# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

/N	/[ a.m]-	$\Omega_{m}$	٠,
UV	тагк	One	•

 $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 Or TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT **OF 1934** Commission file number 0-15135 (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) California 95-2746131 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.) 5200 Paramount Parkway Morrisville, North Carolina 27560 (Address and zip code of principal executive offices) (919) 460-5500 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☑ No □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes □ No □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of õlarge accelerated filer, ö õaccelerated filer ö and õsmaller reporting companyö in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Accelerated filer □ Non-accelerated filer □ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company □

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$ 

As of July 30, 2009, there were 67,136,032 shares of the registrant common stock, without par value, outstanding.

# TEKELEC TABLE OF CONTENTS FORM 10-Q

		Page
	Part I — Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	2
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	2
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	3
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	4
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months ended June 30,	
	2009 and 2008	5
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management  Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	43
	Part II — Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	43
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	44
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	44
Item 5.	Other Information	46
Item 6.	Exhibits	46
Signatures		47
Exhibits		

# PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# Item 1. Financial Statements

TEKELEC Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

		Ju ne 30, 2009		December 31, 2008
ASSETS		(Thousands,	exce pt	share data)
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	242,905	\$	209,441
Trading securities, at fair value		87,296		-
Put right, at fair value		14,575		-
Accounts receivable, net		126,996		171,630
Income taxes receivable		777		-
Inventories		30,705		23,704
Deferred income taxes		41,952		44,253
Deferred costs and prepaid commissions		45,810		56,588
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		9,666	_	11,061
Total current assets		600,682		516,677
Trading securities, at fair value		-		87,198
Put right, at fair value		-		18,738
Property and equipment, net		37,473		34,904
Investments in privately held companies		19,539		22,297
Deferred income taxes, net		66,341		71,287
Other assets		1,371		1,415
Goodwill		41,614		41,741
Intangible assets, net		34,207		37,703
Total assets	\$	801,227	\$	831,960
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	29,370	\$	25,308
Accrued expenses		25,362		30,723
Accrued compensation and related expenses		27,028		40,953
Current portion of deferred revenues		160,416		201,838
Income taxes payable		-		7,300
Liabilities of discontinued operations	_		_	184
Total current liabilities		242,176	_	306,306
Deferred income taxes		6,026		7,071
Long-term portion of deferred revenues		7,104		7,591
Other long-term liabilities		7,079		6,146
Total liabilities		262,385	_	327,114
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)				
Shareholders' equity:				
Common stock, without par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized;				
66,972,524 and 66,139,690 shares issued and outstanding, respectively		320,997		309,550
Retained earnings		216,536		194,418
Accumulated other comprehensive income		1,309	_	878
Total shareholders' equity		538,842		504,846
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	801,227	\$	831,960

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

# TEKELEC Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended

June 30, June 30, 2009 2008 2009 2008 (Thousands, except per share data) Revenues \$ 114.183 \$ 116,422 \$ 230.841 \$ 234,665 Cost of sales: 42,392 82,338 Cost of goods sold 36,364 76,713 1,174 Amortization of purchased technology 1,515 587 3,032 37,879 42,979 83,512 Total cost of sales 79,745 76,304 73,443 151,096 151,153 Gross profit Operating expenses: 25,551 26,216 51,403 50,624 Research and development Sales and marketing 17,110 18,906 34,406 37,110 General and administrative 13,717 12,948 27,140 27,205 Restructuring and other 293 243 Acquired in-process research and development 2,690 Amortization of intangible assets 315 109 633 218 Total operating expenses 56,693 58,472 113,582 118,090 Income from operations 19,611 14,971 37,514 33,063 Other income (expense), net: Interest income 264 2,295 634 5,576 Interest expense (57)(779)(112)(1,911)Impairment of investment in privately held company (2,758)(2,758)Loss on sale of investments (2) Unrealized gain on ARS portfolio and Put right, net 321 1,435 (990)Other expense, net (402)(1,820)(1,506)Total other income (expense), net (2,632)526 (2,621)2,157 Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes 16.979 15,497 34.893 35,220 <u>7,</u>226 Provision for income taxes 179 12,775 8,039 Income from continuing operations 9,753 15,318 22,118 27,181 Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes 1,618 28,799 9,753 15,318 22,118 Net income Earnings per share from continuing operations: Basic \$ 0.15 \$ 0.23 \$ 0.33 \$ 0.41 Diluted 0.14 0.22 0.33 0.39 Earnings per share from discontinued operations: 0.02 Basic \$ \$ \$ \$ Diluted 0.02Earnings per share: Basic \$ 0.15 \$ 0.23 0.33 \$ 0.43 Diluted 0.14 0.22 0.33 0.41 Weighted average number of shares outstanding continuing operations: Basic 66,744 65,638 66,514 66,578 Diluted 67,502 71,953 67,185 73,076 Weighted average number of shares outstanding: Basic 66,744 65,638 66,514 66,578

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

67,502

71,953

67,185

73,076

Diluted

TEKELEC
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

		Three M	lonths I	Ended		Six Mor	ths Er	nded
		Ju	ne 30,		_	Ju	ne 30,	
	_	2009		2008		2009		2008
				(The	ousand	s)		
Net income	\$	9,753	\$	15,318	\$	22,118	\$	28,799
Other comprehensive income (loss):								
Foreign currency translation adjustments		1,535		(14)		431		1,280
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net of								
income taxes	_	-	_	110	_		_	(2,563)
Comprehensive income	\$ _	11,288	\$	15,414	\$	22,549	\$	27,516

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

# TEKELEC Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Six Months Ended June 30,

	008
(Thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:  Net income \$ 22,118 \$	28,799
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	20,777
· · · · · ·	(1,618)
Impairment of investment in privately-held company 2,758	-
Loss on sale of investments	2
Unrealized gain on ARS portfolio and Put right, net (1,435)	_
Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts and sales returns  185	(84)
Provision for warranty 5,000	2,800
Inventory write downs 1,207	3,223
Loss on disposal of fixed assets 54	279
Depreciation 9,358	8,465
Amortization of intangibles 3,665	1,392
Amortization, other 375	487
Acquired in-process research and development -	2,690
	12,920)
Stock-based compensation 6,973	6,517
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation (544)	(1,234)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(1,204)
Accounts receivable 46,101	5,105
Inventories (8,168)	(4,562)
Deferred costs (8,108)	(1,512)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets 1,219	4,416
• •	16,627)
Accrued expenses (10,663)	4,813
	(7,281)
	17,441
· · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	16,340
	28,132
	56,931
	(1,767) 55,164
	33,104
Cash flows from investing activities:	
	72,583
	84,524)
	10,441)
Payments related to acquired in-process research and development	(2,690)
Other non-operating assets	(71)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities (6,638) 1	74,857
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Repayment of convertible debt - (1	25,000)
	33,700)
Proceeds from issuances of common stock 5,987	9,547
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation 544	1,234
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities 6,531 (1	47,919)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash 834	491
	82,593
	05,550
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 242,905 \$ 1	88,143

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

# TEKELEC Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Note 1 — Basis of Presentation and Changes in Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Tekelec and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on substantially the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of our consolidated financial condition and consolidated results of operations. The results of operations for the current interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the current year.

We operate under a thirteen-week calendar quarter. For financial statement presentation purposes, the reporting periods are referred to as ended on the last calendar day of the quarter. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2009 and June 27, 2008, respectively.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting for and Disclosure of Subsequent Events. In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (õFASBö) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No.165 õSubsequent Eventsö (õSFAS 165ö). SFAS 165 established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued, including the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. SFAS 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted SFAS 165 in our second quarter of 2009, and its adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 õInterim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instrumentsö (õFSP 107-1ö). This FSP amends FASB Statement No. 107 õDisclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instrumentsö to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. Prior to this FSP, fair value for these assets and liabilities was only disclosed annually. An entity is also required to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and describe the changes in methods and significant assumptions, if any, during the period. FSP 107-1 also amends APB Opinion No. 28 õInterim Financial Reportingö to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim periods. The FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 and does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. We adopted FSP 107-1 in our second quarter of 2009. Because FSP 107-1 only requires additional disclosures, its adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments. In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 õRecognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairmentsö (õFSP 115-2ö). This FSP amends the other-than-temporary guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This FSP does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. FSP 115-2 also expands and increases the frequency of existing disclosure about other-than-temporary

impairments for debt and equity securities. In addition, it requires that the annual disclosures in SFAS 115, FSP 115-2 and FAS 124-1 õThe Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments,ö be made for interim periods. The FSP also requires new disclosures to help users of financial statements understand the significant inputs used in determining a credit loss, as well as a rollforward of that amount each period. The FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 and does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. We adopted FSP 115-2 in our second quarter of 2009, and its adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly. In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 157-4 õDetermining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderlyö (õFSP 157-4ö). This FSP provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No.157 õFair Value Measurementsö (õSFAS 157ö), when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. The FSP also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. In those cases, further analysis of transactions or quoted prices is required, and a significant adjustment may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with SFAS 157. The FSP also amends SFAS 157 to require disclosure in interim and annual periods of the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and a discussion of changes in valuation techniques and related inputs, if any, during the period. FSP 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. We adopted FSP 157-4 in our second quarter of 2009, and the adoption of FSP 157-4 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

#### Note 2 — Acquisitions and Dispositions

#### Acquisition of mBalance

In December 2008, we acquired mBalance Group B.V. (õmBalanceö) whose software has historically been an important element of our mobile messaging product family. mBalance products and services include Message Routing, Message Security, Application Gateway, Active Message Store, and a 2G-3G Message Gateway. Under the terms of the acquisition agreement, we acquired 100% of mBalance@s stock for (i) approximately \$39.5 million in cash at closing, of which approximately \$8.0 million was placed into escrow with a third party escrow agent for up to two years following the closing date for the satisfaction of any indemnification claims made by us under the share purchase agreement, and (ii) a working capital adjustment of approximately \$3.6 million that was paid by us in the first quarter of 2009. The purchase price is subject to a potential adjustment of up to an additional \$19.6 million (based on the period end Euro to U.S. Dollar exchange rate) in cash consideration, payable upon achieving certain mobile messaging order targets over the two annual periods commencing on the closing date and ending on December 31, 2010. Payment of this additional consideration would result in an increase of goodwill. The transaction resulted in recording net tangible assets at fair value of \$5.3 million and intangible assets and goodwill at fair value of \$45.5 million. As of December 31, 2008, the purchase price allocation was preliminary as we were awaiting the receipt of certain information from income tax return filings in order to finalize the purchase accounting. In the first quarter of 2009, we obtained this information, resulting in an adjustment to increase income taxes receivable by approximately \$0.5 million, with a corresponding reduction in goodwill.

Included in the net tangible assets acquired from mBalance was approximately \$1.0 million related to certain severance and associated costs accrued by us upon acquisition. This restructuring liability is included in accrued expenses in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets. During the first six months of 2009, cash payments of \$0.6 million were made with respect to these obligations. We currently expect to settle the remaining obligations during 2009.

#### Acquisition of Estacado Systems

In January 2008, we acquired substantially all of the assets of Estacado Systems, LLC (õEstacadoö) for approximately \$4.4 million, consisting of \$2.7 million of cash at closing and an obligation to pay an additional \$1.7 million of contingent consideration, as discussed further below. We acquired Estacado in order to extend our depth in SIP-based intellectual property and to accelerate the development of the next generation and IP Multimedia Subsystem solutions for our customers. We allocated the initial payment of approximately \$2.7 million to two technology projects under development (õIn-Process Research and Developmentö or õIPR&Dö

projects) which we determined had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. Accordingly, we recorded the amount allocated to these IPR&D projects as an expense under the caption õAcquired in-process research and developmentö in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the first quarter of 2008.

The purchase price also included approximately \$1.7 million of consideration contingent upon the continued employment, by Tekelec, of certain former key employees of Estacado for a period of two years following the acquisition. This consideration is being paid to Estacado in four equal installments semi-annually, beginning in July 2008. We accrue this compensation expense quarterly based on our assessment of whether or not it is probable that Estacado will be eligible for the payout. The unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 include approximately \$0.4 million of compensation expense related to this agreement.

#### Disposition of SSG

In March 2007, we sold our Switching Solutions Group business (õSSGö) to GENBAND Inc. (õGenbandö). In connection with this transaction, we received an equity interest in Genband. We account for our equity interest in Genband using the cost method and this investment is included under the caption õInvestments in privately-held companiesö in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. As further discussed in Note 4, during the second quarter of 2009 we recorded an impairment charge of \$2.8 million related to our investment in Genband which represents the difference between our cost basis of \$15.0 million and the estimated fair value of \$12.2 million of this investment as of June 30, 2009.

Included in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 are certain liabilities incurred in connection with the disposition of SSG and certain liabilities of SSG retained by us. These liabilities are included under the caption õLiabilities of discontinued operationsö and consist primarily of the remaining restructuring liability associated with the restructuring activities discussed above, and certain liabilities incurred prior to the disposition and retained by us in accordance with the terms of our agreement with Genband. These liabilities have been settled in 2009.

#### Note 3 — Restructuring and Other Costs

The following table provides a summary of our restructuring activities and the remaining obligations as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

		Severance				
		Costs and Related		Facility		
				Exit Costs		
	_	Benefits	_	and Other	-	Total
Restructuring obligations, December 31, 2008	\$	1,469	\$	184	\$	1,653
Cash payments		(1,039)	_	(184)	_	(1,223)
Restructuring obligations, June 30, 2009	\$	430	\$	-	\$	430

Restructuring obligations are included in õAccrued expensesö and õLiabilities of discontinued operationsö in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets. We anticipate settling our remaining severance obligations during 2009; however, this is based on our current best estimate. We will continue to review the status of our restructuring activities quarterly and, if appropriate, record changes in our restructuring obligations in current operations based on our most current estimates.

# Note 4 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

#### **Recurring Measurements**

We measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of our cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their respective carrying amounts based on the liquidity and short-term nature of these instruments. The following table sets forth our financial instruments carried at fair value as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

		Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value				
		June 30,		December 31,		
		2009	2008			
Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	242,905	\$	209,441		
Trading securities		87,296		87, 198		
Put right		14,575	_	18,738		
Total assets	\$	344,776	\$_	315,377		

The following table sets forth our financial instruments carried at fair value within the SFAS 157 hierarchy and using the lowest level of input as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

		Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value									
	Quoted prices in active markets for identical items (Level 1)		Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)		Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)		Total				
Assets:											
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	242,905	\$	-	\$	-	\$	242,905			
Trading securities		-		-		87,296		87,296			
Put right		-				14,575		14,575			
Total assets	\$	242,905	\$	_	\$	101,871	\$	344,776			

We entered into our foreign currency forward contracts on the last day of our quarter; therefore, the fair value is not significant as of June 30, 2009.

The following tables set forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our Level 3 financial assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

		Level 3 Financial Assets					
		Auction Rate		Put			
		Securities		Right			
Balance, March 31, 2009	\$	91,634	\$	11,166			
Transfers to Level 3		-		-			
Purchases and receipts		-		-			
Redemptions		(1,250)		-			
Realized gain on sales		-		-			
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities held at period end (1)		(3,088)		3,409			
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$	87,296	\$	14,575			
	· <u>—</u>		_				

		Financial Assets				
	•	Auction Rate		Put		
		Securities		Right		
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	87,198	\$	18,738		
Transfers to Level 3		-		-		
Purchases and receipts		-		-		
Redemptions		(5,500)		-		
Realized gain on sales		-		-		

Level 3

87.296

(4,163)

14.575

#### Trading Securities and Put Right

Balance, June 30, 2009

Unrealized gains (losses) on securities held at period end (1)

Beginning in February 2008 and continuing through June 30, 2009, auctions involving our ARS portfolio failed, resulting in our inability to sell these securities. A failed auction results in a lack of liquidity in the securities but does not necessarily represent a deterioration of the credit quality of the issuer. All of our ARS are AAA-, AA- or A-rated by one or more of the major credit rating agencies and are principally collateralized by student loans. At June 30, 2009, on a weighted average basis, approximately 93% of the underlying student loan collateral was issued under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (õFFELPÖ). Student loans issued under the FFELP program are currently 97% guaranteed by the U.S. government. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we held \$87.3 million and \$87.2 million of ARS, respectively, recorded at estimated fair value. These estimated fair values represent declines of \$15.1 million and \$20.7 million, respectively, below our cost basis.

On October 31, 2008, we accepted an offer from UBS for auction rate securities rights related to our ARS portfolio (the õPut rightö). Under the terms of this Put right, UBS has the right, at its discretion, to purchase these securities from us at par value which is defined as the price equal to the liquidation preference of the ARS plus accrued but unpaid dividends or interest, if any, at any time until July 2, 2012, and we have the right, at our discretion, to require UBS to purchase the securities at par value plus accrued interest at any time between June 30, 2010 and July 2, 2012. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of the Put right is \$14.6 million and \$18.7 million, respectively, and is included in the caption õPut right, at fair valueö in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Prior to the acceptance of the Put right, our ARS portfolio was classified as available-for-sale and was accounted for at fair value with any decline in value being reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholder equity. Because the Put right allows us to sell our ARS securities at par within a relatively short time horizon (approximately one to three years as of June 30, 2009) and provides UBS with the ability to require us to sell the securities to them at any time between acceptance of the Put right through July 2012, we no longer anticipate holding these investments to the earlier of maturity or redemption by the issuer. Specifically, we intend to exercise the Put right and require UBS to repurchase our ARS at par at the earliest possible time, June 2010. Due to these changing and unforeseen circumstances, we reclassified our ARS from available-for-sale to trading in the fourth quarter of 2008. In the second quarter of 2009, due to the expected redemption of the Put right in June 2010, we reclassified our ARS portfolio and Put right from long-term to short-term assets.

In order to offset future changes in the ARS portfolioß fair value, we have elected the fair value option for the Put right under SFAS 159 of The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilitiesö (osfas 159o). Changes in the fair value of the Put right are recorded in the current period earnings and included in of Ourrealized gain on ARS portfolio and Put right, neto in our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations. We believe that this election does not affect the accounting for our other financial instruments.

Trading securities and Put right fair values as of June 30, 2009 were estimated using a discounted cash flow valuation model with major inputs to such model based on our estimates of the assumptions that market

Included in Other income (expense), net in the accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed statements of operations.

participants would use in valuing these instruments. The methodology used to determine fair values as of June 30, 2009 did not change materially from the methodology used in our most recently filed Form 10-K.

Specifically, in determining the fair value of the trading securities at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, we used the following key inputs to our valuation model:

- Termô for the period ending December 31, 2008, we estimated a five-year expected life of the instruments, based on our expectations of the most likely time in which it would take for the instruments to be called by the issuer or liquidity to be restored to the market place. Beginning in the first quarter 2009 and continuing through the second quarter of 2009, the expected life of the instruments was reduced to four years due to the current market factors, including the U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve plan to aid the student loan market.
- Discount Rateô we determined the discount rate based on estimated yields of similar publicly traded instruments (e.g., similar collateral, terms, credit quality, etc.)
- Liquidity Discountô for the period ending December 31, 2008, the instability in the financial markets and the low demand for auction rate securities made it unclear as to when these securities will become liquid again. With the auction process not functioning normally, it was extremely difficult to estimate the expected holding period for these instruments. As a result, we included a 200 basis point premium to the discount rate to reflect the illiquidity of these securities. Beginning with the first quarter of 2009 and continuing through the second quarter of 2009, this liquidity premium has been reduced to 100 basis points to reflect the increase in recent issuer calls of these instruments.

In determining the fair value of the Put right as of December 31, 2008, we assumed we would redeem the auction rate securities at par at the earliest possible time, June 30, 2010. Accordingly, we calculated the intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the par value of the ARS portfolio and the current fair value of the ARS portfolio) of the Put right to be the \$20.7 million as noted above. We utilized a discounted cash flow model using a time period of 1.5 years, representing the period from December, 2008 to the earliest date we can expect to be able to redeem the Put right (June 30, 2010) and a discount rate of 5.83%, which included a base LIBOR rate, a forward rate based on the credit default swap rate of UBS as of December 31, 2008 and a liquidity premium of 2%.

For the period ending June 30, 2009, we utilized a discounted cash flow model using a time period of one year representing the period from June 30, 2009 to the earliest date we can expect to be able to redeem the Put right (June 30, 2010), and a discount rate of 3.65%, which included a base LIBOR rate, a forward rate based on the credit default swap rate of UBS as of June 30, 2009 and a liquidity premium of 1%. The resulting fair value of the Put right was estimated to be \$14.6 million.

#### **Derivative Instruments**

Our derivative instruments, primarily foreign currency forward contracts, are recognized as assets or liabilities at fair value. These forward contracts are not formally designated as hedges. The fair value of these contracts is based on market prices for comparable contracts. Our foreign currency forward contracts are structured to expire on the last day of each quarter, and we immediately enter into new contracts if necessary. Therefore, our derivative instruments outstanding at period end are outstanding less than one full day when the reporting period ends. Because of the short duration of these contracts, their fair value was not significant as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

# Nonrecurring Measurements

We measure certain assets, including investments in privately held companies accounted for under the cost method, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets are recognized at fair value when they are deemed to be other-than temporarily impaired. The following table summarizes the information about assets measured on a nonrecurring basis for which fair value measurement was performed and recorded during the reporting period (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements Using								
	Quoted prices		Significant other		ner Significant				
	in activo	e markets	0	b se rvable		unobservable		Total	
	for identical items		inputs			inputs		Gains	
	(Le	vel 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)		(Losses)	
Assets:									
Investments in privately-held companies	\$	-	\$	19,539	\$	-	\$	(2,758)	

During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded an impairment charge of \$2.8 million related to our investment in Genband. This charge represents the difference between our \$15.0 million cost basis in this investment and its estimated fair value of \$12.2 million as of June 30, 2009, as we deemed the decline in the value of this asset to be other-than-temporary. This cost method investment falls within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as a representative price observed in an arms-length transaction between two willing market participants became available to us in the second quarter of 2009.

Specifically, during the second quarter of 2009, Genband entered into a proposed transaction contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events, with such proposed transaction providing an implied value of our equity interest. Due to the contingent nature of this transaction, we also performed our own internal valuation using a comparable multiples methodology and Level 3 inputs, which produced an estimated valuation of our holdings in Genband that approximated the fair value obtained using Level 2 inputs. Based on a review of the terms of this transaction and our internal valuation, our holdings in Genband had an estimated fair value of \$12.2 million as of the end of the second quarter of 2009. Accordingly, we have adjusted the carrying value of our investment down by \$2.8 million to the current estimated fair value of \$12.2 million. This \$2.8 million impairment charge is included in õOther income (expense), netö in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Given, among other factors, the current economic environment, uncertainty in the capital markets, and lower capital spending by telecom service providers, the estimated fair value of our investments in privately-held companies is reasonably likely to change over the next twelve months. Specific factors that may materially impact the estimated fair value of these investments include, among other factors, the investee¢s ability to: (i) achieve projected financial performance, (ii) maintain compliance with debt covenants, and (iii) maintain access to capital on terms that do not reduce the value of our investments.

Effective January 1, 2009, we must also measure the fair value of our nonfinancial assets and liabilities, including but not limited to, intangible assets, goodwill and restructuring obligations accounted for under SFAS 146. We perform our annual impairment test for intangible assets and goodwill on October 1<sup>st</sup>, and nothing has come to our attention that would require us to perform this test in the first six months of 2009. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2009 we do not have any required non-recurring measurement disclosures for these nonfinancial assets.

#### Note 5 - Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted SFAS 161 õDisclosures and Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities ó an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133.ö SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosure regarding derivative instruments and hedging activities.

We operate internationally and thus are exposed to potential adverse changes in currency exchange rates. We use derivative instruments (principally foreign currency exchange contracts) to reduce our exposure to foreign currency rate changes on receivables denominated in a foreign currency. The foreign exchange contracts require us to exchange currencies at rates agreed upon at the contractor inception. In addition to these foreign exchange contracts, certain of our customer contracts contain provisions that require our customers to assume the foreign currency risk related to the applicable transactions. The objective of these contracts is to reduce or eliminate, and

efficiently manage, the economic impact of currency exchange rate movements on our operating results as effectively as possible. These contracts reduce the exposure to fluctuations in exchange rate movements because the gains and losses associated with foreign currency balances and transactions are generally offset with the gains and losses of the contracts.

Derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities and are measured at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on the intended use of the derivative instrument and the resulting designation. We do not designate our derivative instruments as accounting hedges as defined by SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133") and, accordingly, we adjust these instruments to fair value through operations (i.e., included in "other income (expense), net"). We do not hold or issue financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

We constantly monitor our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. As we have expanded internationally, an increasing proportion of our revenues, costs and operating expenses are denominated in foreign currency, resulting in an increase in our foreign currency exposure. We enter into multiple forward contracts throughout a given month to mitigate our changing exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. Specifically, exposures to foreign currency fluctuations that we are able to hedge principally relate to receivables generated from sales denominated in foreign currencies and our remeasurements of international subsidiaries. Our exposure fluctuates as we generate new sales in foreign currencies and as existing receivables related to sales in foreign currencies are collected. Additionally, our exposure related to remeasurements of our subsidiariesø financial statements fluctuates with the underlying activity in those entities. Our foreign currency forward contracts generally will have terms of one month or less and typically mature on the last day of any given period. We then immediately enter into new foreign currency forward contracts.

The following table shows the notional contract values in local currency and U.S. Dollars of the foreign exchange forward contracts outstanding as of June 30, 2009, grouped by underlying foreign currency:

	Contracts Outstanding as of June 30,2009						
	In Local Currency			In US Dollars			
Euros ("EUR") (contracts to sell EUR/buy US\$)	(EUR)	2,090,000	\$	2,930,807			
Indian rupees ("INR") (contracts to sell INR/buy US\$)	(INR)	639,560,000		13,249,637			
British pound ("GBP") (contracts to sell GBP/buy US\$)	(GBP)	752,000		1,231,250			
Singapore dollars ("SGD") (contracts to sell SGD/buy US\$)	(SGD)	1,190,000		818,882			
Malaysian ringgits ("MYR") (contracts to sell MYR/buy US\$)	(MYR)	5,920,000		1,668,640			
Australian dollars ("AUD") (contracts to sell AUD/buy US\$)	(AUD)	630,000		501,480			
Brazilian reais ("BRL") (contracts to sell BRL/buy US\$)	(BRL)	5,740,000	_	2,913,114			
Total			\$ _	23,313,810			

The following tables show the average notional contract value in the underlying currency and U.S. Dollars of foreign exchange forward contracts outstanding during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, grouped by underlying foreign currency:

Average Contracts Outstanding
during the three months ended June 30, 2009

	In Local Currency			n US Dollars
Euros ("EUR") (contracts to sell EUR/buy US\$)	(EUR)	(EUR) 13,837,132		18,946,084
Indian rupees ("INR") (contracts to sell INR/buy US\$)	(INR)	219,062,637		4,443,589
British pound ("GBP") (contracts to sell GBP/buy US\$)	(GBP)	2,070,593		3,116,979
Singapore dollars ("SGD") (contracts to sell SGD/buy US\$)	(SGD)	2,601,055		1,757,484
Malaysian ringgits ("MYR") (contracts to sell MYR/buy US\$)	(MYR)	6,061,538		1,705,857
Australian dollars ("AUD") (contracts to sell AUD/buy US\$)	(AUD)	1,103,736		839,697
Canadian dollars ("CAD") (contracts to sell CAD/buy US\$)	(CAD)	173,209		140,955
Brazilian reais ("BRL") (contracts to sell BRL/buy US\$)	(BRL)	2,418,242		1,128,111
Total			\$	32,078,756

Average Contracts Outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2009

	In Local Currency			In US Dollars
Euros ("EUR") (contracts to sell EUR/buy US\$)	(EUR)	(EUR) 26,136,299		34,706,226
Indian rupees ("INR") (contracts to sell INR/buy US\$)	(INR)	216,301,630		4,349,986
British pound ("GBP") (contracts to sell GBP/buy US\$)	(GBP)	1,414,804		2,126,022
Singapore dollars ("SGD") (contracts to sell SGD/buy US\$)	(SGD)	1,319,272		890,927
Malaysian ringgits ("MYR") (contracts to sell MYR/buy US\$)	(MYR)	3,362,500		942,915
Australian dollars ("AUD") (contracts to sell AUD/buy US\$)	(AUD)	834,457		604,711
Canadian dollars ("CAD") (contracts to sell CAD/buy US\$)	(CAD)	846,533		688,259
Brazilian reais ("BRL") (contracts to sell BRL/buy US\$)	(BRL)	2,170,978		971,221
Total			\$	45,280,267

As of June 30, 2009, all of our derivative instruments are maintained with Wells Fargo Bank and potentially subject us to a concentration of credit risk, which may result in credit related losses in the event of the bankos nonperformance. We mitigate this risk by monitoring Wells Fargoos credit ratings published by major rating firms (Fitch, Standard & Pooros, and Moodyos). In addition, we monitor Wells Fargoos Credit Default Swap spread on a quarterly basis to assess the bankos default risk relative to its peers.

As discussed above, our foreign currency forward contracts are structured to expire on the last day of the accounting period, and we immediately enter into new contracts if necessary. Therefore, our derivative instruments outstanding at period end are outstanding less than one full day when the reporting period ends. Because of the short duration of these contracts, their fair value was not significant as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

The tables below provide a summary of the effect of derivative instruments on the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

Derivatives Not Designated	Location of Gain or (Loss)		Amount of Gain o Recognized in I of Operatio	Results ns
as Hedging Instruments	Recognized in Results		Three months ende	
under SFAS No. 133	of Operations		2009	2008
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other income/(expense), net	\$	(1,191) \$	(382)
			Amount of Gain	or (Loss)
			Recognized in I	Results
Derivatives Not Designated	Location of Gain or (Loss)		of Operatio	ns
as Hedging Instruments	Recognized in Results		Six months ended	June 30,
under SFAS No. 133	of Operations	_	2009	2008
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other income/(expense), net	\$	172 \$	(2,915)

The above gains or losses on the derivative instruments are generally offset or partially offset by a corresponding gain or loss on the underlying hedged transaction (e.g., customer accounts receivable). The gain or loss on both the derivative instrument and the corresponding hedged transaction are reflected in oother income (expense), neto in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations.

#### Note 6 — Financial Statement Details

#### Accounts Receivable, net

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,			December 31,
		2009	_	2008
Accounts receivable	\$	133,394	\$	178,221
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts and sales returns		6,398		6,591
	\$	126,996	\$	171,630

#### **Inventories**

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,		December 31,
	 2009	_	2008
Raw materials	\$ 24,242	\$	18,592
Work in process	201		78
Finished goods	 6,262	_	5,034
Total inventories	\$ 30,705	\$	23,704

#### Warranty liability reserve

An analysis of changes in the liability for product warranty costs for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2008	\$	2,602
Provision, net		5,000
Expenditures	i	(810)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$	6,792

In the second quarter of 2008, we incurred a \$2.8 million warranty charge for a Class A warranty event related to our performance management and monitoring product line. Based on our experience with customers, along with additional benchmarking of the performance of our product, we determined that the associated costs will be higher than originally anticipated. Accordingly, we revised our cost estimates and recorded an additional charge of \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2009. This additional charge is currently our best estimate of the additional costs required to address the warranty related issues. We are continuing our benchmarking studies related to the productor performance and are currently developing additional software functionality intended to improve the hardware requirements for our larger installations. If we are successful in developing this software solution in a timeframe that meets existing customersoneds for improved performance and/or if our benchmarking exercises yield new information, our estimated costs may be lowered or raised. Accordingly, the current estimate related to additional hardware and associated costs are reasonably likely to change over the next twelve months.

#### Note 7 — Intangible Assets and Goodwill

#### Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

		June 30,		June 30,		December 31,
		2009		2008		
Purchased technology	\$	42,972	\$	42,490		
Customer relationships		5,698		5,730		
Non-compete contracts				240		
		48,670		48,460		
Less: accumulated amortization		(14,463)	_	(10,757)		
Total intangible assets, net	\$	34,207	\$_	37,703		

#### Goodwill

As required by SFAS 142, we do not amortize our goodwill balances, but instead test our goodwill for impairment annually on October 1<sup>st</sup> and more frequently upon the occurrence of certain events in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 142.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 41,741
Adjustment related to acquisition of mBalance	(447)
Effect of exchange rate changes	320
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 41,614

In connection with our acquisition of mBalance in December 2008, in the first quarter of 2009 we recorded an additional income tax receivable related to certain research and development activities conducted prior to our acquisition of mBalance. This tax credit has been recorded in õlncome Tax Receivableö in our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet, and is expected to be refunded to us in 2009. As this related to preacquisition transactions, we have reduced the goodwill associated with the purchase of mBalance by a corresponding amount.

#### Note 8 — Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our full-year income and the related income tax expense in each jurisdiction in which we operate. Changes in the geographical mix or estimated level of annual pretax income can impact our effective tax rate or income taxes as a percentage of pretax income (the õEffective Rateö). This process involves estimating our current tax liabilities in each jurisdiction in which we operate, including the impact, if any, of additional taxes resulting from tax examinations, as well as making judgments regarding the recoverability of deferred tax assets.

Tax liabilities can involve complex issues and may require an extended period to resolve. To the extent that the recovery of deferred tax assets does not reach the threshold of õmore likely than notö based on our estimate of future taxable income in each jurisdiction, a valuation allowance is established. While we have considered future taxable income and the existence of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing our valuation allowance, in the event we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, we would charge to income an adjustment to the valuation allowance in the period such a determination was made.

We conduct business globally, and as a result, one or more of our subsidiaries file income tax returns in various domestic and foreign jurisdictions. In the normal course of business we are subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world. During 2008, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed an examination of tax years 2002 through 2006 and therefore, tax years prior to 2007 are generally no longer subject

to adjustment. For our U.S. state tax returns, we are generally no longer subject to examination of tax years prior to 2004 although several jurisdictions have examined income tax filings through 2006. We are currently under examination by the North Carolina Department of Revenue as well as the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance for tax years 2004 through 2006. Our foreign income tax returns are generally no longer subject to examination for tax periods 2003 and prior. An examination by the Brazilian tax authorities of our 2007 and 2008 Brazilian tax returns is in the process of being finalized and based on information to date, we believe our financial statements reflect the outcome of this exam.

With respect to tax years that remain open to federal, state and foreign examination, we believe that we have made adequate provision in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for any potential adjustments the IRS or other taxing authority may propose with respect to income tax returns filed. We may, however, receive an assessment related to the audit of our U.S. federal, state or foreign income tax returns that exceeds amounts provided for by us. In the event of such an assessment, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our results of operations for the period in which the matter is ultimately resolved or an unfavorable outcome is determined to be more likely than not to occur.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, our effective rates were 43% and 37% respectively. The rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to (i) the cumulative effect of increasing our full year estimated effective tax rate to approximately 37%, and (ii) establishment of a valuation allowance of \$1.0 million for a deferred tax item created by the impairment of our investment in Genband. Due to the capital nature of this other-than-temporary loss, we believe it is not omore likely than noto that we will generate sufficient capital gains in the carry-forward period to realize this loss. The rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the establishment of the valuation allowance discussed above, offset by the recognition during first quarter of 2009 of certain previously unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$0.9 million associated with the settlement of two state examinations for tax years 2004 through 2006.

Our effective rate of 1% and 23% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the reversal of the valuation allowance of \$3.7 million noted below, with the remaining difference primarily relating to a change in estimate associated with the realizability of certain Federal and state research and development credits due in part to the IRS completing its examination.

As a result of the IRS completion of its examination of our 2002 through 2006 income tax returns in the second quarter of 2008, we recorded certain adjustments to our tax returns that were timing in nature. As a result of the aforementioned timing adjustments, our taxable income in 2006 was increased, allowing us to carryback a capital loss generated in 2007 from the disposal of our SSG business. We had previously determined that a full valuation allowance was required for the \$3.7 million deferred tax asset resulting from this capital loss; however, as a result of our ability to utilize this loss against our 2006 capital gains, we reversed the valuation allowance and recorded a corresponding income tax benefit in continuing operations of \$3.7 million.

Primarily as a result of the disposition of our former SSG business unit in early 2007, a significant number of employee stock options expired unexercised during 2007, resulting in the exhaustion of our õpool of windfall tax benefitsö as of December 31, 2007 under SFAS 123R. As a result, future cancellations or exercises that result in a tax deduction that is less than the related deferred tax asset recognized under SFAS 123R will negatively impact our effective tax rate and increase its volatility, resulting in a reduction of our earnings. SFAS 123R requires that the impact of such events be recorded as discrete items in the quarter in which the event occurs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded discrete items of approximately \$1.0 million and \$2.6 million respectively, in the provision for income taxes related to stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123R. For the three and six months ended June 30 2009, we recorded a \$0.1 million tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation. This benefit was recorded as an increase to additional paid in capital pursuant to SFAS 123R and SFAS 109.

#### Note 9 — Commitments and Contingencies

#### Indemnities, Commitments and Guarantees

In the normal course of our business, we make certain indemnities, commitments and guarantees under which we may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These indemnities, commitments and guarantees include, among others, indemnities to our customers for liabilities associated with the infringement of other partiesø intellectual property in connection with the sale of our products and licensing of our technology, guarantees of timely performance of our obligations, indemnities related to the reliability of our equipment, commitments to pay damages for breach of confidentiality obligations, gross negligence, willful misconduct, property damage and bodily harm, and indemnities to our directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted by law. The duration of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees varies, and, in certain cases, is indefinite. Many of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees do not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential future payments that we could be obligated to make. We have not recorded a liability for these indemnities, commitments or guarantees in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets because future payment is not probable.

From time to time, various claims and litigation are asserted or commenced against us arising from or related to contractual matters, intellectual property matters, product warranties and personnel and employment disputes. As to such claims and litigation, we can give no assurance that we will prevail. However, we currently do not believe that the ultimate outcome of any pending matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

# Note 10 ô Stock-Based Compensation

#### Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows (in thousands):

Option and

Income Statement Classifications	_	SAR Grants and Stock Purchase Rights	. <u>–</u>	RSUs	_	Total
Three months ended June 30, 2009	Φ.	0.7	Φ.	105	Φ.	252
Cost of goods sold	\$	85	\$	187	\$	272
Research and development		244		266		510
Sales and marketing		177		619		796
General and administrative		579		1,504		2,083
Total continuing operations	\$	1,085	\$	2,576	\$	3,661
Three months ended June 30, 2008						
Cost of goods sold	\$	232	\$	98	\$	330
Research and development		374		186		560
Sales and marketing		313		366		679
General and administrative		698		1,122		1,820
Total continuing operations	\$	1,617	\$	1,772	\$	3,389

Option and SAR Grants and Stock Purchase

Income Statement Classifications	Rights		RSUs	_	Total
Six months ended June 30, 2009					
Cost of goods sold \$	224	\$	274	\$	498
Research and development	522		525		1,047
Sales and marketing	406		1,130		1,536
General and administrative	1,251		2,641	_	3,892
Total continuing operations \$	2,403	\$	4,570	\$	6,973
=		-		=	
Six months ended June 30,2008					
Cost of goods sold \$	529	\$	172	\$	701
Research and development	932		290		1,222
Sales and marketing	778		648		1,426
General and administrative (1)	1,489		1,679		3,168
Total continuing operations \$	3,728	\$	2,789	\$	6,517
				=	

Included in the general and administrative expense amount is the reversal of approximately \$0.2 million of stock-based compensation expense resulting from the revision of our 2007 restructuring activities estimate.

Stock-based compensation expense was recorded net of estimated forfeitures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 such that expense was recorded only for those stock-based awards that are expected to vest.

# Note 11 — Operating Segment Information

We consider ourselves to be in a single reportable segment under SFAS 131, specifically the development and sale of signaling telecommunications and related value added applications and services.

#### **Enterprise-Wide Disclosures**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, revenues from external customers by our principal product lines (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended				
	June 30,				
		2009		2008	
Product revenues:					
Eagle and other signaling products	\$	65,986	\$	59,550	
Number portability products		7,562		10,638	
Performance management and monitoring products		4,942		7,277	
Total product revenues		78,490		77,465	
Warranty revenues		20,814		20,573	
Professional and other services revenues		14,879	_	18,384	
Total revenues	\$	114,183	\$	116,422	

	For the Six Months Ended						
	June 30,						
		2009		2008			
Product revenue s:							
Eagle and other signaling products	\$	127,591	\$	136,334			
Number portability products		15,533		14,012			
Performance management and monitoring products		15,064		11,130			
Total product revenues		158,188		161,476			
Warranty revenues		42,081		38,008			
Professional and other services revenues		30,572	_	35,181			
Total revenues	\$	230,841	\$	234,665			

We conduct business in a number of foreign countries and are organized into three geographic regions. The three regions are: (1) North America, comprised of the United States and Canada, (2) ŏEAAA,ö comprised of Europe and the Middle East, Asia Pacific (including China and India), Africa and Australia, and (3) ŏCALA,ö comprised of the Caribbean, South America and Central America, including Mexico. Revenues are attributed to a particular geographical region based on where the products are shipped to or where the services are performed. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, revenues from external customers by geographic region (in thousands):

# Revenues from External Customers By Geographic Region

		Three M	onths	End ed		Six Months Ended			
	_	Ju	ne 30	,	_	Ju	ne 30,		
	_	2009		2008		2009		2008	
North America <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	57,463	\$	48,790	\$	98,550	\$	104,378	
EAAA		43,447		45,510		87,710		91,611	
CALA	_	13,273		22,122		44,581		38,676	
Total revenues from external customers	\$	114,183	\$	116,422	\$	230,841	\$	234,665	

North America includes revenues in the United States of \$50,648 and \$41,262 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$87,403 and \$95,473 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, sales to AT&T and Deutsche Telecom AG Group each represented 15% of our revenues. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, sales to Deutsche Telecom AG Group represented 16% of our revenues, sales to Carso Global Telecom represented 12% of our revenues, and sales to AT&T represented 10% of our revenues.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, sales to Carso Global Telecom represented 14% of our revenues, and sales to AT&T represented 13% of our revenues. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, sales to AT&T represented 13% of our revenues.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our long-lived assets including net property and equipment, investment in privately held companies and other assets by geographic region (in thousands):

		Long-Lived Assets By Geographic Region				
		June 30,		December 31,		
		2009	_	2008		
United States	\$	53,259	\$	53,972		
Other		5,124	_	4,644		
Total long-lived assets	\$	58,383	\$	58,616		

# Note 12 — Earnings Per Share – Continuing Operations

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings from continuing operations per share computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		Income from			
		Continuing			
		Operations	Shares		Per-Share
		(Numerator)	(Denominator)	_	Amount
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009:					
Basic income from continuing operations per share	\$	9,753	66,744	\$	0.15
Effect of dilutive securities			758		
Diluted income from continuing operations per share	\$	9,753	67,502	\$	0.14
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008:					
Basic income from continuing operations per share	\$	15,318	65,638	\$	0.23
Effect of dilutive securities		-	793		
Effect of "if-converted" method applied former convertible debt		504	5,522		
Diluted income from continuing operations per share	\$	15,822	71,953	\$	0.22
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009:					
Basic income from continuing operations per share	\$	22,118	66,514	\$	0.33
Effect of dilutive securities	Ψ	-	671	Ψ	0.55
Diluted income from continuing operations per share	\$	22,118	67,185	\$	0.33
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008:					
Basic income from continuing operations per share	\$	27,181	66,578	\$	0.41
Effect of dilutive securities		-	556		
Effect of "if-converted" method applied former convertible debt		1,085	5,942		
Diluted income from continuing operations per share	\$	28,266	73,076	\$	0.39

The computation of diluted earnings from continuing operations per share excludes unexercised stock options, and unvested restricted stock units that are anti-dilutive. The following common stock equivalents were excluded from the earnings from continuing operations per share computation, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	Jun e 30,		June 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Weighted average number of stock options and SARs excluded					
due to the exercise price exceeding the average fair value of our					
common stock during the period	5,235	6,507	5,801	7,581	

There were no transactions subsequent to June 30, 2009, which, had they occurred prior to the end of our second quarter, would have changed materially the number of shares in the basic or diluted earnings from continuing operations per share computations.

# Note 13 — Subsequent Events

No events occurring after the balance sheet date have come to our attention that require recognition or disclosure in the accompanying unaudited financial statements. We have evaluated subsequent events through August 4, 2009.

# Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion is designed to provide a better understanding of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, including a brief discussion of our business and products, key factors that impacted our performance, and a summary of our operating results. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Historical results and percentage relationships among any amounts in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of trends in operating results for any future periods.

#### **Overview of Our Business and Products**

We are a leading global provider of telecommunications network systems and software applications, which we design, develop, manufacture, market, sell and support. Our applications include: (i) high-performance, network-centric, mission-critical applications for signaling and session control; (ii) complementary applications that enable service providers to better measure, manage, and monetize the communication services they provide; and (iii) mobile messaging applications that enable mobile service providers to efficiently support their text-messaging growth with a high-performance, network-messaging solution. Our customers predominantly include mobile or õwirelessö communications operators and traditional landline or õwirelineö telecommunications operators (collectively, õservice providersö). Our applications enable our service provider customers to optimize their network efficiency and performance and to provide basic and enhanced voice and data services to their subscribers.

We derive our revenues from the sale or licensing of these telecommunications network systems and software applications and the related professional services (for example, installation and training services) and customer support, including customer post-warranty services. Payment terms for contracts with our customers are negotiated with each customer and are based on a variety of factors, including the customer credit standing and our history with the customer. As we continue to expand internationally, we expect that our payment terms will continue to lengthen, as a higher percentage of our billings and/or payment terms may be tied to the achievement of milestones, such as shipment, installation and customer acceptance.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Morrisville, North Carolina, with research and development facilities and sales offices located throughout the world. We sell our products and services in three geographic regions: North America, comprised of the United States and Canada; õEAAA,ö comprised of Europe and the Middle East, Asia Pacific (including India and China), Africa and Australia; and õCALA,ö comprised of the Caribbean, South and Central America, including Mexico.

#### **Internal Control and Corporate Governance**

We consider our internal control over financial reporting a high priority and continually review all aspects of and make improvements in our internal control. Our executive management is committed to ensuring that our internal control over financial reporting is complete, effective and appropriately documented. In the course of our evaluation of our internal control, we seek to identify material errors or control problems and to confirm that the appropriate corrective actions, including process improvements, are being undertaken. We also seek to deal with any control matters in this evaluation, and in each case if a problem is identified, we consider what revision, improvement or correction to make in accordance with our ongoing procedures. Our continued objective is to maintain our internal control as a set of dynamic systems that change (including improvements and corrections) as conditions warrant.

In addition to striving to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we also strive to follow the highest ethical and professional standards in measuring and reporting our financial performance. Specifically, we have adopted a code of conduct for all of our employees and directors that requires a high level of professionalism and ethical behavior. We believe that our accounting policies are prudent and provide a clear view of our financial performance. We utilize our internal audit function to help ensure that we follow these accounting policies and to independently test our internal control. Further, our Disclosure Committee, composed primarily of senior financial and legal personnel, helps ensure the completeness and accuracy of the reporting of our financial results and our other disclosures. In performing its duties, the Disclosure Committee consults with and obtains relevant information from key functional areas such as operations, finance, customer

service and sales, including the utilization of an internal certification process that solicits responses from these functional areas. Prior to the release of our financial results, key members of our management review our operating results and significant accounting policies and estimates with our Audit Committee, which consists solely of independent members of our Board of Directors.

#### Operating Environment and Key Factors Impacting our 2009 Results

The majority of our orders and revenues are derived from our EAGLE<sup>®</sup> 5 product line. The EAGLE<sup>®</sup> 5 product platform can accommodate: (i) SIGTRAN (SS7 over IP); (ii) TDM (SS7 over ATM or high speed links and SS7 over low speed links); and (iii) a number of other protocols and network applications.

In the last several years, certain alternative IP architectures, including the IP Multimedia Subsystem architecture (õIMSö), have emerged as architectures well suited for our customersønetworks. As a result, we believe our customers are beginning to transition from an architecture that utilizes an SS7-based protocol for signaling to a newer signaling protocol, Session Initiation Protocol, or SIP. SIPøs advantages include its ability to manage multimedia services (voice, video and data) in an IP network, making them accessible from a wide variety of devices, such as mobile phones, personal computers, ordinary phones and personal digital assistants, or so called õsmart phones.ö

While the transition to SIP-based architectures may be occurring more slowly than originally anticipated, this slower market adoption has been offset by continued demand for our SS7- and SIGTRAN-based products. Additionally, we believe that our SIP-based products, which support several SIP protocols, offer additional flexibility for our customers as they migrate towards SIP-enabled, next-generation networks. Should the transition to such networks accelerate, we believe our expertise, products and services may provide our customers with key components to successfully navigate this migration.

In addition to the strength of our SS7- and SIGTRAN-based products, our international revenues, particularly in emerging markets (defined as low and middle income countries as classified by The World Bank), such as India, are becoming an increasingly important part of our operations. Specifically, given the explosive wireless subscriber growth and the introduction of number portability in India, this market is likely to be one of our largest markets for the next several years. With respect to our current opportunities, we are taking advantage of the number portability mandate to grow our signaling installed base across all of our current customers in India, as well as to penetrate new ones. Therefore, we expect the product mix of our sales early in this period to be weighted heavily towards traditional signaling expansion with a substantial number of new nodes or node reconfigurations for both new and existing customers. We expect the order flow from these opportunities to occur over the next twelve to eighteen months. This product mix and the competitive nature of the Indian market will result in the initial margins on this new business being lower than our corporate average, and the order to revenue conversion time to be longer than our overall corporate average.

Beginning in 2008 and continuing throughout 2009, our business worldwide has been and continues to be impacted by the global financial crisis and the deterioration in the general macroeconomic environment. The current global financial crisis includes, among other things: (i) significant reductions in available capital and liquidity from banks and other providers of credit; (ii) substantial reductions and/or fluctuations in equity and currency values worldwide; and (iii) concerns that the worldwide economy has entered into a prolonged recessionary period. Further, the overall weak economic conditions resulted in our customers reducing their capital expenditure budgets and seeking ways to reduce their operating expenses. As a result, in 2009 we have seen additional pressure from our customers to lower prices for our products and warranty services as they try to improve their operating performance and procure additional capital equipment within their reduced budget levels.

To the extent we lower prices on our products and services, our orders, revenues, and gross margins may be negatively impacted. Further, certain customers have sought and others may seek reductions in their operating expenses, particularly warranty-related expenses. To the extent that we agree to or re-negotiate lower prices on our warranty services, it may affect our ability to establish vendor specific objective evidence of the fair value (õVSOEö) for these warranty services. Should we lose VSOE for these services, our products and implementation services will be recognized ratably over the warranty period upon completion of the delivery of all products and implementation services within a specific customer arrangement. Therefore, the timing of revenue recognition of these revenues would be substantially later than under our current revenue recognition model in which we have established VSOE for our warranty services.

We have also experienced an increasing number of our customers, principally in emerging markets, requesting longer payment terms, lease or vendor financing arrangements, and/or longer terms for the letters of credit securing purchases of our products and services. To the extent that we decline such requests, our orders could be negatively impacted. To the extent we agree to such requests, our order to revenue conversion cycle may lengthen, our cash flows may be deferred, and/or our credit risk associated with these transactions may increase. Although we have processes in place to monitor and mitigate credit risk, there can be no assurance that such programs will be effective in managing such risk.

Our exposure to credit risk may increase as a result of the current global economic crisis, which may adversely affect service providers as the end-user demand for their services decreases and/or they are experiencing difficulties in obtaining financing. We believe that the geographical diversity of our customers and the diversification within their customer bases, coupled with our strong financial position, afford some protection in the current environment; however we continue to closely monitor our credit exposures and may seek additional ways to mitigate this exposure. Credit losses for customers we deem to pose substantial collection risks have been provided for in the accompanying financial statements. Historically, credit losses have been within management expectations. Future losses, if incurred, however, could harm our business and have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

As anticipated by management and for the reasons discussed above, our customers delayed or reduced the size of their orders in response to the economic downturn, resulting in lower orders in the first half of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. Further, in the second quarter of 2009 we experienced a significant shift in the linearity of our orders, such that a substantial majority of orders were obtained in the last weeks of the quarter. We expect this trend to continue and, accordingly, may experience increased volatility in our quarterly orders going forward. Our õbook-shipö business (revenues recognized from orders received within the same quarter) declined substantially during the first half of 2009 compared to the first half 2008. Despite this decline, we are cautiously optimistic that this trend may be reversing, as the second quarter book-ship business declined only slightly on a year-over-year basis, and North America actually showed year-over-year growth in the second quarter. While we had year-over-year declines in orders, our year to date revenues remained comparable, as the majority of our revenues were derived from existing backlog, and our operating income was higher than in the first half of 2008 as we continued to closely monitor our costs and expenses in this difficult economic time. Our future revenues and operating performance could be negatively impacted if orders do not return to levels equal to or exceeding the orders obtained in the comparable period in 2008.

#### **Summary of Operating Results and Key Financial Metrics**

The following is a summary of our performance relative to certain key financial metrics for our continuing operations as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands, except earnings per share):

		Three Months Ended June 30,			
		2009	_	2008	
Statement of operations statistics:					
Orders	\$	104,701	\$	122,900	
Revenues	\$	114,183	\$	116,422	
Operating income	\$	19,611	\$	14,971	
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.14	\$	0.22	
Cash flows from operations for continuing operations	\$	12, 168	\$	18,497	
		Six Months	En ded	1 June 30,	
	_	2009	_	2008	
Statement of operations statistics:					
Orders	\$	172,655	\$	205,302	
Revenues	\$	230,841	\$	234,665	
Operating income	\$	37,514	\$	33,063	
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.33	\$	0.39	
Cash flows from operations for continuing operations	\$	32,921	\$	56,931	
		June 30,		December 31,	
	_	2009	_	2008	
Balance sheet statistics:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	242,905	\$	209,441	
Accounts receivable, net	\$	126,996	\$	171,630	
Backlog	\$	353,312	\$	412,062	
Deferred revenue	\$	167,520	\$	209,429	
Working capital	\$	358,506	\$	210,371	
Shareholdersøequity	\$	538,842	\$	504,846	

Orders decreased by 15% to \$104.7 million in the second quarter of 2009 from \$122.9 million in the second quarter of 2008 and decreased by 16% to \$172.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$205.3 million for the same period in 2008. We believe the year-over-year decrease in orders is primarily due to the difficult economic environment and uncertainties in the financial markets continuing through the first half of 2009. Further, our orders during the first half of the year are typically impacted by our customersøcapital spending plans and patterns which tend to result in lower orders in the first half of the year as companies finalize their capital spending plans, and higher orders in the second half of the year as companies strive to fully utilize their capital budgets. This trend appears to be particularly pronounced in the current economic environment, as carriers appear to be very cautious about committing to capital expenditures given the overall market uncertainty.

While it is difficult for us to determine the extent to which the current economic environment will affect the timing or size of our customersø orders in 2009, we believe that our order input has been, and may continue to be negatively impacted. In particular, many service providers have estimated that they will reduce capital spending in 2009 versus 2008, with the largest reductions expected to be made by wireline carriers. However, it is unclear how these capital reductions will impact us, as the volume of signaling traffic continues to grow. In addition, we believe that uncertainties in the financial markets, the lack of credit in the developing world, and currency fluctuations have negatively impacted and may continue to negatively impact orders. However, despite the recent downturn in economic conditions we expect that second half orders will be stronger than those for the first half.

Revenues remained relatively comparable on a quarter-over-quarter and year-over-year basis, decreasing by 2% to \$114.2 million and \$230.8 million in the second quarter and first half of 2009, respectively, from \$116.4 million and \$234.7 million in the second quarter and first half of 2008, respectively. In 2009, our revenues were negatively impacted by the reduced product revenues from book-ship business, as well as lower services revenues,

particularly in the EAAA region, as 2008 included the completion of certain large EAGLE<sup>®</sup> 5 and other signaling projects that required a significant amount of professional services in that region.

Operating Income from Continuing Operations increased by \$4.6 million from \$15.0 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$19.6 million in the second quarter of 2009, primarily due to an improvement in gross margins of \$2.9 million and in operating expenses of \$1.8 million. Our gross margins for the second quarter of 2009 in terms of absolute dollars improved primarily due to the second quarter of 2008 being negatively impacted by \$2.8 million of warranty charges with respect to a Class A warranty event related to our performance and monitoring product line. The year-over-year decline in operating expenses during the second quarter of 2009 is due principally to cost reduction measures put in place in response to the economic downturn.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, operating income increased by \$4.5 million from \$33.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$37.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The year-over-year increase was due to a reduction of \$4.5 million of operating expenses that occurred as a result of a one time expense of \$2.7 million associated with our acquisition of Estacado Systems in 2008, with the remaining reduction of expenses principally due to a reduction in operating expenses as a result of our cost control initiatives.

Diluted Earnings per Share from Continuing Operations decreased from \$0.22 per share in 2008 to \$0.14 per share in 2009 on a quarter-to-date basis, and from \$0.39 per share in 2008 to \$0.33 per share in 2009 on a year-to-date basis. These decreases are primarily due to (i) a \$2.8 million loss related to the impairment of investment in Genband, and (ii) an increase in tax expense that occurred as a result of the 2008 periods reflecting the benefit of a \$3.7 million reduction in deferred tax asset valuation allowance, whereas 2009 periods do not reflect a similar benefit. Partially offsetting the above reduction in earnings per share is a lower share count in 2009 as a result of the repayment of convertible debt in the second quarter of 2008, as well as completion of a stock repurchase program in the third quarter of 2008.

<u>Cash Flow from Operations</u> for continuing operations decreased from \$56.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$32.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily as a result of (i) lower collections resulting from a year-over-year decline in orders and associated billing activity and a greater percentage of our business coming from emerging markets, which typically have slower billing terms and longer payment terms, (ii) the decrease in net income as discussed above and (iii) a \$4.4 million non-recurring tax refund received in 2008.

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u> increased during the six months ended June 30, 2009 by \$33.5 million, or 16%, primarily due to: (i) cash inflow from operating activities of \$32.9 million, (ii) proceeds from redemptions of ARS securities of \$5.5 million, and (iii) proceeds from issuance of common stock under our equity compensation plans of \$6.0 million. Partially offsetting these inflows were purchases of property and equipment of \$12.1 million.

Accounts Receivable decreased by \$44.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$127.0 million primarily due to lower billings as a result of lower orders, coupled with cash collections in the first half of 2009. Specifically, our fourth quarter of each year is typically our highest billings quarter, and the collections in the first two quarters of the following year are usually favorably impacted by the seasonality of our billings.

<u>Backlog</u> decreased by \$58.8 million, or 14%, from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009, principally due to the seasonality of orders resulting in a reduction in backlog during the first half of the year as revenues significantly exceeded orders. Compounding the normal seasonality of orders is the current slowdown in the global economic environment, which, as discussed previously, we believe negatively impacted the spending patterns of our customers and thus our orders.

<u>Deferred Revenue</u> decreased by \$41.9 million, or 20%, from \$209.4 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$167.5 million as of June 30, 2009, due primarily to the decline in our orders and billings in the first half of 2009 as discussed above. Additionally, in 2009 a larger percentage of our revenues was derived from existing backlog and deferred revenues as compared to 2008 as a result of reduction in the amount of book-ship business.

Working Capital increased by \$148.1 million, or 70%, from \$210.4 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$358.5 million as of June 30, 2009, primarily due to (i) the reclassification of our auction rate securities and Put right aggregating \$101.9 million from long-term to short-term assets and (ii) cash flows from operating activities of \$32.9 million.

Shareholdersø Equity increased by \$34.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$504.8 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$538.8 million as of June 30, 2009, due primarily to: (i) net income of \$22.1 million for the period; (ii) proceeds from the issuance of common stock under our equity compensation plans of \$6.0 million; and (iii) an increase in common stock resulting from stock-based compensation of \$7.0 million which is included in the current period net income.

### **Results of Operations**

Because the software component of our products is more than incidental to their overall functionality and we have not established VSOE for our products, we recognize revenue under the residual method prescribed by SOP 97-2. As a result, under arrangements with multiple product deliverables, we defer revenue recognition related to partial shipments until all products under the arrangement are shipped and title and risk of loss have passed to the customer.

As a result of following the residual method, the majority of our revenues in any given quarter are derived from our existing backlog of orders. While the timing of revenue recognition from receipt of an order varies from days to multiple years, on average we believe that our orders turn to revenues within six to fifteen months, depending on the product line, geographic region and the size of the order, along with whether the order is from a new or existing customer. Accordingly, our near-term revenue growth depends significantly on our existing backlog. Our long-term growth is more dependent on growth in orders, or more specifically, our ability to achieve a book-to-bill ratio greater than one to one.

The timing of revenue recognition may vary significantly from quarter to quarter depending on the shipment arrangements and other terms of our customer orders. Also, because a majority of the costs incurred within our customer service organization are fixed and do not necessarily fluctuate directly with revenues recognized, a decline in revenues is likely to result in a decrease in our gross margins. In addition, because a significant portion of our operating expenses, such as research and development expenses, sales and marketing expenses, and general and administrative expenses, is fixed and does not fluctuate proportionally with revenues recognized, the amount of such operating expenses as a percentage of revenues may vary significantly from period to period.

#### Revenues

Revenues remained relatively comparable on a quarter-over-quarter and year-over-year basis, decreasing by 2% to \$114.2 million and \$230.8 million in the second quarter and first half of 2009, respectively, from \$116.4 million and \$234.7 million in the second quarter and first half of 2008, respectively. The following discussion provides a more detailed analysis of our changes in revenues by geography, product line, and revenue type (i.e., product, service and warranty).

#### Revenues by Geographical Region

The following table sets forth revenues from the three geographic regions in which we generate sales of our products: North America, EAAA, and CALA (dollars in thousands):

		For the Thre	e Montl	ıs Ended			
		<b>June 30</b> ,					
	_	2009		2008		2008 to 2009	
North America	\$	57,463	\$	48,790	\$	8,673	18 %
EAAA		43,447		45,510		(2,063)	(5) %
CALA		13,273		22,122		(8,849)	(40) %
Total revenues	\$	114,183	\$	116,422	\$	(2,239)	(2) %
		For the Six	Months	En ded		Change	
	_	2009	ine 30,	2008		2008 to 2009	
North America	\$	98,550	\$	104,378	\$	(5,828)	(6) %
EAAA		87,710		91,611		(3,901)	(4) %
CALA		44,581		38,676		5,905	15 %
Total revenues	\$	230,841	\$	234,665	\$	(3,824)	(2) %

Our revenues declined on a year-over-year basis during the second quarter of 2009 by \$2.2 million primarily due to a net decline in book-ship business. While our book-ship business declined in aggregate, we saw strength with respect to such business within our North American region which was also the primary driver in the revenue increase of \$8.7 million for this region. Also contributing to the overall decline in revenues was the decline in revenues within our CALA region due to the timing of revenue recognition for several large orders from our backlog that were completed in the first half of 2008.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our revenues decreased on a year-over-year basis by 2% due to decreases in our North America and EAAA regions. In particular, in 2009 our year-to-date revenues were affected by lower book-ship business across all regions as compared to 2008. Further, our EAAA revenues were also negatively impacted by a reduction in our professional services revenues primarily due to fewer service intensive projects in the EAAA region compared to a year ago. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in CALA region revenues as a result of several large projects that were in backlog being completed in the first quarter of 2009.

#### Revenues by Product Line

In order to provide a better understanding of the year-over-year changes and the underlying trends in our revenues, we have provided a discussion of revenues from each of our product lines. Revenues from each of our product lines for the three and six months ended June, 30 2009 and 2008 are as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	For the Three Months Ended							
		June 30,				Change		
		2009		2008		2008 to 20	009	
Product revenues:								
Eagle and other signaling products	\$	65,986	\$	59,550	\$	6,436	11 %	
Number portability products		7,562		10,638		(3,076)	(29) %	
Performance management and monitoring products		4,942		7,277		(2,335)	(32) %	
Total product revenues		78,490		77,465		1,025	1 %	
Warranty revenues		20,814		20,573		241	1 %	
Professional and other services revenues		14,879		18,384		(3,505)	(19) %	
Total revenues	\$	114,183	\$	116,422	\$	(2,239)	(2) %	

		For the Six N	Aonth s					
	June 30,					Change		
		2009		2008	_	2008 to 20	09	
Product revenues:		_						
Eagle and other signaling products	\$	127,591	\$	136,334	\$	(8,743)	(6) %	
Number portability products		15,533		14,012		1,521	11 %	
Performance management and monitoring products		15,064		11,130	_	3,934	35 %	
Total product revenues		158,188		161,476		(3,288)	(2) %	
Warranty revenues		42,081		38,008		4,073	11 %	
Professional and other services revenues		30,572		35,181		(4,609)	(13) %	
Total revenues	\$	230,841	\$	234,665	\$	(3,824)	(2) %	

# **Product Revenues**

Our product revenues increased by \$1.0 million, or 1%, in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the second quarter of 2008 due primarily to higher revenues from our EAGLE® 5 and other signaling products in the North America region as discussed above, along with an increase in the EAAA region primarily attributable to the timing of completion of ongoing projects in this region. Offsetting this increase was a decrease in number portability revenues, primarily due to the second quarter of 2008 being positively impacted by the number portability mandate in the CALA region, particularly in Mexico. Also contributing to the overall decrease in revenues in the second quarter of 2009 was a decrease in performance management and monitoring product revenues, attributable primarily to the timing of completion of a number of ongoing projects from backlog. Revenues from this product line continue to be affected by the lengthening of the

time it takes to convert orders related to this product line to revenue from a historical time frame of six to twelve months to a current time period of typically nine to fifteen months. We believe that our continued investment in research and development for this product may result in this trend reversing over the long-term. Further, we expect that these development efforts may result in the completion of several projects in backlog, such that our performance monitoring revenues during the second half of 2009 will be higher than those for the first half. Despite the expected improvement in this product line, we believe that our quarterly revenues associated with our performance management and monitoring product line may continue to be highly volatile.

Product revenues for the first six months of 2009 decreased by \$3.3 million, or 2%, as compared to the same period in 2008, driven primarily by the overall decrease in our EAGLE® 5 and other signaling products revenues in North America region. This decline was principally due to a decline in revenues from book-ship business during the first quarter of 2009 as compared to the first quarter of 2008 that we believe occurred due to current economic conditions. This decrease was partially offset by the increase in number portability and monitoring products revenues, attributable primarily to the timing of completion of a number of ongoing projects, particularly in the North America and CALA regions.

Domestically, our product revenues are impacted by a variety of factors, including: (i) industry consolidation resulting in delay and/or decline in our customer orders; (ii) the pricing of our SIGTRAN- and SIP-based products, which are typically at a significantly lower price per equivalent link or unit of throughput than our traditional SS7-based products, potentially resulting in reductions in our order value and revenues; (iii) the ability to sell our newest products, such as Eagle XG and messaging into our existing customer base and (iv) the amount of signaling traffic generated on our customersønetworks, impacting our volume of orders. We derive the majority of product revenues in North America from wireless operators, and wireless networks generate significantly more signaling traffic than wireline networks. As a result, these networks require significantly more signaling infrastructure. Signaling traffic on our wireless customersø networks may be impacted by several factors, including growth in the number of subscribers, the number of calls made per subscriber, roaming and the use of additional features, such as text messaging.

Internationally, in addition to depending on the factors affecting our domestic sales growth described above, our product revenues growth depends on our ability to successfully penetrate new international markets, which often involves displacing an incumbent signaling vendor, and our ongoing ability to meet the signaling requirements of the newly acquired customers. As indicated previously, we have experienced significant growth in our international revenues and, as much of this growth has been derived from sales of EAGLE® 5 initial systems, we believe that we are building a base for future revenues from our higher margin extension business and other products in our portfolio.

#### Warranty Revenues

Warranty revenues include revenues from our (i) standard warranty coverage, which is typically provided at no charge for the first year but is allocated a portion of the arrangement fee in accordance with SOP 97-2, and (ii) our extended warranty offerings. After the first year warranty, our customers typically prepay warranty services for periods up to a year, which we reflect in deferred revenues. We recognize the revenue associated with our warranty services ratably over the term of the warranty arrangement based on the number of days the contract is outstanding during the period. Warranty revenues increased by 1% and 11% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2008. These increases are primarily due to an increase in our installed base of customers globally, and to a lesser extent the timing of the completion of negotiations on extended warranty arrangements and timing of the warranty renewals.

As discussed previously, we have experienced certain pricing pressure in 2009 related to our warranty revenues as customers try to reduce their operating expenses in the current economic environment. Should we continue to experience pricing pressure with respect to our warranty services, the growth rate of warranty revenues may decline. Please refer to the risk factor entitled õThe current economic environment has resulted in an increasing pressure from our customers for us to lower prices for our products and warranty services, which may negatively impact our margins, operating results, and timing of revenue recognitionö included in Item 1A of this Form 10-Q for additional risk associated with this pricing pressure.

The timing of recognition of our warranty revenues may be impacted by, among other factors: (i) delays in receiving purchase orders from our customers; (ii) the inability to recognize any revenue, including

revenue associated with first year warranty, until the delivery of all product deliverables associated with an order is complete; and (iii) receipt of cash payments from the customer in cases where the customer is deemed a credit risk.

#### Professional and Other Services Revenues

Professional and other services revenues primarily consist of installation services, database migration and training services. Substantially all of our professional service arrangements are billed on a fixed-fee basis. We typically recognize the revenue related to our fixed-fee service arrangements upon completion of the services, as these services are relatively short-term in nature (typically several weeks, or in limited cases, several months). Our professional and other services are typically initiated and provided to the customer within a three to six month period after the shipment of the product, with the timing depending on, among other factors, the customer schedule and site availability. As a result, our professional and other services revenues depend in large part on the timing of shipments and related product revenue recognized in the immediately preceding periods, particularly shipments of EAGLE® 5 initial systems and shipments to new customers, both of which typically require a higher percentage of services.

Professional and other services revenues decreased by \$3.5 million, or 19%, in the second quarter of 2009, and by \$4.6 million, or 13%, in the first half of 2009 as compared to the same periods of 2008. The decrease in services revenues on both the quarter and year-to-date basis was driven primarily by the reduction in other services revenues in the EAAA region as we completed certain EAGLE® 5 and other signaling projects in 2008 associated with a number of services intensive customer projects as compared to the professional services required for the 2009 projects recognized.

Regardless of the mix of products purchased (for example, initial or extension EAGLE® 5 systems), new customers require a greater amount of installation, training and other professional services at the initial stages of deployment of our products. As our customers gain more knowledge of our products, the follow-on orders generally do not require the same levels of services and training, as our customers tend to either: (i) perform the services themselves; (ii) require limited services, such as installation only; or (iii) require no services, and, in particular, no database migration or training services.

# Cost of Sales

In order to better understand our cost structure, we analyze and present our costs and expenses in the categories discussed below:

### Cost of goods sold

Cost of goods sold includes: (i) materials, labor, and overhead costs incurred internally and paid to contract manufacturers to produce our products; (ii) personnel and other costs incurred to install our products; and (iii) customer service costs to provide continuing support to our customers under our warranty offerings. Cost of goods sold in dollars and as a percentage of revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

		For the Th	ree Month	s En ded			
	June 30,				_	Change	
		2009		2008		2008 to 2009	
Cost of good sold	\$	36,364	\$	42,392	\$	(6,028)	(14) %
Revenues		114,183		116,422		(2,239)	(2) %
Cost of good sold as a percentage of revenues		32	%	36	%		
		For the Si	x Months	Ended			
			June 30,		_	Change	
		2009		2008		2008 to 2009	
Cost of good sold	\$	76,713	\$	82,338	\$	(5,625)	(7) %
Revenues		230,841		234,665		(3,824)	(2) %
Cost of good sold as a percentage of revenues		33	%	35	%		

Cost of goods sold decreased in both absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008. On a quarter-over-quarter basis, the reduction in cost of goods sold in the second quarter of 2009 is primarily due to (i) \$2.8 million of warranty charges accrued during the second quarter of 2008 for a Class A warranty event related to our performance and monitoring product line, (ii) the year-over-year decline in revenues and resulting proportional reduction in cost of goods, and (iii) a shift in revenue mix to our higher margin EAGLE® 5 and other signaling products from our lower margin professional services.

In the first half of 2009, our cost of goods sold was positively impacted by (i) cost reduction and engineering initiatives that have resulted in lower component costs, (ii) a higher portion of overall revenues being derived from our higher margin warranty services versus lower margin professional and installation services, and (iii) and an increase in the percentage of our product revenues being derived from our higher margin number portability revenues. Partially offsetting these favorable items is a net increase in warranty costs of \$2.2 million as discussed further below.

As discussed above, we accrued \$2.8 million related to a Class A warranty event related to our performance and monitoring product line during the second quarter of 2008. Based on our experience with customers, along with additional benchmarking of the performance of our product, we have determined that the amount of associated costs will be higher than originally anticipated. Accordingly, we revised our cost estimates and recorded an additional charge of \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2009. We are continuing our benchmarking studies related to the productor performance and are currently developing additional software functionality intended to improve the hardware requirements for our larger installations. If we are successful in developing this software solution in a timeframe that meets existing customersoneeds for improved performance and/or if our benchmarking exercises yield new information, our estimated costs may be lowered or raised.

As we continue to expand our international presence, our cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenues may be negatively impacted as the result of our decision to develop new sales channels and customer relationships in these new markets, and also due to price competition. In addition, changes in the following factors may also affect margins: product mix; competition; customer discounts; supply and demand conditions in the electronic components industry; internal and outsourced manufacturing capabilities and efficiencies; foreign currency fluctuations; pricing pressure as we expand internationally; and general economic conditions.

# Amortization of Purchased Technology

Amortization of purchased technology for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was as follows (in thousands):

		For the Three Months Ended June 30,				For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	_	2009 2008		_	2009		2008		
Amortization of purchased technology related to	:				_				
iptelorg	\$	116	\$	105	\$	224	\$	210	
mBalance		916		_		1,843		-	
Steleus		483		482		965		964	
Total	\$	1,515	\$	587	\$	3,032	\$	1,174	

#### Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include costs associated with the development of new products, enhancements of existing products and quality assurance activities. These costs consist primarily of employee salaries and benefits, occupancy costs, consulting costs and the cost of development equipment and supplies. The following sets forth our research and development expenses in dollars and as a percentage of revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

		For the Thre	ee Month	ns En ded				
		June 30,				Change		
		2009		2008		2008 to 2009		
Research and development	\$	25,551	\$	26,216	\$	(665)	(3) %	
Percentage of revenues		22 9	%	23 %	ó			
		For the Six	Months	Ended				
		J	une 30,			Change		
	_	2009		2008		2008 to 2009		
Research and development	\$	51,403	\$	50,624	\$	779	2 %	
Percentage of revenues		22	%	22 %	ò			

The following is a summary of the year-over-year fluctuations in our research and development expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009		Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009	
Increase (decrease) in:				
Salaries, benefits and incentive compensation	\$	(757)	\$ 730	
Stock-based compensation		(50)	(175)	
Consulting and professional services		(138)	(269)	
Facilities and depreciation		277	528	
Other	_	3	(35)	
Total	\$	(665)	\$ <i>7</i> 79	

We have made, and intend to continue to make, substantial investments in product and technology development, and we believe that our future success depends in a large part upon our ability to continue to enhance existing products and to develop or acquire new products that maintain our technological competitiveness. In particular, we continue to invest our research and our outsourced development spending in developing SIP-based signaling products to help customers migrate to IP networks, such as our new EAGLE XG platform, and increased investments in our messaging products, including ongoing costs resulting from our acquisition of mBalance. In addition, our continued success in winning new customers and new number portability orders outside North America has required investment in International Telephone Union (õITUö) and other local feature development.

While research and development expenses decreased on a year-over-year basis during the second quarter of 2009, the decrease was not associated with a reduction in resources allocated to our development efforts, but instead to a reduction in incentive compensation for our research and development personnel. Specifically, the decrease in salaries, benefits, and incentive compensation expense during the quarter was due to reduced incentive compensation commensurate with lower revenues and orders in 2009. Partially offsetting this decrease was an increase in facilities and depreciation expense, primarily attributed to the increased capital expenditures in 2009 to support activities discussed above, as well as the addition of mBalance facilities in late 2008.

The year-over-year increase in the research and development expense during the first half of 2009 was primarily due to our continued investment in our next generation products and additional features, such as the ITU features discussed above. Specifically, we have continued to invest in additional resources resulting in increased

headcount and associated employee and facilities related expenses. In particular, the salaries, benefits and incentive compensation increase reflects increased investment in key internal resources to address the above mentioned development efforts, and the addition of mBalance employees to our research and development team at the end of 2008. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in (i) incentive compensation discussed previously, (ii) stock-based compensation as a result of fewer equity grants, and (iii) consulting and professional services, due primarily to reduced spending on one of our development projects as we near completion. This project had utilized a significant amount of contractor workforce in 2008.

# Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of costs associated with our sales force and marketing personnel, including: (i) salaries, commissions and related costs; (ii) outside contract personnel; (iii) facilities costs; (iv) advertising and other marketing costs, such as tradeshows; and (v) travel and other costs. The following table sets forth our sales and marketing expenses in dollars and as a percentage of revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

		For the Thre	e Month	s En ded				
		June 30,				Change		
		2009		2008		2008 to 2009		
Sales and marketing expenses	\$	17,110	\$	18,906	\$	(1,796)	(9) %	
Percentage of revenues		15 9	6	16 %	b			
		For the Six	Months	Ended				
		Jı	me 30,			Change		
	<u> </u>	2009		2008		2008 to 2009		
Sales and marketing expenses	\$	34,406	\$	37,110	\$	(2,704)	(7) %	
Percentage of revenues		15 9	6	16 %	)			

The following is a summary of the year-over-year fluctuation in our sales and marketing expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	_	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009		Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009
Increase (decrease) in:				
Salaries, benefits and incentive compensation	\$	(677)	\$	(964)
Stock-based compensation		117		110
Sales commissions		(19)		(239)
Marketing and advertising		(578)		(396)
Travel		(505)		(1,048)
Other	_	(134)		(167)
Total	\$	(1,796)	\$	(2,704)

The decrease in sales and marketing expenses in 2009 as compared to 2008, both on a quarter-to-date and year-to-date basis, was primarily attributable to the reductions in our employee-related and travel expenses, as well as marketing and advertising activities. Specifically, salaries, benefits, and related compensation decreased in 2009 due primarily to a realignment of the sales organization to better match our current order expectations, along with a reduction in commissions primarily due to the lower revenues, as well as lower average commission rates as a result of the decline in orders.

We have also continued to focus on controlling our costs, particularly in the current economic environment, through several cost control initiatives designed to reduce travel- and marketing-related expenses. As a result, during the second quarter and first half of 2009 travel costs decreased by \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and marketing and advertising expenses decreased by \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Partially offsetting these declines were increases in stock-based compensation in both the second quarter and first

half of 2009 due to the full period impact of service-based and performance-based grants issued in February of 2009 and May of 2008 to our executive team and senior level employees under our equity incentive plans.

The size of our sales and marketing workforce and related expenses varies to a greater degree in response to the growth in our orders and backlog than to the growth in revenues.

### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses are composed primarily of costs associated with our executive and administrative personnel (e.g., legal, business development, finance, information technology and human resources personnel) and consist of: (i) salaries and related compensation costs; (ii) consulting and other professional services (e.g., litigation and other outside counsel fees, audit fees); (iii) facilities and insurance costs; and (iv) travel and other costs. The following table sets forth our general and administrative expenses in dollars and as a percentage of revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	For the Thre	e Month	ıs En ded				
	 June 30,				Change		
	 2009		2008		2008 to 2009		
General and administrative expenses	\$ 13,717	\$	12,948	\$	769	6 %	
Percentage of revenues	12 9	6	11 %	ó			
	For the Six	Months	Ended				
	 Jı	ıne 30,			Change		
	 2009		2008		2008 to 2009		
General and administrative expenses	\$ 27,140	\$	27,205	\$	(65)	(0) %	
Percentage of revenues	12 9	6	12 %	, D			

The following is a summary of the year-over-year fluctuation in our general and administrative expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Ended June 30,	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009	
Increase (decrease) in:				
Salaries, benefits and incentive compensation	\$ 63	\$	374	
Stock-based compensation	267		508	
Consulting and professional services	(91)		(1,094)	
Provision for doubtful accounts and returns	467		51	
Facilities and depreciation	170		316	
Other	 (107)	_	(220)	
Total	\$ 769	\$	(65)	

The increase in general and administrative expenses in the second quarter of 2009 was primarily driven by the increases in stock-based compensation and facilities and depreciation expenses. The increase in stock-based compensation in both the second quarter and first half of 2009 is primarily due to the full period impact of service-based and performance-based grants issued in February of 2009 and May of 2008 to our executive team and senior level employees under our equity incentive plans. Facilities and depreciation expense was higher in the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to the investments in new facilities and IT infrastructure to support our continued international presence. Also contributing to the year-over-year increase was an increase in the provision for doubtful accounts.

The reduction in general and administrative expenses on a year-to-date basis was primarily due to the decreases in consulting and professional services. Specifically, in the first quarter of 2008 we incurred certain litigation-related fees and expenses of \$0.9 million associated with an arbitration involving our former President and CEO, Fred Lax. Further contributing to the year-over-year decrease in the first half of 2009 was a reduction in

recruiting costs, which are included in õotherö in the above table, as a result of our cost control initiatives. Offsetting these decreases were increases in salaries, benefits and incentive compensation driven primarily by the addition of headcount in support of growth internationally, as well as increases in stock-based compensation and facilities and depreciation expenses for the reasons discussed above.

# Acquired in-Process Research and Development

As further disclosed in Note 2 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, in the first quarter of 2008, we acquired rights to two IPR&D projects which we believe will accelerate the development of SIP-enabled next generation and IMS solutions for our customers. At the time of acquisition, these technologies were under development and had no alternative future use. Accordingly, we reflected a charge of \$2.7 million as acquired in-process research and development expense in the first quarter of 2008.

## Amortization of Intangible Assets

As a result of our acquisitions, we have recorded various intangible assets including trademarks, customer relationships and non-compete agreements. Amortization of intangible assets related to our acquisitions is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended					For the Six Months Ended				
		Ju		_	June 30,					
		2009	_	2008		2009		2008		
iptelorg	\$	-	\$	20	\$	-	\$	40		
mBalance		226		-		455		-		
Steleus		89	_	89	_	178	_	178		
Total	\$	315	\$	109	\$_	633	\$ _	218		

# Other Income and Expense

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, other income and expenses were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	For the Thre	e Mont l	ns En ded				
June 30,			Change				
	2009		2008		2008 to 2009	9	
\$	264	\$	2,295	\$	(2,031)	(88)	%
	(57)		(779)		722	(93)	%
	(2,758)		-		(2,758)	100	%
	321		-		321	100	%
	(402)		(990)		588	(59)	%
\$	(2,632)	\$ _	526	\$	(3,158)	(600)	%
	\$ \$ \$	\$ 264 (57) (2,758) 321 (402)	June 30, 2009  \$ 264 \$ (57) (2,758) 321 (402)	2009         2008           \$ 264         \$ 2,295           (57)         (779)           (2,758)         -           321         -           (402)         (990)	June 30,       2009     2008       \$ 264     \$ 2,295     \$       (57)     (779)       (2,758)     -       321     -       (402)     (990)	June 30,         Change           2009         2008         2008 to 2000           \$ 264         \$ 2,295         \$ (2,031)           (57)         (779)         722           (2,758)         -         (2,758)           321         -         321           (402)         (990)         588	June 30,         Change           2009         2008         2008 to 2009           \$ 264         \$ 2,295         \$ (2,031)         (88)           (57)         (779)         722         (93)           (2,758)         -         (2,758)         100           321         -         321         100           (402)         (990)         588         (59)

		For the Six	Months	Ended				
	June 30,			Change				
		2009		2008		2008 to 2009	)	
Interest income	\$	634	\$	5,576	\$	(4,942)	(89)	%
Interest expense		(112)		(1,911)		1,799	(94)	%
Impairment of investment in privately held company		(2,758)		-		(2,758)	100	%
Loss on sale of investments		-		(2)		2	(100)	%
Unrealized gain on ARS portfolio and Put right, net		1,435		-		1,435	100	%
Other, net		(1,820)		(1,506)		(314)	21	%
Other income (expense), net	\$	(2,621)	\$	2,157	\$	(4,778)	(222)	%

Interest Income and Expense. Interest income decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 due to (i) the repayment of our convertible debt and resulting reduction of our investments by \$125.0 million, (ii) our purchase of mBalance for approximately \$39.5 million and resulting reduction of income from our

investments, and (iii) a shift from higher yielding investments into lower yielding cash and cash equivalents as we sought to reduce our exposure to the credit and liquidity crisis in the U.S. markets. Interest expense during 2008 consisted primarily of interest on our convertible debt, which was repaid in June 2008 in accordance with its terms. As a result of this repayment, interest expense declined in 2009 as compared to 2008.

Impairment of investment in privately held company. During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded an impairment charge of \$2.8 million related to our investment in Genband. This charge represents the difference between our \$15.0 million cost basis in this investment and its estimated fair value of \$12.2 million as of June 30, 2009 as we deemed the decline in the value of this asset to be other-than-temporary. Please refer to Note 4 to the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of this impairment.

Unrealized gain on ARS portfolio and Put right, net. Unrealized gain, net represents the net gain resulting from changes in fair value of our ARS portfolio and related Put right in the first three and six months of 2009. Our ARS portfolio is classified as trading securities and, accordingly, changes in its fair value are recorded in the corresponding period earnings (i.e., õmarked to marketö). The Put right is recorded at fair value in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 159, and changes in the fair value are also recorded in the corresponding period earnings.

Other, net. Other, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 consists primarily of foreign currency exchange gains and losses, on foreign currency forward contracts used to hedge our exposure to foreign currency risks and remeasurement adjustments from consolidating our international subsidiaries. As we expand our international business further, we will continue to enter into a greater number of transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar and will therefore be exposed to greater risk related to foreign currency fluctuations and translation adjustments. We continue to explore ways to minimize our exposure in this area.

#### Provision for Income Taxes

The income tax provisions on continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$7.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, resulting in income tax expense as a percentage of pre-tax income, or an effective tax rate, of 43% and 1%, respectively. The income tax provisions on continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$12.8 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, resulting in an effective tax rate of 37% and 23%, respectively.

The differences in the effective rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the same periods in 2008, are largely driven by (i) the establishment of a valuation allowance of \$1.0 million in the second quarter of 2009 for a deferred tax item resulting from the impairment of our investment in Genband, and (ii) the settlement of our Internal Revenue Service examination of tax years 2002 through 2006 during the second quarter of 2008. Certain timing adjustments assessed during the examination enabled the carryback of capital losses which consequentially allowed the release of a previously established valuation allowance. A tax benefit of \$3.7 million associated with the reversal of this valuation allowance was recorded in the second quarter of 2008, substantially reducing the effective rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, our effective rates were 43% and 37% respectively. The rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due (i) the cumulative effect of increasing our full year estimated effective tax rate to approximately 37%, and (ii) the establishment of a valuation allowance of \$1.0 million for a deferred tax item created by the impairment of our investment in Genband. Due to the capital nature of this other-than-temporary loss, we believe it is not omore likely than noto that we will generate sufficient capital gains in the carry-forward period to realize this loss. The rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the establishment of the valuation allowance discussed above, offset by the recognition during first quarter of 2009 of certain previously unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$0.9 million associated with the settlement of two state examinations for tax years 2004 through 2006.

Our effective tax rates of 1% and 23% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 differed from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the valuation allowance reversal referenced above.

Primarily as a result of the disposition of our former SSG business unit in early 2007, a significant number of employee stock options expired unexercised during 2007, resulting in the exhaustion of our õpool of windfall tax benefitsö as of December 31, 2007 under SFAS 123R. As a result, future cancellations or exercises that result in a tax benefit that is less than the related deferred tax asset recognized under SFAS 123R will negatively impact our

effective tax rate and increase its volatility, resulting in a reduction of our earnings. SFAS 123R requires that the impact of such events be recorded as discrete items in the quarter in which the event occurs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded discrete items of approximately \$1.0 million and \$2.6 million respectively, in the provision for income taxes related to stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123R. We did not record a similar discrete item during the three months ended March 31, 2009, however, in the three and six months ended June 30 2009, we recorded a \$0.1 million tax benefit associated with a tax deduction in excess of the related deferred tax asset. This benefit was recorded as an increase to additional paid in capital pursuant to SFAS 123R and SFAS 109.

The Obama Administration and the U.S. Treasury Department have recently proposed legislation that would fundamentally change how U.S. multinational corporations are taxed on their global income. The proposed changes would limit the ability of U.S. corporations to deduct certain expenses attributable to offshore earnings, modify the foreign tax credit rules and further restrict the ability of U.S. corporations to transfer funds between foreign subsidiaries without triggering U.S. income tax consequences. It is unclear whether these proposed tax reforms will be enacted or, if enacted, what the scope of the reforms will be. Depending on their content, such reforms, if enacted, could have a negative impact on our financial position and results of operations.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

#### **Overview**

We derive our liquidity and capital resources primarily from our cash flows from operations and from our working capital. Our working capital increased by \$148.1 million, or 70%, from \$210.4 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$358.5 million as of June 30, 2009, primarily due to (i) the reclassification of our auction rate securities and Put right aggregating \$101.9 million from long-term to short-term assets and (ii) cash flows from operating activities of \$32.9 million.

The significant components of our working capital are liquid assets such as cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable, trading securities and the related Put right, and deferred costs and commissions, reduced by trade accounts payable, accrued expenses, accrued compensation and related expenses, and the current portion of deferred revenues. Our cash and cash equivalents were \$242.9 million and \$209.4 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

As of June 30, 2009, we had a \$50.0 million line of credit and a letter of credit facility of \$10.0 million with Wachovia Bank, National Association, which is now owned by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The line of credit is unsecured except for our pledge of 65% of the outstanding stock of certain subsidiaries, while the letter of credit facility requires certain levels of cash collateral for outstanding letters of credit. As of June 30, 2009, there were no outstanding borrowings under these facilities. We also utilize a letter of credit facility in the maximum amount of \$5.0 million with Wells Fargo which also requires cash collateral. As of June 30, 2009, there were approximately \$1.6 million of letters of credit outstanding under this facility.

We believe our current working capital and anticipated cash flows from continuing operations, coupled with the available lines of credit, will be adequate to meet our cash needs for our daily operations and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. Additionally, we believe these resources provide the flexibility to continue to invest in further development of our technology and, when deemed necessary or appropriate, make selective acquisitions to continue to strengthen our product portfolio.

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we held \$87.3 million and \$87.2 million of Auction Rate Securities (õARSÖ), respectively, recorded at estimated fair value. These estimated fair values represent declines of \$15.1 million and \$20.7 million, respectively, below our cost basis. While \$5.5 million, at par value, of our ARS portfolio was called by the issuers during the first two quarters of 2009, our remaining ARS portfolio continues to be illiquid as the auctions have not recommenced. These failed auctions result in a lack of liquidity in the securities but does not necessarily represent a deterioration of the credit quality of the issuer. All of our ARS at June 30, 2009 are AAA-, AA- or A-rated by one or more of the major credit rating agencies. These instruments have maturities ranging from thirteen to thirty-three years. All of these securities are collateralized by student loans. At June 30, 2009, on a weighted average basis, approximately 93% of the underlying student loan collateral was issued under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (õFFELPö). Student loans issued under the FFELP program are currently 97% guaranteed by the U.S. government.

On October 31, 2008, we accepted an offer from UBS for auction rate securities rights related to our ARS portfolio (the õPut rightö). Under the terms of this offer, UBS has the right, at its discretion, to purchase these securities from us at par value which is defined as the price equal to the liquidation preference of the ARS plus accrued but unpaid dividends or interest, if any, at any time until July 2, 2012, and we have the right, at our discretion, to require UBS to purchase the securities at par value plus accrued interest at any time between June 30, 2010 and July 2, 2012. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of the Put right is \$14.6 million and \$18.7 million, respectively, and is included in the caption õPut right, at fair valueö in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In determining the fair value of our ARS we follow the provisions of SFAS 157 õFair Value Measurementsö (õSFAS 157ö). Trading securities and Put right fair values as of June 30, 2009 were estimated using discounted cash flow valuation model with major inputs to such model based on our estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing these instruments. The methodology used to determine fair values as of June 30, 2009 did not change materially from the methodology used in the most recently filed Form 10-K.

Specifically, in determining the fair value of the trading securities at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, we used the following key inputs to our valuation model:

- Termô for the period ending December 31, 2008, we estimated a five-year expected life of the instruments, based on our expectations of the most likely time in which it would take for the instruments to be called by the issuer or liquidity to be restored to the market place. Beginning in the first quarter 2009 and continuing through the second quarter of 2009, the expected life of the instruments was reduced to four years due to the current market factors, including the U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve plan to aid the student loan market which may restore liquidity in the auction rate security market.
- Discount Rateô we determined the discount rate based on estimated yields of similar publicly traded instruments (e.g., similar collateral, terms, credit quality, etc.)
- Liquidity Discountô for the period ending December 31, 2008, the instability in the financial markets and the low demand for auction rate securities made it unclear as to when these securities will become liquid again. With the auction process not functioning normally, it was extremely difficult to estimate the expected holding period for these instruments. As a result, we included a 200 basis point premium to the discount rate to reflect the illiquidity of these securities. Beginning with the first quarter of 2009 and continuing through the second quarter of 2009, this liquidity premium has been reduced to 100 basis points to reflect the increase in recent issuer calls of these instruments.

In determining the fair value of the Put right as of December 31, 2008, we assumed we would redeem the auction rate securities at par at the earliest possible time, June 30, 2010. Accordingly, we calculated the intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the par value of the ARS portfolio and the current fair value of the ARS portfolio) of the Put right to be the \$20.7 million as noted above. We utilized a discounted cash flow model using a time period of 1.5 years, representing the period from December 2008 to the earliest date we can expect to be able to redeem the Put right (June 30, 2010) and a discount rate of 5.83%, which included a base LIBOR rate, a forward rate based on the credit default swap rate of UBS as of December 31, 2008, and a liquidity premium of 2%.

For the period ending June 30, 2009, we utilized a discounted cash flow model using a time period of one year representing the period from June 30, 2009 to the earliest date we can expect to be able to redeem the put (June 30, 2010), and a discount rate of 3.65%, which included a base LIBOR rate, a forward rate based on the credit default swap rate of UBS as of June 30, 2009 and a liquidity premium of 1%. The resulting fair value of the Put right was estimated to be \$14.6 million.

In order to offset future changes in the ARS portfolions fair value, we have elected fair value treatment for the Put right under SFAS 159 of The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (oSFAS 1590). Upon electing fair value option, the difference between fair value and carrying value of the Put right, as well as any subsequent net changes to the estimated fair value, are recorded in the current period earnings. While we expect the changes in fair value for the ARS and the Put right to offset each other over time, we may incur net gains or losses in earnings in any given period.

Our liquidity could be negatively impacted by a decrease in revenues resulting from a decline in demand for our products or a reduction of capital expenditures by our customers as a result of a downturn in the global economy, among other factors.

#### Cash Flows

As discussed above, one of the primary sources of our liquidity is our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations. The following is a discussion of our primary sources and uses of cash in our operating, investing and financing activities:

### Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations was \$32.9 million and \$56.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in our cash flows from continuing operations was primarily the result of (i) lower collections resulting from a year-over-year decline in orders and associated billing activity and a greater percentage of our business coming from emerging markets which typically have slower billing terms and longer payment terms, (ii) the decrease in net income as discussed previously and (iii) a \$4.4 million non-recurring tax refund received in 2008. Our cash flows from continuing operations were primarily derived from: (i) our earnings from ongoing operations prior to non-cash expenses such as stock-based compensation, depreciation, amortization, bad debt, write-downs of inventory, warranty reserve charges, and deferred income taxes; and (ii) changes in our working capital, which are primarily composed of changes in accounts receivable, inventories, deferred revenue and associated deferred costs, accounts payable, accrued expenses and accrued payroll and related expenses.

We currently anticipate that we will continue to operate a business with positive cash flows from operations. Our ability to meet these expectations depends on our ability to achieve positive earnings. Our ability to generate future cash flows from operations could be negatively impacted by a decrease in demand for our products, as our products are subject to technological changes and increasing competition, or a reduction of capital expenditures by our customers as a result of the continuing downturn in the global economy, among other factors.

## Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of continuing operations was (\$6.6) million and \$174.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our cash flows from investing activities primarily relate to redemptions, purchases and sales of investments and purchases of property and equipment. During the first six months of 2009, a portion of our ARS portfolio was called by the respective issuers resulting in proceeds of \$5.5 million. During the first six months of 2008, we sold a significant portion of our short-term investment portfolio resulting in proceeds of \$188.1 million (net of purchases of investments) in anticipation of retiring our formerly outstanding convertible debt as discussed below. Our investment in new property and equipment and acquired in-process research and development technology amounted to \$12.1 million and \$13.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We continue to closely monitor our capital expenditures, while making strategic investments in the development of our existing products and the replacement of certain older computer and information technology infrastructure to meet the needs of our workforce. Accordingly, we expect our total capital expenditures to be between \$18.0 million and \$21.0 million for 2009.

# Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was \$6.5 million and (\$147.9) million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our financing activities primarily consisted of proceeds of \$6.5 million from net issuances of common stock pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options and our employee stock purchase plan, including the excess tax benefit on those exercises. For the six months ended June, 2008, our financing activities consisted primarily of the \$125.0 million repayment of our convertible debt, and the expending of \$33.7 million to repurchase our common stock, partially offset by proceeds of \$10.8 million from the issuance of common stock pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options and our employee stock purchase plan, including the excess tax benefit on those exercises.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

For information about our other critical accounting policies and estimates, see the õCritical Accounting Policies and Estimatesö section of õItem 7. Managementøs Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operationsö in our 2008 Form 10-K.

# **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

Accounting for and Disclosure of Subsequent Events. In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (õFASBö) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 165 õSubsequent Eventsö (õSFAS 165ö). SFAS 165 established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued, including the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. SFAS 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted SFAS 165 in our second quarter of 2009, and its adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 õInterim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instrumentsö (õFSP 107-1ö). This FSP amends FASB Statement No. 107 õDisclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instrumentsö to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. Prior to this FSP, fair value for these assets and liabilities was only disclosed annually. An entity is also required to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and describe the changes in methods and significant assumptions, if any, during the period. FSP 107-1 also amends APB Opinion No. 28 õInterim Financial Reportingö to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim periods. The FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 and does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. We adopted FSP 107-1 in our second quarter of 2009. Because FSP 107-1 only requires additional disclosures, its adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments. In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 õRecognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairmentsö (õFSP 115-2ö). This FSP amends the other-than-temporary guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This FSP does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. FSP 115-2 also expands and increases the frequency of existing disclosure about other-than-temporary impairments for debt and equity securities. In addition, it requires that the annual disclosures in SFAS 115 and FSP 115-2 and FAS 124-1 õThe Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments,ö be made for interim periods. The FSP also requires new disclosures to help users of financial statements understand the significant inputs used in determining a credit loss, as well as a rollforward of that amount each period. The FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 and does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. We adopted FSP 115-2 in our second quarter of 2009, and its adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly. In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 157-4 õDetermining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderlyö (õFSP 157-4ö). This FSP provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No.157 õFair Value Measurementsö (õSFAS 157ö), when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. The FSP also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. In those cases, further analysis of transactions or quoted prices is required, and a significant adjustment may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with SFAS 157. The FSP 157-4 also amends SFAS 157 to require disclosure in interim and annual periods of the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and a discussion of changes in valuation techniques and related inputs, if any, during the period. FSP 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. We adopted the FSP 157-4

in our second quarter of 2009, and the adoption of FSP 157-4 did not have significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

# "Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

The statements that are not historical facts contained in this Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as õmay,ö õwill,ö õintend,ö õshould,ö õcould,ö õcould,ö õcould,ö õexpect,ö õplan,ö õanticipate,ö õbelieve,ö õestimate,ö õproject,ö õpredict,ö õpotential,ö and variations of these words and similar expressions are sometimes used to identify forward-looking statements. These statements reflect the current belief, expectations, estimates, forecasts or intent of our management and are subject to and involve certain risks and uncertainties. There can be no assurance that our actual future performance will meet management expectations. As discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (the õ2008 Form 10-Kö) and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (õSECö), our future operating results are difficult to predict and subject to significant fluctuations. Factors that may cause future results to differ materially from management expectations include, among others:

- the effect of the current economic crisis on overall spending by our customers, including increasing
  pressure from our customers for us to lower prices for our products and warranty services, further
  changes in general economic conditions and unexpected changes in economic, social, or political
  conditions in the countries in which we operate;
- access to credit markets by our customers and the impact of the tightening credit on capital spending;
- exposure to increased bad debt expense as a result of general economic conditions and the uncertain credit markets worldwide;
- the timely development and introduction of new products and services, our product mix and the geographic mix of our revenues and the associated impact on gross margins and operating expenses;
- market acceptance and delivery of our new products and technologies, and the effect of any product that fails to meet one customer

  s expectations on the sale of that or any other products with that or other customers;
- our ability to successfully integrate acquisitions, such as our mBalance acquisition;
- our ability to compete with other manufacturers that have lower cost bases than ours and/or are partially supported by foreign government subsidies or employ unfair trade practices;
- the risk that continued service provider outsourcing of network maintenance and operations functions will insert a potential competitor between Tekelec and its customers and/or erode our level of service to such service providers or negatively affect our margins;
- uncertainties related to the timing of revenue recognition due to the increasing percentage of international and new customers in our backlog;
- business interruptions or other effects at the Company, its suppliers or customers, of the recent flu pandemic;
- the risk that our financial results for the full year 2009 will not meet our expectations;
- the timing of significant orders and shipments, the timing of revenue recognition under the residual method of accounting, and the lengthy sales cycles for our products:
- the availability and success or failure of advantageous strategic and vendor relationships;
- the impact on our earnings as a result of a devaluation of the Broadsoft common stock and/or devaluation of the Genband common stock we received in connection with the sale of our SSG business and changes in the estimated restructuring costs;
- litigation, including patent-related litigation, or regulatory matters and the costs and expenses associated therewith;
- the ability of carriers to utilize excess capacity of signaling infrastructure and related products in their networks, the capital spending patterns of customers, and our dependence on wireless customers for a significant percentage and growth of our revenues; and
- other risks described in this Form 10-Q and in our 2008 Form 10-K and in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Many of these risks and uncertainties are outside of our control and are difficult for us to forecast or mitigate. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. We do not assume any responsibility for updating or revising these forward-looking statements. Undue emphasis or reliance should not be placed on any forward-looking statements contained herein or made elsewhere by or on behalf of us.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, see Item 7A, õQuantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk,ö included in our 2008 Form 10-K. Our exposures to market risk have not changed materially since December 31, 2008 other than as discussed in Note 4 õFinancial Instrumentsö to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and under the caption õCritical Accounting Policies and Estimatesö in Part I, Item 2 of this Form 10-Q.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Based on our management evaluation (with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer), as of the end of the quarter covered by this report, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the õExchange Actö)), are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

# Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting are or will be capable of preventing or detecting all errors and all fraud. Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system@s objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

# PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material developments in the description of material legal proceedings as reported in Part I, Item 3 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

From time to time, various claims and litigation are asserted or commenced against us arising from or related to contractual matters, intellectual property matters, product warranties and personnel and employment disputes. As to such claims and litigation, we can give no assurance that we will prevail. However, we currently do not believe that the ultimate outcome of any pending matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in Part 1, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, other than the following:

#### We face risks related to health epidemics, pandemics and other outbreaks.

Our business could be adversely affected by the effects of flu or other epidemics, pandemics or outbreaks. Such adverse public health developments could result in the partial or complete closure of our or our suppliersø facilities, delays in our obtaining parts and third-party services for our products, delays in or our inability to service existing customers or install new customers, the introduction of inefficiencies in our operations, or the illness or death of our employees. Such developments could also adversely affect our customers' businesses, their demand for our products, and their ability to pay for our products. These effects could severely disrupt our business operations and adversely affect our results of operations.

The current economic environment has resulted in increasing pressure from our customers to lower our prices for products and warranty services, which may negatively impact our margins, operating results, and timing of revenue recognition.

Beginning in 2008 and continuing throughout 2009, our business has been and continues to be impacted by the global financial crisis and the deterioration in the general macroeconomic environment. These overall weak economic conditions have resulted in our customers reducing their capital expenditure budgets and seeking ways to reduce their operating expenses. As a result, we have seen significant pressure from our customers to lower prices for our products and warranty services as they try to improve their operating performance and procure additional capital equipment within their reduced budget levels. To the extent that we lower prices on our products and services, our orders, revenues, and gross margins may be negatively impacted.

Further, certain customers have sought and others may seek reductions in their operating expenses, particularly warranty related expenses. To the extent that we agree to negotiate lower prices on our warranty services, it may affect our ability to establish vendor specific objective evidence of the fair value (õVSOEö) for these warranty services. Should we lose VSOE for these services, our products and implementation services will be recognized ratably over the warranty period upon completion of the delivery of all products and implementation services within a specific customer arrangement. Therefore, the timing of revenue recognition of these revenues would be substantially later than under our current revenue recognition model in which we have established VSOE for our warranty services.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

- (a) On May 15, 2009, we held our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the õAnnual Meetingö).
- (b) At the Annual Meeting, the following persons were elected as directors of Tekelec. The numbers of votes cast for each director and the numbers of votes withheld for each director are listed opposite each director name.

	Votes Cast for	Votes	
Name of Director	Director	Withheld	
Ronald W. Buckly	62,724,512	623,946	
Hubert de Pesquidoux	63,006,690	341,768	
Mark A. Floyd	62,915,490	432,968	
Martin A. Kaplan	62,969,289	379,169	
David R. Laube	63,004,762	343,696	
Carol G.Mills	62,973,414	375,044	
Franco Plastina	62,995,462	352,996	
Krish A. Prabhu	62,931,187	417,271	
Michael P. Ressner	62,590,630	757,828	

(c)	At the Annual Meeting, the shareholders ratified the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLF	P as
	Tekelecos independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009.	The
	votes with respect to ratification of the appointment were as follows:	

For 60,327,872 Against 3,018,521 Abstain	2,065
--	-------

# Item 5. Other Information

Our policy governing transactions in our securities by our directors, executive officers and certain other employees permits such persons from time to time to adopt stock trading plans pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act. As of June 30, 2009, Martin A. Kaplan, a director of the Company, and Franco Plastina, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company, had each adopted a Rule 10b5-1 stock trading plan that was then in effect. The Company does not, however, undertake any obligation to disclose, or to update or revise any disclosure regarding, any such plans and specifically does not undertake to disclose the adoption, amendment, termination or expiration of any such plans.

# Item 6. Exhibits

# **Exhibit Description**

- 10.1 Second and Third Amendments to Credit Agreement dated as of October 2, 2008 by and among Tekelec, Tekelec International, SPRL, the lenders from time to time parties thereto, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender, Issuing Lender and Lender (1)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Tekelec pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (1)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Tekelec pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (1)
- 32.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Tekelec pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (1)

<sup>(1)</sup> Filed herewith.

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	TEKELEC				
Date: August 5, 2009	/s/ FRANCO PLASTINA				
	Franco Plastina				
	President and Chief Executive Officer				
Date: August 5, 2009	/s/ WILLIAM H. EVERETT				
	William H. Everett				
	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer				
Date: August 5, 2009	/s/ GREGORY S. RUSH				
	Gregory S. Rush				
	Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer				

# EXHIBIT INDEX

<b>Exhibit</b>	Description
10.1	Second and Third Amendments to Credit Agreement dated as of October 2, 2008 by and among Tekelec, Tekelec International, SPRL, the lenders from time to time parties thereto, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender, Issuing Lender and Lender
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Tekelec pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Tekelec pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Tekelec pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002