
INVESTORS TITLE COMPANY COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS

T_® Investors Title Insurance Company







LEGAL DISCLAIMER

This presentation is for general informational purposes only. The views expressed herein represent the opinions of GrizzlyRock Capital, LLC and Groveland Capital, LLC and their affiliates, whose analysis is based on publicly available information and have been developed independently of Investors Title Insurance Company (the "Company") and of other third parties. No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this presentation. GrizzlyRock Capital, LLC and Groveland Capital, LLC and their affiliates have not assumed any responsibility for independently verifying the information in this presentation, and they disclaim any obligation to update the information contained herein and reserve the right to modify or change their conclusions at any time in the future.

This presentation is solely for informational purposes. This presentation is not intended to provide the sole basis for any decision on any transaction and is not a recommendation with respect to any transaction. The recipient should make its own independent business decision based on all other information, advice and the recipient's own judgment. This presentation does not recommend the purchase or sale of any security nor is it an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security. Furthermore, this presentation is not and should not be considered a solicitation of proxies in connection with any present or future proxy solicitation.

GrizzlyRock Capital, LLC and Groveland Capital, LLC and their affiliates represent a group of investors that as of the date hereof beneficially owns, in the aggregate, approximately 5.58% of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company as disclosed in the group's Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 7, 2015. Members of the group reserve the right to acquire additional shares of common stock or sell or otherwise dispose of any or all of the shares of common stock of the Company beneficially owned by them, in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. The group may also take any other action with respect to the Company or any of its debt or equity securities in any manner permitted by law.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The information herein contains forward-looking statements. Specific forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not related strictly to historical or current facts and include, without limitation, words such as "may," will," "expects," "believer," "anticipates," "plans," "estimates," "projects," "targets," "forecasts," "seeks," "could," "should" or the negative of such terms or other variations on such terms or comparable terminology. Such forward-looking statements, estimates, and projections reflect various assumptions concerning anticipated results that are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies and have been included solely for illustrative purposes, including those risks and uncertainties detailed in the continuous disclosure and other filings of the Company, copies of which are available on the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission website ("EDGAR") at www.sec.gov/edgar. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results and actual results may vary materially from what is expressed in or indicated by the forward-looking statements.









TABLE OF CONTENTS

| nvestors Title verview |
|---------------------------|
| Executive Summary |

Company Description

Financial Metrics Snapshot

Business Operation



A.M. Best

Excess Capital

Asset Base

Distribution Options

Valuation Analysis

Key Stats and Enterprise Value

Price to Book

Intrinsic Valuation

Comparable Businesses Appendix

Historical Performance

Direct vs Independent Agent

Title American / Independence

Industry Analysis







EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GrizzlyRock and Groveland believe Investors Title is dramatically undervalued at recent trading levels of ~\$71 per share. Our base case valuation is ~\$115 per share is based upon cash flow and tangible book value. We believe Investors Title has excess capital of approximately \$75 million.

We encourage Investors Title to evaluate strategic options that will enhance shareholder value including: a tender offer or share buyback program; a special dividend; a synergistic merger or acquisition to facilitate expansion into new markets; a review of the Company's reinsurance program to assure that it is consistent with a plan to add economic value and manage tail risks; and board reform including but not limited to shareholder representation on the board of directors.

We request the opportunity to meet with Investors Title's management team to engage in friendly, constructive, and value-enhancing dialogue.







COMPANY DESCRIPTION

Investors Title Company ("Investors Title" or the "Company") is a Regional Mortgage Title Insurance Underwriter based in Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

The Company was incorporated in 1973 in North Carolina & has two operating subsidiaries: Investors Title Insurance Company ("Investors") and National Investors Title Insurance Company ("National") and primarily writes mortgage title insurance in the Eastern US states.

J. Allen Fine is the founder and CEO. His two sons, Jim and Morris, now run the business with him.







INVESTORS TITLE FINANCIAL METRICS SNAPSHOT

| Share Price (10/01/15) | \$71.5 per share | TTM Net Income (6/30/15) | \$11.1 million |
|--|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Fully-Diluted Shares | 2.0 million | TTM Earnings Per Share (6/30/15) | \$5.5 per share |
| Market Capitalization | \$143.7 million | TTM Price to Earnings (6/30/15) | 12.9x |
| 2014 Cash Flows from Operations | \$9.7 million | Book Equity (6/30/15) | \$139.7 million |
| 2014 Capital Expenditures | \$2.0 million | Book Equity Per Share | \$69.8 per share |
| 2014 Free Cash Flow | \$7.7 million | Price to Book Value | 1.0x |
| 2014 Free Cash Flow Per Share | \$3.8 per share | TTM Return on Equity | 8.0% |
| 2014 Free Cash Flow / Enterprise Value Yield (1) | 11% | Dividend Yield | 0.4% |

⁽¹⁾ Enterprise value of \$69.7 million as shown in Valuation section.

Source: GrizzlyRock, Groveland, and CapIQ.







INVESTORS TITLE BUSINESS OPERATIONS

- 90%+ of Company revenue is derived from underwriting residential title insurance.
- Premiums have historically been concentrated within North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Virginia.
- o Insurance policies are written through direct branch agents or independent agents.
- In North Carolina, business is predominantly generated through direct agents with other states using independent agents to drive business.
- o The Company also offers ancillary services including tax-deferred exchanges of like-kind property ("ITEC" & "ITAC"), investment management services ("Investors Trust"), and consulting services for operating a title insurance agency ("ITMS").







TABLE OF CONTENTS

Investors Title Overview

> Executive Summary

Company Description

Financial Metrics Snapshot

Business Operation

Excess Capital

A.M. Best

Excess Capital

Asset Base

Distribution Options

Valuation Analysis

Key Stats and Enterprise Value

Price to Book

Intrinsic Valuation

Comparable Businesses Appendix

Historical Performance

Direct vs Independent Agent

Title American / Independence

Industry Analysis







DOES INVESTORS TITLE HAVE EXCESS CAPITAL?

The following slides attempt to ascertain the following:

- (1) What is a conservative asset base needed for Investors Title to conduct ongoing operations safely at an A.M. Best rating of 'a' at the insurance subsidiaries?
- (2) Given this asset base, does Investors Title have excess capital? If so, how much?
- (3) Given the excess capital amount, what is a reasonable amount for Investors Title to use for strategic options?







A.M. BEST ANALYSIS

- > A.M. Best uses multiple factors to derive a positive credit rating
 - Conservative underwriting leverage (premiums to surplus).
 - Strong balance sheet and ample liquidity.
 - Profitability, operating performance and expense management.
 - Significant market share and geographic diversification.

The mortgage title industry has historically seen leverage underwriting ratios of 3.0x - 5.0x with the recent ratios trending towards 3.0x.

Note: some private title insurers have comfortably operated with leverage ratios in excess of 5.0x. (Source: A.M. Best)







YEAR END 2014 INDUSTRY METRICS PREMIUMS TO SURPLUS

| Premium/Surplus (1) | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Dec-14 | 10 Year Average (2003 - 2014) | AM Best Issuer Rating |
| Investors Title Corp. | 0.9x | 0.9x | а |
| First American Financial ⁽²⁾ | 4.1x | 4.3x | a- |
| Fidelity National Financial | 2.5x | 4.5x | а |
| Stewart Title Corp | 3.3x | 4.3x | a- |

⁽¹⁾ Surplus is based off of Statutory Surplus at the Parent per Capital IQ.

As shown above, Investors Title is a highly conservative underwriter with more than ample financial cushion to offset geographic concentration








⁽²⁾ First American Average since 2008

HISTORICAL INDUSTRY PREMIUMS TO SURPLUS

Title Insurance Historical Premium/Surplus Relationship (1)



(1) Surplus is based off of Statutory Surplus at the Parent per Capital IQ.

Investors Title has averaged a 0.9x surplus leverage ratio since 2003.

Comparable firms have averaged over 4.0x over the same time period.







INVESTORS TITLE AM BEST ANALYSIS

- AM Best affirmed the 'a' credit rating of the Investors Title subsidiaries in May 2015 with the following comments:
 - The ratings reflect ITC Group's excellent capitalization as evidenced by its conservative underwriting leverage ratios, which are relatively modest compared to the title industry as a whole.
 - ITC Group's favorable results are partly due to its efforts to carefully manage its expense structure while limiting losses.
 - Additionally, the group has taken initiatives to diversify its book of business by reducing its concentration in North Carolina.

We believe the Company has been ultra-conservative with their premium to surplus ratio.

Investors Title should be able conservatively underwrite at 2.0x+ leverage and maintain their rating.







EXCESS CAPITAL ANALYSIS AT A 2:1 PREMIUM TO SURPLUS RATIO

| Excess Capital Analysis | | Source |
|--|---------------|--|
| Investments at 6/30/15 | \$149 million | Per Q2 2015 10-Q (inc. equities, bonds and short-term investments) |
| Cash at 6/30/15 | \$20 million | Per Q2 2015 10-Q (cash and accrued interest and dividends) |
| + Total Assets | \$168 million | Summation |
| - Claim Reserve Liability | \$38 million | Investments For Current Claim Reserves |
| - Inv Held for Regulatory Needs to Continue Underwriting New Policies | \$48 million | Per Page 47 of Company 2014 10K |
| - Additional Investments to Ensure 2:1 Relationship | \$7 million | LTM 2015 policies underwritten of \$110m requires ~ \$55m statuatory surplus to maintain a 2:1 relationship (\$55m - \$48m = \$7m) |
| - Investments Required | \$94 million | Investments required to maintain current run-rate premiums at 2:1 |
| Excess Capital | \$75 million | = Total Assets - Total Investments for Claim Reserves, Regulatory Needs, and the AM Best 'a' Rating |
| Excess Capital Per Share | \$37 | Based on 2.02 million Fully-Diluted |







Shares Outstanding

EXCESS CAPITAL ANALYSIS AT A 3:1 PREMIUM TO SURPLUS RATIO

| Excess Capital Analysis | | Source | |
|--|---------------|--|--|
| Investments at 6/30/15 | \$149 million | Per Q2 2015 10-Q (inc. equities, bonds and short-term investments) | |
| Cash at 6/30/15 | \$20 million | Per Q2 2015 10-Q (cash and accrued interest and dividends) | |
| + Total Assets | \$168 million | Summation | |
| - Claim Reserve Liability | \$38 million | Investments For Current Claim Reserves | |
| - Equity/Surplus required to maintain 3:1 underwriting ratio | \$37 million | LTM 2015 policies underwritten of \$110 million requires ~\$37 million statuatory surplus to maintain a 3:1 relationship | |
| - Investments Required | \$75 million | Investments required to maintain current run-rate premiums at 3:1 | |
| Excess Capital | \$94 million | = Total Assets - Total Investments for Claim Reserves, Regulatory Needs. | |

Excess Capital Per Share \$47 Based on 2.02 million Fully-Diluted
Shares Outstanding







ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

VALUES IN \$ MILLIONS. BASED ON 2014 YEARLY DATA.

Investors Title Corporation NASDAQ:ITIC ("HoldCo")

HoldCo Cash & Securities: \$43.3 million

Investors Title Insurance Co.

("Investors") Regulated in North Carolina

Admitted Assets: \$140.4 million

Liabilities: \$67.9 million

Surplus: \$72.5 million

Premiums: \$68.3 million

Premiums/Surplus: 0.9x

National Investors Title Insurance Co.

("National") Regulated in Texas

Admitted Assets: \$14.4 million Liabilities: \$3.8 million

> Surplus: \$10.6 million Premiums: \$40.9 million

Premiums/Surplus: 3.9x

©. Investors Title Insurance Company

Other

ITMS

Investors Trust





CAPITAL ANALYSIS INVESTORS OPCO

| Investors (OpCo) Balance Sheet | 2014 | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| (in \$ millions) | Assets | Non-Admitted | Admitted |
| Cash (1) | 5.7 | | 5.7 |
| Bonds | 72.1 | | 72.1 |
| Equity ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 53.3 | 12.3 | 40.9 |
| Other Invested Assets | 8.6 | 1.7 | 6.8 |
| Other Assets | 21.5 | 6.8 | 14.7 |
| Total Admitted Assets | 161.2 | 20.8 | 140.4 |
| Other Payables | | | 16.8 |
| Total Liabilities | | | 67.9 |
| Surplus as Regards Policyholders | | | 72.5 |

| Investors | 2014 | |
|----------------------------------|------|--|
| Net Premiums Written | 68.3 | |
| Premium to Surplus | 0.9x | |
| Surplus as Regards Policyholders | 72.5 | |
| -Surplus Required at 2:1 | 34.1 | |
| Excess Capital | 38.4 | |







⁽¹⁾ Includes short-term investments.

⁽²⁾ Includes Preferreds.

⁽³⁾ Investors owns \$21 million worth common shares of ITIC and in accordance with NAIC Securities Valuation, admitted assets have been reduced by \$12.3MM.

CAPITAL ANALYSIS INVESTORS OPCO

| 2014 Dividend Allowed | 10.7 |
|------------------------------|------|
| 2014 Dividend Paid to Parent | 5.0 |
| 2015 Dividend Allowed (1) | 7.3 |

(1) Statutory Annual Report - Note 13

Assuming premiums written stays constant, Investors could pay over \$7 million a year in dividends to the HoldCo.

(Note: this would not require special regulatory approval from each state)

Investors currently has over \$38 million in excess capital which does not include the additional \$12 million of ITIC stock considered 'Non-Admitted' (Investors has over \$21 million of ITIC common stock yet only \$9 million is 'Admitted').





CAPITAL ANALYSIS NATIONAL OPCO

| National (OpCo) Balance Sheet | 2014 | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| (in \$ millions) | Assets | Non-Admitted | Admitted |
| Cash (1) | 1.7 | | 1.7 |
| Bonds | 8.2 | | 8.2 |
| Equity ⁽²⁾ | 3.0 | | 3.0 |
| Other Invested Assets | | | |
| Other Assets | 1.7 | 0.1 | 1.6 |
| Total Admitted Assets | 14.5 | 0.1 | 14.4 |
| Other Payables | | | 1.3 |
| Total Liabilities | | | 3.8 |
| Surplus as Regards Policyholders | | | 10.6 |

| Surplus as Regards Policyholders | 10.6 |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Net Premiums Written | 40.9 |
| Premium to Surplus | 3.9x |

We believe National will not pay a dividend in the near future due to (1) the segment is operating at an optimal underwriting capacity of ~4.0x premiums to surplus; and (2) National historically has not paid a dividend to the Parent.







⁽¹⁾ Includes short-term investments

⁽²⁾ Includes Preferreds.

CAPITAL ANALYSIS HOLDCO

| Investors Title HoldCo (in \$ millions) | 2014 |
|--|------|
| Cash ⁽¹⁾ | 8.2 |
| Bonds | 24.4 |
| Equity ⁽²⁾ | 2.5 |
| Other Invested Assets | 1.6 |
| Other Assets | 6.7 |
| Total Admitted Assets | 43.3 |
| Other Payables | 2.2 |
| Total Liabilities | 2.2 |
| Equity for Parent and ITIC | 41.2 |

- (1) Includes short-term investments
- (2) Includes Preferreds.
- (3) Parent does not include investments in affiliates

There is over \$35 million in cash, bonds and equities at the HoldCo.

GrizzlyRock and Groveland assume Investors Title is not in a position to distribute the full amount.

Yet, the Company could distribute at least \$25 million (\$12.50 per share) leaving the Company with over \$10 million which would have covered the \$2.0 million EBITDA loss during 2008 five times over.







EXCESS CAPITAL CONCLUSION

Investors Title (HoldCo)

Excess Cash & Securities \$25.0 million

Investors (Sub)

| 2015 Dividend Allowed (1) | \$7.3 million |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| 2016 Dividend | \$7.3 million |
| 2017 Dividend | \$7.3 million |
| 2018 Dividend | \$7.3 million |

Realistic Dividend over next 4 Years \$54.2 million

\$75 million in excess capital and could return over \$50 million to shareholders over the next four years without regulatory approval.







⁽¹⁾ Statutory Annual Report - Note 13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Investors Title Overview

Excess Capital

Valuation Analysis

Appendix

Executive Summary

A.M. Best

Key Stats and Enterprise Value

Historical Performance

Company Description

Excess Capital

Price to Book

Direct vs Independent Agent

Financial Metrics Snapshot

Asset Base

Intrinsic Valuation

Title American / Independence

Business Operation

Distribution Options

Comparable Businesses

Industry Analysis







KEY VALUATION STATISTICS

| Current Metrics (\$N | /Ms excep | ot per share values) ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Share Price 10/01/15 | 71.5 | Dividend Yield | 0.4% |
| Fully-Diluted Shares 06/30/15 | 2.0 | TTM EBITDA | 16.0 |
| Market Capitalization 10/01/15 | 143.7 | EV to EBITDA | 4.3x |
| + Claim Reserve Liability | 38.1 | Excess Capital | \$75 |
| + Inv Held for Regulatory Needs | 48.4 | Excess Capital/share | \$37 |
| + Additional Investments Held to Ensure an AM Best 'a' Rating | 7.0 | TTM P/E Ratio | 12.9x |
| - Investments at 6/30/15 | 148.5 | 2014 FCF to EV | 11.1% |
| - Cash at 06/30/15 | 19.9 | TTM Return on Equity | 8.0% |
| Enterprise Value 10/01/15 | 68.9 | Price to Book | 1.0x |

⁽¹⁾ See slides on Enterprise Value Calculation and Excess Capital Calculation







ENTERPRISE VALUE CALCULATION

| Enterprise Value | | Source |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Share Price 10/01/15 | \$71.50 | Closing Price on 10/01/15 |
| Fully-Diluted Shares 10/01/15 | 2.0 million shares | Per Q2 2015 10-Q |
| Market Capitalization 9/28/15 | \$144 million | Summation |
| + Claim Reserve Liability | \$38 million | Investments For Current Claim Reserves |
| + Inv Held for Regulatory Needs to Continue Underwriting New Policies | \$48 million | Per Page 47 of Company 2014 10K |
| + Additional Investments to Ensure an AM Best 'a' Rating | \$7 million | 2014 policies underwritten of \$110m requires ~ \$55m statuatory surplus to maintain a 2:1 relationship (\$55m - \$48m = \$7m) |
| - Investments at 6/30/15 | \$149 million | Per Q2 2015 10-Q (inc. equities, bonds and short-term investments) |
| - Cash at 6/30/15 | \$20 million | Per Q2 2015 10-Q (cash and accrued interest and dividends) |
| Enterprise Value | \$68.9 million | Summation |

| 6/30/15 LTM EBITDA | \$17.2 million | 4.0x EV/EBITDA |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 6/30/15E EBITDA ex Independence (1) | \$16.0 million | 4.3x EV/EBITDA |

⁽¹⁾ Discussed in Appendix







BASE CASE INTRINSIC VALUE ASSUMPTIONS

- o Total Sales in 2015 would stay consistent as 2014 ex Independence.
- Growth after 2015 is 4.0%.
- o Cost of Sales includes Commissions to agents and Provision for claims.
- Gross Margin is the gross margin in 2014 ex Independence of 50.0%.
- Selling Expenses includes salaries, employee benefits and payroll taxes, office occupancy and operations, business development and professional and contract labor fees.
- Selling Expense as percent of Revenue is the selling expense in 2014 ex Independence.
- o G&A Expense includes filing fees, premium and retaliatory taxes and other.
- o CapEx: \$2.0 million in 2015 and then normalized to \$1.5 million after the CFPB costs roll off.







BASE CASE INTRINSIC VALUE

| | 12/30/12 | 12/30/13 | 12/31/14 | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E | 2018E | 2019E |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| _ | 12/30/12 | 12/30/13 | 12/31/17 | | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | 97.4 | 101.3 | 105.4 | 109.6 | 114.0 |
| Sales Growth | | | | N/A ⁽¹⁾ | 4.0% | 4.0% | 4.0% | 4.0% |
| Gross Margin | 43.1% | 47.3% | 42.4% | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% |
| EBITDA | 17.0 | 22.7 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.6 | 16.7 | 18.0 | 19.2 |
| EBITDA Margin | 14.8% | 18.0% | 12.1% | 15.4% | 15.4% | 15.9% | 16.4% | 16.9% |
| Free Cash Flow to the Firm | | | | | | | | |
| EBIT(1-t) | 10.5 | 14.0 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 12.4 |
| + NCC | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| - ΔWC | 0.3 | (1.1) | 5.4 | (1.7) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| - CapEx | (0.6) | (1.4) | (2.0) | (2.0) | (1.5) | (1.5) | (1.5) | (1.5) |
| Free Cash Flow to the Firm | 11.1 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 12.7 |
| Earnings Per Share | 5.3 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.1 |

⁽¹⁾ Not comparable to years prior given the valuation assumes complete loss of Independence operations.







BASE CASE INTRINSIC VALUE

| Perpetuity Growth Meth | od | EBITDA Multiple Method | EPS Method | PS Method | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| WACC | 10.0% | EBITDA in Terminal Year | 19 | EPS in 2016 | \$4.85 | |
| Terminal FCFF Growth Rate | 3.0% | Exit Multiple | 8.5x | Earnings Multiple | 17.0x | |
| Terminal Value | 187 | Terminal Value | 164 | | | |
| NPV of Terminal Value | 116 | NPV of Terminal Value | 102 | | | |
| NPV of 2015-2019 FCFF | 39 | NPV of 2015-2019 FCFF | 39 | | | |
| Enterprise Value (as calculated) | 155 | Enterprise Value (as calculated) | 141 | | | |
| Equity Value | 155 | Equity Value | 141 | - | | |
| Diluted Shares | 2.0 | Diluted Shares | 2.0 | | | |
| Equity Value Per Share | 77 | Equity Value Per Share | 70 | | | |
| Excess Capital Per Share | 37 | Excess Capital Per Share | 37 | Excess Capital Per Share | \$37 | |
| Equity Value Per Share | \$114.5 | Equity Value Per Share | \$107.2 | Equity Value Per Share | \$119.7 | |
| Average of Each Method | | \$114 | per share | | | |

Base Case Intrinsic Value Implies a 60% Price Increase







EBITDA RECONCILIATION

| EBITDA | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 ⁽²⁾ | 2014 | LTM ⁽³⁾ |
|-------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------------------|------|--------------------|
| Net Income | 8.4 | (1.2) | 4.8 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 14.8 | 9.7 | 11.1 |
| Taxes | 3.4 | (2.0) | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| Depr & Amort | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Impairments & Other (1) | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| EBITDA | 13.3 | (0.8) | 7.6 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 17.1 | 22.8 | 15.0 | 17.2 |
| year-over-year growth | | -106% | N/A | 29% | 8% | 61% | 33% | -34% | 15% |

^{(3) \$17.2} million of EBITDA includes Texas American Title. Pro Forma EBITDA is \$16.0 million.








⁽¹⁾ Impairment charges on certain investments and other assets that were deemed to be other-than temporarily-impaired.

⁽²⁾ Changes in actuarial inputs in 2013 resulted in a reduction of \$2.2 million in reserves as well as a \$2.2 million provision for claims operating expense (Increased net income by \$1.45 million or \$0.70 per diluted share)

INDUSTRY ENTERPRISE VALUE TO EBITDA

Title Insurance Historical Adj EV to EBITDA









BASE CASE VALUATION BASED ON BOOK VALUE PER SHARE

| Base Ca | Base Case Using Mid-Cycle EBITDA of \$16.0MM | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| <i>\$ in MM's</i> FY2014 | FY2015E | FY2016E | FY2017E | FY2018E | FY2019E | | | | | |
| Beginning Equity | \$137.6 | \$147.5 | \$157.4 | \$167.3 | \$177.2 | | | | | |
| EBITDA | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | | | | | |
| Less: D&A | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| Plus: Pre-Tax Income | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | | | | | |
| Less: Taxes @ 30% | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | | | |
| Less: Dividends | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | | | | | |
| Ending Book Value \$137.6 | 5 \$147.5 | \$157.4 | \$167.3 | \$177.2 | \$187.1 | | | | | |
| Per Share | \$73.4 | \$78.3 | \$83.2 | \$88.2 | \$93.1 | | | | | |
| Share Price at 1.00x BV | \$73 | \$78 | \$83 | \$88 | \$93 | | | | | |
| Share Price at 1.25x BV | \$92 | \$98 | \$104 | \$110 | \$116 | | | | | |
| Share Price at 1.50x BV | \$110 | \$117 | \$125 | \$132 | \$140 | | | | | |
| Share Price at 1.75x BV | \$128 | \$137 | \$146 | \$154 | \$163 | | | | | |
| Share Price at 2.00x BV | \$147 | \$157 | \$166 | \$176 | \$186 | | | | | |
| Return at 1.00x BV | 3% | 10% | 16% | 23% | 30% | | | | | |
| Return at 1.25x BV | 28% | 37% | 46% | 54% | 63% | | | | | |
| Return at 1.50x BV | 54% | 64% | 75% | 85% | 95% | | | | | |
| Return at 1.75x BV | 80% | 92% | 104% | 116% | 128% | | | | | |
| Return at 2.00x BV | 105% | 119% | 133% | 147% | 160% | | | | | |




INNOVATIVE BY INSTINCT

©. Investors Title Insurance Company

BASE CASE PRICE TO TANGIBLE BOOK VALUE

Title Insurance Historical P/TBV Relationship









KEY STATISTICS FOR COMPARABLE PUBLIC BUSINESSES

| LTM For Period Ended | 6/30/2015 | 6/30/2015 | 6/30/2015 | 6/30/2015 | 6/30/2015 |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| | Stewart Information Services Corporation | Fidelity National Financial, Inc. | Old Republic International Corporation | First American Financial Corporation | Investors Title Co. |
| Ticker | NYSE:STC | NYSE:FNF | NYSE:ORI | NYSE:FAF | ITIC |
| Cash Flows From Ops (\$ in MMs) | 101 | 956 | (50) | 483 | 15 |
| CapEx (\$ in MMs) | (22) | (230) | 0 | (119) | (2) |
| Free Cash Flow (\$ in MMs) | 79 | 726 | (50) | 364 | 13 |
| Stock Price | \$41.4 | \$36.9 | \$15.9 | \$39.5 | \$71.2 |
| Return on Assets | 4.2% | 4.5% | 2.0% | 3.9% | 5.0% |
| Return on Capital | 7.6% | 6.5% | 7.3% | 9.5% | 7.2% |
| Return on Equity | 7.4% | 10.8% | 9.0% | 11.3% | 8.2% |
| TEV/EBITDA | 10.9x | 9.6x | 8.4x | 6.9x | 4.5x |
| Price to Book Value | 1.4x | 1.7x | 1.0x | 1.6x | 1.0x |
| Price to Tangible Book Value | 3.1x | NM | 1.0x | 3.9x | 1.0x |

^{*} EV for FAF, STC and FNF calculated as Market Cap + Debt + Claim Reserves + Surplus/Equity required to maintain current premium to surplus leverage + deposits liability - cash - investments





 $\textcircled{E}_{{\mbox{\tiny L}}}$ Investors Title Insurance Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Investors Title Overview

Excess Capital

Valuation Analysis

Appendix

Executive Summary

A.M. Best

Key Stats and Enterprise Value Historical Performance

Company Description

Excess Capital

Price to Book

Direct vs Independent Agent

Financial Metrics Snapshot

Asset Base

Intrinsic Valuation

Title American / Independence

Business Operation

Distribution Options

Comparable Businesses

Industry Analysis







HISTORICAL FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

| \$MMs except per share values | 12/31/06 | 12/31/07 | 12/31/08 | 12/31/09 | 12/31/10 | 12/31/11 | 12/31/12 | 12/31/13 | 12/31/14 | LTM 6/30/15 |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Sales | 84.7 | 84.9 | 71.1 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 90.7 | 115.1 | 126.3 | 123.1 | 125.2 |
| Cost of Sales | 34.1 | 38.6 | 42.9 | 37.7 | 35.6 | 52.9 | 65.5 | 66.6 | 70.9 | 68.8 |
| Gross Profit | 50.5 | 46.4 | 28.2 | 33.6 | 35.7 | 37.7 | 49.6 | 59.7 | 52.3 | 56.4 |
| Gross Margin | 59.7% | 54.6% | 39.6% | 47.1% | 50.0% | 41.6% | 43.1% | 47.3% | 42.4% | 45.1% |
| Pretax Income | 17.3 | 11.8 | (3.2) | 5.9 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 16.1 | 21.5 | 13.5 | 15.6 |
| Income Tax Expense (Benefit) | 4.1 | 3.4 | (2.0) | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| Net Income | 13.2 | 8.4 | (1.2) | 4.8 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 11.3 | 14.9 | 9.7 | 11.1 |
| Diluted Shares Outstanding | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Earnings Per Share | \$5.14 | \$3.35 | (\$0.50) | \$2.10 | \$2.78 | \$3.20 | \$5.33 | \$7.17 | \$4.76 | \$5.51 |
| Dividends Per Share | \$0.24 | \$0.24 | \$0.28 | \$0.28 | \$0.28 | \$0.28 | \$0.29 | \$0.32 | \$0.32 | \$0.32 |
| Total Assets | 143.5 | 149.6 | 139.9 | 146.4 | 153.5 | 158.0 | 171.9 | 188.3 | 198.0 | 200.2 |
| Owners Equity (Book Value) | 95.3 | 99.3 | 89.9 | 97.3 | 103.9 | 106.5 | 114.6 | 128.1 | 137.6 | 139.7 |

The Company has been profitable 9 of the last 10 years, paid a consistent dividend, increased premiums at \sim 4% per annum, decreased shares outstanding by 3% per annum, and compounded book value per share at \sim 10% per annum.







INVESTORS TITLE PREMIUM WRITTEN BY STATE 2006 - 2010

| | 200 |)6 | 2007 | | 200 |)8 | 20 | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|-----------------------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|---------|------|---------|------|--|
| | State | ITIC | State | ITIC | State | ITIC | State | ITIC | State | ITIC | |
| North Carolina | 170.1 | 34.8 | 177.7 | 34.3 | 126.0 | 29.7 | 112.8 | 27.1 | 96.7 | 23.2 | |
| year-over-year growth | 7 | | 4% | -2% | -29% | -13% | -10% | -9% | -14% | -14% | |
| Texas | 1,662.0 | 0.0 | 1,609.1 | 0.0 | 1,231.2 | 0.0 | 1,014.9 | 0.0 | 1,061.8 | 2.1 | |
| year-over-year growth | 1 | | -3% | N/A | -23% | N/A | -18% | N/A | 5% | N/A | |
| South Carolina | 147.3 | 7.1 | 139.8 | 7.6 | 100.9 | 7.5 | 89.5 | 5.8 | 84.3 | 6.3 | |
| year-over-year growth | 1 | | -5% | 7% | -28% | 0% | -11% | -24% | -6% | 10% | |
| Virginia | 453.1 | 6.6 | 374.4 | 6.2 | 265.9 | 5.7 | 287.5 | 5.0 | 286.2 | 4.3 | |
| year-over-year growth |) | | -17% | -7% | -29% | -7% | 8% | -13% | 0% | -14% | |
| Michigan | 395.5 | 3.5 | 314.8 | 3.1 | 258.3 | 3.3 | 251.5 | 4.4 | 253.0 | 4.1 | |
| year-over-year growth | 1 | | -20% | -11% | -18% | 7% | -3% | 33% | 1% | -6% | |
| Georgia | 304.1 | 0.0 | 270.8 | 0.1 | 175.6 | 0.1 | 167.5 | 0.1 | 171.0 | 0.2 | |
| year-over-year growth | 1 | | -11% | N/A | -35% | -44% | -5% | 95% | 2% | 84% | |
| New York | 1,177.1 | 2.4 | 1,174.2 | 2.4 | 757.0 | 2.1 | 585.5 | 2.8 | 664.4 | 2.6 | |
| year-over-year growth | · · | | 0% | -1% | -36% | -13% | -23% | 35% | 13% | -7% | |
| Nationwide | 16,568.0 | 70.0 | 14,227.1 | 70.0 | 10,042.9 | 62.8 | 9,598.5 | 62.3 | 9,614.0 | 61.4 | |
| year-over-year growth | 1 | | -14% | 0% | -29% | -10% | -4% | -1% | 0% | -1% | |





Investors Title was able to outperform the industry during a tough 2007-09 period due to strong diversification and substantial growth in their smaller states.



INVESTORS TITLE PREMIUM WRITTEN BY STATE 2011 - 2014

| | 20 | 11 | 2012 | | 201 | .3 | 2014 | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| | State | ITIC | State | ITIC | State | ITIC | State | ITIC |
| North Carolina | 96.7 | 21.4 | 124.0 | 31.4 | 146.7 | 31.5 | 118.5 | 30.9 |
| year-over-year growth | 0% | -8% | 28% | 47% | 18% | 0% | -19% | -2% |
| Texas | 1,152.1 | 26.3 | 1,429.1 | 25.5 | 1,703.9 | 30.6 | 1,712.5 | 40.1 |
| year-over-year growth | 9% | 1127% | 24% | -3% | 19% | 20% | 1% | 31% |
| South Carolina | 80.1 | 6.7 | 95.6 | 8.8 | 116.8 | 13.3 | 104.9 | 8.7 |
| year-over-year growth | -5% | 6% | 19% | 31% | 22% | 51% | -10% | -35% |
| Virginia | 265.5 | 4.2 | 313.8 | 5.4 | 353.4 | 5.2 | 265.0 | 4.9 |
| year-over-year growth | -7% | -2% | 18% | 30% | 13% | -5% | -25% | -5% |
| Michigan | 250.5 | 4.3 | 305.9 | 5.1 | 350.5 | 5.3 | 289.6 | 3.7 |
| year-over-year growth | -1% | 5% | 22% | 18% | 15% | 3% | -17% | -30% |
| Georgia | 162.5 | 0.1 | 211.2 | 1.1 | 251.8 | 2.8 | 230.9 | 4.5 |
| year-over-year growth | -5% | -33% | 30% | 724% | 19% | 158% | -8% | 56% |
| New York | 719.5 | 2.3 | 825.0 | 2.8 | 991.9 | 1.8 | 975.8 | 1.5 |
| year-over-year growth | 8% | -11% | 15% | 20% | 20% | -36% | -2% | -17% |
| Nationwide | 9,469.5 | 81.3 | 11,434.4 | 102.8 | 12,745.5 | 114.9 | 11,360.6 | 110.2 |
| vear-over-vear growth | -2% | 32% | 21% | 26% | 11% | 12% | -11% | -4% |





Texas contribution grew significantly from 2011 to 2014.

Georgia has also become fairly meaningful.



INVESTORS TITLE PREMIUM WRITTEN ANALYSIS BY STATE

| State | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| North Carolina | 49% | 48% | 43% | 38% | 27% | 31% | 27% | 28% |
| Texas | | | | 3% | 32% | 25% | 27% | 36% |
| South Carolina | 11% | 12% | 9% | 10% | 8% | 9% | 11% | 8% |
| Virginia | 9% | 9% | 8% | 7% | 5% | 5% | 4% | 4% |
| Michigan | 4% | 5% | 7% | 7% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 3% |
| Georgia | | | | | | 1% | 2% | 4% |
| Other | 27% | 26% | 32% | 35% | 23% | 25% | 23% | 16% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Investors Title has been growing by developing strong relationships with independent agents.

In 2010 the Company executed a plan to enter the Texas market by partnering with a team already entrenched in that market.







INVESTORS TITLE MARKET SHARE OF TOTAL STATE VOLUME

| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| North Carolina | 20.5% | 19.3% | 23.6% | 24.1% | 24.0% | 22.2% | 25.3% | 21.5% | 26.1% |
| Texas | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 2.3% | 1.8% | 1.8% | 2.3% |
| South Carolina | 4.8% | 5.4% | 7.5% | 6.4% | 7.5% | 8.4% | 9.2% | 11.4% | 8.3% |
| Virginia | 1.5% | 1.6% | 2.1% | 1.7% | 1.5% | 1.6% | 1.7% | 1.5% | 1.8% |
| Michigan | 0.9% | 1.0% | 1.3% | 1.7% | 1.6% | 1.7% | 1.7% | 1.5% | 1.3% |
| Georgia | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.5% | 1.1% | 1.9% |
| New York | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% |
| Nationwide | 0.4% | 0.5% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.9% | 0.9% | 0.9% | 1.0% |

Even during challenging years for the industry (such as 2008 and 2011), Investors Title has leveraged strong underwriting metrics and relationships to gain market share.







INVESTORS TITLE HISTORICAL CLAIM PROVISIONS

| \$ in millions | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 (1) | 2014 |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| Begininning of Year Reserves | 31.8 | 34.9 | 36.9 | 37.0 | 39.2 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 38.0 | 39.1 | 35.4 |
| Current Year Claim Expenses | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 15.6 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 6.9 |
| Prior Years Claim Expenses | (1.7) | (2.4) | 0.3 | (0.4) | 0.7 | (2.3) | (3.5) | (1.6) | (7.8) | (1.6) |
| Net Claim Expenses | 8.1 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 6.1 | (0.6) | 5.2 |
| Current Year Claims Paid | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Prior Years Claims Paid | 4.9 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| Net Claims Paid | 5.1 | 5.4 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| Year End Claim Reserves (2) | 34.8 | 36.9 | 37.0 | 39.2 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 38.0 | 39.1 | 35.4 | 36.7 |
| Net Premiums Written | 76.5 | 70.2 | 70.0 | 63.7 | 62.2 | 61.5 | 81.5 | 102.3 | 113.9 | 110.0 |

⁽¹⁾ Changes in actuarial inputs in 2013 resulted in a reduction of \$2.2 million in reserves as well as a \$2.2 million provision for claims operating expense (Increased net income by \$1.45 million or \$0.70 per diluted share)








⁽²⁾ Year End Claim Reserves = Beginning of Year Reserves + Net Claim Expenses - Net Claims Paid

INVESTORS TITLE HISTORICAL CLAIM PROVISIONS





The Company has historically been conservative with their Provision for Claims estimates.

Recently they have changed their actuarial inputs to better reflect actual claims.







DIRECT VERSUS INDEPENDENT AGENT LTM 6/30/15 ANALYSIS

| LTM Analysis (in \$ millions) | Direct Agent (Home and Branch) | Independent Agent | Combined Direct and Independent | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Net Premiums Written | 26.3 | 84.4 | 110.6 | | | | |
| - Agent Commissions | 0.0 | 63.3 | 63.3 | | | | |
| Adj. Net Premiums Written | 26.3 | 21.0 | 47.3 | | | | |
| Investment Income & Gains Other | | | 5.1 9.5 | | | | |
| Revenue (Net of Agent Co | ommissions) | | 61.9 | | | | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| - Provision for Claims | | | 5.5 | | | | |
| - Salaries, Employee Benef | - Salaries, Employee Benefits ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| - Other Expenses (2) | | | 14.1 | | | | |
| EBITDA | | | \$17.2 | | | | |

Direct Agent:

In the Company's home and branch operations, the Company issues the insurance policy and retains the entire premium as no commissions are paid in connection with these policies.

Independent Agents:

When a policy is written through a title agency, the premium is shared between the agency and the underwriter. Agent commissions represent the portion of premiums retained by agents pursuant to the terms of their respective agency







NET PREMIUMS WRITTEN BY DIRECT VS. INDEPENDENT AGENTS

| Policy Origination % | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Home and Branch | 43% | 38% | 35% | 29% | 20% | 23% | 22% | 22% |
| Agent | 57% | 62% | 65% | 71% | 80% | 77% | 78% | 78% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

As Investors Title has diversified their presence across states, the Company has seen a proportional increase of their business with independent agents.

Revenue from independent agents provide a much lower margin as the Company pays ~80% of premium written as commissions to independent agents.








INDEPENDENCE TITLE ACQUISITION BY TITLE RESOURCE (REALOGY)

In 2010, Investors Title began to partner with Independence Title to grow premiums in Texas. Investors Title was underwriting the insurance portion of the title policies that Independence's agents were issuing & performing the analysis (i.e. non-branch model relying on non-Investors Title agents).

Independence Title made up 23.6% of ITIC's premiums written for FY 2014. This only represented 8.8% of the net premiums written after commissions.

In June 2015, Title Resource Group ("TRG") (subsidiary of Realogy Holdings) acquired Independence Title. Investors Title did not bid during the sales process and does not view purchasing title agencies as a growth driver going forward.









ESTIMATION

LTM 6/30/15 EX-INDEPENDENCE TITLE

| LTM Analysis (in \$ millions) | Direct Agent (Home and Branch) | Independent Agent | Combined Direct and Independent | Independence Title | Pro Forma ex- Independence Title |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Net Premiums Written | 26.3 | 84.4 | 110.6 | 20.7 | 90.0 |
| - Agent Commissions | 0.0 | 63.3 | 63.3 | 17.9 | 45.4 |
| Adj. Net Premiums Written | 26.3 | 21.0 | 47.3 | 2.8 | 44.5 |
| Investment Income & Gains Other | | | 5.1 9.5 | | 5.1 9.5 |
| Revenue (Net of Agent Co | ommissions) | | 61.9 | 2.8 | 59.1 |
| Expenses | | | | | |
| - Provision for Claims | | | 5.5 | 0.1 | 5.3 |
| - Salaries, Employee Benef | its ⁽¹⁾ | 25.1 | 0.7 | 24.5 | |
| - Other Expenses (2) | 14.1 | 0.8 | 13.3 | | |
| EBITDA | | | \$17.2 | \$1.2 | \$16.0 |

⁽¹⁾ Depreciation of \$1.6 million removed from this line item.

Source: GrizzlyRock Estimates.







©. Investors Title Insurance Company

⁽²⁾ Other Expenses inc. office, business development, filing fees, premium & retaliatory taxes, professional fees, and other. Figures are from 2014 as we do not have LTM statuatory figures.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF INDEPENDENCE TITLE VOLUME LOSS

With the anticipated reduction from Independence's premium volume, Investors Title will <u>either</u> have even higher excess capital or they will fill the volume reduction with premiums from new sources.

Replace Volume

Company will have to look for new sources to fill this revenue. This could be through (1) existing relationships (2) new agency contacts or (3) new geographic regions, or (4) strategic merger with another title firm.

Excess Surplus

If Investors Title does not replace premiums written they will have additional excess capital with the associated potential to distribute excess capital to shareholders.







MORTGAGE TITLE INSURANCE INDUSTRY ANALYSIS

What is Mortgage Title Insurance?

Title insurance is a form of indemnity insurance which insures against financial loss from defects in title to real property and from the invalidity or unenforceability of mortgage loans.

Title insurance is principally a product developed and sold in the United States as a result of an alleged comparative deficiency of land records. It is meant to protect an owner's or a lender's financial interest in real property against loss due to title defects, liens or other matters. It will defend against a lawsuit attacking the title, or reimburse the insured for the actual monetary loss incurred, up to the dollar amount of insurance provided by the policy.

Typically the real property interests insured are fee simple ownership or a mortgage. However, title insurance can be purchased to insure any interest in real property, including an easement, lease or life estate. There are two types of policies - owner and lender. Just as lenders require fire insurance and other types of insurance coverage to protect their investment, nearly all institutional lenders also require title insurance [a lender policy] to protect their interest in the collateral of loans secured by real estate.

Buyers purchasing properties for cash or with a mortgage lender often want title insurance [an owner policy] as well. A loan policy provides no coverage or benefit for the buyer/owner and so the decision to purchase an owner policy is independent of the lender's decision to require a loan policy.







HOW DOES MORTGAGE TITLE INSURANCE WORK?

- In title insurance, the agent retains a fairly large proportion of the fee (~70% to ~90% of amount charged) given the labor intensive title claim diligence required. Agents provide only a service and let the underwriters assume the insurance liability.
- Agents' activities not only reflect a sales commission but also incorporate underwriting, loss prevention and administration costs that title insurers would incur if policies were issued directly.
- Title insurers also typically generate far lower investment income from underwriting as compared with property/casualty companies because of the large, up-front commission payment to title agencies.
- Reported net-premium-to-surplus ratios typically range from 3-1 to 5-1 for the title insurance industry. Certain privately held title insurers are operating in ratios in excess of 8-1.
- Title insurers carry a statutory liability known as statutory premium reserve ("SPR"), which is mandated by each state to be kept aside to provide ultimate loss protection to policyholders. Rules for maintaining the level and length of time of these reserves vary by state. To compare companies domiciled in different states, the excess of the SPR over incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves on a tax-effected basis is treated as an adjustment to surplus when calculating a title company's overall capitalization and its leverage and return onsurplus ratios. The excess of the change in SPR over change in IBNR is treated as an adjustment to earnings when calculating the loss ratio as well as return on revenue.
- Companies that have more flexible operating cost structures (i.e. including a balanced distribution mix between agency & direct) tend to adapt better to rapidly changing economic conditions.







LAND TITLE INSURANCE PURCHASE VERSUS REFINANCING

Refinances to Purchases


- The mix between purchases and refinances is another important relationship that can affect revenue and margins.
- Purchases typically have much higher premiums than refinances.
- 2014 was the first purchase dominated market the industry has seen since the crisis of 2008. Predominantly been due to the low interest rate environment.







MORTGAGE ORIGINATIONS



Source: MBA September 2015 Forecast







INDUSTRY GROWTH IN INVESTORS TITLE'S PRIMARY STATES

| State | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| North Carolina | 4% | -29% | -10% | -14% | 0% | 28% | 18% | -19% |
| Texas | -3% | -23% | -18% | 5% | 9% | 24% | 19% | 1% |
| South Carolina | -5% | -28% | -11% | -6% | -5% | 19% | 22% | -10% |
| Virginia | -17% | -29% | 8% | 0% | -7% | 18% | 13% | -25% |
| Michigan | -20% | -18% | -3% | 1% | -1% | 22% | 15% | -17% |
| Georgia | -11% | -35% | -5% | 2% | -5% | 30% | 19% | -8% |
| New York | 0% | -36% | -23% | 13% | 8% | 15% | 20% | -2% |
| Nationwide | -14% | -29% | -4% | 0% | -2% | 21% | 11% | -11% |


Mortgage Title Insurance is a cyclical industry based on home sales trends which are (in turn) dependent on interest rates, consumer confidence, and general economic conditions.







INDUSTRY MARKET SHARE



- The "Big 4 Families" maintain ~90% of total industry premiums (1)
- Investors Title is the 10th largest title insurance underwriter overall and the 5th largest independent underwriter (2)
- o In the state of North Carolina, the Company is the largest independent title underwriter and only 2nd to the Fidelity Family. Investors Title is also top 5 within the state of South Carolina
- (1) Source: AM Best Methodology May 26th, 2015
- (2) Source: AM Best Rating of Investors Title North Carolina Subsidiary June 2015







CONSUMER FINANCE PROTECTION BOARD UPCOMING CHANGES

TILA-RESPA Integration Disclosure (aka 'Know Before You Owe')

- Originally proposed back in 2012 yet not finalized until November 2013.
- August 2015 implementation has been pushed back until October 2015.
- The goal of the new regulation is to provide greater clarity for the consumer.
- Combining the Initial TIL and GFE into one document, which will now be called the Loan Estimate Form.
- Combining the Final TIL and Hud-1 into one form which will now be called the Closing Disclosure Form.
- Three Day Rule which mandates that consumers have enough time to review documents prior to settlement.
- Making a more formal disclosure that Owners' insurance is optional.







CFPB RISK ANALYSIS

| H. Other | \$2,400.00 | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 01 HOA Capital Contribution | to HOA Acre Inc. | \$500.00 |
| 02 HOA Processing Fee | to HOA Acre Inc. | \$150.00 |
| 03 Home Inspection Fee | to Engineers Inc. | \$750.00 |
| 04 Home Warranty Fee | to XYZ Warranty Inc. | |
| 05 Real Estate Commission | to Alpha Real Estate Broker | |
| 06 Real Estate Commission | to Omega Real Estate Broker | |
| 07 Title – Owner's Title Insurance | \$1,000.00 | |
| 08 | | |



Optional Disclosure

- Owners' title insurance has always been optional, but now the word 'optional' is being displayed in a more formal manner.
- After speaking with several members of the title insurance industry it appears the impact from this disclosure will be minimal if any.







CFPB RISK ANALYSIS (CONT.)

- Increased costs associated with new technology and training.
- Some costs already occurred with remainder occurring over the next 2-3 quarters.
- The Big 4 will probably spend \$5-\$10 million each while Stewart Title is expected to spend ~\$3-4 million. Industry analysts expect these charges to be expensed during Q2 and Q3 2015.
 - We assume Investors Title will pay ~\$500k.
 - Smaller agents might incur \$15-30k.
- At implementation, likely initial closing delays (typical closing taking an extra week or two).
- The industry should be able to handle changes, given underwriters and agents have generally prepared in advance. Some much smaller players could struggle with compliance changes.
- o Potential for some consolidation and market share growth.







TOP US MORTGAGE TITLE INSURANCE FIRMS

| Company | 2014 Premium Written | Market Share by Premium | Ownership | Ticker / Name If Public | Ownership Commentary |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| First American Title Ins. Co. | 2,956,204,833 | 26.0% | Public | FAF | |
| Old Republic National Title Ins. Co. | 1,624,701,635 | 14.3% | Public | ORI | |
| Chicago Title Ins. Co. | 1,666,872,375 | 14.7% | Public | FNF | |
| Fidelity National Title Ins. Co. | 1,315,832,649 | 11.6% | Public | FNF | |
| Stewart Title Guaranty Co. | 1,197,703,569 | 10.5% | Public | STC | |
| Commonwealth Land Title Ins. Co. | 548,209,582 | 4.8% | Public | FNF | |
| Westcor Land Title Ins. Co. | 299,420,911 | 2.6% | Private | | No Investor Info on CapIQ |
| WFG National Title Ins. Co. | 214,805,598 | 1.9% | Private | Start-Up / F | Roll-Up Backed by Golden Gate in 2009 |
| Title Resources Guaranty Co. | 232,312,667 | 2.0% | Public | Realogy | |
| Stewart Title Ins. Co. (NY) | 223,557,129 | 2.0% | Public | Stewart | |
| North American Title Ins. Co. | 156,822,399 | 1.4% | Public | Lennar | |
| National Title Ins. of NY | 90,224,348 | 0.8% | Public | BKFS | Black Knight Financial Services, Inc. |
| Alliant National Title Ins. Co. | 75,911,713 | 0.7% | Private | Private | Equity firm Crescendo in Colorado |
| Investors Title Ins. (N. Carolina) | \$68,779,126 | 0.6% | Public | ITIC | |
| Alamo Title Ins. Co. | 62,946,339 | 0.6% | Public | Fidelity National | |
| Attorneys' Title Guaranty Fund (IL) | 67,077,636 | 0.6% | Private | | No Investor Info on CapIQ |
| Connecticut Attorneys Title Ins. Co. | 60,014,298 | 0.5% | Private | | No Investor Info on CapIQ |
| National Investors Title Ins. Co. | \$41,439,457 | 0.4% | Public | ITIC | |





