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## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including statements in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Result of Operations are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such information involves risks and uncertainties that could result in the Company's actual results differing from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (1) the Company may incur additional provision for loan losses due to negative credit quality trends in the future that may lead to a deterioration of asset quality; (2) the Company may incur increased chargeoffs in the future; (3) the Company may experience increases in the default rates or decreased prepayments on previously securitized loans that would result in impairment losses or lower the yield on such loans; (4) the Company may continue to benefit from strong recovery efforts on previously securitized loans resulting in improved yields on this asset; (5) the Company could have adverse legal actions of a material nature; (6) the Company may face competitive loss of customers; (7) the Company may be unable to manage its expense levels; (8) the Company may have difficulty retaining key employees; (9) changes in the interest rate environment may have results on the Company's operations materially different from those anticipated by the Company's market risk management functions; (10) changes in general economic conditions and increased competition could adversely affect the Company's operating results; (11) changes in other regulations and government policies affecting bank holding companies and their subsidiaries, including changes in monetary policies, could negatively impact the Company's operating results; and (12) the Company may experience difficulties growing loan and deposit balances. Forward-looking statements made herein reflect management's expectations as of the date such statements are made. Such information is provided to assist stockholders and potential investors in understanding current and anticipated financial operations of the Company and is included pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date such statements are made.
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## PART I, ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

City Holding Company and Subsidiaries
(in thousands)


See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Income(Unaudited)

## City Holding Company and Subsidiaries

(in thousands, except earnings per share data)

|  | Three Months Ended September 30 |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 32,721 | \$ | 31,774 | \$ | 96,131 | \$ | 91,788 |
| Interest on investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 6,024 |  | 6,870 |  | 19,709 |  | 21,618 |
| Tax-exempt |  | 415 |  | 437 |  | 1,270 |  | 1,359 |
| Interest on loans held for sale |  | - |  | 122 |  | - |  | 322 |
| Interest on deposits in depository institutions |  | 171 |  | 452 |  | 401 |  | 1,018 |
| Interest on federal funds sold |  | 266 |  | 92 |  | 815 |  | 92 |
| Total Interest Income |  | 39,597 |  | 39,747 |  | 118,326 |  | 116,197 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits |  | 13,190 |  | 11,782 |  | 38,978 |  | 31,503 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings |  | 1,758 |  | 1,343 |  | 4,965 |  | 3,795 |
| Interest on long-term debt |  | 426 |  | 1,108 |  | 1,383 |  | 3,607 |
| Total Interest Expense |  | 15,374 |  | 14,233 |  | 45,326 |  | 38,905 |
| Net Interest Income |  | 24,223 |  | 25,514 |  | 73,000 |  | 77,292 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,200 |  | 1,225 |  | 3,700 |  | 2,900 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses |  | 23,023 |  | 24,289 |  | 69,300 |  | 74,392 |
| Non-interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities (losses) gains |  | (1) |  | $(2,067)$ |  | 45 |  | $(2,067)$ |
| Service charges |  | 11,192 |  | 10,833 |  | 32,681 |  | 31,597 |
| Insurance commissions |  | 1,127 |  | 526 |  | 2,971 |  | 1,661 |
| Trust and investment management fee income |  | 523 |  | 572 |  | 1,529 |  | 1,642 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 596 |  | 561 |  | 1,877 |  | 1,776 |
| Gain on sale of retail credit card portfolio and merchant agreements |  |  |  | 3,563 |  | 1,500 |  | 3,563 |
| Other income |  | 377 |  | 778 |  | 1,252 |  | 2,445 |
| Total Non-interest Income |  | 13,814 |  | 14,766 |  | 41,855 |  | 40,617 |
| Non-interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 9,307 |  | 8,733 |  | 27,275 |  | 26,129 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 1,600 |  | 1,602 |  | 4,762 |  | 4,825 |
| Depreciation |  | 1,160 |  | 1,061 |  | 3,339 |  | 3,182 |
| Professional fees |  | 416 |  | 379 |  | 1,204 |  | 1,345 |
| Postage, delivery, and statement mailings |  | 641 |  | 765 |  | 1,988 |  | 2,098 |
| Advertising |  | 801 |  | 810 |  | 2,533 |  | 2,339 |
| Telecommunications |  | 438 |  | 498 |  | 1,352 |  | 1,499 |
| Bankcard expenses |  | 623 |  | 485 |  | 1,737 |  | 1,486 |
| Insurance and regulatory |  | 364 |  | 384 |  | 1,132 |  | 1,153 |
| Office supplies |  | 472 |  | 417 |  | 1,369 |  | 1,171 |
| Repossessed asset (gains) losses, net of expenses |  | (47) |  | 20 |  | (52) |  | (105) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt |  | - |  | 379 |  | - |  | 661 |
| Other expenses |  | 2,256 |  | 2,600 |  | 6,514 |  | 7,402 |
| Total Non-interest Expense |  | 18,031 |  | 18,133 |  | 53,153 |  | 53,185 |
| Income Before Income Taxes |  | 18,806 |  | 20,922 |  | 58,002 |  | 61,824 |
| Income tax expense |  | 6,092 |  | 7,302 |  | 19,735 |  | 21,577 |
| Net Income |  | 12,714 |  | 13,620 | \$ | 38,267 | \$ | 40,247 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ | 0.76 | \$ | 0.78 | \$ | 2.24 | \$ | 2.27 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ | 0.76 | \$ | 0.77 | \$ | 2.24 | \$ | 2.26 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.93 | \$ | 0.84 |
| Average common shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 16,714 |  | 17,557 |  | 17,057 |  | 17,759 |
| Diluted |  | 16,767 |  | 17,619 |  | 17,116 |  | 17,817 |

[^0]Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity(Unaudited)
City Holding Company and Subsidiaries
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 and 2006
(in thousands)

|  | Common Stock |  | Capital <br> Surplus |  | Retained Earnings |  | Treasury Stock |  | Accumulated Other <br> Comprehensive Loss |  | Total Shareholders' Equity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances at December 31, 2005 | \$ | 46,249 | \$ | 104,435 | \$ | 160,747 | \$ | $(11,278)$ | \$ | $(8,012)$ | \$ | 292,141 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  | 40,247 |  |  |  |  |  | 40,247 |
| Other comprehensive gain, net of deferred income taxes of $\$ 487$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gains on available-forsale securities of $\$ 462$, net of taxes and reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income of \$2,067 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 277 |  | 277 |
| Net unrealized gain on interest rate floors of \$755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 453 |  | 453 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,977 |
| Cash dividends declared ( $\$ 0.84$ per share) |  |  |  |  |  | $(14,823)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(14,823)$ |
| Issuance of stock awards, net |  |  |  | 227 |  |  |  | 244 |  |  |  | 471 |
| Exercise of 39,935 stock options |  |  |  | (802) |  |  |  | 1,455 |  |  |  | 653 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation |  |  |  | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 222 |
| Purchase of 590,053 treasury shares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(21,314)$ |  |  |  | $(21,314)$ |
| Balances at September 30, 2006 | \$ | 46,249 | \$ | 104,082 | \$ | 186,171 | \$ | $(30,893)$ | \$ | $(7,282)$ | \$ | 298,327 |


|  | CommonStock |  | Capital <br> Surplus |  | Retained Earnings |  | Treasury Stock |  | Accumulated <br> Other <br> Comprehensive <br> Loss |  | Total Shareholders' Equity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances at December 31, 2006 | \$ | 46,249 | \$ | 104,043 | \$ | 194,213 | \$ | $(33,669)$ | \$ | $(5,529)$ | \$ | 305,307 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 |  |  |  |  |  | (125) |  |  |  |  |  | (125) |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  | 38,267 |  |  |  |  |  | 38,267 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of deferred income taxes of \$755: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized losses on available-forsale securities of $\$ 138$, net of taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |  | 83 |
| Net unrealized gain on interest rate floors of $\$ 1,750$, net of taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,050 |  | 1,050 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39,400 |
| Cash dividends declared ( $\$ 0.93$ per share) |  |  |  |  |  | $(15,703)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(15,703)$ |
| Issuance of stock awards, net |  |  |  | (430) |  |  |  | 802 |  |  |  | 372 |
| Exercise of 7,300 stock options |  |  |  | (141) |  |  |  | 295 |  |  |  | 154 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock -based compensation |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Purchase of 1,017,000 treasury shares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(37,688)$ |  |  |  | $(37,688)$ |
| Balances at September 30, 2007 | \$ | 46,249 | \$ | 103,475 | \$ | 216,652 | \$ | $(70,260)$ | \$ | $(4,396)$ | \$ | 291,720 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows(Unaudited)

## City Holding Company and Subsidiaries

(in thousands)

| Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 38,267 | \$ | 40,247 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization and accretion |  | $(1,754)$ |  | $(2,059)$ |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 3,700 |  | 2,900 |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment |  | 3,339 |  | 3,182 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense |  | (472) |  | 1,027 |
| Net periodic employee benefit cost |  | 177 |  | 184 |
| Gain on sale of credit card merchant agreements |  | $(1,500)$ |  | - |
| Gain on sale of retail credit card portfolio |  | - |  | $(3,563)$ |
| Loss on disposal of premises and equipment |  | - |  | 15 |
| Loss on repurchase of trust preferred securities |  | - |  | 661 |
| Realized investment securities (gains) losses |  | (45) |  | 2,067 |
| Proceeds from bank-owned life insurance |  | 205 |  | 126 |
| Increase in value of bank-owned life insurance |  | $(1,878)$ |  | $(1,776)$ |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable |  | 82 |  | 200 |
| (Decrease) in other assets |  | $(1,658)$ |  | $(8,374)$ |
| (Decrease ) increase in other liabilities |  | $(2,980)$ |  | 5,400 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities |  | 35,483 |  | 40,237 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities held-to-maturity |  | 11,458 |  | 1,437 |
| Proceeds from sale of money market and mutual fund securities available-for-sale |  | 753,770 |  | 757,150 |
| Purchases of money market and mutual fund securities available-for-sale |  | $(775,703)$ |  | $(754,842)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale |  | 1,811 |  | 33,219 |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities available-for-sale |  | 70,218 |  | 57,673 |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale |  | $(12,085)$ |  | $(11,604)$ |
| Net (increase) in loans |  | $(41,388)$ |  | $(95,150)$ |
| Sales of premises and equipment |  | 15 |  | - |
| Purchases of premises and equipment |  | $(10,226)$ |  | $(4,200)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of credit card merchant agreements |  | 1,650 |  | - |
| Proceeds from sale of retail credit card portfolio |  | - |  | 13,920 |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities |  | (480) |  | $(2,397)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net (decrease) in noninterest-bearing deposits |  | $(21,219)$ |  | $(40,189)$ |
| Net increase in interest-bearing deposits |  | 18,677 |  | 91,691 |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings |  | 3,184 |  | $(19,016)$ |
| Repayment of long-term debt |  | (135) |  | $(12,991)$ |
| Redemption of trust preferred securities |  | - |  | $(6,477)$ |
| Purchases of treasury stock |  | $(37,688)$ |  | $(21,314)$ |
| Exercise of stock options |  | 154 |  | 653 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements |  | 3 |  | 222 |
| Dividends paid |  | $(15,484)$ |  | $(14,432)$ |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities |  | $(52,508)$ |  | $(21,853)$ |
| (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | $(17,505)$ |  | 15,987 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 110,448 |  | 86,273 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ | 92,943 | \$ | 102,260 |

[^1]
## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## September 30, 2007

Note A - Basis of Presentation
The accompanying consolidated financial statements, which are unaudited, include all of the accounts of City Holding Company ("the Parent Company") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, "the Company"). All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated financial statements include all adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations and financial condition for each of the periods presented. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that can be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007. The Company's accounting and reporting policies conform with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Such policies require management to make estimates and develop assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes. Actual results could differ from management's estimates.

The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 has been derived from audited financial statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report to Shareholders. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been omitted. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the 2006 Annual Report of the Company.

Certain amounts in the 2006 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2007 presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on net income or shareholders' equity.

## Note B -Previously Securitized Loans

Between 1997 and 1999, the Company completed six securitization transactions involving approximately $\$ 760$ million in $125 \%$ of fixed rate, junior-lien underlying mortgages. The Company retained a financial interest in each of the securitizations until 2004. Principal amounts owed to investors were evidenced by securities ("Notes"). During 2003 and 2004, the Company exercised its early redemption options on each of those securitizations. Once the Notes were redeemed, the Company became the beneficial owner of the mortgage loans and recorded the loans as assets of the Company within the loan portfolio. The table below summarizes information regarding delinquencies, net credit recoveries, and outstanding collateral balances of previously securitized loans for the dates presented:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|lll} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { As of and for } \\ \text { the Year } \\ \text { Ended }\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

The Company accounts for the difference between the carrying value and the total expected cash flows from these loans as an adjustment of the yield earned on the loans over their remaining lives. The discount is accreted to income over the period during which payments are probable of collection and are reasonably estimable. Additionally, the collectibility of previously securitized loans is evaluated over the remaining lives of the loans. An impairment charge on previously securitized loans would be provided through the Company's provision for loan losses if the discounted present value of estimated future cash flows declines below the recorded value of previously securitized loans. No such impairment charges were recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, or for the year ending December 31, 2006.

As of September 30, 2007, the Company reported a book value of previously securitized loans of $\$ 8.3$ million whereas the actual contractual outstanding balance of previously securitized loans at September 30, 2007 was $\$ 25.9$ million. The difference ("the discount") between the book value and the expected total cash flows from previously securitized loans is accreted into interest income over the life of the loans.

For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company recognized $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 2.2$ million, respectively, of interest income from its previously securitized loans. During the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, the Company recognized $\$ 5.5$ million and $\$ 7.4$ million, respectively, of interest income from its previously securitized loans.

## Note C-Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes interest rate floors to mitigate exposure to interest rate risk. As of September 30, 2007, the Company has entered into eight interest rate floor contracts with a total notional amount of $\$ 600$ million, seven of which (total notional amount of $\$ 500$ million) are designated as cash flow hedges. The objective of these interest rate floors is to protect the overall cash flows from the Company's portfolio of $\$ 500$ million of variable-rate loans outstanding from the risk of a decrease in those cash flows.

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of interest rate floor derivative positions outstanding at period end are presented in the following table. The estimated fair values of the interest rate floors on variable-rate loans are based on quoted market prices.

|  | September 30, 2007 |  | December 31, 2006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Notional Value | Estimated Fair Value | Notional Value | Estimated Fair Value |
| Interest rate floors on variable-rate loans | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 6 5 4}$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 4,239$ |

The weighted-average strike rates for interest rate floors outstanding at September 30, 2007 range from 6.00\% to 8.00\%.

Interest rate contracts involve the risk of dealing with counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. These counterparties must have an investment grade credit rating and be approved by the Company's Asset and Liability Committee.

For cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative hedging instrument is reported in other comprehensive income, while the ineffective portion (indicated by the excess of the cumulative change in the fair value of the derivative over that which is necessary to offset the cumulative change in expected future cash flows on the hedge transaction) is recorded in current earnings as other income or other expense. The Company recognized the increase in market value of $\$ 1.1$ million, net of taxes, in Other Comprehensive Income for the nine months ended September 30 , 2007 on these derivative instruments.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company redesignated an interest rate floor contract with a total notional amount of $\$ 100$ million that had previously been accounted for as a freestanding derivative. This interest rate floor has no fair value at September 30, 2007, matures in 8 months and has a strike rate of $6.00 \%$.

## Note D - Short-term borrowings

The components of short-term borrowings are summarized below:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Security repurchase agreements | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 1 2 0}$ | $\$$ | 115,675 |
| Short-term FHLB advances |  | $\mathbf{4 5 , 6 6 7}$ | 20,895 |  |
|  | Total short-term borrowings | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 , 7 8 7}$ | $\$$ |
|  |  |  | 136,570 |  |

Securities sold under agreement to repurchase were sold to corporate and government customers as an alternative to available deposit products. The underlying securities included in repurchase agreements remain under the Company's control during the effective period of the agreements.

## Note E - Long-Term Debt

The components of long-term debt are summarized below:

| (dollars in thousands) | Maturity | September 30, 2007 | Weighted Average <br> Interest Rate |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0 4}$ |
| FHLB Advances | 2008 | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 6 3}$ |
| FHLB Advances | 2009 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 3 \%}$ |
| FHLB Advances | 2010 | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 2 \%}$ |
| FHLB Advances | 2011 | $\mathbf{5 . 9 8 \%}$ |  |
| Junior subordinated debentures owed to | $2028(a)$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 7 \%}$ |
| $\quad$ City Holding Capital Trust |  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 8 5 3}$ |
| Total long-term debt |  |  | $\mathbf{9 . 1 5 \%}$ |

(a) Junior Subordinated Debentures owed to City Holding Capital Trust are redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the Company (i) on or after April 1, 2008, in whole at any time or in part from time-to-time, at declining redemption prices ranging from $104.58 \%$ to $100.00 \%$ on April 1 , 2018, and thereafter, or (ii) in whole, but not in part, at any time within 90 days following the occurrence and during the continuation of certain predefined events.

The Company formed a statutory business trust, City Holding Capital Trust ("the Capital Trust"), under the laws of Delaware. The Capital Trust was created for the exclusive purpose of (i) issuing trust-preferred capital securities ("Capital Securities"), which represent preferred undivided beneficial interests in the assets of the trust, (ii) using the proceeds from the sale of the Capital Securities to acquire junior subordinated debentures ("Debentures") issued by the Company, and (iii) engaging in only those activities necessary or incidental thereto. The trust is considered a variable interest entity for which the Company is not the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, the accounts of the trusts are not included in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Capital Securities issued by the statutory business trust qualify as Tier 1 capital for the Company under the Federal Reserve Board guidelines. In March 2005, the Federal Reserve Board issued a final rule that allows the inclusion of trust preferred securities issued by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts in Tier 1 capital, but with stricter limits. Under ruling, after a five-year transition period, the aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other capital elements would be limited to $25 \%$ of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions. The Company expects to continue to include all of its $\$ 16$ million in trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital. The trust preferred securities could be redeemed without penalty if they were no longer permitted to be included in Tier 1 capital.

## Note F - Employee Benefit Plans

The Company accounts for share-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment." A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information is presented below for the nine months ended September 30:

|  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Options | WeightedAverage Exercise Price |  | Options | WeightedAverage Exercise Price |  |
| Outstanding at January 1 | 271,709 | \$ | 30.51 | 318,132 | \$ | 28.56 |
| Granted | 47,500 |  | 39.34 | - |  | - |
| Exercised | $(7,300)$ |  | 21.17 | $(39,935)$ |  | 16.36 |
| Forfeited | $(3,000)$ |  | 34.45 | - |  | - |
| Outstanding at September 30 | 308,909 | \$ | 32.05 | 278,197 | \$ | 30.32 |

Additional information regarding stock options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2007, is provided in the following table:

| Ranges of Exercise Prices | No. of Options Outstanding | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise Price | WeightedAverage Remaining Contractual Life (Months) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value (in <br> thousands) | No. of Options Currently Exercisable | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price of <br> Options <br> Currently <br> Exercisable | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Life <br> (Months) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value of Options Currently Exercisable (in thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 13.30 | 16,800 | \$ 13.30 | 52 | \$ 388 | 16,800 | 13.30 | 52 | \$ 388 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}  & 28.00- \\ \$ & \$ 39.34 \end{array}$ | 292,109 | 33.12 | 87 | 1,119 | 191,984 | 31.94 | 80 | 878 |
|  | 308,909 |  |  | \$ 1,507 | 208,784 |  |  | \$ 1,266 |

Proceeds from stock option exercises totaled $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively. Shares issued in connection with stock option exercises are issued from available treasury shares. If no treasury shares are available, new shares are issued from available authorized shares. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 all shares issued in connection with stock option exercises and restricted stock awards were issued from available treasury stock.

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.8$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

Stock-based compensation expense totaled $\$ 0.2$ million for both the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006. Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock options totaled $\$ 0.7$ million at September 30, 2007. At such date, the weighted-average period over which this unrecognized expense was expected to be recognized was 1.9 years.

The fair value of the options is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following weighted average assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2007:

| Risk-free interest rate | $\mathbf{4 . 3 8 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expected dividend yield | $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 \%}$ |
| Volatility factor | $\mathbf{3 9 . 0 6 \%}$ |
| Expected life of option | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ years |

No options were granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.
The Company records compensation expense with respect to restricted shares in an amount equal to the fair market value of the common stock covered by each award on the date of grant. The restricted shares awarded become fully vested after various periods of continued employment from the respective dates of grant. The Company is entitled to an income tax deduction in an amount equal to the taxable income reported by the holders of the restricted shares when the restrictions are released and the shares are issued. Compensation is being charged to expense over the respective vesting periods.

Restricted shares are forfeited if officers and employees terminate prior to the lapsing of restrictions. The Company records forfeitures of restricted stock as treasury share repurchases and any compensation cost previously recognized is reversed in the period of forfeiture. Recipients of restricted shares do not pay any cash consideration to the Company for the shares, have the right to vote all shares subject to such grant and receive all dividends with respect to such shares, whether or not the shares have vested. Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted shares was $\$ 0.8$ million at September 30, 2007. At September 30, 2007, this unrecognized expense is expected to be recognized over 3.9 years based on the weighted average-life of the restricted shares.

A summary of the Company's restricted shares activity and related information is presented below for the nine months ended September 30:

|  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Options | Average <br> Market <br> Price at Grant |  | Options | Average <br> Market <br> Price at Grant |  |
| Outstanding at January 1 | 15,600 |  |  | 14,000 |  |  |
| Granted | 17,150 | \$ | 39.16 | 2,200 | \$ | 36.24 |
| Forfeited/Vested | $(1,266)$ |  |  | (600) |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30 | 31,484 |  |  | 15,600 |  |  |

The Company provides retirement benefits to its employees through the City Holding Company 401(k) Plan and Trust ("the 401(k) Plan"), which is intended to be compliant with Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) section 404(c). Any employee who has attained age 21 is eligible to participate beginning the first day of the month following employment. Unless specifically chosen otherwise, every employee is automatically enrolled in the 401(k) Plan and may make before-tax contributions of between $1 \%$ and $15 \%$ of eligible pay up to the dollar limit imposed by Internal Revenue Service regulations. The first $6 \%$ of an employee's contribution is matched $50 \%$ by the Company. The employer matching contribution is invested according to the investment elections chosen by the employee. Employees are $100 \%$ vested in both employee and employer contributions and the earnings they generate. The Company's total expense associated with the retirement benefit plan approximated $\$ 0.5$ million for the nine month periods ended September 30 , 2007 and September 30, 2006.

The Company also maintains a defined benefit pension plan ("the Defined Benefit Plan") that covers approximately 300 current and former employees. The Defined Benefit Plan was frozen in 1999 subsequent to the Company's acquisition of the plan sponsor. The Defined Benefit Plan maintains an October 31 year-end for purposes of computing its benefit obligations. The Company made contributions of $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million to the Defined Benefit Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

The following table presents the components of the net periodic pension cost of the Defined Benefit Plan:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Components of net periodic cost: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest cost | \$ | 164 | \$ | 162 | \$ | 492 | \$ | 503 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (185) |  | (180) |  | (555) |  | (561) |
| Net amortization and deferral |  | 80 |  | 79 |  | 240 |  | 242 |
| Net Periodic Pension Cost | \$ | 59 | \$ | 61 | \$ | 177 | \$ | 184 |

## Note G-Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is a party to certain financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. The Company has entered into agreements with its customers to extend credit or provide a conditional commitment to provide payment on drafts presented in accordance with the terms of the underlying credit documents. The Company also provides overdraft protection to certain demand deposit customers that represent an unfunded commitment. Overdraft protection commitments, which are included with other commitments below, are uncollateralized and are paid at the Company's discretion. Conditional commitments generally include standby and commercial letters of credit. Standby letters of credit represent an obligation of the Company to a designated third party contingent upon the failure of a customer of the Company to perform under the terms of the underlying contract between the customer and the third party. Commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate trade or commerce. Under the terms of a commercial letter of credit, drafts will be drawn when the underlying transaction is consummated, as intended, between the customer and a third party. The funded portion of these financial instruments is reflected in the Company's balance sheet, while the unfunded portion of these commitments is not reflected in the balance sheet. The table below presents a summary of the contractual obligations of the Company resulting from significant commitments:

| ( in thousands) | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to extend credit: | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 8 7 5}$ | $\$$ | 140,479 |
| Home equity lines | $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 8 0}$ | 48,489 |  |
| Commercial real estate | $\mathbf{1 6 3 , 6 4 4}$ | 131,428 |  |
| Other commitments | $\mathbf{1 5 , 7 2 0}$ | 12,735 |  |
| Standby letters of credit | $\mathbf{3 1 5}$ | 617 |  |
| Commercial letters of credit |  |  |  |

Loan commitments and standby and commercial letters of credit have credit risks essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and are subject to the Company's standard credit policies. Collateral is obtained based on management's credit assessment of the customer. Management does not anticipate any material losses as a result of these commitments.

## Note H - Total Comprehensive Income

The following table sets forth the computation of total comprehensive income:


## Note I - Earnings per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:


Options to purchase 89,750 shares of common stock at exercise prices between $\$ 36.25$ and $\$ 39.34$ per share were outstanding during the third quarter of 2007, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares and therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

## Note J - Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and disclosure for uncertain tax positions by requiring that a tax position meet a "probable recognition threshold" for the benefit of the uncertain tax position to be recognized in the financial statements. A tax position that fails to meet the probable recognition threshold will result in either reduction of a current or deferred tax asset or receivable, or recording a current or deferred tax liability. FIN 48 also provides guidance on measurement, derecognition of tax benefits, classification, interim period accounting disclosure, and transition requirements in accounting for uncertain tax positions. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 was an increase in tax reserves and a decrease of $\$ 0.1$ million to the January 1, 2007 retained earnings balance. Upon adoption, the liability for income taxes associated with uncertain tax positions at January 1, 2007 was $\$ 1.6$ million. This amount, if recognized, would favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate. The Company does not expect that the amounts of unrecognized tax positions will change significantly within the next 12 months.

Interest and penalties related to income tax liabilities are included in income tax expense. The balance of accrued interest and penalties recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at January 1, 2007 was $\$ 0.5$ million.

The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service for the years ended December 31, 2004 through 2006. The Company and its subsidiaries state income tax returns are open to audit under the statute of limitations for the years ended December 31, 2004 through 2006.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 replaces various definitions of fair value in existing accounting literature with a single definition, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not expand the use of fair value to any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2007, and early application is encouraged. The Company is currently evaluating SFAS No. 157 and has not determined the impact it will have on our financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159 ("SFAS 159"), "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115." SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option (i) is applicable on an instrument by instrument basis, with certain exceptions, (ii) is irrevocable (unless a new election date occurs), and (iii) is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. SFAS 159 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating SFAS No. 159 and has not determined the impact it will have on our financial statements.

## Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the Company conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and require management to make estimates and develop assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and related footnotes. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available to management as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ significantly from management's estimates. As this information changes, management's estimates and assumptions used to prepare the Company's financial statements and related disclosures may also change. The most significant accounting policies followed by the Company are presented in Note One to the audited financial statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report to Shareholders. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including the Consolidated Financial Statements, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the 2006 Annual Report of the Company. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has identified the determination of the allowance for loan losses, income taxes, and previously securitized loans to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments and, as such, could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

Pages 24-28 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q provide management's analysis of the Company's allowance for loan losses and related provision. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that represents management's best estimate of probable losses in the loan portfolio. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based upon an evaluation of individual credits in the loan portfolio, historical loan loss experience, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. This determination is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. The allowance for loan losses related to loans considered to be impaired is generally evaluated based on the discounted cash flows using the impaired loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral dependent loans.

The Company is subject to federal and state income taxes in the jurisdictions in which it conducts business. In computing the provision for income taxes, management must make judgments regarding interpretation of laws in those jurisdictions. Because the application of tax laws and regulations for many types of transactions is susceptible to varying interpretations, amounts reported in the financial statements could be changed at a later date upon final determinations by taxing authorities. On a quarterly basis, the Company estimates its annual effective tax rate for the year and uses that rate to provide for income taxes on a year-to-date basis.

Note B, beginning on page 8 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and pages 28 and 29 provide management's analysis of the Company's previously securitized loans. The carrying value of previously securitized loans is determined using assumptions with regard to loan prepayment and default rates. Using cash flow modeling techniques that incorporate these assumptions, the Company estimated total future cash collections expected to be received from these loans and determined the yield at which the resulting discount would be accreted into income. If, upon periodic evaluation, the estimate of the total probable collections is increased or decreased but is still greater than the sum of the original carrying amount less subsequent collections plus the discount accreted to date, and it is probable that collection will occur, the amount of the discount to be accreted is adjusted accordingly and the amount of periodic accretion is adjusted over the remaining lives of the loans. If, upon periodic evaluation, the discounted present value of estimated future cash flows declines below the recorded value of previously securitized loans, an impairment charge would be provided through the Company's provision for loan losses. Please refer to Note B of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, on pages 8-9 for further discussion.
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## Financial Summary

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 vs. 2006

The Company reported consolidated net income of $\$ 38.3$ million, or $\$ 2.24$ per diluted common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to $\$ 40.2$ million, or $\$ 2.26$ per diluted common share for the first nine months of 2006 . Return on average assets ("ROA") was $2.03 \%$ and return on average equity ("ROE") was $16.8 \%$ for the first nine months of 2007 , compared to $2.13 \%$ and $18.3 \%$, respectively, for the first nine months of 2006 .

The Company's net interest income for the first nine months of 2007 decreased $\$ 4.3$ million compared to the first nine months of 2006 (see Net Interest Income). The Company recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 3.7$ million for the first nine months of 2007 while $\$ 2.9$ million was recorded for the first nine months of 2006 (see Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses). As further discussed under the caption Non-Interest Income and Expense, non-interest income increased $\$ 1.2$ million from the nine months ended September 30, 2006, to the nine months ended September 30, 2007. During the first nine months of 2007, the Company recognized a gain of $\$ 1.5$ million from the sale of its existing merchant processing agreements. During the first nine months of 2006 , the Company recognized a gain of $\$ 3.6$ million from the sale of its retail credit card portfolio and recognized $\$ 2.1$ million investment loss as a result of repositioning its Balance Sheet in response to the sale of its retail credit card portfolio (see Non-Interest Income).

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 vs. 2006

The Company reported consolidated net income of $\$ 12.7$ million, or $\$ 0.76$ per diluted common share, for the three months ended September 30, 2007, compared to $\$ 13.6$ million, or $\$ 0.77$ per diluted common share, for the third quarter of 2006 . Return on average assets ("ROA") was $2.03 \%$ and return on average equity ("ROE") was $17.2 \%$ for the third quarter of 2007 , compared to $2.17 \%$ and $18.6 \%$ respectively, for the third quarter of 2006 .

The Company's net interest income for the third quarter of 2007 decreased $\$ 1.3$ million compared to the third quarter of 2006 (see Net Interest Income). The Company recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.2$ million for the third quarter of 2007 and for the third quarter or 2006 (see Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses). As further discussed under the caption Non-Interest Income and Expense, non-interest income decreased $\$ 1.0$ million from the quarter ended September 30, 2006, to the quarter ended September 30, 2007. During the third quarter of 2006, the Company recognized a gain of $\$ 3.6$ million from the sale of its retail credit card portfolio and recognized a $\$ 2.1$ million investment loss as a result of repositioning its Balance Sheet in response to the sale of its retail credit card portfolio (see Non-Interest Income).

## Net Interest Income

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 vs. 2006
The Company's tax equivalent net interest income decreased $\$ 4.3$ million, or $5.6 \%$, from the first nine months of 2006 to the first nine months of 2007 as increased yields on interest earning assets were more than offset by increases in the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Compared to the first nine months of 2006, interest income increased $\$ 2.1$ million while interest expenses paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased $\$ 6.4$ million due to long-term debt.

Interest income on earning assets increased by $\$ 2.1$ million, and was primarily attributable to an increase in interest income on loans of $\$ 4.3$ million despite a decrease of $\$ 1.9$ million in interest income from previously securitized loans from the first nine months of 2006. The decrease in interest income from previously securitized loans was related to the continued decline in the average balance of these loans of $51.9 \%$ from $\$ 24.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, to $\$ 11.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. However, this reduction in average outstanding balances was partially mitigated as the yield on these loans rose from an average of $41.2 \%$ for the first nine months of 2006 to $63.9 \%$ for the first nine months of 2007 (see Previously Securitized Loans section for further discussion). In addition, interest income from loans was impacted by the sale of the Company's retail credit card portfolio during the third quarter of 2006, which resulted in a decrease of $\$ 1.1$ million in interest income from the first nine months of 2006. Interest income on all other loans (commercial, loans to depository institutions, residential, home equity, and consumer) increased by $\$ 7.3$ million as the average yield on these loans increased by 19 basis points and the average balance on outstanding loans increased by $\$ 94.7$ million (excluding previously securitized loans and credit card loans).

These increases were more than offset by an increase in interest expense on interest bearing liabilities of $\$ 6.4$ million due primarily to a 48 basis point increase in the rates paid on interest bearing deposits from the first nine months of 2006. In addition, increases in average outstanding deposit balances of $\$ 72.1$ million, or $4.5 \%$, increased interest expense by $\$ 1.8$ million. The increase in rates and balances was primarily associated with time deposits that experienced an increase of 65 basis points while outstanding time deposit balances grew $\$ 58.0$ million as compared to the first nine months of 2006 .

The net interest margin was $4.35 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to $4.60 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30 , 2006. The decline in the net interest margin can primarily be attributed to lower interest income from previously securitized loans and the sale of the retail credit card portfolio during the third quarter of 2006. Excluding these items, the Company's net interest margin decreased 16 basis points from $4.25 \%$ during the first nine months of 2006 to $4.09 \%$ for the first nine months of 2007. This compression is due to increased rates paid on interest bearing liabilities, primarily time deposits.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 vs. 2006

The Company's tax equivalent net interest income decreased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $5.1 \%$, from the third quarter of 2006 to the third quarter of 2007 as increased yields on interest earning assets were more than offset by increases in the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Compared to the third quarter of 2006, interest income decreased $\$ 0.2$ million while interest expense on interest bearing liabilities increased $\$ 1.1$ million due to rate increases.

Interest income on earning assets decreased by $\$ 0.2$ million, driven primarily by a decrease in interest income on investments of $\$ 0.9$ million due to a decrease in average balances of investment securities of $\$ 71.4$ million from the third quarter of 2006. In addition, interest income from previously securitized loans decreased $\$ 0.3$ million from the third quarter of 2006. The decrease in interest income from previously securitized loans was related to the continued decline in the average balance of these loans of $54.5 \%$ from $\$ 20.3$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, to $\$ 9.2$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2007. However, this reduction in average outstanding balances was partially mitigated as the yield on these loans rose from an average of $43.2 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 to $66.4 \%$ in the second quarter of 2007 and $82.9 \%$ for the third quarter of 2007 (see Previously Securitized Loans section for further discussion). In addition, interest income from loans was impacted by the sale of the Company's retail credit card portfolio during the third quarter of 2006, which resulted in a decrease of $\$ 0.2$ million in interest income when comparing the third quarter of 2007 to the third quarter of 2006 . These decreases were partially offset by increases in interest income from all other loans (commercial, loans to depository institutions, residential, home equity, and consumer) of $\$ 1.2$ million as the average balance on outstanding loans increased by $\$ 85.4$ million (excluding previously securitized loans and credit card loans).

While interest income decreased modestly, interest expense on interest bearing liabilities increased $\$ 1.1$ million due primarily to a 26 basis point increase in the rates paid on interest bearing deposits from the third quarter of 2006. In addition, increases in average outstanding deposit balances of $\$ 43.3$ million, or $2.6 \%$, increased interest expense by $\$ 0.3$ million. The increase in rates and balances was primarily associated with time deposits that experienced an increase of 39 basis points while outstanding time deposit balances grew $\$ 26.2$ million as compared to the third quarter of 2006.

The net interest margin was $4.32 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 as compared to $4.51 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The Company's net interest margin exclusive of Previously Securitized Loans decreased from $4.20 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 to $4.03 \%$ for the third quarter of 2007 and resulted in the reduction of approximately $\$ 1.0$ million in net interest income. The average yield on the Company's loans (net of Previously Securitized Loans) decreased from $7.13 \%$ in the third quarter of 2006 to $7.10 \%$ in the third quarter of 2007, while the average cost of interest bearing liabilities increased from $3.04 \%$ in the third quarter of 2006 to $3.26 \%$ in the third quarter of 2007

Table One
Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income
(in thousands)

|  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance |  | 2007 |  | Yield/ <br> Rate | Average Balance |  | 2006 |  | Yield/ <br> Rate |
|  |  |  |  | Interest |  |  |  |  | Interest |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan portfolio (1): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ | 596,585 | \$ | 27,144 | 6.08\% | \$ | 597,223 | \$ | 25,630 | 5.74\% |
| Home equity |  | 328,036 |  | 19,091 | 7.78 |  | 309,007 |  | 17,945 | 7.76 |
| Commercial financial and agriculture |  | 672,331 |  | 38,119 | 7.58 |  | 654,491 |  | 36,492 | 7.45 |
| Loans to depository institutions |  | 56,410 |  | 2,271 | 5.38 |  | 2,197 |  | 89 | 5.42 |
| Installment loans to individuals |  | 45,596 |  | 3,967 | 11.63 |  | 49,381 |  | 4,211 | 11.40 |
| Previously securitized loans |  | 11,583 |  | 5,539 | 63.94 |  | 24,090 |  | 7,422 | 41.19 |
| Total loans |  | 1,710,541 |  | 96,131 | 7.51 |  | 1,636,389 |  | 91,789 | 7.50 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 482,484 |  | 19,709 | 5.46 |  | 554,884 |  | 21,618 | 5.21 |
| Tax-exempt (2) |  | 39,789 |  | 1,954 | 6.57 |  | 42,823 |  | 2,091 | 6.53 |
| Total securities |  | 522,273 |  | 21,663 | 5.55 |  | 597,707 |  | 23,709 | 5.30 |
| Loans held for sale |  | - |  | - | - |  | 3,337 |  | 322 | 12.90 |
| Deposits in depository institutions |  | 12,823 |  | 401 | 4.18 |  | 28,208 |  | 1,018 | 4.83 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 20,832 |  | 814 | 5.22 |  | 2,571 |  | 92 | 4.78 |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 2,266,469 |  | 119,009 | 7.02 |  | 2,268,212 |  | 116,930 | 6.89 |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 50,668 |  |  |  |  | 51,077 |  |  |  |
| Bank premises and equipment |  | 47,555 |  |  |  |  | 42,787 |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 170,137 |  |  |  |  | 170,710 |  |  |  |
| Less: allowance for loan losses |  | $(16,114)$ |  |  |  |  | $(16,135)$ |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,518,715 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,516,651 |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | \$ | 423,222 | \$ | 3,777 | 1.19\% | \$ | 435,505 | \$ | 3,917 | 1.20\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 340,490 |  | 4,259 | 1.67 |  | 314,057 |  | 2,776 | 1.18 |
| Time deposits |  | 922,958 |  | 30,942 | 4.48 |  | 864,972 |  | 24,810 | 3.83 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 158,250 |  | 4,965 | 4.19 |  | 149,858 |  | 3,795 | 3.39 |
| Long-term debt |  | 25,368 |  | 1,383 | 7.29 |  | 89,834 |  | 3,607 | 5.37 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 1,870,288 |  | 45,326 | 3.24 |  | 1,854,226 |  | 38,905 | 2.81 |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits |  | 314,744 |  |  |  |  | 338,994 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 29,803 |  |  |  |  | 29,393 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 303,880 |  |  |  |  | 294,038 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 2,518,715 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,516,651 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  | \$ | 73,683 |  |  |  | \$ | 78,025 |  |
| Net yield on earning assets |  |  |  |  | 4.35\% |  |  |  |  | 4.60 \% |

(1) For purposes of this table, non-accruing loans have been included in average balances and loan fees, which are immaterial, have been included in interest income.
(2) Computed on a fully federal tax-equivalent basis assuming a tax rate of approximately $35 \%$.

Table Two
Rate Volume Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Interest Expense
(in thousands)

|  | Nine months ended September 30, <br> 2007 vs. 2006 <br> Increase (Decrease) <br> Due to Change In: <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

(1) Fully federal taxable equivalent using a tax rate of $35 \%$.

Table Three
Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income
(in thousands)

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance |  | 2007 |  | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance |  | 2006 |  | Yield/ <br> Rate |
|  |  |  |  | Interest |  |  |  |  | Interest |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan portfolio (3): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ | 598,954 | \$ | 9,272 | 6.14\% | \$ | 601,686 | \$ | 8,766 | 5.78\% |
| Home equity |  | 334,363 |  | 6,547 | 7.77 |  | 315,341 |  | 6,389 | 8.04 |
| Commercial financial and agriculture |  | 679,104 |  | 12,776 | 7.46 |  | 676,271 |  | 13,108 | 7.69 |
| Loans to depository institutions |  | 60,000 |  | 820 | 5.42 |  | 6,522 |  | 88 | 5.35 |
| Installment loans to individuals |  | 47,626 |  | 1,379 | 11.49 |  | 42,848 |  | 1,219 | 11.29 |
| Previously securitized loans |  | 9,220 |  | 1,927 | 82.92 |  | 20,261 |  | 2,205 | 43.18 |
| Total loans |  | 1,729,267 |  | 32,721 | 7.51 |  | 1,662,929 |  | 31,775 | 7.58 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 442,696 |  | 6,024 | 5.40 |  | 512,083 |  | 6,870 | 5.32 |
| Tax-exempt (4) |  | 38,810 |  | 639 | 6.53 |  | 40,815 |  | 673 | 6.54 |
| Total securities |  | 481,506 |  | 6,663 | 5.49 |  | 552,898 |  | 7,543 | 5.41 |
| Loans held for sale |  | - |  | - | - |  | 4,353 |  | 121 | 11.03 |
| Deposits in depository institutions |  | 15,184 |  | 171 | 4.47 |  | 35,524 |  | 452 | 5.05 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 20,870 |  | 266 | 5.06 |  | 7,631 |  | 92 | 4.78 |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 2,246,827 |  | 39,821 | 7.03 |  | 2,263,335 |  | 39,983 | 7.01 |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 51,149 |  |  |  |  | 49,801 |  |  |  |
| Bank premises and equipment |  | 50,333 |  |  |  |  | 43,205 |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 171,478 |  |  |  |  | 173,761 |  |  |  |
| Less: allowance for loan losses |  | $(16,563)$ |  |  |  |  | $(15,425)$ |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,503,224 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,514,677 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | \$ | 410,907 | \$ | 1,136 | 1.10\% | \$ | 423,762 | \$ | 1,329 | 1.24\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 347,055 |  | 1,523 | 1.74 |  | 317,038 |  | 1,118 | 1.40 |
| Time deposits |  | 923,937 |  | 10,530 | 4.52 |  | 897,761 |  | 9,336 | 4.13 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 165,965 |  | 1,758 | 4.20 |  | 136,927 |  | 1,342 | 3.89 |
| Long-term debt |  | 21,871 |  | 426 | 7.73 |  | 82,082 |  | 1,108 | 5.36 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 1,869,735 |  | 15,373 | 3.26 |  | 1,857,570 |  | 14,233 | 3.04 |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits |  | 309,553 |  |  |  |  | 332,494 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 28,092 |  |  |  |  | 31,076 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 295,844 |  |  |  |  | 293,537 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 2,503,224 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,514,677 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  | \$ | 24,448 |  |  |  | \$ | 25,750 |  |
| Net yield on earning assets |  |  |  |  | 4.32\% |  |  |  |  | 4.51\% |

(3) For purposes of this table, non-accruing loans have been included in average balances and loan fees, which are immaterial, have been included in interest income.
(4) Computed on a fully federal tax-equivalent basis assuming a tax rate of approximately $35 \%$.

Table Four
Rate Volume Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Interest Expense
(in thousands)
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended September 30, } \\ \text { 2007 vs. 2006 }\end{array} \\ \text { Increase (Decrease) } \\ \text { Due to Change In: } \\ \text { Rate }\end{array}\right)$
(1) Fully federal taxable equivalent using a tax rate of $35 \%$.

## Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

Management systematically monitors the loan portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") on a quarterly basis to provide for probable losses inherent in the portfolio. Management assesses the risk in each loan type based on historical trends, the general economic environment of its local markets, individual loan performance, and other relevant factors. Individual credits are selected throughout the year for detailed loan reviews, which are utilized by management to assess the risk in the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance. Due to the nature of commercial lending, evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance as it relates to these loan types is often based more upon specific credit review, with consideration given to the potential impairment of certain credits and historical loss percentages, adjusted for general economic conditions and other inherent risk factors. Conversely, due to the homogeneous nature of the real estate and installment portfolios, the portions of the allowance allocated to those portfolios are primarily based on prior loss history of each portfolio, adjusted for general economic conditions and other inherent risk factors.

In evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, management considers both quantitative and qualitative factors. Quantitative factors include actual repayment characteristics and loan performance, cash flow analyses, and estimated fair values of underlying collateral. Qualitative factors generally include overall trends within the portfolio, composition of the portfolio, changes in pricing or underwriting, seasoning of the portfolio, and general economic conditions.

The allowance not specifically allocated to individual credits is generally determined by analyzing potential exposure and other qualitative factors that could negatively impact the adequacy of the allowance. Loans not individually evaluated for impairment are grouped by pools with similar risk characteristics and the related historical loss percentages are adjusted to reflect current inherent risk factors, such as unemployment, overall economic conditions, concentrations of credit, loan growth, classified and impaired loan trends, staffing, adherence to lending policies, and loss trends.

Determination of the allowance for loan losses is subjective in nature and requires management to periodically reassess the validity of its assumptions. Differences between actual losses and estimated losses are assessed such that management can timely modify its evaluation model to ensure that adequate provision has been made for risk in the total loan portfolio.

As a result of the Company's quarterly analysis of the adequacy of the ALLL, the Company recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 3.7$ million in the first nine months of 2007 and $\$ 2.9$ million in the first nine months of 2006 . The Company's 2007 provision reflects the difficulties encountered by three of the Company's borrowers and the downgrade of their related credits and management's assessment of these difficulties. While the downgrade of these credit relationships unfavorably impacted the provision and resulted in an increase in nonaccrual loans of $\$ 12.0$ million, total past due loans have declined $22 \%$ from $\$ 9.9$ million at December 31, 2006 to $\$ 7.7$ million at September 30, 2007. Changes in the amount of the provision and related allowance are based on the Company's detailed methodology and are directionally consistent with changes in credit quality and growth and changes in the composition and quality of the Company's loan portfolio.

The Company had net charge-offs of $\$ 2.1$ million for the first nine months of 2007 , with depository accounts representing $\$ 1.5$ million (or approximately $71.1 \%$ ) of this total. While charge-offs on depository accounts are appropriately taken against the ALLL, the revenue associated with depository accounts is reflected in service charges and has been steadily growing as the core base of checking accounts has grown. Net charge-offs on residential loans were $\$ 0.8$ million, while commercial and installment loans both experienced net recoveries of $\$ 0.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The Company has experienced annualized net charge-offs related to loans (excluding overdrafts) of $0.05 \%$ for 2007 year to date compared with $0.11 \%$ for 2006 and $0.22 \%$ for 2005. The trend in net charge-offs is attributable to declines in balances of loans originated prior to 2002 (including loans acquired as part of the Classic Bancshares acquisition). At September 30, 2007, balances of loans written subsequent to 2002 comprise approximately $76 \%$ of total loan balances.

The Company's ratio of non-performing assets to total loans and other real estate owned increased from $0.71 \%$ at June 30 , 2007 to $1.22 \%$ at September 30, 2007 as a result of the downgrade of the credit relationships discussed earlier, but continues to compare favorably to that of our peer group (bank holding companies with total assets between $\$ 1$ and $\$ 5$ billion), which reported average non-performing assets as a percentage of loans and other real estate owned of $0.98 \%$ for the most recently reported quarter ended June 30, 2007. The composition of the Company's loan portfolio, which is weighted more heavily toward residential mortgage loans and less towards non-real estate secured commercial loans than that of our peers, has afforded us a more favorable loss experience in comparison to peers. In addition, the sale of the Company's credit card portfolio resulted in a reduction of the allowance of $\$ 1.4$ million during 2006. As a result, the Company's ALLL as a percentage of loans outstanding is $0.99 \%$ at September 30, 2007. The Company believes its methodology for determining the adequacy of its ALLL adequately provides for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio and produces a provision and allowance for loan losses that are directionally consistent with changes in asset quality and loss experience.

The allowance allocated to the commercial loan portfolio (see Table Seven) increased $\$ 2.1$ million, or $25.1 \%$, from $\$ 8.3$ million at December 31 , 2006 to $\$ 10.4$ million at September 30, 2007. This increase was attributable to recent trends in the Company's commercial portfolio.

The allowance allocated to the residential real estate portfolio (see Table Seven) decreased $\$ 0.2$ million, or $4.7 \%$ from $\$ 4.0$ million at December 31, 2006 to $\$ 3.8$ million at September 30, 2007. This decline was primarily due to a modest decline in losses experienced during the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

The allowance allocated to the consumer loan portfolio (see Table Seven) decreased $\$ 0.4$ million, or $55.8 \%$, from $\$ 0.8$ million at December 31 , 2006 to $\$ 0.4$ million at September 30, 2007. This decrease was attributable to changes in losses experienced during the nine months ended September 30 , 2007.

The allowance allocated to overdraft deposit accounts (see Table Seven) increased $\$ 0.1$ million, or $5.4 \%$, from $\$ 2.3$ million at December 31 , 2006 to $\$ 2.4$ million at September 30, 2007. This increase was attributable to a slight increase in the loss experience attributable to these balances.

As previously discussed, the carrying value of the previously securitized loans incorporates an assumption for expected cash flows to be received over the life of these loans. To the extent that the present value of expected cash flows is less than the carrying value of these loans, the Company would provide for such losses through the provision for loan losses.

Based on the Company's analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and in consideration of the known factors utilized in computing the allowance, management believes that the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2007, is adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. Future provisions for loan losses will be dependent upon trends in loan balances including the composition of the loan portfolio, changes in loan quality and loss experience trends, and recoveries of previously charged-off loans, among other factors.

Table Five
Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses

| Year ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Dine months ended September 30, | 2006 | 2006 |  |


| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 15,405 | \$ | 16,790 | \$ | 16,790 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduction of allowance for loans sold |  | - |  | $(1,368)$ |  | $(1,368)$ |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural |  | (155) |  | (435) |  | $(1,279)$ |
| Real estate-mortgage |  | (803) |  | (705) |  | (935) |
| Installment loans to individuals |  | (235) |  | (772) |  | (898) |
| Overdraft deposit accounts |  | $(2,851)$ |  | $(2,931)$ |  | $(3,823)$ |
| Total charge-offs |  | $(4,044)$ |  | $(4,843)$ |  | $(6,935)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural |  | 208 |  | 109 |  | 210 |
| Real estate-mortgage |  | 52 |  | 225 |  | 575 |
| Installment loans to individuals |  | 319 |  | 480 |  | 598 |
| Overdraft deposit accounts |  | 1,340 |  | 1,264 |  | 1,734 |
| Total recoveries |  | 1,919 |  | 2,078 |  | 3,117 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(2,125)$ |  | $(2,765)$ |  | $(3,818)$ |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 3,700 |  | 2,900 |  | 3,801 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 16,980 | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | $\underline{15,405}$ |


| As a Percent of Average Total Loans: |  | $(\mathbf{0 . 1 7 ) \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net charge-offs (annualized) | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 \%}$ | $(0.23) \%$ |
| Provision for loan losses (annualized) | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8 8 \%}$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| As a Percent of Non-Performing Loans: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8 8 \%}$ | $408.43 \%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $0.23 \%$ |  |

Table Six
Non-Performing Assets

| (in thousands) | As of September 30, |  |  |  | As of December 31, 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ | 18,896 | \$ | 3,359 | \$ | 3,319 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more |  | 566 |  | 328 |  | 635 |
| Previously securitized loans past due 90 days or more |  | 83 |  | 122 |  | 48 |
| Total non-performing loans |  | 19,545 |  | 3,809 |  | 4,002 |
| Other real estate, excluding property associated with previously securitized loans |  | 1,091 |  | 499 |  | 161 |
| Other real estate associated with previously securitized loans |  | 405 |  | 20 |  | 20 |
| Total other real estate owned |  | 1,496 |  | 519 |  | 181 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ | 21,041 | \$ | 4,328 | \$ | $\underline{4,183}$ |

Table Seven
Allocation of the Allowance For Loan Losses

| (in thousands) | As of September 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2006 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ | 10,417 | \$ | 8,358 | \$ | 8,330 |
| Real estate-mortgage |  | 3,793 |  | 3,824 |  | 3,981 |
| Installment loans to individuals |  | 354 |  | 893 |  | 801 |
| Overdraft deposit accounts |  | 2,416 |  | 2,482 |  | 2,293 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses | \$ | 16,980 | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 15,405 |

## Previously Securitized Loans

As of September 30, 2007, the Company reported a carrying value of previously securitized loans of $\$ 8.3$ million, while the actual outstanding contractual balance of these loans was $\$ 25.9$ million. The Company accounts for the difference between the carrying value and the total expected cash flows of previously securitized loans as an adjustment of the yield earned on these loans over their remaining lives. The discount is accreted to income over the period during which payments are probable of collection and are reasonably estimable. If, upon periodic evaluation, the estimate of the total probable collections is increased or decreased but is still greater than the sum of the original carrying amount less subsequent collections plus the discount accreted to date, and it is probable that collection will occur, the amount of the discount to be accreted is adjusted accordingly and the amount of periodic accretion is adjusted over the remaining lives of the loans. If, upon periodic evaluation, the discounted present value of estimated future cash flows declines below the recorded value of previously securitized loans, an impairment charge would be provided through the Company's provision for loan losses.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company has experienced net recoveries on these loans primarily due to increased collection efforts. Subsequent to our assumption of the servicing of these loans during 2005, the Company has averaged net recoveries, but does not believe that the trend of net recoveries can be sustained indefinitely.

During the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, the Company recognized $\$ 5.5$ million and $\$ 7.4$ million, respectively, of interest income on its previously securitized loans. Cash receipts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized in the following table:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Principal receipts | \$ | 3,038 | \$ | 3,470 | \$ | 9,894 | \$ | 11,273 |
| Interest income receipts |  | 899 |  | 1,208 |  | 2,987 |  | 4,211 |
| Total cash receipts | \$ | 3,937 | \$ | 4,678 | \$ | 12,881 | \$ | 15,484 |

Based on current cash flow projections, the Company believes that the carrying value of previously securitized loans will approximate:

| As of: | Estimated Balance: |
| :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2007 | $\$ 7$ million |
| December 31,2008 | 5 million |
| December 31,2009 | 4 million |
| December 31,2010 | 3 million |

## Non-Interest Income and Non-Interest Expense <br> Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 vs. 2006

Non-Interest Income: Net of the gain from the sale of the Company's retail credit card portfolio and merchant credit card agreements and investment losses, non-interest income increased $\$ 1.2$ million to $\$ 40.3$ million in the first nine months of 2007 as compared to $\$ 39.1$ million in the first nine months of 2006. The largest source of non-interest income is from service charges on depository accounts, which increased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $3.4 \%$, from $\$ 31.6$ million during the first nine months of 2006 to $\$ 32.7$ million during the first nine months of 2007. Insurance commission revenues increased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $78.9 \%$, due in part to additional staffing to provide worker's compensation insurance to West Virginia businesses. Partially off-setting these increases was a decrease in other income of $\$ 1.2$ million due to lower credit card fee income as a result of the sale of the retail credit card portfolio during the third quarter of 2006 and the sale of the merchant credit card portfolio during the first quarter of 2007

Non-Interest Expense: Non-interest expenses remained flat at $\$ 53.2$ million in the first nine months of 2006 and 2007. Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $4.4 \%$, from the first nine months of 2006 due in part to additional staffing for new retail locations and insurance personnel to support the introduction of worker's compensation insurance. Bankcard expenses increased $\$ 0.3$ million, or $16.9 \%$, due to increased usage by customers. These increases were essentially offset by a $\$ 0.9$ million decrease in other expenses due to the sales of the retail and merchant card portfolios and a charge of $\$ 0.7$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 related to the repurchase of $\$ 6$ million of its trust preferred securities.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 vs. 2006

Non-Interest Income: Net of investment securities gains/(losses) and the gain from the sale of the Company's retail credit card portfolio, non-interest income increased $\$ 0.5$ million, or $4.1 \%$, to $\$ 13.8$ million in the third quarter of 2007 as compared to $\$ 13.3$ million in the third quarter of 2006 . The largest source of non-interest income is service charges from depository accounts, which increased $\$ 0.4$ million, or $3.3 \%$, from $\$ 10.8$ million during the third quarter of 2006 to $\$ 11.2$ million during the third quarter of 2007 . Insurance commission revenues increased $\$ 0.6$ million, or $114.3 \%$, due to additional worker's compensation insurance sold to West Virginia businesses and the Company's team of insurance agents focused on selling directly to retail customers. Partially off-setting these increases was a decrease in other income of $\$ 0.4$ million due to lower credit card fee income as a result of the sale of the retail credit card portfolio during the third quarter of 2006 and the sale of the merchant credit card processing agreements during the first quarter of 2007.

Non-Interest Expense: Net of charges related to the redemption of $\$ 3.5$ million of the Company's trust preferred securities, non-interest expenses increased $\$ 0.3$ million (or $1.7 \%$ ) from $\$ 17.7$ million for the third quarter of 2006 to $\$ 18.0$ million for the third quarter of 2007. Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 0.6$ million, or $6.6 \%$, from the third quarter of 2006 due to additional staffing while bankcard expenses increased $\$ 0.1$ million, or $28.5 \%$, due to increased usage by customers. These increases were partially offset by decreases in other expenses of $\$ 0.3$ million, or $13.2 \%$, due to the sales of the retail and merchant credit card portfolios.

## Risk Management

Market risk is the risk of loss due to adverse changes in current and future cash flows, fair values, earnings or capital due to adverse movements in interest rates and other factors, including foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. Because the Company has no significant foreign exchange activities and holds no commodities, interest rate risk represents the primary risk factor affecting the Company's balance sheet and net interest margin. Significant changes in interest rates by the Federal Reserve could result in similar changes in LIBOR interest rates, prime rates, and other benchmark interest rates that could affect the estimated fair value of the Company's investment securities portfolio, interest paid on the Company's short-term and long-term borrowings, interest earned on the Company's loan portfolio and interest paid on its deposit accounts.

The Company's Asset and Liability Committee ("ALCO") has been delegated the responsibility of managing the Company's interest-sensitive balance sheet accounts to maximize earnings while managing interest rate risk. ALCO, comprised of various members of executive and senior management, is also responsible for establishing policies to monitor and limit the Company's exposure to interest rate risk and to manage the Company's liquidity position. ALCO satisfies its responsibilities through monthly meetings during which product pricing issues, liquidity measures, and interest sensitivity positions are monitored.

In order to measure and manage its interest rate risk, the Company uses an asset/liability management and simulation software model to periodically update the interest sensitivity position of the Company's balance sheet. The model is also used to perform analyses that measure the impact on net interest income and capital as a result of various changes in the interest rate environment. Such analyses quantify the effects of various interest rate scenarios on projected net interest income.

The Company's policy objective is to avoid negative fluctuations in net income or the economic value of equity of more than $15 \%$ within a 12 -month period, assuming an immediate parallel increase or decrease of 300 basis points. The Company measures the long-term risk associated with sustained increases and decreases in rates through analysis of the impact to changes in rates on the economic value of equity.

However, it is important to understand that a parallel downward shift of 300 basis points in interest rates from the current rate would result in both a $1.75 \%$ Fed Funds rate and long-term interest rates of approximately a $1.50 \%$. While it is true that short-term interest rates such as the Fed Funds rate have been at these low levels in the recent past, long-term interest rates have not reached levels as low as would be associated with this "worst-case" interest rate environment in well over 30 years. Based upon the Company's belief that the likelihood of an immediate 300 basis point decline in both long-term and shortterm interest rates from current levels is remote, the Company has chosen to reflect only its risk to a decrease of 200 basis points from current rates.

The Company has entered into interest rate floors with a total notional value of $\$ 600$ million at September 30, 2007, with terms of 3, 4, and 5 years to facilitate the management of its short-term interest rate risk. These derivative instruments provide the Company protection against the impact declining interest rates on future income streams from certain variable rate loans. Please refer to Note C on pages 9-10 for further discussion of the use and accounting for such derivative instruments.

The following table summarizes the sensitivity of the Company's net income to various interest rate scenarios. The results of the sensitivity analyses presented below differ from the results used internally by ALCO in that, in the analyses below, interest rates are assumed to have an immediate and sustained parallel shock. The Company recognizes that rates are volatile, but rarely move with immediate and parallel effects. Internally, the Company considers a variety of interest rate scenarios that are deemed to be possible while considering the level of risk it is willing to assume in "worst-case" scenarios such as shown by the following:

| Immediate <br> Basis Point Change <br> in Interest Rates | Implied Federal Funds <br> Rate Associated with <br> Change in Interest <br> Rates | Estimated Increase <br> (Decrease) in <br> Net Income Over 12 <br> Months | Estimated Increase <br> (Decrease) in <br> Economic Value of <br> Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2007: | $\mathbf{7 . 7 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{+ 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{+ 5 . 9 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{+ 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 9}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{+ 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 9 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{- 2 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{( 2 . 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 3}$ |
| December $31,2006:$ | $8.25 \%$ |  | $\mathbf{( 3 . 1 )}$ |
| +300 | 7.25 | $+5.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{( 7 . 1 )}$ |
| 200 | 6.25 | +4.3 |  |
| 100 | 4.25 | +1.6 | $+4.2 \%$ |
| 100 | 3.25 | $(2.3)$ | +0.2 |
| 200 |  | $(5.2)$ | +0.4 |

These estimates are highly dependent upon assumptions made by management, including, but not limited to, assumptions regarding the manner in which interest-bearing demand deposit and saving deposit accounts reprice in different interest rate scenarios, pricing behavior of competitors, prepayments of loans and deposits under alternative rate environments, and new business volumes and pricing. As a result, there can be no assurance that the results above will be achieved in the event that interest rates increase or decrease during 2007 and beyond. The results above do not necessarily imply that the Company will experience increases in net income if market interest rates rise. The table above indicates how the Company's net income and the economic value of equity behave relative to an increase or decrease in rates compared to what would otherwise occur if rates remain stable. Based upon the current level of interest rates in the general economy, the Company believes that its net interest margin will continue to compress through 2007.

## Liquidity

The Company evaluates the adequacy of liquidity at both the Parent Company level and at City National. At the Parent Company level, the principal source of cash is dividends from City National. Dividends paid by City National to the Parent Company are subject to certain legal and regulatory limitations. Generally, any dividends in amounts that exceed the earnings retained by City National in the current year plus retained net profits for the preceding two years must be approved by regulatory authorities. During 2005 and 2006, City National received regulatory approval to pay $\$ 144.8$ million of cash dividends to the Parent Company, while generating net profits of $\$ 106.6$ million. Therefore, City National will be required to obtain regulatory approval prior to declaring any cash dividends to the Parent Company during 2007. Although regulatory authorities have approved prior cash dividends, there can be no assurance that future dividend requests will be approved

The Parent Company used cash obtained from the dividends received primarily to: (1) pay common dividends to shareholders, (2) remit interest payments on the Company's trust-preferred securities, and (3) fund repurchase of the Company's common shares.

Over the next 12 months, the Parent Company has an obligation to remit interest payments approximating $\$ 1.5$ million on the junior subordinated debentures held by City Holding Capital Trust. Additionally, the Parent Company anticipates continuing the payment of dividends, which are expected to approximate $\$ 20.5$ million on an annualized basis over the next 12 months based on common shareholders of record at September 30, 2007. However, interest payments on the debentures can be deferred for up to five years under certain circumstances and dividends to shareholders can, if necessary, be suspended. In addition to these anticipated cash needs, the Parent Company has operating expenses and other contractual obligations, which are estimated to require $\$ 1.1$ million of additional cash over the next 12 months. As of September 30, 2007, the Parent Company reported a cash balance of $\$ 15.6$ million and management believes that the Parent Company's available cash balance, together with cash dividends from City National will be adequate to satisfy its funding and cash needs over the next twelve months.

Excluding the interest and dividend payments discussed above, the Parent Company has no significant commitments or obligations in years after 2007 other than the repayment of its $\$ 16.8$ million obligation under the debentures held by City Holding Capital Trust. However, this obligation does not mature until April 2028, or earlier at the option of the Parent Company. It is expected that the Parent Company will be able to obtain the necessary cash, either through dividends obtained from City National or the issuance of other debt, to fully repay the debentures at their maturity.

City National manages its liquidity position in an effort to effectively and economically satisfy the funding needs of its customers and to accommodate the scheduled repayment of borrowings. Funds are available to City National from a number of sources, including depository relationships, sales and maturities within the investment securities portfolio, and borrowings from the FHLB and other financial institutions. As of September 30, 2007, City National's assets are significantly funded by deposits and capital. Additionally, City National maintains borrowing facilities with the FHLB and other financial institutions that are accessed as necessary to fund operations and to provide contingency funding mechanisms. As of September 30, 2007, City National has the capacity to borrow an additional $\$ 165.0$ million from the FHLB and other financial institutions under existing borrowing facilities. City National maintains a contingency funding plan, incorporating these borrowing facilities, to address liquidity needs in the event of an institution-specific or systematic financial industry crisis. Also, City National maintains a significant percentage ( $92.4 \%$, or $\$ 434.1$ million at September 30, 2007) of its investment securities portfolio in the highly liquid available-for-sale classification. Although it has no current intention to do so, these securities could be liquidated, if necessary, to provide an additional funding source. City National also segregates certain mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and other investment securities in a separate subsidiary so that it can separately monitor the asset quality of these primarily mortgage-related assets, which could be used to raise cash through securitization transactions or obtain additional equity or debt financing if necessary.

The Company manages its asset and liability mix to balance its desire to maximize net interest income against its desire to minimize risks associated with capitalization, interest rate volatility, and liquidity. With respect to liquidity, the Company has chosen a conservative posture and believes that its liquidity position is strong. The Company's net loan to asset ratio is $68.3 \%$ as of September 30, 2007 and deposit balances fund $79.6 \%$ of total assets. The Company has obligations to extend credit, but these obligations are primarily associated with existing home equity loans that have predictable borrowing patterns across the portfolio. The Company has significant investment security balances that totaled $\$ 470.0$ million at September 30, 2007, and that greatly exceeded the Company's non-deposit sources of borrowing which totaled $\$ 217.5$ million. Further, the Company's deposit mix has a very high proportion of transaction and savings accounts that fund $42.5 \%$ of the Company's total assets.

As illustrated in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company generated $\$ 35.5$ million of cash from operating activities during the first nine months of 2007, primarily from interest income received on loans and investments, net of interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings. The Company used $\$ 0.5$ million of cash in investing activities during the first nine months of 2007 primarily for the purchase of money market and mutual fund securities and to fund additional loans, net of proceeds from these securities and from maturities and calls of securities available-for-sale. The Company used $\$ 52.5$ million of cash in financing activities during the first nine months of 2007 , primarily for the purchase of treasury stock of $\$ 37.7$ million and cash dividends paid to the Company's common stockholders of $\$ 15.5$ million

## Capital Resources

During the first nine months of 2007, Shareholders' Equity decreased $\$ 13.6$ million, or $4.5 \%$, from $\$ 305.3$ million at December 31 , 2006 to $\$ 291.7$ million at September 30, 2007. This decrease was primarily due to common stock purchases of $\$ 37.7$ million and dividends declared during the year of $\$ 15.7$ million which were partially offset by reported net income of $\$ 38.3$ million.

During August 2007, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to buy back up to $1,000,000$ shares of its common shares (approximately $6 \%$ of outstanding shares) in open market transactions at prices that are accretive to the earnings per share of continuing shareholders. No time limit was placed on the duration of the share repurchase program. $1,017,000$ shares were repurchased during the first nine months of 2007 and there can be no assurance that the Company will continue to reacquire its common shares or to what extent the repurchase program will be successful. As of September 30, 2007, the Company may repurchase an additional 842,600 shares from time to time depending on market conditions under the authorization.

Regulatory guidelines require the Company to maintain a minimum total capital to risk-adjusted assets ratio of $8.0 \%$, with at least one-half of capital consisting of tangible common stockholders' equity and a minimum Tier I leverage ratio of $4.0 \%$. Similarly, City National is also required to maintain minimum capital levels as set forth by various regulatory agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines, City National is required to maintain minimum total capital, Tier I capital, and leverage ratios of $8.0 \%, 4.0 \%$, and $4.0 \%$, respectively. To be classified as "well capitalized," City National must maintain total capital, Tier I capital, and leverage ratios of $10.0 \%, 6.0 \%$, and $5.0 \%$, respectively.

The Company's regulatory capital ratios remained strong for both City Holding and City National as illustrated in the following table:

|  |  | Actual |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Well- <br> Capitalized | September 30, <br> 2007 | December 31, <br> 2006 |
| City Holding: | Minimum |  |  |  |
| Total | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8 \%}$ | $16.2 \%$ |
| Tier I Risk-based | 4.0 | 6.0 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8}$ | 15.3 |
| Tier I Leverage | 4.0 | 5.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ | 10.8 |
| City National: |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $8.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 \%}$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Tier I Risk-based | 4.0 | 6.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | 12.5 |
| Tier I Leverage | 4.0 | 5.0 | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | 8.8 |

## Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure of Market Risk

The information called for by this item is provided under the caption "Risk Management" under Item 2—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined under Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The Company is engaged in various legal actions that it deems to be in the ordinary course of business. The Company believes that it has adequately provided for probable costs of current litigation. As these legal actions are resolved, however, the Company could realize positive and/or negative impact to its financial performance in the period in which these legal actions are ultimately decided. There can be no assurance that current actions will have immaterial results, either positive or negative, or that no material actions may be presented in the future.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's common stock repurchases transacted during the quarter:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (a) | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 1 - July 31, 2007 | 129,400 | \$ 34.25 | 129,400 | 259,400 |
| August 1 - August 31, 2007 | 212,900 | \$ 33.95 | 212,900 | 937,100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } 1 \text { - September } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 94,500 | \$ 36.14 | 94,500 | 842,600 |

(a) In December 2006, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had authorized the Company to buy back up to $1,000,000$ shares of its common stock, in open market transactions at prices that are accretive to continuing shareholders. In August 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Directors rescinded the share repurchase program approved in December 2006 and announced it had authorized the Company to buy back up to $1,000,000$ shares of its common stock, in open market transactions at prices that are accretive to continuing shareholders. No timetable was placed on the duration of this share repurchase program.

Item 3.
Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
None.

Item 4.
Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.
None.

Item 5.
Other Information.
None.

Item $6 . \quad$ Exhibits.
(a) Exhibits

31(a) Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Charles R. Hageboeck
31(b) Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for David L. Bumgarner
32(a) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Charles R. Hageboeck
32(b) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for David L. Bumgarner
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## City Holding Company

(Registrant)
/s/ Charles R. Hageboeck
Charles R. Hageboeck
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ David L. Bumgarner
David L. Bumgarner
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 2, 2007

I, Charles R. Hageboeck, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 of City Holding Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or such persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 2, 2007
/s/ Charles R. Hageboeck
Charles R. Hageboeck
President and Chief Executive Officer

I, David L. Bumgarner, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 of City Holding Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a$15(\mathrm{f})$ and $15 \mathrm{~d}-15(\mathrm{f})$ ) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or such persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 2, 2007
/s/ David L. Bumgarner
David L. Bumgarner
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

## 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

## SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of City Holding Company (the "Company") for the period ending September 30 , 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Charles R. Hageboeck, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to $\S 906$ of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.
/s/ Charles R. Hageboeck
Charles R. Hageboeck
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 2, 2007

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

## 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

## AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

## SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of City Holding Company (the "Company") for the period ending September 30, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David L. Bumgarner, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to $\S 906$ of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as amended; and
(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.
/s/ David L. Bumgarner
David L. Bumgarner
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 2, 2007


[^0]:    See notes to consolidated financial statements

[^1]:    See notes to consolidated financial statements.

