | Impaired | | Income | | Received | | Impaired | | Income | | Received | |
| | Loans | | Accrued | | In Cash | | Loans | | Accrued | | In Cash | |
| 2009 | \$ 714,318 | \$ | 3,063 | \$ | 7,001 | \$ | 711,582 | \$ | 12,795 | \$ | 12,813 | |
| 2008 | \$ | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | _ | \$ | | \$ | _ | |

Loans unsecured by deeds of trust

The partnership had three unsecured loans, net of a discount, totaling \$256,853 and \$277,126 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Interest is not being accrued as these loans are considered impaired; however, monthly payments are being received on each loan.

Allowance for loan losses

Loans and the related accrued interest, late fees and advances are analyzed on a periodic basis for recoverability. Delinquencies are identified and followed as part of the loan system. Delinquencies are determined in accordance with contractual terms of the loan agreements. A provision is made for loan losses to adjust the allowance for loan losses to an amount considered by management to be adequate, with due consideration to collateral values, to provide for unrecoverable loans and receivables, including impaired loans, other loans, accrued interest, late fees and advances on loans and other accounts receivable (unsecured). The partnership charges off uncollectible loans and related receivables directly to the allowance account once it is determined the full amount is not collectible.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Allowance for loan losses (continued)

The composition of the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was as follows:

| | | June 30, | 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|---|--|----------|-------|----|-------------------|--|--|
| | Percent of loans in each category to total | | | | | Percent of loans in each category to total | |
| | | Amount | loans | | Amount | loans | |
| Balance at end of period applicable to: | | | | | | | |
| Domestic | | | | | | | |
| Real estate – mortgage | | | | | | | |
| Single family | \$ | 369,589 | 58% | \$ | 285,151 | 55% | |
| Apartments | | 10,000 | 5 | | 10,000 | 4 | |
| Commercial | | 33,874 | 26 | | 57,509 | 30 | |
| Land | | 12,307 | 11 | | 12,427 | 11 | |
| Total real estate – mortgage | \$ | 425,770 | 100% | \$ | 365,087 | 100% | |
| Total unsecured loans | \$ | 92,598 | 100% | \$ | 124,826 | 100% | |
| Total allowance for losses | \$ | 518,368 | 100% | \$ | 489,913 | 100% | |

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
|---|---------------|------|---------|--|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 489,913 | \$ | 323,916 | |
| Charge-offs | | | | |
| Domestic | | | | |
| Real estate - mortgage | | | | |
| Single family | (5,391) | | _ | |
| Apartments | _ | | _ | |
| Commercial | _ | | _ | |
| | (5,391) | | | |
| Recoveries | | | | |
| Domestic | | | | |
| Real estate - mortgage | | | | |
| Single family | _ | | _ | |
| Apartments | _ | | _ | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| | _ | | _ | |
| Net charge-offs | (5,391) | | _ | |
| Additions charged to operations | 33,846 | | 20,126 | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 518,368 | \$ | 344,042 | |
| Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average | | | | |
| secured loans outstanding during the period | 0.10% | | 0.00% | |

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Income taxes

No provision for federal and state income taxes (other than an \$800 state minimum tax) is made in the financial statements since income taxes are the obligation of the limited partners if and when income taxes apply.

Net income per \$1,000 invested

Amounts reflected in the statements of income as net income per \$1,000 invested by limited partners for the entire period are amounts allocated to limited partners who held their investment throughout the period and have elected to either leave their earnings to compound or have elected to receive periodic distributions of their net income. Individual income is allocated each month based on the limited partners' pro rata share of partners' capital. Because the net income percentage varies from month to month, amounts per \$1,000 will vary for those individuals who made or withdrew investments during the period, or select other options.

Management estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions about the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. Such estimates relate principally to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, including the valuation of impaired loans and the valuation of real estate held. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications, not affecting previously reported net income or total partners' capital, may have been made to the previously issued financial statements to conform to the current year classification.

Late fee revenue

Late fees are generally charged at 6% of the monthly installment payment past due.

Profits and losses

Profits and losses are allocated among the limited partners according to their respective capital accounts monthly after 1% of the profits and losses are allocated to the general partners.

Subsequent events

The partnership has evaluated subsequent events through July 31, 2009, the date of issuance of the financial statements.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Recently issued accounting pronouncements

On January 1, 2008, the partnership adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The partnership deferred the application of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as provided for by FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*. FSP FAS 157-2 deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in an entity's financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material effect on the partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. SFAS 162 became effective on November 15, 2008. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the partnership's financial condition and results of operation.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is Not Active*, with an immediate effective date. The purpose of this release was to provide further clarification regarding Level 3 inputs and the assumptions management may make when the market for the asset is not active. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-3 did not have a material effect on the partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, with an effective date for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption being permitted. The purpose of this release was to provide additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS 157 (see above), when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. This release also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The adoption of this release did not have a material impact on the partnership's financial condition and results of operation.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, with an effective date for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption being permitted if one also early adopts FSP FAS 157-4. The purpose of this release is to require disclosure about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements and to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. The adoption of this release did not have a material impact on the partnership's disclosures.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Recently issued accounting pronouncements (continued)

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165). SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events occurring after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. This statement is effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2009 and did not have a material impact on the partnership's accounting or disclosures.

In June 2009 the FASB approved the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Codification was issued on July 1, 2009 and will be effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Upon the Codification issuance only one level of authoritative GAAP exists, other than guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. All other accounting literature excluded from the Codification is considered non-authoritative. The Codification is not expected to have a material impact on the partnership's accounting polices.

NOTE 3 – GENERAL PARTNERS AND RELATED PARTIES

The following are commissions and fees that are paid to the general partners and affiliates.

Mortgage brokerage commissions

For fees in connection with the review, selection, evaluation, negotiation and extension of loans, Redwood Mortgage Corp., an affiliate of the general partners, may collect an amount equivalent to 12% of the loaned amount until 6 months after the termination date of the offering. Thereafter, loan brokerage commissions (points) will be limited to an amount not to exceed 4% of the total partnership assets per year. The loan brokerage commissions are paid by the borrowers, and thus, are not an expense of the partnership.

Mortgage servicing fees

Redwood Mortgage Corp., an affiliate of the general partners, receives monthly mortgage servicing fees of up to 1/8 of 1% (1.5% annual) of the unpaid principal balance of the loan portfolio, or such lesser amount as is reasonable and customary in the geographic area where the property securing the mortgage is located. Historically, Redwood Mortgage Corp. has charged 1.0% annually, and on occasion has waived additional amounts to enhance the partnership's earnings. Redwood Mortgage Corp. does not use any specific criteria when deciding the exact amount of fees to be waived. Once a loan is categorized as impaired, mortgage servicing fees are no longer accrued. Additional servicing fees are recorded upon the receipt of any subsequent payments on impaired loans. The table below summarizes the mortgage servicing fees paid to Redwood Mortgage Corp. for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| | Th | ree months | ended | June 30, | S | Six months ended June 30, | | | | |
|--------------------|----|------------|-------|----------|----|---------------------------|----|----------|--|--|
| | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | |
| Maximum chargeable | \$ | 18,121 | \$ | 18,725 | \$ | 36,216 | \$ | 37,307 | | |
| Waived | | (6,040) | | (18,725) | | (12,072) | | (37,307) | | |
| Net charged | \$ | 12,081 | \$ | | \$ | 24,144 | \$ | | | |

NOTE 3 – GENERAL PARTNERS AND RELATED PARTIES (continued)

Asset management fee

The general partners receive monthly fees for managing the partnership's loan portfolio and operations of up to 1/32 of 1% of the "net asset value" (3/8 of 1% annually). At times, to enhance the earnings to the partnership, the general partners have charged less than the maximum allowable rate. The general partners do not use any specific criteria when deciding the exact amount of fees to be waived. The table below summarizes the asset management fees paid to the general partners for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| | Th | ree months | ended | June 30, | Six months ended June 30, | | | |
|--------------------|----|------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------|------|---------|
| | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | 2008 | |
| Maximum chargeable | \$ | 5,567 | \$ | 5,718 | \$ | 11,176 | \$ | 11,466 |
| Waived | | (—) | | (3,812) | | (—) | | (7,644) |
| Net charged | \$ | 5,567 | \$ | 1,906 | \$ | 11,176 | \$ | 3,822 |

Other fees

The partnership agreement provides for other fees such as reconveyance, mortgage assumption and mortgage extension fees. These fees are incurred by the borrowers and paid to the general partners or their affiliates.

Operating expenses

The general partners or their affiliate, Redwood Mortgage Corp., are reimbursed by the partnership for all operating expenses incurred by them on behalf of the partnership, including without limitation, out-of-pocket general and administration expenses of the partnership, accounting and audit fees, legal fees and expenses, postage and preparation of reports to limited partners.

NOTE 4 – FAIR VALUE

SFAS 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The partnership determines the fair values of its assets and liabilities based on the fair value hierarchy established in SFAS 157. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value (Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3). Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the partnership has the ability to access at the measurement date. An active market is a market in which transactions occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs reflect the partnership's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the partnership's own data.

NOTE 4 – FAIR VALUE (continued)

The partnership does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following table reflects assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2009:

| | Fair V | Fair Value Measurement at Report Date Using | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Quoted Prices | Significant | | | | | | | | |
| | in Active | Other | Significant | | | | | | | |
| | Markets for | Observable | Unobservable | Total | | | | | | |
| | Identical Assets | Inputs | Inputs | as of | | | | | | |
| Item | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | June 30, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Impaired secured loans | \$ — | <u>\$</u> | \$ 407,369 | \$ 407,369 | | | | | | |
| Unsecured loans | \$ — | \$ | \$ 164,776 | \$ 164,776 | | | | | | |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value:

- (a) Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amount equals fair value. All amounts, including interest bearing, are subject to immediate withdrawal.
- (b) Secured loans (Level 2). The fair value of the non-impaired loans of \$4,728,504 and \$5,527,000 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, was estimated based upon projected cash flows discounted at the estimated current interest rates at which similar loans would be made. The applicable amount of the allowance for loan losses along with accrued interest and advances related thereto should also be considered in evaluating the fair value versus the carrying value. For loans in which a specific allowance is established based on the fair value of the collateral, the partnership records the loan as nonrecurring Level 2 if the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value. If an appraised value is not available or the fair value of the collateral is considered impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the partnership records the loan as nonrecurring Level 3.
- (c) Unsecured loans (Level 3). The carrying amount equals fair value. Unsecured loans are valued at their principal less any discount or loss reserves established by management after taking into account the borrower's creditworthiness and ability to repay the loan.

NOTE 5 – ASSET CONCENTRATIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the loans secured by recorded deeds of trust had the following characteristics:

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| Number of secured loans outstanding | 24 | | 24 | |
| Total secured loans outstanding | \$ 5,396,100 | \$ | 5,777,110 | |
| Average secured loan outstanding | \$ 224,838 | \$ | 240,713 | |
| Average secured loan as percent of total secured loans | 4.17% | | 4.17% | |
| Average secured loan as percent of partners' capital | 3.83% | | 4.03% | |
| Largest secured loan outstanding | \$ 615,329 | \$ | 621,363 | |
| Largest secured loan as percent of total secured loans | 11.40% | | 10.76% | |
| Largest secured loan as percent of partners' capital | 10.49% | | 10.40% | |
| Largest secured loan as percent of total assets | 10.40% | | 10.39% | |
| Number of counties where security is located (all California) | 16 | | 16 | |
| Largest percentage of secured loans in one county | 16.71% | | 19.98% | |
| Number of secured loans in foreclosure status | 2 | | 1 | |
| Amounts of secured loans in foreclosure | \$ 387,452 | \$ | 318,587 | |

At times, a specific loan may increase above 10% of the secured loan portfolio or partnership assets as the loan portfolio and assets of the partnership decrease due to limited partner withdrawals and/or loan payoffs.

The following categories of secured loans were held at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| | | June 30, 2009 | De | ecember 31, 2008 |
|--|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|
| First trust deeds Second trust deeds Third trust deeds Total loans Prior liens due other lenders at time of loan | \$ | 3,344,893 1,851,207 200,000 5,396,100 4,879,119 | \$ | 3,720,819 1,856,291 200,000 5,777,110 4,879,119 |
| Total debt Appraised property value at time of loan | <u>\$</u> \$ | 10,275,219 17,921,129 | <u>\$</u> \$ | 10,656,229 18,313,659 |
| Total loans as percent of appraisals based on appraised values and prior liens at time loan was consummated | | 57.34% | <u>-</u> | 58.19% |
| Loans by type of property Single family Apartments Commercial Land | \$ | 3,147,207 246,064 1,387,500 615,329 5,396,100 | \$ | 3,156,804 248,083 1,750,860 621,363 5,777,110 |

NOTE 5 – ASSET CONCENTRATIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS (continued)

Scheduled maturity dates of secured loans as of June 30, 2009 are as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| 2009 | \$ 200,000 |
| 2010 | 1,329,858 |
| 2011 | 1,630,617 |
| 2012 | 856,247 |
| 2013 | 199,246 |
| Thereafter | 1,180,132 |
| | \$ 5,396,100 |

No loans were past maturity at June 30, 2009.

NOTE 6 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Workout agreements

From time to time, the partnership negotiates and enters into contractual workout agreements with borrowers. Under the terms of these workout agreements the partnership is not obligated to make any additional monetary advances for the maintenance or repair of the collateral securing the loans. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there were four and two loans, respectively, in workout agreements.

Legal proceedings

The partnership is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, such matters will not have a material effect upon the financial position of the partnership.

Part I – Item 2.

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE PARTNERSHIP

Forward-Looking Statements.

Certain statements in this Report on Form 10-Q which are not historical facts may be considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements regarding the partnership's expectations, hopes, intentions, beliefs and strategies regarding the future. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding future interest rates and economic conditions and their effect on the partnership and its assets, trends in the California real estate market, estimates as to the allowance for loan losses, estimates of future limited partner withdrawals, 2009 annualized yield estimates, additional foreclosures in 2009, expectations regarding the levels of loan delinquencies and loan repayments, expectations regarding the numbers of loan extensions, workouts and foreclosures, beliefs relating to the impact on the partnership from current economic conditions and trends in the financial and credit markets, beliefs regarding the partnership's ability to recover its investment in certain properties, expectations regarding the partnership's plans to hold or sell properties which it forecloses, beliefs regarding the effect of borrower foreclosures on liquidity, the use of excess cash flow and the intention not to sell the partnership's loan portfolio. Actual results may be materially different from what is projected by such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include unexpected changes in economic conditions and interest rates, the impact of competition and competitive pricing and downturns in the real estate markets in which the partnership has made loans. All forward-looking statements and reasons why results may differ included in this Form 10-Q are made as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statement or reason why actual results may differ.

Critical Accounting Policies.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates based on the information available that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet dates and revenues and expenses for the reporting periods. Such estimates relate principally to the determination of (1) the allowance for loan losses (i.e. the amount of allowance established against loans receivable as an estimate of potential loan losses) including the accrued interest and advances that are estimated to be unrecoverable based on estimates of amounts to be collected plus estimates of the value of the property as collateral and (2) the valuation of real estate. At June 30, 2009, the partnership did not own any real estate.

Loans and the related accrued interest, late fees and advances are analyzed on a periodic basis for recoverability. Delinquencies are identified and followed as part of the loan system. Delinquencies are determined based upon contractual terms. A provision is made for loan losses to adjust the allowance for loan losses to an amount considered by management to be adequate, with due consideration to collateral values, and to provide for unrecoverable loans and receivables, including impaired loans, other loans, accrued interest, late fees and advances on loans and other accounts receivable (unsecured). The partnership charges off uncollectible loans and related receivables directly to the allowance account once it is determined that the full amount is not collectible.

If the probable ultimate recovery of the carrying amount of a loan, with due consideration for the fair value of collateral, is less than amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement and the shortfall in the amounts due are not insignificant, the carrying amount of the loan is reduced to the present value of future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. If a loan is collateral dependent, it is valued at the estimated fair value of the related collateral.

If events and or changes in circumstances cause management to have serious doubts about the collectibility of the contractual payments, a loan may be categorized as impaired and interest is no longer accrued. Any subsequent payments on impaired loans are applied to reduce the outstanding loan balances, including accrued interest and advances.

Recent trends in the economy, particularly the downward trend in real estate values, have been taken into consideration in the aforementioned process of arriving at the allowance for loan losses. Actual results could vary from the aforementioned provisions for losses.

Real estate held includes real estate acquired through foreclosure and is stated at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan, plus any senior indebtedness, or at the property's estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell.

The partnership periodically compares the carrying value of real estate to expected undiscounted future cash flows for the purpose of assessing the recoverability of the recorded amounts. If the carrying value exceeds future undiscounted cash flows, the assets are reduced to estimated fair value.

Related Parties.

The general partners of the partnership are Gymno Corporation and Michael R. Burwell. Most partnership business is conducted through Redwood Mortgage Corp., an affiliate of the general partners, which arranges, services and maintains the loan portfolio for the benefit of the partnership. The fees received by the affiliate and the general partners are paid pursuant to the partnership agreement and are determined at the sole discretion of the general partners, subject to limitations imposed by the partnership agreement. In the past the general partners have elected not to take the maximum compensation. The following is a list of various partnership activities for which related parties are compensated.

- *Mortgage Brokerage Commissions* For fees in connection with the review, selection, evaluation, negotiation and extension of loans, Redwood Mortgage Corp. may collect an amount equivalent to 12% of the loaned amount until 6 months after the termination date of the offering. Thereafter, the loan brokerage commissions (points) will be limited to an amount not to exceed 4% of the total partnership assets per year. The loan brokerage commissions are paid by the borrowers, and thus, are not an expense of the partnership. Loan brokerage commissions paid by the borrowers were \$3,510 and \$18,500 for the three month periods and \$7,410 and \$21,000 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- Mortgage Servicing Fees Redwood Mortgage Corp. receives monthly mortgage servicing fees of up to 1/8 of 1% (1.5% on an annual basis) of the unpaid principal of the partnership's loans or such lesser amount as is reasonable and customary in the geographic area where the property securing the mortgage is located. Historically, Redwood Mortgage Corp. has charged 1.0% annually, and on occasion has waived additional amounts to enhance the partnership's earnings and thereby increase returns to the limited partners. Such fee waivers were not made for the purpose of providing the partnership with sufficient funds to satisfy withdrawal requests, nor to meet any required level of distributions, as the partnership has no such required level of distributions. Redwood Mortgage Corp. does not use any specific criteria when deciding the exact amount of fees to be waived. The decision to waive fees and the amount, if any, to be waived, is made by Redwood Mortgage Corp. in its sole discretion. There is no assurance that Redwood Mortgage Corp. will waive fees at similar levels, or at all, in the future. The table below summarizes the mortgage servicing fees paid to Redwood Mortgage Corp. for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| | Th | ree months e | ended | l June 30, | S | ix months er | nded June 30, | | | |
|--------------------|----|--------------|-------|------------|----|--------------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| | · | 2009 | 2008 | | | 2009 | 2008 | | | |
| Maximum chargeable | \$ | 18,121 | \$ | 18,725 | \$ | 36,216 | \$ | 37,307 | | |
| Waived | | (6,040) | | (18,725) | | (12,072) | | (37,307) | | |
| Net charged | \$ | 12,081 | | \$ | | \$ 24,144 | | _ | | |

• Asset Management Fees The general partners receive monthly fees for managing the partnership's portfolio and operations of up to 1/32 of 1% of the 'net asset value' (3/8 of 1% on an annual basis). At times, to enhance the earnings to the partnership, the general partners have charged less than the maximum allowable rate. Such fee waivers were not made with the purpose of providing the partnership with sufficient funds to satisfy withdrawal requests, nor to meet any required level of distributions, as the partnership has no such required level of distributions. The general partners do not use any specific criteria when deciding the exact amount of fees to be waived. The decision to waive fees and the amount, if any, to be waived, is made by the general partners in their sole discretion. There is no assurance that the general partners will waive fees at similar levels, or at all, in the future. The table below summarizes the asset management fees paid to the general partners for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| | Th | ree months | ended | d June 30, | S | ix months er | ided June 30, | | |
|--------------------|----------|------------|-------|------------|----|--------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| | <u> </u> | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Maximum chargeable | \$ | 5,567 | | 5,718 | \$ | 11,176 | \$ | \$ 11,466 | |
| Waived | | (—) | | (3,812) | | (—) | | (7,644) | |
| Net charged | \$ | 5,567 | \$ | 1,906 | \$ | 11,176 | \$ | 3,822 | |

- Other Fees The partnership agreement provides the general partners may receive other fees such as processing and escrow, reconveyance, mortgage assumption and mortgage extension fees. Such fees are incurred by the borrowers and are paid to the general partners. Such fees aggregated \$105 and \$1,075 for the three month periods and \$730 and \$1,530 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- *Income and Losses* All income and losses are credited or charged to partners in relation to their respective partnership interests. The allocation to the general partners (combined) is a total of 1%, which was \$661 and \$890 for the three month periods and \$1,448 and \$1,799 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- *Operating Expenses* An affiliate of the partnership, Redwood Mortgage Corp. is reimbursed by the partnership for all operating expenses actually incurred by it on behalf of the partnership, including without limitation, out-of-pocket general and administration expenses of the partnership, accounting and audit fees, legal fees and expenses, postage and preparation of reports to limited partners. Such reimbursement was \$1,984 and \$1,587 for the three month periods and \$3,970 and \$3,224 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- *Contributed Capital* The general partners jointly and severally contribute 1/10 of 1% in cash contributions as proceeds from the offerings are received from the limited partners. As of each of June 30, 2009 and 2008, a general partner, Gymno Corporation, had contributed \$9,761 as capital in accordance with the partnership agreement.

Results of Operations.

Changes in the partnership's operating results are tabulated for reference and discussed below:

| | | hanges durin nonths ended 2009 versu | l June 30, | Changes durin months ended 2009 versu | l June 30, | |
|--|---------|--|------------|---|------------|--|
| | Dollars | | Percent | Dollars | Percent | |
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Interest on loans | \$ | (6,862) | (5) % | \$ 4,173 | 2 % | |
| Other interest | | 705 | 77 | (971) | (28) | |
| Late fees, prepayment penalties and fees | | 404 | 24 | (811) | (22) | |
| Total revenues | | (5,753) | (5) | 2,391 | 1 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| Mortgage servicing fees | | 12,081 | _ | 24,144 | _ | |
| Asset management fees | | 3,661 | 192 | 7,354 | 192 | |
| Clerical costs through Redwood Mortgage Corp | | 397 | 25 | 746 | 23 | |
| Provision for losses on loans | | 5,355 | 60 | 13,720 | 68 | |
| Professional services | | (1,427) | (7) | (5,005) | (13) | |
| Other | | (2,961) | (47) | (3,447) | (45) | |
| Total expenses | | 17,106 | 44 | 37,512 | 52 | |
| Net income | \$ | (22,859) | (26) % | \$ (35,121) | (20) % | |

Please refer to the above table throughout the discussions of Results of Operations

The decrease in interest on loans for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was due to the non-accrual of interest on impaired loans, offset by an increase in the average loan portfolio balance. The increase for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was due to an increase in the average loan portfolio balance, offset by the non-accrual of interest on impaired loans. Each period includes income gained through amortization of discount on unsecured notes, which has been eliminated in the calculation of the average interest rate. The table below recaps these averages for the three month periods.

| | Three months en | nded June 30, | Six months en | ided June 30, |
|------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | Average | | Average |
| | | Interest | | Interest |
| | Average | Rate | Average | Rate |
| | Secured | Adjusted | Secured | Adjusted |
| | Loan | For | Loan | For |
| Year | Balance | Discount | Balance | Discount |
| 2008 | \$ 5,151,432 | 9.46% | \$ 5,067,370 | 9.44% |
| 2009 | \$ 5,450,934 | 8.29% | \$ 5,600,878 | 8.61% |

The increase in other interest for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 2008 was due to a higher average balance of deposits in the interest-bearing accounts offset by a lower average rate of interest earned. The decrease for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 2008 was due to a lower average interest rate earned, offset by higher average balance of deposits. The table below summarizes the components in this area.

| | Tl | hree months er | nded June 30, | Six months en | ded June 30, | | |
|------|----|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| | | Average | | Average | | | |
| |] | Interest- | Average | Interest | Average | | |
| |] | Bearing | Interest | Bearing | Interest | | |
| Year |] | Balance | Rate | Balance | Rate | | |
| 2008 | \$ | 261,389 | 1.40% | \$ 382,052 | 1.80% | | |
| 2009 | \$ | 648,981 | 1.00% | \$ 487,082 | 1.02% | | |

Mortgage servicing fees increased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008. For 2009, Redwood Mortgage Corp. waived one-third of these fees, and for 2008 had waived all of these fees.

The increase in asset management fees for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008, was due to the general partners charging one-third the allowable rate in 2008 compared to charging the allowable rate in 2009.

The increase in the provision for losses on loans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008 was due to management's decision to increase the allowance for losses to reflect the current market conditions.

The decrease in professional services for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008, was due to general decreases in professional costs for legal services, audits, tax return processing and the timing of billing.

The decrease in other expenses for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008 was due to costs associated with an owned property which was sold in late 2008.

Partnership capital decreased from \$5,973,239 at December 31, 2008 to \$5,868,101 at June 30, 2009. The decrease is attributable to capital liquidations exceeding compounding earnings. The partnership capital declined \$143,376 in 2008 and is anticipated to decrease at a similar rate in 2009.

The partnership began funding loans in October 1987. The aggregate principal balance of the partnership's secured loans outstanding as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$5,396,100 and \$5,777,110, respectively.

Delinquencies on partnership loans are within the normal historical range of the general partners' expectations, based upon their experience in managing similar partnerships over the last twenty-eight years. Foreclosures are a normal aspect of partnership operations and the general partners anticipate they will not have a material effect on liquidity. As of June 30, 2009, there were two loans with a filed notice of default.

Cash is generated from borrower payments of interest, principal and loan payoffs. Currently the credit and financial markets are facing a significant and prolonged disruption. As a result, loans are not readily available to borrowers or purchasers of real estate. These credit constraints may impact the partnership and our borrowers' ability to sell properties or refinance their loans in the event they have difficulty making loan payments or their loan matures. A slow down or reduction in loan repayments would likely reduce the partnership's cash flows and restrict the partnership's ability to invest in new loans or provide earnings and capital distributions. Excess cash flow is invested in new loan opportunities, when available, and used for other partnership business.

Allowance for Losses.

The general partners periodically review the loan portfolio, examining the status of delinquencies, the underlying collateral securing these loans, real estate held expenses, sales activities, and borrower's payment records and other data relating to the loan portfolio. Data on the local real estate market and on the national and local economy are studied. Based upon this and other information, the allowance for loan losses is increased or decreased. Borrower foreclosures are a normal aspect of partnership operations. The partnership is not a credit based lender and hence while it reviews the credit history and income of borrowers, and if applicable, the income from income producing properties, the general partners expect the partnership will on occasion take back real estate security.

At June 30, 2009 the partnership's loan portfolio had four loans with interest payments 90 days or more past due with an aggregate principal balance of \$674,634. These four loans are each secured by second deeds of trust. With respect to the loans with past due interest payments, the partnership intends to try to collect such payments in full and, if collection is not successful, the partnership may consider entering into a workout agreement, restructuring the loan or foreclosing on the property as the general partners deem appropriate based on their evaluation of each such loan. As of June 30, 2009 the partnership had no loans past maturity.

The partnership had two filed notices of default at June 30, 2009, one of which is included in the four delinquent loans noted above.

The partnership occasionally enters into workout agreements with borrowers who are past maturity or delinquent in their regular payments. Four of the partnership's loans totaling \$684,993 were subject to workout agreements as of June 30, 2009, one of which is included in the four delinquent loans noted above. Typically, a workout agreement allows the borrower to extend the maturity date of the balloon payment and/or allows the borrower to make current monthly payments while deferring for periods of time, past due payments, or allows time to pay the loan in full. By deferring maturity dates of balloon payments or deferring past due payments, workout agreements may adversely affect the partnership's cash flow.

Workout agreements and foreclosures generally exist within the loan portfolio to greater or lesser degrees, depending primarily on the health of the economy. The general partners expect the number of foreclosures and workout agreements will generally rise during economic downturns and conversely fall during good economic times. These workouts and delinquencies have been considered when the general partners arrive at an appropriate allowance for loan losses and based on their experience, are reflective of the partnership's loan marketplace segment. Because of the number of variables involved, the magnitude of possible swings and the general partners' inability to control many of these factors, actual results may and do sometimes differ significantly from estimates made by the general partners.

The partnership has three unsecured loans totaling \$256,853 net of a discount. These unsecured loans are considered impaired, however, regular payments are being received on all three loans.

The partnership made a provision of \$33,846 and \$20,126 to the loan loss reserve during the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The allowance for loan loss reserve of \$518,368 and \$489,913 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, is considered to be adequate for the total net loan portfolio balances of \$5,652,953 and \$6,054,236, respectively.

SECURED PORTFOLIO REVIEW

Secured Loan Portfolio

The partnership's loan portfolio consists primarily of short-term (one to five years), fixed rate loans secured by real estate. The majority of the real estate is located in the nine San Francisco Bay Area counties (San Mateo, Santa Clara, Alameda, San Francisco, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Marin and Contra Costa).

As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, the partnership held 24 and 25 secured loans respectively, in the following locations and categories:

| | June 30, | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | 200 | 9 | 2008 | | | | | |
| | | Dollars | Percent | Dollars | | Percent | | | |
| Location | | | | | | | | | |
| San Francisco Bay Area | \$ | 2,666,723 | 49% | \$ | 2,834,883 | 55% | | | |
| Other Northern California counties | | 1,835,030 | 34 | | 1,640,515 | 31 | | | |
| Southern California counties | | 894,347 | 17 | | 750,320 | 14 | | | |
| Total | \$ | 5,396,100 | 100% | \$ | 5,225,718 | 100% | | | |
| Property type | | | | | | | | | |
| Single Family | \$ | 3,147,207 | 58% | \$ | 2,874,545 | 55% | | | |
| Apartments | | 246,064 | 5 | | 600,313 | 11 | | | |
| Commercial | | 1,387,500 | 26 | | 1,750,860 | 34 | | | |
| Land | | 615,329 | 11 | | | _ | | | |
| Total | \$ | 5,396,100 | 100% | \$ | 5,225,718 | 100% | | | |

The following table sets forth the priorities, asset concentrations and maturities of the secured loans held by the partnership as of June 30, 2009:

| | # of Loans | | Amount | Percent | |
|---|------------|----|-----------|---------|--|
| First trust deeds | 13 | \$ | 3,344,893 | 62% | |
| Second trust deeds | 10 | 7 | 1,851,207 | 34% | |
| Third trust deeds | 1 | | 200,000 | 4% | |
| Total | 24 | \$ | 5,396,100 | 100% | |
| Maturing prior to 12/31/09 | 1 | \$ | 200,000 | 4% | |
| Maturing during 2010 | 5 | | 1,329,858 | 25% | |
| Maturing during 2011 | 9 | | 1,630,617 | 30% | |
| Maturing after 12/31/11 | 9 | | 2,235,625 | 41% | |
| Total | 24 | \$ | 5,396,100 | 100% | |
| Average loan | | \$ | 224,838 | 4.17% | |
| Largest loan | | | 615,329 | 11.40% | |
| Smallest loan | | | 69,481 | 1.29% | |
| Average loan-to-value, based upon appraisals | | | | | |
| and senior liens at date of inception of loan | | | | 57.34% | |

The partnership's largest loan in the principal amount of \$615,329 represents 11.40% of outstanding secured loans and 10.40% of partnership assets. At times, loans may increase above 10% of the secured loan portfolio or partnership assets as the loan portfolio and assets of the partnership decrease due to loan payoffs and/or limited partner withdrawals.

Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The partnership relies upon loan payoffs and borrowers' mortgage payments for the source of funds for loans. Recently, mortgage interest rates have decreased somewhat from those available at the inception of the partnership. If interest rates were to increase substantially, the yield of the partnership's loans may provide lower yields than other comparable debt-related investments. Additionally, since the partnership has made primarily fixed rate loans, if interest rates were to rise, the likely result would be a slower prepayment rate for the partnership. This could cause a lower degree of liquidity as well as a slowdown in the ability of the partnership to invest in loans at the then current interest rates. Conversely, in the event interest rates were to decline, the partnership could experience significant borrower prepayments, which, if the partnership can only obtain the then existing lower rates of interest may cause a dilution of the partnership's yield on loans, thereby lowering the partnership's overall yield to the limited partners. Cash is generated from borrower payments of interest, principal and loan payoffs. Currently the credit and financial markets are facing a significant and prolonged disruption. As a result, loans are not readily available to borrowers or purchasers of real estate. These credit constraints may impact the partnership and our borrowers' ability to sell properties or refinance their loans in the event they have difficulty making loan payments or their loan matures. A slow down or reduction in loan repayments would likely reduce the partnership's cash flows and restrict the partnership's ability to invest in new loans or provide earnings and capital distributions. Excess cash flow is invested in new loan opportunities, when available, and used for other partnership business.

At the time of subscription to the partnership, limited partners made a decision to either take distributions of earnings monthly, quarterly or annually or to compound earnings in their capital account. The table below summarizes these allocation elections. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, limited partners electing to withdraw earnings represented 42% and 40%, respectively.

| | T1 | hree months | ended | l June 30, | S | ix months e | nded June 30, | | |
|--------------|----|-------------|-------|------------|----|-------------|---------------|---------|--|
| | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| Distributing | \$ | 28,210 | \$ | 35,318 | \$ | 59,511 | \$ | 70,423 | |
| Compounding | \$ | 37,270 | | \$ 52,792 | | 83,849 | \$ | 107,707 | |

The partnership also allows the limited partners to withdraw their capital account subject to certain limitations and penalties (see liquidation provisions of partnership agreement). These withdrawals are within the normally anticipated range the general partners would expect in their experience in this and other partnerships. The general partners expect a small percentage of limited partners will elect to liquidate their capital accounts over one year with a 10% and/or 8% early withdrawal penalty. In originally conceiving the partnership, the general partners wanted to provide limited partners needing their capital returned a degree of liquidity. Generally, limited partners electing to withdraw over one year need to liquidate investments to raise cash. The demand the partnership is experiencing in withdrawals by limited partners electing a one year liquidation program represents a small percentage of limited partner capital as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and is expected by the general partners to commonly occur at these levels.

After a five year hold period has passed, limited partners may withdraw their capital account without penalty. To date, the partnership has satisfied all withdrawal requests by limited partners. The table below sets forth actual liquidations for the past three months:

| | T | hree months | endec | l June 30, | | Six months e | June 30, | |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------|------------|------|--------------|----------|---------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Capital liquidations-without penalty | \$ | 89,745 | \$ | 83,484 | \$ | 173,882 | \$ | 159,101 |
| Capital liquidations-subject to penalty | \$ 10,900 | | \$ | 6,804 | \$ | 17,150 | \$ | 17,692 |

In some cases in order to satisfy broker-dealers and other reporting requirements, the general partners have valued the limited partners' interest in the partnership on a basis which utilizes a per Unit system of calculation, rather than based upon the investors' capital account. This information has been reported in this manner in order to allow the partnership to integrate with certain software used by the broker-dealers and other reporting entities

In those cases, the partnership will report to broker-dealers, trust companies and others a "reporting" number of Units based upon a \$1.00 per Unit calculation. The number of reporting Units provided will be calculated based upon the limited partner's capital account value divided by \$1.00. Each investor's capital account balance is set forth periodically on the partnership account statement provided to investors. The reporting Units are solely for broker-dealers requiring such information for their software programs and do not reflect actual Units owned by a limited partner or the limited partners' right or interest in cash flow or any other economic benefit in the partnership. Each investor's capital account balance is set forth periodically on the partnership account statement provided to investors. The amount of partnership earnings each investor is entitled to receive is determined by the ratio each investor's capital account bears to the total amount of all investor capital accounts then outstanding. The capital account balance of each investor should be included on any FINRA member client account statement in providing a per Unit estimated value of the client's investment in the partnership in accordance with NASD Rule 2340.

While the general partners have set an estimated value for the Units, such determination may not be representative of the ultimate price realized by an investor for such Units upon sale. No public trading market exists for the Units and none is likely to develop. Thus, there is no certainty the Units can be sold at a price equal to the stated value of the capital account. Furthermore, the ability of an investor to liquidate his or her investment is limited subject to certain liquidation rights provided by the partnership, which may include early withdrawal penalties (See the section of the Prospectus entitled "Risk Factors - Purchase of Units is a long term investment").

Current Economic Conditions.

The partnership makes mortgage loans primarily secured by deeds of trust on California real estate. The majority of its lending is concentrated in the San Francisco Bay Area and the outlying communities. The economic health of California and in particular, the San Francisco Bay Area, plays a significant role in the performance of the real estate industry, and property values which provide the underlying collateral for our loans. In December 2007, California along with the rest of the United States began to experience what has turned out to be the most severe and prolonged economic recession in more than 50 years. The downward trend in economic productivity and the depth of the recession accelerated significantly in the fourth quarter of 2008 when the national gross domestic product declined at an annual rate of 5.4% and continued to decline in the first and second quarters of 2009; at an annual rate of 6.4% and 1.0%, respectively.

During this recession many events have buffeted the United States economy, particularly the financial system and the business sector. These events include, among others: the failure of brokerage firm Lehman Brothers; the forced merger of the brokerage firm Bear Stearns; the governmental bailout of insurance giant AIG; the government takeover of both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the largest holders of residential mortgages in the United States); the merger of Bank of America with Countrywide (the third largest holder of residential mortgages in the United States); the forced merger of Wachovia Bank; the takeovers of over 69 banks by the FDIC in 2009; governmental financial assistance provided to United States automakers; the bankruptcies of Chrysler Corporation and General Motors; and historic write downs of mortgages held by banks. These factors have exposed the financial system to increased risks and decreased consumer confidence.

In response to the turmoil in the financial markets and to help bolster the financial system and the economy, the United States government, through the Federal Reserve and Treasury, has adopted many measures. These measures include among others, two financial stimulus packages, enactment of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) to provide capital to financial institutions, reduction of the Federal Funds Rate to a range of 0.00% to 0.25%, and enactment of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act. The impact of these actions and future actions will take time to produce positive results.

In response to the reduced economic activity businesses have made significant reductions in their workforces, which have caused an increase in unemployment. Since January of 2008, the national unemployment rate has risen dramatically from 4.9% to 9.5% as of June 2009. Likewise, California's unemployment rate has increased from 6.1% in January 2008 to 11.6% as of June 2009. The escalation of unemployment has caused borrowers losing jobs to have a difficult time meeting their financial obligations and caused concerns among workers regarding their job security. Both of these factors have lowered overall confidence, particularly as it relates to one's own financial circumstances.

The rise in residential real estate values to all-time highs from 2003 to 2006, and the subsequent steep value declines in many residential real estate markets, have furthered the downturn in consumer confidence and has been a leading cause of the current recession. As residential real estate values declined, borrowers that had obtained subprime and high loan-to-value mortgages began to default in high percentages on their mortgage obligations. These defaults were due to a variety of reasons, including borrowers' inability to manage their mortgage payments, dramatic increases in mortgage payments from adjustable rate mortgage loans, rising unemployment and reduced or negative equity. As borrowers defaulted upon their loans in record numbers and property values fell, real estate lenders have sought to minimize risk and became more cautious in their real estate lending activities.

In California, loan defaults and the subsequent filings of notices of default to enforce lenders' remedies against defaulted borrowers rose throughout most of 2007 and 2008. However in the second quarter of 2009, the number of notices of default filed decreased by 8.0% from the first quarter of 2009, but was 2.5% higher than the second quarter of 2008. Likewise, trustee's deeds issued at the foreclosure sale of a property decreased in San Francisco County from 142 during the second quarter of 2008 to 136, or 1.1 per 1,000 homes, during the second quarter of 2009. That number also decreased in Los Angeles County from 9,568 during the second quarter 2008 to 6,922, or 1.0 per 1,000 homes, during the second quarter of 2009.

Increased defaults, declining real estate values and losses on some loans have led to more restrictive loan to value requirements, more stringent underwriting standards and the elimination of a wide variety of lending programs, which in turn has significantly reduced the number of potential buyers and borrowers for both residential and commercial property. Lenders' aversion to real estate secured lending has left FHA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and large, well-capitalized portfolio lenders as the primary sources of capital, and even these sources are tightening their lending guidelines. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have created two types of maximum loan amounts they are willing to purchase. For most single family properties there are "Conforming" loans, which are loans up to \$417,000 and in some of the higher-priced regions of California, such as the San Francisco Bay Area, "High Cost" loans which are up to \$729,750. Many of the properties the partnership has lent upon have loans exceeding these amounts and would not be eligible for purchase by these lenders. In all respects, money available for real estate lending has been greatly curtailed. Access to borrowed monies is the lifeblood to a functioning real estate market and the recent restrictions and reductions have led to an overall reduction in real estate activity.

Mortgage interest rates are a key factor in the affordability of real estate. The higher the interest rate, the less affordable real estate becomes. Interest rates are currently near historic lows. Freddie Mac reports for July 2009, the 30-year fixed-rate mortgage interest rate averaged 5.22% with an average cost of 0.7 points. Last year at this time, the interest rate on the same loan averaged 6.43% and cost 0.6 points. The lower interest rates have helped homeowners qualify for mortgages and provided those seeking to purchase residential property with lower payment rates and increased affordability. This improved affordability is one likely reason why residential real estate sales volumes have been increasing since July 2008. In June 2009, California sales volumes of new and resale houses and condominiums rose 25.5% from June 2008.

Median home prices have declined from their highs in 2005 and 2006. The median sales price of an existing California home, as reported by Dataquick, was \$246,000 in June 2009, a 10.0% increase from March 2009 but a 25.0% drop from \$328,000 in June 2008. These value declines from 2008 have caused great difficulties for residential owners and their lenders. Owners desiring to sell property often must face the prospect of selling at prices less than their acquisition cost, resulting in a loss to the owner. Lenders on secured properties often find the decline in values has tightened their lendable equity or in some instances resulted in their loan being larger than the collateral property is worth. In the current environment, borrowers owning residential properties may find it difficult to refinance or sell their properties. This situation may cause or even force borrowers to hold their existing financing longer than they normally would choose or originally anticipated due to the lack of other financing alternatives or the inability to sell the property and pay off the existing debt through property sale.

Should a borrower encounter difficulty in making their mortgage payments or paying off a loan at its maturity, the lender must decide whether to work with the borrowers to assist them through a period of financial difficulty or proceed with remedies provided in the loan documents, often resulting in a foreclosure of the property. Slow and longer sales periods and often lower property values, coupled with a general lack of financing alternatives and stringent underwriting standards have forced many borrowers and lenders to make these difficult choices.

In light of the current economic conditions, the partnership has been increasing the allowance for loan losses, and has taken other actions to protect the capital of its investors. Nevertheless, the partnership is expecting increased loan delinquencies, lower levels of loan repayments, increased numbers of loan extensions, workouts and foreclosures as some borrowers are unable to meet their financial obligations. In some instances, the partnership anticipates realizing losses should it take back the real estate securing these loans and choose to immediately sell the property. The partnership may also consider accepting less than the amount owed on a mortgage to facilitate the sale of real estate at its current market value, commonly known as a short sale. The partnership believes it may be beneficial, in some cases to hold a property as an investment if the property has the potential to generate rental income or the value of the property can be enhanced through improvements. Given the difficult economic environment, the partnership anticipates holding many of the properties it forecloses upon for periods of time rather than immediately selling the properties. In the interim, this will result in the partnership being a holder of both debt and equity on California real estate.

Part I – Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Under the reporting requirements of Smaller Reporting Companies, the partnership has elected not to report on this item.

Part I – Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of June 30, 2009, the partnership carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the general partners of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the partnership's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, the general partners concluded as of the end of the period covered by this report, that the partnership's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the partnership in the reports that it files or submits under that Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to the general partners as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in the partnership's internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time the partnership is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, such matters will not have a material effect upon the financial position of the partnership.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not Applicable

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

Item 3. **Defaults upon Senior Securities**

Not Applicable.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not Applicable.

Item 5. **Other Information**

Not Applicable.

Item 6. **Exhibits**

- 31.1 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of General Partner pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized on the 14th day of August, 2009.

REDWOOD MORTGAGE INVESTORS VI, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: /S/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, General Partner

By: Gymno Corporation, General Partner

By: /S/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, President,

Secretary/Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer

GENERAL PARTNER CERTIFICATION

I, Michael R. Burwell, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Redwood Mortgage Investors VI, a California Limited Partnership (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15-d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's forth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, General Partner August 14, 2009

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Michael R. Burwell, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Redwood Mortgage Investors VI, a California Limited Partnership (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15-d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's forth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, President, Secretary/Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, of Gymno Corporation, General Partner August 14, 2009

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Redwood Mortgage Investors VI, a California Limited Partnership (the "Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, I, Michael R. Burwell, certify, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Partnership at the dates and for the periods indicated.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Partnership and will be retained by the Partnership and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, General Partner August 14, 2009

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Redwood Mortgage Investors VI a California Limited Partnership (the "Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, I, Michael R. Burwell, certify, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Partnership at the dates and for the periods indicated.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Partnership and will be retained by the Partnership and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

/s/ Michael R. Burwell

Michael R. Burwell, President, Secretary/Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer of Gymno Corporation, General Partner August 14, 2009